

ON A SPECIALIZATION OF TODA EIGENFUNCTIONS

ANTOINE LABELLE

ABSTRACT. This paper studies rational functions $\mathfrak{J}_\alpha(q)$, which depend on a positive element α of the root lattice of a root system. These functions arise as Shapovalov pairings of Whittaker vectors in Verma modules of highest weight $-\rho$ for quantum groups and as Hilbert series of Zastava spaces, and are related to the Toda system. They are specializations of multivariate functions more commonly studied in the literature. We investigate the denominator of these rational functions and give an explicit combinatorial formula for the numerator in type A.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathfrak{g} be a split semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{Q} with Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} and let $\Phi \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$ be its root system. Fix a choice of positive roots $\Phi_+ \subseteq \Phi$ and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be the corresponding simple roots. Let \leq be the partial order on \mathfrak{h}^* defined by $\mu \leq \nu$ if and only if $\nu - \mu$ is a sum of positive roots. Let $Q = \mathbb{Z}\alpha_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_n$ be the root lattice and $Q^{\geq 0} = \mathbb{N}\alpha_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{N}\alpha_n = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^* : \alpha \geq 0\}$ be the positive part of the root lattice. Let (\cdot, \cdot) be the invariant bilinear form on \mathfrak{h}^* , normalized so that short roots have length 2. Let $d_i = \frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{2}$ and let $a_{ij} = \frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)}{d_i}$ be the entries of the Cartan matrix.

We can associate to every $\alpha \in Q^{\geq 0}$ a rational function $\mathfrak{J}_\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(q)$, defined by the following "fermionic recursion":

Definition 1.1. Define $\mathfrak{J}_\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(q)$, for $\alpha \in Q^{\geq 0}$, by

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{J}_\alpha = \sum_{0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha} \frac{q^{\frac{(\beta, \beta)}{2}}}{(q)_{\alpha - \beta}} \mathfrak{J}_\beta$$

and

$$\mathfrak{J}_0 = 1,$$

where $(q)_\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{a_i} (1 - q^{d_i j})$ for $\alpha = \sum a_i \alpha_i \in Q^{\geq 0}$.

It is clear that (1) together with the initial condition $\mathfrak{J}_0 = 1$ uniquely determines the rational functions \mathfrak{J}_α since, for $\alpha > 0$, (1) can be rewritten in the more obviously recursive form

$$(2) \quad \mathfrak{J}_\alpha = \frac{1}{1 - q^{\frac{(\alpha, \alpha)}{2}}} \sum_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha} \frac{q^{\frac{(\beta, \beta)}{2}}}{(q)_{\alpha - \beta}} \mathfrak{J}_\beta.$$

These rational functions in q arise naturally in different contexts. Most importantly, \mathfrak{J}_α has a geometric interpretation as the Hilbert series of a Zastava space [12, 3] and a representation-theoretic interpretation as a pairing of Whittaker vectors in the Verma module of highest weight $-\rho$ for a quantum group [9, 15, 10]¹. We will review these interpretations in Sections 2 and 3.

The \mathfrak{J}_α 's also satisfy different recursions related to the Toda lattice, which depend on a choice of orientation and a representation. In type A, with an orientation compatible with the usual ordering of the simple roots, the simplest such recursion takes the following form [10, Proposition A.1].

Proposition 1.1 (Toda recursion). *In type A_n , with the standard ordering of the simple roots, and $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \alpha_i$, we have the recursion*

$$(3) \quad \left(\sum_{i=0}^n (q^{a_{i+1} - a_i} - 1) \right) \mathfrak{J}_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n q^{a_{i+1} - a_i} \mathfrak{J}_{\alpha - \alpha_i},$$

¹A connection between these two interpretation was found in type A by Braverman and Finkelberg [2], who defined a quantum group action on the equivariant K -theory of Laumon's resolution of the Zastava space, isomorphic to a Verma module.

with the conventions that $a_i = 0$ if $i \notin \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\mathfrak{J}_\alpha = 0$ if $\alpha \notin Q^{\geq 0}$.

Remark 1.1. In the literature, the functions \mathfrak{J}_α usually appear with n extra variables z_1, \dots, z_n ; they can be defined by the recursion

$$\mathfrak{J}_\alpha(q, z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha} \frac{z^\beta q^{\binom{\beta, \beta}{2}}}{(q)_{\alpha-\beta}} \mathfrak{J}_\beta(q, z_1, \dots, z_n),$$

where $z^\beta = \prod_{i=1}^n z_i^{b_i}$ for $\beta = \sum b_i \beta_i \in Q^{\geq 0}$. The \mathfrak{J}_α defined in Definition 1.1 is the specialization at $z_1 = \dots = z_n = 1$ of this multivariate version. Under the geometric interpretation of Section 2, this multivariate enhancement encodes the character of a $T \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ action on the Zastava space rather than just a \mathbb{C}^\times action. Under the representation-theoretic interpretation of Section 3, it corresponds to considering Whittaker vectors in Verma modules of arbitrary highest weight (or in a universal Verma module).

While this particular specialization has not, to the author's knowledge, been studied by itself before, it has some nice properties that do not generalize to the multivariate enhancement. In particular, we will prove in Section 4 that \mathfrak{J}_α has a very simple denominator (which is not explained by the recursion (1)):

Theorem 1.1. For every $\alpha \in Q^{\geq 0}$, we have

$$\mathfrak{J}_\alpha \in \frac{1}{(q)_\alpha^2} \mathbb{Z}[q].$$

Moreover, the numerator $(q)_\alpha^2 \mathfrak{J}_\alpha$ is a palindromic polynomial (see Remark 4.1), which we expect to have positive coefficients (see Conjecture 6.2).

In addition to Theorem 1.1, the other main contribution of this paper is the following explicit combinatorial formula for this numerator in type A , which will be proven in Section 5.

Theorem 1.2. In type A_n , with the standard ordering of the simple roots, and $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \alpha_i$, we have

$$(4) \quad \mathfrak{J}_\alpha(q) = \frac{1}{(q)_\alpha^2} \sum_{\underline{m}} q^{D(\underline{m})} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^k \begin{bmatrix} m_{k+1, i} \\ m_{k, i} \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} m_{k+1, i+1} \\ m_{k, i} \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

where the sum is over all triangular arrays $\underline{m} = (m_{k,i})_{1 \leq i \leq k \leq n}$ of nonnegative integers satisfying $m_{n,i} = a_i$ and $m_{k,i} \leq m_{k+1,i}, m_{k+1,i+1}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq k \leq n-1$,

$$D(\underline{m}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k m_{k,i}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} m_{k,i} m_{k,i+1} \right),$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^a (1 - q^j)}{\prod_{j=1}^b (1 - q^j) \prod_{j=1}^{a-b} (1 - q^j)}$$

are the Gaussian binomial coefficients.

Example 1.1. In type A_2 , if $\alpha = a_1 \alpha_1 + a_2 \alpha_2$, the formula (4) gives

$$\mathfrak{J}_\alpha = \frac{1}{(q)_\alpha^2} \sum_{i=0}^{\min(a_1, a_2)} q^{i^2} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} a_2 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

which simplifies to

$$\mathfrak{J}_\alpha = \frac{1}{(q)_\alpha^2} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 + a_2 \\ a_1 \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Example 1.2. In type A_3 , if $\alpha = a_1 \alpha_1 + a_2 \alpha_2 + a_3 \alpha_3$, the formula (4) gives

$$\mathfrak{J}_\alpha(q) = \frac{1}{(q)_\alpha^2} \sum_{i=0}^{\min(a_1, a_2)} \sum_{j=0}^{\min(a_2, a_3)} \sum_{k=0}^{\min(i, j)} q^{i^2 + j^2 - ij + k^2} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} a_2 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} a_2 \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} a_3 \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} i \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} j \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

The sum over k simplifies as in Example 1.1, so we can also write this as

$$\mathfrak{J}_\alpha(q) = \frac{1}{(q)_\alpha^2} \sum_{i=0}^{\min(a_1, a_2)} \sum_{j=0}^{\min(a_2, a_3)} q^{i^2 + j^2 - ij} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} b \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} b \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} c \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} i+j \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Example 1.3. For $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$ the highest root in type A_n , the formula (4) yields a Narayana polynomial as the numerator of \mathfrak{J}_α . See Remark 5.1 for more details.

Remark 1.2. Let $\alpha = \sum_i a_i \alpha_i$. It's easy to see from (2) that \mathfrak{J}_α has a pole of order at most $2|\alpha|$ at $q = 1$, where $|\alpha| = \sum_i a_i$. We can therefore define

$$K_\alpha = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^{d_i})^{2a_i} \cdot \mathfrak{J}_\alpha \right) \Big|_{q=1} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Multiplying both sides of (2) by $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^{d_i})^{2a_i}$ and taking the limit as $q \rightarrow 1$, we obtain the following recursion for K_α :

$$(5) \quad \frac{(\alpha, \alpha)}{2} \cdot K_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i K_{\alpha - \alpha_i}$$

(as all the terms corresponding to $\beta < \alpha$ such that $\alpha - \beta$ is not a simple root vanish in the $q \rightarrow 1$ limit). This $q \rightarrow 1$ specialization of \mathfrak{J}_α is already quite nontrivial and interesting. For example, it follows from Theorem 1.1 that the denominator of K_α divides $(\prod_{i=1}^n a_i!)^2$, which is not at all clear from the recursion (5). Moreover, the formula (4) specializes to the following formula for K_α :

$$(6) \quad K_\alpha = \frac{1}{(\prod_{i=1}^n a_i!)^2} \sum_{\underline{m}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^k \binom{m_{k+1,i}}{m_{k,i}} \binom{m_{k+1,i+1}}{m_{k,i}},$$

with the sum indexed by the same set as in Theorem 1.2. Under the geometric interpretation of Section 2, this $q \rightarrow 1$ specialization controls the leading term of the Hilbert function of the Zastava space, i.e.

$$\dim \mathbb{C}[Z^\alpha]_n = K_\alpha \frac{n^{2|\alpha|-1}}{(2|\alpha|-1)!} + O(n^{2|\alpha|-2}).$$

Under the representation-theoretic interpretation of Section 3, it corresponds to taking Whittaker vectors for \mathfrak{g} itself rather than its quantum group.

2. GEOMETRIC INTERPRETATION

In this section, we assume for simplicity that \mathfrak{g} is simply laced (i.e. $d_i = 1$ for all i). Fix $\alpha = \sum a_i \alpha_i \in Q^{\geq 0}$.

Let Z^α be the Zastava space of degree α based quasimaps from \mathbb{P}^1 to the flag variety of \mathfrak{g} (whose definition can be found for example in [11]). The Zastava space Z^α is an affine variety of dimension $2|\alpha|$. It comes equipped with a \mathbb{C}^\times action given by loop rotation, which induces an \mathbb{N} -grading on its coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[Z^\alpha]$. It also comes with a \mathbb{C}^\times -equivariant flat morphism $Z^\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^\alpha$, where $\mathbb{A}^\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{A}^{a_i}/S_{a_i})$, called the *factorization* map. The following interpretation of \mathfrak{J}_α is due to Givental and Lee [12] in type A and to Braverman and Finkelberg in this level of generality [3]. We give here an alternative simple proof based on the interpretation of Z^α as the Coulomb branch of a quiver gauge theory due to Braverman, Finkelberg and Nakajima [6] and the monopole formula for Coulomb branches.

Theorem 2.1. *The rational function \mathfrak{J}_α is equal to the Hilbert series of the graded ring $\mathbb{C}[Z_\alpha]$, i.e.*

$$\mathfrak{J}_\alpha(q) = \sum_{d \geq 0} \dim(\mathbb{C}[Z^\alpha]_d) q^d,$$

where $\mathbb{C}[Z^\alpha]_d$ denotes the degree d part of $\mathbb{C}[Z^\alpha]$.

Proof. Let $G = \prod_i \mathrm{GL}_{a_i}(\mathbb{C})$ and consider the G -representation $N = \bigoplus_{(i,j) \in E} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{a_i}, \mathbb{C}^{a_j})$, where E is the set of edges of the Dynkin diagram of Φ . Let $\pi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_G$ be the BFN space of triples associated to the pair (G, N) as defined in [5] and let $\mathcal{R}^+ = \pi^{-1}(\mathrm{Gr}_G^+)$, where Gr_G^+ is the positive part of the affine Grassmannian of G . For $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}[[z]]$, the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits in Gr_G are indexed by tuples $\lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}; \dots; \lambda^{(n)})$, where $\lambda^{(i)} = (\lambda_1^{(i)}, \dots, \lambda_{a_i}^{(i)})$ is a weakly decreasing sequence of integers of length a_i . Then $\mathrm{Gr}_G^+ \subseteq \mathrm{Gr}_G$ is the union of $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits indexed by those λ that are *multipartitions*, i.e. such that $\lambda_j^{(i)} \geq 0$ for all i, j .

By [6, Corollary 3.4], $\mathbb{C}[Z_\alpha]$ is isomorphic to the Coulomb branch algebra $H_*^{G(\mathcal{O})}(\mathcal{R}^+)$. Moreover, while the loop rotation grading $\mathbb{C}[Z_\alpha]$ does not agree with the homological grading on $H_*^{G(\mathcal{O})}(\mathcal{R}^+)$, they are closely

related as explained in [6, Remark 3.3]. Therefore, the monopole formula of [5, Section 2(iii)] (appropriately modified to take into account the correction of [6, Remark 3.3] and restricted to positive $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits) yields

$$(7) \quad \sum_{d \geq 0} \dim(\mathbb{C}[Z^\alpha]_d) q^d = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{MPar}_\alpha} q^{d_\lambda} P_\lambda(q),$$

where MPar_α is the set of all multipartitions $\lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(r)})$ with $\lambda^{(i)} = (\lambda_1^{(i)}, \dots, \lambda_{a_i}^{(i)})$,

$$P_\lambda(q) = \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{j \geq 0} \prod_{k=1}^{m_j(\lambda^{(i)})} \frac{1}{1 - q^k},$$

for $m_j(\lambda^{(i)})$ the multiplicity of j in the partition $\lambda^{(i)}$, and

$$d_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^{a_i} (2j-1) \lambda_j^{(i)} - \sum_{(i_1, i_2) \in E} \sum_{j_1=1}^{a_{i_1}} \sum_{j_2=1}^{a_{i_2}} \min(\lambda_{j_1}^{(i_1)}, \lambda_{j_2}^{(i_2)}).$$

If we denote by $F_\alpha(q)$ the right-hand side of (7), we therefore just need to check that F_α satisfies the fermionic recursion defining \mathfrak{J}_α (since clearly $F_0 = 1$). For this, we split the sum over λ into different sums depending on which $\lambda_j^{(i)}$ vanish. For $\beta = \sum b_i \alpha_i \leq \alpha$, let $\text{MPar}_{\alpha, \beta}$ be the set of multipartitions $\lambda \in \text{MPar}_\alpha$ such that $\lambda_j^{(i)} = 0$ if and only if $j > b_i$. Then $\text{MPar}_\alpha = \bigsqcup_{0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha} \text{MPar}_{\alpha, \beta}$ and there is a bijection $\sigma_{\alpha, \beta} : \text{MPar}_\beta \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{MPar}_{\alpha, \beta}$ sending a multipartition $\mu = (\mu^{(i)})_i$ to a multipartition $\lambda = (\lambda^{(i)})_i$ where

$$\lambda^{(i)} = (\mu_1^{(i)} + 1, \dots, \mu_{b_i}^{(i)} + 1, 0, \dots, 0).$$

It's easy to see that

$$P_{\sigma_{\alpha, \beta}(\mu)}(q) = \frac{1}{(q)_{\alpha - \beta}} P_\mu(q)$$

and

$$d_{\sigma_{\alpha, \beta}(\mu)} = d_\mu + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 - \sum_{(i_1, i_2) \in E} b_{i_1} b_{i_2} = d_\mu + \frac{(\beta, \beta)}{2}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_\alpha(q) &= \sum_{\lambda \in \text{MPar}_\alpha} q^{d_\lambda} P_\lambda(q) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha} \sum_{\lambda \in \text{MPar}_{\alpha, \beta}} q^{d_\lambda} P_\lambda(q) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha} \sum_{\mu \in \text{MPar}_\beta} q^{d_\mu + \frac{(\beta, \beta)}{2}} \frac{P_\mu(q)}{(q)_{\alpha - \beta}} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha} \frac{q^{\frac{(\beta, \beta)}{2}}}{(q)_{\alpha - \beta}} F_\beta(q). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.1. Note that, since \mathbb{A}^α has Hilbert series $\frac{1}{(q)_\alpha}$, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that $(q)_\alpha \mathfrak{J}_\alpha$ is the Hilbert series of the central fiber Z_0^α of the flat morphism $Z^\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^\alpha$. One can therefore recover \mathfrak{J}_α from only this central fiber rather than the whole Zastava space. This central fiber is isomorphic to the intersection $S_0^- \cap \overline{S_\alpha}$ of two opposite semi-infinite orbits in the affine Grassmannian of the adjoint group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

Remark 2.2. The geometric interpretation presented in this section was extended to the non-simply laced case by Braverman and Finkelberg in [4]. For this, the Zastava spaces need to be replaced by twisted Zastava spaces.

3. REPRESENTATION-THEORETIC INTERPRETATION

In this section, we recall how \mathfrak{J}_α arises from Whittaker functions for Verma modules over quantum groups, following closely the presentation in [10]. These were first studied independently by Etingof [9] and Sevostyanov [15], who both observed that the Whittaker functions satisfy a Toda recursion. The fermionic recursion (1) in this context was proved in [10]. See also [8] for closely related formulas. All these references work with Verma modules of arbitrary highest weight (or equivalently, a universal Verma module), which leads to an interpretation of the multivariate functions from Remark 1.1. For the specialization studied in this paper, the relevant Verma module is that of highest weight $-\rho$, where ρ is the Weyl vector defined by $(\rho, \alpha_i) = d_i$ for all i .

Let \mathbf{U}_v be the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum group with parameter v associated to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , over the field $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(v^{\frac{1}{N}} \mid N \in \mathbb{N}^*)$. It is defined as the associative \mathbb{K} -algebra generated by E_i, F_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and K_μ for $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ with relations

$$(8) \quad K_\mu K_\nu = K_{\mu+\nu}, \quad K_0 = 1,$$

$$(9) \quad K_\mu E_i K_\mu^{-1} = v^{(\mu, \alpha_i)} E_i, \quad K_\mu F_i K_\mu^{-1} = v^{-(\mu, \alpha_i)} F_i,$$

$$(10) \quad [E_i, F_j] = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}},$$

$$(11) \quad \sum_{k=0}^r (-1)^k E_i^{(r-k)} E_j E_i^{(k)} = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j, r = 1 - a_{ij},$$

$$(12) \quad \sum_{k=0}^r (-1)^k F_i^{(r-k)} F_j F_i^{(k)} = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j, r = 1 - a_{ij},$$

where

$$E_i^{(k)} = \frac{E_i^k}{[k]_{v^{d_i}}!}, \quad F_i^{(k)} = \frac{F_i^k}{[k]_{v^{d_i}}!}$$

and

$$[k]_u! = \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{u^j - u^{-j}}{u - u^{-1}} \right).^2$$

Similarly, we let $\mathbf{U}_{v^{-1}}$ be the quantum group with parameter v^{-1} , whose generators are denoted by $\overline{E}_i, \overline{F}_i, \overline{K}_\mu$. There is a \mathbb{K} -linear anti-isomorphism $\omega : \mathbf{U}_v \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_{v^{-1}}$ defined by

$$\omega(E_i) = \overline{F}_i, \quad \omega(F_i) = \overline{E}_i, \quad \omega(K_\mu) = \overline{K}_{-\mu}.$$

Given $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, the Verma module \mathbf{V}^λ is the left \mathbf{U}_v -module generated by a single element $\mathbb{1}_\lambda$ with relations $E_i \mathbb{1}_\lambda = 0$ and $K_\mu \mathbb{1}_\lambda = v^{(\mu, \lambda)} \mathbb{1}_\lambda$. We have a weight decomposition

$$\mathbf{V}^\lambda = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q^{\geq 0}} (\mathbf{V}^\lambda)_\alpha,$$

where $(\mathbf{V}^\lambda)_\alpha = \{x \in \mathbf{V}^\lambda : \forall \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*, K_\mu x = v^{(\mu, \lambda - \alpha)} x\}$ is the weight space of weight $\lambda - \alpha$. Let

$$\widehat{\mathbf{V}}^\lambda = \prod_{\alpha \in Q^{\geq 0}} (\mathbf{V}^\lambda)_\alpha$$

be the completion of \mathbf{V}^λ with respect to the weight grading. Similarly, $\overline{\mathbf{V}}^\lambda$ is the Verma module for $\mathbf{U}_{v^{-1}}$ of highest weight λ , which is generated by $\overline{\mathbb{1}}_\lambda$ with relations $\overline{E}_i \overline{\mathbb{1}}_\lambda = 0$ and $\overline{K}_\mu \overline{\mathbb{1}}_\lambda = v^{-(\mu, \lambda)} \overline{\mathbb{1}}_\lambda$ and has a similar weight decomposition. There is a unique \mathbb{K} -bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbf{V}^\lambda \times \overline{\mathbf{V}}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, satisfying $(\mathbb{1}_\lambda, \overline{\mathbb{1}}_\lambda) = 1$ and $(rx, y) = (x, \omega(r)y)$ for all $r \in \mathbf{U}_v$, which is called the Shapovalov form.

²The convention for $[k]_u!$ that we use here and in Section 4 is different to the convention for Gaussian binomial coefficients used in the introduction and in Section 5, as this is the standard convention in the context of quantum group.

Choose an orientation of the Dynkin diagram associated to the root system, which we encode by matrix $(\varepsilon_{ij})_{i=1}^n$, where

$$\varepsilon_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there is an edge oriented from } i \text{ to } j. \\ -1 & \text{if there is an edge oriented from } j \text{ to } i. \\ 0 & \text{if there is no edge from } i \text{ to } j. \end{cases}$$

Pick also a tuple of weights $(\nu_i)_{i=1}^n \in (\mathfrak{h}^*)^n$ satisfying

$$(\nu_i, \alpha_j) - (\nu_j, \alpha_i) = \varepsilon_{ij}(\alpha_i, \alpha_j).^3$$

There exists a unique vector $\theta^\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in Q^{\geq 0}} \theta_\alpha^\lambda \in \widehat{\mathbf{V}}^\lambda$, with $\theta_\alpha^\lambda \in \mathbf{V}_\alpha^\lambda$, satisfying $\theta_0^\lambda = \mathbb{1}^\lambda$ and

$$E_i K_{\nu_i} \theta^\lambda = \theta^\lambda$$

for every i , called the *Whittaker vector* (relative to the choice of orientation and tuple (ν_i))⁴. Similarly, let

$\bar{\theta}^\lambda \in \widehat{\mathbf{V}}^\lambda$ be the unique vector satisfying $\bar{\theta}_0^\lambda = \bar{\mathbb{1}}^\lambda$ and

$$\bar{E}_i \bar{K}_{\nu_i} \bar{\theta}^\lambda = \bar{\theta}^\lambda$$

for every i .

Up to a simple factor, \mathfrak{J}_α can be recovered as the Shapovalov pairing of θ_α^λ with $\bar{\theta}_\alpha^\lambda$ for $\lambda = -\rho$ [10, Theorem 3.1]:

Theorem 3.1. *For every $\alpha \in Q^{\geq 0}$,*

$$(13) \quad \mathfrak{J}_\alpha|_{q=v^2} = v^{-\frac{(\alpha, \alpha)}{2} - (\rho, \alpha)} \prod_i \frac{1}{((1-v^{2d_i})(1-v^{-2d_i}))^{a_i}} (\theta_\alpha^{-\rho}, \bar{\theta}_\alpha^{-\rho}).$$

Remark 3.1. *It is not hard to see that the right-hand side of (13) is independent of the choice of (ν_i) , as there are simple rules for how the Whittaker vectors transform under a modification of the (ν_i) [10]. A more surprising consequence of Theorem 3.1 is that the right-hand side is also independent of the choice of orientation. There does not seem to be a known direct proof of this, other than showing that the right-hand side satisfies the same recursion for any orientation.*

From this interpretation of \mathfrak{J}_α , we can easily see that \mathfrak{J}_α satisfies a symmetry property with respect to $q \rightarrow q^{-1}$ (which is not obvious from the defining recursion (1)).

Corollary 3.1. *For every $\alpha \in Q^{\geq 0}$,*

$$\mathfrak{J}_\alpha(q^{-1}) = q^{\frac{(\alpha, \alpha)}{2} + (\rho, \alpha)} \mathfrak{J}_\alpha(q).$$

Proof. Since v and v^{-1} play a symmetric role, it's clear that the term $\prod_i \frac{1}{((1-v^{2d_i})(1-v^{-2d_i}))^{a_i}} (\theta_\alpha^{-\rho}, \bar{\theta}_\alpha^{-\rho})$ is invariant under $v \rightarrow v^{-1}$. The result then follows immediately from Theorem 3.1. \square

4. DENOMINATOR

In this section, we use the representation-theoretic interpretation from Section 3 to prove Theorem 1.1. This is really a special property of the specialization \mathfrak{J}_α studied in this paper and does not extend to the multivariate enhancement mentioned in Remark 1.1. Indeed, it is crucial that we work specifically with the Verma module of highest weight $-\rho$. The key input is that, for this particular Verma module, the Shapovalov form is a perfect pairing on Lusztig's integral form.

Let $A = \mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm \frac{1}{N}} \mid N \in \mathbb{N}^*]$ and let \mathcal{U}_v be Lusztig's integral form of \mathbf{U}_v , which is the A -subalgebra of \mathbf{U}_v generated by the K_μ 's and the divided powers $E_i^{(k)}, F_i^{(k)}$ [14]. Let $\mathcal{V}^\lambda = \mathcal{U}_v \cdot \mathbb{1}^\lambda$ be the corresponding integral form of the Verma module \mathbf{V}^λ and $\mathcal{V}_\alpha^\lambda = \mathcal{V}^\lambda \cap \mathbf{V}_\alpha^\lambda$. Given a choice of reduced word for the longest

³While not unique, such a tuple always exists. For example, after having fixed an ordering of the simple roots, we can take $\nu_i = \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \omega_k \varepsilon_{ik} a_{ki}$, where ω_k is the fundamental weight, defined by $(\omega_k, \alpha_j) = \delta_{kj} d_k$.

⁴In the classical setting of Verma modules for semisimple Lie algebras, Whittaker vectors are simply eigenvectors for the Chevalley generators e_i . Such a definition cannot carry verbatim to the setting of quantum groups, since it follows from the quantum Serre relation (11) the algebra generated by the E_i admits no nondegenerate character (see [16]). This is why we need to introduce the K_{ν_i} term.

word in the Weyl group, one can construct elements $F_\beta \in \mathcal{U}_v$ for every $\beta \in \Phi_+$ and an ordering β_1, \dots, β_N of the positive roots, so that the divided power monomials

$$F^{(\vec{k})} \mathbb{1}^\lambda := F_{\beta_1}^{(k_{\beta_1})} \dots F_{\beta_N}^{(k_{\beta_N})} \mathbb{1}^\lambda$$

form an A -basis of $\mathcal{V}_\alpha^\lambda$ as $\vec{k} = (k_\beta)_{\beta \in \Phi_+}$ runs over Kostant partitions of α (i.e. $\vec{k} = (k_\beta)_{\beta \in \Phi_+} \in \mathbb{N}^{\Phi_+}$ and $\sum_{\beta \in \Phi_+} k_\beta \beta = \alpha$) [14, Theorem 6.7]. Here

$$F_\beta^{(k)} = \frac{F_\beta^k}{[k]_{v^{d_\beta}}!},$$

for $d_\beta = \frac{(\beta, \beta)}{2}$. Define $\mathcal{U}_{v^{-1}}$, $\overline{\mathcal{V}}^\lambda$, $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_\alpha^\lambda$ and $\overline{F}^{(\vec{k})} \overline{\mathbb{1}}^\lambda$ analogously.

Note that the Shapovalov form restricted to $\mathcal{V}^\lambda \times \overline{\mathcal{V}}^\lambda$ takes values in A , since $(x \mathbb{1}^\lambda, y \overline{\mathbb{1}}^\lambda) = (\mathbb{1}^\lambda, \omega(x)y \overline{\mathbb{1}}^\lambda)$ for every $x \in \mathcal{U}_v$, $y \in \mathcal{U}_{v^{-1}}$ and the right-hand side is the coefficient of $\overline{\mathbb{1}}$ in $\omega(x)y \overline{\mathbb{1}} \in \overline{\mathcal{V}}^\lambda$, which is an element of A . In the case $\lambda = -\rho$, it turns out that we have a perfect pairing over A .

Proposition 4.1. *For every $\alpha \in Q^{\geq 0}$, the Shapovalov form $\mathcal{V}_\alpha^{-\rho} \times \overline{\mathcal{V}}_\alpha^{-\rho} \rightarrow A$ is a perfect pairing.*

Proof. This is equivalent to the determinant of the Shapovalov pairing with respect to the bases $(F^{(\vec{k})} \mathbb{1}^{-\rho})_{\vec{k}}$ and $(\overline{F}^{(\vec{k})} \overline{\mathbb{1}}^{-\rho})_{\vec{k}}$ being a unit in A . This determinant was calculated by De Concini and Kac for any λ [7, Proposition 1.9], generalizing a formula of Shapovalov [17] for Verma modules over Lie algebras. De Concini and Kac work with the basis of monomials $F_{\beta_1}^{k_{\beta_1}} \dots F_{\beta_N}^{k_{\beta_N}} \mathbb{1}^\lambda$, for which their formula yields (when specialized to $\lambda = -\rho$) a determinant of

$$\pm \prod_{\beta \in \Phi_+} \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\frac{v^{md_\beta} - v^{-md_\beta}}{v^{d_\beta} - v^{-d_\beta}} \right)^{2|\text{KP}(\alpha - m\beta)|},$$

where $\text{KP}(\alpha)$ is the set of Kostant partitions of α . This can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & \pm \prod_{\beta \in \Phi_+} \prod_{\vec{k} \in \text{KP}(\alpha)} \prod_{m=1}^{k_\beta} \left(\frac{v^{md_\beta} - v^{-md_\beta}}{v^{d_\beta} - v^{-d_\beta}} \right)^2 \\ & = \pm \left(\prod_{\vec{k} \in \text{KP}(\alpha)} \prod_{\beta \in \Phi_+} [k_\beta]_{v^{d_\beta}}! \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

since there is a bijection between pairs of a natural number m and a Kostant partition of $\alpha - m\beta$ and pairs of a Kostant partition \vec{k} of α and a natural number $m \leq k_\beta$ (given by increasing k_β by m). But this is (up to a sign) exactly the square of the determinant of the change of basis matrix between De Concini-Kac's basis and the divided power basis, so the determinant of the Shapovalov form in the divided power basis is ± 1 . \square

With this proposition established, we are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We will prove by induction on α that

$$\theta_\alpha^{-\rho} \in \frac{1}{[\alpha]_v!} \mathcal{V}_\alpha^{-\rho},$$

where

$$[\alpha]_v! = \prod_{i=1}^n [a_i]_{v^{d_i}}! = \frac{v^{-\sum_i \binom{a_i}{2}}}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - v^{2d_i})^{a_i}} (q)_\alpha \Big|_{q=v^2}$$

if $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \alpha_i$. The base case $\alpha = 0$ is clear since $\theta_0^{-\rho} = \mathbb{1}^{-\rho}$. If $\alpha > 0$, then $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_\alpha^{-\rho}$ is spanned (over A) by vectors of the form $\overline{F}_i^{(k)} x$ for some $k > 0$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $x \in \overline{\mathcal{V}}_{\alpha - k\alpha_i}^{-\rho}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_\alpha^{-\rho}, \overline{F}_i^{(k)} x) &= (E_i^{(k)} \theta_\alpha^{-\rho}, x) \\ &= \frac{1}{[k]_{v_i}!} (E_i^k \theta_\alpha^{-\rho}, x) \\ &= \frac{v^r}{[k]_{v_i}!} (\theta_{\alpha - k\alpha_i}^{-\rho}, x) \\ &\in \frac{1}{[k]_{v_i}! [\alpha - k\alpha_i]_v!} A \subseteq \frac{1}{[\alpha]_v!} A \end{aligned}$$

for some $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ (which depends on the data (ν_i)), where we used the induction hypothesis and the fact that $[k]_{v_i}! [\alpha - k\alpha_i]_v!$ divides $[\alpha]_v!$ in A on the last line. This shows that

$$(\theta_\alpha^{-\rho}, \overline{\mathcal{V}}_\alpha^{-\rho}) \subseteq \frac{1}{[\alpha]_v!} A,$$

so $\theta_\alpha^{-\rho} \in \frac{1}{[\alpha]_v!} \mathcal{V}_\alpha^{-\rho}$ by Proposition 4.1. Similarly, we have $\bar{\theta}_\alpha^{-\rho} \in \frac{1}{[\alpha]_v!} \overline{\mathcal{V}}_\alpha^{-\rho}$, so

$$(\theta_\alpha^{-\rho}, \bar{\theta}_\alpha^{-\rho}) \in \frac{1}{([\alpha]_v!)^2} A.$$

Setting $q = v^2$ and applying Theorem 3.1, we get that

$$\mathfrak{J}_\alpha \in \frac{1}{(q)_\alpha^2} \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$$

(since $A \cap \mathbb{Q}(v^2) = \mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 2}]$). But it's easy to see from e.g. (2) that \mathfrak{J}_α has no pole at $q = 0$, so in fact

$$\mathfrak{J}_\alpha \in \frac{1}{(q)_\alpha^2} \mathbb{Z}[q].$$

□

Remark 4.1. For $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \alpha_i \in Q_n^{\geq 0}$, it follows from Corollary 3.1 (and the easy fact that $\mathfrak{J}_\alpha|_{q=0} = 1$) that the polynomial $(q)_\alpha^2 \mathfrak{J}_\alpha$ is palindromic and monic of degree

$$2 \deg((q)_\alpha) - \frac{(\alpha, \alpha)}{2} - (\rho, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (a_i + 1) - \frac{(\alpha, \alpha)}{2} - (\rho, \alpha).$$

In the simply-laced case, this simplifies to $\sum_{(i,j) \in E} a_i a_j$, where E is the set of edges of the Dynkin diagram.

Note that, in terms of the geometric interpretation of \mathfrak{J}_α , palindromicity follows from the fact that Z^α is Gorenstein [3, Theorem 1.2] by a classical theorem of Stanley [18, Theorem 4.1]. However, it's not as clear how to obtain the degree from this perspective.

5. COMBINATORIAL FORMULA IN TYPE A

Let $Q_n = \{(x_j)_{j=1}^{n+1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} : \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} x_j = 0\}$ denote the root lattice of type A_n , which has a basis given by the simple roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, where $\alpha_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, -1, 0, \dots, 0)$ with the 1 in position i . Let $Q_n^{\geq 0}$ denote the positive part of the root lattice, i.e. the \mathbb{N} -span of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$. We reformulate Theorem 1.2 as follows.

Definition 5.1. For $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \alpha_i \in Q_n^{\geq 0}$, define polynomials $H_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ recursively by

$$(14) \quad H_\alpha = \sum_{\beta} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} a_j \\ b_j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} a_{j+1} \\ b_j \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\frac{(\beta, \beta)}{2}} H_\beta,$$

where the sum is over all $\beta = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j \alpha_j \in Q_{n-1}^{\geq 0}$ if $n > 0$, and $H_\alpha = 1$ if $n = 0$

Theorem 5.1. *For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_n^{\geq 0}$, we have*

$$(15) \quad \mathfrak{J}_\alpha = \frac{H_\alpha}{(q)_\alpha^2}$$

where H_α is as in Definition 5.1.

It's clear that Theorem 5.1 is an equivalent reformulation of Theorem 1.2. Before proving it, we will need the following technical calculation:

Lemma 5.1. *Let $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, (b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be sequences of integers, finitely many of which are nonzero. Then we have the identity*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_i ((q^{a_{i+1}-a_i} - 1) - q^{a_{i+1}-a_i}(1 - q^{a_i-b_i})(1 - q^{a_i-b_{i-1}})) \\ &= \sum_i ((q^{b_{i+1}-b_i} - 1) - q^{b_i-b_{i-1}}(1 - q^{a_i-b_i})(1 - q^{a_{i+1}-b_i})) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The left-hand side expands to

$$\sum_i (q^{a_{i+1}-b_i} + q^{a_{i+1}-b_{i-1}} - q^{a_{i+1}+a_i-b_i-b_{i-1}} - 1)$$

and the right-hand side expands to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_i (q^{b_{i+1}-b_i} - q^{b_i-b_{i-1}} + q^{a_i-b_{i-1}} + q^{a_{i+1}-b_{i-1}} - q^{a_{i+1}+a_i-b_i-b_{i-1}} - 1) \\ &= \sum_i (q^{a_i-b_{i-1}} + q^{a_{i+1}-b_{i-1}} - q^{a_{i+1}+a_i-b_i-b_{i-1}} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

by telescoping. The difference between the two sides is therefore

$$\sum_i (q^{a_{i+1}-b_i} - q^{a_i-b_{i-1}})$$

which vanishes by telescoping. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.1. This is obvious for $\alpha = 0$, so it's enough to check that $\frac{H_\alpha}{(q)_\alpha^2}$ satisfies the Toda recursion (3). Multiplying by $(q)_\alpha^2$ on both sides, we can rewrite this Toda recursion as

$$(16) \quad \left(\sum_i (q^{a_{i+1}-a_i} - 1) \right) H_\alpha = \sum_i q^{a_{i+1}-a_i} (1 - q^{a_i})^2 H_{\alpha-\alpha_i},$$

where for convenience we will have the sums run over all of \mathbb{Z} with the convention that $a_i = 0$ if $i \leq 0$ or $i > n$. Note that if $a_i = 0$ the coefficient of $H_{\alpha-\alpha_i}$ vanishes, so we don't have to worry about $H_{\alpha-\alpha_i}$ being undefined in this case.

We prove (16) by induction on n , the base case $n = 0$ being trivial. Note that, using (14) and the identity

$$(1 - q^a) \begin{bmatrix} a-1 \\ b \end{bmatrix}_q = (1 - q^{a-b}) \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - q^{a_i})^2 H_{\alpha-\alpha_i} &= (1 - q^{a_i})^2 \sum_\beta \begin{bmatrix} a_i-1 \\ b_i \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} a_i-1 \\ b_{i-1} \end{bmatrix}_q \prod_{j \neq i} \begin{bmatrix} a_j \\ b_j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} a_j \\ b_{j-1} \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\frac{(\beta, \beta)}{2}} H_\beta \\ &= (1 - q^{a_i-b_i})(1 - q^{a_i-b_{i-1}}) \sum_\beta \prod_j \begin{bmatrix} a_j \\ b_j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} a_j \\ b_{j-1} \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\frac{(\beta, \beta)}{2}} H_\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into the right-hand side of (16), substituting (14) into the left-hand side, and bringing all the terms to the same side, we see that (16) is equivalent to the vanishing of

$$\sum_\beta \sum_i ((q^{a_{i+1}-a_i} - 1) - q^{a_{i+1}-a_i}(1 - q^{a_i-b_i})(1 - q^{a_i-b_{i-1}})) \prod_j \begin{bmatrix} a_j \\ b_j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} a_j \\ b_{j-1} \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\frac{(\beta, \beta)}{2}} H_\beta.$$

$D(\underline{m})$ is easily seen to count the number of 1's in the array \underline{m} that are not in the bottom row and have no 1's directly to their right. One can check that every diagonal contains at most one such "rightmost 1", and it contains one if and only if it has the same number of 0's as the diagonal directly below⁵. On the other hand, two consecutive diagonals have the same number of 0's if and only if there is no valley between them. Since there are $n - 1$ possible pairs of consecutive diagonals, this proves the claim. For example, for the array above, there are valleys between diagonals 1 and 2 and between diagonals 2 and 3, and there is a rightmost 1 in diagonal 3.

It then follows from Theorem 1.2 that K_α is the n^{th} Catalan number and that $(1 - q)^{2n} \mathfrak{J}_\alpha$ is the n^{th} Narayana polynomial (which is the generating polynomial for the "number of valleys" statistic for Dyck paths).

Note that the n^{th} Narayana polynomial is also known to arise as the numerator of the Hilbert series for the homogeneous coordinate ring of the Grassmannian of planes $G(2, n + 2)$ in its Plucker embedding [13]. This raises the question of a possible connection (such as a flat degeneration) between this Grassmannian and the Zastava space Z^α which would explain this equality of Hilbert series. We do not know of such an explanation.

6. CONCLUSION AND OPEN PROBLEMS

The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on the representation-theoretic interpretation of \mathfrak{J}_α . It would be interesting to give an elementary proof using the fermionic recursion directly (which we do not know how to do even in the $q \rightarrow 1$ limit of Remark 1.2). It would also be very interesting to give a geometric explanation for the denominator, using the interpretation from Section 2. Note that one factor of $(q)_\alpha$ in the denominator comes from the Hilbert series of \mathbb{A}^α (see Remark 2.1), but the other factor of $(q)_\alpha$ is not explained. The following conjecture would give a geometric proof of Theorem 1.1 if proven.

Conjecture 6.1. *For every $\alpha \in Q^{\geq 0}$, there is a regular sequence $(a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq a_i}$ in $\mathbb{C}[Z_0^\alpha]$ such that $a_{i,j}$ is homogeneous of degree j .*

Note that there does exist a regular sequence with the right number of elements (as Zastava spaces are known to be Cohen-Macaulay [3, Theorem 1.2]), but the difficult part is getting the right degrees. Conjecture 6.1 would in fact imply the following stronger form of Theorem 1.1, asserting that the numerator has positive coefficients:

Conjecture 6.2. *For every $\alpha \in Q^{\geq 0}$, we have*

$$\mathfrak{J}_\alpha \in \frac{1}{(q)_\alpha^2} \mathbb{N}[q].$$

Conjecture 6.2 holds in type A by Theorem 1.2, but we do not know a proof in the general case. Another possible future direction of research would be to find explicit combinatorial formulas for the polynomial $(q)_\alpha^2 \mathfrak{J}_\alpha$ similar to (4) in types other than type A. This could potentially be another way of verifying Conjecture 6.2.

Finally, it would also be nice to give a more conceptual proof of Theorem 1.2, using either the geometric or representation-theoretic interpretation of \mathfrak{J}_α . For example, if one could find a regular sequence $(a_{i,j})$ as in Conjecture 6.1 and a nice basis for the finite-dimensional graded algebra $\mathbb{C}[Z_0^\alpha]/(a_{i,j})$ of a combinatorial flavor, it could yield a new proof of Theorem 1.2.

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank his advisor Joel Kamnitzer for his guidance and comments on the paper, as well as Dave Anderson, Leonid Rybnikov, Vasily Krylov and Alexander Braverman for interesting discussions.

⁵Here we use *diagonal* to mean a line consisting of entries $m_{k,i}$ for a fixed i , i.e. these are the diagonals with a bottom-left to top-right orientation.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alexander Braverman, Galyna Dobrovolska, and Michael Finkelberg. Gaiotto-Witten superpotential and Whittaker D-modules on monopoles. *Adv. Math.*, 300:451–472, 2016.
- [2] Alexander Braverman and Michael Finkelberg. Finite difference quantum Toda lattice via equivariant K -theory. *Transform. Groups*, 10(3-4):363–386, 2005.
- [3] Alexander Braverman and Michael Finkelberg. Semi-infinite Schubert varieties and quantum K -theory of flag manifolds. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 27(4):1147–1168, 2014.
- [4] Alexander Braverman and Michael Finkelberg. Twisted zastava and q -Whittaker functions. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 96(2):309–325, 2017.
- [5] Alexander Braverman, Michael Finkelberg, and Hiraku Nakajima. Towards a mathematical definition of Coulomb branches of 3-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theories, II. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 22(5):1071–1147, 2018.
- [6] Alexander Braverman, Michael Finkelberg, and Hiraku Nakajima. Coulomb branches of $3d \mathcal{N} = 4$ quiver gauge theories and slices in the affine Grassmannian. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 23(1):75–166, 2019. With two appendices by Braverman, Finkelberg, Joel Kamnitzer, Ryosuke Kodera, Nakajima, Ben Webster and Alex Weekes.
- [7] Corrado De Concini and Victor G. Kac. Representations of quantum groups at roots of 1. In *Operator algebras, unitary representations, enveloping algebras, and invariant theory (Paris, 1989)*, volume 92 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 471–506. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.
- [8] Philippe Di Francesco, Rinat Kedem, and Bolor Turmunkh. A path model for Whittaker vectors. *J. Phys. A*, 50(25):255201, 35, 2017.
- [9] Pavel Etingof. Whittaker functions on quantum groups and q -deformed Toda operators. In *Differential topology, infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, and applications*, volume 194 of *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, pages 9–25. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [10] Boris Feigin, Evgeny Feigin, Michio Jimbo, Tetsuji Miwa, and Evgeny Mukhin. Fermionic formulas for eigenfunctions of the difference Toda Hamiltonian. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 88(1-3):39–77, 2009.
- [11] Michael Finkelberg and Ivan Mirković. Semi-infinite flags. I. Case of global curve \mathbf{P}^1 . In *Differential topology, infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, and applications*, volume 194 of *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, pages 81–112. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [12] Alexander Givental and Yuan-Pin Lee. Quantum K -theory on flag manifolds, finite-difference Toda lattices and quantum groups. *Invent. Math.*, 151(1):193–219, 2003.
- [13] Benedict H. Gross and Nolan R. Wallach. On the Hilbert polynomials and Hilbert series of homogeneous projective varieties. In *Arithmetic geometry and automorphic forms*, volume 19 of *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)*, pages 253–263. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011.
- [14] George Lusztig. Quantum groups at roots of 1. *Geom. Dedicata*, 35(1-3):89–113, 1990.
- [15] Alexei Sevostyanov. Quantum deformation of Whittaker modules and the Toda lattice. *Duke Math. J.*, 105(2):211–238, 2000.
- [16] Alexey Sevostyanov. Regular nilpotent elements and quantum groups. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 204(1):1–16, 1999.
- [17] N. N. Shapovalov. A certain bilinear form on the universal enveloping algebra of a complex semisimple Lie algebra. *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.*, 6(4):65–70, 1972.
- [18] Richard P. Stanley. Hilbert functions of graded algebras. *Advances in Math.*, 28(1):57–83, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MCGILL UNIVERSITY
 Email address: antoine.labelle@mail.mcgill.ca