

LoRP-TTS: Low-Rank Personalized Text-To-Speech

Łukasz Bondaruk, Jakub Kubiak

Samsung R&D Institute Poland

Plac Europejski 1

00-844 Warszawa, Poland

{l.bondaruk, j.kubiak3}@samsung.com

Abstract

Speech synthesis models convert written text into natural-sounding audio. While earlier models were limited to a single speaker, recent advancements have led to the development of zero-shot systems that generate realistic speech from a wide range of speakers using their voices as additional prompts. However, they still struggle with imitating non-studio-quality samples that differ significantly from the training datasets. In this work, we demonstrate that utilizing Low-Rank Adaptation (LoRA) allows us to successfully use even single recordings of spontaneous speech in noisy environments as prompts. This approach enhances speaker similarity by up to 30pp while preserving content and naturalness. It represents a significant step toward creating truly diverse speech corpora, that is crucial in all speech-related tasks.

1 Introduction

Recent advancements in natural language processing, particularly through large language models (LLMs), have significantly improved the diversity and quality of generated textual data (Radford and Narasimhan, 2018). These innovations have led to the development of powerful systems capable of producing text across a wide range of linguistic styles and domains (Brown et al., 2020). However, an equivalent focus has yet to be fully directed toward addressing speech variety.

Meeting the need for diverse and representative speech corpora remains a significant challenge, especially as the field expands to support a broader range of languages and dialects. High-quality, multi-speaker datasets are crucial for systems like text-to-speech (TTS), automatic speech recognition (ASR), and speaker identification to provide a consistent user experience for people globally (Mancini et al., 2024). However, many datasets lack sufficient variety in linguistic features and speaker representation, particularly in under-

resourced languages, where the number of available speakers is limited.

This shortage poses a substantial obstacle to the development of robust speech-based systems. Although significant advancements have been made in zero-shot voice cloning models (Wang et al., 2023; Casanova et al., 2024), which are key for enhancing diversity, these systems still face challenges in generalization. Despite being trained on large datasets, they often struggle with speakers whose vocal characteristics, speech prosody, or environment differ significantly from the training data. Furthermore, many evaluations focus primarily on studio-quality recordings (Veaux et al., 2019), which may not fully capture real-world variability, highlighting the limitations of current approaches.

2 Preliminary studies

LoRA (Hu et al., 2021) is efficient finetuning method. Instead of updating all model weights, LoRA inserts low-rank matrices into specific layers of the model. These matrices capture task-specific adjustments while keeping the majority of the original model parameters fixed. This reduces the computational cost and memory requirements for finetuning, making it especially useful for large TTS models. It introduces two key hyperparameters: r and α . The rank r controls the dimensionality of the low-rank matrices, determining how much capacity the adaptation has to model the new task or voice. The scaling factor α balances the influence of the low-rank matrices by controlling how much the model output is impacted by the task-specific adjustments.

Voicebox (Le et al., 2023) is a leading state-of-the-art zero-shot voice cloning pipeline. It is consisted of several components like: force aligner, DurationPredictor (Le et al., 2023), Voicebox itself, and vocoder. It has superior performance in generat-

ing high-quality and coherent voice clones across various tasks.

3 Method

Our approach called LoRP employed finetuning voice cloning TTS with LoRA during inference time. Before using audio sample as a prompt to TTS, additional 100 optimizer steps of finetuning are performed. For training synthesis pipeline, we utilized two types of datasets: a multilingual dataset for unsupervised pretraining and a single-language Polish dataset for fine-tuning, ensuring robust model adaptation to diverse linguistic scenarios. We've set LoRA hyperparameters r and α to 16 and 16 respectively, and inserted LoRA after every dense layer of Voicebox, resulting in $10M$ additional parameters, which is 2.3% of all Voicebox weights. This approach enhanced the speech similarity of synthesized voices, maintained content, allowed for the utilization of a minimal amount of data, added low computational overhead, and demonstrated strong generalization capabilities.

3.1 Metrics

Correctness and intelligibility refers to how accurately the synthesized speech aligns with the intended content, ensuring that the spoken words match the original text. It also reflects the clarity and coherence of the audio output. It might be measured by metrics such as Word Error Rate (WER) and Character Error Rate (CER). We employed the Whisper-large-v3 (Radford et al., 2023) model to calculate WER and CER, which helped us evaluate both the accuracy of the synthesized speech and its intelligibility.

Coherence in the context of text-to-speech (TTS) synthesis, refers to the similarity between the prompt audio and the synthesized output, ensuring that the generated speech maintains consistency with the characteristics of the original speaker. To measure coherence in our work, we utilized TitaNet-Large (Koluguri et al., 2022), to extract embeddings from both the prompt and synthesized audio samples. These embeddings capture speaker-specific features, allowing us to compute the cosine similarity between the two. Higher cosine similarity values indicate a closer match in the speaker's voice and style between the prompt and the synthesized speech.

Quality was measured by the commonly used Mean Opinion Score (MOS), which provides a

subjective measure of the perceived quality of audio. We calculated an automatic MOS using the SpeechMOS (Reddy et al., 2022) model for all our experiments, which provided a quick and scalable assessment of the audio quality.

3.2 Evaluation data

For the evaluation, we opted for a diverse set of corpora to thoroughly test the method's potential. In the initial experiments, aimed at gaining insights and demonstrating the approach's viability, we used a self-compiled dataset featuring the voice of Kretes, a character from the Reksio children's series¹. Although seemingly unconventional, this dataset contains approximately 2 hours of speech from a single speaker, captured in various environments and intonations, with distinct characteristics that significantly differ from the training data. It is notably exaggerated, resembling the expressive and theatrical tones often associated with characters in role-playing games.

For the final evaluation, where we assessed the method's generalization capabilities, we expanded our testing to include the test subset of Clarin (Koržinek et al., 2017), known for its high-quality, studio recordings, the test subset of Fleurs (Conneau et al., 2023), a more diverse dataset commonly used in ASR and translation tasks to represent real-world conditions, and Nemo (Christop, 2024), a dataset focused on emotional expression across a small group of speakers. This diverse set of corpora provided a comprehensive evaluation of our approach's adaptability and robustness.

In all experiments, the texts used for synthesis were sourced from the CommonVoice16 dataset (Ardila et al., 2020). This choice helped eliminate bias toward any specific speech corpus while providing a wide range of diverse, general-purpose sentences.

4 Results

We aimed to determine the minimum number of data samples required per speaker to effectively adapt LoRA for speaker-specific voice synthesis. We evaluated this across two dimensions: the number of samples and the number of fine-tuning steps.

First, we selected 1, 2, 5, and 10 samples from the Kretes dataset with each sample being approximately 3 seconds in duration, and fine-tuned the

¹[https://pl.wikipedia.org/wiki/Reksio_\(serial_animowany\)](https://pl.wikipedia.org/wiki/Reksio_(serial_animowany))

LoRA model for 10, 25, 50, 100, and 1000 steps. For each fine-tuned LoRA, we synthesized 1000 texts from the CommonVoice16 dataset using the same sample that was initially selected in the 1 sample setup as the prompt for the synthesis. This allowed us to observe how varying the amount of training data and fine-tuning steps influenced the model’s ability to adapt to the speaker’s voice. To provide a baseline for comparison, we also tested the performance of Voicebox without any additional fine-tuning, representing a general-purpose synthesis model.

Lastly, we evaluated the upper limit of LoRA’s performance by fine-tuning it on the entire speaker dataset for 3200 steps. This gave us insight into how much fine-tuning was necessary to achieve optimal adaptation and how LoRA’s performance scaled with increased data and training time. These experiments helped identify the trade-offs between data availability and fine-tuning efforts for speaker-specific TTS adaptation.




Figure 1: Evaluation metrics for various numbers of samples and optimizer steps on Kretes dataset.

The results presented in Figure 1 reveal several compelling insights. Notably, even a few adaptation steps yield a significant increase in speaker similarity. As the number of steps grows, this improvement continues, with substantial gains observed with just 100 optimizer steps. Initially, there is a slight decline in intelligibility, as indicated by the WER and CER metrics, likely due to the randomized state of the LoRA weights. However, as training progresses, these metrics improve, suggesting that Voicebox becomes more adept at capturing speaker characteristics and generating clearer, more

natural synthesis.

Furthermore, adding more data samples from the same speaker does not necessarily guarantee better performance. Particularly when the data comes from challenging environments, is automatically gathered, and potentially contains errors, the overall synthesis quality may suffer. In fact, when we utilized all samples from the Kretes dataset, we observed poorer results compared to using just one sample as seen in Figure 1. One can argue it is because of the inconsistency in quality between samples but we find it quite usual in real-world scenarios.

As a result, we selected a setup utilizing only a single sample, optimized for 100 steps, which we will refer to as LoRP (Low-Rank Personalization) throughout the remainder of the study.

4.1 Generalization

In this follow-up experiment, we investigated the generalization capabilities of our LoRA-based method across different datasets. We randomly sampled 100 audio samples from each of the four datasets described in 3.2. Using the fine-tuning parameters established in previous experiments, we fine-tuned LoRA for each individual sample for 100 steps, a method we refer to as LoRP. After fine-tuning, we synthesized 100 texts from CommonVoice16 for each LoRA model, using the corresponding fine-tuned sample as the prompt.

For comparison, we generated the same set of 100 texts using the baseline model without any fine-tuning for each sample. This allowed us to assess the difference between LoRP and the baseline performance.

Additionally, we conducted a third experiment where LoRA was fine-tuned on all 100 samples from each dataset for 3200 steps. After fine-tuning, we synthesized the same 100 texts for each prompt, enabling us to evaluate the model’s performance when fine-tuned on a larger set of data. This comprehensive comparison across LoRP, baseline, and multi-sample fine-tuning helped us assess the method’s generalization capabilities.

As demonstrated in Figure 2, the insights from our earlier experiment hold. Both Clarin and Fleurs datasets, which already exhibit good baseline speaker similarity, showed further improvements with the application of classic LoRA and our LoRP approach. Notably, while LoRA achieved satisfactory gains in Fleurs, its impact on Clarin was marginal. In contrast, LoRP delivered excep-




Figure 2: Evaluation metrics across different datasets.

tional results across all datasets.

For datasets with lower baseline similarity, such as Nemo and Kretes, both methods yielded lower absolute scores. However, when viewed relatively, the improvements were even more impressive. Starting from a baseline with only a slight resemblance to the voice prompt, LoRP was able to elevate the output to high-quality synthesis. Although LoRA also made progress, its similarity scores were lower, and its MOS ratings fell short, suggesting that while the samples may have sounded similar, they lacked naturalness.

Finally, examining intelligibility metrics, we observed that LoRA produced some variability in WER and CER, and produced non-reliable results, sometimes improving intelligibility and sometimes decreasing. However, LoRP consistently improved both metrics, achieving notable gains.

5 Ablation Study

In this section, we analyze the impact of different configurations and methods on the performance of our system. Two aspects were explored: the trade-off between increasing inference steps versus using our LoRP method for speaker adaptation, and the impact of varying LoRA configurations, specifically the hyperparameters r and α , on the overall system performance.

5.1 Inference Steps vs. LoRP Method

Improving one dimension of a system often results in trade-offs, particularly between time and quality. In voice cloning, the LoRP method we introduced increases the time required for inference,

but yields far greater improvements than simply increasing the number of inference steps in the Voicebox model.

We began by investigating whether increasing the number of inference steps in Voicebox, which solves a differential equation (Le et al., 2023), could lead to better quality synthesis. As shown in Table 1, this approach provided minor improvements in WER and CER, but caused a significant drop in speaker similarity, an essential metric for voice cloning. Additionally, the MOS showed little to no improvement, despite the increased computational time.

In contrast, the LoRP method, which fine-tunes LoRA for each speaker using a single sample, yielded much better results in speaker adaptation and generalization. Although LoRP also increases the inference time, its ability to personalize the synthesis for a new speaker proved far superior to merely increasing inference steps. Thus, the LoRP method offers a more effective solution, achieving higher quality, intelligibility, and coherence in voice synthesis.

Steps	Simm ↑	WER ↓	CER ↓	MOS ↑
15	48.00	14.06	5.47	3.04
30	43.52	11.75	4.17	3.05
45	43.54	11.80	4.24	3.05
60	43.52	12.00	4.35	3.05

Table 1: Evaluation metrics for various inference steps.

5.2 LoRA Configuration

In this part of the study, we examined how varying the LoRA hyperparameters, r and α , affected the model’s performance in terms of speaker similarity, intelligibility, and overall quality. We synthesized 10k sentences for each configuration, fine-tuning the Voicebox model using LoRA for 3200 optimizer steps on the full Kretes dataset.

$r = \alpha$	Simm ↑	WER ↓	CER ↓	MOS ↑
4	59.79	16.36	8.32	2.44
8	59.94	18.68	9.81	2.28
16	59.78	17.44	9.76	2.38
32	61.34	17.48	9.15	2.43
64	60.05	18.04	9.51	2.24

Table 2: Evaluation of various configurations of LoRA r and α hyperparameters

DATASET LANGUAGE	pl	en	de	es	fr	it	others
Internal	904	592	2974	2516	2727	2815	1807
CommonVoice16 (Ardila et al., 2020)	173	3394	1394	2188	1105	386	892
LibriLight (Kahn et al., 2020)	0	16665	0	0	0	0	0
MLS (Pratap et al., 2020)	107	41678	1893	907	1054	254	1545
VCTK (Veaux et al., 2019)	0	82	0	0	0	0	0
Voxceleb1 (Nagrani et al., 2017)	0	306	0	0	0	0	0
Voxceleb2 (Chung et al., 2018)	0	2153	0	0	0	0	0
Voxlingua (Valk and Alumäe, 2021)	80	48	39	38	66	50	266
Voxpopuli (Wang et al., 2021)	4945	5943	5767	5461	5362	5228	12512
Total	6209	70861	12067	11110	10314	8733	17022

Table 3: Pretraining dataset sizes in hours per language. Other languages include ru, ro, ja, sv, and nl

As shown in Table 2, the differences in performance across various configurations were minimal. We found that the configuration $r = 16$ and $\alpha = 16$ offered the best balance between speaker similarity, intelligibility, and resource efficiency

In conclusion, our ablation study demonstrates that while increasing inference steps offers marginal improvements, the LoRP method and optimal LoRA configurations provide a more robust and efficient approach for voice cloning and adaptation tasks.

6 Related works

Previous studies (Jia et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2021, 2019) have demonstrated methods for quickly adapting TTS models use only a few examples. However, these approaches are mostly evaluated on high-quality, studio-like corpora, which may not effectively represent real-world conditions. They also exhibit a significant drop in performance when the voice sample is limited to only a few seconds.

In similar situations in computer vision, LoRA has led to notable improvements. It is a fine-tuning method that adds trainable low-rank matrices to the original model weights. It has enabled the adaptation of text-to-image diffusion models to new styles with as few as five images (Smith et al., 2024; Luo et al., 2023).

Initial attempts to apply LoRA to voice cloning (Vyas et al., 2023) produced suboptimal results due to the ambitious goal of learning an entirely new language, but they laid the groundwork for future progress. Later research (Chien et al., 2024) demonstrated LoRA’s effectiveness in adapting models to more specific domains, such as laughter and punctuation.

7 Experimental details

7.1 Pretraining data

During the pretraining phase, we gathered approximately 137k hours of audio recordings across 11 languages. As shown in Table 3 in addition to open-source datasets, we used our internal datasets.

For datasets like LibriLight (Kahn et al., 2020) and VoxPopuli (Wang et al., 2021), which contain long recordings, we segmented the audio based on silence into shorter fragments ranging from 5 to 20 seconds. To prevent overpopulating the corpus with data from a single source, we used only 3 out of 12 available years of VoxPopuli (Wang et al., 2021) recordings: 2018, 2019, 2020. To optimize training efficiency, we excluded any remaining records longer than 20 seconds. Audio signals were normalized to a 16 kHz sample rate and converted to single-channel. The waveforms were then transformed into 80-dimensional log-scaled Mel spectrograms using a hop size of 256, window length of 1024 and frequency from 0kHz to 8kHz.

7.2 Fine-tuning data

For fine-tuning, we concentrated on the Polish language by gathering a corpus of audio recordings paired with corresponding transcriptions. To ensure transcription quality, we applied a filtering process based on ASR verification. We employed the Whisper (Radford et al., 2023) model to generate transcriptions and discarded any samples where the ASR transcription differed from the actual transcription. The preparation of audio recordings followed the same procedure as in the pretraining phase, with normalization applied to all texts. Additionally, we phonetized the normalized texts using an internal Grapheme-to-Phoneme (G2P) tool, ensuring consistency in the phonetic representation.

Dataset	Samples	Duration [h]
Internal	447774	561.62
CommonVoice16 (Ardila et al., 2020)	84375	104.78
Wikimedia (Gómez et al., 2023)	17170	34.28
Mailabs (Solak, 2019)	11164	20.75
MCspeech (Czyżnikiewicz, 2022)	20512	18.42
MLS (Pratap et al., 2020)	4531	17.87
Fleurs (Conneau et al., 2023)	1716	5.15
Total	587242	762.87

Table 4: Fine-tuning dataset samples and duration in hours.

7.3 Synthesis pipeline

Voicebox (Le et al., 2023) is a model designed to perform zero-shot audio reconstruction from textual inputs and speech prompts. Voicebox operates on Conditional Flow Matching (CFM) (Lipman et al., 2023), a process that transitions from Gaussian noise to a targeted audio distribution by identifying the vector’s directional flow within the audio.

The model’s architecture is an adaptation of the transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017) architecture, incorporating rotary positional embedding instead of convolutional positional embedding as in the original Voicebox. Another architectural change is the lack of ALiBi self-attention bias (Press et al., 2022). An open-source implementation of Voicebox² was used to facilitate this research.

For aligning input tokens with audio features, we utilized the DurationPredictor (Le et al., 2023) model, a smaller variant of Voicebox. This model predicts token durations based on contextual information, such as prompt tokens and their durations. Unsupervised token duration discovery was achieved through CTC-based forced alignment. A transformer model was trained using connectionist temporal classification (CTC) loss to predict token labels for each audio frame, after which a forced alignment algorithm was applied to extract token durations from aligned sequences.

To map audio features to speech, we employed the HiFi-GAN (Kong et al., 2020) vocoder, which consists of a fully convolutional generator and two discriminators—multi-scale and multi-period. HiFi-GAN was trained adversarially to reconstruct raw waveforms from the provided audio features, producing high-quality audio outputs.

The entire training pipeline is depicted in Figure 3.

²<https://github.com/lucidrains/voicebox-pytorch>




Figure 3: Synthesis training pipeline.

8 Conclusions

In this work, we proposed utilizing LoRP in voice cloning systems as a solution for synthesizing low-resource speakers. Our approach showed notable improvements in speaker similarity and content naturalness, even when adapting to voices with minimal data, such as a single sentence. Across multiple datasets, LoRP consistently excelled, particularly in challenging cases where baseline similarity was low. Given its efficiency, LoRP presents significant commercial potential by offering a cost-effective solution for reducing manual recording efforts and mitigating issues with low-quality synthesis. Looking ahead, we aim to expand the application of LoRP to cross-lingual setups, allowing richly diverse languages to enhance variability in another language, further broadening its versatility and driving continued advancements in low-resource speech synthesis. Another area that we want to work with is intonation and emotional expression. We want to achieve ability to capture and transfer nuances like whispering, questioning, or laughing across different voices. It would be an exciting advancement. However, we acknowledge that this presents a challenging task.

References

- R. Ardila, M. Branson, K. Davis, M. Henretty, M. Kohler, J. Meyer, R. Morais, L. Saunders, F. M. Tyers, and G. Weber. 2020. Common voice: A massively-multilingual speech corpus. In *Proc. 12th Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation*, pages 4211–4215.
- Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Sandhini Agarwal, Ariel Herbert-Voss, Gretchen Krueger, Tom Henighan, Rewon Child, Aditya Ramesh, Daniel Ziegler, Jeffrey Wu, Clemens Winter, Chris Hesse, Mark Chen, Eric Sigler, Mateusz Litwin, Scott Gray, Benjamin Chess, Jack Clark, Christopher Berner, Sam McCandlish, Alec Radford, Ilya Sutskever, and Dario Amodei. 2020. [Language models are few-shot learners](#). In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 33, pages 1877–1901. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Edresson Casanova, Kelly Davis, Eren Gölge, Görkem Gökmar, Iulian Gulea, Logan Hart, Aya Aljafari, Joshua Meyer, Reuben Morais, Samuel Olayemi, and Julian Weber. 2024. [Xtts: a massively multilingual zero-shot text-to-speech model](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2406.04904.
- Mingjian Chen, Xu Tan, Bohan Li, Yanqing Liu, Tao Qin, Sheng Zhao, and Tie-Yan Liu. 2021. [Adaspeech: Adaptive text to speech for custom voice](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2103.00993.
- Yutian Chen, Yannis Assael, Brendan Shillingford, David Budden, Scott Reed, Heiga Zen, Quan Wang, Luis C. Cobo, Andrew Trask, Ben Laurie, Caglar Gulcehre, Aäron van den Oord, Oriol Vinyals, and Nando de Freitas. 2019. [Sample efficient adaptive text-to-speech](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:1809.10460.
- Chung-Ming Chien, Andros Tjandra, Apoorv Vyas, Matt Le, Bowen Shi, and Wei-Ning Hsu. 2024. [Learning fine-grained controllability on speech generation via efficient fine-tuning](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2406.06251.
- Iwona Christop. 2024. [nEMO: Dataset of emotional speech in Polish](#). In *Proceedings of the 2024 Joint International Conference on Computational Linguistics, Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC-COLING 2024)*, pages 12111–12116, Torino, Italia. ELRA and ICCL.
- Joon Son Chung, Arsha Nagrani, and Andrew Senior. 2018. [Voxceleb2: Deep speaker recognition](#). In *Proc. Interspeech 2018*, pages 1086–1090.
- Alexis Conneau, Min Ma, Simran Khanuja, Yu Zhang, Vera Axelrod, Siddharth Dalmia, Jason Riesa, Clara Rivera, and Ankur Bapna. 2023. [FLEURS: FEW-shot learning evaluation of universal representations of speech](#). In *2022 IEEE Spoken Language Technology Workshop (SLT)*, pages 798–805.
- Mateusz Czyżnikiewicz. 2022. [Analiza porównawcza korpusów nagrań mowy dla celów syntezy mowy w języku polskim](#). Available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.26293.24800>.
- Rafael Mosquera Gómez, Julián Eusse, Juan Ciro, Daniel Galvez, Ryan Hileman, Kurt Bollacker, and David Kanter. 2023. [Speech wikimedia: A 77 language multilingual speech dataset](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2308.15710.
- Edward J. Hu, Yelong Shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, and Weizhu Chen. 2021. [Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2106.09685.
- Ye Jia, Yu Zhang, Ron Weiss, Quan Wang, Jonathan Shen, Fei Ren, Zhifeng Chen, Patrick Nguyen, Ruoming Pang, Ignacio Lopez Moreno, and Yonghui Wu. 2018. [Transfer learning from speaker verification to multispeaker text-to-speech synthesis](#). In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 31. Curran Associates, Inc.
- J. Kahn, M. Rivière, W. Zheng, E. Kharitonov, Q. Xu, P. E. Mazaré, J. Karadayi, V. Liptchinsky, R. Collobert, C. Fuegen, T. Likhomanenko, G. Synnaeve, A. Joulin, A. Mohamed, and E. Dupoux. 2020. [Libri-light: A benchmark for ASR with limited or no supervision](#). In *ICASSP 2020 - 2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 7669–7673.
- Nithin Rao Koluguri, Taejin Park, and Boris Ginsburg. 2022. [Titanet: Neural model for speaker representation with 1d depth-wise separable convolutions and global context](#). In *ICASSP 2022 - 2022 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 8102–8106.
- Jungil Kong, Jaehyeon Kim, and Jaekyoung Bae. 2020. [Hifi-GAN: generative adversarial networks for efficient and high fidelity speech synthesis](#). In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, NIPS'20*, Red Hook, NY, USA. Curran Associates Inc.
- Danijel Koržinek, Krzysztof Marasek, Łukasz Brocki, and Krzysztof Wołk. 2017. [Polish read speech corpus for speech tools and services](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:1706.00245.
- Matthew Le, Apoorv Vyas, Bowen Shi, Brian Karrer, Leda Sari, Rashel Moritz, Mary Williamson, Vimal Manohar, Yossi Adi, Jay Mahadeokar, and Wei-Ning Hsu. 2023. [Voicebox: Text-guided multilingual universal speech generation at scale](#). In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 36, pages 14005–14034. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Yaron Lipman, Ricky T. Q. Chen, Heli Ben-Hamu, Maximilian Nickel, and Matt Le. 2023. [Flow matching for generative modeling](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2210.02747.

- Simian Luo, Yiqin Tan, Suraj Patil, Daniel Gu, Patrick von Platen, Apolinário Passos, Longbo Huang, Jian Li, and Hang Zhao. 2023. [Lcm-lora: A universal stable-diffusion acceleration module](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2311.05556.
- Eleonora Mancini, Ana Tanevska, Andrea Galassi, Alessio Galatolo, Federico Ruggeri, and Paolo Torroni. 2024. [Promoting fairness and diversity in speech datasets for mental health and neurological disorders research](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2406.04116.
- Arsha Nagrani, Joon Son Chung, and Andrew Senior. 2017. [Voxceleb: A large-scale speaker identification dataset](#). In *Proc. Interspeech 2017*, pages 2616–2620.
- Vineel Pratap, Qiantong Xu, Anuroop Sriram, Gabriel Synnaeve, and Ronan Collobert. 2020. [MLS: A large-scale multilingual dataset for speech research](#). In *Proc. Interspeech 2020*, pages 2757–2761.
- Ofir Press, Noah A. Smith, and Mike Lewis. 2022. [Train short, test long: Attention with linear biases enables input length extrapolation](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2108.12409.
- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Tao Xu, Greg Brockman, Christine McLeavey, and Ilya Sutskever. 2023. [Robust speech recognition via large-scale weak supervision](#). In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 28492–28518. PMLR.
- Alec Radford and Karthik Narasimhan. 2018. [Improving language understanding by generative pre-training](#).
- Chandan K A Reddy, Vishak Gopal, and Ross Cutler. 2022. [Dnsmos p.835: A non-intrusive perceptual objective speech quality metric to evaluate noise suppressors](#). In *ICASSP 2022 - 2022 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 886–890.
- James Seale Smith, Yen-Chang Hsu, Lingyu Zhang, Ting Hua, Zsolt Kira, Yilin Shen, and Hongxia Jin. 2024. [Continual diffusion: Continual customization of text-to-image diffusion with c-lora](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2304.06027.
- Imdat Solak. 2019. The m-ailabs speech dataset.
- Jörgen Valk and Tanel Alumäe. 2021. [VOXLINGUA107: A dataset for spoken language recognition](#). In *2021 IEEE Spoken Language Technology Workshop (SLT)*, pages 652–658.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. [Attention is all you need](#). In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 30. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Christophe Veaux, Junichi Yamagishi, and Kirsten Macdonald. 2019. [CSTR VCTK corpus: English multi-speaker corpus for CSTR voice cloning toolkit](#).
- Apoorv Vyas, Bowen Shi, Matthew Le, Andros Tjandra, Yi-Chiao Wu, Baishan Guo, Jiemin Zhang, Xinyue Zhang, Robert Adkins, William Ngan, Jeff Wang, Ivan Cruz, Bapi Akula, Akinniyi Akinyemi, Brian Ellis, Rashed Moritz, Yael Yungster, Alice Rakotoarison, Liang Tan, Chris Summers, Carleigh Wood, Joshua Lane, Mary Williamson, and Wei-Ning Hsu. 2023. [Audiobox: Unified audio generation with natural language prompts](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2312.15821.
- Changhan Wang, Morgane Riviere, Ann Lee, Anne Wu, Chaitanya Talnikar, Daniel Haziza, Mary Williamson, Juan Pino, and Emmanuel Dupoux. 2021. [VoxPopuli: A large-scale multilingual speech corpus for representation learning, semi-supervised learning and interpretation](#). In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 993–1003, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Chengyi Wang, Sanyuan Chen, Yu Wu, Ziqiang Zhang, Long Zhou, Shujie Liu, Zhuo Chen, Yanqing Liu, Huaming Wang, Jinyu Li, Lei He, Sheng Zhao, and Furu Wei. 2023. [Neural codec language models are zero-shot text to speech synthesizers](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2301.02111.