

User Profile with Large Language Models: Construction, Updating, and Benchmarking

Nusrat Jahan Prottasha¹, Md Kowsher¹, Hafijur Raman¹, Israt Jahan Anny²
Prakash Bhat³, Ivan Garibay¹,
Ozlem Garibay¹

¹University of Central Florida, USA

²Daffodil International University, Bangladesh

³DotStar Inc.

Abstract

User profile modeling plays a key role in personalized systems, as it requires building accurate profiles and updating them with new information. In this paper, we present two high-quality open-source user profile datasets: one for profile construction and another for profile updating. These datasets offer a strong basis for evaluating user profile modeling techniques in dynamic settings. We also show a methodology that uses large language models (LLMs) to tackle both profile construction and updating. Our method uses a probabilistic framework to predict user profiles from input text, allowing for precise and context-aware profile generation. Our experiments demonstrate that models like Mistral-7b and Llama2-7b perform strongly in both tasks. LLMs improve the precision and recall of the generated profiles, and high evaluation scores confirm the effectiveness of our approach.

Construction Dataset: [Link](#).

Updating Dataset: [Link](#).

1 Introduction

User profiling is the process of constructing structured representations of individuals' preferences, behaviors, and attributes based on available data. In this study, we define a **user profile** as a collection of key-value pairs encapsulating information such as demographic details, interests, behaviors, and preferences. These profiles serve as the foundation for delivering personalized recommendations and user experiences across digital platforms.

In the digital era, understanding the complexities of user profiling on social media platforms is crucial. The pervasive use of user data for targeted advertising, personalized services, and social analytics has spurred the need for advanced, adaptable profiling strategies (Alt et al., 2009; Carrara and Orsi, 2011; Suh et al., 2005; Yu et al., 2012;

Bartolomeo et al., 2008; Raad et al., 2010; Hazimeh et al., 2019). This task encompasses diverse factors, including users' occupations, educational backgrounds, and behavioral patterns (Ochirbat et al., 2018; Preoțiu-Pietro et al., 2015; Chicaiza et al., 2015). Insights from these factors are vital for optimizing search algorithms (Agichtein et al., 2006b), enhancing friend recommendation systems (Xu et al., 2011; Ahmadian et al., 2019), developing online marketing strategies (Saransomrurtai, 2011; Dennis et al., 2016), and advancing computational social science (Zhang et al., 2020; Brandt et al., 2020).

Recent studies emphasize the growing complexity of user profiling due to the vast and diverse nature of user-generated content on social media (Luca, 2015; Ding et al., 2016). This complexity necessitates the integration of multiple data sources and advanced computational methods to effectively capture dynamic user behaviors (Alt et al., 2009; Carrara and Orsi, 2011).

Although regularly updating user profiles is crucial (Cameron, 2003), there is a lack of open-source datasets and research focusing on efficient methods to reflect users' evolving preferences (Raad et al., 2010). Maintaining accurate profiles in a rapidly changing digital landscape is challenging, particularly for applications like natural language processing systems that rely heavily on user data (Hazimeh et al., 2019).

Social media platforms have become central to daily life, facilitating global communication and offering services from personal expression to community engagement (Zhang et al., 2020). These platforms support various activities such as networking, multimedia sharing, and content consumption, leading to an explosion of user-generated content (Brandt et al., 2020). This content provides rich data sources for user profiling research (Preoțiu-Pietro et al., 2015).

Early research treated user profiling as a multi-




Figure 1: Overview of User Profile Management. Panel (a) shows profile construction from initial user data using an LLM, while panel (b) illustrates profile updating with new user information, maintaining dynamic User Profile Memory.

class classification problem, predicting characteristics like gender, age, and political orientation (Al Zamal et al., 2012; Kowsher et al., 2021). These models primarily used user-generated content and social interaction features (Suh et al., 2005). However, the field has progressed from basic demographic predictions to more nuanced analyses of user behaviors and preferences (Ochirbat et al., 2018).

Recent advancements in deep learning have improved user profiling by providing deeper insights into user behavior (Ding et al., 2016). The field is moving beyond traditional approaches to explore patterns such as content consumption trends, sentiment dynamics in online interactions, and purchasing behavior changes over time (Saransomrurtai, 2011). Emerging techniques like knowledge graph embeddings (Wang et al., 2017) and graph neural networks (Wu et al., 2020) are increasingly used to integrate external knowledge and context, further refining user profiles (Godoy and Amandi, 2005).

In this paper, we introduce two high-quality benchmark datasets specifically designed for user profile modeling: one for profile construction and another for profile updating. These datasets address a critical gap in current research by providing robust resources for evaluating user profiling techniques in dynamic scenarios.

Building on these datasets, we propose a novel approach that utilizes LLMs for both user profile construction and updating (Figure 1). Our methodology employs a probabilistic framework to predict

user profiles from input text, enabling precise and context-aware profile generation. This dual focus on static construction and dynamic updating reflects real-world needs, where user preferences and behaviors continuously evolve.

Our experiments demonstrate that models like Mistral-7b and Llama2-7b excel in both tasks. LLMs significantly enhance the precision and recall of generated profiles, and our evaluation metrics confirm the effectiveness of this approach. These findings highlight the potential of LLMs to advance user profiling by providing more accurate, adaptable, and context-sensitive models.

The primary contributions of this work are as follows:

- We introduce two high-quality open-source benchmark datasets for user profile construction and updating, offering comprehensive resources for evaluating profiling techniques.
- We show a methodology leveraging LLMs to address both static construction and dynamic updating of user profiles, effectively capturing evolving user information.
- We conduct extensive evaluations of multiple LLMs, demonstrating their efficacy in user profiling tasks and providing insights into model performance.
- We present a dynamic profile updating mechanism that maintains the accuracy and relevance of user profiles over time, with signif-

icant implications for personalized systems and social analytics.

2 Related Work

User profiling is essential for personalized systems (Shen et al., 2005; Yao et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2008), enabling platforms to deliver tailored recommendations (Balog et al., 2019; Lu et al., 2015; Middleton et al., 2004) and computational social media analysis (Arunachalam and Sarkar, 2013; Bamman et al., 2014; Tang et al., 2015; Al Marouf et al., 2020). Over time, profiling methods have evolved from rule-based systems to machine learning models, and now to LLMs, which offer improved accuracy and adaptability (Bloedorn and Mani, 1998; Wu et al., 2024).

Early research treated user profiling as a *classification problem*, focusing on predicting fixed attributes like gender (Liu et al., 2012; Rao et al., 2010; Liu and Ruths, 2013b; Sakaki et al., 2014; Priadana et al., 2020), age (Rosenthal and McKewon, 2011; Sap et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2015; Fang et al., 2015; Mac Kim et al., 2017; Bessarab et al., 2025), and political views (Rao et al., 2010; Demszky et al., 2019; Hettiachchi et al., 2021). used hierarchical classification to predict gender from Twitter data, leveraging linguistic features. (Liu and Ruths, 2013a; Ciot et al., 2013) expanded these methods to demographic and multilingual contexts, demonstrating the generalizability of text-based profiling. However, these models were limited by their static nature, as they couldn't capture evolving user behaviors.

To address this, researchers began integrating social network data. Al Zamal et al. (2012) used *homophily*—the tendency of people with similar traits to connect—to predict political affiliations. Onikoyi et al. (2023) combined social interactions with text features to enhance gender prediction accuracy. Despite these advancements, these approaches still struggled to adapt to changes in user preferences over time.

To improve profiling, hybrid model emerged, combing textual, social and behavioral data. Agichtein et al. (2006a) showed that clickstream data and user interactions could enhance search algorithms. Agarwal and Bharadwaj (2013) and Priadana et al. (2020) improved friend recommendation systems by integrating both content and network features. In marketing, Bucklin and Sismeiro (2003) and Shah and Murthi (2021) used browsing and purchasing behaviors to target ads effectively.

While dynamic profiling addressed many challenges, the introductions of LLMs revolutionized the field. LLMs can generate context-aware, nuanced profiles and adapt to evolving user behaviors. Wu et al. (2024) analyzed the role of user profiles in personalizing large language models, revealing that historical personalized responses are key to effective personalization and that profile placement at the beginning of the input context has a greater impact.

While significant progress has been made in developing model based techniques in user profiling, such as deep learning and reinforcement learning approaches, there is a notable lack of open source datasets to support comprehensive evaluation and benchmarking. This gaps limits reproducibility and hinders further advancements in the field. To address this, we propose tow novel open source datasets: one for user profile construction, providing diverse user information for generating accurate profile, and another for profile updating, capturing temporal changes in user behavior to evaluate dynamic profiling models. These datasets aim to facilitate transparent, reproducible research and drive innovation in user profiling.




Figure 2: Top 10 Most Frequent Words. This bar chart illustrates the ten most frequently occurring words in the dataset, highlighting key terms such as "university," "born," and "first." The word "university" appears most frequently (4,545 times), followed by "born" (3,932) and "first" (3,614). The distribution suggests a strong focus on biographical and educational information within the user profiles.

3 Dataset Construction

We built a dataset of user profiles from textual descriptions using the Wiki People dataset (Mahajan, 2020). This dataset has 42,786 entries. Each entry

includes a Uniform Resource Identifier (URI), a person’s name, and a detailed biography (details in Appendix Table 2). On average, each biography is about 1,896 characters long. This provides a lot of information about each individual’s life, achievements, and important events. Such detailed data is useful for many NLP tasks, including sentiment analysis and entity recognition. Figure 2 shows the most 10 frequent words of wiki people.

A notable feature of this dataset is the wide range of attributes—17,189 in total—which cover basic personal details and more in-depth characteristics. These attributes make the dataset valuable for a variety of analytical methods. Additionally, the use of URIs allows researchers to easily find more information if needed.

3.1 Construction User Profile Dataset

To construct our user profiles, we used Language Model APIs, such as Gemini (Team et al., 2023). We designed prompts that took the Wiki People data as input to generate user profiles. For example, when we provided information about “Timothy Gruzca” and a short prompt, the API created a user profile for him. Repeating this process for all entries in Wiki People gave us 42,786 user profiles.

Below is a simplified example of the prompt and the generated output:

Input: Timothy Gruzca (Wiki data)

Prompt:

“## Instruction: Given a profile information: {data}, generate a user profile with details like name, birthplace, profession, hobbies, likes, dislikes, and anything else in the data. If something is not mentioned, do not add new details. Use this format:

##Example Output:

Name: Albert Einstein

Likes: History; Science; Traveling

Education: PhD in Physics from the University of Zurich

Do not include any extra text outside the user profile. Keep it short.”

Output:

Name: Timothy Gruzca

Born: 1 July 1976, Melbourne, Australia

Profession: Cameraman, Documentary Film Maker

Based In: Paris, France

Likes: Working in conflict zones; Telling stories of people affected by war; Documenting humanitarian crises

Dislikes: War; Injustice; Suffering

Hobbies: Photography; Hiking; Traveling

To make sure the Gemini-generated profiles were accurate, we performed a human evaluation step. We randomly picked 10% of the profiles for manual review. Human annotators checked them for correctness, clarity, and any made-up information. These verified profiles were labeled as “Gold Data.” We used them to validate and refine the generated profiles, ensuring the final dataset was high quality for training our models.

We studied how often each attribute appears in the dataset and saw that some attributes are much more common than others. This suggests that certain details are easier to find or are given more importance in the data.

Figure 3 shows that the most frequent attributes are: **Name** (41,230 times), **Profession** (39,209), **Birth Place** (26,930), **Likes** (22,973), **Hobbies** (16,349), **Dislikes** (14,201), and **Education** (12,878).

Other attributes such as “Born,” “Awards,” “Hobby,” “Birth date,” “Achievements,” “Occupation,” and “Nationality” also appear often. Their frequency shows the dataset’s focus and how it can be useful for various research tasks.

In Figure 4, we present the word cloud of ground truth and modified user profiles, illustrating the changes before and after updating user information.

3.2 Updating User Profile Dataset

We updated around 30% of the user profiles to better reflect real-world changes, such as shifting interests or moving to a new location. Two types of modifications were made:

(i). **Removing Attributes or Elements:** We deleted certain items from existing attributes. For example, if the original profile listed **Hobbies:** Photography; Hiking; Travelling, we removed “Hiking,” resulting in **Hobbies:** Photography; Travelling.

(ii). **Introducing Contradictions or Changes:** We replaced some details to simulate evolving preferences. For instance, **Hobbies:** Photography; Hik-




Figure 3: Distribution of Various Attributes in User Information

ing; Travelling could become **Hobbies:** Photography; Lounging. Here, the original set of hobbies (*Photography, Hiking, Travelling*) represents the ground truth, while “Lounging” is a new, potentially contradictory element.

These modifications allow us to test how effectively our system can handle partial or altered information and restore profiles to match the original (ground truth) data. They also capture the dynamic nature of real-life scenarios where user interests and attributes can change over time.

4 Problem Definition

In this work, we tackle the challenge of building and updating user profiles using textual data. We work with a dataset $D = \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$, where each x_i represents a free-text biographical sketch of a user. This text can include personal details, interests, or other descriptive information, such as “John is a software developer who enjoys hiking and photography.” The corresponding y_i is the user’s profile, formatted as a structured list of attributes, like {Profession: Software Developer, Hobbies: Hiking, Photography}.

Our objective is to develop a model that accurately predicts y_i from x_i .

The conditional probability $P(\bar{y}_i|x_i)$ represents the likelihood of generating a user profile \bar{y}_i given the input text x_i . Here, \bar{y}_i is the predicted profile generated by the model, while y_i is the actual, ground truth profile. This probability reflects how well the model captures the correct attributes from the provided biographical context.

Our objectives are two-fold:

Profile Construction: We train a model to predict a user profile \bar{y}_i from a biographical text x_i . This involves estimating the conditional probability $P(\bar{y}_i|x_i)$, capturing the relationship between the user’s text and their profile attributes (see Figure 1(a)).

Profile Updating: After constructing an initial profile, it may require updates as new information x_i^u becomes available. For instance, if a user starts a new job or develops new interests, this new data must be integrated into the existing profile y_i . The goal is to learn how to transition from the existing profile y_i to the updated profile y_i^u based on the new text x_i^u (see Figure 1(b)).

5 Model Construction

Our method focuses on profile construction and updating using LLMs. Below, we detail the key components of our approach.

5.1 Profile Construction

We use a probabilistic framework to estimate the conditional probability $P(\bar{y}_i|x_i)$, which represents the likelihood of generating a user profile \bar{y}_i from the input text x_i . Here, x_i is treated as a sequential token input, typically a left-to-right sequence, aligning with common autoregressive training paradigms.

We employ a pre-trained LLM due to its capability to handle sequential data and extract complex features. The model is defined as:

$$P(\bar{y}_i|x_i; \theta) = f_\theta(x_i) \quad (1)$$

where $f_\theta(\cdot)$ represents the LLM parameterized by θ .

We fine-tune the LLM using cross-entropy loss, which measures the difference between the predicted and ground truth profiles. The loss function is defined as:

$$L(\theta) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m y_{i,j} \log P(\bar{y}_{i,j}|x_i; \theta) \quad (2)$$

where $y_{i,j}$ and $\bar{y}_{i,j}$ are the true and predicted values for the j -th attribute of the i -th profile.


The Adam optimizer is used to update θ iteratively, minimizing the loss $L(\theta)$.

5.2 Profile Updating

Profile updating refines an existing user profile y_i with new biographical information x_i^u . Here, x_i^u



(a) Word Cloud of Wiki People



(b) Word Cloud of Constructed User Profile

Figure 4: Visualization of the dataset: (a) Word cloud before constructing user profile dataset; (b) Word cloud after constructing user profile dataset.

represents the most recent textual data, and y_i is the profile generated from previous data. We model this process by learning the conditional probability $P(y_i^u | x_i^u, y_i; \zeta)$, where y_i^u is the updated profile, and ζ represents the model parameters.

We use a pre-trained LLM $g_\zeta(\cdot)$ to model the updating process as follows:

$$P(\bar{y}_i^u | x_i^u, y_i; \zeta) = g_\zeta(x_i^u, y_i) \quad (3)$$

The loss function for profile updating is the cross-entropy loss between the predicted and true updated profiles:

$$L_u(\zeta) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m y_{i,j}^u \log P(\bar{y}_{i,j}^u | x_i^u, y_i; \zeta) \quad (4)$$

6 Experiments

6.1 Experimental Setup

We conducted our experiments using two NVIDIA RTX H100 GPUs with 80GB of memory, employing the PyTorch framework. Model performance was evaluated using precision, recall, and F_1 scores, supplemented by prompt-based evaluations with LLMs. We utilized the Transformers library from HuggingFace (Wolf, 2020), which provided a suite of tools and pretrained models tailored for natural language processing tasks. This facilitated efficient model training and evaluation across diverse datasets. Our experiments incorporated an ensemble of LLMs, including Bloom-7b, Llama2-7b, and Mistral-7b, to ensure comprehensive and robust results. In order to train the LLM model, we used Propulsion (Kowsher et al., 2024) PEFT instead of other PEFT methods due to its memory efficiency, faster training, and lower parameter requirements

6.2 Large Language Models (LLMs)

For our analysis, we used an ensemble of LLMs to leverage their unique strengths and achieve robust results. This models included Bloom-7b, developed by BigScience, known for its strong performance on diverse NLP tasks (Le Scao et al., 2023); Llama2-7b from Meta AI, offering versatile capabilities and fine-tuning options (Touvron et al., 2023); Mistral-7b, an open-source model that outperforms larger counterparts on multiple benchmarks (Jiang et al., 2023); Falcon-7b, designed for a range of AI applications and trained on the REFINEDWEB dataset (Almazrouei et al., 2023); and Gemma-7b by Google, excelling in text summarization and code generation tasks (Team et al., 2024). Together, these models provided a comprehensive foundation for our user profiling tasks.

6.3 Evaluation Metrics

To evaluate our approach, we employed two key metrics to assess the effectiveness of user profile construction and updating : the user-level F_1 score and prompt-based evaluations via LLMs.

6.3.1 User-level F_1 Score

Following the methodology of Wen et al. (2023), we calculated precision, recall, and the F_1 score at the user level. For a user profile containing k attributes, the user-level F_1 score is computed as follows:

$$\text{precision} = \frac{C(\text{Decoder}(\bar{y}_i), y_i)}{k - C'(\text{Decoder}(\bar{y}_i), y_i)} \quad (5)$$

$$\text{recall} = \frac{C'(\text{Decoder}(\bar{y}_i), y_i)}{k} \quad (6)$$

$$F_1 = 2 \cdot \frac{\text{precision} \cdot \text{recall}}{\text{precision} + \text{recall}} \quad (7)$$

Here, $C(\cdot)$ is the count of correctly predicted attributes, $C'(\cdot)$ represents the count of attributes with no prediction, and $\text{Decoder}(\cdot)$ converts probabilistic outputs into the most likely token predictions.

6.3.2 Prompt-based LLM Evaluation

We utilized prompt-based assessments with advanced LLMs, specifically GPT-4 and Gemini Pro, for a detailed evaluation. This involved crafting prompts that framed the task of assessing the accuracy of constructed user profiles. An example prompt is:

“Given the context of user information and a constructed user profile, evaluate the profile by assigning a score from 0 to 1 based on its correctness. A score of 1 indicates complete accuracy, while 0 indicates total inaccuracy. Consider each attribute in the profile, adjusting the overall score based on any inaccuracies.”

This method provided a quantitative score for each user profile, and we averaged these scores to gauge overall model performance.

6.4 Hyperparameters Setting and Model Training

For model training and hyperparameter tuning, we adopted a focused approach to identify optimal parameters. We selected a learning rate of $1e^{-4}$ using logarithmic scaling and set the batch size to 16 to balance efficiency and accuracy. Training involved a weight decay of 0.1, a dropout rate of 0.2, and an attention dropout rate of 0.1 over a single epoch.

6.5 Result Analysis

Our evaluation includes two phases: profile construction and profile updating, as shown in Table 1. In both phases, we examined performance using precision, recall, F_1 scores, and LLM evaluation scores from Gemini and GPT-4.

6.5.1 Profile Construction Analysis

In the profile construction phase (Table 1, left), we observed a significant improvement in performance after fine-tuning compared to zero-shot settings.

In the zero-shot scenario, **Mistral-7b** achieved an F_1 score of 75.71, followed by **Llama2-7b** at

74.15. While these models exhibited decent zero-shot performance, their precision and recall were notably lower compared to their fine-tuned counterparts. For instance, **Mistral-7b** improved from a precision of 79.65 (zero-shot) to 97.17 (fine-tuned), and recall increased from 72.18 to 93.65.

After fine-tuning, **Mistral-7b** led with an F_1 score of 93.84, followed by **Llama2-7b** at 91.97. Both models exhibited high precision (**Llama2-7b**: 96.85, **Mistral-7b**: 97.17) and strong recall (**Mistral-7b**: 93.65, **Llama2-7b**: 92.65), demonstrating their enhanced capability in accurately identifying user attributes. LLM scores further highlighted **Mistral-7b**’s robustness, particularly in the **GPT-4** evaluation (99.01).

6.5.2 Profile Updating Analysis

In the profile updating phase (Table 1, right), similar trends were observed. In the zero-shot setting, **Mistral-7b** achieved an F_1 score of 76.54, with precision and recall at 79.93 and 73.58, respectively. These scores highlight the model’s baseline capability but also indicate room for improvement.

Post fine-tuning, **Mistral-7b** achieved the highest F_1 score of 95.08, with precision at 97.93 and recall at 95.02, showcasing a substantial improvement over the zero-shot results. **Gemma-7b** also showed strong performance, improving from a zero-shot F_1 score of 73.38 to 94.53 after fine-tuning. Precision for **Llama2-7b** increased from 77.91 (zero-shot) to 97.43 (fine-tuned), and **Falcon-7b** showed improvements across all metrics as well.

LLM evaluations reaffirmed **Mistral-7b**’s strength, especially in the GPT-4 assessment, where its score increased from 85.12 (zero-shot) to 99.18 (fine-tuned). **Gemma-7b** also displayed consistent performance improvements across both Gemini and GPT-4 evaluations.

7 Conclusion

In this work, we have introduced a robust methodology for the construction and updating of user profiles using large language models (LLMs). By leveraging the probabilistic framework, we were able to model the conditional distribution of user profiles based on input text sequences, leading to highly accurate and contextually relevant profile generation. The extension of this approach to profile updating further demonstrated the adaptability of our method, allowing existing profiles to be refined and enhanced as new information becomes available.

Profile Construction						Updating Performance						
(a) Zero-Shot Performance												
Category	F1 Score			LLM Score			F1 Score			LLM Score		
	Precision	Recall	F_1	Gemini	GPT-4	Average	Precision	Recall	F_1	Gemini	GPT-4	Average
Bloom-7b	75.12	68.54	71.65	78.43	76.25	77.34	74.83	69.12	71.85	80.13	79.75	79.94
Llama2-7b	78.45	70.32	74.15	81.63	80.29	80.96	77.91	72.45	75.08	82.91	81.84	82.38
Mistral-7b	79.65	72.18	75.71	83.24	84.01	83.62	79.93	73.58	76.54	84.51	85.12	84.82
Falcon-7b	73.12	67.94	70.43	77.53	78.05	77.79	72.97	68.19	70.48	78.71	79.17	78.94
Gemma-7b	76.34	70.25	73.15	80.65	79.98	80.32	75.81	71.14	73.38	81.94	80.58	81.26

(b) Fine-Tuned Performance												
Category	F1 Score			LLM Score			F1 Score			LLM Score		
	Precision	Recall	F_1	Gemini	GPT-4	Average	Precision	Recall	F_1	Gemini	GPT-4	Average
Bloom-7b	94.74	83.74	90.42	95.13	93.95	94.54	94.83	85.64	92.68	96.63	96.75	96.69
Llama2-7b	96.85	92.65	91.97	97.53	95.18	96.35	97.43	94.75	93.17	98.53	94.99	96.76
Mistral-7b	97.17	93.65	93.84	98.04	99.01	98.52	97.93	95.02	95.08	97.91	99.18	98.54
Falcon-7b	92.88	89.92	90.47	95.43	96.05	95.74	93.97	91.19	93.13	97.01	97.17	97.09
Gemma-7b	95.63	92.87	91.15	97.95	96.89	97.42	96.31	93.48	94.53	98.94	96.18	97.56

Table 1: Performance comparison of user profile construction and updating. Part (a) shows zero-shot results, and part (b) presents fine-tuned results, highlighting precision, recall, F_1 scores, and LLM evaluation scores from Gemini and GPT-4.

Our experimental results underscore the effectiveness of the proposed approach, with models like Mistral-7b and Llama2-7b achieving strong performance across both profile construction and updating tasks. The use of LLMs not only improved the precision and recall of the profiles generated but also ensured that these profiles were in alignment with advanced evaluative frameworks, as evidenced by the high scores in LLM assessments.

References

- Vinti Agarwal and Kamal Kant Bharadwaj. 2013. A collaborative filtering framework for friends recommendation in social networks based on interaction intensity and adaptive user similarity. *Social Network Analysis and Mining*, 3:359–379.
- Eugene Agichtein, Eric Brill, and Susan Dumais. 2006a. Improving web search ranking by incorporating user behavior information. In *Proceedings of the 29th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, pages 19–26.
- Eugene Agichtein, Eric Brill, Susan Dumais, and Robert Ragno. 2006b. Learning user interaction models for predicting web search result preferences. In *Proceedings of the 29th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, pages 3–10.
- Sajad Ahmadian, Mohsen Afsharchi, and Majid Meghdadi. 2019. An effective social recommendation method based on user reputation model and rating profile enhancement. *Journal of Information Science*, 45(5):607–642.
- Ahmed Al Marouf, Md Kamrul Hasan, and Hasan Mahmud. 2020. Comparative analysis of feature selection algorithms for computational personality prediction from social media. *IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems*, 7(3):587–599.
- Faiyaz Al Zamal, Wendy Liu, and Derek Ruths. 2012. Homophily and latent attribute inference: Inferring latent attributes of twitter users from neighbors. In *Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media*, volume 6, pages 387–390.
- Ebtesam Almazrouei, Hamza Alobeidli, Abdulaziz Alshamsi, Alessandro Cappelli, Ruxandra Cojocaru, M erouane Debbah,  tienne Goffinet, Daniel Hesslow, Julien Launay, Quentin Malartic, et al. 2023. The falcon series of open language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.16867*.
- Florian Alt, Moritz Balz, Stefanie Kristes, Alireza Sahami Shirazi, Julian Mennen h, Albrecht Schmidt, Hendrik Schr oder, and Michael Goedicke. 2009. Adaptive user profiles in pervasive advertising environments. In *European Conference on Ambient Intelligence*, pages 276–286. Springer.
- Ravi Arunachalam and Sandipan Sarkar. 2013. The new eye of government: citizen sentiment analysis in social media. In *Proceedings of the IJCNLP 2013 workshop on natural language processing for social media (SocialNLP)*, pages 23–28.
- Krisztian Balog, Filip Radlinski, and Shushan Arakelyan. 2019. Transparent, scrutable and explainable user models for personalized recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 42nd international acm sigir conference on research and development in information retrieval*, pages 265–274.
- David Bamman, Jacob Eisenstein, and Tyler Schnoebelen. 2014. Gender identity and lexical variation in social media. *Journal of Sociolinguistics*, 18(2):135–160.
- G Bartolomeo, F Petersen, and M Pluke. 2008. Personalization and user profile management. *International*

- Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies*, 2(4):25–29.
- Anastasiia Bessarab, Olha Mitchuk, Anna Baranetska, Natalia Kodatska, Olha Kvasnytsia, and Galyna Mykytiv. 2025. Social networks as a phenomenon of the information society. *DSpace*.
- Eric Bloedorn and Inderjeet Mani. 1998. Using nlp for machine learning of user profiles. *Intelligent Data Analysis*, 2(1):3–18.
- John Brandt, Kathleen Buckingham, Cody Buntain, Will Anderson, Sabin Ray, John-Rob Pool, and Natasha Ferrari. 2020. Identifying social media user demographics and topic diversity with computational social science: a case study of a major international policy forum. *Journal of Computational Social Science*, 3:167–188.
- Randolph E Bucklin and Catarina Sismeiro. 2003. A model of web site browsing behavior estimated on clickstream data. *Journal of marketing research*, 40(3):249–267.
- Fiona Cameron. 2003. Digital futures i: Museum collections, digital technologies, and the cultural construction of knowledge. *Curator: The Museum Journal*, 46(3):325–340.
- Lorenzo Carrara and Giorgio Orsi. 2011. A new perspective in pervasive advertising. *Technical Report, Department of Computer Science*.
- Xin Chen, Yu Wang, Eugene Agichtein, and Fusheng Wang. 2015. A comparative study of demographic attribute inference in twitter. In *Proceedings of the international AAAI conference on web and social media*, volume 9, pages 590–593.
- Janneth Chicaiza, Nelson Piedra, Jorge Lopez-Vargas, and Edmundo Tovar-Caro. 2015. A user profile definition in context of recommendation of open educational resources. an approach based on linked open vocabularies. In *2015 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE)*, pages 1–7. IEEE.
- Morgane Ciot, Morgan Sonderegger, and Derek Ruths. 2013. Gender inference of twitter users in non-english contexts. In *Proceedings of the 2013 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing*, pages 1136–1145.
- Dorottya Demszky, Nikhil Garg, Rob Voigt, James Zou, Matthew Gentzkow, Jesse Shapiro, and Dan Jurafsky. 2019. Analyzing polarization in social media: Method and application to tweets on 21 mass shootings. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.01596*.
- Wisely Liu Dennis, Alva Erwin, and Maulahikmah Galinium. 2016. Data mining approach for user profile generation on advertisement serving. In *2016 8th international conference on information technology and electrical engineering (ICITEE)*, pages 1–6. IEEE.
- Yuxin Ding, Shengli Yan, YiBin Zhang, Wei Dai, and Li Dong. 2016. Predicting the attributes of social network users using a graph-based machine learning method. *Computer Communications*, 73:3–11.
- Quan Fang, Jitao Sang, Changsheng Xu, and M Shamim Hossain. 2015. Relational user attribute inference in social media. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 17(7):1031–1044.
- Daniela Godoy and Analia Amandi. 2005. User profiling in personal information agents: a survey. *The Knowledge Engineering Review*, 20(4):329–361.
- Hussein Hazimeh, Elena Mugellini, and Omar Abou Khaled. 2019. Reliable user profile analytics and discovery on social networks. In *Proceedings of the 2019 8th International Conference on Software and Computer Applications*, pages 496–500.
- Danula Hettiachchi, Tanay Arora, and Jorge Goncalves. 2021. Us vs. them—understanding the impact of homophily in political discussions on twitter. In *Human-Computer Interaction—INTERACT 2021: 18th IFIP TC 13 International Conference, Bari, Italy, August 30–September 3, 2021, Proceedings, Part IV 18*, pages 476–497. Springer.
- Albert Q Jiang, Alexandre Sablayrolles, Arthur Mensch, Chris Bamford, Devendra Singh Chaplot, Diego de las Casas, Florian Bressand, Gianna Lengyel, Guillaume Lample, Lucile Saulnier, et al. 2023. Mistral 7b. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.06825*.
- Md Kowsher, Nusrat Jahan Prottasha, and Prakash Bhat. 2024. Propulsion: Steering llm with tiny fine-tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.10927*.
- Md Kowsher, Md Zahidul Islam Sanjid, Fahmida Afrin, Avishek Das, and Puspita Saha. 2021. Gender identification from bangla name using machine learning and deep learning algorithms. In *Emerging Technologies in Data Mining and Information Security: Proceedings of IEMIS 2020, Volume 2*, pages 395–406. Springer.
- Teven Le Scao, Angela Fan, Christopher Akiki, Elie Pavlick, Suzana Ilić, Daniel Hesslow, Roman Castagné, Alexandra Sasha Luccioni, François Yvon, Matthias Gallé, et al. 2023. Bloom: A 176b-parameter open-access multilingual language model. *Hal Open Science*.
- Wendy Liu and Derek Ruths. 2013a. Using first names as features for gender inference in twitter. *Analyzing Microtext*.
- Wendy Liu and Derek Ruths. 2013b. What’s in a name? using first names as features for gender inference in twitter. In *2013 AAAI spring symposium series*.
- Wendy Liu, Faiyaz Zamal, and Derek Ruths. 2012. Using social media to infer gender composition of commuter populations. In *Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media*, volume 6, pages 26–29.

- Kai Lu, Yi Zhang, Lanbo Zhang, and Shuxin Wang. 2015. Exploiting user and business attributes for personalized business recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 38th international ACM SIGIR conference on research and development in information retrieval*, pages 891–894.
- Michael Luca. 2015. User-generated content and social media. In *Handbook of media Economics*, volume 1, pages 563–592. Elsevier.
- Sunghwan Mac Kim, Qiongkai Xu, Lizhen Qu, Stephen Wan, and Cécile Paris. 2017. Demographic inference on twitter using recursive neural networks. In *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pages 471–477.
- Sameer Mahajan. 2020. People wikipedia data. <https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/sameersmahajan/people-wikipedia-data>. Accessed: April 14, 2024.
- Stuart E Middleton, Nigel R Shadbolt, and David C De Roure. 2004. Ontological user profiling in recommender systems. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS)*, 22(1):54–88.
- Ankhtuya Ochirbat, Timothy K Shih, Chalothon Chootong, Worapot Sommoool, WKTM Gunarathne, Hai-Hui Wang, and Zhao-Heng Ma. 2018. Hybrid occupation recommendation for adolescents on interest, profile, and behavior. *Telematics and Informatics*, 35(3):534–550.
- Babatunde Onikoyi, Nonso Nnamoko, and Ioannis Kokontzelos. 2023. Gender prediction with descriptive textual data using a machine learning approach. *Natural Language Processing Journal*, 4:100018.
- Daniel Preotjiuc-Pietro, Vasileios Lampos, and Nikolaos Aletras. 2015. An analysis of the user occupational class through twitter content. In *Proceedings of the 53rd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 7th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1754–1764.
- Adri Priadana, Muhammad Rifqi Maarif, and Muhammad Habibi. 2020. Gender prediction for instagram user profiling using deep learning. In *2020 international conference on decision aid sciences and application (DASA)*, pages 432–436. IEEE.
- Elie Raad, Richard Chbeir, and Albert Dipanda. 2010. User profile matching in social networks. In *2010 13th International Conference on Network-Based Information Systems*, pages 297–304. IEEE.
- Delip Rao, David Yarowsky, Abhishek Shreevats, and Manaswi Gupta. 2010. Classifying latent user attributes in twitter. In *Proceedings of the 2nd international workshop on Search and mining user-generated contents*, pages 37–44.
- Sara Rosenthal and Kathleen McKeown. 2011. Age prediction in blogs: A study of style, content, and online behavior in pre-and post-social media generations. In *Proceedings of the 49th annual meeting of the association for computational linguistics: human language technologies*, pages 763–772.
- Shigeyuki Sakaki, Yasuhide Miura, Xiaojun Ma, Keigo Hattori, and Tomoko Ohkuma. 2014. Twitter user gender inference using combined analysis of text and image processing. In *proceedings of the Third Workshop on Vision and Language*, pages 54–61.
- Maarten Sap, Gregory Park, Johannes Eichstaedt, Margaret Kern, David Stillwell, Michal Kosinski, Lyle Ungar, and H Andrew Schwartz. 2014. Developing age and gender predictive lexica over social media. In *Proceedings of the 2014 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing (EMNLP)*, pages 1146–1151.
- Chayanin Saransomrurtai. 2011. *Converting a social network into a brand network: How brand profile on Facebook is used as an online marketing communication tool*. Ph.D. thesis, Auckland University of Technology.
- Denish Shah and BPS Murthi. 2021. Marketing in a data-driven digital world: Implications for the role and scope of marketing. *Journal of Business Research*, 125:772–779.
- Xuehua Shen, Bin Tan, and ChengXiang Zhai. 2005. Implicit user modeling for personalized search. In *Proceedings of the 14th ACM international conference on Information and knowledge management*, pages 824–831.
- Youngjung Suh, Dongoh Kang, and Woontack Woo. 2005. Context-based user profile management for personalized services. In *ubiPCMM*, pages 64–73.
- Duyu Tang, Bing Qin, and Ting Liu. 2015. Learning semantic representations of users and products for document level sentiment classification. In *Proceedings of the 53rd annual meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 7th international joint conference on natural language processing (volume 1: long papers)*, pages 1014–1023.
- Gemini Team, Rohan Anil, Sebastian Borgeaud, Yonghui Wu, Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jiahui Yu, Radu Soricut, Johan Schalkwyk, Andrew M Dai, Anja Hauth, et al. 2023. Gemini: a family of highly capable multimodal models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.11805*.
- Gemma Team, Thomas Mesnard, Cassidy Hardin, Robert Dadashi, Surya Bhupatiraju, Shreya Pathak, Laurent Sifre, Morgane Rivière, Mihir Sanjay Kale, Juliette Love, et al. 2024. Gemma: Open models based on gemini research and technology. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.08295*.
- Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay

- Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shruti Bhosale, et al. 2023. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*.
- Quan Wang, Zhendong Mao, Bin Wang, and Li Guo. 2017. Knowledge graph embedding: A survey of approaches and applications. *IEEE transactions on knowledge and data engineering*, 29(12):2724–2743.
- Haoyang Wen, Zhenxin Xiao, Eduard Hovy, and Alexander G Hauptmann. 2023. Towards open-domain twitter user profile inference. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023*, pages 3172–3188.
- Thomas Wolf. 2020. Transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.03771*.
- Bin Wu, Zhengyan Shi, Hossein A Rahmani, Varsha Ramineni, and Emine Yilmaz. 2024. Understanding the role of user profile in the personalization of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.17803*.
- Zonghan Wu, Shirui Pan, Fengwen Chen, Guodong Long, Chengqi Zhang, and S Yu Philip. 2020. A comprehensive survey on graph neural networks. *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems*, 32(1):4–24.
- Bin Xu, Alvin Chin, and Hao Wang. 2011. Using physical context in a mobile social networking application for improving friend recommendations. In *2011 International Conference on Internet of Things and 4th International Conference on Cyber, Physical and Social Computing*, pages 602–609. IEEE.
- Jing Yao, Zhicheng Dou, and Ji-Rong Wen. 2020. Employing personal word embeddings for personalized search. In *Proceedings of the 43rd international ACM SIGIR conference on research and development in information retrieval*, pages 1359–1368.
- Jie Yu, FF Liu, and HH Zhao. 2012. Building user profile based on concept and relation for web personalized services. In *International Conference on Innovation and Information Management*. Citeseer.
- Jun Zhang, Wei Wang, Feng Xia, Yu-Ru Lin, and Hanghang Tong. 2020. Data-driven computational social science: A survey. *Big Data Research*, 21:100145.
- Yangbo Zhu, Jamie Callan, and Jaime Carbonell. 2008. The impact of history length on personalized search. In *Proceedings of the 31st Annual International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in information retrieval*, pages 715–716.

A Examples of User Profile Construction Using LLM

Example-1:

User Information: *Meredith ochs is an american radio commentator dj writer and musician ochs*

is a commentator for national public radios all things considered and has appeared on talk of the nation she is also a frequent guest on all songs considered ochs is a talk show host at sirius xm satellite radio the program freewheelin with meredith ochs chris t airs on the road dog trucking channel sirius xm 106 weekdays 11 am 2 pm et replays weeknights 811 pm et saturday and sunday 11 am 2 pm et ochs also hosts a music show on the outlaw country channel sirius xm 60 weeknights 8 pm midnight et ochs was a contributing editor and writer at guitar world magazine where she began working in 1996 her writing has appeared in numerous other publications including entertainment weekly rolling stone saloncom the boston phoenix the houston chronicle and new york magazine her photographs have appeared in guitar world experience hendrix and other publications ochs plays guitar sings and writes songs for the damn lovelys their debut trouble creek was released on philadelphia indie label dren records in 2004 and earned them an appearance on mountain stage the internationally syndicated pri radio program the following year she also plays bass and sings with new orleansborn rbsoul singer jesse thomas known as young jesse when he worked with huey piano smith earl king and other new orleans performers for more than a decade ochs hosted a weekly show trash twang and thunder on freeform radio station wfmu the show focused on american roots music country blues soul rb and bluegrass and often featured live performances by artists including son volts jay farrar country singer pam tillis and allman brothers alumnus derek trucks ochs later cohosted with and filled in for fm alum vin scelsa at wfuv where she worked parttime as a dj ochs also worked at wnc and wxrk krock in new york city as well as the abc radio network

Output:

Name: Meredith Ochs

Profession: Radio commentator, DJ, writer, musician

Likes: American roots music, country blues, soul, R&B, bluegrass

Hobbies: Playing guitar, singing, writing songs

Work:

- Commentator for National Public Radio’s All Things Considered
- Talk show host at Sirius XM Satellite Radio
- Contributing editor and writer at Guitar World

magazine

- DJ at WFUV and WFMU

Additional Information:

- Plays guitar, sings, and writes songs for the Damn Lovelys
- Plays bass and sings with New Orleans-born R&B/soul singer Jesse Thomas
- Has appeared on Mountain Stage and Talk of the Nation

Example-2:

User Information: David chernushenko born june 1963 in calgary alberta is a politician professional speaker sustainability consultant and documentary filmmaker in ontario canada he was elected to ottawa city council in the 2010 municipal election and is running for reelection in 2014 he is the former senior deputy to the leader of the green party of canada and a former leadership contestant for that party a graduate of queens university political science and alumnus of cambridge university international relations chernushenko has worked for the canadian international development agency cida the canadian department of foreign affairs and international trade dfait and the united nations environment programme he has served on committees and boards of local housing and environment groups schools and health advisory bodies chernushenko is a green building professional accredited by the leed leadership in energy and environmental design certification program as owner of the consulting firm green gold inc since 1998 he has advised public private and nonprofit organizations on adopting more sustainable and socially responsible practices from 1998 to 2004 chernushenko served on the international olympic committees commission on sport and the environment he has written several books on sustainable management practices including sustainable sport management unep 2001 and greening our games running sports events facilities that wont cost the earth centurion 1994 and the electronic publication greening campuses and their communities iisdaccunep 1996 in 2001 he cofounded clean air champions a national charity that engages athletes in raising awareness about air pollution climate change and the benefits of physical activity in canada he is a director of the sustainable ottawa energy cooperative he was the green party candidate for ottawa centre in the

2004 federal election he finished fourth with 4730 votes 8 receiving more votes than any other green candidate in ottawa he ran again in ottawa centre in the 2006 federal election and again came fourth losing to paul dewar from the new democratic party ndp chernushenko received 6766 votes 102 the highest vote count of any green party candidate in canada the 2006 election he passed the 10 threshold thus becoming eligible for partial government reimbursement of campaign expenditures chernushenko was endorsed by the ottawa citizen newspaper in both the 2004 and 2006 elections he also ran as the green party candidate in ottawa south in the 2003 ontario general election on november 10 2006 prime minister stephen harper appointed chernushenko to canadas national round table on the environment and the economy a non-partisan panel that advises the federal government on environmental policy and works to promote the integrated pursuit of excellence in environmental social and economic practices in canadas public private and civil society sectors chernushenko served as a member on the nrtee from 2006 to 2009 and served as vice chair in 2008 2009 chernushenko resigned as deputy leader of the green party in july 2007 in order to devote more time to his international consulting business and the nrtee and to make documentary films since then he has launched the living lightly multimedia project and produced three documentaries titled be the change 2008 powerful energy for everyone 2010 and bike city great city 2013 chernushenko ran as city councillor for capital ward in the 2010 ottawa municipal election he won with 4134 of the vote he is running for reelection in 2014

Output:

Name: Timothy Gruzca

Born: 1 July 1976, Melbourne, Australia

Profession: Cameraman, Documentary Film Maker

Based In: Paris, France

Likes:

- Working in conflict zones
- Telling stories of people affected by war
- Documenting humanitarian crises

Dislikes:

- War
- Injustice

- Suffering

Hobbies:

- Photography
- Hiking
- Travelling

B Examples of User Profile Updating Using LLM

Example-1:

User Information: *Jonathan hoefler born august 22 1970 is an american typeface designer hoefler pronounced heffler founded the hoefler type foundry in 1989 a type foundry in new york in 1999 hoefler began working with type designer tobias frerejones and from 20052014 the company operated under the name hoefler frerejones until their public splithoefler has designed original typefaces for rolling stone magazine harpers bazaar the new york times magazine sports illustrated and esquire and several institutional clients including the solomon r guggenheim museum and alternative band they might be giants perhaps his bestknown work is the hoefler text family of typefaces designed for apple computer and now appearing as part of the macintosh operating system he also designed the current wordmark of the church of the latterday saints in 1995 hoefler was named one of the forty most influential designers in america by id magazine and in 2002 the association typographique internationale atypi presented him with its most prestigious award the prix charles peignot for outstanding contributions to type design hoefler and frerejones have been profiled in the new york times time magazine and esquire magazine and appearances on national public radio and cbs sunday morning hoeflers work is part of the cooperhewitt national design museums permanent collection.*

User Profile Memory:

Name: Jonathan

Birth Date: October 18, 1977

Company: Hoefler Type Foundry

Awards: Lifetime Achievement Award

Media Appearances:

- Esquire Magazine

Collections:

- The National Design Museum

Likes: Time Magazine

Dislikes: Cooper-Hewitt National Design Museum

Output:

Name: Jonathan Hoefler

Birth Date: August 22, 1970

Profession: Typeface Designer

Company: Hoefler Type Foundry

Awards: Prix Charles Peignot

Design Work:

- Hoefler Text Family of Typefaces
- Wordmark of the Church of the Latter-day Saints

Media Appearances:

- The New York Times
- Time Magazine
- Esquire Magazine
- National Public Radio
- CBS Sunday Morning

Collections:

- Cooper-Hewitt National Design Museum

URI	Name	Summary
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Digby_Morrell>	Digby Morrell	Former Australian rules footballer who played for the Kangaroos and Carlton in the AFL. Leading goalkicker for West Perth in 2000, traded to Carlton in 2003, and later coached Strathmore and West Coburg football clubs. Currently teaches physical education at Parade College in Melbourne.
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Alfred_J._Lewy>	Alfred J. Lewy	Professor and researcher in psychiatry at OHSU, specializing in chronobiologic, sleep, and mood disorders. Known for his work on melatonin and bright light therapy for circadian rhythm disorders.
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Harpdog_Brown>	Harpdog Brown	Canadian blues singer and harmonica player active since 1982. Known for albums like "Home is Where the Harp Is" and "What It Is." Awarded the Maple Blues Award for Best Harmonica Player in Canada (2014).
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Franz_Rottensteiner>	Franz Rottensteiner	Austrian publisher and critic in science fiction and fantasy. Edited the journal "Quarber Merkur" and translated works by Stanislaw Lem and Philip K. Dick. Known for his critical views on American science fiction.
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/G-Enka>	G-Enka	Estonian rapper and record producer, known for his work with the band Toe Tag and collaborations with ArhM. Founded Legendaarne Records and performed as a warm-up act for 50 Cent in 2007.
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Sam_Henderson>	Sam Henderson	American cartoonist and writer, creator of "The Magic Whistle" and "Scene but Not Heard." Nominated for Harvey Awards and an Emmy for his work on "SpongeBob SquarePants."
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Aaron_LaCrate>	Aaron LaCrate	American music producer, DJ, and fashion designer. Known for popularizing Baltimore club music and founding MilkCrate Records. Collaborated with HBO for "The Wire" and produced music for Dizzee Rascal and Madonna.
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Trevor_Ferguson>	Trevor Ferguson	Canadian novelist and playwright, also known as John Farrow. Author of "City of Ice" and "River City." His works have been highly acclaimed in France and adapted into films and miniseries.
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Grant_Nelson>	Grant Nelson	English DJ and record producer, known as a pioneer of UK garage. Produced under aliases like Wishdokta and Bump Flex. Remixed artists such as Kelis, Jamiroquai, and Faithless.
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Cathy_Caruth>	Cathy Caruth	Professor at Cornell University, specializing in trauma theory. Author of "Unclaimed Experience" and editor of "Trauma: Explorations in Memory." Known for her innovative work on trauma and narrative.

Table 2: Summary Wiki People Dataset