

Intermediate dimensions of slices of compact sets

Nicolás E. Angelini

Universidad Nacional de San Luis

Facultad de Ciencias Físico Matemáticas y Naturales

Departamento de Matemática

and

CONICET

Instituto de Matemática Aplicada,

San Luis (IMASL)

Ursula M. Molter

Universidad de Buenos Aires,

Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales,

Departamento de Matemática,

and

UBA-CONICET,

Instituto de Investigaciones Matemáticas,

Luis A. Santaló (IMAS)

February 17, 2025

Abstract

θ intermediate dimensions are a continuous family of dimensions that interpolate between Hausdorff and Box dimensions of fractal sets. In this paper we study the problem of the relationship between the dimension of a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and the dimension of the *slices* $E \cap V$ from the point of view of intermediate dimensions. Here $V \in G(d, m)$, where $G(d, m)$ is the set of m -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{R}^d . We obtain upper bounds analogous to those already known for Hausdorff dimension. In addition, we prove several corollaries referring to, among other things, the continuity of these dimensions at $\theta = 0$, a natural problem that arises when studying them. We also investigate which conditions are sufficient to obtain a lower bound that provides an equality for almost all slices. Finally, a new type of Frostman measures is introduced. These measures combine the results already known for intermediate dimensions and Frostman measures in the case of Hausdorff dimension.

1 Introduction

There are many theorems concerning the Hausdorff dimension of *slices* of Borel sets in Euclidean space, i.e. given $0 \leq m \leq d - 1$, let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an m -dimensional plane and let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, what we can say about the Hausdorff dimension of $A \cap V$?

This problem was initiated by Marstrand [9] in the case of lines $V \subset G(2, 1)$ and $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and generalized in [10] by Mattila to higher dimensions. Precisely the following theorem holds.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $m \leq s \leq d$ and let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a Borel set with $0 < \mathcal{H}^s(A) < \infty$. Then for $\gamma_{d,m}$ almost all $W \in G(n, d - m)$,*

$$\mathcal{H}^m \left(\{a \in W^\perp : \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A \cap \{W + a\}) = s - m\} \right) > 0$$

The proof can be found in Section 10 of [12].

See [14] for more recent results and estimates of the dimension of the exceptional directions, or [13] for a recent survey on the Hausdorff dimension of intersections.

If we replace Hausdorff dimension with any other notion of dimension, results such as Theorem 1.1 are not necessarily true.

If we consider box-counting dimension, for example, in [8, Theorem 10.3.2] a set $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is constructed, with $\underline{\dim}_{\mathbb{B}} F = d$ such that for all integers $1 \leq k < d$, all $V \in G(d - k, d)$ and all $v \in V$, the slice $F \cap (V^\perp + v)$ consists of at most $k + 1$ points, and therefore has box-counting dimension 0.

The intermediate dimensions, $\overline{\dim}_\theta$ and $\underline{\dim}_\theta$, were recently introduced in [7], as a way to interpolate between Hausdorff and Box-counting dimension. (See 2.1 for the exact definition.) Those dimensions are very well studied in many works, such as [2] for Brownian motion and other images, [7] for projection theorems, [4] for elliptical polynomials spirals and many other interesting papers.

In this work we study the problem of how the intermediate dimension varies by taking *slices* of a set, this is, what can we say about $\overline{\dim}_\theta E \cap V$ where V is an m dimensional affine subspace of \mathbb{R}^d and $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a compact set.

It is not possible to obtain a general theorem such as 1.1 for the θ intermediate dimension, as we show with the following example.

Example. Let W be a line through the origin in \mathbb{R}^2 and let $C_P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ the set obtained by rotating the sequence $\{1/n^p\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with respect to the origin

of coordinates. If $p \in (0, 1)$, by [15, Theorem 2.1] we have

$$\underline{\dim}_\theta C_P = \overline{\dim}_\theta C_P = 1 + \frac{\theta(1-p)}{2p + \theta(1-p)}.$$

Hence, for all $a \in W^\perp$ such that $a \neq 0$ and all $\theta \in (0, 1)$,

$$\underline{\dim}_\theta C_P - 1 = \frac{\theta(1-p)}{2p + \theta(1-p)} > 0 = \underline{\dim}_\theta C_P \cap (W + a),$$

since for all $a \neq 0$, $C_P \cap (W + a)$ is a finite collection of points.

Since (in the best case) we have that $\underline{\dim}_\theta E \rightarrow \dim_{\mathbb{H}} E$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0$, it is natural to ask about conditions on E that are necessary or sufficient to have results similarly to Theorem 1.1 that are valid for θ intermediate dimensions.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the definitions and other skills that we will need later. In section 3, we study an upper bound for the θ intermediate dimension of slices, in Theorem 3.1 we obtain a general upper bound for the θ intermediate dimension of $E \cap f^{-1}\{y\}$ for certain functions $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, that include orthogonal projections, giving us the expected upper bound for the θ dimension of the slices. We also obtain several corollaries, for example, the almost sure continuity in $\theta = 0$ for the slices if we suppose the continuity in $\theta = 0$ of the intermediate dimension of the original set. In section 4 we study sufficient conditions on the set to ensure a lower bound or in the best case, an equality. This leads us to study the existence of a measure that we call *Joint Frostman measure*, in the final section 5.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout the whole document \mathcal{D}_n will denote the classical dyadic partition of \mathbb{R}^d into 2^{dn} half-open disjoint cubes of diameter $\sqrt{d}2^{-n}$.

$B(x, r)$, $r > 0$ will denote the open ball in \mathbb{R}^d with center x and radio r and \mathcal{B} the Borel subsets of \mathbb{R}^d .

Given a non-empty set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ we will write $|A|$ for the diameter of the set and the distance between A and a point x will be $d(x, A) = \inf_{y \in A} |x - y|$.

The Hausdorff dimension of A will be $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} A$ and $\dim_B A$ will denote Box-counting dimension of A . The reader can refer to [5] for more information on these dimensions.

\mathcal{H}^s will always refer to the Hausdorff s -measure and \mathcal{L}^d the d -dimensional Lebesgue measure.

The support of a measure μ on \mathbb{R}^d is defined as $\text{spt } \mu = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{x : \exists r > 0 \text{ such that } \mu(B(x, r)) = 0\}$.

Throughout the paper Radon measure will mean a locally finite and Borel regular measure.

We write $\mathcal{M}(E)$ for the set of Radon Measures supported on E .

$G(d, m)$ will denote the manifold of all m dimensional linear subspaces of \mathbb{R}^d and $\gamma_{d,m}$ the Haar measure on $G(d, m)$, see section 3 on [12] for more information.

This document concerns the θ intermediate dimensions, which were introduced in [7] and are defined as follows.

Definition 2.1. *Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be bounded. For $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ we define the lower θ -intermediate dimension of F by*

$$\underline{\dim}_\theta F = \inf \left\{ s \geq 0 : \forall \varepsilon > 0, \text{ and all } \delta_0 > 0, \text{ there } \exists 0 < \delta \leq \delta_0, \text{ and} \right. \\ \left. \{U_i\}_{i \in I} : F \subseteq \cup_{i \in I} U_i : \delta^{1/\theta} \leq |U_i| \leq \delta \text{ and } \sum_{i \in I} |U_i|^s \leq \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Similarly, we define the upper θ -intermediate dimension of F by

$$\overline{\dim}_\theta F = \inf \left\{ s \geq 0 : \forall \varepsilon > 0, \text{ there } \exists \delta_0 > 0, : \forall 0 < \delta \leq \delta_0, \text{ there } \exists \right. \\ \left. \{U_i\}_{i \in I} : F \subseteq \cup_{i \in I} U_i : \delta^{1/\theta} \leq |U_i| \leq \delta \text{ and } \sum_{i \in I} |U_i|^s \leq \varepsilon \right\}.$$

For all $\theta \in (0, 1]$ and a compact set $A \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have $\dim_{\text{H}} A \leq \overline{\dim}_\theta A \leq \underline{\dim}_{\text{B}} A$ and similarly with the Lower case replacing $\overline{\dim}_{\text{B}}$ with $\underline{\dim}_{\text{B}}$. This spectrum of dimensions has the property of being continuous for $\theta \in (0, 1]$, leaving the natural question of the continuity in $\theta = 0$ as a problem to study. The reader can find more information in [7].

Remark 2.2. *In [1], A. Banaji constructed a generalization of the θ intermediate dimension, replacing δ^θ by a function $\phi(\delta)$ that satisfies certain conditions. Its not hard to note that the θ intermediate dimension is invariant if we consider covers with diameters bounded above by $C\delta^\theta$, $C > 0$, instead of just δ^θ , i.e. consider $\phi(\delta) = C\delta^\theta$ in [1].*

More recently, in [3], was developed a equivalent definition for the upper and lower intermediate dimension in terms of Capacities of certain kernels that will be useful for our purposes. For convenience we will use the kernels used by Falconer in [6].

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded and non empty, $0 < s, r$ and $\theta \in (0, 1]$, write

$$S_{r,\theta}^s(E) := \inf \left\{ \sum_i |U_i|^s : E \subset \bigcup_i U_i \text{ with } r \leq |U_i| \leq r^\theta \text{ for all } i \right\}.$$

The kernels $\phi_{r,\theta}^s(x)$ are defined as follows .

$$\phi_{r,\theta}^s(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & si \quad |x| < r \\ \left(\frac{r}{|x|}\right)^s & si \quad r \leq x < r^\theta \\ 0 & si \quad x \geq r^\theta \end{cases}$$

and in [6] we have the next lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be compact, $\theta \in (0, 1]$, $0 < r < 1$ and $0 \leq s \leq d$, and let μ be a Borel probability measure supported on E . Then*

$$S_{r,\theta}^s(E) \geq r^s \left[\iint \phi_{r,\theta}^s(x-y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \right]^{-1}.$$

From the definition is not hard to see that if $\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} S_{r,\theta}^s(E) = 0$ then $\underline{\dim}_\theta E \leq s$ and if $\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} S_{r,\theta}^s(E) = 0$ then $\overline{\dim}_\theta E \leq s$. Furthermore, we have that if $\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} S_{r,\theta}^s(E) > 0$ then $\underline{\dim}_\theta E \geq s$ and if $\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} S_{r,\theta}^s(E) > 0$ then $\overline{\dim}_\theta E \geq s$.

3 Upper bound

In this section, the main result (Theorem 3.1) is an upper bound for the intermediate dimensions of intersections of bounded sets with certain preimages $f^{-1}\{y\}$, which give us as a corollary the expected upper bound of the dimension of the slices of a bounded set.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\theta \in (0, 1]$, $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ a Lipschitz function such that for each $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $f^{-1}\{y\}$ is a continuous $d-m$ dimensional surface inside \mathbb{R}^d . Then if $m \leq \underline{\dim}_\theta E$ and exist $c > 0$ such that $\inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^m} |f^{-1}\{y\}| > c$ we have*

$$\underline{\dim}_\theta(E \cap f^{-1}\{y\}) \leq \underline{\dim}_\theta E - m.$$

for \mathcal{L}^m almost all $y \in V$.

Equivalently if $m \leq \overline{\dim}_\theta E$ and exist $c > 0$ such that $\inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^m} |f^{-1}\{y\}| > c$ we have

$$\overline{\dim}_\theta(E \cap f^{-1}\{y\}) \leq \overline{\dim}_\theta E - m.$$

for \mathcal{L}^m almost all $y \in V$

Proof. Let $s > \underline{\dim}_\theta E$.

By definition of intermediate dimension we have that there exists a sequence r_k ($r_k \rightarrow 0$), such that for all k there exists a cover $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ of E by closed sets of diameter $r_k \leq |W_i| \leq r_k^\theta$ for all i and

$$\sum_{i \in I} |W_i|^s < 1/k. \quad (1)$$

Since we are letting r tend to 0, we may as well assume that $r_k < \min\{\frac{c}{2}, 1\}$.

Since $\{W_i\}_i$ is a cover of E we have that the sets $\{W_i \cap f^{-1}\{y\}\}_i$ cover $E \cap f^{-1}\{y\}$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $|W_i \cap f^{-1}\{y\}| \leq r_k^\theta$. However, it is not necessarily true that $r_k \leq |W_i \cap f^{-1}\{y\}|$.

Now, for each i let $V_i = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m : W_i \cap f^{-1}\{y\} \neq \emptyset\}$.

For $y \in V_i$, if $r_k \leq |W_i \cap f^{-1}\{y\}|$ we write $U_i(y) = W_i$.

If $0 < |W_i \cap f^{-1}\{y\}| < r_k$, let $v_y \in f^{-1}\{y\}$ such that $r_k = |(W_i \cap f^{-1}\{y\}) \cup \{v_y\}|$. We can do this because $f^{-1}\{y\}$ is a continuous surface and satisfies $2r_k < |f^{-1}\{y\}|$. In this case write $U_i(y) = W_i \cup \{v_y\}$.

Define $U_i = \bigcup_{y \in V_i} U_i(y)$.

Claim: $|U_i| \leq 3|W_i|$.

Proof:

Let $x_1, x_2 \in W_i$ and $y_1, y_2 \in V_i$. Clearly we have $|x_1 - x_2| \leq |W_i|$.

Now, since $r_k = |(W_i \cap f^{-1}\{y\}) \cup \{v_y\}|$ there exists a point $x_3 \in W_i$ such that $|v_y - x_3| = r_k$ and therefore $|x_1 - v_y| \leq |x_1 - x_3| + |x_3 - v_y| \leq 2|W_i|$.

With the same procedure as before we have

$$|v_{y_1} - v_{y_2}| \leq r_k + |W_i| + r_k \leq 3|W_i|$$

and hence

$$|U_i| = \sup\{|x - y| : x, y \in \cup_{y \in V_i} U_i(y)\} \leq 3|W_i|.$$

□

Continuing with the proof of the Theorem, we have that $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a cover of E (therefore $\{U_i \cap f^{-1}\{y\}\}_{i \in I}$ cover $E \cap f^{-1}\{y\}$) such that for all i ,

$$|U_i| \leq 3|W_i| \text{ and } r_k \leq |U_i \cap f^{-1}\{y\}| \leq |U_i| \leq 3|W_i| \leq 3r_k^\theta \text{ for } y \in V_i.$$

In this way we have constructed our cover for $E \cap f^{-1}\{y\}$. The next step is obtain an upper bound for $\mathcal{L}^m(V_i)$.

To obtain this, let $y, z \in V_i$, then, there exist $u, v \in U_i$ such that $f(u) = y$ and $f(v) = z$.

Using the Lipschitz condition of f we have $|y - z| \leq |u - v|$ and so $|V_i| \leq |U_i|$ and since V_i is contained in a m -dimensional cube of side $|V_i|$ we have

$$\mathcal{L}^m(V_i) \leq |U_i|^m \leq 3^m |W_i|^m. \quad (2)$$

Now, let

$$\mathbf{S}_{r,\theta}^s(E) := \inf \left\{ \sum_i |U_i|^s : E \subset \bigcup_i U_i \text{ with } r \leq |U_i| \leq 3r^\theta \text{ for all } i \right\}, \quad (3)$$

and recall Remark 2.2.

Let $\int^* f d\mathcal{L}^m = \inf_\gamma \int \gamma d\mathcal{L}^m$ where the infimum is taken over all the \mathcal{L}^m measurable functions γ such that $f \leq \gamma$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrarily small. For each r_k , let $\gamma_{r_k,\theta}^{s-m}$ be a \mathcal{L}^m measurable function such that $\mathbf{S}_{r_k,\theta}^{s-m}(E \cap f^{-1}\{y\}) \leq \gamma_{r_k,\theta}^{s-m}(y)$ and

$$\int \gamma_{r_k,\theta}^{s-m}(y) d\mathcal{L}^m(y) \leq \int^* \mathbf{S}_{r_k,\theta}^{s-m}(E \cap f^{-1}\{y\}) d\mathcal{L}^m(y) + \varepsilon. \quad (4)$$

Then, using 1, 2, 3, 4 and Fatou's lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int^* \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{S}_{r_k, \theta}^{s-m}(E \cap f^{-1}\{y\}) d\mathcal{L}^m(y) \\
& \leq \int \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{r_k, \theta}^{s-m}(y) d\mathcal{L}^m(y) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int \gamma_{r_k, \theta}^{s-m}(y) d\mathcal{L}^m(y) \\
& \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int^* \mathbf{S}_{r_k, \theta}^{s-m}(E \cap f^{-1}\{y\}) d\mathcal{L}^m + \varepsilon \\
& \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int^* \sum_i |U_i \cap f^{-1}\{y\}|^{s-m} d\mathcal{L}^m + \varepsilon \\
& \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_i \int_{V_i}^* |U_i \cap f^{-1}\{y\}|^{s-m} d\mathcal{L}^m + \varepsilon \\
& \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_i 3^{s-m} |W_i|^{s-m} \mathcal{L}^m(V_i) + \varepsilon \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} 3^s \sum_i |W_i|^{s-m} |W_i|^m + \varepsilon \\
& \leq 3^s \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_i |W_i|^s + \varepsilon < 3^s \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} + \varepsilon = \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for all ε we have

$$\int^* \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{S}_{r_k, \theta}^{s-m}(E \cap f^{-1}\{y\}) d\mathcal{L}^m(y) = 0.$$

Hence for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ exist a \mathcal{L}^m measurable function ϕ_n such that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{S}_{r_k, \theta}^{s-m}(E \cap f^{-1}\{y\}) \leq \phi_n(y) \text{ and } \int \phi_n(y) d\mathcal{L}^m(y) < \frac{1}{n}. \quad (5)$$

Using Fatou's lemma we have $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(y) = 0$ for \mathcal{L}^m almost all $y \in V$, which implies that for these y we have $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{S}_{r_k, \theta}^{s-m}(E \cap f^{-1}\{y\}) = 0$ and therefore, by the definition of lower θ intermediate dimension we have $\underline{\dim}_\theta E \cap f^{-1}\{y\} \leq s - m$ for \mathcal{L}^m almost all $y \in V$ and for all $s > \underline{\dim}_\theta E$ and the result follows.

For the case of upper intermediate dimension we have by definition that if $s > \overline{\dim}_\theta E$ then, for ε arbitrarily small, there exist r_0 such that for all $r \in (0, r_0)$ exist a cover $\{W_i\}$ of E satisfying the condition (1), with this, and the same reasoning as before we have that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \int^* \mathbf{S}_{r, \theta}^{s-m}(E \cap f^{-1}\{y\}) d\mathcal{L}^m(y) = 0.$$

Let $\{r_k\}$ be such that $\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{S}_{r,\theta}^{s-m}(E \cap f^{-1}\{y\}) = \lim_{r_k \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{S}_{r_k,\theta}^{s-m}(E \cap f^{-1}\{y\})$. Again, defining $\gamma_{r_k,\theta}^{s-m}$ as in (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int^* \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} S_{r,\theta}^{s-m}(E \cap f^{-1}\{y\}) d\mathcal{L}^m(y) &= \\
&= \int^* \lim_{r_k \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{S}_{r_k,\theta}^{s-m}(E \cap f^{-1}\{y\}) d\mathcal{L}^m(y) \\
&\leq \int \liminf_{r_k \rightarrow 0} \gamma_{r_k,\theta}^{s-m}(y) d\mathcal{L}^m(y) \leq \liminf_{r_k \rightarrow 0} \int \gamma_{r_k,\theta}^{s-m}(y) d\mathcal{L}^m(y) \\
&\leq \liminf_{r_k \rightarrow 0} \int^* \mathbf{S}_{r_k,\theta}^{s-m}(E \cap f^{-1}\{y\}) d\mathcal{L}^m(y) + \varepsilon \\
&\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \int^* \mathbf{S}_{r,\theta}^{s-m}(E \cap f^{-1}\{y\}) d\mathcal{L}^m(y) + \varepsilon = \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\int^* \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} S_{r,\theta}^{s-m}(E \cap f^{-1}\{y\}) d\mathcal{L}^m(y) = 0$ and therefore, proceeding as in (5) we have $\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} S_{r,\theta}^{s-m}(E \cap f^{-1}\{y\}) = 0$ for \mathcal{L}^m -almost all y , and so $\overline{\dim}_\theta E \cap f^{-1}\{y\} \leq s - m$ for \mathcal{L}^m almost all $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and for all $s > \overline{\dim}_\theta E$ and the result follows. \square

Let $V \in G(d, m)$ and let $W = V^\perp \in G(d, d - m)$. Then for $a \in V$ we can write the $d - m$ plane $W + a = W_a$ as $P_V^{-1}\{a\}$, where P_V is the orthogonal projection onto V .

Letting $f = P_V$ in theorem 3.1 we obtain the desired result for intersections of sets with planes.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\theta \in (0, 1]$, $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded and $W \in G(d, d - m)$. Then if $m \leq \underline{\dim}_\theta E$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{\dim}_\theta(E \cap (W + a)) &\leq \underline{\dim}_\theta E - m, \quad \text{and} \\
\overline{\dim}_\theta(E \cap (W + a)) &\leq \overline{\dim}_\theta E - m,
\end{aligned}$$

for \mathcal{L}^m almost all $a \in V^\perp$.

Using Corollary 3.2 and Theorem 1.1 we have the next corollary about the continuity of the θ intermediate dimension of the slices of a bounded set $E \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $m \leq s \leq d$ and let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a Borel set with $0 < \mathcal{H}^s(E) < \infty$. Suppose that $\overline{\dim}_\theta E$ is continuous at 0. Then for $\gamma_{d,d-m}$*

almost all $W \in G(d, d - m)$,

$$\mathcal{H}^m \left(\{a \in W^\perp : \overline{\dim}_\theta(E \cap \{W + a\}) \text{ is continuous at } 0\} \right) > 0.$$

The result is also valid replacing $\overline{\dim}_\theta$ with $\underline{\dim}_\theta$.

Proof. By theorem 1.1 we have that for $\gamma_{d,d-m}$ almost all $W \in G(d, d - m)$

$$\mathcal{H}^m \left(\{a \in W^\perp : \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \cap \{W + a\}) = \dim_{\mathbb{H}} E - m\} \right) > 0.$$

Then, by these $a \in W^\perp$ we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} E - m = \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \cap \{W + a\}) \leq \overline{\dim}_\theta(E \cap \{W + a\}) \leq \overline{\dim}_\theta E - m$$

and since $\overline{\dim}_\theta E \rightarrow \dim_{\mathbb{H}} E$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0$ by hypothesis, we have

$$\overline{\dim}_\theta(E \cap \{W + a\}) \rightarrow \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \cap \{W + a\})$$

as $\theta \rightarrow 0$. The case for $\underline{\dim}_\theta E$ is similar. \square

One consequence of the above corollary is the next approach by small θ .

Corollary 3.4. *Let $m \leq s \leq d$ and let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a Borel set with $0 < \mathcal{H}^s(A) < \infty$. Suppose that $\overline{\dim}_\theta A$ is continuous at 0. Then for all ε there exists θ_0 such that*

$$0 < \overline{\dim}_\theta A - m - \overline{\dim}_\theta A \cap \{W + a\} < \varepsilon \quad \theta \in (0, \theta_0),$$

for $\gamma_{d,d-m}$ almost all $W \in G(d, d - m)$.

The result is also valid replacing $\overline{\dim}_\theta$ with $\underline{\dim}_\theta$.

One last application of theorem 3.1 is on the intersection of general sets.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded sets with $\overline{\dim}_\theta(A \times B) \geq d$ then*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\dim}_\theta(A \cap (B + z)) &\leq \overline{\dim}_\theta(A \times B) - d, \\ \underline{\dim}_\theta(A \cap (B + z)) &\leq \underline{\dim}_\theta(A \times B) - d, \end{aligned}$$

for \mathcal{L}^d almost all z .

Proof. Let $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, define $V_z = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d : x - y = z\}$ and let P_d be the projection to the first coordinate, $P_d(x, y) = x$. The result follows noting that

$$A \cap (B + z) = P_d((A \times B) \cap V_z).$$

Then, Corollary 3.2 and the fact that the dimension of the projection is always less than the dimension of the set (see [3]) yield

$$\overline{\dim}_\theta A \cap (B + z) = \overline{\dim}_\theta P_n((A \times B) \cap V_z) \leq \overline{\dim}_\theta (A \times B) \cap V_z \leq \overline{\dim}_\theta A \times B - d.$$

The case for the lower θ intermediate dimension is similar. \square

Finally, by the product rules for θ intermediate dimensions (see Theorem 5.4 in [1]) we have

$$\overline{\dim}_\theta A \times B \leq \overline{\dim}_\theta A + \overline{\dim}_B B$$

and

$$\underline{\dim}_\theta A \times B \leq \underline{\dim}_\theta A + \overline{\dim}_B B.$$

Further, the following theorem holds, [12, Corollary 13.13].

Theorem 3.6. *Let $s, t > 0$, $s + t \geq d$ and $t > (d + 1)/2$. If A and B are Borel sets in \mathbb{R}^d with $\dim_H A = s$, $\mathcal{H}^s > 0$, $\dim_H B = t$ and $\mathcal{H}^t(B) > 0$, and if $\dim_H(A \times B) = s + t$, then for θ_d almost all $g \in \mathcal{O}(d)$,*

$$\mathcal{L}^d\{z \in \mathbb{R}^d : \dim_H(A \cap (g(B) + z)) = s + t - d\} > 0,$$

where $\mathcal{O}(d)$ is the group of distance-preserving transformations of \mathbb{R}^d , which therefore also preserve the dimension.

These two observations yield the next corollary.

Corollary 3.7. *Let $s, t > 0$, $s + t \geq d$ and $t > (d + 1)/2$. If A and B are Borel sets in \mathbb{R}^d with $\dim_H A = s$, $\mathcal{H}^s(A) > 0$, $\dim_H B = t = \overline{\dim}_B B$ and $\mathcal{H}^t(B) > 0$, then if $\overline{\dim}_\theta A$ is continuous at $\theta = 0$ we have for θ_d almost all $g \in \mathcal{O}(d)$*

$$\mathcal{L}^d\{z \in \mathbb{R}^d : \overline{\dim}_\theta(A \cap (g(B) + z)) \text{ is continuous in } \theta = 0\} > 0.$$

Proof. By theorem 3.6 we have

$$\mathcal{L}^d\{z \in \mathbb{R}^d : \dim_H(A \cap (g(B) + z)) = s + t - d\} > 0$$

for θ_d almost all $g \in \mathcal{O}(d)$.

Then for these z , using 3.5 and the product rule we have

$$\begin{aligned}
s + t - d = \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A \cap (g(B) + z)) &\leq \overline{\dim}_{\theta}(A \cap (g(B) + z)) \\
&\leq \overline{\dim}_{\theta}A \times g(B) - d \quad (6) \\
&\leq \overline{\dim}_{\theta}A + t - d.
\end{aligned}$$

□

The result follows letting $\theta \rightarrow 0$ and the hypothesis of the continuity of $\overline{\dim}_{\theta}A$. The case for lower θ intermediate dimension is similar.

4 In search of a lower bound

In this section we will see that, under certain conditions on the measures supported on a bounded set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ we can obtain the expected lower bound for the intermediate dimension of the slices.

For our first result of this section we need give a definition of what we will call *Joint Frostman measure*. To provide context, initially we will recall *Frostman's* theorems for Hausdorff (*Theorem 8.8 [12]*) and θ intermediate dimensions (*Proposition 2.3 [7]*).

Lemma 4.1 (*Frostman's lemma for Hausdorff dimension*). *Let E be a borel set in \mathbb{R}^d . Then if $\mathcal{H}^s(E) > 0$ there exists a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(E)$ such that $\mu(B(x, r)) \leq r^s$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r > 0$.*

Lemma 4.2 (*Frostman's lemma for θ intermediate dimensions*). *Let E be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^d , let $0 < \theta \leq 1$, and suppose $0 < s < \underline{\dim}_{\theta}E$. There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $r \in (0, 1)$ we can find a Borel probability measure μ_r supported on E such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r \leq \delta \leq r^{\theta}$,*

$$\mu_r(B(x, \delta)) \leq c \delta^s.$$

We will consider two different situations: $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} E < \overline{\dim}_{\theta}E$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} E < \underline{\dim}_{\theta}E$ and define the *Joint Frostman measure* and the *Lower Joint Frostman measure* respectively in the following definition.

Definition 4.3. *Let $\theta > 0$, $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, such that $0 < \dim_{\mathbb{H}} E < \overline{\dim}_{\theta}E$ and let $h \in (0, \underline{\dim}_{\theta}E)$. We will say that E supports a h -Joint Frostman measure associated to $s \in (h, \overline{\dim}_{\theta}E)$ if there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all*

$\delta_0 > 0$ there exists $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ and a Radon probability measure μ_δ , supported on E , satisfying

$$\mu_\delta(B(x, r)) \leq \begin{cases} c \delta^{s-h} r^h & \text{if } r \in (0, \delta) \\ cr^s & \text{if } r \in [\delta, \delta^\theta] \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

for all $x \in E$.

Also, if $0 < h < \underline{\dim}_\theta E$, we will say that E supports a h -Lower Joint Frostman measure associated to $s \in (h, \underline{\dim}_\theta E)$ if there exists a constant $c > 0$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for all $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ there exists a Radon probability measure μ_δ , supported on E , satisfying (7).

If for all $s \in (h, \overline{\dim}_\theta E)$, E supports a h -Joint Frostman measure associated to s we just say E supports a h -joint Frostman measure. Equivalently, if for all $s \in (h, \underline{\dim}_\theta E)$, E supports a h -Lower Joint Frostman measure associated to s we say E supports a h -Lower Joint Frostman measure.

We introduced the Joint Frostman measures at this point, in order to prove the next lemma that will be useful to obtain information about the θ intermediate dimension of intersections with planes. We will see more about the existence of those measures in section 5.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded such that $\dim_{\text{H}} E < \underline{\dim}_\theta E$ and let $h \in (0, \overline{\dim}_\theta E)$. Suppose that E supports a h -Joint Frostman measure as in definition 4.3.*

Then for all $m < h$ and $s \in (\dim_{\text{H}} E, \overline{\dim}_\theta E)$ there exists $C > 0$, such that for all δ_0 there exists $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ and a Radon measure supported on E , μ_δ such that

$$\iint \phi_{\delta, \theta}^{s-m}(x-y) |x-y|^{-m} d\mu_\delta(x) d\mu_\delta(y) \leq C \delta^{s-m}. \quad (8)$$

Furthermore μ_δ is the Joint Frostman measure associated to s' , for any $s' \in (s, \overline{\dim}_\theta E)$.

On the other hand, if E satisfies $\dim_{\text{H}} E < \underline{\dim}_\theta E$, $h \in (0, \underline{\dim}_\theta E)$, and E supports a h -Lower Joint Frostman measure we have that for all $m < h$ and $s \in (\dim_{\text{H}} E, \underline{\dim}_\theta E)$ exist $C > 0$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for all $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ there exists a Radon measure supported on E , $\{\mu_\delta\}$, satisfying (8). Again, $\{\mu_\delta\}$ is the Lower Joint Frostman measure associated to s' , for any $s' \in (s, \underline{\dim}_\theta E)$.

Proof. Let $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $m < h$ and $\dim_{\text{H}} E < s < \overline{\dim}_\theta E$.

Let $s' \in (s, \overline{\dim}_\theta E)$ and let $\{\delta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\delta_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and let $\{\mu_{\delta_k}\}$ be the h -Joint Frostman measure of E associated to s' as in definition 4.3.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint \phi_{\delta_k, \theta}^{s-m}(x-y) |x-y|^m d\mu_{\delta_k}(x) d\mu_{\delta_k}(y) \\ &= \iint \frac{\mathbf{1}_{B(0, \delta_k)}(x-y)}{|x-y|^{-m}} + \frac{\delta_k^{s-m} \mathbf{1}_{B(0, \delta_k^c) \setminus B(0, \delta_k)}(x-y)}{|x-y|^s} d\mu_{\delta_k}(x) d\mu_{\delta_k}(y) \\ &= S_1 + S_2, \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

Computing S_1 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \frac{\mathbf{1}_{B(0, \delta_k)}(x-y)}{|x-y|^m} d\mu_{\delta_k}(x) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \mu_{\delta_k} \left(x : \frac{\mathbf{1}_{B(0, \delta_k)}(x-y)}{|x-y|^m} \geq t \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^{(1/\delta_k)^m} \mu_{\delta_k}(B(x, \delta_k)) dt + \int_{(1/\delta_k)^m}^\infty \mu_{\delta_k}(B(x, (1/t)^{1/m})) dt \\ &= \delta_k^{s'-m} + m \int_0^{\delta_k} u^{-1-m} \mu_{\delta_k}(B(x, u)) du \\ &\leq \delta_k^{s-m} + cm \int_0^{\delta_k} u^{-1+h-m} \delta_k^{s'-h} du \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{cm}{h-m} \right) \delta_k^{s'-m} \leq \left(1 + \frac{cm}{h-m} \right) \delta_k^{s-m}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Now, computing S_2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \frac{\delta_k^{s-m} \mathbf{1}_{B(0, \delta_k^\theta) \setminus B(0, \delta_k)}(x-y)}{|x-y|^s} d\mu_{\delta_k}(x) \\
&= \delta_k^{s-m} \int_0^\infty \mu_{\delta_k} \left(x : \frac{\mathbf{1}_{B(0, \delta_k^\theta) \setminus B(0, \delta_k)}(x-y)}{|x-y|^s} \geq t \right) dt \\
&= \delta_k^{s-m} \left(\int_0^{(1/\delta_k)^{\theta s}} \mu_{\delta_k}(B(0, \delta_k^\theta) \setminus B(0, \delta_k)) dt + \int_{(1/\delta_k)^{\theta s}}^{(1/\delta_k)^s} \mu_{\delta_k} \left(x : \frac{1}{|x-y|^s} \geq t \right) dt \right) \\
&\leq \delta_k^{s-m} \left(c \frac{\delta_k^{\theta s'}}{\delta_k^{\theta s}} + s \int_{\delta_k}^{\delta_k^\theta} u^{-1-s} \mu_{\delta_k}(B(x, u)) dt \right) \\
&\leq \delta_k^{s-m} \left(c \delta_k^{\theta(s'-s)} + s c \int_{\delta_k}^{\delta_k^\theta} u^{-1-s} u^{s'} dt \right) \\
&= \delta_k^{s-m} \left(c \delta_k^{\theta(s'-s)} + \frac{sc}{s'-s} (\delta_k^{\theta(s'-s)} - \delta_k^{s'-s}) \right) \\
&< \left(c + \frac{sc}{s'-s} \right) \delta_k^{s-m}.
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The result follows from (9) and (10).

The second part, referring to h -Lower Joint Frostman measure is similar. \square

The next step is to slice the measures with $(d-m)$ dimensional planes of the form $P_V^{-1}(a)$. This was already done in [11], for a Radon measure μ , $V \in G(d, m)$ and \mathcal{H}^{d-m} a.e. $a \in V^\perp$. There, the author used the theory of differentiation of measures and the Riesz representation theorem to obtain a Radon measure on \mathbb{R}^d , $\mu_{V,a}$ such that $\text{spt}(\mu_{V,a}) \subset V_a \cap \text{spt}(\mu)$. Details can be found in [12] section 10.

By $W \in G(d, d-m)$ and $a \in W^\perp$ we denote by $W_a := W + a$. Through the rest of the document we will set $E(\delta) = \{x : d(E, x) \leq \delta\}$ for $\emptyset \neq E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\delta > 0$.

We denote by $C_0^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the space of continuous non-negative functions on \mathbb{R}^d with compact support. In the next lemma we enumerate the results that we need from the measures $\mu_{V,a}$.

Lemma 4.5 ([12] Section 10). *Let $W \in G(d, d-m)$, $\phi \in C_0^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ lower semi continuous. Then for \mathcal{H}^m a.e. $a \in W^\perp \in G(d, m)$, $\mu_{W,a}$ is defined and satisfies:*

- $\int \phi d\mu_{W,a} = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} (2\delta)^{-m} \int_{W_a(\delta)} \phi d\mu.$
- $\text{spt}(\mu_{W,a}) \subset W_a \cap \text{spt}(\mu)$
- $\int g d\mu_{W,a} \leq \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} (2\delta)^{-m} \int_{W_a(\delta)} g d\mu.$
- $\int_B \int \phi d\mu_{W,a} d\mathcal{H}^m(a) \leq \int_{P_V^{-1}(B)} \phi d\mu$ for any Borel set $B \subset W^\perp$ with equality if $P_V \# \mu \ll \mathcal{H}^m$.

Furthermore, we can derive the next lemma.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $f(x) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be lower semi-continuous and let μ be a Radon measure on \mathbb{R}^d . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{W^\perp} \iint f(x-y) d\mu_{W,a}(x) d\mu_{W,a}(y) d\mathcal{H}^m(a) d\gamma_{d,d-m}(W) \\ \leq \iint f(x-y) |x-y|^{-m} d\mu(x) d\mu(y). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is immediate from the proof of *Theorem 10.7* in [12]. \square

Then, combining Lemmas 4.4 and 4.6 we have the next theorem, which is an important tool for our result.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $\theta \in (0,1)$ and $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded set, such that $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} E < \overline{\dim}_\theta E$, let $h \in (0, \overline{\dim}_\theta E)$ and suppose that E supports a h -Joint Frostman measure as in definition 4.3.*

Let $m < h$ an integer and $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} E < s < \overline{\dim}_\theta E$. Then for $\gamma_{d,d-m}$ a.e. $W \in G(d,d-m)$ and \mathcal{H}^m a.e. $a \in W^\perp$ there exists a constant $c = c(d,m,W,a)$ such that for all δ_0 exist $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ and a Radon measure supported on $E \cap W_a$, ν_δ , such that

$$\iint \phi_{\delta,\theta}^{s-m}(x-y) d\nu_\delta(x) d\nu_\delta(y) \leq C \delta^{s-m}. \quad (11)$$

Furthermore ν_δ is the slice onto W_a (as lemma 4.5) of μ_δ , where μ_δ is the h -Joint Frostman measure of E associated to s' for any $s' \in (s, \overline{\dim}_\theta E)$.

On the other hand, if E satisfies $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} E < \underline{\dim}_\theta E$, $h \in (0, \underline{\dim}_\theta E)$ and E supports a h -lower Joint Frostman measure, we have that for $\gamma_{d,d-m}$ a.e. $W \in G(d,d-m)$ and \mathcal{H}^m a.e. $a \in W^\perp$ there exists a constant $c =$

$c(d, m, W, a)$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for all $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ there exists a Radon measure supported on $E \cap W_a$, $\{\nu_\delta\}$ satisfying (11).

As before, ν_δ is the slice onto W_a , of μ_δ , where μ_δ is the h -lower Joint Frostman measure of E associated to s' for any $s' \in (s, \overline{\dim}_\theta E)$.

Proof. Let $s' \in (s, \overline{\dim}_\theta E)$ and $\{\delta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ a decreasing sequence such that $\delta_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\{\mu_{\delta_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ the h -Joint Frostman measures of E associated to s' . Let $W \in G(d, d - m)$, then for \mathcal{H}^m a.e. $a \in W^\perp$ we can slice the measure μ_{δ_k} onto W_a . For that a , let $\nu_{\delta_k} = (\mu_{\delta_k})_{W_a}$.

By Fatou's lemma, Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.6 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{W^\perp} \liminf_{\delta_k \rightarrow 0} \iint \delta_k^{m-s} \phi_{\delta_k, \theta}^{s-m}(x-y) d\nu_{\delta_k}(x) d\nu_{\delta_k}(y) d\mathcal{H}^m a d\gamma_{d, d-m} W \\ & \leq \liminf_{\delta_k \rightarrow 0} \iint_{W^\perp} \iint \delta_k^{m-s} \phi_{\delta_k, \theta}^{s-m}(x-y) d\nu_{\delta_k}(x) d\nu_{\delta_k}(y) d\mathcal{H}^m a d\gamma_{d, d-m} W \quad (12) \\ & \leq \liminf_{\delta_k \rightarrow 0} \iint \delta_k^{m-s} \phi_{\delta_k, \theta}^{s-m}(x-y) |x-y|^{-m} d\mu_{\delta_k}(x) d\mu_{\delta_k}(y) \\ & \leq C < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where C does not depend on δ_k .

Then, for $\gamma_{d, d-m}$ a.e. $W \in G(d, d - m)$ and \mathcal{H}^m a.e. $a \in W^\perp$

$$\liminf_{\delta_k \rightarrow 0} \iint \delta_k^{m-s} \phi_{\delta_k, \theta}^{s-m}(x-y) d\nu_{\delta_k}(x) d\nu_{\delta_k}(y) < \infty.$$

The second case is similar, taking a sequence such that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \iint \delta^{m-s} \phi_{\delta, \theta}^{s-m}(x-y) d\nu_\delta(x) d\nu_\delta(y) = \\ \lim_{\delta_k \rightarrow 0} \iint \delta_k^{m-s} \phi_{\delta_k, \theta}^{s-m}(x-y) d\nu_{\delta_k}(x) d\nu_{\delta_k}(y). \end{aligned}$$

□

We are now ready for the following theorem.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded such that $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} E < \overline{\dim}_\theta E$, let $h \in (0, \overline{\dim}_\theta E)$ and suppose that E supports a h -Joint Frostman measure as in definition 4.3.*

Let $m < h$ and for each $s \in (\dim_{\mathbb{H}} E, \overline{\dim}_\theta E)$ write $\{\mu_{\delta_k}^s\}$ for the h -Joint Frostman measure of E associated to s . Then, if there exists a set

$P \subset G(d, d - m)$ of positive $\gamma_{d, d-m}$ measure, such that for all $W \in P$

$$\mathcal{H}^m \left(a \in W^\perp : \liminf_{\delta_k \rightarrow 0} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu_{\delta_k}^s(P_{W^\perp}^{-1}(B(a, r)))}{(2r)^m} > 0 \forall s < \overline{\dim}_\theta E \right) > 0,$$

then we have that there exists a set $P' \subset G(d, d - m)$ of positive $\gamma_{d, d-m}$ measure, such that for all $W \in P'$

$$\mathcal{H}^m \left(a \in W^\perp : \overline{\dim}_\theta E - m \leq \overline{\dim}_\theta E \cap W_a \right) > 0.$$

Analogously, if $\dim_H E < \underline{\dim}_\theta E$, $h \in (0, \underline{\dim}_\theta E)$ and E supports a h -Lower Frostman measure $\{\mu_\delta^s\}$, $s < \underline{\dim}_\theta E$, and $m < h$, then if there exists a set $P \subset G(d, d - m)$ of positive $\gamma_{d, d-m}$ measure, such that for all $W \in P$

$$\mathcal{H}^m \left(a \in W^\perp : \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu_\delta^s(P_{W^\perp}^{-1}(B(a, r)))}{(2r)^m} > 0 \forall s < \underline{\dim}_\theta E \right) > 0,$$

then we have that there exists a set $P' \subset G(d, d - m)$ of positive $\gamma_{d, d-m}$ measure such that for all $W \in P'$

$$\mathcal{H}^m \left(a \in W^\perp : \underline{\dim}_\theta E - m \leq \underline{\dim}_\theta E \cap W_a \right) > 0.$$

Remark 4.9. The first question that arises is, if there is a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, such that there exists a set $P \subset G(d, d - m)$ satisfying any of the conditions of the Theorem. In Example 5.3, we show that such set E exists.

Proof. Let $G \subset G(d, d - m)$ be the set for which Theorem 4.7 is valid and let $P' = P \cap G$. Let $W \in P' \subset G(d, d - m)$, $s < \overline{\dim}_\theta E$, $s' \in (s, \overline{\dim}_\theta E)$ and $\{\mu_{\delta_k}^{s'}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ the h -Joint Frostman measure of E associated to s' . Then for \mathcal{H}^m a.e. $a \in W^\perp$ we can slice the measures $\{\mu_{\delta_k}^{s'}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ onto $E \cap W_a$. For those a , Let $\nu_{\delta_k, a} = (\mu_{\delta_k}^{s'})_{W_a}$. Let

$$A = \left\{ a \in W^\perp : \liminf_{\delta_k \rightarrow 0} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu_{\delta_k}^s(P_{W^\perp}^{-1}(B(a, r)))}{(2r)^m} > 0 \forall s < \overline{\dim}_\theta E \right\},$$

then by hypothesis $\mathcal{H}^m(A) > 0$ and by definition of $\nu_{\delta_k, a}$ and Lemma 4.5, we have that, for $a \in A$, $\liminf_{\delta_k \rightarrow 0} \nu_{\delta_k, a}(\mathbb{R}^d) > 0$. Hence, there exists $c > 0$ such that $\nu_{\delta_k, a}(\mathbb{R}^d) > c > 0$ for all sufficiently small δ_k .

For $a \in A$ let $\gamma_{\delta_k, a}(\cdot) = \nu_{\delta_k, a}(\cdot) / \nu_{\delta_k, a}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \iint \phi_{\delta_k, \theta}^{s-m}(x-y) d\gamma_{\delta_k, a}(x) d\gamma_{\delta_k, a}(y) \\ \leq c^{-2} \iint \phi_{\delta_k, \theta}^{s-m}(x-y) d\nu_{\delta_k, a}(x) d\nu_{\delta_k, a}(y) \\ \leq c^{-2} C \delta_k^{s-m}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

for \mathcal{H}^m a.e. a in A , by Theorem 4.7. Then, for those a , since $\gamma_{\delta_k, a}$ are Borel probability measures we can apply Lemma 2.3 and therefore

$$c^2 C^{-1} \leq S_{\delta_k, \theta}^{s-m}(E \cap W_a)$$

and hence

$$0 < \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} S_{\delta, \theta}^{s-m}(E \cap W_a),$$

which implies that $s - m \leq \overline{\dim}_\theta E \cap W_a$.

Let s_k be a sequence such that $s_k \rightarrow \overline{\dim}_\theta E$ and let $A_k \subset A$ the set of a for which $s - m \leq \overline{\dim}_\theta E \cap W_a$. Then $\mathcal{H}^m(A_k) = \mathcal{H}^m(A) < \infty$ for all k , and $\mathcal{H}^m(\bigcap_k A_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}^m(A_k) = \mathcal{H}^m(A)$ and the result follows.

The second part of the theorem referring to the lower θ intermediate dimension is similar. □

5 On the existence of Joint Frostman measures

In this section our main interest is to find conditions on the set E such that there exist the measures of Definition 4.3. Theorem 5.2 will allow us to construct these measures.

In order to be able to construct them, we first need to define another notion of dimension, which gives us information about the distribution of the set when refining dyadic cubes.

Definition 5.1. *We define the Dyadic dimension as follows*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathcal{Q}} E = \sup\{\alpha : \text{there exist a constant } C > 0 \text{ and } N \in \mathbb{N} \\ \text{such that for all } N < n, \mathcal{N}_n(E) \geq C 2^\alpha\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \mathcal{N}_n(E) = \min_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_n: Q \cap E \neq \emptyset} \#\{Q' \in \mathcal{D}_{n+1} : Q' \cap (E \cap Q) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

This enables us to say something about the existence of Joint Frostman measures.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\theta > 0$ and $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a compact set, such that $0 < \dim_{\text{H}} E < \overline{\dim}_{\theta} E$ and $0 < \dim_{\mathcal{Q}} E := \mathcal{D}(E)$. Then for each $t \in (\dim_{\text{H}} E, \overline{\dim}_{\theta} E)$ and all $\alpha \in (0, \mathcal{D}(E))$, there exists $c > 0$ such that for all $\delta_0 > 0$ exist $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ and a Radon measure μ_{δ} , supported on E , satisfying*

$$\mu_{\delta}(B(x, r)) \leq \begin{cases} c(\delta^{1/\theta})^{t-\alpha} r^{\alpha} & \text{if } r \in (0, \delta^{1/\theta}) \\ cr^t & \text{if } \delta \in [\delta^{1/\theta}, \delta] \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

for all $x \in E$.

Equivalently, if we have $\dim_{\text{H}} E < t < \underline{\dim}_{\theta} E$, there exists $c > 0$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for all $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$ there exists a measure μ_{δ} supported on E satisfying (14).

Proof. Let \mathcal{D}_m ($m \geq 0$) the dyadic partition of $[0, 1]^d$, i.e., 2^{dm} pairwise disjoint half-open dyadic cubes of sidelength 2^{-m} . Without loss of generality we may assume that $E \subset [0, 1]^d$ and that E is not contained in any $Q \in \mathcal{D}_1$.

Let $0 < \alpha < \mathcal{D}(E)$ and let $m' \in \mathbb{N}$ be sufficiently large such that for all $n > m'$, $\mathcal{N}_n(E) \geq C2^{\alpha}$.

Let δ_0 be sufficiently small such that $\delta_0^{1/\theta} 2^{m'} < 1$.

Let $t < \overline{\dim}_{\theta} E$. From the definition of $\overline{\dim}_{\theta} E$ we have that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and a decreasing sequence $\{\delta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\delta_1 < \delta_0$ and $\delta_k \rightarrow 0$ when $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that for all covers $\{U_i\}_i$ of E with $\delta_k^{1/\theta} \leq |U_i| \leq \delta_k$, we have

$$\sum_i |U_i|^t > \varepsilon. \quad (15)$$

Given k , let $m \geq 0$ be the unique integer satisfying $2^{-m-1} < \delta_k^{1/\theta} \leq 2^{-m}$.

The idea is to assign to each cube $Q \in \mathcal{D}_m$ that intersects E , a mass $\mu_m(Q) = 2^{-mt}$ and then distribute it uniformly into the cubes in \mathcal{D}_{m+1} that intersect E and so on.

For this, let $Q \in \mathcal{D}_n$ with $n \geq m + 1$ and let Q^{*i} the unique cube in \mathcal{D}_{n-i} that contains Q . Define $\#\mathcal{Q}_n(Q)$ as the number of cubes in \mathcal{D}_n that intersect $E \cap Q^{*1}$. Let us denote $Q^{*0} = Q$, and for $Q \in \mathcal{D}_n$ define $\Phi_{m+1}(Q) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-(m+1)} \#\mathcal{Q}_{n-i}(Q^{*i})$.

Basically for $Q \in \mathcal{D}_n$, the parameter $\Phi_{m+1}(Q)$ is an idea of how much of the set $E \cap Q'$, where Q' is the unique cube $Q' \in \mathcal{D}_m$, such that $Q \subset Q'$, is contained in the different levels from \mathcal{D}_m until \mathcal{D}_n .

With this in mind, we define a measure μ_m on \mathbb{R}^d such that for any $n \geq m + 1$ and $Q \in \mathcal{D}_n$

$$\mu_m(Q) = \begin{cases} 2^{-mt} / \Phi_{m+1}(Q) & \text{if } Q \cap E \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{if } Q \cap E = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

For each $Q^* \in \mathcal{D}_m$ that intersects E we have

$$\mu_m(Q^*) = \sum_{\{Q \in \mathcal{D}_{m+1}: Q \subset Q^*\}} \mu_m(Q) = \Phi_{m+1}(Q) 2^{-mt} / \Phi_{m+1}(Q) = 2^{-mt}.$$

Using this and recalling that Q^{*i} is the unique cube in \mathcal{D}_{n-i} that contains Q , we have for any cube $Q \in \mathcal{D}_n$ ($n \geq m+1$):

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_m(Q) &= \frac{2^{-m}}{\Phi_{m+1}(Q)} = \frac{\mu_m(Q^{*(n-m)})}{\Phi_{m+1}(Q)} \\ &= \frac{\mu_m(Q^{*(n-m)}) \# \mathcal{Q}_m(Q^{*(n-m)})}{\Phi_{m+1}(Q) \# \mathcal{Q}_m(Q^{*(n-m)})} \\ &= \frac{\mu_m(Q^{*(n-(m-1))})}{\Phi_m(Q)}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The next step is to modify the measure μ_m to obtain a measure μ_{m-1} . For $Q \in \mathcal{D}_n$ with $n \geq m$ let

$$\mu_{m-1}(Q) = \begin{cases} 2^{-(m-1)t} / \Phi_m(Q) & \text{if } \mu_m(Q^{*(n-(m-1))}) > 2^{-(m-1)t} \\ \mu_m(Q) & \text{if } \mu_m(Q^{*(n-(m-1))}) \leq 2^{-(m-1)t}. \end{cases}$$

Again we have for $Q^* \in \mathcal{D}_{m-1}$ that intersects E :

If $\mu_m(Q^*) \leq 2^{-(m-1)t}$ then

$$\mu_{m-1}(Q^*) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_m: Q \subset Q^*} \mu_{m-1}(Q) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_m: Q \subset Q^*} \mu_m(Q) = \mu_m(Q^*) \leq 2^{-(m-1)t}.$$

And if $\mu_m(Q^*) > 2^{-(m-1)t}$ then

$$\mu_{m-1}(Q^*) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_m: Q \subset Q^*} \mu_{m-1}(Q) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_m: Q \subset Q^*} 2^{-(m-1)t} / \Phi_m(Q) = 2^{-(m-1)t}.$$

Then for $Q \in \mathcal{D}_{m-1}$

$$\mu_{m-1}(Q) \leq 2^{-(m-1)t}.$$

In the same way, using (16), we have for $Q \in \mathcal{D}_n$, $n \geq m$:

If $\mu_m(Q^{*(n-(m-1))}) \leq 2^{-(m-1)t}$ then $\mu_{m-1}(Q) = \mu_m(Q)$

and if $\mu_m(Q^{*(n-(m-1))}) > 2^{-(m-1)t}$

$$\mu_{m-1}(Q) = \frac{2^{-(m-1)t}}{\Phi_m(Q)} < \frac{\mu_m(Q^{*(n-(m-1))})}{\Phi_m(Q)} = \mu_m(Q),$$

and therefore

$$\mu_{m-1}(\cdot) \leq \mu_m(\cdot).$$

Proceeding inductively, given μ_{m-k} construct a measure μ_{m-k-1} such that for $Q \in \mathcal{D}_n$ with $n \geq m-k$

$$\mu_{m-k-1}(Q) = \begin{cases} 2^{-(m-k-1)t}/\Phi_{m-k}(Q) & \text{if } \mu_{m-k}(Q^{*(n-(m-k-1))}) > 2^{-(m-k-1)t} \\ \mu_{m-k}(Q) & \text{if } \mu_{m-k}(Q^{*(n-(m-k-1))}) \leq 2^{-(m-k-1)t}. \end{cases}$$

Terminate this process with $\mu_{m-\ell}$ where ℓ is the largest integer satisfying $2^{-(m-\ell)}n^{1/2} \leq \delta$, so for each cube $Q \in \mathcal{D}_{m-\ell}$ we have $|Q| = 2^{-(m-\ell)}n^{1/2} \leq \delta$.

By construction we have

$$\mu_{m-\ell}(Q) \leq 2^{-(m-i)t} \quad Q \in \mathcal{D}_{m-i}, \quad i = 0, \dots, \ell \quad (17)$$

and

$$\mu_{m-\ell}(\cdot) \leq \mu_{m-\ell+1}(\cdot) \leq \dots \leq \mu_m(\cdot) \quad (18)$$

Further, if a cube Q satisfies an equality in (17) for μ_{m-i} then either Q or Q^{*1} satisfies the equality for μ_{m-i-1} .

Since all the dyadic cubes in \mathcal{D}_m satisfy $\mu_m(Q) = 2^{-m}$, we have that for all $x \in E$, there exists $0 \leq i \leq \ell$ such that for some cube $Q \in \mathcal{D}_{m-i}$ that contains x , (17) is an equality for $\mu_{m-\ell}$.

For each $x \in E$, choose the largest cube Q that contains x and satisfies the equality in (17). This process yields a finite collection of cubes Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_p which cover E and satisfy $\delta^{1/\theta} \leq |Q_i| \leq \delta$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$.

Now, using (15) we have

$$\mu_{m-\ell}(E) = \sum_{i=1}^p \mu_{m-\ell}(Q_i) = \sum_{i=1}^p |Q_i|^t d^{-t/2} > \varepsilon d^{-t/2}.$$

Define $\mu_{\delta_k}(\cdot) = \mu_{m-\ell}(E)^{-1} \mu_{m-\ell}(\cdot)$.

Finally, let $\sigma(\mathcal{D})$ the σ algebra generated by $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}_n$, and then, if $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we can extend μ_{δ_k} to \mathbb{R}^d by $\mu_{\delta_k}(A) = \inf \{\mu_{\delta_k}(B) : A \subset B \in \sigma(\mathcal{D})\}$.

Now we will see that μ_{δ_k} satisfies the inequality (14).

Let $x \in E$, $\delta_k^{1/\theta} \leq r \leq \delta$, then if k is chosen as the unique integer satisfying $2^{-(m-k+1)} < r \leq 2^{-(m-k)}$, we have that the ball $B(x, r)$ is contained in c_d cubes in \mathcal{D}_{m-k} where c_d is a constant that depends only on d .

Then, again by (17) we have

$$\mu_{\delta_k}(B(x, r)) \leq c_d \mu_{m-l}(E)^{-1} 2^{-(m-k)t} \leq c_d \varepsilon^{-1} d^{t/2} 2^t r^t.$$

Therefore we have that there exists C only depending on d and t such that for all $\delta_k^{1/\theta} \leq r \leq \delta_k$ and $x \in E$

$$\mu_{\delta_k}(B(x, r)) \leq C r^t. \quad (19)$$

Now, by our choice of α and δ_0 we have that for each cube $Q \in \mathcal{D}_n$, with $n \geq m+1$,

$$C^{n-m} 2^{\alpha(n-m)} \leq \Phi_{m+1}(Q). \quad (20)$$

Let $x \in E$ and $r < \delta_k^{1/\theta}$ then if n' is the unique integer satisfying $2^{-n'-1} < r \leq 2^{-n'}$ we have that the ball $B(x, r)$ is contained in at most c_d cubes in $\mathcal{D}_{n'}$ and therefore

$$\mu_{\delta_k}(B(x, r)) \leq c_d \max\{\mu_{\delta_k}(Q) : Q \in \mathcal{D}_{n'}\} = c_d \mu_{\delta_k}(Q_{n'}),$$

for some $Q_{n'} \in \mathcal{D}_{n'}$. Now using (18) and (20) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\delta_k}(B(x, r)) &\leq c_d \mu_{\delta_k}(Q_{n'}) \leq c_d \frac{\mu_m(Q_{n'}^{*(n'-m)})}{\Phi_{m+1}(Q_{n'})} \\ &\leq C^{m-n'} c_d \frac{2^{-mt}}{2^{\alpha(n'-m)}} \\ &\leq C^{m-n'} c_d (2^{-m})^{t-\alpha} (2^{-n'})^\alpha \\ &\leq C' (\delta_k^{1/\theta})^{t-\alpha} r^\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

with $C = C^{m-n'} c_d 2^{t-\alpha}$.

Then, combining (19) and (21) the result follows.

The case of $\underline{\dim}_\theta E$ is similar. \square

In the next example we construct a set F that satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 4.8.

Example 5.3. Let $s \in (0, 1)$, $E_2 = [0, 1]$ and $E_1 \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\dim_{\mathcal{Q}} E_1 > 0$. Then $F := E_1 \times E_2$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 4.8 .

To see that F indeed satisfies the desired hypothesis, let $\alpha \in (0, \dim_{\mathcal{Q}} E_1)$. Without loss of generality suppose that $\inf E_1 = 0$. For $A \subset G(2, 1)$ we have that

$$\gamma_{2,1}(A) = (\mathcal{L}_2(B(0,1)))^{-1} \mathcal{L}_2 \{t \{l \cap S^1\} : l \in A, 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$$

where S^1 is the unit circle in \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathcal{L}_2 represents the two dimensional Lebesgue measure. See [Section 3, [12]].

Let I be the set in \mathbb{R}^2 defined by $I := \{(x, y) : x = \sup E_1, 0 < y < 1\}$. Consider now

$$L := \{\ell \in G(2, 1) : \ell \cap I \neq \emptyset\}.$$

It is not hard to see that $\gamma_{2,1}(L) > 0$ and for each $\ell \in L$ the set $A_\ell = \{a \in \ell^\perp : (\ell + \{a\}) \cap I \neq \emptyset\}$ has positive \mathcal{H}^1 measure. Let $\delta > 0$ and let μ_δ a Borel probability measure supported on E_1 that satisfies (14) . Define $\nu_\delta(\cdot) := \mathcal{L}_{E_2} \times \mu_\delta(\cdot)$, where \mathcal{L}_{E_2} is the restriction of the one dimensional Lebesgue measure to E_2 . Then there exists $c > 0$ such that for all $x \in F$

$$\nu_\delta(B(x, r)) \leq \begin{cases} c(\delta^{1/\theta})^{t-\alpha} r^{1+\alpha} & \text{if } r \in (0, \delta^{1/\theta}) \\ cr^{1+t} & \text{if } \delta \in [\delta^{1/\theta}, \delta]. \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

Furthermore for each $\ell \in L$ and $a \in A_\ell$ we have that there exists $C' > 0$ and r_0 such that for all $r \in (0, r_0)$

$$\nu_\delta(P_{\ell^\perp}^{-1}(B(a, r))) \geq C' r \mu_\delta(E_1) = C' r.$$

From this it follows that $F = E_1 \times E_2$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4.8.

The example above can be extended to more general sets replacing the interval $E_2 = [0, 1]$ with, for example, an Ahlfors-David regular set for some $s \in (0, 1)$ that satisfies that its closure contains an interval, and the set E_1 by one with $\dim_{\mathcal{Q}} E_1 > 1 - s$.

Acknowledgments: The research of the authors is partially supported by Grants PICT 2022-4875 (ANPCyT), PIP 202287/22 (CONICET), and UBACyT 20020220300154BA (UBA). Nicolás Angelini is also partially supported by PROICO 3-0720 “Análisis Real y Funcional. Ec. Diferenciales”.

References

- [1] Amlan Banaji. Generalised intermediate dimensions. *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, 202(3):465–506, July 2023.
- [2] Stuart A Burrell. Dimensions of fractional brownian images. *Journal of Theoretical Probability*, 35(4):2217–2238, 2022.
- [3] Stuart A Burrell, Kenneth J Falconer, and Jonathan M Fraser. Projection theorems for intermediate dimensions. *Journal of Fractal Geometry*, 8(2):95–116, 2021.
- [4] Stuart A Burrell, Kenneth J Falconer, and Jonathan M Fraser. The fractal structure of elliptical polynomial spirals. *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, 199(1):1–22, 2022.
- [5] Kenneth Falconer. *Fractal geometry: mathematical foundations and applications*. John Wiley & Sons, 2004.
- [6] Kenneth J Falconer. Intermediate dimension of images of sequences under fractional brownian motion. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 182:109300, 2022.
- [7] Kenneth J Falconer, Jonathan M Fraser, and Tom Kempton. Intermediate dimensions. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 296(1):813–830, 2020.
- [8] Jonathan M Fraser. *Assouad dimension and fractal geometry*, volume 222. Cambridge University Press, 2020.
- [9] John M Marstrand. Some fundamental geometrical properties of plane sets of fractional dimensions. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 3(1):257–302, 1954.
- [10] Pertti Mattila. Hausdorff dimension, orthogonal projections and intersections with planes. *Annales Fennici Mathematici*, 1(2):227–244, 1975.
- [11] Pertti Mattila. Integral geometric properties of capacities. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 266(2):539–554, 1981.
- [12] Pertti Mattila. *Geometry of sets and measures in Euclidean spaces: fractals and rectifiability*. Number 44. Cambridge university press, 1999.
- [13] Pertti Mattila. A survey on the hausdorff dimension of intersections. *Mathematical and Computational Applications*, 28(2):49, 2023.

- [14] Pertti Mattila and Tuomas Orponen. Hausdorff dimension, intersections of projections and exceptional plane sections. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 144(8):3419–3430, 2016.
- [15] Justin T Tan. On the intermediate dimensions of concentric spheres and related sets. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.10564*, 2020.