

# Named Entity Recognition for Serbian Legal Documents: Design, Methodology and Dataset Development

Vladimir Kaluše

Visual Computing & Perception Group  
The Institute for Artificial Intelligence  
Research and Development of Serbia  
Novi Sad, Republic of Serbia  
vladimir.kalusev@ivi.ac.rs

Branko Brkljač

Dept. of Power, Electronic and Telecommunication Engineering  
Faculty of Technical Sciences, University of Novi Sad  
Novi Sad, Republic of Serbia  
brkljac@uns.ac.rs

**Abstract**—Recent advancements in the field of natural language processing (NLP) and especially large language models (LLMs) and their numerous applications have brought research attention to design of different document processing tools and enhancements in the process of document archiving, search and retrieval. Domain of official, legal documents is especially interesting due to vast amount of data generated on the daily basis, as well as the significant community of interested practitioners (lawyers, law offices, administrative workers, state institutions and citizens). Providing efficient ways for automation of everyday work involving legal documents is therefore expected to have significant impact in different fields. In this work we present one LLM based solution for Named Entity Recognition (NER) in the case of legal documents written in Serbian language. It leverages on the pre-trained bidirectional encoder representations from transformers (BERT), which had been carefully adapted to the specific task of identifying and classifying specific data points from textual content. Besides novel dataset development for Serbian language (involving public court rulings), presented system design and applied methodology, the paper also discusses achieved performance metrics and their implications for objective assessment of the proposed solution. Performed cross-validation tests on the created manually labeled dataset with mean  $F_1$  score of 0.96 and additional results on the examples of intentionally modified text inputs confirm applicability of the proposed system design and robustness of the developed NER solution.

**Index Terms**—Named Entity Recognition (NER), legal documents, BERT, language model, NER4Legal\_SRB

## I. INTRODUCTION

Named Entity Recognition (NER) represents identification and classification of named entities in certain text or document, where named entities are typically noun phrases or predefined categories that refer to some specific object, person, places, dates, or other domain specific entities [1]. These tools are often used for preprocessing or analysis of text documents for the purpose of information extraction, document tagging, retrieval or search. Thus, the possible use cases for NER tools are very diverse and depend on specific text domain and application. In the context of legal documents, possibility to automatically extract structured information about involved parties (places, reference numbers, court names, dates, laws,

official gazette, money amounts, etc.) allows precise archiving, design of efficient search engines and question answering (QA) tools. Since such information is usually the most significant in the document, extraction of key entity values also makes the text summarization, document classification and sentiment analysis easier to perform. Some of the examples of contemporary NER tools specifically targeting legal documents are described in [2] for Turkish, [3] for German, and in [4]–[6] for English language, demonstrating significant interest in this application area. Since Serbian language is still considered as low-resource in context of LLM development and different downstream applications. Thus, NER tools for legal documents in Serbian are still rare and uncommon among practitioners.

In order to overcome existing challenges, and contribute towards democratization of LLM technology and its proliferation among Serbian speaking community, we demonstrate design and development of one specific NLP downstream application that leverages on fine tuning of pre-trained model (PTM). Proposed NER for Serbian legal documents leverages on the BERT type PTM [7], which was previously developed for Serbian and other south Slavic languages by [8]. Presented solution and reported results confirm applicability of proposed design for PTM task adaptation in the case of low-resource languages, and especially in the domain of official legal texts. Therefore it is expected that presented methodology will motivate further development of similar language tools for Serbian language. According to the conducted experiments on the annotated dataset consisting of 75 unique appellate court rulings from standard legal practice, the proposed NER model achieves mean recognition accuracy of 0.99 and individual  $F_1$  measures in the range between 0.89 and 0.99 over 15 NER categories corresponding to 8 unique named entity (NE) types characteristic for such legal documents. Besides cross-validation, additional tests involving noised textual data confirm robustness of NER model and its applicability for the specific task. The **NER4Legal\_SRB** model parameters and proposed dataset are freely available in the following repository: [https://huggingface.co/kalusev/NER4Legal\\_SRB](https://huggingface.co/kalusev/NER4Legal_SRB).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows, in Section II we provide an overview of NER design principles and contemporary approaches involving PTM and different NLP representation learning techniques. In Section III are described labeling process and created legal texts dataset, as well as NER model architecture and PTM adaptation to downstream NER task by low-resource fine-tuning over developed dataset. Section IV presents experimental results and their discussion. Finally, Section V indicates directions for future work.

## II. RELATED WORK

There are different design approaches for NER tools, which are trying to capture intricate language context in order to extract and recognize specific entity values. Entity meaning is usually tied to its surrounding context, which makes the rule based [9], [10], and dictionary based methods [11] less favourable in contrast to machine learning (ML) based approaches [4], [12], [13] or hybrid architectures [14], [15].

Traditional NER methods were typically relying on hand-crafted features capturing short-distance relations between the words in the sequence, and lacking the ability to consider bidirectional word relationships. As a result, they often fell short when dealing with complex linguistic scenarios where an entity’s meaning reflects the surrounding context. Supervised NER solves a multi-class classification problem or a sequence labeling task, where each of the labeled training samples is represented by the corresponding feature vector, and the corresponding ML model is used to recognize new samples from the text. Depending on the classification model, there had been various learning approaches mainly based on sequence modelling capabilities of Hidden Markov Models (HMMs) [16], Conditional Random Fields (CRFs) [17], [18]. Such approaches were usually relying on fixed word embeddings and limited length observation windows over tokens (a single words or subword units in the input text) for feature engineering, as well as decision trees [19] or a set of binary Support Vector Machines (SVMs) [20] on the part of the learning task. A typical example of semi-supervised sequence modeling approach for NER is [21], where the K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) classifier is used for pre-labeling of tweet data, after which the CRF model is applied in the sequential manner in order to produce the final predicted labels sequence.

In order to capture non-trivial long-distance dependencies in word or token sequences, neural networks capable of processing variable length inputs, like the recurrent neural network (RNN) and the long-short term memory (LSTM) units with the forget gate, were applied to NER classification tasks, which brought a significant performance improvement over the previous approaches [21]. Most recently, the concepts of bi-directional LSTMs and CNNs that learn both character- and word-level features were further improved with the introduction of pre-trained transformer based bi-directional representations provided by BERT type [7] language models. Such contextualized language-model embeddings, comprising of token position, segment and token embedding are usually characterized as hybrid representations.

The key for development of cost effective solutions for different NLP tasks is ability to exploit learned representations of input data (inherently learned by LLM pre-training) and perform low-resource model adaptation in domain specific downstream tasks. It was made possible by recent advancements in self-supervised training of LLM architectures that are designed in the style of encoder, decoder or encoder-decoder deep neural networks (DNNs). BERT [7] or bidirectional encoder representations from transformers are particularly well suited for NER task due to self-attention mechanism, which means that the encoder considers the entire context (e.g. in total up to 512 tokens for sentence, or multiple sentences in the paragraph) when predicting the category for a specific token, including observations from the past and future (i.e. both preceding and following tokens), due to its bidirectional training and structure. On the other hand, decoder type PTMs, like GPT [22], are generally considered as less suitable for NER and similar NLP tasks like sentiment analysis and masked word prediction, due to unidirectional structure of decoder type PTMs that is well suited for word prediction and NLP tasks involving text generation, like text summarization, text completion or machine type translation. This was made possible by proposal of various learning strategies that have significantly improved representation learning by exploiting vast corpora of unannotated data. These include word-level objectives like causal language modeling (CLM) in [22], masked language modeling (MLM) in [7] and its span-level generalization in [23], replaced (token detection) language modeling (RLM) in [24], or denoising language modeling (DLM) in [25]. Similarly, their sentence-level counter parts like next sentence prediction (NSP) in [7], sentence order prediction (SOP) in [26] or sentence contrastive learning (SCL) in [27]. However, it should be noted that PTM performance varies depending on the type of downstream task, as well as the implementation, as suggested by [28], where it was shown that BERT type [7] baseline performs better in comparison to ALBERT model [26] on NER tasks, despite improvements that were brought by [26] over [7] (e.g. lower memory consumption and increased training speed, without the NSP strategy [29]).

When it comes to PTM based solutions for Serbian NLP, besides BERTić [8] and its derivatives for QA [30] and NER [31], notable works relying on other PTMs also include learned embedding models proposed in [32] and [33]. Significant efforts were also put into Serbian specific NER solutions proposed in [34], [35], while [36] considers the problem of using Serbian specific BERT based PTMs instead of multi-lingual BERTs [7], [37] or south Slavic BERT models [8]. As pointed out by [38], in the recent period there have been several attempts of developing Serbian specific PTMs like the [39] PTM based on RoBERTa architecture [29]. However, when it comes to downstream tasks, according to [38] and [36], NER solutions based on Serbian specific PTMs achieve similar performance to NER models fine-tuned on BERTić [8], as measured by NER experiments involving seven entities: demonyms (DEMO), professions and titles (ROLE), works

of art (WORK), person names (PERS), places (LOC), events (EVENT) and organizations (ORG); defined in SrpELTeC dataset proposed in [35].

### III. METHODS AND DATA

Specific challenges for NER in legal documents usually stem from regulatory and compliance complexities, which come from the unique set of laws and regulations in each country, besides the language barrier that can be regarded as significant problem in the case of PTMs adaptation for languages with low NLP resources. From the literature it is known that the pre-training of BERT type models on the domain specific corpora (by adaptation or from scratch) can bring certain performance gains, like in the case of Legal-BERT [4]. However, in practice such approach can be unfeasible for resource constrained solution designs. Similarly, our approach is more baseline in comparison to [5], where the Legal-LUKE model was trained from the beginning to solve MLM and NER at the same time (predict both words and entities, i.e. achieve legal-contextualized and entity-aware representations)

In this work we have decided to rely on the existing PTM proposed in [8] and focus on the development of small scale dataset of legal texts that would allow us to demonstrate feasibility of fine-tuning BERTiC [8] model for the specific downstream task of recognizing 8 named entities (NEs) in Serbian legal documents. Defined NEs include: names of the courts (COURT), calendar dates (DATE), final rulings on the matter at hand (DECISION), names and abbreviations of written laws issued by the government (LAW), money values (MONEY), names of the announcements regarding new laws, amendments, and regulations exclusively from the official gazette of the Republic of Serbia (OFFICIAL GAZETTE), full names of the persons and anonymized abbreviations (NAME), alphanumeric designations of the court rulings (REFERENCE). The number and type of defined NEs is similar to legal NER solution described in [2].

Adopted approach can be regarded as similar to methods proposed in [40], [41], in the sense that the goal was to design effective NER system with the small amount of training data for the fine-tuning of the existing PTM. However, in comparison to [40] proposed dataset is fully annotated, and we avoid training on partially annotated legal documents.

#### A. Dataset development

Legal texts, and especially court rulings, are known to contain long, nested and syntactically complex sentences, which are made of formal and domain specific language, with presence of complex abbreviations and cross-referencing on other documents. Although the process of digitization is ubiquitous, including optical character recognition (OCR) of the old archives, there is still a lack of annotated legal datasets in Serbian language, and a challenge of constantly evolving legal frameworks. Additional challenge is also that NEs in legal documents can contain synonyms, abbreviations, and misspellings.




Fig. 1: (a) An illustration of original court ruling in Cyrillic script; (b) annotation process with BIO scheme; (c) number of NE types appearances per each cross-validation subset (random sampling procedure is described in Algorithm 1).

In order to most efficiently cover all types of legal documents in which previously described 8 NEs appear, we have decided to exclusively focus on currently available examples of Serbian judicial practice, which are available from the official website [42] of the Ministry of Justice. Such decision was motivated by the fact that this repository contains official public documents (court rulings) that were carefully chosen by the repository editors in order to provide representative samples of Serbian judicial practice in appellate courts. Since these rulings, Fig. 1a, are mostly uniform in structure and cover appellations from municipal and high courts in Serbia, present NEs are quite diverse in both their values and context appearances. In contrast to Legal-BERT [4], we have not considered official law texts, which e.g. do not contain person names and court ruling references, while other NEs usually do not appear in the similar context as in the court rulings.

In total 75 documents addressing non-economic damages were collected from [42]. Since Serbian language can be written in both Cyrillic and Latin alphabet, and while BERTiC [8] PTM is producing more tokens in tokenization process of Cyrillic texts, for the purpose of NER design demonstration we have decided to perform transliteration of original documents from Cyrillic to Latin script. Since BERT type models are usually accepting up to 512 token length for encoder input,

original documents were processed into one sentence per line textual files. Such data were manually annotated by using the tool available in [43], Fig. 1b, with character level annotation precision (entity selection without white-spaces at the ends and punctuation marks) and outputs saved in JSON file format.

In the case of entities that consist of several words, there are different strategies for NEs annotation [44], e.g. IO, BIO (or IOB), IOE, IOBES, IE, BIES (B(begin)- and E(end)-, mark the first and the last token of an entity, S(single)- single token entity, while I(inside)- and O(outside)- mark the tokens within and outside of the entities, respectively). It means that the number of instances of O category (non-entity words) dominates the dataset. Although IO scheme was found to outperform others [45], it comes with disadvantage of not being able to distinguish between consecutive NEs, while the most common BIO performs similarly to others and was therefore applied, Fig. 1b. Note that sentences without any NE were not taken into final annotated dataset. Thus, annotation of 75 legal documents resulted in total 2172 sentences containing NEs, i.e. 758012 characters which have produced 183543 tokens after applied WordPiece tokenization [46], for which the same [47] tokenizer implementation as in selected PTM [8] was utilized. Regarding the NE distribution, there were: 1484 "person", 653 "court", 1122 "date", 504 "reference", 112 "official gazette", 295 "decision", 1342 "law", and 807 "money" appearances, in total 6319. After application of BIO annotation scheme, instead of initial 8+1 entity types (8 described NEs and additional O-type) multi word NEs in the dataset produced in total 14+1 classification categories ("date" and "decision" NE do not produce an I-type token label).

### B. Model development

Adopted PTM was pre-trained using ELECTRA framework [24], i.e. replaced token detection language modeling (RLM), which is characterized by the following optimization objective:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{PTM}} = \mathcal{L}_G + \lambda \mathcal{L}_D, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_G$  denotes the standard MLM pre-training of generative BERT encoder  $G$ , while  $\mathcal{L}_D$  is the objective of the RLM specific discriminator  $D$ . If we denote with  $\hat{x}_t$  masking of token  $t$  in MLM, and with  $\tilde{x}_t$  token replacement with the word that was generated by  $G$  in RLM, then the corresponding objectives can be defined by probabilities  $P_G$  and  $P_D$ , which correspond to probability of generator  $G$  correctly predicting the masked word  $x_t$  in sequence  $\mathbf{x}$ , or probability of discriminator  $D$  correctly detecting that the original word  $x_t$  was replaced by the generated  $\tilde{x}_t$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_G = - \sum_{t \in M} y_t \log P_G(x_t | \hat{x}_t), \quad (2)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_D = - \sum_{t=1}^T [y_t \log P_D(y_t = 1 | \tilde{x}_t) + (1 - y_t) \log P_D(y_t = 0 | \tilde{x}_t)], \quad (3)$$

where  $y_t = 1$  indicates the word replacement or masking operation.

We note that  $G$  is not trained in an adversarial fashion, characteristic for generative adversarial networks (GANs), but separately from  $D$ , while  $\mathcal{L}_D$  contains two terms encompassing discriminator decisions in both cases (when the original word  $x_t$  in the sequence is present at the input of  $D$ , as well as when it is replaced by the MLM generator  $G$ ), which increases the number of tokens  $T$  contributing to model update. This approach makes training more efficient, as the PTM learns from every token rather than just masked ones. Pre-trained discriminator  $D$  is then fine-tuned on sentences from legal documents for token classification task with 15 unique labels (categories) corresponding to described NER in Section III-A.

### C. Experimental setup

In order to effectively perform and assess proposed downstream adaptation of PTM, the following statistical cross-validation procedure was used. Initially created dataset with imbalanced number of tokens per NE types was first randomly shuffled at document level into five disjunct subsets, i.e. random 5-fold cross-validation partition, as shown in Fig. 1c. It is achieved by iterative clustering procedure described in Algorithm 1, consisting of grouping of documents with similar NE distributions:

---

#### Algorithm 1

---

- 1: **procedure** TRAINING/TEST SET RANDOM PARTITION
  - 2:    **Initialization:**  $\mathcal{K}$  annotated documents, with  $\mathcal{C}$  NE types (categories); set number of partition  $\mathcal{P}$  subsets  $K$ ,  $\mathcal{P} = \{S_1, \dots, S_K\}$
  - 3:    **Iterative procedure:**
  - 4:    # 1: Assign feature vector  $f_i$  to each document  $D_i$ , reflecting the NE content in labeled sentences, e.g. normalized histogram of NE appearances in  $D_i$
  - 5:    # 2: Group  $D_i$ ,  $i = 1..K$ , into  $K$  subsets  $\tilde{S}_k$  based on similarity in feature space  $f_i \in \mathbb{R}^C$ , e.g. by  $K$ -means clustering with  $L_p$  distance:
  - 6:     $\min_{\{\tilde{S}_1, \tilde{S}_2, \dots, \tilde{S}_K\}} \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{f_i \in \tilde{S}_k} \|x_i - \mu_k\|_p^p$
  - 7:    # 3: By sampling without replacement, randomly select one document per each  $\tilde{S}_k$  and assign that document to final partition subset  $S_k$ . If some of  $\tilde{S}_k$  become empty, continue the iterative procedure over remaining ones until all  $D_i$ ,  $i = 1..K$  are assigned to some  $S_k \in \mathcal{P}$ .
  - 8:    **Final Deduplication:** Remove duplicates, i.e. identical sentences that appear in all documents in the same partition subset  $S_k$  (e.g. name of the court in the heading)
  - 9: **end procedure**
- 

Although random partition of original dataset is performed on document level, final training/test subsets are made only of one sentence per line entries from corresponding documents. Applied procedure with parameters  $\mathcal{K} = 75$ ,  $\mathcal{C} = 9$ ,  $K = 5$ ,  $L_1$  distance and NE type histograms as feature vectors  $f_i$  resulted in partition shown in Fig. 1c. Class "O" of tokens denoting words outside 8 predefined NEs expectedly had several orders

of magnitude higher number of instances and therefore was not shown on the same diagram in Fig. 1c, although it was taken into account for  $f_i$  computation. Note that proposed randomization procedure did not consider final 14+1 categories of NEs that are obtained after text tokenization, as described in Section III-A.

#### D. Model training process

Model performance was measured by 5 independent experiments involving selection of 4 partition subsets for training and 1 for test. Production model parameters were obtained by training over all available data. Model training convergence over one of the cross-validation folds is illustrated in Fig. 2.

For model implementation was used Python programming language and cloud computing platform with one A100-SXM4 GPU device and 40GB of memory. Each of the 5 training sessions consisted of selecting 4 out of 5 partition subsets and random splitting of their labeled sentences into temporary training and validation sets in the ratio 9:1, respectively. After initial pre-study involving AdamW optimizer, transformer DNN model parameters were optimized using learning rate of  $2e-5$ , weight decay 0.01, fp16 precision, 4 gradient accumulation steps, number of training epochs 6, and the best model selection based on the mean validation set  $F_1$  score. Since the input sequence length was limited to 512 tokens, training batch size was deliberately set to 2 in order to




Fig. 2: (a) Model optimization loss, and (b) mean accuracy over training iteration steps.

avoid any possibility of exceeding the maximum input length in case of long and nested sentences in legal documents, which can be regarded as suboptimal solution. Number of labeled NE training samples varied between 1143 and 1464 per training session. Since the total number of the labeled sentences was 2172, approximately 90% of 1738 was used in each training session. This corresponds to  $\approx 1560$  sentences for each training epoch, with the effective batch size of 8 (due to 4 gradient accumulation steps), which leads to around  $\approx 195$  training steps per epoch, i.e.  $\approx 1172$  iterations. A more detailed illustration of the training process over each of the 15 NER model output categories (BIO labels) is shown in Fig. 3. The number of steps in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 is the same, but in general varies between the experiments.

We note that precision is measured as the percentage of labeled NEs found by the NER system that are correct, while the recall is the percentage of labeled NEs present in the corpus




Fig. 3: (a) Precision and (b) recall curves for each of 15 NER output classes (categories) over training iteration steps.

that are found by the system. A NE was considered as the correct only if it was an exact match of the corresponding entity in the data file. Individual  $F_1$  measures over each of the classes during training are shown in Fig. 4




Fig. 4:  $F_1$  measure per each output class vs training iterations.

Final production model had 450 MB in size, 110 M trainable parameters and its training using all available data lasted under ten minutes on the given hardware. During training total memory reserved on the GPU did not exceed 4 GB, while the initially allocated memory was around 1.4 GB in size.

#### IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Proposed NER solution was extensively tested using objective performance measures, as well as by additional model analysis involving human judgment of NER quality and effectiveness. Model efficiency was not specifically analyzed, but the model size was such that it was easy to run the production model on local notebook machine. Subjective evaluation was done in order to test developed solution on out of sample sentences and investigate model robustness against different kinds of noisy inputs. More details regarding obtained results and their analysis is provided in the following.

##### A. Cross-validation performance

Detailed statistical cross-validation of model performance was performed according to procedure outlined in Section III-D, which consisted of estimation of accuracy ( $Acc$ ), precision ( $Prec$ ), recall ( $Rec$ ) and  $F_1$  measure. Besides individual metrics computed for each NER category ( $C = 15$  output classes), their statistical averages were also reported, the last row in Table I. All metrics were computed based on results of 5 independent test experiments, in which there was no overlap between the legal documents in the training and test sets. Individual NER results are aggregated and shown in accuracy assessment matrix in Fig. 5.

The average values in Table I were computed by macro averaging, in which the metrics were first calculated individually for each class based on values in Fig. 5 and then averaged




Fig. 5: Accuracy assessment matrix

across all classes. Alternative aggregate metrics would be the ones obtained by micro averaging that would compute number of true positives ( $TP$ ), true negatives ( $TN$ ) and false negatives ( $FN$ ) across all classes and then compute aggregate metrics by their averaging. However, this approach was not pursued since it would lead to more optimistic aggregate metrics due to bias that would be introduced by class "O" with several orders of magnitude more samples. Similarly also holds for  $Acc$ , which is also known to have overly optimistic value in case of large number of negative samples that are correctly classified. Thus, based on results in Fig. 5 the reported metrics were computed by following expressions:

$$\overline{Prec} = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{i=1}^C \frac{TP_i}{TP_i + FP_i}, \quad (4)$$

$$\overline{Rec} = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{i=1}^C \frac{TP_i}{TP_i + FN_i}, \quad (5)$$

$$\overline{F1} = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{i=1}^C \frac{2 \cdot Precision_i \cdot Recall_i}{Precision_i + Recall_i}, \quad (6)$$

$$Acc = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{i=1}^C \frac{TP_i + TN_i}{TP_i + TN_i + FP_i + FN_i}. \quad (7)$$

##### B. Model robustness

After successful cross validation experiments which have confirmed initial research hypothesis that it is possible to create effective domain specific NER solution for Serbian language with small amount of resources, we have also investigated solutions's robustness. E.g. in Fig. 6 are shown some illustrations of NER outputs (token level classification decisions), which are correct despite the presence of text errors and misspellings.

TABLE I: Performance metrics: per class and average values.

Class	Recall	Precision	Accuracy	$F_1$
O	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99
B-Court	0.98	0.97	0.99	0.97
B-Date	0.98	0.98	0.99	0.96
B-Decision	0.95	0.96	0.99	0.95
B-Law	0.99	0.96	0.99	0.97
B-Money	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99
B-O.Gazette	0.93	0.94	0.99	0.93
B-Person	0.98	0.94	0.99	0.96
B-Reference	0.87	0.91	0.99	0.89
I-Court	0.99	0.96	0.99	0.97
I-Law	0.99	0.96	0.99	0.97
I-Money	0.97	0.99	0.99	0.98
I-O.Gazette	0.94	0.92	0.99	0.93
I-Person	0.95	0.99	0.99	0.97
I-Reference	0.96	0.91	0.99	0.93
Average	<b>0.97</b>	<b>0.96</b>	<b>0.99</b>	<b>0.96</b>

### C. Results analysis

Values in Table I suggest that model in general performs well, although slightly weaker on "B-Reference" and "I-Reference" classes. It could be expected due to diverse nature of "reference" NE values, which can contain various alphanumeric characters, spacings, syntax that varies among different courts and usually consist of character sequences that do not generally correspond to any word from the language. On the other hand, the proposed dataset had relatively limited corpus of annotated examples (e.g. 504 "reference" NE), implying that the more complex NEs would be harder to learn by limited amount of training data. Slightly better are the results for "official gazette" NE, which according to Fig. 1c had even smaller corpus of 112 annotated samples over whole training/test set partition. However, NER model adaptation based on PTM was still successful, since these NEs had smaller variability in comparison to previously discussed "reference" type. Results of model training convergence in Fig. 3a reveal that improvements of model precision on "person" NER are relatively slow and underperforming in comparison to other NEs. On the other hand, recall values change in similar fashion to other NEs, Fig. 3b. This indicates that recognition of "person" NE is almost always successful in case of real or correct words corresponding to person names, but there is also a large number of misclassification or false positives (see. e.g.  $F_1$  measure in Table I). Although this NE is the most frequent in the created dataset, Fig. 1c, observed model behaviour could be due to the fact that "person" NE also encompass name abbreviations in the form of initials (e.g. "AA", "CC", ...), which correspond to anonymized personal data in public court rulings. Thus, possible improvements could be directed towards these kind of challenges.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

In the presented work we have demonstrated design of novel NER system for Serbian legal documents and proposed novel domain specific dataset based on publicly available court rulings. Besides model development and conducted experiments,

the paper also addresses the methodology of successful adaptation of pre-trained language models for specific downstream NLP tasks. This is particularly important in case of languages and applications with low resources, in terms of NLP tools and specific training corpora. We hope that this work will stimulate further interest into this emerging topic, especially in the case of Serbian language.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would especially like to acknowledge previous collaboration with other AI4Legal team members: attorney at law Sanja Kalušev and M.Sc. Milica Brković, with whom AI ACTA project proposal was made in 2023.

The second author would also like to acknowledge support by the Science Fund of the Republic of Serbia through the grant agreement no. 7449, project AI-SPEAK - "Multimodal multilingual human-machine speech communication", and the Ministry of Science, Technological Development and Innovation of the Republic of Serbia (Contract No. 451-03-137/2025-03/200156) for the support by the project "Scientific and artistic research work of researchers in teaching and associate positions at the Faculty of Technical Sciences, University of Novi Sad 2025" (No. 01-50/295).

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Li, A. Sun, J. Han, and C. Li, "A survey on deep learning for named entity recognition," *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 50–70, 2020.
- [2] C. Çetindağ, B. Yazıcıoğlu, and A. Koç, "Named-entity recognition in turkish legal texts," *Natural Language Engineering*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 615–642, 2023.
- [3] H. Darji, J. Mitrović, and M. Granitzer, "German BERT model for legal named entity recognition," in *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Agents and Artificial Intelligence (ICAART)*, vol. 3, SciTePress, 2023, pp. 723–728.
- [4] I. Chalkidis, M. Fergadiotis, P. Malakasiotis, N. Aletras, and I. Androutsopoulos, "Legal-BERT: The muppets straight out of law school," in *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP, 2020*, pp. 2898–2904.
- [5] X. Jin and Y. Wang, "TeamShakespeare at SemEval-2023 task 6: Understand legal documents with contextualized large language models," in *Proceedings of the 17th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation (SemEval)*, 2023, pp. 517–525.
- [6] D. Rajamanickam, "Improving legal entity recognition using a hybrid transformer model and semantic filtering approach," *arXiv:2410.08521*, 2024.
- [7] J. Devlin, M.-W. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova, "BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding," in *Proc. of the Conf. of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies (HLT-NAACL)*, vol. 1, no. 2. Minneapolis, Minnesota, 2019.
- [8] N. Ljubešić and D. Lauc, "BERTic-The transformer language model for Bosnian, Croatian, Montenegrin and Serbian," in *Proc. of the 8th Worksh. on Balto-Slavic Natural Language Processing*, 2021, pp. 37–42. [Online]. Available: <https://aclanthology.org/2021.bsnlp-1.5/>
- [9] R. Alfred, L. C. Leong, C. K. On, and P. Anthony, "Malay named entity recognition based on rule-based approach," *International Journal of Machine Learning and Computing*, vol. 4, no. 3, 2014.
- [10] L. Chiticariu, Y. Li, and F. Reiss, "Rule-based information extraction is dead! Long live rule-based information extraction systems!" in *Proc. of the Conf. on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, 2013, pp. 827–832. [Online]. Available: <https://aclanthology.org/D13-1079/>
- [11] D. Hanisch, K. Fundel, H.-T. Mevissen, R. Zimmer, and J. Fluck, "ProMiner: Rule-based protein and gene entity recognition," *BMC Bioinformatics*, vol. 6, pp. 1–9, 2005.

1	Token	Predicted Label	1	Token	Predicted Label	1	Token	Predicted Label
2			2			2		
3	Re	O	3	Re	O	3	Re	O
4	##šenjem	O	4	##šenjem	O	4	##šenjem	O
5	Ape	B-COURT	5	Ape	B-COURT	5	Ape	B-COURT
6	##lac	B-COURT	6	##lac	B-COURT	6	##lac	B-COURT
7	##io	B-COURT	7	##io	B-COURT	7	##š	B-COURT
8	##nog	B-COURT	8	##nom	B-COURT	8	##di	B-COURT
9	suda	I-COURT	9	sud	I-COURT	9	##on	B-COURT
10	u	I-COURT	10	u	I-COURT	10	##o	B-COURT
11	Novom	I-COURT	11	Novom	I-COURT	11	##g	B-COURT
12	Sadu	I-COURT	12	Sadu	I-COURT	12	suda	I-COURT
13	,	I-COURT	13	,	I-COURT	13	u	I-COURT
14	G	B-REFERENCE	14	G	B-REFERENCE	14	Novom	I-COURT
15	##ž	B-REFERENCE	15	##ž	B-REFERENCE	15	Sadu	I-COURT
16	##1	B-REFERENCE	16	##1	B-REFERENCE	16	,	I-COURT
17	.	B-REFERENCE	17	.	B-REFERENCE	17	G	B-REFERENCE
18	190	I-REFERENCE	18	190	I-REFERENCE	18	##ž	B-REFERENCE
19	##1	I-REFERENCE	19	##1	I-REFERENCE	19	##1	B-REFERENCE
20	/	I-REFERENCE	20	/	I-REFERENCE	20	.	B-REFERENCE
21	10	I-REFERENCE	21	10	I-REFERENCE	21	190	I-REFERENCE
22	od	O	22	od	O	22	##1	I-REFERENCE
23	12	B-DATE	23	12	B-DATE	23	/	I-REFERENCE
24	.	B-DATE	24	.	B-DATE	24	10	I-REFERENCE
25	05	B-DATE	25	05	B-DATE	25	od	O
26	.	B-DATE	26	.	B-DATE	26	12	B-DATE
27	2010	B-DATE	27	2010	B-DATE	27	.	B-DATE
28	.	B-DATE	28	.	B-DATE	28	05	B-DATE
29			29			29	.	B-DATE
30			30			30	2010	B-DATE
31			31			31	.	B-DATE

(a)

(b)

(c)

Fig. 6: Proposed NER in the case of noisy inputs: (a) regular text, (b) and (c) text with the presence of errors and misspellings; in all cases the outputs are correctly classified.

- [12] D. Geng, "Clinical name entity recognition using conditional random field with augmented features," *CCKS 2017*, pp. 61–68, 2017. [Online]. Available: <https://ceur-ws.org/Vol-1976/paper11.pdf>
- [13] J. Lee, W. Yoon, S. Kim, D. Kim, S. Kim, C. H. So, and J. Kang, "BioBERT: A pre-trained biomedical language representation model for biomedical text mining," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 1234–1240, 2020.
- [14] G. Petasis, F. Vichot, F. Wolinski, G. Paliouras, V. Karkaletsis, and C. D. Spyropoulos, "Using machine learning to maintain rule-based named-entity recognition and classification systems," in *Proc. of the 39th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2001, pp. 426–433.
- [15] K. Nastou, M. Koutrouli, S. Pyysalo, and L. J. Jensen, "Improving dictionary-based named entity recognition with deep learning," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 40, pp. ii45–ii52, 2024.
- [16] D. M. Bikel, S. Miller, R. Schwartz, and R. Weischedel, "Nymble: A high-performance learning name-finder," in *Proc. of the 5th Conference on Applied Natural Language Processing*, 1997, pp. 194–201.
- [17] J. Lafferty, A. McCallum, F. Pereira *et al.*, "Conditional random fields: Probabilistic models for segmenting and labeling sequence data," in *Proc. of the 18th Int. Conf. on Machine Learning (ICML)*, vol. 1, no. 2. Williamstown, MA, 2001, p. 3. [Online]. Available: <https://repository.upenn.edu/handle/20.500.14332/6188>
- [18] A. McCallum and W. Li, "Early results for named entity recognition with conditional random fields, feature induction and web-enhanced lexicons," in *Proc. of the 7th Conf. on Natural Language Learning at HLT-NAACL*, 2003, pp. 188–191. [Online]. Available: <https://aclanthology.org/W03-0430/>
- [19] G. Szarvas, R. Farkas, and A. Kocsor, "A multilingual named entity recognition system using boosting and C4.5 decision tree learning algorithms," in *Proc. of the 9th Int. Conf. on Discovery Science, Spain*, 2006, pp. 267–278.
- [20] P. McNamee and J. Mayfield, "Entity extraction without language-specific resources," in *Proc. of the 6th Int. Conf. on Natural Language Learning*, vol. 20, 2002, pp. 1–4. [Online]. Available: <https://aclanthology.org/W02-2020/>
- [21] J. P. Chiu and E. Nichols, "Named entity recognition with bidirectional LSTM-CNNs," *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, vol. 4, pp. 357–370, 2016.
- [22] T. Brown, B. Mann, N. Ryder, M. Subbiah, J. D. Kaplan, P. Dhariwal, A. Neelakantan, P. Shyam, G. Sastry, A. Askell *et al.*, "Language models are few-shot learners," *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 33, pp. 1877–1901, 2020.
- [23] M. Joshi, D. Chen, Y. Liu, D. S. Weld, L. Zettlemoyer, and O. Levy, "SpanBERT: Improving pre-training by representing and predicting spans," *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, vol. 8, pp. 64–77, 2020.
- [24] K. Clark, M.-T. Luong, Q. V. Le, and C. D. Manning, "ELECTRA: Pre-training text encoders as discriminators rather than generators," in *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2020.
- [25] M. Lewis, Y. Liu, N. Goyal, M. Ghazvininejad, A. Mohamed, O. Levy, V. Stoyanov, and L. Zettlemoyer, "BART: Denoising sequence-to-sequence pre-training for natural language generation, translation, and comprehension," in *Proc. of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2020, pp. 7871–7880.
- [26] Z. Lan, M. Chen, S. Goodman, K. Gimpel, P. Sharma, and R. Soricut, "ALBERT: A lite BERT for self-supervised learning of language representations," in *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2020.
- [27] T. Kim, K. M. Yoo, and S.-g. Lee, "Self-guided contrastive learning for BERT sentence representations," in *Proc. of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th Int. Joint Conf. on Natural Language Processing*, vol. 1, 2021, pp. 2528–2540.
- [28] M. Ryu, "[RE] ALBERT: A lite BERT for self-supervised learning of language representations," 2021. [Online]. Available: [https://openreview.net/forum?id=UkiQrHoru\\_J](https://openreview.net/forum?id=UkiQrHoru_J) (Accessed 2025-02-03).
- [29] Y. Liu, M. Ott, N. Goyal, J. Du, M. Joshi, D. Chen, O. Levy, M. Lewis, L. Zettlemoyer, and V. Stoyanov, "RoBERTa: A robustly optimized BERT pretraining approach," *arXiv:1907.11692*, 2019.
- [30] A. Cvetanović, "BERTiĆ-SQUAD-sr-lat: A question answering neural network for Serbian language," 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://huggingface.co/aleksahet/BERTic-squad-sr-lat> (Accessed 2025-02-03).
- [31] CLASSLA - CLARIN Knowledge Centre for South Slavic Languages, "Bertić model fine-tuned for the task of named entity recognition in Bosnian, Croatian, Montenegrin and Serbian," 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://huggingface.co/classla/bcms-bertic-ner> (Accessed 2025-02-03).
- [32] S. Milutin, T. Mihajlov, and M. Studen, "SRBedding: Information retrieval embedding model for Serbian," 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/smartcat-labs/SRBedding> (Accessed 2025-02-03).



- [33] N. Živanić, “Embedić: A group of new text embedding models finetuned for the Serbian language,” 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://huggingface.co/djovak/embedic-large> (Accessed 2025-02-03).
- [34] O. Perišić, R. Stanković, I.-N. Milica, M. Škorić *et al.*, “**It-Sr-NER: Web services for recognizing and linking named entities in text and displaying them on a web map,**” *Infotheca-Journal for Digital Humanities*, pp. 61–77, 2023.
- [35] B. Š. Todorović, C. Krstev, R. Stanković, and M. I. Nešić, “**Serbian NER&beyond: The archaic and the modern intertwined,**” in *Proc. of the Int. Conf. on Recent Advances in Natural Language Processing (RANLP)*, 2021, pp. 1252–1260.
- [36] M. Ikonić Nešić, S. Petalinkar, M. Škorić, and R. Stanković, “**BERT downstream task analysis: Named entity recognition in Serbian,**” in *Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems (LNNS): Disruptive Information Technologies for a Smart Society, 14<sup>th</sup> Int. Conf. on Information Society and Technology (ICIST), Kopaonik, Serbia*, M. Trajanović, N. Filipović, and M. Zdravković, Eds., vol. 860. Springer, 2024, pp. 333–347.
- [37] A. Conneau, K. Khandelwal, N. Goyal *et al.*, “**Unsupervised cross-lingual representation learning at scale,**” in *Proc. of the 58<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2020, pp. 8440–8451.
- [38] M. Škorić, “**Novi jezički modeli za srpski jezik,**” *Infoteka*, vol. 24, 2024.
- [39] Društvo za jezičke resurse i tehnologije, “**Jerteh-355: Najveći bert model specijalno obučen za srpski jezik,**” 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://huggingface.co/jerteh/Jerteh-355> (Accessed 2025-02-03).
- [40] V. Scherbakov and V. Mayorov, “**Finetuning BERT on partially annotated NER corpora,**” in *Ivannikov Ispras Open Conference (ISPRAS)*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 86–91.
- [41] M. Košprdić, N. Prodanović, A. Ljajić, B. Bašaragin, and N. Milošević, “**From zero to hero: Harnessing transformers for biomedical named entity recognition in zero-and few-shot contexts,**” *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, vol. 156, p. 102970, 2024.
- [42] Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Serbia. (2025) Serbian judicial practices - official website. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sudskapraksa.sud.rs/sudska-praksa> (Accessed 2025-02-03).
- [43] A. Mozhi, “**NER annotator tool for word-level and character-level annotation,**” 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/tecoholic/ner-annotator> (Accessed 2025-02-03).
- [44] I. Keraghel, S. Morbieu, and M. Nadif, “**Recent advances in named entity recognition: A comprehensive survey and comparative study,**” 2024.
- [45] N. Alshammari and S. Alanazi, “**The impact of using different annotation schemes on named entity recognition,**” *Egyptian Informatics Journal*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 295–302, 2021.
- [46] Y. Wu, M. Schuster *et al.*, “**Google’s neural machine translation system: Bridging the gap between human and machine translation,**” *CoRR*, vol. abs/1609.08144, 2016. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1609.08144>
- [47] HuggingFace, “**Fast state-of-the-art tokenizers optimized for research and production,**” 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/huggingface/tokenizers> (Accessed 2025-02-03).