Uniform confidence bands for joint angles across different fatigue phases

Patrick Bastian, Rupsa Basu, Holger Dette

Abstract We develop uniform confidence bands for the mean function of stationary time series as a post-hoc analysis of multiple change point detection in functional time series. In particular, the methodology in this work provides bands for those segments where the jump size exceeds a certain threshold Δ . In Bastian et al. (2024) such exceedences of Δ were related to fatigue states of a running athlete. The extension to confidence bands stems from an interest in understanding the range of motion (ROM) of lower-extremity joints of running athletes under fatiguing conditions. From a biomechanical perspective, ROM serves as a proxy for joint flexibility under varying fatigue states, offering individualized insights into potentially problematic movement patterns. The new methodology provides a valuable tool for understanding the dynamic behavior of joint motion and its relationship to fatigue.

1 Introduction

Fatigue detection in biomechanical data of the lower extremity joint angles may be recast as change point detection in a functional time series, as seen previously in Basu and Proksch (2023) and Bastian et al. (2024). Methods in these references provide statistical methodologies for segmenting data based on the tiredness of athletes, ultimately providing phases where the athlete may be considered to be rested, prefatigued or fully exhausted. In this paper will explicate some of the consequences of theses results by studying the fatigue attributed impact on the range of motion

Holger Dette

Patrick Bastian

Ruhr Universität Bochum, Universitätsstraße 150, 44801 Bochum, e-mail: patrick.bastian@rub.de

Rupsa Basu

Universität zu Köln, Universitätstraße 24, 50931 Köln, e-mail: rbasu@uni-koeln.de

Ruhr Universität Bochum, Universitätsstraße 150, 44801 Bochum e-mail: holger.dette@rub.de



Fig. 1 Functional time series of knee angle data from runners. The upper panel shows 8 cycles of the data starting and ending with the contact of the same foot with the ground. The lower panel shows the full functional time series of knee angle data from the above runner with about 1200 cycles. Individual cycles not visible due to being condensed in the plot.

(ROM) within these phases. To achieve this, we construct confidence bands for the mean curves corresponding to each fatigue state.

The dataset motivating our work comprises of measurements of lower-extremity joint angles collected from running athletes subjected to a stress-inducing protocol specifically designed to simulate fatiguing conditions. Under fatigue, the body is expected to make adjustments to maintain endurance, resulting in changes in joint angles. In Figure 1, we provide a snapshot of knee angle data from a single runner. Running, being a repetitive activity, naturally exhibits cycles, also called strides, which are clearly visible. In the upper part of the figure we see 8 strides, but the full data set consists of more than 1200 strides as shown in the lower part of Figure 1.

Detecting fatigue related adaptations of the body can be considered as change detection problem for functional time series data. In this work, supposing that the locations of fatigue haven been identified, we address the problem of understanding the movement of the runner within the stable periods of no change by developing confidence bands for the mean function of the time series between two changes. Such bands are of interest to annotate the individual ROM of the joint angles of a particular running athlete, thereby obtaining a better understanding of the ROM during rested, pre-fatigue and fatigued stages of the run. In particular they serve as a powerful visual tool for the biomechanical scientist to establish whether the athlete under study is somehow undergoing movement that is abnormal as compared to her standard course of motion. Ultimately, this knowledge is beneficial for ensuring that injuries resulting from harmful movements during fatigued phases is curtailed timely, thereby ensuring enhanced longevity of the runners performance.

Summary of approach Consider that for a runner the lower extremity joint angle data from the knee ¹ obtained over a course of the run are simply (discrete) realizations of an underlying function of time. Due to the cyclic nature of this data we have a long functional time series with each such function beginning and ending with the subsequent contact of the same foot with the ground. Each of these cycles, or strides in biomechanical terms, may be represented mathematically as an observation taking values in the space of continuous functions, say C[0, 1], leading to a time series, say $X_{n,1}, ..., X_{n,n} \in C[0, 1]$, where *n* is the total number of strides. We model these observations in the form,

$$X_{n,j}(t) = \mu_{n,j}(t) + \epsilon_{n,j}(t) \quad j = 1, ..., n;$$
(1)

where $\{\epsilon_{n,j}\}_{j=1,...n}$ is triangular array whose rows are stationary and $\mu_{n,j} = \mathbb{E}[X_j] \in C[0, 1]$ denotes the underlying mean function of $X_{n,j}$. Notice here that the index j denotes the sequential nature of the cycles i.e., j = 1 is the joint angle data from the first stride followed by j = 2 and so on. Under this setup, detecting changes with statistical guarantees in the mean function $\mu_{n,j}$ then corresponds in biomechanical terms to detecting the onset of different fatigue states over the locations j in the average movement of the runner. In this work, we assume that $\mu_{n,j}$ is piecewise constant (in j) and that there are m (rescaled) locations of change denoted by $1 < \lfloor s_1n \rfloor < \ldots < \lfloor s_mn \rfloor$, where $0 < s_1 < \ldots < s_m < 1$, where we define $s_0 = 0$ and $s_{m+1} = 1$. More precisely, we assume that the mean function on the segment between $j = \lfloor ns_i \rfloor$ and $j = \lfloor s_{i+1}n \rfloor$ is given by

$$\mu_i(t) := \mu_{n,|s_in|}(t) , \quad i = \lfloor ns_i \rfloor \dots \lfloor s_{i+1}n \rfloor - 1.$$
(2)

We are now interested in characterizing the range of motion in those intervals $[s_i, s_{i+1}]$ where the change at time s_i corresponds to a transition from one fatigue state to another.

This poses three problems. First we need to estimate the change points in such a functional time series, secondly we need to determine which of these change locations are relevant, i.e. correspond to transitions of the fatigue state. Thirdly, we then need to construct confidence bands for the associated segments. The first and second problems have been investigated in detail by Bastian et al. (2024). In this note we will solve the third problem, i.e. we construct upper and lower confidence bands, respectively given by functions $t \to \hat{\mu}_i^+(t), t \to \hat{\mu}_i^-(t)$ such that,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\Big(\cap_{i \in I} \left\{ \text{for all } t \in [0, 1] : \hat{\mu}_i^-(t) \le \mu_i(t) \le \hat{\mu}_i^+(t) \right\} \Big) = 1 - \alpha, \qquad (3)$$

¹ Similar analysis from the hip and ankle- angle data may be pursued. For sake of brevity, we omit the study of these examples in this paper.

where

$$I := \{0\} \cup \{1 \le i \le m \mid \|\mu_i - \mu_{i-1}\|_{\infty} > \Delta\}$$
(4)

is the set of changes of size larger than $\Delta > 0$. Here we include 0 for notational convenience of later statements. Δ denotes a threshold that can be chosen either automatically or by the practitioner and it serves to eliminate subtle changes in the data which may be due to external conditions like pedestrians, obstacles, or curvature on the path of the athlete. The main contribution of this note is the construction of a bootstrap procedure that allows us to compute the lower and upper confidence functions $\hat{\mu}_i^{\pm}$, $i \in I$ that fulfill (3), provided that estimators of the change points s_i and the set I are available.

With the additional contribution of this note we transform the biomechanical problem of studying the impact of fatigue on the range of motion into a three-step statistical problem of (*i*) detecting locations of change s_i in a functional time series, (*ii*) demarcating those changes s_i , $i \in I$ corresponding to relevant changes in the mean function, followed by (*iii*) constructing simultaneous confidence bands for the mean functions within the segments $[s_i, s_{i+1}], i \in I$.

2 Confidence bands for multiple means

Suppose there are a total of *m* change locations $s_1, ..., s_m$ whereof we have $k \le m$ locations of relevant change $s_{i_l}, l = 1, ..., k$ so that the set *I* in (4) is given by $I = \{0, i_1, ..., i_k\}$. We are interested in the k + 1 segments of the functional time series given by $[0, s_{i_1}], [s_{i_1}, s_{i_1+1}], ..., [s_{i_k}, s_{i_k+1}]$ and their corresponding mean curves $\mu_0, \mu_{i_1}, ..., \mu_{i_k}$. Therefore, provided that the locations of the change points $s_i, i = 1, ..., m$ and the indices $\{i_1, ..., i_k\}$ are known or can be estimated, the problem in (3) may be rephrased as obtaining confidence bands for the multivariate vector given by,

$$\mu = (\mu_0, \mu_{i_1}, \dots, \mu_{i_k})^\top \in (C[0, 1])^{k+1} .$$
(5)

The case k = 0 corresponding to no change point has already been treated in Dette et al. (2020). For the extension to the situation, where there are (relevant) change points, we require estimators for the the locations $s_1, ..., s_m$ of the changes, for their number *m* and for the set *I*. For this purpose we denote by $\hat{s}_i, i = 1, ..., \hat{m}$ the estimators from Algorithm 1 of Bastian et al. (2024), where \hat{m} is the estimated number of change points and additionally let \hat{I} denote the estimator of the set *I* of the relevant change points that can be obtained by Algorithm 2 in the same paper. Precise consistency statements for these estimates may be obtained in Theorem 4.1 and 4.2 of the given reference.

To obtain confidence bands we need to derive the asymptotic distribution of a suitable statistics such as

Uniform confidence bands for joint angles across different fatigue phases

$$T_n = \max_{i_l \in \hat{I}} \sqrt{\hat{n}_{i_l}} \left\| \frac{\hat{\mu}_{i_l} - \mu_{i_l}}{\hat{\sigma}} \right\|_{\infty} , \qquad (6)$$

where $\hat{n}_i = \lfloor n(\hat{s}_{i+1} - \hat{s}_i) \rfloor$, $\hat{\mu}_i = n_i^{-1} \sum_{k=\lfloor n \hat{s}_i \rfloor}^{\lfloor n \hat{s}_{i+1} \rfloor - 1} X_{n,k}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is an estimator of the long run variance function

$$\sigma^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_0 \epsilon_j]$$

which we define in equation (15) further below. One can show that it holds that $T_n \xrightarrow{d} T$ for some random variable *T* and we can then obtain the required confidence bands by defining,

$$\mu_{i}^{\pm}(t) = \hat{\mu}_{i}(t) \pm \hat{\sigma}(t)q_{1-\alpha/2}/\sqrt{n}, \quad i \in \hat{I},$$
(7)

where $q_{1-\alpha}$ is the $(1-\alpha)$ -quantile of *T*. The distribution of *T* however depends not only on the set *I* but also on the covariance structure of the functional time series and as such needs to also be estimated from the data. For this purpose, we will employ a multiplier bootstrap procedure.

We first construct a bootstrap version of T_n . To that end let L = L(n) denote a block length and define the random variables

$$Y_{n,j} = X_{n,j} - \hat{\mu}_i, \qquad \hat{s}_i \le j/n < \hat{s}_{i+1}$$
(8)

$$\hat{\mu}_{i}^{*} = \hat{n}_{i}^{-1} \sum_{j=\lfloor n\hat{s}_{i} \rfloor}^{\lfloor ns_{i+1} \rfloor - 1} \nu_{j} \left(L^{-1/2} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} Y_{n,j+l} \right)$$
(9)

where $\{v_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an iid sequence of standard normal random variables. The bootstrap test statistic is then given by

$$T_n^* = \max_{i \in \hat{I}} \sqrt{\hat{n}_i} \left\| \hat{\mu}_i^* / \hat{\sigma} \right\|_{\infty}.$$
 (10)

From the bootstrap procedure, we obtain statistics $T_n^{*,(1)}, \ldots, T_n^{*,(R)}$ and denote the $(1 - \alpha)$ -quantile by $q_{1-\alpha}^*$. For convenience we summarize the above procedure in Algorithm 1. Finally we obtain the desired confidence bands by defining,

$$\hat{\mu}_{i}^{\pm} = \hat{\mu}_{i} \pm \hat{\sigma}(t)\hat{q}_{1-\alpha/2}^{*}/\sqrt{\hat{h}_{i}} , \quad i \in \hat{I}.$$
(11)

Algorithm 1 Bootstrapping Quantiles

- 1: **Compute** the number \hat{m} and all (ordered) change points $\hat{S} = {\hat{s}_1, ..., \hat{s}_k}$ using BINSEG $(1, n, \xi_n)$ from Bastian et al. (2024)
- 2: **Compute** at significance level β the set of relevant change points \hat{I} by Algorithm 2 from Bastian et al. (2024)
- 3: **Compute** the means $\hat{\mu}_i = \hat{n}_i^{-1} \sum_{j=\lfloor n\hat{s}_i \rfloor}^{\lfloor n\hat{s}_{i+1} \rfloor 1} X_{n,j}$ for $i \in \hat{I}$
- 4: **Compute** the long run variance $\hat{\sigma}^2$ as given in (15)
- 5: **Compute** $Y_{n,j} = X_{n,j} \hat{\mu}_i$ for $\hat{s}_i \le j/n < \hat{s}_{i+1}$
- 6: Fix block length L, number of bootstrap replications R
- 7: for r = 1, ..., R do

8: **Compute**
$$\hat{\mu}_i^* = \hat{n}_i^{-1} \sum_{j=\lfloor n\hat{s}_i \rfloor}^{\lfloor n\hat{s}_{i+1} \rfloor} v_j \left(L^{-1/2} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} Y_{n,j+l} \right)$$
 for $i \in \hat{I}$

- 9: **Compute** $\hat{T}_n^* = \max_{i \in \hat{I}} \sqrt{\hat{n}_i} \|\hat{\mu}_i^* / \hat{\sigma}\|_{\infty}$
- 10: end for
- 11: **Compute** $\hat{q}_{1-\alpha}^* \leftarrow$ as the empirical (1α) -quantile of bootstrap sample $\hat{T}_n^{*,(1)}, \ldots, \hat{T}_n^{*,(R)}$.

Theorem 1 Assume that Condition (A1)-(A4) in Dette and Kokot (2022) hold. Let $\hat{q}_{1-\alpha}^*$ denote the $(1-\alpha)$ quantile obtained by Algorithm 1 where \hat{I} is calculated at level β . Further assume that $\sigma(t)^2 > 0$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and that $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is a consistent estimator for σ^2 . Then it holds, with $\hat{\mu}_i^{\pm}$ given as in (11), that

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\Big(\cap_{i \in I} \left\{ \text{for all } t \in [0, 1] : \ \hat{\mu}_i^-(t) \le \mu_i(t) \le \hat{\mu}_i^+(t) \right\} \Big) \ge 1 - \alpha - \beta.$$
(12)

In the case that $\|\mu_{i+1} - \mu_i\|_{\infty} \neq \Delta$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ we can strengthen this result to to

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\cap_{i \in I} \left\{ \text{for all } t \in [0, 1] : \hat{\mu}_i^-(t) \le \mu_i(t) \le \hat{\mu}_i^+(t) \right\} \right) = 1 - \alpha.$$
(13)

Proof We provide only a sketch of the proof for the sake of brevity, focusing on the second statement. The first follows by similar arguments. The proof proceeds in three steps, we first replace the change point estimators by their true values, then identify the relevant changes and finally employ weak invariance principles to establish the necessary asymptotic statements that yield validity of the bootstrap. In a bit more detail we

- 1. Use Theorem 4.1 from Bastian et al. (2024) to replace the estimated change points by the true change points in the definitions of \hat{n}_i and $\hat{\mu}_i$.
- 2. Use Theorem 4.2 from Bastian et al. (2024) to show that $\hat{I} = I$ with probability 1 o(1).
- 3. Observe that multiplication by σ , taking component wise maxima and the supremum norm are continuous functions and that by Lemma 1 (below) we may replace $\hat{\sigma}$ by σ . Then use Theorem 2.2 and 4.3 from Dette et al. (2020) in combination with the continuous mapping theorem to show that T_n and finitely many copies $T_{n.i}^*$ of T_n^* converge jointly in distribution, more precisely

Uniform confidence bands for joint angles across different fatigue phases

$$(T_n, T_n^{*,(1)}, ..., T_n^{*,(R)}) \xrightarrow{d} (T, T^{(1)}, ..., T^{(R)}),$$
 (14)

where T_i are independent copies of T.

Combining these steps immediately yields validity of the bootstrap scheme.

Now we provide the precise definition of the long run variance estimator $\hat{\sigma}^2$ and derive its consistency. Let

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \sum_{l=-c}^{c} \hat{\sigma}_l^2 K(l/c)$$
(15)

for some bandwidth parameter $c \to \infty$, kernel K and where

$$\hat{\sigma}_{l}^{2} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-l} \left(X_{j} - \hat{\mu}^{(j)} \right) \left(X_{j+\ell} - \hat{\mu}^{(j)} \right), & l \ge 0, \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1-l}^{n} \left(X_{j} - \hat{\mu}^{(j)} \right) \left(X_{j+\ell} - \hat{\mu}^{(j)} \right), & l < 0, \end{cases}$$
(16)

are estimators for the lag variances and $\hat{\mu}^{(j)} = \hat{\mu}_i$ for $\lfloor \hat{s}_i \rfloor \leq j < \lfloor \hat{s}_{i+1} \rfloor$. A symmetric function *K* is called kernel whenever K(0) = 1, K(1) = 0 and K(x) = 0 for |x| > 1.

Lemma 1 Assume that Condition (A1)-(A4) in Dette and Kokot (2022) hold, then the long run variance estimator defined in (15) is consistent, i.e. $\|\hat{\sigma}^2 - \sigma^2\| = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ whenever the bandwidth *c* satisfies $c \to \infty$ and $c^3/n \to 0$.

Proof We will show the result for mean zero processes $\epsilon_1, ..., \epsilon_n$ satisfying Conditions (A1) to (A4) in Dette and Kokot (2022) and the estimator $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \sum_{l=-c}^{c} \hat{\sigma}_l^2 K(l/c)$ where

$$\hat{\sigma}_l^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-l} \epsilon_j \epsilon_{j+l}, & l \ge 0, \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1-l}^n \epsilon_j \epsilon_{j+l}, & l < 0, \end{cases}$$
(17)

and we define $\sigma_l^2 = \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_j \epsilon_{j+l}]$. The general result then follows by straightforward approximation arguments. Observe the following decomposition:

$$\begin{split} \hat{\sigma}^2 - \sigma^2 &= -\sum_{|j| > c} \sigma_j^2 + \sum_{j=-c}^c \left(\hat{\sigma}_j^2 - \sigma_j^2 \right) \\ &- \sum_{j=-c}^c \left(\hat{\sigma}_j^2 - \sigma_j^2 \right) (1 - K(j/c)) - \sum_{j=-c}^c \sigma_j^2 (1 - K(j/c)) \end{split}$$

By Lemma A from Yoshihara (1978) we have that $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \sigma_j^2(t) \leq \sqrt{\phi(j)}$ which is a summable sequence by (A4). Therefore the first summand is of order o(1). The fourth summand converges to 0 by Lebesgue's Theorem. Finally, Arguments similar to those given on page 18 of the supplement of Dette et al. (2020) and a union bound argument yield that

7

Patrick Bastian, Rupsa Basu, Holger Dette

$$\max_{-c \le j \le c} \left\| \hat{\sigma}_j^2 - \sigma_j^2 \right\|_{\infty} = O_{\mathbb{P}}(\sqrt{c/n}).$$

This implies that the second and third summand are of order $O_{\mathbb{P}}(\sqrt{c^3/n})$.

3 Application to sports data

In this section, we explore the application of the methodology presented above to biomechanical knee angle data collected under a fatigue protocol obtained from the collaboration project *Sports, Data, and Interaction*². The data examples presented in this work are collected via marker-based optical motion capture systems placed in a laboratory while the athlete ran on a treadmill. Following relevant change detection to demarcate changes corresponding to fatigue we now study the range of motion of the athlete by means of the confidence bands we introduced. For a more detailed discussion of this dataset and the literature on fatigue-related change detection, we refer the interested reader to Bastian et al. (2024) and Basu and Proksch (2023). In all of the following data examples, Band 0 corresponds to the confidence bands around the mean curve μ_0 i.e, the mean in the segments [0, s_1], Band 1 corresponds to mean μ_1 , i.e. to the segment [s_{i_1}, s_{i_1+1}] and so on.

3.1 I: Confidence bands for knee angles



Fig. 2 Confidence bands pre- and post- each change for right knee angles from runner A. Total sample size n = 1830 and three phases are detected for a relevant size $\Delta = 6.6$.

² http://www.sports-data-interaction.com/

In Figure 2, we present the confidence bands for a novice runner under fatiguing conditions. They have about 2 years of running experience and their dominant leg is the right leg. To determine the value of Δ in a data-driven manner, we compute the average of the initial 5% of the data, denoted as $\hat{\mu}_{initial}$, and the average of the final 5% of the data, denoted as $\hat{\mu}_{initial}$, and the average of the final 5% of the data, denoted as $\hat{\mu}_{initial}$, and the average of the final 5% of the data, denoted as $\hat{\mu}_{initial}$. Then, we set $\Delta = \frac{\|\hat{\mu}_{final} - \hat{\mu}_{initial}\|_{\infty}}{3}$, similarly to Bastian et al. (2024). For the case of this runner, we obtain $\Delta = 6.6$. Band 0 corresponds to the rest phase, Band 1 corresponds to the pre-fatigue phase and Band 2 finally corresponds to the fatigue phase. Notice that the intermediate shift upwards in the second peak of knee angles is an initial adjustment made by the runner to endure fatigue. Band 2 indicates that the final adjustment corresponds to lesser bending of the knee while the foot is in the air, which is clearly reflected by the large jump in the second peak of the bands. The lower and upper bands at each part of the cycle provide useful information on the range of motion of the knee movement through different segments of the run. We stress that the exceedance threshold Δ eliminates all segments of non-fatigue related deviations in the knee angles.

3.2 II: Comparison novice and experienced runner



Fig. 3 Confidence bands from two runners. Left: (Novice-) runner A left knee angles for relevant size $\Delta = 5.9$ and Right: (experienced-) runner B left knee angles for relevant size $\Delta = 8$.

In Figure 3 we compare two runners with different experience levels. On the left we have the same runner A as in Figure 2 while on the right we have an experienced runner B with about 11 years of running experience and a dominant left leg. This time we consider the left leg for both runners, notice that runner B has only one relevant change of size $\Delta = 8$. This may be due to B being well trained, i.e. their body is used to fatiguing conditions and therefore needs to make fewer adjustments

to endure accumulated fatigue. However, just as for the less experienced runner A, we can see that in the fatigue phase there is reduced bending of the knee at the second peak. This behaviour is consistent across runners even beyond the two cases we present here and is in accordance with prior studies in the biomechanical gait literature, see for example Zandbergen et al. (2023), where this is attributed to a protection mechanism of the runner.

Acknowledgments: We thank R. van Middelaar and A. Balasubramaniam of the University of Twente., the Netherlands for the immense amount of work they put in providing high-quality data from running athletes. The authors gratefully acknowledge the support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), project number 511905296 titled: *Modeling functional time series with dynamic factor structures and points of impact* and TRR 391 *Spatio-temporal Statistics for the Transition of Energy and Transport*, project number 520388526.

References

- Bastian, P., Basu, R., and Dette, H. (2024). Multiple change point detection in functional data with applications to biomechanical fatigue data. *The Annals of Applied Statistics*, 18(4):3109 – 3129.
- Basu, R. and Proksch, K. (2023). Fatigue detection via sequential testing of biomechanical data using martingale statistic. https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.01566.
- Dette, H. and Kokot, K. (2022). Detecting relevant differences in the covariance operators of functional time series: a sup-norm approach. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*, 74:195–231.
- Dette, H., Kokot, K., and Aue, A. (2020). Functional data analysis in the Banach space of continuous functions. *The Annals of Statistics*, 48(2):1168 1192.
- Yoshihara, K.-i. (1978). Moment inequalities for mixing sequences. Kodai Mathematical Journal, 1(2):316 – 328.
- Zandbergen, M. A., Marotta, L., Bulthuis, R., Buurke, J. H., Veltink, P. H., and Reenalda, J. (2023). Effects of level running-induced fatigue on running kinematics: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gait & posture*, 99:60–75.