# Man Made Language Models? Evaluating LLMs' Perpetuation of Masculine Generics Bias

Enzo Doyen University of Strasbourg enzo.doyen@unistra.fr

#### Abstract

Large language models (LLMs) have been shown to propagate and even amplify gender bias, in English and other languages, in specific or constrained contexts. However, no studies so far have focused on gender biases conveyed by LLMs' responses to generic instructions, especially with regard to masculine generics (MG). MG are a linguistic feature found in many gender-marked languages, denoting the use of the masculine gender as a "default" or supposedly neutral gender to refer to mixed group of men and women, or of a person whose gender is irrelevant or unknown. Numerous psycholinguistics studies have shown that MG are not neutral and induce gender bias. This work aims to analyze the use of MG by both proprietary and local LLMs in responses to generic instructions and evaluate their MG bias rate. We focus on French and create a human noun database from existing lexical resources. We filter existing French instruction datasets to retrieve generic instructions and analyze the responses of 6 different LLMs. Overall, we find that  $\approx 39.5\%$  of LLMs' responses to generic instructions are MG-biased (~73.1% across responses with human nouns). Our findings also reveal that LLMs are reluctant to using genderfair language spontaneously.

#### 1 Introduction

Masculine generics (MG) are a linguistic feature found in many gender-marked languages, among which French, German, or Dutch. MG denote the use of the masculine gender in gendered languages as a "default" or supposedly neutral gender to refer to either a) a mixed group of men and women, or b) a person whose gender is irrelevant or unknown within the context, as in the following examples in French:

(a) Les étudiants ont participé à l'atelier.(Students participated to the workshop.)

Amalia Todirascu University of Strasbourg todiras@unistra.fr

(b) Un athlète doit s'entrainer régulièrement pour progresser.(An athlete needs to train regularly to

progress.)

While such usage of the masculine gender is supposed to act as a neutralizer and contrast with the "specific" interpretation of the masculine (i.e., referring exclusively to men), empirical research in psycholinguistics has revealed that this supposed genericness is in fact not processed as such by native speakers (Gygax et al., 2008; Rothermund and Strack, 2024), thereby exposing individuals to cognitive biases that amplify male-centric mental representations (Braun et al., 2005; Gygax et al., 2012).

The rapid development of large language models (LLMs) and their remarkable capabilities across various textual tasks, such as translation (Alves et al., 2024; Zhu et al., 2024), summarization (Pu et al., 2023), and other text generation tasks, have made them indispensable tools for numerous applications. Relatedly, the release of ChatGPT by OpenAI and similar chatbots through user-friendly web applications have made it extremely trivial for anyone to generate textual content. However, these models inherently reflect the biases to be found in the training data, including those related to gender representation.

Even though the detection of text generated by artificial intelligence (AI) remains a challenging task (Tang et al., 2023), an increasing amount of works tackle this issue (Abassy et al., 2024; Marchitan et al., 2025), and preliminary research seems to indicate that a growing amount of text on the Internet is AI-generated, whether it be on social media (Sun et al., 2024; Wei and Tyson, 2024) or even in Wikipedia edits (Brooks et al., 2024). Such an increasing use of LLMs to publish content online could result in bias propagation among people who are exposed to that content. Similarly, the use of synthetically generated data for the training of AI systems (Long et al., 2024) also poses a risk of bias propagation, which could have a ripple effect across various domains and applications. Finally, users of LLMs themselves may also be directly exposed to MG and thus be affected by the related bias.

While previous research largely discussed the issues surrounding the propagation and amplification of gender biases by LLMs in specific or constrained contexts (e.g., instructing an LLM to continue a gender-ambiguous sentence, or asking it to translate a sentence from a gender-neutral language to a gendered one), a crucial gap exists in the literature when it comes to the biases conveyed by LLMs' responses to generic instructions. Moreover, to date, no studies have been conducted on the use of MG by LLMs in spite of their widespread deployment in various open text generation applications.

We believe that understanding how LLMs propagate inherent biases through text generation can provide valuable insights into their role in reinforcing and amplifying gender-related cognitive biases, and may give guidance as to how best to tackle such issues.

This study focuses on only one language with MG (French), but our methodology can be extended to any other gender-marked language. We first investigate the prominence of MG occurrences in human-written instruction/output pairs. We then use a filtered set of generic LLM instructions to gather the outputs of a total of 6 LLMs (3 proprietary models: GPT-40 mini (OpenAI, 2024), Claude 3 Haiku (Anthropic, 2024) and Gemini 1.5 Flash (Gemini Team et al., 2024); 3 open-source models: Llama 3 8B (Touvron et al., 2023), Ministral 8B (Mistral AI, 2024) and Mistral Small 3 (Mistral AI, 2025)) and analyze their use of MG. The code is available at https://github.com/spidersouris/llm\_masc\_gen.

#### 2 Related Work

MG and the associated male bias have been extensively studied in the field of psycholinguistics for a variety of gender-marked languages (Silveira, 1980; Stahlberg et al., 2001; Safina, 2024), including French (Gygax et al., 2012; Richy and Burnett, 2021). For example, a study conducted by Gygax et al. (2008) in English, French and German had participants read a sentence A with a role noun (e.g., "social workers"; MG in both French and German). Then, when presented with a sentence B with a noun referring to the members of the noun group in A (e.g., "women"), participants had to judge as quickly as possible if it was a coherent continuation of sentence A. Results showed that in English, gender representations aligned with stereotypes: female-stereotyped roles (e.g., "beauticians") led to female-biased interpretations, while male-stereotyped roles (e.g., "politicians") led to male-biased interpretations. Neutral roles showed no bias. In French and German, grammatical gender overrode stereotypes: masculine plural forms led to predominantly male-biased interpretations, even for female-stereotyped roles.

Similarly, a survey conducted by Harris Interactive (2017) regarding the use of inclusive or genderneutral language in French highlighted the impact of such language on mental representations. It was found that respondents, when asked to name a celebrity using a formulation that was either inclusive (i.e., using both masculine and feminine forms of a role noun) or gender-neutral (i.e., using a non-gender-specific role noun or an equivalent) were more likely to name a woman as opposed to a man, while MG formulations led to more malecentric answers. Similar findings were reported by Stahlberg et al. (2001) for German using MG role nouns.

Recently published studies have resorted to more precise methods such as EEG to track how language-related gender information is processed by the brain to evaluate the effects of MG. Glim et al. (2024) showed that even explicitly disambiguated MG nouns used to refer to women led to higher cognitive load from participants for the task of noun phrase reference resolution, further indicating that the alleged genericness of MG lacks empirical backing.

When it comes to natural language processing (NLP), gender bias is by far the most studied type of bias (Ducel et al., 2024b). Numerous researchers have drawn attention to gender bias in word embeddings (Bolukbasi et al., 2016), in machine translation systems (Savoldi et al., 2021; Wisniewski et al., 2021) or in text classification tasks (Sobhani and Delany, 2024).

More recently, LLMs too have been shown to exhibit gender biases and convey stereotypes when generating context-restricted textual content (see Kotek et al. (2023) for pronoun disambiguation; Döll et al. (2024) for pronoun prediction; You et al. (2024) for neutral name prediction), including in languages other than English (Zhao et al., 2024; Ducel et al., 2024a). Nonetheless, in spite of the propensity for investigating gender bias in NLP and the attention given to LLMs with regard to this issue, no studies discussing MG and to what extent LLMs are prone to propagating MG-related gender bias have so far been conducted, a gap this work aims to bridge. We present our detailed methodology in the next section.

#### 3 Methodology

Our methodology is divided into two main tasks. First, we create a database of French human nouns (HN), which will be used both to detect occurrences of MG and evaluate the ratio of HN to MG uses in LLM instructions and outputs. Second, we retrieve and filter different datasets of human-written instruction/output pairs as well as AI-generated instructions to remove entries exhibiting specific uses of the masculine gender. We send a filtered and narrowed set of generic instructions to a wide range of LLMs, and retrieve their responses. Leveraging these responses, we perform quantitative and qualitative analyses of the use of MG by LLMs.

#### 3.1 Database of French Human Nouns

Since MG strictly refer to human beings, the first step was to use a database of French HNs. To our knowledge, the only existing database is that of the NHUMA project (Stosic and Lagae, 2013)<sup>1</sup>, whose goal is to provide an extensive linguistic description of HN in French. However, this database has a limited number of entries (1,107), many of which are not MG. We thus extended it taking the following steps.

We first leveraged several existing public French lexical databases, namely Demonette (Namer et al., 2023), TLFi<sup>2</sup> and Wiktionary<sup>3</sup>. While Demonette provides an easily accessible list of masculinefeminine noun pairs<sup>4</sup>, no such option is offered for TLFi. As a result, we built a custom scraper using the Playwright Node.js library<sup>5</sup> to retrieve TLFi entries that could refer to human beings. We restricted the search to entries having one of their definitions starting with gender-neutral or genderspecific nouns or pronouns commonly used in dictionary entries related to human beings (see list in Appendix E). We also performed recursive search to get nouns whose definition started with one of the previously scraped nouns. For Wiktionary, we used Wiktextract (Ylonen, 2022)<sup>6</sup> and applied the same filtering rule with all but one noun ("individu")<sup>7</sup>. Following the preliminary work of Lerner and Grouin (2024), we also used Wikidata to retrieve masculine-feminine HN pairs.

We noticed that the Demonette database includes pairs of words that do not necessarily refer to human beings (animal nouns, mythology-related nouns), or typos. Furthermore, the recursive search performed on TLFi led to a certain number of false positives. As a potential solution to these false positives, we built a HN classifier to detect whether a noun is commonly used to refer to a human being.

#### 3.1.1 HScorer: a French Human Noun Classifier

To build our classifier, we created golden HN and non-HN datasets. The golden HN dataset was built from previously scraped entries from Wikidata and Wiktionary, as well as entries from the NHUMA database. For the golden non-HN dataset, we leveraged the WordNet database (Princeton University, 2010) and its collection of universal synsets to filter out human-related nouns and retrieve non-HN with synsets artifact.n.01, object.n.01 and living\_thing.n.01<sup>8</sup>. We retrieved a total of 14,942 HN and 17,912 non-HN.

This dataset of HN and non-HN (32,854 entries in total) was then used to train three types of models: a logistic regression (LR) model, a XGBoost model (Chen and Guestrin, 2016) and a Transformer model (Vaswani et al., 2017). We did this to take advantage of the strengths of each model type. In particular, we wanted to avoid adding false positives to our final database: only words classified as HNs by the three models (full agreement) would be part of the final HN database. The LR and XGBoost model types were chosen based on their best performance compared to a set of classical machine learning model types. For these models, we calculated four different scores to be used as features (see Appendix A for more details). For the Transformer model, we used CamemBERT (Martin et al., 2020) and forwarded tokenized  $\hat{W}$  as input.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://nomsdhumains.weebly.com/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>http://atilf.atilf.fr/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>https://fr.wiktionary.org/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>https://demonette.fr/demonext/vues/gender\_

equivalents\_table.php
<sup>5</sup>https://playwright.dev/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>https://kaikki.org/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>This noun was removed from the filtering list as we had a certain number of false positives, as it can be used as a synonym of "species" and refer to living beings other than humans.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>https://github.com/opinionscience/ InstructionFr/tree/main/wikipedia

We trained all three models on our golden HN and non-HN datasets with a 80/20 trainingvalidation split using an NVIDIA RTX 4070 Super GPU. Hyperparameter optimization (HPO) search was performed on all models using Weight & Biases (Biewald, 2020). Chosen hyperparameters can be found in Appendix B. An accuracy of 0.914 was achieved for the LR model; 0.937 for the XGBoost model; 0.927 for the Transformer model.

The three models were used to classify words from the Demonette dataset (8,048 entries) as well as recursive search results from TLFi (13,961 entries). Demonette counts exclude demonyms (21,340 entries). After classification, we retrieved 2,312 entries with 100% humanness agreement from Demonette, and 1,428 from TLFi recursive search results. Our final human noun database contains 16,652 unique nouns across all six initial datasets (Wiktionary, Wikidata, NHUMA, Demonette, TLFi and TLFi Recursive).

# **3.2** Analyzing MG Use in LLM Instructions and Outputs

Our main objective is to comprehensively evaluate the extent to which LLMs are prone to using MG in their answers to generic (non-specific) instructions. To this goal, we leveraged the French HN database introduced in Section 3.1 to build a MG subset (5,140 entries) comprised of male-only HNs to be used in our analyses. This subset was created by removing epicene (i.e., words whose masculine and feminine forms are identical) and feminine words from the original HN database.

For the purposes of the analysis, we resorted to four different datasets. Two of them are human-written instruction/output datasets, while the two others are AI-generated/translated instruction datasets. Human-generated instruction/output datasets are included to gauge the extent to which French human speakers are prone to resorting to MG in written texts, and thus have a baseline when comparing with LLM outputs (see Section 3.4). We separated human-written instructions from AI-translated French instructions in our analysis as we wanted to analyze the writings by native French speakers, and because numerous studies have shown that AI translation from and to languages with different gender systems could lead to biases, notably by favoring the use of the masculine gender (Zaranis et al., 2024; Vanmassenhove, 2024).

The human-written instruction/output datasets

include "oracle", a set of question-answer pairs from Wikipedia users<sup>9</sup> <sup>10</sup> (4,613 pairs), and "oasst2" (Open Assistant Conversations Dataset Release 2)<sup>11</sup>, filtered to only get French-tagged entries (1,773 chats). Both datasets only contain human-generated conversations.

In addition, we use two other instruction AIgenerated/translated datasets: "french\_hh\_rlhf"<sup>12</sup> and "French-Alpaca-dataset-Instruct-55K"<sup>13</sup>. The "french\_hh\_rlhf" dataset (henceforth, "hh\_rlhf") is a direct translation of Anthropic's hh-rlhf dataset<sup>14</sup>, and contains 161,000 instruction/output pairs. The "French-Alpaca-dataset-Instruct-55K" dataset (henceforth, "alpaca") is comprised of 55,184 French instruction/output pairs generated by OpenAI's GPT-3.5. For both datasets, we retrieved only the corresponding instructions. For the hh\_rlhf dataset specifically, only positive-rated ("chosen") instructions were selected.

#### 3.2.1 Instruction and Output Filtering

To conduct a comprehensive and precise analysis of how MG nouns are used both in human-written instructions/outputs and in LLMs' responses to generic instructions, we designed filtering rules to remove from the datasets entries exhibiting specific uses of the masculine gender, that is contexts where a masculine word does indeed refer to a male individual. This was done to avoid biasing the MG use analysis results.

First, we removed instructions and outputs containing names of people or personalities. We used spaCy v3.8 (Montani et al., 2024) and the French model fr\_core\_news\_1g and the integrated NER component to detect words labelled with "PER". As some first name occurrences failed to be detected as "PER", we also used the publicly available dataset of given names on Open Data Paris<sup>15</sup> and checked if names with the "MISC" label were in that list.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>https://github.com/opinionscience/ InstructionFr/tree/main/wikipedia <sup>10</sup>https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/WikipÃľdia: Oracle <sup>11</sup>https://huggingface.co/datasets/ OpenAssistant/oasst2 <sup>12</sup>https://huggingface.co/datasets/AIffl/french\_ hh\_rlhf <sup>13</sup>https://huggingface.co/datasets/Anthropic/ hh-rlhf <sup>14</sup>https://huggingface.co/datasets/jpacifico/ French-Alpaca-dataset-Instruct-55K <sup>15</sup>https://opendata.paris.fr/explore/dataset/

liste\_des\_prenoms/information/?disjunctive. annee&disjunctive.prenoms

Similarly, leveraging the French spaCy Transformer model fr\_dep\_news\_trf for state-of-theart performance, we performed POS tagging and dependency parsing for entries in our two datasets and removed instructions containing the interrogative pronoun "qui" ("who"), strictly used to refer to people, as this could bias answers towards referring to a specific person. For the same reason, instructions including a singular possessive determiner (e.g., "mon", 'my') or a definite determiner (e.g., "ce", 'this') followed by a HN were excluded. Finally, and only for the "oracle" dataset, we left out parts of instructions containing "oracle" or "pythie", jargon used by the French Wikipedia community to refer to people answering user inquiries.

At this point, preliminary experiments revealed that many nouns considered MG were in fact contextually ambiguous nouns not always referring to human beings. For instance, in French, "facteur" can refer to a mailman, but can also mean "factor, cause". Similarly, "navigateur" can refer either to a (male) sailor or to an Internet browser. Including non-human-related occurrences to our analysis would obviously invalidate our approach. To remedy this problem, we added two more steps:

1. Ambiguous Noun List Filtering. We specifically filtered a certain number of nouns found to be ambiguous during our preliminary tests<sup>16</sup>. We also scraped Wiktionary's list of 1,750 most common French words<sup>17</sup> to a standard one-word-per-line format and manually removed nouns referring to human beings to add to our filtering list. Note that only nouns with a primary non-human-related meaning were filtered; we did not filter nouns which are commonly used to either refer to human or non-human entities (such as the two previous examples, "facteur" and "navigateur"), as we leave that for the LLM post-processing step (see below). Moreover, as we had previously used data from Wiktionary to fill our MG dictionary, we removed all nouns from Wiktionary whose human-related definition index was greater than  $2^{18}$ . This helped reduce

the number of nouns which were not primarily and/or inherently considered or used as HNs.

2. LLM HN Classification. As a post-processing step, we leverage GPT-40 mini<sup>19</sup> and in-context learning (Brown et al., 2020) to validate nouns considered HNs. GPT-40 mini was chosen for its great performance/cost ratio. The words and the context in which they appear are forwarded to the LLM. We use Pydantic (Colvin et al., 2025) to constrain GPT-40 mini's output to JSON format. We set max tokens to 500, temperature to 0. See Appendix G for prompting details.

We evaluate GPT-40 mini's HN classification. We extract 1,000 instructions from the hh\_rlhf and French-Alpaca datasets (see Section 3.2) and apply our MG use analysis pipeline. We narrow down the analysis results to 500 to only retrieve contexts where at least one HN has been found. Those results are then sent to GPT-40 mini for validation. Two annotators classified the nouns in the 500 contexts as HN or non-HN. Cohen's kappa (Cohen, 1960), noted  $\kappa$ , was used to calculate interannotator agreement, where  $\kappa = 0.944$ . We built a reference annotation dataset and compared it with GPT-40 mini's output, where  $\kappa = 0.855$ .

#### 3.3 LLM Instructing and Answer Retrieval

To gauge the use of MG by LLMs, we query a set of LLMs of different sizes and types (both proprietary and local). We use a total of 6 different models. This includes 3 proprietary models (GPT-40 mini, Claude 3 Haiku and Gemini 1.5 Flash), and 3 local models (Llama 3 8B, Ministral 8B and Mistral Small 3) using OpenRouter. Figure 1 illustrates our methodology.

We retrieved instructions from our four filtered instruction/output datasets. In addition to previous filtering steps, we also removed instructions containing nouns from our MG dataset to avoid biasing the LLM towards generating MG.<sup>20</sup>

We collected 42,896 unique instructions in total. The number of instructions was narrowed down

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>The full list of filtered nouns is made available on this paper's GitHub repository: https://github.com/ spidersouris/llm\_masc\_gen (license: MIT)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>https://fr.wiktionary.org/wiki/Wiktionnaire: Liste\_de\_1750\_mots\_franÃğais\_les\_plus\_courants

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>According to the style guide of Wiktionary (https://en.wiktionary.org/wiki/Wiktionary:

Style\_guide#Definitions), the most common word definitions are placed first. By retrieving only the top 2 entries, we are making sure we are only using nouns commonly used to refer to human beings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>https://openai.com/index/

gpt-4o-mini-advancing-cost-efficient-intelligence/ <sup>20</sup>Since LLMs are autoregressive models, if a MG is used

in an instruction, the LLM receiving that instruction has very high chance of making it a part of its answer.



Figure 1: Overview of our methodology. We filter human and AI-written instructions to remove specific contexts that could lead to masculine specific uses. We send these instructions to LLMs and retrieve their responses. LLM and human-written answers are analyzed for human-related MG (red) and inclusive or neutral (blue) terms. GPT-40 mini is used for human noun validation, and we use the final analysis results to calculate a M Score for each text.

to 10,000 to reduce computing and API call costs. The narrowed dataset was created by proportionally weighting instructions to the original number of entries (see Appendix C for count details).

We sent these 10,000 instructions to all LLMs and retrieved their responses. For every LLM (proprietary and local), temperature was set to 1 to reflect the real usage from LLM users, as most deployed LLMs use a similar temperature; the number of maximum generated tokens was set to 1,500; and a canonical system prompt was defined ("You are a helpful French assistant.").

The aforementioned filtering steps were applied to the 10,000 LLM responses to only keep generic responses, that is responses that do not refer to specific individuals. Similarly, all HNs found in the filtered responses were validated using GPT-40 mini. Table in Appendix D shows the number of responses after filtering.

#### 3.4 Measuring MG Bias

We created an analysis pipeline to automatically evaluate MG bias in human-written instructions and in human/LLM-generated responses after HN validation by GPT-40 mini. In a first step, we focus on responses and calculate the percentage of biased responses based on the presence of MG, both across responses with HNs as well as all responses. Then, in a second step, we calculate the ratio of MG terms to HNs in both human-written instructions and human-written/LLM-generated outputs. This ratio is noted "M Score". For each text, we divide the number of MG terms by the number of HNs found in the text. A score is computed only if the number of HNs is greater than zero. Then, for each dataset/model output, we compute an overall M Score (total number of MG nouns divided by the total number of HNs) as well as a mean M Score (sum of M Scores divided by the total count of M Scores). The overall score gives a datasetwide measure of MG bias, while the mean score represents the average bias per text.

In addition to MG use, we also aim to see if LLMs' answers contain gender-fair language terms. Indeed, in response to MG, several writing techniques commonly referred to as "écriture inclusive" ('inclusive writing') were developed in French and other languages to either promote the visibility of women or reduce the prominent use of MG terms (Viennot, 2014). Consequently, we defined several language marker lists to capture such occurrences (see Appendix F).

Another element that we want to analyze is the type of HNs used as MG. French HNs have been extensively studied in the literature, and many works have focused on describing their specificities and types (Schnedecker, 2018; Mihatsch and Schnedecker, 2015). As the NHUMA dataset (used to create our HN dictionary in Section 3.1) has human-annotated HN types, we used these annotations as a basis (789 annotated nouns with labels such as "profession", "doer", "relationship" or "status"). To complete those, we used a spaCy model trained by Papasseudi (2023) on NHUMA data for automatic HN type labelling (see Table 4 for de-



Figure 2: Percentage of MG use rate by model

tails) and applied it to nouns in our MG dictionary missing human annotation (i.e., non-NHUMA entries). Entries not detected as HNs by the model were left unannotated. In total, our HN dataset contains 2,893 annotated entries (i.e., +2,104 after applying the model).

#### 4 Results

Figure 2 shows the percentage of MG in generic instruction outputs across models and datasets. We find that LLMs use MG in 39.5% of all their responses on average. In particular, we find that gpt4o\_mini is generally the most MG-biased model, with 42.03% of its responses overall exhibiting at least one occurrence of MG. Conversely, llama is the least biased model overall with 36.61% of its total responses containing MG. Bias rate for human-written instructions (oracle and oasst2) is generally on par with that of LLMs, with the exception of oasst2, which achieves an exceptionally low MG bias rate overall compared to other models (32.26%). When considering responses with HNs only, the average percentage of biased responses across LLMs amounts to 73.1%. ministral has the highest bais rate with 75.81% of its responses with HNs containing MG, while claude-3-haiku achieves the lowest bias rate (69.13%).

M Score results are displayed in Figure 3. Human-written responses datasets oasst2\_assistant and oracle\_assistant show the highest M Score (0.644 mean and 0.612 mean, respectively). When comparing LLMs only, M Score is generally correlated with the bias rate: ministral has the highest mean M Score (0.603), followed by gemini (0.591) and gpt4o\_mini (0.585). mistral-small and llama both have the lowest overall score (0.542 and 0.545 respectively). The lowest mean scores are achieved by mistral-small, claude-3-haiku and llama (0.557, 0.570 and 0.577 respectively).

Figure 4 reveals that the top 5 MG human noun classes found across responses are "profession", "doer", "speciality", "relationship" and "status". More precisely, nouns with the "profession" and "doer" classes are the most used (freq. between 120 and 44 for "profession"; between 57 and 24 for "doer"). Among LLMs, gemini, claude-3-haiku and gpt40\_mini (all proprietary models) are the ones that are the most using nouns from the "profession" and "doer" MG human noun classes. We find that respectively 120, 119 and 114 unique human nouns with class "profession" were used in the responses of gemini, claude-3-haiku and gpt40\_mini (57, 55 and 51 for the "doer" class).

As seen in Figure 5, we find that LLMs use neutral words such as "personne" or "individu" in 10.7% of their responses on average, with gpt4omini having the highest rate of responses with neutral words (12.8%), and claude-3-haiku the lowest (9.4%). For other gender-related language markers, their use is very sporadic, and even sometimes nonexistent. The only model which shows a relatively notable use of markers other than neutral words is llama, with 0.7% of responses with feminine endings (the highest), 0.1% with inclusive greetings (same score as gpt4o-mini) and 0.1% with inclusive pairs (same score as mistral-small). Across LLMs, no model responses exhibit the use of neutral neopronouns such as "iel" or "celleux".

#### 5 Discussion

Surprisingly, the highest M Scores are to be found in human-written responses. It should however be noted that this score is calculated based on the number of HNs and MG found in the responses, and that there is a large difference in the number of responses analyzed (2,359 human-written responses on average vs. 4,888 LLM responses on average; more than the double). Looking at the bias rate in models and datasets, however, we find that LLMs are more prone to using MG in their responses.

Generally, llama is the most gender-fair model out of all tested models, considering its bias rate and its use of inclusive language markers. Given the results, and comparing with other models, we find it likely that extra care has been taken during the training of this model to promote language fairness. We give a few examples of gender-fair



Figure 3: Overall (blue) and mean (red) M Score results for human-written and LLM responses by dataset/model

language outputs in Appendix H. While these results definitely show a step in the right direction, efforts should be further intensified, as the percentage of responses with gender-fair language remains extremely low. Local models in general appear to be slightly less biased compared to proprietary models, with claude-3-haiku being the least biased proprietary model.

Our results for MG human noun classes use unsurprisingly show that the "profession" class is the one whose nouns are the most used as MG. This is consistent with the methodology of psycholinguistics works focusing on MG as they prevalently use this type of noun in their experiments. Still, not all MG nouns have had their classes annotated for our analysis, so our findings need to be completed.

That no models appear to use neutral neopronouns is not that surprising given that those pronouns are rather novel and are still used by a small (but growing) section of the population. As a result, there may not be many occurrences in the training data. Nonetheless, these neopronouns play a key role as they challenge the binary male/female gender dichotomy, and some people may not feel represented when simply reading masculine/feminine pair forms such as "ils et elles" instead of "iels". It is thus important for LLMs to integrate such neopronouns to their responses, both to promote gender diversity and to reflect new language usage trends.

Overall, our results indicate that LLMs largely exhibit MG bias when generating responses to generic instructions. While previous research has clearly demonstrated that LLMs display gender bias in specific or constrained contexts, our results provide evidence that LLMs' responses are inherently gender-biased in normal use contexts. That such bias can be found in everyday, instructionbased interactions with LLMs is obviously concerning, as it participates in further reinforcing other existing gender bias, increases male mental representations and defies efforts to promote more inclusion and equality. These results show that fairness in language should be attentively considered when training LLMs in heavily gender-marked languages. Linguistics gender bias may be reduced by filtering or rewriting texts that exhibit MG bias (Vanmassenhove et al., 2021; Veloso et al., 2023; Doyen, 2024; Lerner and Grouin, 2024), or using data augmentation to include texts featuring more diverse gender forms to the training data (Zmigrod et al., 2019). We leave these considerations for future work.

#### 6 Conclusion

Our work expands on prior research by analyzing gender bias in everyday, instruction-based interactions with LLMs. We created a French HN database using HScorer and found that  $\approx 39.5\%$ of responses in average exhibit MG bias ( $\approx 73.1\%$ across responses with HNs), with LLMs generally avoiding gender-fair language. Our methodology is adaptable to other languages and LLMs. We hope this work encourages further research on MG bias beyond French and contributes to promoting gender-fair language in LLM-generated texts.

#### 7 Limitations

Our work has several limitations. First, the French HN database that we created is not exhaustive and may have missing nouns. Similarly, even though we took care in not adding non-HNs to our database, a very small number of words not used to refer to human beings may be present in the data. Second, even though we took several steps to validate human nouns, both by pre-filtering our datasets and using an LLM for automatic verification (which we evaluated), many human nouns are polysemic, and some nouns may have incorrectly been detected as human nouns, or incorrectly left undetected. While we experimentally tried multiple human noun validation runs and did not see much change in the results, errors in validation might still have slightly impacted the results. Third, detection of masculine specific uses remains a challenging task. Even though we designed several filtering steps to remove instructions and responses which may contain specific uses of the masculine so as to not bias the results, a small portion might still have been included to the data. Finally, our analysis only focuses on a small set of local and proprietary LLMs. More models, and especially local models with higher parameter counts, should be analyzed to have a better overview of MG bias in LLMs.

#### 8 Ethics Statement

The LLM instruction/output datasets were not filtered for harmful or malicious content. Similarly, LLM responses to generic instructions were not checked or filtered for such content. In addition, a very small portion of the HN database we created contains slurs and pejorative or discriminative terms used to refer to human beings. We deliberately did not filter these nouns, as they may be used in non-prejudicial contexts or with a non-harmful meaning. Finally, results of this work might be used in a counteractive way to increase gender bias in LLMs, for instance by removing occurrences of inclusive language markers from the training data or by increasing the number of MG occurrences in responses to generic instructions.

#### References

Mervat Abassy, Kareem Elozeiri, Alexander Aziz, Minh Ngoc Ta, Raj Vardhan Tomar, Bimarsha Adhikari, Saad El Dine Ahmed, Yuxia Wang, Osama Mohammed Afzal, Zhuohan Xie, Jonibek Mansurov, Ekaterina Artemova, Vladislav Mikhailov, Rui Xing, Jiahui Geng, Hasan Iqbal, Zain Muhammad Mujahid, Tarek Mahmoud, Akim Tsvigun, Alham Fikri Aji, Artem Shelmanov, Nizar Habash, Iryna Gurevych, and Preslav Nakov. 2024. LLM-DetectAIve: A Tool for Fine-Grained Machine-Generated Text Detection. *Preprint*, arXiv:2408.04284.

- Duarte M. Alves, José Pombal, Nuno M. Guerreiro, Pedro H. Martins, João Alves, Amin Farajian, Ben Peters, Ricardo Rei, Patrick Fernandes, Sweta Agrawal, Pierre Colombo, José G. C. de Souza, and André F. T. Martins. 2024. Tower: An Open Multilingual Large Language Model for Translation-Related Tasks. *Preprint*, arXiv:2402.17733.
- Anthropic. 2024. The Claude 3 Model Family: Opus, Sonnet, Haiku.
- Lukas Biewald. 2020. Experiment tracking with weights and biases.
- Piotr Bojanowski, Edouard Grave, Armand Joulin, and Tomas Mikolov. 2016. Enriching word vectors with subword information. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.04606*.
- Tolga Bolukbasi, Kai-Wei Chang, James Zou, Venkatesh Saligrama, and Adam Kalai. 2016. Man is to computer programmer as woman is to homemaker? debiasing word embeddings. In *Proceedings of the* 30th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, NIPS'16, pages 4356–4364, Red Hook, NY, USA. Curran Associates Inc.
- Friederike Braun, Sabine Sczesny, and Dagmar Stahlberg. 2005. Cognitive Effects of Masculine Generics in German: An Overview of Empirical Findings. *Communications*, 30(1):1–21.
- Creston Brooks, Samuel Eggert, and Denis Peskoff. 2024. The Rise of AI-Generated Content in Wikipedia. *Preprint*, arXiv:2410.08044.
- Tom B. Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Sandhini Agarwal, Ariel Herbert-Voss, Gretchen Krueger, Tom Henighan, Rewon Child, Aditya Ramesh, Daniel M. Ziegler, Jeffrey Wu, Clemens Winter, Christopher Hesse, Mark Chen, Eric Sigler, Mateusz Litwin, Scott Gray, Benjamin Chess, Jack Clark, Christopher Berner, Sam Mc-Candlish, Alec Radford, Ilya Sutskever, and Dario Amodei. 2020. Language Models Are Few-Shot Learners. http://arxiv.org/abs/2005.14165. Preprint, arXiv:2005.14165.
- Tianqi Chen and Carlos Guestrin. 2016. XGBoost: A Scalable Tree Boosting System. In Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, pages 785–794.
- Jacob Cohen. 1960. A Coefficient of Agreement for Nominal Scales. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 20(1):37–46.

- Samuel Colvin, Eric Jolibois, Hasan Ramezani, Adrian Garcia Badaracco, Terrence Dorsey, David Montague, Serge Matveenko, Marcelo Trylesinski, Sydney Runkle, David Hewitt, Alex Hall, and Victorien Plot. 2025. Pydantic.
- Michael Döll, Markus Döhring, and Andreas Müller. 2024. Evaluating Gender Bias in Large Language Models. *Preprint*, arXiv:2411.09826.
- Enzo Doyen. 2024. Neutralisation automatique du genre à travers l'utilisation de noms collectifs en français. Master's thesis, Université de Strasbourg.
- Fanny Ducel, Aurélie Névéol, and Karën Fort. 2024a. Évaluation automatique des biais de genre dans des modèles de langue auto-régressifs. *TALN 2024*.
- Fanny Ducel, Aurélie Névéol, and Karën Fort. 2024b. La recherche sur les biais dans les modèles de langue est biaisée: état de l'art en abyme. *Revue TAL : traitement automatique des langues*, 3(64).
- Gemini Team, Petko Georgiev, Ving Ian Lei, Ryan Burnell, Libin Bai, Anmol Gulati, Garrett Tanzer, Damien Vincent, Zhufeng Pan, Shibo Wang, Soroosh Mariooryad, Yifan Ding, Xinyang Geng, Fred Alcober, Roy Frostig, Mark Omernick, Lexi Walker, Cosmin Paduraru, Christina Sorokin, Andrea Tacchetti, Colin Gaffney, Samira Daruki, Olcan Sercinoglu, Zach Gleicher, Juliette Love, Paul Voigtlaender, Rohan Jain, Gabriela Surita, Kareem Mohamed, Rory Blevins, Junwhan Ahn, Tao Zhu, Kornraphop Kawintiranon, Orhan Firat, Yiming Gu, Yujing Zhang, Matthew Rahtz, Manaal Faruqui, Natalie Clay, Justin Gilmer, J. D. Co-Reyes, Ivo Penchev, Rui Zhu, Nobuyuki Morioka, Kevin Hui, Krishna Haridasan, Victor Campos, Mahdis Mahdieh, Mandy Guo, Samer Hassan, Kevin Kilgour, Arpi Vezer, Heng-Tze Cheng, Raoul de Liedekerke, Siddharth Goyal, Paul Barham, D. J. Strouse, Seb Noury, Jonas Adler, Mukund Sundararajan, Sharad Vikram, Dmitry Lepikhin, Michela Paganini, Xavier Garcia, Fan Yang, Dasha Valter, Maja Trebacz, Kiran Vodrahalli, Chulayuth Asawaroengchai, Roman Ring, Norbert Kalb, Livio Baldini Soares, Siddhartha Brahma, David Steiner, Tianhe Yu, Fabian Mentzer, Antoine He, Lucas Gonzalez, Bibo Xu, Raphael Lopez Kaufman, Laurent El Shafey, Junhyuk Oh, Tom Hennigan, George van den Driessche, Seth Odoom, Mario Lucic, Becca Roelofs, Sid Lall, Amit Marathe, Betty Chan, Santiago Ontanon, Luheng He, Denis Teplyashin, Jonathan Lai, Phil Crone, Bogdan Damoc, Lewis Ho, Sebastian Riedel, Karel Lenc, Chih-Kuan Yeh, Aakanksha Chowdhery, Yang Xu, Mehran Kazemi, Ehsan Amid, Anastasia Petrushkina, Kevin Swersky, Ali Khodaei, Gowoon Chen, Chris Larkin, Mario Pinto, Geng Yan, Adria Puigdomenech Badia, Piyush Patil, Steven Hansen, Dave Orr, Sebastien M. R. Arnold, Jordan Grimstad, Andrew Dai, Sholto Douglas, Rishika Sinha, Vikas Yadav, Xi Chen, Elena Gribovskaya, Jacob Austin, Jeffrey Zhao, Kaushal Patel, Paul Komarek, Sophia Austin, Sebastian Borgeaud, Linda Friso, Abhimanyu Goyal, Ben Caine, Kris

Cao, Da-Woon Chung, Matthew Lamm, Gabe Barth-Maron, Thais Kagohara, Kate Olszewska, Mia Chen, Kaushik Shivakumar, Rishabh Agarwal, Harshal Godhia, Ravi Rajwar, Javier Snaider, Xerxes Dotiwalla, Yuan Liu, Aditya Barua, Victor Ungureanu, Yuan Zhang, Bat-Orgil Batsaikhan, Mateo Wirth, James Qin, Ivo Danihelka, Tulsee Doshi, Martin Chadwick, Jilin Chen, Sanil Jain, Quoc Le, Arjun Kar, Madhu Gurumurthy, Cheng Li, Ruoxin Sang, Fangyu Liu, Lampros Lamprou, Rich Munoz, Nathan Lintz, Harsh Mehta, Heidi Howard, Malcolm Reynolds, Lora Aroyo, Quan Wang, Lorenzo Blanco, Albin Cassirer, Jordan Griffith, Dipanjan Das, Stephan Lee, Jakub Sygnowski, Zach Fisher, James Besley, Richard Powell, Zafarali Ahmed, Dominik Paulus, David Reitter, Zalan Borsos, Rishabh Joshi, Aedan Pope, Steven Hand, Vittorio Selo, Vihan Jain, Nikhil Sethi, Megha Goel, Takaki Makino, Rhys May, Zhen Yang, Johan Schalkwyk, Christina Butterfield, Anja Hauth, Alex Goldin, Will Hawkins, Evan Senter, Sergey Brin, Oliver Woodman, Marvin Ritter, Eric Noland, Minh Giang, Vijay Bolina, Lisa Lee, Tim Blyth, Ian Mackinnon, Machel Reid, Obaid Sarvana, David Silver, Alexander Chen, Lily Wang, Loren Maggiore, Oscar Chang, Nithya Attaluri, Gregory Thornton, Chung-Cheng Chiu, Oskar Bunyan, Nir Levine, Timothy Chung, Evgenii Eltyshev, Xiance Si, Timothy Lillicrap, Demetra Brady, Vaibhav Aggarwal, Boxi Wu, Yuanzhong Xu, Ross McIlroy, Kartikeya Badola, Paramjit Sandhu, Erica Moreira, Wojciech Stokowiec, Ross Hemsley, Dong Li, Alex Tudor, Pranav Shyam, Elahe Rahimtoroghi, Salem Haykal, Pablo Sprechmann, Xiang Zhou, Diana Mincu, Yujia Li, Ravi Addanki, Kalpesh Krishna, Xiao Wu, Alexandre Frechette, Matan Eyal, Allan Dafoe, Dave Lacey, Jay Whang, Thi Avrahami, Ye Zhang, Emanuel Taropa, Hanzhao Lin, Daniel Toyama, Eliza Rutherford, Motoki Sano, HyunJeong Choe, Alex Tomala, Chalence Safranek-Shrader, Nora Kassner, Mantas Pajarskas, Matt Harvey, Sean Sechrist, Meire Fortunato, Christina Lyu, Gamaleldin Elsayed, Chenkai Kuang, James Lottes, Eric Chu, Chao Jia, Chih-Wei Chen, Peter Humphreys, Kate Baumli, Connie Tao, Rajkumar Samuel, Cicero Nogueira dos Santos, Anders Andreassen, Nemanja Rakićević, Dominik Grewe, Aviral Kumar, Stephanie Winkler, Jonathan Caton, Andrew Brock, Sid Dalmia, Hannah Sheahan, Iain Barr, Yingjie Miao, Paul Natsev, Jacob Devlin, Feryal Behbahani, Flavien Prost, Yanhua Sun, Artiom Myaskovsky, Thanumalayan Sankaranarayana Pillai, Dan Hurt, Angeliki Lazaridou, Xi Xiong, Ce Zheng, Fabio Pardo, Xiaowei Li, Dan Horgan, Joe Stanton, Moran Ambar, Fei Xia, Alejandro Lince, Mingqiu Wang, Basil Mustafa, Albert Webson, Hyo Lee, Rohan Anil, Martin Wicke, Timothy Dozat, Abhishek Sinha, Enrique Piqueras, Elahe Dabir, Shyam Upadhyay, Anudhyan Boral, Lisa Anne Hendricks, Corey Fry, Josip Djolonga, Yi Su, Jake Walker, Jane Labanowski, Ronny Huang, Vedant Misra, Jeremy Chen, R. J. Skerry-Ryan, Avi Singh, Shruti Rijhwani, Dian Yu, Alex Castro-Ros, Beer Changpinyo, Romina Datta, Sumit Bagri, Arnar Mar Hrafnkelsson, Marcello Maggioni, Daniel Zheng, Yury Sulsky, Shaobo Hou, Tom Le Paine, Antoine Yang, Jason Riesa, Dominika Rogozinska, Dror Marcus, Dalia El Badawy, Qiao Zhang, Luyu Wang, Helen Miller, Jeremy Greer, Lars Lowe Sjos, Azade Nova, Heiga Zen, Rahma Chaabouni, Mihaela Rosca, Jiepu Jiang, Charlie Chen, Ruibo Liu, Tara Sainath, Maxim Krikun, Alex Polozov, Jean-Baptiste Lespiau, Josh Newlan, Zeyncep Cankara, Soo Kwak, Yunhan Xu, Phil Chen, Andy Coenen, Clemens Meyer, Katerina Tsihlas, Ada Ma, Juraj Gottweis, Jinwei Xing, Chenjie Gu, Jin Miao, Christian Frank, Zeynep Cankara, Sanjay Ganapathy, Ishita Dasgupta, Steph Hughes-Fitt, Heng Chen, David Reid, Keran Rong, Hongmin Fan, Joost van Amersfoort, Vincent Zhuang, Aaron Cohen, Shixiang Shane Gu, Anhad Mohananey, Anastasija Ilic, Taylor Tobin, John Wieting, Anna Bortsova, Phoebe Thacker, Emma Wang, Emily Caveness, Justin Chiu, Eren Sezener, Alex Kaskasoli, Steven Baker, Katie Millican, Mohamed Elhawaty, Kostas Aisopos, Carl Lebsack, Nathan Byrd, Hanjun Dai, Wenhao Jia, Matthew Wiethoff, Elnaz Davoodi, Albert Weston, Lakshman Yagati, Arun Ahuja, Isabel Gao, Golan Pundak, Susan Zhang, Michael Azzam, Khe Chai Sim, Sergi Caelles, James Keeling, Abhanshu Sharma, Andy Swing, YaGuang Li, Chenxi Liu, Carrie Grimes Bostock, Yamini Bansal, Zachary Nado, Ankesh Anand, Josh Lipschultz, Abhijit Karmarkar, Lev Proleev, Abe Ittycheriah, Soheil Hassas Yeganeh, George Polovets, Aleksandra Faust, Jiao Sun, Alban Rrustemi, Pen Li, Rakesh Shivanna, Jeremiah Liu, Chris Welty, Federico Lebron, Anirudh Baddepudi, Sebastian Krause, Emilio Parisotto, Radu Soricut, Zheng Xu, Dawn Bloxwich, Melvin Johnson, Behnam Neyshabur, Justin Mao-Jones, Renshen Wang, Vinay Ramasesh, Zaheer Abbas, Arthur Guez, Constant Segal, Duc Dung Nguyen, James Svensson, Le Hou, Sarah York, Kieran Milan, Sophie Bridgers, Wiktor Gworek, Marco Tagliasacchi, James Lee-Thorp, Michael Chang, Alexey Guseynov, Ale Jakse Hartman, Michael Kwong, Ruizhe Zhao, Sheleem Kashem, Elizabeth Cole, Antoine Miech, Richard Tanburn, Mary Phuong, Filip Pavetic, Sebastien Cevey, Ramona Comanescu, Richard Ives, Sherry Yang, Cosmo Du, Bo Li, Zizhao Zhang, Mariko Iinuma, Clara Huiyi Hu, Aurko Roy, Shaan Bijwadia, Zhenkai Zhu, Danilo Martins, Rachel Saputro, Anita Gergely, Steven Zheng, Dawei Jia, Ioannis Antonoglou, Adam Sadovsky, Shane Gu, Yingying Bi, Alek Andreev, Sina Samangooei, Mina Khan, Tomas Kocisky, Angelos Filos, Chintu Kumar, Colton Bishop, Adams Yu, Sarah Hodkinson, Sid Mittal, Premal Shah, Alexandre Moufarek, Yong Cheng, Adam Bloniarz, Jaehoon Lee, Pedram Pejman, Paul Michel, Stephen Spencer, Vladimir Feinberg, Xuehan Xiong, Nikolay Savinov, Charlotte Smith, Siamak Shakeri, Dustin Tran, Mary Chesus, Bernd Bohnet, George Tucker, Tamara von Glehn, Carrie Muir, Yiran Mao, Hideto Kazawa, Ambrose Slone, Kedar Soparkar, Disha Shrivastava, James Cobon-Kerr, Michael Sharman, Jay Pavagadhi, Carlos Araya, Karolis Misiunas, Nimesh Ghelani, Michael Laskin, David Barker, Qiujia Li, Anton Briukhov, Neil Houlsby, Mia Glaese, Balaji Lakshminarayanan, Nathan Schucher, Yunhao Tang, Eli

Collins, Hyeontaek Lim, Fangxiaoyu Feng, Adria Recasens, Guangda Lai, Alberto Magni, Nicola De Cao, Aditya Siddhant, Zoe Ashwood, Jordi Orbay, Mostafa Dehghani, Jenny Brennan, Yifan He, Kelvin Xu, Yang Gao, Carl Saroufim, James Molloy, Xinyi Wu, Seb Arnold, Solomon Chang, Julian Schrittwieser, Elena Buchatskaya, Soroush Radpour, Martin Polacek, Skye Giordano, Ankur Bapna, Simon Tokumine, Vincent Hellendoorn, Thibault Sottiaux, Sarah Cogan, Aliaksei Severyn, Mohammad Saleh, Shantanu Thakoor, Laurent Shefey, Siyuan Qiao, Meenu Gaba, Shuo-yiin Chang, Craig Swanson, Biao Zhang, Benjamin Lee, Paul Kishan Rubenstein, Gan Song, Tom Kwiatkowski, Anna Koop, Ajay Kannan, David Kao, Parker Schuh, Axel Stjerngren, Golnaz Ghiasi, Gena Gibson, Luke Vilnis, Ye Yuan, Felipe Tiengo Ferreira, Aishwarya Kamath, Ted Klimenko, Ken Franko, Kefan Xiao, Indro Bhattacharya, Miteyan Patel, Rui Wang, Alex Morris, Robin Strudel, Vivek Sharma, Peter Choy, Sayed Hadi Hashemi, Jessica Landon, Mara Finkelstein, Priya Jhakra, Justin Frye, Megan Barnes, Matthew Mauger, Dennis Daun, Khuslen Baatarsukh, Matthew Tung, Wael Farhan, Henryk Michalewski, Fabio Viola, Felix de Chaumont Quitry, Charline Le Lan, Tom Hudson, Qingze Wang, Felix Fischer, Ivy Zheng, Elspeth White, Anca Dragan, Jean-baptiste Alayrac, Eric Ni, Alexander Pritzel, Adam Iwanicki, Michael Isard, Anna Bulanova, Lukas Zilka, Ethan Dyer, Devendra Sachan, Srivatsan Srinivasan, Hannah Muckenhirn, Honglong Cai, Amol Mandhane, Mukarram Tariq, Jack W. Rae, Gary Wang, Kareem Ayoub, Nicholas FitzGerald, Yao Zhao, Woohyun Han, Chris Alberti, Dan Garrette, Kashyap Krishnakumar, Mai Gimenez, Anselm Levskaya, Daniel Sohn, Josip Matak, Inaki Iturrate, Michael B. Chang, Jackie Xiang, Yuan Cao, Nishant Ranka, Geoff Brown, Adrian Hutter, Vahab Mirrokni, Nanxin Chen, Kaisheng Yao, Zoltan Egyed, Francois Galilee, Tyler Liechty, Praveen Kallakuri, Evan Palmer, Sanjay Ghemawat, Jasmine Liu, David Tao, Chloe Thornton, Tim Green, Mimi Jasarevic, Sharon Lin, Victor Cotruta, Yi-Xuan Tan, Noah Fiedel, Hongkun Yu, Ed Chi, Alexander Neitz, Jens Heitkaemper, Anu Sinha, Denny Zhou, Yi Sun, Charbel Kaed, Brice Hulse, Swaroop Mishra, Maria Georgaki, Sneha Kudugunta, Clement Farabet, Izhak Shafran, Daniel Vlasic, Anton Tsitsulin, Rajagopal Ananthanarayanan, Alen Carin, Guolong Su, Pei Sun, Shashank V, Gabriel Carvajal, Josef Broder, Iulia Comsa, Alena Repina, William Wong, Warren Weilun Chen, Peter Hawkins, Egor Filonov, Lucia Loher, Christoph Hirnschall, Weiyi Wang, Jingchen Ye, Andrea Burns, Hardie Cate, Diana Gage Wright, Federico Piccinini, Lei Zhang, Chu-Cheng Lin, Ionel Gog, Yana Kulizhskaya, Ashwin Sreevatsa, Shuang Song, Luis C. Cobo, Anand Iyer, Chetan Tekur, Guillermo Garrido, Zhuyun Xiao, Rupert Kemp, Huaixiu Steven Zheng, Hui Li, Ananth Agarwal, Christel Ngani, Kati Goshvadi, Rebeca Santamaria-Fernandez, Wojciech Fica, Xinyun Chen, Chris Gorgolewski, Sean Sun, Roopal Garg, Xinyu Ye, S. M. Ali Eslami, Nan Hua, Jon Simon, Pratik Joshi, Yelin Kim, Ian Tenney, Sahitya Potluri, Lam Nguyen Thiet, Quan

Yuan, Florian Luisier, Alexandra Chronopoulou, Salvatore Scellato, Praveen Srinivasan, Minmin Chen, Vinod Koverkathu, Valentin Dalibard, Yaming Xu, Brennan Saeta, Keith Anderson, Thibault Sellam, Nick Fernando, Fantine Huot, Junehyuk Jung, Mani Varadarajan, Michael Quinn, Amit Raul, Maigo Le, Ruslan Habalov, Jon Clark, Komal Jalan, Kalesha Bullard, Achintya Singhal, Thang Luong, Boyu Wang, Sujeevan Rajayogam, Julian Eisenschlos, Johnson Jia, Daniel Finchelstein, Alex Yakubovich, Daniel Balle, Michael Fink, Sameer Agarwal, Jing Li, Dj Dvijotham, Shalini Pal, Kai Kang, Jaclyn Konzelmann, Jennifer Beattie, Olivier Dousse, Diane Wu, Remi Crocker, Chen Elkind, Siddhartha Reddy Jonnalagadda, Jong Lee, Dan Holtmann-Rice, Krystal Kallarackal, Rosanne Liu, Denis Vnukov, Neera Vats, Luca Invernizzi, Mohsen Jafari, Huanjie Zhou, Lilly Taylor, Jennifer Prendki, Marcus Wu, Tom Eccles, Tianqi Liu, Kavya Kopparapu, Francoise Beaufays, Christof Angermueller, Andreea Marzoca, Shourya Sarcar, Hilal Dib, Jeff Stanway, Frank Perbet, Nejc Trdin, Rachel Sterneck, Andrey Khorlin, Dinghua Li, Xihui Wu, Sonam Goenka, David Madras, Sasha Goldshtein, Willi Gierke, Tong Zhou, Yaxin Liu, Yannie Liang, Anais White, Yunjie Li, Shreya Singh, Sanaz Bahargam, Mark Epstein, Sujoy Basu, Li Lao, Adnan Ozturel, Carl Crous, Alex Zhai, Han Lu, Zora Tung, Neeraj Gaur, Alanna Walton, Lucas Dixon, Ming Zhang, Amir Globerson, Grant Uy, Andrew Bolt, Olivia Wiles, Milad Nasr, Ilia Shumailov, Marco Selvi, Francesco Piccinno, Ricardo Aguilar, Sara McCarthy, Misha Khalman, Mrinal Shukla, Vlado Galic, John Carpenter, Kevin Villela, Haibin Zhang, Harry Richardson, James Martens, Matko Bosnjak, Shreyas Rammohan Belle, Jeff Seibert, Mahmoud Alnahlawi, Brian McWilliams, Sankalp Singh, Annie Louis, Wen Ding, Dan Popovici, Lenin Simicich, Laura Knight, Pulkit Mehta, Nishesh Gupta, Chongyang Shi, Saaber Fatehi, Jovana Mitrovic, Alex Grills, Joseph Pagadora, Tsendsuren Munkhdalai, Dessie Petrova, Danielle Eisenbud, Zhishuai Zhang, Damion Yates, Bhavishya Mittal, Nilesh Tripuraneni, Yannis Assael, Thomas Brovelli, Prateek Jain, Mihajlo Velimirovic, Canfer Akbulut, Jiaqi Mu, Wolfgang Macherey, Ravin Kumar, Jun Xu, Haroon Qureshi, Gheorghe Comanici, Jeremy Wiesner, Zhitao Gong, Anton Ruddock, Matthias Bauer, Nick Felt, Anirudh GP, Anurag Arnab, Dustin Zelle, Jonas Rothfuss, Bill Rosgen, Ashish Shenoy, Bryan Seybold, Xinjian Li, Jayaram Mudigonda, Goker Erdogan, Jiawei Xia, Jiri Simsa, Andrea Michi, Yi Yao, Christopher Yew, Steven Kan, Isaac Caswell, Carey Radebaugh, Andre Elisseeff, Pedro Valenzuela, Kay McKinney, Kim Paterson, Albert Cui, Eri Latorre-Chimoto, Solomon Kim, William Zeng, Ken Durden, Priya Ponnapalli, Tiberiu Sosea, Christopher A. Choquette-Choo, James Manyika, Brona Robenek, Harsha Vashisht, Sebastien Pereira, Hoi Lam, Marko Velic, Denese Owusu-Afriyie, Katherine Lee, Tolga Bolukbasi, Alicia Parrish, Shawn Lu, Jane Park, Balaji Venkatraman, Alice Talbert, Lambert Rosique, Yuchung Cheng, Andrei Sozanschi, Adam Paszke, Praveen Kumar, Jessica Austin, Lu Li,

Khalid Salama, Bartek Perz, Wooyeol Kim, Nandita Dukkipati, Anthony Baryshnikov, Christos Kaplanis, XiangHai Sheng, Yuri Chervonyi, Caglar Unlu, Diego de Las Casas, Harry Askham, Kathryn Tunyasuvunakool, Felix Gimeno, Siim Poder, Chester Kwak, Matt Miecnikowski, Vahab Mirrokni, Alek Dimitriev, Aaron Parisi, Dangyi Liu, Tomy Tsai, Toby Shevlane, Christina Kouridi, Drew Garmon, Adrian Goedeckemeyer, Adam R. Brown, Anitha Vijayakumar, Ali Elqursh, Sadegh Jazayeri, Jin Huang, Sara Mc Carthy, Jay Hoover, Lucy Kim, Sandeep Kumar, Wei Chen, Courtney Biles, Garrett Bingham, Evan Rosen, Lisa Wang, Qijun Tan, David Engel, Francesco Pongetti, Dario de Cesare, Dongseong Hwang, Lily Yu, Jennifer Pullman, Srini Narayanan, Kyle Levin, Siddharth Gopal, Megan Li, Asaf Aharoni, Trieu Trinh, Jessica Lo, Norman Casagrande, Roopali Vij, Loic Matthey, Bramandia Ramadhana, Austin Matthews, C. J. Carey, Matthew Johnson, Kremena Goranova, Rohin Shah, Shereen Ashraf, Kingshuk Dasgupta, Rasmus Larsen, Yicheng Wang, Manish Reddy Vuyyuru, Chong Jiang, Joana Ijazi, Kazuki Osawa, Celine Smith, Ramya Sree Boppana, Taylan Bilal, Yuma Koizumi, Ying Xu, Yasemin Altun, Nir Shabat, Ben Bariach, Alex Korchemniy, Kiam Choo, Olaf Ronneberger, Chimezie Iwuanyanwu, Shubin Zhao, David Soergel, Cho-Jui Hsieh, Irene Cai, Shariq Iqbal, Martin Sundermeyer, Zhe Chen, Elie Bursztein, Chaitanya Malaviya, Fadi Biadsy, Prakash Shroff, Inderjit Dhillon, Tejasi Latkar, Chris Dyer, Hannah Forbes, Massimo Nicosia, Vitaly Nikolaev, Somer Greene, Marin Georgiev, Pidong Wang, Nina Martin, Hanie Sedghi, John Zhang, Praseem Banzal, Doug Fritz, Vikram Rao, Xuezhi Wang, Jiageng Zhang, Viorica Patraucean, Dayou Du, Igor Mordatch, Ivan Jurin, Lewis Liu, Ayush Dubey, Abhi Mohan, Janek Nowakowski, Vlad-Doru Ion, Nan Wei, Reiko Tojo, Maria Abi Raad, Drew A. Hudson, Vaishakh Keshava, Shubham Agrawal, Kevin Ramirez, Zhichun Wu, Hoang Nguyen, Ji Liu, Madhavi Sewak, Bryce Petrini, DongHyun Choi, Ivan Philips, Ziyue Wang, Ioana Bica, Ankush Garg, Jarek Wilkiewicz, Priyanka Agrawal, Xiaowei Li, Danhao Guo, Emily Xue, Naseer Shaik, Andrew Leach, Sadh MNM Khan, Julia Wiesinger, Sammy Jerome, Abhishek Chakladar, Alek Wenjiao Wang, Tina Ornduff, Folake Abu, Alireza Ghaffarkhah, Marcus Wainwright, Mario Cortes, Frederick Liu, Joshua Maynez, Andreas Terzis, Pouya Samangouei, Riham Mansour, Tomasz Kepa, François-Xavier Aubet, Anton Algymr, Dan Banica, Agoston Weisz, Andras Orban, Alexandre Senges, Ewa Andrejczuk, Mark Geller, Niccolo Dal Santo, Valentin Anklin, Majd Al Merey, Martin Baeuml, Trevor Strohman, Junwen Bai, Slav Petrov, Yonghui Wu, Demis Hassabis, Koray Kavukcuoglu, Jeff Dean, and Oriol Vinyals. 2024. Gemini 1.5: Unlocking multimodal understanding across millions of tokens of context. Preprint, arXiv:2403.05530.

Sarah Glim, Anita Körner, and Ralf Rummer. 2024. Generic masculine role nouns interfere with the neural processing of female referents: Evidence from the P600. *Language, Cognition and Neuroscience,* 39(10):1366–1375.

- Pascal Gygax, Ute Gabriel, Arik Lévy, Eva Pool, Marjorie Grivel, and Elena Pedrazzini. 2012. The masculine form and its competing interpretations in French: When linking grammatically masculine role names to female referents is difficult. *Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, 24(4):395–408.
- Pascal Gygax, Ute Gabriel, Oriane Sarrasin, Jane Oakhill, and Alan Garnham. 2008. Generically intended, but specifically interpreted: When beauticians, musicians, and mechanics are all men. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 23(3):464–485.
- Harris Interactive. 2017. L'écriture inclusive : La population française connaît-elle l'écriture inclusive ? Quelle opinion en a-t-elle ? Technical report.
- Hadas Kotek, Rikker Dockum, and David Q. Sun. 2023. Gender bias and stereotypes in Large Language Models. In *Proceedings of The ACM Collective Intelligence Conference*, pages 12–24.
- Paul Lerner and Cyril Grouin. 2024. INCLURE: A Dataset and Toolkit for Inclusive French Translation.
- Lin Long, Rui Wang, Ruixuan Xiao, Junbo Zhao, Xiao Ding, Gang Chen, and Haobo Wang. 2024. On LLMs-Driven Synthetic Data Generation, Curation, and Evaluation: A Survey. *Preprint*, arXiv:2406.15126.
- Teodor-George Marchitan, Claudiu Creanga, and Liviu P. Dinu. 2025. Qwen it detect machinegenerated text? *Preprint*, arXiv:2501.09813.
- Louis Martin, Benjamin Muller, Pedro Javier Ortiz Suárez, Yoann Dupont, Laurent Romary, Éric Villemonte de la Clergerie, Djamé Seddah, and Benoît Sagot. 2020. CamemBERT: A Tasty French Language Model. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 7203–7219.
- Wiltrud Mihatsch and Catherine Schnedecker. 2015. *Les noms d'humains : une catégorie à part ?* Number Band 40 in Romanistik. Franz Steiner Verlag, Stuttgart.
- Mistral AI. 2024. Un Ministral, des Ministraux. https://mistral.ai/news/ministraux/.
- Mistral AI. 2025. Mistral Small 3. https://mistral.ai/news/mistral-small-3/.
- Ines Montani, Matthew Honnibal, Adriane Boyd, Sofie Van Landeghem, and Henning Peters. 2024. spaCy: Industrial-strength Natural Language Processing in Python. Zenodo.
- Fiammetta Namer, Nabil Hathout, Dany Amiot, Lucie Barque, Olivier Bonami, Gilles Boyé, Basilio Calderone, Julie Cattini, Georgette Dal, Alexander Delaporte, Guillaume Duboisdindien, Achille

Falaise, Natalia Grabar, Pauline Haas, Frédérique Henry, Mathilde Huguin, Nyoman Juniarta, Loïc Liégeois, Stéphanie Lignon, Lucie Macchi, Grigoriy Manucharian, Caroline Masson, Fabio Montermini, Nadejda Okinina, Franck Sajous, Daniele Sanacore, Mai Thi Tran, Juliette Thuilier, Yannick Toussaint, and Delphine Tribout. 2023. Démonette-2, a derivational database for French with broad lexical coverage and fine-grained morphological descriptions. *Lexique*, (33).

- OpenAI. 2024. GPT-40 mini: Advancing cost-efficient intelligence. https://openai.com/index/gpt-40-mini-advancing-cost-efficient-intelligence/.
- Barbara Papasseudi. 2023. Classification de Noms d'Humains : Classification automatique des noms de fonctions, de métiers et de spécialistes. Master's thesis, Université de Strasbourg.

Princeton University. 2010. About WordNet.

- Xiao Pu, Mingqi Gao, and Xiaojun Wan. 2023. Summarization is (Almost) Dead. *Preprint*, arXiv:2309.09558.
- Célia Richy and Heather Burnett. 2021. Démêler les effets des stéréotypes et le genre grammatical dans le biais masculin : Une approche expérimentale. *GLAD*!, (10).
- Patrick Rothermund and Fritz Strack. 2024. Reminding May Not Be Enough: Overcoming the Male Dominance of the Generic Masculine. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 43(4):468–485.
- Elena Sofia Safina. 2024. Effects of Grammatical Gender on Gender Inferences: Experimental Evidence From Italian Common Gender Nouns. *International Journal of Linguistics*, 16(3):60.
- Beatrice Savoldi, Marco Gaido, Luisa Bentivogli, Matteo Negri, and Marco Turchi. 2021. Gender Bias in Machine Translation. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 9:845–874.
- Catherine Schnedecker. 2018. Les noms généraux dénotant l'humain. Introduction et présentation. (76):9– 22.
- Jeanette Silveira. 1980. Generic masculine words and thinking. *Women's Studies International Quarterly*, 3(2-3):165–178.
- Nasim Sobhani and Sarah Delany. 2024. Towards Fairer NLP Models: Handling Gender Bias In Classification Tasks. In Proceedings of the 5th Workshop on Gender Bias in Natural Language Processing (GeBNLP), pages 167–178, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Dagmar Stahlberg, Sabine Sczesny, and Friederike Braun. 2001. Name Your Favorite Musician: Effects of Masculine Generics and of their Alternatives in German. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 20(4):464–469.

- Dejan Stosic and Véronique Lagae. 2013. La base de données NHUMA: avancées, problèmes et perspectives. In *Journées d'étude NHUMA 4*, Strasbourg, France.
- Zhen Sun, Zongmin Zhang, Xinyue Shen, Ziyi Zhang, Yule Liu, Michael Backes, Yang Zhang, and Xinlei He. 2024. Are We in the AI-Generated Text World Already? Quantifying and Monitoring AIGT on Social Media. *Preprint*, arXiv:2412.18148.
- Ruixiang Tang, Yu-Neng Chuang, and Xia Hu. 2023. The Science of Detecting LLM-Generated Texts. *Preprint*, arXiv:2303.07205.
- Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, Aurelien Rodriguez, Armand Joulin, Edouard Grave, and Guillaume Lample. 2023. LLaMA: Open and Efficient Foundation Language Models. http://arxiv.org/abs/2302.13971. Preprint, arXiv:2302.13971.
- Eva Vanmassenhove. 2024. Gender Bias in Machine Translation and The Era of Large Language Models. *Preprint*, arXiv:2401.10016.
- Eva Vanmassenhove, Chris Emmery, and Dimitar Shterionov. 2021. NeuTral Rewriter: A Rule-Based and Neural Approach to Automatic Rewriting into Gender-Neutral Alternatives. http://arxiv.org/abs/2109.06105. *Preprint*, arXiv:2109.06105.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention Is All You Need. In 31st Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS 2017, Long Beach, CA, USA.
- Leonor Veloso, Luisa Coheur, and Rui Ribeiro. 2023. A Rewriting Approach for Gender Inclusivity in Portuguese. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023*, pages 8747–8759, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Éliane Viennot. 2014. Non, Le Masculin Ne l'emporte Pas Sur Le Féminin ! Petite Histoire Des Résistances de La Langue Française. Éditions iXe.
- Yiluo Wei and Gareth Tyson. 2024. Understanding the Impact of AI Generated Content on Social Media: The Pixiv Case. *Preprint*, arXiv:2402.18463.
- Guillaume Wisniewski, Lichao Zhu, Nicolas Ballier, and François Yvon. 2021. Biais de genre dans un système de traduction automatique neuronale : une étude préliminaire.
- Tatu Ylonen. 2022. Wiktextract: Wiktionary as machine-readable structured data. In *Proceedings* of the Thirteenth Language Resources and Evaluation Conference, pages 1317–1325, Marseille, France. European Language Resources Association.

- Zhiwen You, HaeJin Lee, Shubhanshu Mishra, Sullam Jeoung, Apratim Mishra, Jinseok Kim, and Jana Diesner. 2024. Beyond Binary Gender Labels: Revealing Gender Bias in LLMs through Gender-Neutral Name Predictions. In *Proceedings of the 5th Workshop on Gender Bias in Natural Language Processing (GeBNLP)*, pages 255–268, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Emmanouil Zaranis, Giuseppe Attanasio, Sweta Agrawal, and André F. T. Martins. 2024. Watching the Watchers: Exposing Gender Disparities in Machine Translation Quality Estimation. *Preprint*, arXiv:2410.10995.
- Jinman Zhao, Yitian Ding, Chen Jia, Yining Wang, and Zifan Qian. 2024. Gender Bias in Large Language Models across Multiple Languages. *Preprint*, arXiv:2403.00277.
- Wenhao Zhu, Hongyi Liu, Qingxiu Dong, Jingjing Xu, Shujian Huang, Lingpeng Kong, Jiajun Chen, and Lei Li. 2024. Multilingual Machine Translation with Large Language Models: Empirical Results and Analysis. *Preprint*, arXiv:2304.04675.
- Ran Zmigrod, Sebastian J. Mielke, Hanna Wallach, and Ryan Cotterell. 2019. Counterfactual Data Augmentation for Mitigating Gender Stereotypes in Languages with Rich Morphology. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 1651–1661, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.

#### **A** HScorer Scoring Functions

This section details the scoring functions used as features  $\vec{x}$  of LR and XGBoost models, along with the FastText vector for word embedding representation. We calculate four different scores to be used: WordNet Hypernym Score (*H*), WordNet Definition Score (*D*), FastText Score (*F*) and Suffix Score (*S*). Let *W* be a word to be classified. The final feature vector for word *W* is the concatenation:

$$\vec{v}(W) = [H(W) \oplus D(W) \oplus F(W) \oplus S(W) \oplus v_W]$$

Let 
$$H(W) = (h_s, n_s)$$
 where:

$$h_s = \frac{\sum_{p \in P} \sum_{y \in p} 1_h(y)}{|P|}$$
$$n_s = \frac{\sum_{p \in P} \sum_{y \in p} 1_n(y)}{|P|}$$

Where P is the set of hypernym paths for W,  $1_h(y)$  is the indicator function for human hypernyms, and  $1_n(y)$  is the indicator function for non-human hypernyms.

Let  $I_h$  be a set of human indicator words and  $I_n$  a set of non-human indicator words (see complete list in Appendix). Those indicator words are manually defined English words related to human ("someone", "person", "who") or non-human ("object", "plant", "chemical") entities, and are used to search in WordNet definitions. Since WordNet definitions are universally in English, we used English words. See our GitHub repo for the full list. Let  $D(W) = (h_d, n_d)$  where:

$$\begin{split} h_d &= \frac{\sum_{s \in S} \sum_{i \in I_h} f(i, \mathbf{d}(s))}{|S|} \\ n_d &= \frac{\sum_{s \in S} \sum_{i \in I_n} f(i, \mathbf{d}(s))}{|S|} \end{split}$$

Where S is the set of synsets for W and f(i, d) counts occurrences of indicator i in definition d.

We define a set of human prototypes  $P_h$  and non-human prototypes  $P_n$  (see complete list in Appendix). Those prototype words are manually defined French words prototypically related to human ("personne" (*person*), "homme" (*man*), "femme" (*woman*)) or non-human ("objet" (*object*), "chose" (*item*), "machine" (*machine*)) entities, and are used to calculate semantic similarity. The contents of the prototype sets are stricter than those of indicator sets. The complete list of prototypes words is available on GitHub. Let  $F(W) = (h_f, n_f)$  where:

$$h_f = \frac{\sum_{p \in P_h} \cos(v_W, v_p)}{|P_h|}$$
$$n_f = \frac{\sum_{p \in P_h} \cos(v_W, v_p)}{|P_h|}$$

Where  $v_W$  is the 300-dimension FastText (Bojanowski et al., 2016) vector for word W and  $\cos(v_a, v_b)$  is the cosine similarity between vectors a and b.

Finally, S(W) = 1 if W ends with one of the suffixes  $\hat{s} \in \hat{S}$  (see our GitHub repo for the complete list), else S(W) = 0.

#### **B** HScorer Hyperparameters

#### Logistic Regression (LR)

$$penalty = 11$$
  
solver = saga  
$$C = 100$$

XGBoost

$$booster = gbtree$$
$$learning_rate = 0.22394632872649503$$
$$max_depth = 10$$
$$min_child_weight = 78$$
$$subsample = 1$$
$$colsample_bytree = 1$$
$$n_estimators = 912$$
$$gamma = 0$$
$$reg_alpha = 0$$
$$reg_alpha = 0$$
$$reg_lambda = 0$$
$$objective = binary:logistic$$
$$ly_stopping_rounds = 20$$
$$random_state = 42$$
$$tree_method = gpu_hist$$

$$n_jobs = 24$$

ear

### Transformer

train_batch_size $= 16$
$eval\_batch\_size = 16$
eval_strategy = epoch
$save_strategy = epoch$
num_train_epochs = $5$
weight_decay = $0.061748150962771656$
learning_rate = $8.497821083760116 \times 10^{-6}$

# C Narrowed Instruction Dataset Count Details

Table 1: Narrowed instruction dataset counts by original dataset

Dataset name	Original count	Narrowed count
alpaca	29,179	6,803
hh rlhf	10.806	2,520
oracle	2,600	605
oasst2	311	72

# D Number of Non-Specific LLM Responses

Table 2: Number of LLM responses without specificmasculine markers by model

Model	Count
claude-3-haiku	7,221
gemini	5,224
gpt4o-mini	4,873
ministral	4,660
llama	3,920
mistral-small	3,432

# E MG Human Noun Classes

Table 3: Nouns and pronouns used to perform definition search

Noun	English Translation	Websites
personne individu	person individual	TLFi, Wiktionary TLFi
quelqu'un	someone	TLFi, Wiktionary
femme	woman	TLFI, Wiktionary

Table 4: Human noun classes and counts used by Papasseudi (2023) for spaCy model training

Class	Count	Mapped to
NH-Mét	697	profession
NH-Fonc	402	profession
NH-Spé	385	speciality
NH-Titre	111	title
NH-Grade	16	title

Table 5: Human noun classes and counts across entirehuman noun database (including non-MG)

Class	Count
profession	2,893
demonym	1,290
doer	388
speciality	269
attribute	179
relationship	44
status	24
title	18
patient	14
other	7
recipient	5



#### Top 5 MG Human Noun Classes Across Responses

Figure 4: Frequency of unique MG nouns in responses based on their associated human noun class

### F Language Markers

The table below shows the language markers used for the analysis. For information, fem\_ending refers to occurrences where the feminine ending of a HN is separated from the original, masculine form to increase its visibility. This is done either by adding a special separator (e.g., "auteur-ice", "auteur(ice)") or by spelling the feminine ending with capitals (e.g., "auteurICE").

|--|

Example	English Translation	Туре
mesdames et messieurs tous et toutes un ou une il ou elle iel	Ladies and gentlemen everyone a [m] or a [f] he or she they (sg.)	incl_greetings incl_greetings incl_pairs incl_pairs neutral_prons
auteur·ice; auteur(ice); auteurICE	author	fem_ending



Inclusive Language Markers Across Models' Responses

Figure 5: Percentage of responses with inclusive language markers across models

#### G GPT-40 mini Human Noun Validation Prompting Details

Listing 1: System Prompt

```
You are an assistant that validates human noun classifications in French texts.
```

Listing 2: User Prompt

```
Given a text and nouns, for each noun, determine if it is a human
   noun in context.
Some nouns may appear multiple times in the text. In such cases,
   they are distinguished by ID ('noun_1', 'noun_2'...), following
   the order in which they appear.
Do not assume that all occurrences of the same noun are either
   human or non-human; instead, assess each occurrence individually
    based on its unique context.
Only respond in this format, where human_noun is the noun being
   considered.
{ {
  "human_noun": 0,
  "human noun 2": 1
} }
## Examples
Text: Les facteurs d'employabilité des facteurs, chargés de
   distribuer le courrier, vont évoluer.
Nouns: facteurs, facteurs_2
Output: {{ "facteurs": 0, "facteurs_2": 1 }}
Text: Le président a annoncé aux citoyens une série de mesures pour
    renforcer l'économie du pays.
Nouns: président, citoyens, mesures
Output: {{ "président": 1, "citoyens": 1, "mesures": 0 }}
Text: Il croit aux esprits et aux fantômes depuis qu'il est enfant.
Nouns: esprits, fantômes, enfant
Output: {{ "esprits": 0, "fantômes": 0, "enfant": 1 }}
Text: {text}
Nouns: {human nouns}
Output:
```

# H Examples of Gender-Fair LLM Outputs

Model	Marker Type	Output
mistral-small	incl_pairs	Vous soulevez un point intéressant. L'expression "c'est une égalité parfaite" est souvent utilisée dans le langage courant pour renforcer l idée de parité ou d'équilibre absolu entre deux choses. Cependant, du point de vue mathématique, une égalité est une relation binaire qui est, par définition, soit vraie soit fausse. En mathématiques, on ne parle pas d'une égalité "imparfaite" ou "parfaite" car l'égalité exprimée comme $2+2=4$ est tout simplement vraie ou $2+2=5$ est tout simplement fausse. Dans le langage courant, cette expression peut être vue comme un abus de langage ou une façon hyperbolique de souligner un équilibre ou une symétrie. Par exemple, si quelqu'un dit "c'est une égalité parfaite entre nos deux équipes," <b>il ou elle</b> veut dire que les deux équipes sont très équilibrées en termes de force et de capacité. Pour gérer ces situations, vous pouvez soulager ce sentiment en disant que "l'expression 'de parfaite équité' ou 'd'équilibre parfait' pourrait mieux exprimer l'idée d'une égalité absolue."
gemini	fem_ending	Les médias sociaux, bien que présentant des avantages en termes de connexion et d'information, engendrent également des effets négatifs. La comparaison constante avec des versions idéalisées de la vie des autres <b>utilisateur-ices</b> peut entraîner une baisse de l'estime de soi et de l'anxiété. Une exposition excessive à des contenus négatifs, polarisants ou manipulatoires peut affecter le bien-être mental et contribuer à la propagation de fausses infor- mations. Enfin, la dépendance aux notifications et la distraction constante peuvent entraver la concentration et la vie sociale réelle.
llama	incl_greetings	<b>Mesdames et messieurs</b> , Aujourd'hui, je voudrais vous parler d'un sujet qui concerne tous les habitants de notre planète : la sauvegarde des espèces menacées. C'est un problème immense et urgent qui nécessite notre attention et notre action. Mais avant de vous présenter les raisons pour lesquelles la sauvegarde des es- pèces menacées est une question essentielle, je voudrais poser une question simple : qu'adviendrait-il si nous perdions définitivement certaines espèces qui vivent sur terre? []

Table 7: Examples of gender-fair LLM outputs by model and marker type