

SEMI-CONTINUITY FOR CONDUCTOR DIVISORS OF ÉTALE SHEAVES

HAOYU HU AND JEAN-BAPTISTE TEYSSIER

ABSTRACT. In this article, we prove a semi-continuity property for both conductor divisors and logarithmic conductor divisors for étale sheaves on higher relative dimensions in a geometric situation. It generalizes a semi-continuity result for conductors of étale sheaves on relative curves to higher relative dimensions, and it can be considered as a higher dimensional ℓ -adic analogy of André's result on the semi-continuity of Poincaré-Katz ranks of meromorphic connections on smooth relative curves.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Notation	4
3. Preliminaries in geometry	5
4. Complements in ramification theory	6
5. Proof of the main theorem	10
References	21

1. Introduction

1.1. Let S be an excellent noetherian scheme, $f : X \rightarrow S$ a separated and smooth morphism of relative dimension 1, D a closed subset of X which is finite and flat over S , U the complement of D in X and $j : U \rightarrow X$ the canonical injection. Let ℓ be a prime number invertible in S and Λ a finite field of characteristic ℓ . Let \mathcal{F} be a locally constant and constructible sheaf of Λ -modules on U of constant rank. For any point $s \in S$, we denote by $\bar{s} \rightarrow S$ an algebraic geometric point above s and by $X_{\bar{s}}$ and $D_{\bar{s}}$ the fibers of $f : X \rightarrow S$ and $f|_D : D \rightarrow S$ at \bar{s} , respectively. For each point $x \in D_{\bar{s}}$, we define

$$(1.1.1) \quad dt_x(j_! \mathcal{F}|_{X_{\bar{s}}}) = sw_x(j_! \mathcal{F}|_{X_{\bar{s}}}) + \text{rank}(\mathcal{F}),$$

where $sw_x(j_! \mathcal{F}|_{X_{\bar{s}}})$ denotes the classical Swan conductor of the sheaf $j_! \mathcal{F}|_{X_{\bar{s}}}$ at x which is an integer number [17, 19.3]. The sum

$$(1.1.2) \quad \sum_{x \in D_{\bar{s}}} dt_x(j_! \mathcal{F}|_{X_{\bar{s}}})$$

does not depend on the choice of \bar{s} above s . It defines a function $\varphi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. The following property of φ is due to Deligne and Laumon:

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14F20; Secondary 11S15.

Key words and phrases. Semi-continuity, Abbes-Saito's ramification theory, conductor divisors, logarithmic conductor divisors.

Theorem 1.2 ([14, 2.1.1]). *We take the notation and assumptions of 1.1. Then,*

- (1) *The function $\varphi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is constructible and lower semi-continuous on S .*
- (2) *If $\varphi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is locally constant, then $f : X \rightarrow S$ is universally locally acyclic with respect to $j_! \mathcal{F}$.*

1.3. Let K be a complete discrete valuation field, \mathcal{O}_K its integer ring and F the residue field of \mathcal{O}_K . We assume that the characteristic of F is $p > 0$. Let \bar{K} be a separable closure of K and we denote by G_K the Galois group of \bar{K}/K . Abbes and Saito defined two decreasing filtrations G_K^r ($r \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 1}$) and $G_{K,\log}^s$ ($s \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$) of G_K , called the ramification filtration and the logarithmic ramification filtration, respectively ([1, 2]). For any $r \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, we have $G_{K,\log}^{r+1} \subseteq G_K^{r+1} \subseteq G_{K,\log}^r$. If the residue field F is perfect, then $G_K^{s+1} = G_{K,\log}^s$ for any $s \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, and the logarithmic ramification filtration coincides with the classical upper numbering filtration [1, 3.7].

1.4. Let ℓ be a prime number different from p and Λ a finite field of characteristic ℓ . Let M be a finitely generated Λ -module on which the wild inertia subgroup of G_K acts through a finite quotient. We have two decompositions of M relative to the two filtrations above

$$M = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 1}} M^{(r)} \quad \text{and} \quad M = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}} M_{\log}^{(s)}$$

which are called *the slope decomposition* and *the logarithmic slope decomposition* of M . We have the following two invariants

$$(1.4.1) \quad dt_K M = \sum_{r \geq 1} r \cdot \dim_{\Lambda} M^{(r)} \quad \text{and} \quad sw_K M = \sum_{s \geq 0} r \cdot \dim_{\Lambda} M_{\log}^{(s)}$$

called the *total dimension* and the *Swan conductor* of M , respectively. They generalize the classical Swan conductor and the classical total dimension. In this article, we focus on the following two invariants

$$(1.4.2) \quad c_K(M) = \max\{r \in \mathbb{Q} \mid M^{(r)} \neq 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad lc_K(M) = \max\{s \in \mathbb{Q} \mid M_{\log}^{(s)} \neq 0\},$$

which are called the *conductor* and the *logarithmic conductor* of M . By Abbes and Saito's ramification theory, we have

$$sw_K(M) \leq dt_K(M) \leq sw_K(M) + \dim_{\Lambda} M, \\ lc_K(M) \leq c_K(M) \leq lc_K(M) + 1.$$

If the residue field F is perfect, we have

$$dt_K(M) = sw_K(M) + \dim_{\Lambda} M, \quad \text{and} \quad c_K(M) = lc_K(M) + 1,$$

and the $sw_K(M)$ (resp. $lc_K(M)$) is the classical Swan conductor (resp. the largest upper numbering slope) of M .

1.5. Let κ be a field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let Y be a smooth κ -scheme, E a reduced effective Cartier divisor on Y , $\{E_i\}_{i \in I}$ the set of irreducible components of E , W the complement of E in Y and $h : W \rightarrow Y$ the canonical injection. We assume that each E_i is generically smooth over $\text{Spec}(\kappa)$. We choose an algebraic closure $\bar{\kappa}$ of κ . We denote by ξ_i the generic point of an irreducible component of $E_{i, \bar{\kappa}} = E_i \times_{\kappa} \bar{\kappa}$, by $\bar{\xi}_i$ a geometric point above ξ_i , by η_i the generic point of the strict localization $Y_{\bar{\kappa}, (\bar{\xi}_i)}$, by K_i the function field of $Y_{\bar{\kappa}, (\bar{\xi}_i)}$ and by \bar{K}_i a separable closure of K_i . Let Λ be a finite field of characteristic ℓ ($\ell \neq p$) and \mathcal{G} a locally constant and constructible sheaf of Λ -modules on U . The restriction $\mathcal{G}|_{\eta_i}$ corresponds to a finitely generated Λ -module with a continuous $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}_i/K_i)$ -action. Since the $\text{Gal}(\bar{\kappa}/\kappa)$ -action on the set of irreducible components of $E_{i, \bar{\kappa}}$ is transitive, four ramification invariants $\text{dt}_{K_i}(\mathcal{G}|_{\eta_i})$, $\text{sw}_{K_i}(\mathcal{G}|_{\eta_i})$, $\text{c}_{K_i}(\mathcal{G}|_{\eta_i})$ and $\text{lc}_{K_i}(\mathcal{G}|_{\eta_i})$ do not depend on the choice of $\bar{\kappa}$ nor on the choice of the irreducible component of $E_{i, \bar{\kappa}}$. We usually denote by $\text{dt}_{E_i}(h_*\mathcal{G})$ (resp. $\text{sw}_{E_i}(h_*\mathcal{G})$, $\text{c}_{E_i}(h_*\mathcal{G})$ and $\text{lc}_{E_i}(h_*\mathcal{G})$) instead of $\text{dt}_{K_i}(\mathcal{G}|_{\eta_i})$ (resp. $\text{sw}_{K_i}(\mathcal{G}|_{\eta_i})$, $\text{c}_{K_i}(\mathcal{G}|_{\eta_i})$ and $\text{lc}_{K_i}(\mathcal{G}|_{\eta_i})$)

We define the *total dimension divisor* of $h_*\mathcal{G}$ on X by the Cartier divisor ([15, Definition 3.5])

$$(1.5.1) \quad \text{DT}_Y(h_*\mathcal{G}) = \sum_{i \in I} \text{dt}_{K_i}(\mathcal{G}|_{\eta_i}) \cdot E_i.$$

We define the *Swan divisor* of $h_*\mathcal{G}$ on X by the Cartier divisor

$$(1.5.2) \quad \text{SW}_Y(h_*\mathcal{G}) = \sum_{i \in I} \text{sw}_{K_i}(\mathcal{G}|_{\eta_i}) \cdot E_i.$$

We define the *conductor divisor* of $h_*\mathcal{G}$ on X by the Cartier divisor with rational coefficients

$$(1.5.3) \quad \text{C}_Y(h_*\mathcal{G}) = \sum_{i \in I} \text{c}_{K_i}(\mathcal{G}|_{\eta_i}) \cdot E_i.$$

We define the *logarithmic conductor divisor* of $h_*\mathcal{G}$ on X by the Cartier divisor with rational coefficients

$$(1.5.4) \quad \text{LC}_Y(h_*\mathcal{G}) = \sum_{i \in I} \text{lc}_{K_i}(\mathcal{G}|_{\eta_i}) \cdot E_i.$$

1.6. Assume that $f : X \rightarrow S$ has relative dimension ≥ 1 , and D is a Cartier divisor on X relative to S . For each algebraic geometric point \bar{s} of S , the ramification of $(j_!\mathcal{F})|_{X_{\bar{s}}}$ at the generic points of $D_{\bar{s}}$ defines four divisors supported on $D_{\bar{s}}$ (cf. subsection (1.5)). The lower semi-continuity for both total dimensions divisors and Swan divisors has been proved in a geometric situation ([9, Theorem 4.3], [10, Theorem 1.11]). They generalized Deligne and Laumon's result (Theorem 1.2 (1)) to higher relative dimensions in a geometric situation.

The D -modules theory shares similarities with the ℓ -adic cohomology theory. Motivated by Deligne and Laumon's result, Malgrange conjectured that irregularities of meromorphic connections on complex relative curves is lower semi-continuous. André proved this conjecture ([3, Corollaire 7.1.2]), as well as the lower semi-continuity for Poincaré-Katz ranks of meromorphic connections in the same geometric setting ([3, Corollaire 6.1.3]). In [11, Theorem 7.2], a semi-continuity result was proved for

conductors of étale sheaves on relative curves of positive characteristic, which can be considered as an ℓ -adic analogue of André's semi-continuity result for Poincaré-Katz ranks. This article is devoted to proving the semi-continuity of conductor divisors and logarithmic conductor divisors for étale sheaves in a geometric situation, that generalizes *loc. cit.* to higher relative dimensions.

1.7. Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$, S an irreducible k -scheme of finite type, $f : X \rightarrow S$ a separated and smooth k -morphism of finite type, D an effective Cartier divisor of X relative to S ([6, IV, 21.15.2]), U the complement of D in X and $j : U \rightarrow X$ the canonical injection. We assume that D is the sum of effective Cartier divisors $\{D_i\}_{i \in I}$ of X relative to S , where each D_i is irreducible and each restriction morphism $f|_{D_i} : D_i \rightarrow S$ is smooth. For each $s \in S$, we denote by $\rho_s : X_s \rightarrow X$ the base change of $s \rightarrow S$ by $f : X \rightarrow S$. We denote by η the generic point of S .

Let \mathcal{F} be a locally constant and constructible sheaf of Λ -modules on U . We define the *generic conductor divisor* of $j_! \mathcal{F}$ on X and denote by $GC_f(j_! \mathcal{F})$ the unique Cartier divisor with rational coefficients of X supported on D such that $\rho_\eta^*(GC_f(j_! \mathcal{F})) = C_{X_\eta}(j_! \mathcal{F}|_{X_\eta})$. We define the *generic logarithmic conductor divisor* of $j_! \mathcal{F}$ on X and denote by $GLC_f(j_! \mathcal{F})$ the unique Cartier divisor with rational coefficients of X supported on D such that $\rho_\eta^*(GLC_f(j_! \mathcal{F})) = LC_{X_\eta}(j_! \mathcal{F}|_{X_\eta})$. The main result of the article is the following:

Theorem 1.8. *We take the notation and assumptions of 1.7. Then,*

- (i) *there exists an open dense subset V of S such that, for any point $s \in V$, we have*

$$\rho_s^*(GC_f(j_! \mathcal{F})) = C_{X_s}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_s})$$

and, for any point $t \in S - V$, we have

$$\rho_t^*(GC_f(j_! \mathcal{F})) \geq C_{X_t}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_t}).$$

- (ii) *there exists an open dense subset W of S such that for any point $s \in W$, we have*

$$\rho_s^*(GLC_f(j_! \mathcal{F}) + D) = LC_{X_s}(j_! \mathcal{F}|_{X_s}) + (D_s)_{\text{red}}$$

and, for any point $t \in S - W$, we have

$$\rho_t^*(GLC_f(j_! \mathcal{F}) + D) \geq LC_{X_t}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_t}) + (D_t)_{\text{red}}.$$

Remark 1.9. The proof of Theorem 1.8 follows similar strategies as [9, Theorem 4.3] and [10, Theorem 1.11]. Theorem 1.8 and Corollary 5.8 are the crucial ingredients in [8] to get estimates for the Betti numbers of perverse sheaves with bounded rank and wild ramification *in families* rather than on a fixed variety.

Acknowledgement. Both authors would like to thank A. Abbes and Y. Cao for stimulating discussions and valuable comments. H. H. is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 12471012) and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (Grant No. BK20231539).

2. Notation

2.1. In this article, let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$. We fix a prime number ℓ which is different from p , and a finite field Λ of characteristic ℓ . All k -schemes are assumed to be separated and of finite type over $\text{Spec}(k)$ and all morphisms between

k -schemes are assumed to be k -morphisms. All sheaves of Λ -modules on k -schemes are assumed to be étale sheaves.

2.2. Let X be a Noetherian scheme and $\text{CDiv}(X)$ the \mathbb{Z} -modules of Cartier divisors on X . A *Cartier divisor with rational coefficients* on X denotes an element in $\text{CDiv}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. We say that E_1 is bigger than E_2 and we write $E_1 \geq E_2$ for two element $E_1, E_2 \in \text{CDiv}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, if there exists an positive integer r such that $r(E_1 - E_2)$ is an effective Cartier divisor on X .

2.3. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of schemes, s a point of S , and $\bar{s} \rightarrow S$ a geometric point above s . We denote by X_s (resp. $X_{\bar{s}}$) the fiber $X \times_S s$ (resp. $X \times_S \bar{s}$). Assume that $f : X \rightarrow S$ is flat and of finite presentation. Let D be a Cartier divisor on X relative to S ([6, IV, 21.15.2]). Let $\pi : S' \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of k -schemes, $X' = X \times_S S'$ and $\pi' : X' \rightarrow X$ the base change of $\pi : S' \rightarrow S$. We denote by π'^*D the pull-back of D , which is a Cartier divisor on X' relative to S' [6, IV, 21.15.9]. When S' is s or \bar{s} , we simply denote by D_s (resp. $D_{\bar{s}}$) the Cartier divisor $D \times_S s$ on X_s (resp. $D \times_S \bar{s}$ on $X_{\bar{s}}$). An effective Cartier divisor E on X relative to S is identical to a closed immersion $i : E \rightarrow X$ transversally regular relative to S and of codimension 1 ([6, IV, 19.2.2 and 21.15.3.3]). The fiber E_s (resp. $E_{\bar{s}}$) is an effective Cartier divisor on X_s (resp. $X_{\bar{s}}$).

Let $\{D_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of effective Cartier divisors of X relative to S . A linear combination $Q = \sum_{i \in I} r_i D_i$ with $r_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ is called a *Cartier divisor of X relative to S with rational coefficients* supported on $D = \sum_{i \in I} D_i$. We denote by π'^*Q the linear combination $\sum_{i \in I} r_i (\pi'^*D_i)$, which is a Cartier divisor of X' relative to S' with rational coefficients. When S' is s or \bar{s} , the fiber $Q_s = \sum_{i \in I} r_i D_{i,s}$ (resp. $Q_{\bar{s}} = \sum_{i \in I} r_i D_{i,\bar{s}}$) is an Cartier divisor on X_s (resp. $X_{\bar{s}}$) with rational coefficients.

2.4. Let x be a closed point of a k -scheme X . For any irreducible closed subscheme Z of X containing x , we denote by $m_x(Z)$ the multiplicity of Z at x .

2.5. Let X be a smooth k -scheme. We denote by $\mathbb{T}X$ the tangent bundle of X and by \mathbb{T}^*X the cotangent bundle of X . By a *closed conical subset* of \mathbb{T}^*X , we mean a reduced closed subscheme of \mathbb{T}^*X invariant under the canonical \mathbb{G}_m -action on \mathbb{T}^*X . For any point x of X , we put $\mathbb{T}_x X = \mathbb{T}X \times_X x$ and $\mathbb{T}_x^* X = \mathbb{T}^*X \times_X x$.

3. Preliminaries in geometry

Proposition 3.1 (cf. [6, I, Chapitre 0, 15.1.16]). *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a local homomorphism of noetherian local rings, κ the residue field of A , b an element of the maximal ideal of B and $\bar{b} \in B \times_A \kappa$ the residue class of b . Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The quotient B/bB is flat over A and b is a non-zero divisor of B ;*
- (2) *B is flat over A and \bar{b} is a non-zero divisor of $B \otimes_A \kappa$.*

It is deduced by the equivalence of (b) and (c) of [6, I, Chapitre 0, 15.1.16].

Proposition 3.2 ([6, IV, 18.12.1]). *Let S and D be schemes, $f : D \rightarrow S$ a separated morphism locally of finite type, x a point of D and $s = f(x)$. We assume that x is an isolated point of $f^{-1}(s)$. Then, there exists an étale morphism $S' \rightarrow S$, a point x' of $D' = D \times_S S'$ above x*

and a Zariski open and closed neighborhood V' of x' in D' such that V' is finite over S' and $f'^{-1}(f'(x')) \cap V' = \{x'\}$, where $f' : D' \rightarrow S'$ denotes the base change of $f : D \rightarrow S$.

Proposition 3.3 ([9, Proposition 5.3]). *Let κ be a field with infinitely many elements, X a connected smooth κ -scheme of dimension $n \geq 2$, D an effective Cartier divisor on X which is smooth at a κ -rational point $x \in D$ and $S \subseteq \mathbb{T}_x^*X$ a closed conical subset of dimension 1. Then, we can find a smooth κ -curve C and an immersion $h : C \rightarrow X$ such that C intersects D transversally at x and that $\ker(dh) \cap S = \{0\}$, where $dh : \mathbb{T}_x^*X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_x^*C$ is the canonical map.*

Proposition 3.4. *Let S be an irreducible separated scheme over an algebraically closed field κ of finite type and $s \in S$ a closed point such that S is regular at s . Let $Z \subset \mathbb{A}_S^n$ be a closed subscheme containing $s \times O$, where O denotes the origin of \mathbb{A}_κ^n ($n \geq 1$). Then, there exist an open dense subscheme V of S and an immersion $\sigma : V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^n$ such that $s \times O \in \sigma(V)$, $V \not\subset Z$ and that the composition of $\sigma : V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^n$ and $\pi : \mathbb{A}_S^n \rightarrow S$ is the canonical injection.*

Proof. We denote by η the generic point of S . Let y be a non-zero element of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{S,s}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$. For $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \kappa^n$, we define a homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ -algebras

$$(3.4.1) \quad g_{\underline{\lambda}} : \mathcal{O}_{S,s}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S,s}, \quad x_i \mapsto \lambda_i y.$$

We can find an open dense subset V of S such that, for any $\underline{\lambda} \in \kappa^n$, the homomorphism $g_{\underline{\lambda}}$ gives rise to a κ -morphism $h_{\underline{\lambda}} : V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^n$. The composition of each $h_{\underline{\lambda}} : V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^n$ and $\pi : \mathbb{A}_S^n \rightarrow S$ is the canonical injection $V \rightarrow S$, and $h_{\underline{\lambda}}(s) = s \times O$. The image $h_{\underline{\lambda}}(\eta) \subset \mathbb{A}_\eta^n$ of the generic point $\eta \in V$ is the closed point $x_{\underline{\lambda}} = (\lambda_1 y, \dots, \lambda_n y) \in \mathbb{A}_\eta^n(k(\eta))$.

Note that κ is algebraically closed. Thus the set of closed points $\{x_{\underline{\lambda}} \mid \underline{\lambda} \in \kappa^n\} \subset \mathbb{A}_\eta^n(k(\eta))$ is dense in \mathbb{A}_η^n . Since $Z \neq \mathbb{A}_S^n$, the intersection $Z \cap \mathbb{A}_\eta^n$ is a closed subscheme of \mathbb{A}_η^n which does not contain the generic point of \mathbb{A}_η^n . Hence, there exists $\underline{\lambda} \in \kappa^n$ such that $x_{\underline{\lambda}} \notin Z$. The associated immersion $h_{\underline{\lambda}} : V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^n$ satisfies the conditions of the proposition. \square

Proposition 3.5 (cf. [6, III, 9.5.3]). *Let S be an irreducible noetherian scheme, $g : D \rightarrow S$ a morphism of finite type, $\{D_i\}_{i \in I}$ the set of irreducible components of D . We assume that, for each $i \in I$, the restriction $f|_{D_i} : D_i \rightarrow S$ is surjective. Then there exists an open dense subset $V \subseteq S$ such that, for every point $v \in V$ and for any indices $i, j \in I$ ($i \neq j$), the fibers $D_{i,v}$ and $D_{j,v}$ do not have common irreducible components.*

Proposition 3.6 (cf. [6, III, 9.7.7]). *Let S and D be integral k -schemes and $f : D \rightarrow S$ a smooth k -morphism. Then there exists an irreducible k -scheme W and an étale map $h : W \rightarrow S$ such that,*

- (1) *Each connected component of $D \times_S W$ is irreducible;*
- (2) *Every connected component of $D \times_S W$ has geometrically irreducible fibers over W .*

4. Complements in ramification theory

Lemma 4.1. *Let K be a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic $p > 0$, \mathcal{O}_K its integer ring and F the residue field of \mathcal{O}_K . Let \bar{K} be a separable closure of K and we denote by G_K the*

Galois group of \bar{K}/K . Let M be a non-zero finitely generated Λ -modules with a continuous G_K -action. Then, there exist integers $0 < r_1, r_2 \leq \text{rk}_\Lambda M$ such that

$$c_K(M) \in \frac{1}{r_1}\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{lc}_K(M) \in \frac{1}{r_2}\mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. Let $M = \bigoplus_{r \geq 1} M^{(r)}$ (resp. $M = \bigoplus_{s \geq 0} M_{\log}^{(s)}$) be the slope decomposition (resp. logarithmic slope decomposition) of M . Each $M^{(r)}$ are finite generated Λ -modules with continuous G_K -actions. By the Hasse-Arf theorem for Abbes-Saito's ramification filtrations ([18, Theorem 3.4.3]), [15, Proposition 3.10]), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dt}_K(M^{(c_K(M))}) &= c_K(M) \cdot \text{rk}_\Lambda \left(M^{(c_K(M))} \right), \\ \text{sw}_K(M^{(\text{lc}_K(M))}) &= \text{lc}_K(M) \cdot \text{rk}_\Lambda \left(M_{\log}^{(\text{lc}_K(M))} \right) \end{aligned}$$

are integers. Put $r_1 = \text{rk}_\Lambda \left(M^{(c_K(M))} \right)$ and $r_2 = \text{rk}_\Lambda \left(M_{\log}^{(\text{lc}_K(M))} \right)$. We have

$$c_K(M) \in \frac{1}{r_1}\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{lc}_K(M) \in \frac{1}{r_2}\mathbb{Z}.$$

□

Proposition 4.2 ([1, Proposition 3.15 (3)]). *Let K be a complete discrete valuation field, \mathcal{O}_K its integer ring and F the residue field of \mathcal{O}_K . We assume that the characteristic of F is $p > 0$. Let K' be a finite separable extension of K contained in \bar{K} of ramification index e . We denote by $G_{K'}$ the Galois group of \bar{K} over K' and $G_{K', \log}^r$ ($r \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$) the logarithmic ramification filtration of $G_{K'}$. Then, for any $r \in \mathbb{Q}_{> 0}$, we have $G_{K', \log}^{er} \subseteq G_{K, \log}^r$. If K' is tamely ramified over K , the inclusion is an equality.*

Lemma 4.3. *Let X be a smooth k -scheme, D a reduced Cartier divisor of X , U the complement of D in X and $j: U \rightarrow X$ the canonical injection. Let \mathcal{F} be a locally constant and constructible étale sheaf of Λ -modules on U . Let k' be an algebraic extension of k , and $g: X_{k'} = X \times_k k' \rightarrow X$ the canonical projection. We have*

$$(4.3.1) \quad g^*(C_X(j_! \mathcal{F})) = C_{X_{k'}}(g^* j_! \mathcal{F}) \quad \text{and} \quad g^*(\text{LC}_X(j_! \mathcal{F})) = \text{LC}_{X_{k'}}(g^* j_! \mathcal{F}).$$

This lemma is a direct consequence from the definition of (logarithmic) conductor divisors.

Lemma 4.4. *We take the notation and assumptions in subsection 1.7.*

(i) *Let S' be an irreducible smooth k -scheme of finite type and $\pi: S' \rightarrow S$ a dominant and generically finite k -morphism. We denote by $f': X' \rightarrow S'$ (resp. by $\pi': X' \rightarrow X$) the base change of $f: X \rightarrow S$ (resp. $\pi: S' \rightarrow S$). We have*

$$(4.4.1) \quad \pi'^*(\text{GC}_f(j_! \mathcal{F})) = \text{GC}_{f'}(\pi'^* j_! \mathcal{F}) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi'^*(\text{GLC}_f(j_! \mathcal{F})) = \text{GLC}_{f'}(\pi'^* j_! \mathcal{F}).$$

(ii) *Let \bar{k} be an algebraic closure of k , \bar{S} an irreducible component of $S \otimes_k \bar{k}$ and $\pi: \bar{S} \rightarrow S$ the composition of $\bar{S} \rightarrow S \otimes_k \bar{k}$ and $S \otimes_k \bar{k} \rightarrow S$. We denote by $\bar{f}: \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{S}$ (resp. by $\bar{\pi}: \bar{X} \rightarrow X$) the base change of $f: X \rightarrow S$ (resp. $\pi: \bar{S} \rightarrow S$). We have*

$$(4.4.2) \quad \bar{\pi}^*(\text{GC}_f(j_! \mathcal{F})) = \text{GC}_{\bar{f}}(\bar{\pi}^* j_! \mathcal{F}) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\pi}^*(\text{GLC}_f(j_! \mathcal{F})) = \text{GLC}_{\bar{f}}(\bar{\pi}^* j_! \mathcal{F}).$$

(iii) Let $g : X' \rightarrow X$ be an étale morphism such that $X'_\eta = X' \times_S \eta \neq \emptyset$. Then, we have

$$(4.4.3) \quad g^*(GC_f(j)_\mathcal{F}) = GC_{fg}(j)_\mathcal{F}|_{X'} \quad \text{and} \quad g^*(GLC_f(j)_\mathcal{F}) = GLC_{fg}(j)_\mathcal{F}|_{X'}.$$

Lemma 4.4 (i) and (ii) follow from the definition of the (logarithmic) conductor divisor and Lemma 4.3. Lemma 4.4(iii) follows from the fact that $g_\eta : X'_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta$ is étale and the (logarithmic) conductor divisor is an étale local invariant.

Proposition 4.5. *We take the notation and assumptions in subsection 1.7. To prove Theorem 1.8, it is sufficient to consider the case where k is algebraically closed and S is a connected and smooth k -scheme.*

Proof. Let k' be an algebraic extension of k , let S' be an irreducible separable k' -scheme of finite type and let $\theta : S' \rightarrow S$ be a k -morphism satisfying

- (1) $\theta : S' \rightarrow S$ is surjective, and, for any open dense subset $V' \subset S'$, the image $\theta(V')$ contains an open dense subset of S ;
- (2) For each $i \in I$, all connected components $D'_i = D_i \times_S S'$ are smooth over S' .

We denote by $f' : X' \rightarrow S'$ and $\theta' : X' \rightarrow X$ the base changes of $f : X \rightarrow S$ and $\theta : S' \rightarrow S$. Let s' be a point of S' and $s = \theta(s') \in S$. We denote by $\rho_{s'} : X'_{s'} = X' \times_{S'} s' \rightarrow X'$ the canonical injection and by $\iota_{s'} : X'_{s'} \rightarrow X_s$ the canonical projection.

Assume that Theorem 1.8(i) is valid for the morphism $f' : X' \rightarrow S'$ and the sheaf $\theta'^*j_*\mathcal{F}$. Then, there exists an open dense subset $V' \subset S'$ such that

- for any $s' \in V'$, we have $\rho_{s'}^*(GC_{f'}(\theta'^*j_*\mathcal{F})) = C_{X'_{s'}}(\rho_{s'}^*\theta'^*j_*\mathcal{F})$;
- for any $s' \in S' - V'$, we have $\rho_{s'}^*(GC_{f'}(\theta'^*j_*\mathcal{F})) \geq C_{X'_{s'}}(\rho_{s'}^*\theta'^*j_*\mathcal{F})$.

Let V be an open dense subset of S contained in $\theta(V')$. Then, for any point $s \in V$ and for a point $s' \in V'$ above $s \in V$ such that $k(s')/k(s)$ is algebraic, we have

$$(4.5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \iota_{s'}^*\rho_s^*(GC_f(j)_\mathcal{F}) &= \rho_{s'}^*\theta'^*(GC_f(j)_\mathcal{F}) = \rho_{s'}^*(GC_{f'}(\theta'^*j_*\mathcal{F})) \\ &= C_{X'_{s'}}(\rho_{s'}^*\theta'^*j_*\mathcal{F}) = C_{X'_{s'}}(\iota_{s'}^*\rho_s^*j_*\mathcal{F}) = \iota_{s'}^*(C_{X_s}(\rho_s^*j_*\mathcal{F})), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from Lemma 4.4 and the fifth equality follows from Lemma 4.3. Since X_s is a smooth $k(s)$ -scheme and $X'_{s'} = X_s \otimes_{k(s)} k(s')$, the equality (4.5.1) of two Cartier divisors with rational coefficients on $X'_{s'}$ implies that $\rho_s^*(GC_f(j)_\mathcal{F}) = \rho_s^*(GC_f(j)_\mathcal{F})$. Similarly, for any $s \in S - V$ and for a point $s' \in S'$ above $s \in S - V$ such that $k(s')/k(s)$ is algebraic, we have

$$(4.5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \iota_{s'}^*\rho_s^*(GC_f(j)_\mathcal{F}) &= \rho_{s'}^*\theta'^*(GC_f(j)_\mathcal{F}) = \rho_{s'}^*(GC_{f'}(\theta'^*j_*\mathcal{F})) \\ &\geq C_{X'_{s'}}(\rho_{s'}^*\theta'^*j_*\mathcal{F}) = C_{X'_{s'}}(\iota_{s'}^*\rho_s^*j_*\mathcal{F}) = \iota_{s'}^*(C_{X_s}(\rho_s^*j_*\mathcal{F})), \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\rho_s^*(GC_f(j)_\mathcal{F}) \geq \rho_s^*(GC_f(j)_\mathcal{F})$. Hence, Theorem 1.8(i) is valid for the morphism $f : X \rightarrow S$ and the sheaf $j_*\mathcal{F}$.

Let $\{S_\alpha\}_{1 \leq \alpha \leq m}$ be an open affine cover of S . Note that, to prove Theorem 1.8(i), it is sufficient to verify it for the morphisms $f_\alpha : X \times_S S_\alpha \rightarrow S_\alpha$ and the sheaves $(j_*\mathcal{F})|_{X \times_S S_\alpha}$ for each $1 \leq \alpha \leq m$. Hence, we firstly reduced to the case that S is an irreducible and affine k -scheme of finite type. Using the argument in the previous paragraph, we secondly replace S by S_{red} , and thirdly reduced to the case that S is an irreducible and

smooth k -scheme by de Jong's alteration [12, 4.1]. Let \bar{k} be an algebraic closure of k , S' an irreducible component. Finally, we can replace S by S' and reduce Theorem 1.8(i) to the case where S is connected and smooth over an algebraically closed field.

The argument above is also valid for the logarithmic version. \square

4.6. Let X be a smooth k -scheme and C a closed conical subset in \mathbb{T}^*X . Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of smooth k -schemes and $\bar{y} \rightarrow Y$ a geometric point above a point y of Y . We say that $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is C -transversal at \bar{y} if $\ker(df_{\bar{y}}) \cap (C \times_X \bar{y}) \subseteq \{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{T}_{f(\bar{y})}^*X$, where $df_{\bar{y}}: \mathbb{T}_{f(\bar{y})}^*X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\bar{y}}^*Y$ is the canonical map. We say that $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is C -transversal if it is C -transversal at every point of Y . If $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is C -transversal, we define $f^\circ C$ to be the scheme theoretic image of $Y \times_X C$ in \mathbb{T}^*Y by the canonical map $df: Y \times_X \mathbb{T}^*X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^*Y$. Notice that $df: Y \times_X C \rightarrow f^\circ C$ is finite and that $f^\circ C$ is also a closed conical subset of \mathbb{T}^*Y ([4, Lemma 1.2]). Let $g: X \rightarrow Z$ be a morphism of smooth k -schemes, x a point of X , and $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$ a geometric point above x . We say that $g: X \rightarrow Z$ is C -transversal at x if $dg_{\bar{x}}^{-1}(C \times_X \bar{x}) \subseteq \{0\} \subset \mathbb{T}_{g(\bar{x})}^*Z$, where $dg_{\bar{x}}: \mathbb{T}_{g(\bar{x})}^*Z \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\bar{x}}^*X$ is the canonical map. We say that $g: X \rightarrow Z$ is C -transversal if it is C -transversal at every point of X . Let $(g, f): Z \leftarrow Y \rightarrow X$ be a pair of morphisms of smooth k -schemes. We say that (g, f) is C -transversal if $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is C -transversal and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ is $f^\circ C$ -transversal.

4.7. Let X be a smooth k -scheme and \mathcal{K} an object of $D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$. We say that \mathcal{K} is *micro-supported* on a closed conical subset C of \mathbb{T}^*X if, for any C -transversal pair of morphisms $(g, f): Z \leftarrow Y \rightarrow X$ of smooth k -schemes, the morphism $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ is locally acyclic with respect to $f^*\mathcal{K}$. If there exists a smallest closed conical subset of \mathbb{T}^*X on which \mathcal{K} is micro-supported, we call it the *singular support* of \mathcal{K} and denote it by $SS(\mathcal{K})$. We often endow $SS(\mathcal{K})$ a reduced induced closed subscheme structure.

Theorem 4.8 ([4, Theorem 1.3]). *Let X be a smooth k -scheme and \mathcal{K} an object of $D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$. The singular support $SS(\mathcal{K})$ exists. Moreover, each irreducible component of $SS(\mathcal{K})$ has dimension $\dim_k X$ if X is equidimensional.*

4.9. In the following of this section, we assume that k is perfect.

Theorem 4.10 (cf. [15, 11]). *Let X be a smooth k -scheme, D a reduced Cartier divisor of X , \mathcal{U} the complement of D in X and $j: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow X$ the canonical injection. Let \mathcal{F} be a locally constant and constructible étale sheaf of Λ -modules on \mathcal{U} . Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of smooth k -schemes. We assume that $f^*D = D \times_X Y$ is a Cartier divisor of Y .*

(1) *Then, we have ([11, Theorem 1.5])*

$$f^*(C_X(j_!\mathcal{F})) \geq C_Y(f^*j_!\mathcal{F}).$$

(2) *Assume that Y is a smooth k -curve, that $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is an immersion such that D is smooth at the closed point $x = Y \cap D$ and that the ramification of \mathcal{F} at x is non-degenerate. If $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is $SS(j_!\mathcal{F})$ -transversal at x , then ([15, Proposition 3.8, Corollary 3.9])*

$$f^*(C_X(j_!\mathcal{F})) = C_Y(f^*j_!\mathcal{F}).$$

Theorem 4.11 ([11, Theorem 1.6]). *Let X be a smooth k -scheme, D a divisor with simple normal crossings of X , \mathcal{U} the complement of D in X and $j: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow X$ the canonical injection. Let*

\mathcal{F} be a locally constant and constructible étale sheaf of Λ -modules on U . Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of smooth k -schemes. We assume that $f^*D = D \times_X Y$ is a Cartier divisor of Y .

(1) Then, we have

$$(4.11.1) \quad f^*(\mathrm{LC}_X(j_! \mathcal{F})) \geq \mathrm{LC}_Y(f^*j_! \mathcal{F}).$$

(2) We further assume that D is irreducible. Let $\mathcal{I}(X, D)$ be the set of triples $(S, h: S \rightarrow X, x)$ where $h: S \rightarrow X$ is an immersion from a smooth k -curve S to X such that $x = S \cap D$ is a closed point of X . Then, we have

$$(4.11.2) \quad \mathrm{lc}_D(j_! \mathcal{F}) = \sup_{\mathcal{I}(X, D)} \frac{\mathrm{lc}_x(h^*j_! \mathcal{F})}{m_x(h^*D)}.$$

5. Proof of the main theorem

5.1. In this section, we aim to proving Theorem 1.8. We adapt a strategy similar to proofs of [9, Theorem 4.3] and [10, Theorem 1.11]. We take the notation and assumptions of subsection 1.7. We further assume that k is algebraically closed and S is a connected and smooth k -scheme from Proposition 5.2 to subsection 5.7, by Proposition 4.5.

Proposition 5.2. For each closed point $s \in S$, we have

$$(5.2.1) \quad \rho_s^*(\mathrm{GC}_f(j_! \mathcal{F})) \geq C_{X_s}(j_! \mathcal{F}|_{X_s}).$$

Proof. We fix a closed point $s \in S$. This is a local statement for the Zariski topology of X . After shrinking X , we may assume that X is affine and irreducible, that $(D_s)_{\mathrm{red}}$ has a unique irreducible component and that, for each $i \in I$, $D_s \subseteq D_i$. Notice that, for each $i \in I$, we have $(D_i)_s \xrightarrow{\sim} (D_s)_{\mathrm{red}}$. We put $n = \dim_k X - \dim_k S$.

When $n = 1$, i.e., $f: X \rightarrow S$ is a smooth relative curve, the inequality (5.2.1) is due to [11, Theorem 7.2]. We consider the case where $n \geq 2$ in the following. Let $z \in D_s$ be a closed point such that $(D_s)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is smooth at z and that the ramification of $(j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_s}$ along $(D_s)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is non-degenerate at z . After replacing X by an open neighborhood of z , we can find a smooth k -curve C and a closed immersion $\iota: C \rightarrow X_s$ such that the curve C intersects $(D_s)_{\mathrm{red}}$ transversally at z and that the immersion $\iota: C \rightarrow X_s$ is $\mathrm{SS}(j_! \mathcal{F}|_{X_s})$ -transversal at z (Proposition 3.3). By Theorem 4.10(2), we have

$$(5.2.2) \quad c_z(j_! \mathcal{F}|_C) = c_{(D_s)_{\mathrm{red}}}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_s}).$$

Choose a regular system of parameters $\bar{t}_1, \dots, \bar{t}_n$ of $\mathcal{O}_{X_s, z}$, such that $(\bar{t}_2, \dots, \bar{t}_n)$ is the kernel of $\iota^\sharp: \mathcal{O}_{X_s, z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C, z}$ and that (\bar{t}_1) is the kernel of $\mathcal{O}_{X_s, z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(D_s)_{\mathrm{red}}, z}$. For each $2 \leq r \leq n$, choose a lifting $t_r \in \mathcal{O}_{X, z}$ of $\bar{t}_r \in \mathcal{O}_{X_s, z}$. We define an $\mathcal{O}_{S, s}$ -homomorphism $g_z: \mathcal{O}_{S, s}[T_2, \dots, T_n] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, z}$ by

$$g_z: \mathcal{O}_{S, s}[T_2, \dots, T_n] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, z}, \quad T_r \mapsto t_r.$$

After replacing X by a Zariski neighborhood of z , the map g_z induces an S -morphism $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$. It satisfies the following conditions after shrinking further X

(i) it is smooth and of relative dimension 1;

- (ii) the restriction $g|_D : D \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ is quasi-finite and flat and, for each $i \in I$, the restriction $g|_{D_i} : D_i \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ is étale ([6, I, Chapitre 0, 15.1.16]);
- (iii) the curve C is the pre-image $g^{-1}(s \times O)$, where $s \times O$ denotes the product of $s \in S$ and the origin $O \in \mathbb{A}_k^{n-1}$.

Notice that z is an isolated point of $C \cap D$. By [6, IV, 18.12.1], we have a connected étale neighborhood $\gamma : W \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ of $s \times O \in \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ such that

- (1) the pre-image $w = \gamma^{-1}(s \times O)$ is a point;
- (2) the fiber product $D_W = D \times_{\mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}} W$ is a disjoint union of two schemes E_W and H_W such that the canonical maps $E_W \rightarrow W$ is finite and flat, and $z' = g_W^{-1}(w) \cap E_W$ is a single point which is the pre-image of $z \in D$ in D_W , where $g_W : X_W = X \times_{\mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}} W \rightarrow W$ is the base change of $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ by $\gamma : W \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$;
- (3) for each $i \in I$, the fiber product $D_{W,i} = D_i \times_{\mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}} W$ is a disjoint union of $E_{W,i} = D_{W,i} \cap E_W$ and $H_{W,i} = D_{W,i} \cap H_W$, such that $E_{W,i} \rightarrow W$ is an isomorphism.

Put $P_W = \bigcup_{i \neq i' (i, i' \in I)} E_{W,i} \cap E_{W,i'}$. Since $z' \in P_W$, $P_W \subset E_W$ has codimension 1 and $E_W \rightarrow W$ is finite and flat, the image $g_W(P_W)$ is a codimensional 1 closed subset of W containing w . Since $\gamma : W \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ is étale, the closure $Z = \overline{\gamma(g_W(P_W))}$ contains $s \times O$ and has codimension 1 in \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1} . By Proposition 3.4, we have an open dense subscheme V of S and a morphism $\sigma : V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ such that the composition of $\sigma : V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ and $\pi : \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1} \rightarrow S$ is the canonical injection, that $S \not\subset Z$ and that $\sigma(s) = s \times O$. Since S is irreducible, $\sigma^{-1}(Z) \subset V$ is a closed subset of S which does not contain the generic point. Since $s \times O \in \gamma(W)$, the fiber product $W \times_{\mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}, \sigma} V$ is non-empty. Let T be the connected component of $W \times_{\mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}, \sigma} V$ containing w . Let η be the generic point of S and $\bar{\eta}$ an algebraic geometric point above η that factors through T . We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 D & \longleftarrow & E_W & \longleftarrow & E & \longleftarrow & E_{\bar{\eta}} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\
 X & \longleftarrow & X_W & \longleftarrow & Y & \longleftarrow & Y_{\bar{\eta}} \\
 \downarrow g & \square & \downarrow g_W & \square & \downarrow h & \square & \downarrow \\
 \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1} & \xleftarrow{\gamma} & W & \xleftarrow{\sigma'} & T & \longleftarrow & \bar{\eta} \\
 & \swarrow \sigma & & \nwarrow \gamma' & & & \\
 & & V & & & &
 \end{array}$$

We put $E_{i, \bar{\eta}} = E_{W,i} \times_W \bar{\eta}$. Since $E_{W,i} \rightarrow W$ is an isomorphism for each $i \in I$, and $\eta \notin \sigma^{-1}(Z)$, we obtain that each $E_{i, \bar{\eta}}$ is isomorphic to $\bar{\eta}$ and that $E_{\bar{\eta}} = \coprod_{i \in I} E_{i, \bar{\eta}}$.

Applying [11, Theorem 7.2] to the sheaf $(j_! \mathcal{F})|_Y$ and the relative curve $h : Y \rightarrow T$, we get

$$(5.2.3) \quad \sum_{i \in I} c_{E_{i, \bar{\eta}}}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{Y_{\bar{\eta}}}) \geq c_z((j_! \mathcal{F})|_C).$$

Applying Theorem 4.10 to the morphism $r : Y_{\bar{\eta}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\eta}} = X \times_S \bar{\eta}$ and the sheaf $(j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_{\bar{\eta}}}$, we obtain that, for each $i \in I$,

$$(5.2.4) \quad c_{D_{i, \eta}}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_{\eta}}) \geq c_{E_{i, \bar{\eta}}}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{Y_{\bar{\eta}}}).$$

Combining (5.2.2), (5.2.3), (5.2.4), we obtain that

$$(5.2.5) \quad \sum_{i \in I} c_{D_{i, \eta}}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_{\eta}}) \geq c_{(D_s)_{\text{red}}}(j_! \mathcal{F}|_{X_s})$$

By the assumptions that each $f|_{D_i} : D_i \rightarrow S$ is smooth and that D_s is irreducible, we see that $\sum_{i \in I} c_{D_{i, \eta}}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_{\eta}})$ is the coefficient of $\rho_s^*(GC_f(j_! \mathcal{F}))$ and $c_{(D_s)_{\text{red}}}(j_! \mathcal{F}|_{X_s})$ is the coefficient of $C_{X_s}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_s})$. Hence, we get the inequality (5.2.1). \square

Remark 5.3. The proof of Proposition 5.2 is a mimic of [9, Proposition 8.2]. However, the section $\sigma : V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ is chosen to be the zero section of $\pi : \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1} \rightarrow S$ in the proof of *loc. cit.*. In proof of Proposition 5.2, we fix the flaw.

Proposition 5.4. *There exists an open dense subset $V \subseteq S$ such that, for any point $t \in V$, we have*

$$\rho_t^*(GC_f(j_! \mathcal{F})) \leq C_{X_t}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_t}).$$

Proof. This is a Zariski local problem at the generic point of S . We may assume that, for each point $s \in S$ and any indices $i, \iota \in I$ ($i \neq \iota$), the fibers $D_{i, s}$ and $D_{\iota, s}$ have distinct irreducible components (Proposition 3.5). Hence the proposition can be reduced to the case where D is irreducible. By proposition 3.6, there exists a connected smooth k -scheme S' and an étale map $\gamma : S' \rightarrow S$ such that $D' = D \times_S S'$ is the disjoint union of its irreducible components and that every irreducible component of D' has geometrically irreducible fibers at each point of S' . By Lemma 4.3, Lemma 4.4(i) and the fact that $\gamma : S' \rightarrow S$ is an open mapping, we can reduce to the case where S is a connected, affine and smooth k -scheme and D_s is geometrically irreducible for any $s \in S$. We are left to show that there exists an open neighborhood $V \subset S$ of η such that, for any $t \in V$, we have

$$(5.4.1) \quad c_{D_{\eta}}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_{\eta}}) \leq c_{D_t}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_t}).$$

Let T be a connected and smooth k -scheme and $\beta : T \rightarrow S$ a flat and generically finite morphism. Notice that, to verify the proposition, it is enough to verify it after base-changing by $\beta : T \rightarrow S$.

We put $n = \dim_k X - \dim_k S$. When $n = 1$, the proposition is due to [11, Theorem 7.2]. We consider the case where $n \geq 2$ in the following. Let $\bar{\eta} \rightarrow S$ be an algebraic geometric point above η , $\bar{z} \in D_{\bar{\eta}}$ a closed point such that $D_{\bar{\eta}}$ is smooth at \bar{z} and that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}|_{U_{\bar{\eta}}}$ along $D_{\bar{\eta}}$ is non-degenerate at \bar{z} . Since S can be replaced by a flat and generically finite cover T as above, we may assume that \bar{z} can be descended to a $k(\eta)$ -rational point $z \in D_{\eta}$. Since the function field $k(\eta)$ of S has infinitely many elements, after shrinking X , we can find a smooth $k(\eta)$ -curve C and a closed immersion

$\iota : C \rightarrow X_\eta$ such that the curve C intersects D_η transversally at z and that the base change $\iota_{\bar{\eta}} : C_{\bar{\eta}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\eta}}$ of $\iota : C \rightarrow X_\eta$ is $SS(j_! \mathcal{F}|_{X_{\bar{\eta}}})$ -transversal at \bar{z} (proposition 3.3). By Theorem 4.10(2), we have

$$(5.4.2) \quad c_{D_\eta}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_\eta}) = c_{\bar{z}}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{C_{\bar{\eta}}}).$$

Choose a regular system of parameters t_1, \dots, t_n of $\mathcal{O}_{X_\eta, z}$ such that (t_2, \dots, t_n) is the kernel of $\mathcal{O}_{X_\eta, z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C, z}$ and that (t_1) is the kernel of $\mathcal{O}_{X, z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D, z}$. We define a $k(\eta)$ -morphism $g_\eta : k(\eta)[T_2, \dots, T_n] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_\eta, z}$ by

$$g_\eta : k(\eta)[T_2, \dots, T_n] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_\eta, z} \quad T_i \mapsto t_i.$$

After shrinking X by a Zariski neighborhood of z again, the map g_η induces an S -morphism $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ which satisfies the following conditions

- (i) it is smooth and of relative dimension 1;
- (ii) the restriction $g|_D : D \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ is étale;
- (iii) the curve C is the pre-image $g^{-1}(\eta \times O)$, where $\eta \times O$ denotes the fiber product of $\eta \in S$ and the origin $O \in \mathbb{A}_k^{n-1}$.

Let $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ be the zero-section of the canonical projection $\pi : \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1} \rightarrow S$. We denote by $h : Y \rightarrow S$ the base change of $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ by $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ and we put $E = Y \times_X D$. Since $h|_E : E \rightarrow S$ is étale, there exists a connected étale neighborhood $\gamma : S' \rightarrow S$ of the geometric point $\bar{\eta} \rightarrow S$ such that $E' = E \times_S S'$ is a disjoint union of E'_1 and E'_2 where E'_1 is isomorphic to S' and E'_1 contains the pre-image of $z \in E_\eta$. We have the following commutative diagram

$$(5.4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} D & \longleftarrow & E & \longleftarrow & E'_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \longleftarrow & Y & \longleftarrow & Y' \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow h' \\ \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1} & \xleftarrow{\sigma} & S & \xleftarrow{\gamma} & S' \end{array}$$

For any geometric point $\bar{t}' \rightarrow S'$, we put $Y'_{\bar{t}'} = Y' \times_{S'} \bar{t}'$, put $E'_{1, \bar{t}'} = E'_1 \times_{S'} \bar{t}'$, put $X_{\bar{t}'} = X \times_S \bar{t}'$ and put $D_{\bar{t}'} = D \times_S \bar{t}'$. Notice that $h' : Y' \rightarrow S'$ is a smooth relative curve and that $h'|_{E'_1} : E'_1 \rightarrow S'$ is isomorphic. By [11, Theorem 7.2], we can find an open dense subset V' of S' such that, for any algebraic geometric point $\bar{t}' \rightarrow V'$, we have

$$(5.4.4) \quad c_{\bar{z}}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{C_{\bar{\eta}}}) = c_{E'_{1, \bar{t}'}}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{Y'_{\bar{t}'}}).$$

Applying Theorem 4.10(1) to the immersion $Y'_{\bar{t}'} \rightarrow X_{\bar{t}'}$ and the sheaf $(j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_{\bar{t}'}}$ for any algebraic geometric point $\bar{t}' \rightarrow V'$, we obtain

$$(5.4.5) \quad c_{E'_{1, \bar{t}'}}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{Y'_{\bar{t}'}}) \leq (C_{D_{\bar{t}'}}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_{\bar{t}'}}), Y'_{\bar{t}'})_{E'_{1, \bar{t}'}} = c_{D_{\bar{t}'}}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_{\bar{t}'}}) = c_{D_t}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_t})$$

where $t \in S$ denotes the image of $\bar{t}' \rightarrow S'$ in S , and we put $X_t = X \times_S t$ and $D_t = D \times_S t$. Combining (5.4.2), (5.4.4) and (5.4.5), we obtain

$$(5.4.6) \quad c_{D_\eta}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_\eta}) \leq c_{D_t}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_t})$$

for any point t in the open dense subset $V = \gamma(V')$ of S . We finish the proof of the proposition. \square

Proposition 5.5. *For each closed point $s \in S$, we have*

$$(5.5.1) \quad \rho_s^*(\mathrm{GLC}_f(j_! \mathcal{F}) + D) \geq \mathrm{LC}_{X_s}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_s}) + (D_s)_{\mathrm{red}}.$$

Proof. We fix a closed point $s \in S$. This is a local statement for the Zariski topology of X . After shrinking X , we may assume that X is affine and irreducible, that $(D_s)_{\mathrm{red}} \neq \emptyset$ is irreducible and that $D_s \subseteq D_i$ for each $i \in I$. Notice that, for each $i \in I$, we have $(D_i)_s \xrightarrow{\sim} (D_s)_{\mathrm{red}}$.

We put $n = \dim_k X - \dim_k S$. When $n = 1$, i.e., $f : X \rightarrow S$ is a smooth relative curve, the inequality (5.5.1) is due to [11, Theorem 7.2]. We focus on the case where $n \geq 2$. After replacing X by an open and affine neighborhood of the generic point of X_s , we may assume that an element g_1 of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ defines D_1 . Let β be an integer co-prime to p ,

$$X' = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_X[\mathbb{T}]/(\mathbb{T}^\beta - g_1))$$

a tame cover of X of degree β ramified along D_1 , $\pi' : X' \rightarrow X$ the canonical projection, $f' : X' \rightarrow S$ the composition of $\pi' : X' \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow S$. For any $s \in S$, we denote by $\rho'_s : X'_s \rightarrow X'$ the canonical injection, $h'_s : X'_s \rightarrow X_s$ the base change of $h' : X' \rightarrow X$ by $\rho'_s : X'_s \rightarrow X$. Notice that $f' : X' \rightarrow S$ is a smooth morphism. We denote by D' the Cartier divisor $(D \times_X X')_{\mathrm{red}}$ on X' , by D'_1 the smooth divisor $(\mathbb{T}) = (D_1 \times_X X')_{\mathrm{red}}$ on X' and by D'_i the Cartier divisor $D_i \times_X X'$ for $i \in I \setminus \{1\}$. We have $D' = \sum_{i \in I} D'_i$ and $\beta \cdot (D'_i)_s = (D_i)_s$ for $i \in I \setminus \{1\}$.

Applying [10, Proposition 6.3] to the sheaf $\rho_s'^* h'^* j_! \mathcal{F}$ on X'_s ramified along the divisor $(D'_1)_s$, we can find a closed point z' of $(D'_1)_s$ with the image z in $(D_1)_s$, an immersion $\iota : C \rightarrow X'_s$ from a smooth k -curve C satisfying

- (i) the curve C and the smooth divisor $(D'_1)_s$ meet transversally at z' in X'_s ;
- (ii) the immersion $\iota : C \rightarrow X'_s$ is $\mathrm{SS}(\rho_s'^* h'^* j_! \mathcal{F})$ -transversal at z' ;
- (iii) the composition of $\iota : C \rightarrow X'_s$ and $h'_s : X'_s \rightarrow X_s$ is also an immersion.

Applying Theorem 4.10 to $\iota : C \rightarrow X'_s$ and the sheaf $\rho_s'^* h'^* j_! \mathcal{F}$, we get

$$(5.5.2) \quad c_{z'}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_C) = c_{(D'_1)_s}(\rho_s'^* h'^* j_! \mathcal{F}).$$

Applying Propstion 4.2, we get

$$(5.5.3) \quad \mathrm{lc}_{(D'_1)_s}(\rho_s'^* h'^* j_! \mathcal{F}) = \beta \cdot \mathrm{lc}_{(D_1)_s}(\rho_s^* j_! \mathcal{F}).$$

Combining (5.5.2) and (5.5.3), we have

$$(5.5.4) \quad c_{z'}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_C) = c_{(D'_1)_s}(\rho_s'^* h'^* j_! \mathcal{F}) \geq \mathrm{lc}_{(D'_1)_s}(\rho_s'^* h'^* j_! \mathcal{F}) = \beta \cdot \mathrm{lc}_{(D_1)_s}(\rho_s^* j_! \mathcal{F}).$$

Choose a regular system of parameters $\bar{t}_1, \dots, \bar{t}_n$ of $\mathcal{O}_{X'_s, z'}$, such that $(\bar{t}_2, \dots, \bar{t}_n)$ is the kernel of $\iota^\sharp : \mathcal{O}_{X'_s, z'} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C, z'}$ and that (\bar{t}_1) is the kernel of $\mathcal{O}_{X'_s, z'} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(D'_1)_s, z'}$. For each

$2 \leq r \leq n$, choose a lifting $t_r \in \mathcal{O}_{X',z'}$ of $\bar{t}_r \in \mathcal{O}_{X'_s,z'}$. We define an $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ -homomorphism $\psi_{z'} : \mathcal{O}_{S,s}[T_2, \dots, T_n] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X',z'}$ by

$$\psi_z : \mathcal{O}_{S,s}[T_2, \dots, T_n] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X',z'}, \quad T_r \mapsto t_r.$$

After replacing X' by a Zariski neighborhood of z' , the map $\psi_{z'}$ induces an S -morphism $\psi : X' \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$. It satisfies the following conditions after shrinking further X'

- (i) it is smooth and of relative dimension 1;
- (ii) the restriction $g|_D : D \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ is quasi-finite and flat and, for each $i \in I \setminus \{1\}$, the restriction $\psi|_{D'_i} : D'_i \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ is quasi-finite and $\gamma|_{D'_1} : D'_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ is étale ([6, I, Chapitre 0, 15.1.16]);
- (iii) the curve C is the pre-image $\psi^{-1}(s \times O)$, where $s \times O$ denotes the product of $s \in S$ and the origin $O \in \mathbb{A}_k^{n-1}$.

Notice that z is an isolated point of $C \cap D' \subset X'$. By [6, IV, 18.12.1], we have a connected étale neighborhood $\gamma : W \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ of $s \times O \in \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ such that

- (1) the pre-image $w = \gamma^{-1}(s \times O)$ is a point;
- (2) the fiber product $D'_W = D' \times_{\mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}} W$ is a disjoint union of two schemes E'_W and H'_W such that the canonical maps $E'_W \rightarrow W$ is finite and flat, and $x' = \psi_W^{-1}(w) \cap E_W$ is a single point which is the pre-image of $z' \in D'$ in D'_W , where $\psi_W : X'_W = X' \times_{\mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}} W \rightarrow W$ is the base change of $\psi : X' \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ by $\gamma : W \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$;
- (3) for each $i \in I \setminus \{1\}$, the fiber product $D'_{W,i} = D'_i \times_{\mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}} W$ is a disjoint union of $E'_{W,i} = D'_{W,i} \cap E'_W$ and $H'_{W,i} = D'_{W,i} \cap H'_W$ such that $E'_{W,i} \rightarrow W$ is finite and flat over W , and the fiber product $D'_{W,1} = D'_1 \times_{\mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}} W$ is a disjoint union of $E'_{W,1} = D'_{W,1} \cap E'_W$ and $H'_{W,1} = D'_{W,1} \cap H'_W$ such that $E'_{W,1} \rightarrow W$ is an isomorphism.

Put $P'_W = \bigcup_{i \neq i' \in I} E'_{W,i} \cap E'_{W,i'}$. Since $x' \in P'_W$, $P'_W \subset E'_W$ has codimension 1 and $E'_W \rightarrow W$ is finite and flat, the image $\psi_W(P'_W)$ is a codimensional 1 closed subset of W containing w . Since $\gamma : W \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ is étale, the closure $Z = \overline{\gamma(\psi_W(P'_W))}$ contains $s \times O$ and has codimension 1 in \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1} . By Proposition 3.4, we have an open dense subscheme V of S and a morphism $\sigma : V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ such that the composition of $\sigma : V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ and $\pi : \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1} \rightarrow S$ is the canonical injection, that $S \not\subset Z$ and that $\sigma(s) = s \times O$. Since S is irreducible, $\sigma^{-1}(Z) \subset V$ is a closed subset of S which does not contain the generic point. Since $s \times O \in \gamma(W)$, the fiber product $W \times_{\mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}, \sigma} V$ is non-empty. Let T be the connected component of $W \times_{\mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}, \sigma} V$ containing w . Let η be the generic point of S and $\bar{\eta}$ an algebraic geometric point above η that factors through T . We have the

following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
D & \longleftarrow & D' & \longleftarrow & E'_W & \longleftarrow & E' & \longleftarrow & E'_\eta \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\
X & \xleftarrow{h'} & X' & \longleftarrow & X'_W & \longleftarrow & Y' & \longleftarrow & Y'_\eta \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \psi_W & \square & \downarrow \psi_T & \square & \downarrow \\
S & \xleftarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1} & \xleftarrow{\gamma} & W & \xleftarrow{\sigma'} & T & \longleftarrow & \bar{\eta} \\
& & & \swarrow \sigma & & \searrow \gamma' & & & \\
& & & & V & & & &
\end{array}$$

We put $(E'_i)_\eta = E'_{W,i} \times_W \bar{\eta}$ and put $(H'_i)_\eta = H'_{W,i} \times_W \bar{\eta}$. Since $\eta \notin \sigma^{-1}(Z)$, We have $E'_\eta = \coprod_{i \in I} (E'_i)_\eta$. Since $E'_{W,i} \rightarrow W$ is finite and flat, we have

$$(5.5.5) \quad \text{length}_{\bar{\eta}}((E'_i)_\eta) = \text{length}_k(E'_{W,i} \times_W w) = m_{z'}(\gamma^*((D'_i)_s)) = \beta,$$

for any $i \in I \setminus \{1\}$. Since $E'_{W,1} \rightarrow W$ is an isomorphism, we have $(E'_1)_\eta \cong \bar{\eta}$. Applying [11, Theorem 7.2] to the relative curve $\psi : Y' \rightarrow T$, and the sheaf $(j_! \mathcal{F})|_{Y'}$, we get

$$(5.5.6) \quad \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{y \in (E'_i)_\eta} c_y((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{Y'_\eta}) \geq c_{z'}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_C).$$

We put $X_\eta = X \times_S \bar{\eta}$, put $D_\eta = D \times_S \bar{\eta}$, put $(D_i)_\eta = D_i \times_S \bar{\eta}$ for $i \in I$, put $X'_\eta = X' \times_S \bar{\eta}$, put $D'_\eta = D' \times_S \bar{\eta}$ and put $(D'_i)_\eta = D'_i \times_S \bar{\eta}$ for $i \in I$. Notice that $(E'_i)_\eta \coprod (H'_i)_\eta = (D'_i)_\eta \times_{X'_\eta} Y'_\eta$ for $i \in I$. Applying Theorem 4.10 to the closed immersion $Y'_\eta \rightarrow X'_\eta$ and the sheaf $(j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X'_\eta}$ ramified along the divisor $(D'_1)_\eta$, we have

$$(5.5.7) \quad c_{(D'_1)_\eta}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X'_\eta}) \geq c_{(E'_1)_\eta}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{Y'_\eta}).$$

Applying Theorem 4.10 to the quasi-finite morphism $Y'_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta$ and the sheaf $(j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_\eta}$ along the divisor $(D_i)_\eta$ ($i \in I \setminus \{1\}$), we have

$$(5.5.8) \quad \beta \cdot c_{(D_i)_\eta}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_\eta}) \geq \sum_{y \in (E'_i)_\eta} c_y((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{Y'_\eta}).$$

By (5.5.6), (5.5.7) and (5.5.8), we have

$$(5.5.9) \quad c_{(D'_1)_\eta}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X'_\eta}) + \beta \sum_{i \in I \setminus \{1\}} c_{(D_i)_\eta}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_\eta}) \geq c_{z'}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_C).$$

By (5.5.4) and (5.5.9), we have

$$(5.5.10) \quad c_{(D'_1)_\eta}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X'_\eta}) + \beta \sum_{i \in I \setminus \{1\}} c_{(D_i)_\eta}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_\eta}) \geq \beta \cdot \text{lc}_{(D_1)_s}(\rho_s^* j_! \mathcal{F}).$$

Since $\pi' : X' \rightarrow X$ is tamely ramified along the divisor D_i with degree β , we obtain that

$$(5.5.11) \quad \beta \cdot \text{lc}_{(D_1)_\eta}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_\eta}) + 1 = \text{lc}_{(D'_1)_\eta}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X'_\eta}) + 1 \geq c_{(D'_1)_\eta}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X'_\eta}).$$

By subsection 1.4, we have

$$(5.5.12) \quad \mathrm{lc}_{(D_i)_\eta}((j_!\mathcal{F})|_{X_\eta}) + 1 \geq c_{(D_i)_\eta}((j_!\mathcal{F})|_{X_\eta}), \text{ for } i \in I \setminus \{1\}.$$

By (5.5.10), (5.5.11) and (5.5.12), we have

$$(5.5.13) \quad \beta \sum_{i \in I} \mathrm{lc}_{(D_i)_\eta}((j_!\mathcal{F})|_{X_\eta}) + \beta \cdot (\#I - 1) + 1 \geq \beta \cdot \mathrm{lc}_{(D_1)_s}(\rho_s^* j_!\mathcal{F}).$$

Divide both sides of (5.5.13) by β and pass $\beta \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain

$$(5.5.14) \quad \sum_{i \in I} (\mathrm{lc}_{(D_i)_\eta}((j_!\mathcal{F})|_{X_\eta}) + 1) \geq \mathrm{lc}_{(D_1)_s}(\rho_s^* j_!\mathcal{F}) + 1,$$

which implies (5.5.1). \square

Proposition 5.6. *There exists an open dense subset V of S , such that for each point $s \in V$, we have*

$$(5.6.1) \quad \rho_s^*(\mathrm{GLC}_f(j_!\mathcal{F}) + D) = \mathrm{LC}_{X_s}((j_!\mathcal{F})|_{X_s}) + (D_s)_{\mathrm{red}}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.5, we can find an open dense subset $W \subseteq S$ such that, for any $s \in W$ and any different indices $i, \iota \in I$, the fibers $(D_i)_s$ and $(D_\iota)_s$ do not have same irreducible components. Hence, we may assume that D is irreducible. Therefore, to prove the proposition, it is sufficient to prove the existence of an open dense subset V of S such that, for any point $s \in V$, we have

$$(5.6.2) \quad (\mathrm{lc}_{D_\eta}(\rho_\eta^* j_!\mathcal{F})) \cdot D_s = \mathrm{LC}_{X_s}(\rho_s^* j_!\mathcal{F}).$$

Notice that D_s may not be irreducible in general. By proposition 3.6, there exists a connected smooth k -scheme S' and an étale map $\gamma : S' \rightarrow S$ such that $D' = D \times_S S'$ is the disjoint union of its irreducible components and that every irreducible component of D' has geometrically irreducible fibers at each point of S' . By Lemma 4.3, Lemma 4.4(i) and the fact that $\gamma : S' \rightarrow S$ is an open mapping, we can reduce to the case where S is a connected, affine and smooth k -scheme and D_s is geometrically irreducible for any $s \in S$. We may further replace X by an affine neighborhood of the generic point of D . Thus, we may assume that X and S are connected, affine and smooth and moreover D is defined by an element g of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ with geometrically irreducible fibers. Let β be a positive integer co-prime to p with $\beta \geq (\mathrm{rk}_\Lambda \mathcal{F})^2 + 1$. Let

$$X' = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_X[T]/(T^\beta - g))$$

be a tame cover of X ramified along D of degree β , $h' : X' \rightarrow X$ the canonical projection, $f' : X' \rightarrow S$ the composition of $h' : X' \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow S$ and S' the smooth divisor on X defined by $(T) = (X \times_S S')_{\mathrm{red}}$. For any $s \in S$, we denote by $\rho'_s : X'_s \rightarrow X'$ the canonical injection, $h'_s : X'_s \rightarrow X_s$ the base change of $h' : X' \rightarrow X$ by $\rho_s : X_s \rightarrow X$. Notice that $f' : X' \rightarrow S$ and $f'|_{D'} : D' \rightarrow S$ are smooth and that $h_s'^*(D_s) = \beta \cdot D'_s$. Applying Theorem 1.8(i) to the morphism $f' : X' \rightarrow S$ and the sheaf $h_s'^* j_!\mathcal{F}$, we can find an open dense subset V of S such that, for any $s \in V$, we have

$$(5.6.3) \quad c_{D'_\eta}(\rho_\eta'^* h_\eta'^* j_!\mathcal{F}) \cdot D'_s = C_{X'_s}(h_s'^* \rho_s^* j_!\mathcal{F}).$$

In the following, let s be a point of V . By subsection 1.4 and Proposition 4.2, we have

$$(5.6.4) \quad (\beta \cdot \text{lc}_{D_\eta}(\rho_\eta^* j! \mathcal{F}) + 1) \cdot D'_s = (\text{lc}_{D'_\eta}(\rho_\eta'^* h'^* j! \mathcal{F}) + 1) \cdot D'_s \geq c_{D'_\eta}(\rho_\eta'^* h'^* j! \mathcal{F}) \cdot D'_s \\ \geq \text{lc}_{D'_\eta}(\rho_\eta'^* h'^* j! \mathcal{F}) \cdot D'_s = (\beta \cdot \text{lc}_{D_\eta}(\rho_\eta^* j! \mathcal{F})) \cdot D'_s,$$

and

$$(5.6.5) \quad h_s'^*(\text{LC}_{X_s}(\rho_s^* j! \mathcal{F})) + D'_s = \text{LC}_{X'_s}(h_s'^* \rho_s^* j! \mathcal{F}) + D'_s \geq C_{X'_s}(h_s'^* \rho_s^* j! \mathcal{F}) \\ \geq \text{LC}_{X'_s}(h_s'^* \rho_s^* j! \mathcal{F}) = h_s'^*(\text{LC}_{X_s}(\rho_s^* j! \mathcal{F})).$$

By (5.6.3), (5.6.4) and (5.6.5), we have

$$(5.6.6) \quad (\beta \cdot \text{lc}_{D_\eta}(\rho_\eta^* j! \mathcal{F}) + 1) \cdot D'_s \geq h_s'^*(\text{LC}_{X_s}(\rho_s^* j! \mathcal{F})) \geq (\beta \cdot \text{lc}_{D_\eta}(\rho_\eta^* j! \mathcal{F}) - 1) \cdot D'_s.$$

It is equivalent to

$$(5.6.7) \quad \left(\text{lc}_{D_\eta}(\rho_\eta^* j! \mathcal{F}) + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \cdot D_s \geq \text{LC}_{X_s}(\rho_s^* j! \mathcal{F}) \geq \left(\text{lc}_{D_\eta}(\rho_\eta^* j! \mathcal{F}) - \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \cdot D_s.$$

Let lc_1 be a coefficient of $\text{LC}_{X_s}(\rho_s^* j! \mathcal{F})$. By (5.6.7), we have

$$(5.6.8) \quad |\text{lc}_1 - \text{lc}_{D_\eta}(\rho_\eta^* j! \mathcal{F})| \leq \frac{1}{\beta} \leq \frac{1}{(\text{rk}_\Lambda \mathcal{F})^2 + 1}$$

By Proposition 4.1, we can find a positive integer $0 < r \leq (\text{rk}_\Lambda \mathcal{F})^2$ such that

$$(5.6.9) \quad \text{lc}_1 - \text{lc}_{D_\eta}(\rho_\eta^* j! \mathcal{F}) \in \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{Z}.$$

Combining (5.6.8) and (5.6.9), we obtain that, for any $s \in V$, the equality (5.6.2) holds which finishes the proof of the proposition. \square

5.7. Proof of Theorem 1.8.

For a non-closed point t of S , we denote by T the smooth part of $\overline{\{t\}}$, which is an open dense subset of $\overline{\{t\}}$. We have the following commutative diagram

$$(5.7.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \rho_s & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ X & \xleftarrow{\rho_T} & X_T & \xleftarrow{\iota_s} & X_s \\ & \downarrow f & \square & \downarrow f_T & \\ S & \longleftarrow & & & T \end{array}$$

where $s \in T$ is a point and $\iota_s : X_s \rightarrow X_T$ the base change of the inclusion $s \rightarrow T$.

We firstly prove Theorem 1.8(i). It is divided into the following four steps:

Step 1. Combining Proposition 5.2 and Proposition 5.4, we can find an open dense subset $V \subseteq S$ such that

$$(5.7.2) \quad \rho_s^*(\text{GC}_f(j! \mathcal{F})) = C_{X_s}(j! \mathcal{F}|_{X_s}).$$

for each closed point $s \in V$.

Step 2. Let V be the open dense subset of S in Step 1. For a non-closed point $t \in V$, we denote by T the smooth part of $\overline{\{t\}}$. We take the notation of (5.7.1). Note that $T \cap V$ is an open dense subset of T . By Step 1, for every closed point $s \in T \cap V$, we have

$$(5.7.3) \quad \iota_s^*(\rho_T^*(\text{GC}_f(j! \mathcal{F}))) = \rho_s^*(\text{GC}_f(j! \mathcal{F})) = C_{X_s}((j! \mathcal{F})|_{X_s}).$$

Applying Step 1 to $f_T : X_T \rightarrow T$ and $(j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_T}$, we can find an open dense subset $W \subset T \cap V$ such that, for every closed point $s \in W$, we have

$$(5.7.4) \quad \iota_s^*(GC_{f_T}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_T})) = C_{X_s}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_s}).$$

By (5.7.3) and (5.7.4), we have

$$\iota_s^*(\rho_T^*(GC_f(j_! \mathcal{F}))) = \iota_s^*(GC_{f_T}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_T})),$$

for any closed point $s \in W$. Since both W and T are k -schemes and W is dense in T , we obtain that $\rho_T^*(GC_f(j_! \mathcal{F})) = GC_{f_T}(j_! \mathcal{F}|_{X_T})$. Applying ι_t^* to both sides of the equation, we get

$$\rho_t^*(GC_f(j_! \mathcal{F})) = C_{X_t}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_t}).$$

Combining with Step 1, we prove that

$$\rho_t^*(GC_f(j_! \mathcal{F})) = C_{X_t}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_t}),$$

for any $t \in V$.

Step 3. Let V be the open dense subset of S in Step 1. Let $t \in S - V$ be a point. If t is closed, we have (Proposition 5.2)

$$(5.7.5) \quad \rho_t^*(GC_f(j_! \mathcal{F})) \geq C_{X_t}(j_! \mathcal{F}|_{X_t}).$$

When t is not closed, we denote by T the smooth part of $\overline{\{t\}}$ and we take the notation of (5.7.1). Applying Step 1 to $f_T : X_T \rightarrow T$ and $(j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_T}$, there exists an open dense subset $W \subseteq T$ such that, for any closed point $s \in W$, we have

$$(5.7.6) \quad \iota_s^*(GC_{f_T}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_T})) = C_{X_s}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_s}).$$

By Proposition 5.2, for any closed point $s \in W$, we have

$$(5.7.7) \quad \iota_s^*(\rho_T^*(GC_f(j_! \mathcal{F}))) = \rho_s^*(GC_f(j_! \mathcal{F})) \geq C_{X_s}((j_! \mathcal{F})|_{X_s}).$$

By (5.7.6), (5.7.7), we get

$$\iota_s^*(\rho_T^*(GC_f(j_! \mathcal{F}))) \geq \iota_s^*(GC_{f_T}(j_! \mathcal{F}|_{X_T})),$$

for any closed point $s \in W$. Hence, we have

$$(5.7.8) \quad \rho_T^*(GC_f(j_! \mathcal{F})) \geq GC_{f_T}(j_! \mathcal{F}|_{X_T})$$

Applying ι_t^* to both sides of (5.7.7), we obtain

$$\rho_t^*(GDT_f(j_! \mathcal{F})) \geq DT_{X_t}(j_! \mathcal{F}|_{X_t}).$$

In summary, for any $t \in S - V$, we have

$$\rho_t^*(GDT_f(j_! \mathcal{F})) \geq DT_{X_t}(j_! \mathcal{F}|_{X_t}).$$

Step 4. We obtain Theorem 1.8(i) by the combination of Step 2 and Step 3.

Secondly, we prove Theorem 1.8(ii). It consists of the following three steps:

Step I. By Proposition 5.6, we can find an open dense subset $W \subseteq S$ such that

$$(5.7.9) \quad \rho_s^*(GLC_f(j_! \mathcal{F})) = LC_{X_s}(j_! \mathcal{F}|_{X_s}).$$

for each point $s \in W$.

Step II. Let W be the open dense subset of S in Step I. Let $t \in S - W$ be a point. If t is closed, we have (Proposition 5.5)

$$(5.7.10) \quad \rho_t^*(\mathrm{GLC}_f(j!\mathcal{F}) + D) \geq \mathrm{LC}_{X_t}((j!\mathcal{F})|_{X_t}) + (D_t)_{\mathrm{red}}.$$

When t is not closed, we denote by T the smooth part of $\overline{\{t\}}$ and we take the notation of (5.7.1). We put $D_T = D \times_S T$. Applying Step 1 to $f_T : X_T \rightarrow T$ and $(j!\mathcal{F})|_{X_T}$, there exists an open dense subset $V \subseteq T$ such that, for any closed point $s \in V$, we have

$$(5.7.11) \quad \iota_s^*(\mathrm{GLC}_{f_T}((j!\mathcal{F})|_{X_T}) + (D_T)_{\mathrm{red}}) = \mathrm{LC}_{X_s}((j!\mathcal{F})|_{X_s}) + (D_s)_{\mathrm{red}}.$$

By Proposition 5.5, for any closed point $s \in V$, we have

$$(5.7.12) \quad \iota_s^*(\rho_T^*(\mathrm{GLC}_f(j!\mathcal{F}) + D)) = \rho_s^*(\mathrm{GLC}_f(j!\mathcal{F}) + D) \geq \mathrm{LC}_{X_s}((j!\mathcal{F})|_{X_s}) + (D_s)_{\mathrm{red}}.$$

By (5.7.11), (5.7.12), we get

$$\iota_s^*(\rho_T^*(\mathrm{GLC}_f(j!\mathcal{F}) + D)) \geq \iota_s^*(\mathrm{GLC}_{f_T}(j!\mathcal{F}|_{X_T}) + (D_T)_{\mathrm{red}}),$$

for any closed point $s \in V$. Hence, we have

$$(5.7.13) \quad \rho_T^*(\mathrm{GLC}_f(j!\mathcal{F}) + D) \geq \mathrm{GLC}_{f_T}(j!\mathcal{F}|_{X_T}) + (D_T)_{\mathrm{red}}$$

Applying ι_t^* to both sides of (5.7.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_t^*(\mathrm{GLC}_f(j!\mathcal{F}) + D) &= \iota_t^*(\rho_T^*(\mathrm{GLC}_f(j!\mathcal{F}) + D)) \\ &\geq \iota_t^*(\mathrm{GLC}_{f_T}(j!\mathcal{F}|_{X_T}) + (D_T)_{\mathrm{red}}) \\ &= \mathrm{LC}_{X_t}(j!\mathcal{F}|_{X_t}) + \iota_t^*((D_T)_{\mathrm{red}}) = \mathrm{LC}_{X_t}(j!\mathcal{F}|_{X_t}) + (D_t)_{\mathrm{red}}. \end{aligned}$$

In summary, for any $t \in S - W$, we have

$$\rho_t^*(\mathrm{GLC}_f(j!\mathcal{F}) + D) \geq \mathrm{LC}_{X_t}(j!\mathcal{F}|_{X_t}) + (D_t)_{\mathrm{red}}.$$

Step III. We obtain Theorem 1.8(ii) by the combination of Step I and Step II. \square

Corollary 5.8. *Assume that S is integral and that the fiber D_t is geometrically integral for each $t \in S$. Then, for any point $t \in S$, we have*

$$c_D(j!\mathcal{F}) \geq c_{D_\eta}((j!\mathcal{F})|_{X_\eta}) \geq c_{D_t}((j!\mathcal{F})|_{X_t}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{lc}_D(j!\mathcal{F}) \geq \mathrm{lc}_{D_\eta}((j!\mathcal{F})|_{X_\eta}) \geq \mathrm{lc}_{D_t}((j!\mathcal{F})|_{X_t}).$$

In the corollary, the invariants $c_D(j!\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathrm{lc}_D(j!\mathcal{F})$ are well defined since X and D are smooth in a Zariski neighborhood of the generic point of D .

Proof. By Theorem 1.8, there exists an open dense subset V of S such that, for any point $s \in V$, we have

$$(5.8.1) \quad c_{D_\eta}((j!\mathcal{F})|_{X_\eta}) = c_{D_s}((j!\mathcal{F})|_{X_s}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{lc}_{D_\eta}((j!\mathcal{F})|_{X_\eta}) = \mathrm{lc}_{D_s}((j!\mathcal{F})|_{X_s}),$$

and that, for any point $t \in S$, we have

$$(5.8.2) \quad c_{D_\eta}((j!\mathcal{F})|_{X_\eta}) \geq c_{D_t}((j!\mathcal{F})|_{X_t}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{lc}_{D_\eta}((j!\mathcal{F})|_{X_\eta}) \geq \mathrm{lc}_{D_t}((j!\mathcal{F})|_{X_t}).$$

Let V_0 be the smooth locus of V , which is open dense in V . Applying Theorem 4.10 and Theorem 4.11 to the closed immersion $\rho_v : X_v \rightarrow X$ and the sheaf $j!\mathcal{F}$ for a closed point $v \in V_0$, we have

$$(5.8.3) \quad c_D(j!\mathcal{F}) \geq c_{D_v}((j!\mathcal{F})|_{X_v}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{lc}_D(j!\mathcal{F}) \geq \mathrm{lc}_{D_v}((j!\mathcal{F})|_{X_v}).$$

Combining (5.8.1), (5.8.2) and (5.8.3), we obtain the corollary. \square

References

- [1] A. Abbes and T. Saito, *Ramification of local fields with imperfect residue fields*. Amer. J. Math. **124**, (2002), 879–920.
- [2] A. Abbes and T. Saito, *Ramification of local fields with imperfect residue fields II*. Doc. Math. Extra Volume Kato, (2003), 5–72.
- [3] Y. André, *Structure des connexions méromorphes formelles de plusieurs variables et semi-continuité de l'irrégularité*, Invent. Math. **170** (2007), no. 1, 147–198.
- [4] A. Beilinson, *Constructible sheaves are holonomic*. Selecta Math. (N.S.), **22**, No. 4, (2016), 1797–1819.
- [5] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1998.
- [6] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique: IV Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas*. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Sci. **20**, (1964), 5–259; **24**, (1965), 5–231; **28**, (1966), 5–255; **32**, (1967), 5–361.
- [7] H. Hu and J.-B. Teyssier, *Characteristic cycle and wild ramification for nearby cycles of étale sheaves*. J. reine angew. Math. **776** (2021), 27–62.
- [8] H. Hu and J.-B. Teyssier, *Estimate for Betti numbers and relative Hermite-Minkowski theorem for perverse sheaves*. Pre-print, (2025).
- [9] H. Hu and E. Yang, *Semi-continuity for total dimension divisors of étale sheaves*. Int. J. Math. Vol. **28**, No. 1 (2017), 21 pages.
- [10] H. Hu, *Logarithmic ramifications of étale sheaves by restricting to curves*, Int. Math. Res. Not., Volume **2019**, Issue 19, (2019), 5914–5952.
- [11] H. Hu, *Semi-continuity of conductors, and ramification bound of nearby cycles*. J. reine angew. Math. **804** (2023), 41–103.
- [12] A.J. de Jong, *Smoothness, semi-stability and alterations*. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **83**, (1996), 51–93.
- [13] N. Katz, *Gauss sum, Kloosterman sum, and monodromy groups*. Annals of Mathematics studies **116**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1988.
- [14] G. Laumon, *Semi-continuité du conducteur de Swan (d'après Deligne)*. Séminaire E.N.S. (1978-1979) Exposé **9**, Astérisque 82-83 (1981) 173-219.
- [15] T. Saito, *Wild ramification and the contangent bundle*. J. Algebraic Geom., **26** (2017), 399–473.
- [16] T. Saito, *The characteristic cycle and the singular support of a constructible sheaf*. Invent. math., **207(2)**, (2017), 597–695.
- [17] J.P. Serre, *Linear representations of finite groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 42. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1997.
- [18] L. Xiao, *On ramification filtrations and p -adic differential equations, I: equal characteristic case*. Algebra and Number Theory, **4**, (2010), 969–1027.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, HANKOU ROAD 22, NANJING, CHINA
 Email address: huhaoyu@nju.edu.cn, huhaoyu1987@gmail.com

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU, 4 PLACE JUSSIEU, PARIS, FRANCE
 Email address: jean-baptiste.teyssier@imj-prg.fr