

Investigating the exclusive toponium production at the LHC and FCC

Reinaldo FRANCENER,^{1,*} Victor P. GONÇALVES,^{2,†} and Daniel E. MARTINS^{3,‡}

¹*Instituto de Física Gleb Wataghin - Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP),
13083-859, Campinas, SP, Brazil.*

²*Institute of Physics and Mathematics, Federal University of Pelotas (UFPel),
Postal Code 354, 96010-900, Pelotas, RS, Brazil*

³*The Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics (IFJ)
Polish Academy of Sciences (PAN), 31-342, Krakow, Poland*

An exploratory study of the exclusive toponium production in pp , pPb and $PbPb$ collisions at the center-of-mass energies of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) and Future Circular Collider (FCC) is performed. Assuming that the toponium is a pseudoscalar $t\bar{t}$ bound state, we consider its production by photon and gluon-induced interactions. Results for the total cross-sections and associated rapidity distributions are presented, and the observability of the toponium in exclusive processes is discussed.

PACS numbers:

Keywords: Toponium production; Ultraperipheral collisions; Exclusive processes

The description of bound states of heavy quarks, generically denoted as quarkonium, is one of the main challenges of quantum chromodynamics (QCD). Over the last decades, a vast literature dedicated to the theoretical treatment and experimental observation of $c\bar{c}$ and $b\bar{b}$ bound states has been produced (For a review see, e.g. Ref. [1]). In contrast, until recently, the discussion about the production in hadronic collisions of a color singlet bound state formed by a top and an antitop quark - the toponium, was restricted to some few studies (See, e.g. Refs. [2–6]). Although the formation of toponium in e^-e^+ collisions had been predicted by Fadin and Khoze many years ago [7], the discovery of the top quark with a large mass and rapid decay have reduced the interest about the associated bound state, since it has been widely accepted that there is an insufficient time for the bound state formation before top decay. However, the observation of a 3σ excess of dileptonic top-antitop events in the smallest relative angle bin by the ATLAS collaboration [8] and additional features in the high-statistic $t\bar{t}$ events observed by the ATLAS and CMS collaborations [9, 10], have largely motivated several groups to revisit the toponium production in

*Electronic address: reinaldofrancener@gmail.com

†Electronic address: barros@ufpel.edu.br

‡Electronic address: daniel.ernani@ifj.edu.pl




FIG. 1: Exclusive η_t production by photon (left panel) and gluon - induced (right panel) interactions in hadronic collisions.

hadronic collisions [11–13] as well as the derivation of the toponium spectra [14–16]. In principle, these results are consistent with the formation of a pseudoscalar $t\bar{t}$ bound state, denoted η_t state, but the observation (or not) of a toponium at the LHC is still a theme of debate.

In this paper, we will explore the fact that pseudoscalar mesons are dominantly produced by gluon - gluon and photon - photon interactions and expand these previous studies for the toponium production in hadronic collisions, which have considered inclusive processes, characterized by the fragmentation of the incident hadrons, for the case of exclusive interactions. In particular, we will calculate the exclusive toponium production by photon and gluon - induced interactions in hadronic collisions at the LHC and FCC energies. These processes are represented in Fig. 1, and can be written in the form

$$h_1 + h_2 \rightarrow h_1 \otimes \eta_t \otimes h_2, \quad (1)$$

where h_i is a proton or a nucleus and η_t represents the pseudoscalar $t\bar{t}$ bound state. The basic characteristic of these processes is the presence of two rapidity gaps (\otimes) in the final state, which are regions devoid of hadronic activity, separating the toponium from the intact outgoing hadrons. Experimentally, these processes have a very clear signal in the absence of pile-up, with the presence of the final state η_t and no other hadronic activity seen in the central detector. Moreover, these events can be separated at the LHC by measuring the outgoing hadrons using the forward proton detectors (FPD) such as the ATLAS Forward Proton detector (AFP) [17, 18] and Precision Proton Spectrometer (CT-PPS) [19] that are installed symmetrically around the interaction point at a

distance of roughly 210 m from the interaction point. Similar forward detectors are expected to also be installed at the FCC [20]. In what follows, we will present a brief review of the formalism needed to estimate both contributions for the exclusive η_t production in hadronic collisions. For detailed reviews of exclusive processes, we refer the interested reader to the Refs. [21, 22]. In addition, we indicate Refs. [23, 24] for the related discussion about the exclusive open $t\bar{t}$ production in pp collisions.

The exclusive toponium production by $\gamma\gamma$ interactions in hadronic collisions at a center - of - mass energy \sqrt{s} , represented in Fig. 1 (left panel), is given by [25]

$$\sigma(h_1 h_2 \xrightarrow{\gamma\gamma} h_1 \otimes \eta_t \otimes h_2) = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega_1}{\omega_1} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega_2}{\omega_2} F(\omega_1, \omega_2) \hat{\sigma}_{\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_t}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \quad (2)$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_{\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_t}$ is the cross-section for the subprocess $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_t$ and ω_1 and ω_2 are the energies of the photons which participate of the hard process and that can be expressed in terms of photon - photon center - of - mass energy $W = 4\omega_1\omega_2$ and rapidity Y of the η_t state as follows:

$$\omega_1 = \frac{W}{2} e^Y \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_2 = \frac{W}{2} e^{-Y} . \quad (3)$$

Moreover, F is the folded spectra of the incoming particles (which corresponds to an “effective luminosity” of photons) given by [26]

$$F(\omega_1, \omega_2) = 2\pi \int_0^\infty db_1 b_1 \int_0^\infty db_2 b_2 \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi N_1(\omega_1, b_1) N_2(\omega_2, b_2) \langle \mathcal{S}_{abs}^2 \rangle , \quad (4)$$

where $N(\omega_i, \mathbf{b}_i)$ is the equivalent spectrum of photons with energy ω_i at a transverse distance \mathbf{b}_i from the center of hadron, defined in the plane transverse to the trajectory, and ϕ is the angle between \mathbf{b}_1 and \mathbf{b}_2 . The impact parameter of the collision \mathbf{b} is related to \mathbf{b}_1 and \mathbf{b}_2 by $b^2 = b_1^2 + b_2^2 - 2b_1 b_2 \cos \phi$ (For a detailed discussion, see e.g. Ref. [27]). The quantity $\langle \mathcal{S}_{abs}^2 \rangle$ in Eq. (4) ensures that the hadrons do not overlap, which we assume to be given by $\langle \mathcal{S}_{abs}^2 \rangle = \Theta(b - R_A - R_B)$ [26], where R_i represents the hadron radius. The Weizsäcker-Williams photon spectrum for a given impact parameter is given in terms of the nuclear charge form factor $F(k_\perp^2)$, where k_\perp is the four-momentum of the quasi-real photon, as follows [21]

$$N(\omega, b) = \frac{\alpha Z^2}{\pi^2 \omega} \left| \int_0^{+\infty} dk_\perp k_\perp^2 \frac{F\left(\left(\frac{\omega}{\gamma}\right)^2 + \vec{b}^2\right)}{\left(\frac{\omega}{\gamma}\right)^2 + \vec{b}^2} \cdot J_1(bk_\perp) \right|^2 , \quad (5)$$

where J_1 is the Bessel function of the first kind. For the nucleus, we will assume the realistic form factor [28], which corresponds to the Wood - Saxon distribution [29] and is the Fourier transform of the charge density of the nucleus, constrained by the experimental data. In contrast, for a

proton, we will derive the equivalent photon spectrum from its elastic form factor in the dipole approximation (See e.g. [30]). Finally, the cross-section for the subprocess $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_t$ is given by

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_t}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \int dW \delta(4\omega_1\omega_2 - W) \frac{8\pi^2}{M_{\eta_t}} \Gamma_{\eta_t \rightarrow \gamma\gamma}(M_{\eta_t}) \delta(W - M_{\eta_t}^2), \quad (6)$$

where $\Gamma_{\eta_t \rightarrow \gamma\gamma}$ is the partial decay width of the toponium into two photons. In our analysis, we will consider the recent values derived in Refs. [14, 16].

On the other hand, in order to estimate the exclusive toponium production by gluon - induced interactions in hadronic collisions, represented in the right panel of Fig. 1, we consider the model proposed two decades ago by Khoze, Martin and Ryskin [31–33], denoted KMR model hereafter, which has been used to estimate different final states and have predictions in reasonable agreement with the observed rates for exclusive processes at the Tevatron and LHC (For a review see Ref. [22]). In this model, the total cross-section for the central exclusive production of a toponium in hadronic collisions can be expressed in a factorized way, as follows

$$\sigma(h_1 h_2 \xrightarrow{gg} h_1 \otimes \eta_t \otimes h_2) = \int dY \langle \mathcal{S}_{eik}^2 \rangle \mathcal{L}_{excl} \frac{2\pi^2}{M_{\eta_t}^3} \Gamma(\eta_t \rightarrow gg), \quad (7)$$

where $\langle \mathcal{S}_{eik}^2 \rangle$ is the gap survival probability (see below), Γ stand for the partial decay width of the toponium η_t in a pair of gluons and \mathcal{L}_{excl} is the effective gluon - gluon luminosity, given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{excl} = \left[\mathcal{C} \int \frac{dQ_t^2}{Q_t^4} f_g(x_1, x'_1, Q_t^2, \mu^2) f_g(x_2, x'_2, Q_t^2, \mu^2) \right]^2. \quad (8)$$

In this expression, $\mathcal{C} = \pi/[(N_c^2 - 1)b]$, with b the t -slope ($b = 4 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ in what follows [32]), Q_t^2 is the virtuality of the soft gluon needed for color screening, x_1 and x_2 are the longitudinal momentum of the gluons which participate of the hard subprocess and x'_1 and x'_2 the longitudinal momenta of the spectator gluon. Moreover, the quantities f_g are the skewed unintegrated gluon densities. At leading logarithmic approximation, it is possible to express $f_g(x, x', Q_t^2, \mu^2)$ in terms of the conventional integral gluon density $g(x)$ and the Sudakov factor T , which ensures that the active gluons that participate in the hard process do not radiate in the evolution from Q_t up to the hard scale $\mu = m_\perp \equiv \sqrt{M_{\eta_t}^2 + p_{\eta_t, \perp}^2}$ (For details see Refs. [31–33]). As the KMR model is implemented in the publicly available SuperChic Monte Carlo (MC) [37], we have modified this generator in order to include the possibility of estimating the η_t production. Such a modification allow us to perform a full MC simulation of η_c production in central exclusive processes. In this letter, we will calculate f_g using the CT18NNLO parametrization [36]. In addition, we will estimate $\langle \mathcal{S}_{eik}^2 \rangle$ using the model proposed in Ref. [35], where the absorptive corrections associated to the additional soft hadron - hadron interactions are estimated considering distinct approaches for the

Channel	LHC	FCC
$\gamma\gamma$	1.5 – 13.2	11.6 – 89.9
KMR	0.0028 – 0.021	0.024 – 0.19

TABLE I: Cross - sections, in attobarn, for the toponium production by photon and gluon induced interactions in pp collisions at the LHC and FCC.

description of the diffractive data. In particular, we will use the model 4 from Ref. [35]. Finally, we will assume the values for the partial decay width of the toponium into two gluons estimated in Refs. [14, 16].

In what follows, we will present our predictions for the exclusive toponium production in hadronic collisions. In our calculations, we will assume two different set of values for the η_t mass and decay widths: (a) $M_{\eta_t} = 343.62$ GeV, $\Gamma_{\eta_t \rightarrow \gamma\gamma} = 7.56$ keV and $\Gamma_{\eta_t \rightarrow gg} = 1.69$ MeV [14], and (b) $M_{\eta_t} = 341.267$ GeV, $\Gamma_{\eta_t \rightarrow \gamma\gamma} = 57.18$ keV and $\Gamma_{\eta_t \rightarrow gg} = 12.96$ MeV [16]. These two sets provide, respectively, the lower and upper bounds for our results. Initially, let's consider pp collisions at the LHC ($\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV) and FCC ($\sqrt{s} = 100$ TeV) energies. The predictions for the total cross - sections are presented in Table I. One has that the photon - induced interactions dominate the exclusive toponium production, with the associated predictions being two orders of magnitude larger than the KMR one. Such a result is, in principle, expected, since in pp collisions the effective photon - photon luminosity for producing a system of mass M becomes larger than the gluon - gluon luminosity at large M , as demonstrated in Ref. [32]. In addition, our results indicate that the cross - sections increase by one order of magnitude at the FCC. However, the values are still of the order of few dozens of attobarn. Considering the expected integrated luminosities per year for the LHC ($\mathcal{L}_{int}^{pp} \approx 160$ fb $^{-1}$) [43], we predict a maximum of 2 events. In contrast, this number of events becomes of the order of 90 at the FCC, where we expect to have $\mathcal{L}_{int}^{pp} \approx 1$ ab $^{-1}$ [20].

For the nuclear case, previous studies for exclusive gluon - induced processes have pointed out that they are strongly suppressed by the additional soft hadron - hadron interactions [38–40], with the production of large mass states predicted by the KMR model being much smaller than the results derived considering $\gamma\gamma$ interactions, which are enhanced by a factor Z^2 (Z^4) in pPb ($PbPb$) collisions (See, e.g. Refs. [41, 42]). Based on these previous results and the fact that $\gamma\gamma$ channel already is dominant in pp collisions, in what follows we only will present the corresponding predictions for the exclusive toponium production by photon - induced interactions. For pPb ($PbPb$) collisions, we will estimate the cross - sections for $\sqrt{s} = 8.16$ (5.5) TeV at the LHC and $\sqrt{s} = 63$ (39) TeV at the FCC. The results for the rapidity distributions of the toponium in pp ,




FIG. 2: Rapidity distributions for the exclusive toponium production by $\gamma\gamma$ interactions in pp , pPb and $PbPb$ collisions at the LHC (left panel) and FCC (right panel).

	LHC	FCC
pPb	518.2 – 4083.5	21000 – 165000
$PbPb$	5071.1 – 40697.6	1.8×10^7 – 13.7×10^7

TABLE II: Cross - sections, in attobarn, for the toponium production by photon induced interactions in pPb and $PbPb$ collisions at the LHC and FCC.

pPb and $PbPb$ collisions are presented in Fig. 2, where the bands represent the current uncertainty in the value of $\Gamma_{\eta_t \rightarrow \gamma\gamma}$. Our results clearly demonstrate the increasing of the cross - section with the center - of - mass energy and that the distribution is asymmetric for pPb collisions, since the photon fluxes associated with the proton and nucleus are distinct. As expected, the predictions are largely enhanced in comparison to the pp one. The corresponding results for the total cross - sections in pPb and $PbPb$ collisions are presented in Table II. In particular, for $PbPb$ collisions, the cross - sections for the LHC (FCC) energy are four (six) orders of magnitude larger than for pp collisions, with the enhancement being smaller by a factor $\approx 10^2$ in the case of pPb collisions. Unfortunately, the increasing in the cross - sections due to the photon - flux enhancement does not imply a larger number of events in comparison to the results presented above, since the expected integrated luminosities for nuclear collisions are orders of magnitude smaller than the value for pp collisions. For example, at the FCC, the expected values are $\mathcal{L}_{int}^{pPb} \approx 8 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{int}^{PbPb} \approx 33 \text{ nb}^{-1}$ [44]. As a consequence, we predict a maximum of five events in these collisions at the FCC. Such results indicate that, given the current expected luminosities, an experimental analysis of the exclusive toponium production in these collisions is not feasible.

Finally, let's summarize our main conclusions. In this paper, we have performed an exploratory study of the exclusive toponium production in hadronic collisions, motivated by the recent results performed in inclusive processes that indicate a possible formation of a pseudoscalar $t\bar{t}$ bound state. One has considered photon and gluon - induced processes and demonstrated that the exclusive η_t production is dominated by $\gamma\gamma$ interactions. We have estimated the rapidity distributions and total cross - sections for pp , pPb and $PbPb$ collisions at the LHC and FCC energies. Moreover, the corresponding number of events was calculated. Our results indicate that the study of the exclusive η_t production in pPb and $PbPb$ collisions is not feasible considering the current expected integrated luminosities. However, the predictions for pp collisions at the FCC motivate a more detailed analysis, including the η_t decay and realistic experimental cuts, as well as the treatment of potential backgrounds associated, e.g., to the exclusive open $t\bar{t}$ production discussed in Refs. [23, 24].

Acknowledgments

V. P. G. would like to thank the members of the Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences for their warm hospitality during the completion of this study. R. F. acknowledges support from the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq, Brazil), Grant No. 161770/2022-3. V.P.G. was partially supported by CNPq, FAPERGS and INCT-FNA (Process No. 464898/2014-5). D. E. Martins acknowledges the support of POLONEZ BIS project No. 2021/43/P/ST2/02279 co-funded by the National Science Centre and the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions grant agreement no. 945339

-
- [1] N. Brambilla, S. Eidelman, B. K. Heltsley, R. Vogt, G. T. Bodwin, E. Eichten, A. D. Frawley, A. B. Meyer, R. E. Mitchell and V. Papadimitriou, *et al.* Eur. Phys. J. C **71**, 1534 (2011)
 - [2] V. S. Fadin, V. A. Khoze and T. Sjostrand, Z. Phys. C **48**, 613-622 (1990)
 - [3] N. Fabiano, A. Grau and G. Pancheri, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 3173-3175 (1994)
 - [4] K. Hagiwara, Y. Sumino and H. Yokoya, Phys. Lett. B **666**, 71-76 (2008)
 - [5] Y. Sumino and H. Yokoya, JHEP **09**, 034 (2010) [erratum: JHEP **06**, 037 (2016)]
 - [6] B. Fuks, K. Hagiwara, K. Ma and Y. J. Zheng, Phys. Rev. D **104**, no.3, 034023 (2021)
 - [7] V. S. Fadin and V. A. Khoze, JETP Lett. **46**, 525-529 (1987)
 - [8] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS], Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, no.6, 528 (2020)

- [9] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS], JHEP **07**, 141 (2023)
- [10] A. Tumasyan *et al.* [CMS], [arXiv:2402.08486 [hep-ex]].
- [11] J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra, Phys. Rev. D **110**, no.5, 054032 (2024)
- [12] B. Fuks, K. Hagiwara, K. Ma and Y. J. Zheng, [arXiv:2411.18962 [hep-ph]].
- [13] M. V. Garzelli, G. Limatola, S. O. Moch, M. Steinhauser and O. Zenaiev, [arXiv:2412.16685 [hep-ph]].
- [14] G. L. Wang, T. F. Feng and Y. Q. Wang, [arXiv:2411.17955 [hep-ph]].
- [15] J. H. Fu, Y. J. Li, H. M. Yang, Y. B. Li, Y. J. Zhang and C. P. Shen, [arXiv:2412.11254 [hep-ph]].
- [16] S. J. Jiang, B. Q. Li, G. Z. Xu and K. Y. Liu, [arXiv:2412.18527 [hep-ph]].
- [17] L. Adamczyk *et al.*, CERN-LHCC-2015-009, ATLAS-TDR-024.
- [18] M. Tasevsky [ATLAS Collaboration], AIP Conf. Proc. **1654**, 090001 (2015).
- [19] M. Albrow *et al.* [CMS and TOTEM Collaborations], CERN-LHCC-2014-021, TOTEM-TDR-003, CMS-TDR-13.
- [20] A. Abada *et al.* [FCC], Eur. Phys. J. ST **228**, no.4, 755-1107 (2019)
- [21] C. A. Bertulani and G. Baur, Phys. Rep. **163**, 299 (1988); F. Krauss, M. Greiner and G. Soff, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **39**, 503 (1997); C. A. Bertulani, S. R. Klein and J. Nystrand, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **55**, 271 (2005); V. P. Goncalves and M. V. T. Machado, J. Phys. G **32**, 295 (2006); A. J. Baltz *et al.*, Phys. Rept. **458**, 1 (2008); J. G. Contreras and J. D. Tapia Takaki, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **30**, 1542012 (2015); K. Akiba *et al.* [LHC Forward Physics Working Group], J. Phys. G **43**, 110201 (2016); S. R. Klein and H. Mantysaari, Nature Rev. Phys. **1**, no.11, 662-674 (2019); S. Klein and P. Steinberg, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **70**, 323-354 (2020).
- [22] L. A. Harland-Lang, V. A. Khoze, M. G. Ryskin and W. J. Stirling, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. A **29**, 1430031 (2014)
- [23] V. P. Goncalves, D. E. Martins, M. S. Rangel and M. Tasevsky, Phys. Rev. D **102**, no.7, 074014 (2020)
- [24] D. E. Martins, M. Tasevsky and V. P. Goncalves, Phys. Rev. D **105**, no.11, 114002 (2022)
- [25] G. Baur, K. Hencken and D. Trautmann, J. Phys. G **24**, 1657 (1998)
- [26] G. Baur and L. G. Ferreira Filho, Nucl. Phys. A **518**, 786 (1990).
- [27] C. Azevedo, V. P. Goncalves and B. D. Moreira, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, no.5, 432 (2019)
- [28] S. Klein and J. Nystrand, Phys. Rev. C **60**, 014903 (1999)
- [29] R. D. Woods and D. S. Saxon, Phys. Rev. **95**, 577-578 (1954)
- [30] D. d'Enterria and J. P. Lansberg, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 014004 (2010)
- [31] V. A. Khoze, A. D. Martin and M. G. Ryskin, Eur. Phys. J. C **14**, 525 (2000)
- [32] V. A. Khoze, A. D. Martin and M. G. Ryskin, Eur. Phys. J. C **23**, 311 (2002)
- [33] V. A. Khoze, A. D. Martin and M. G. Ryskin, Eur. Phys. J. C **19**, 477 (2001) [Erratum-ibid. C **20**, 599 (2001)]
- [34] L. A. Harland-Lang, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 034029 (2013)
- [35] V. A. Khoze, A. D. Martin and M. G. Ryskin, Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2503 (2013)
- [36] T. J. Hou, J. Gao, T. J. Hobbs, K. Xie, S. Dulat, M. Guzzi, J. Huston, P. Nadolsky, J. Pumplin and

- C. Schmidt, *et al.* Phys. Rev. D **103**, no.1, 014013 (2021)
- [37] L. A. Harland-Lang, M. Tasevsky, V. A. Khoze and M. G. Ryskin, Eur. Phys. J. C **80** (2020) no.10, 925
- [38] E. Levin and J. Miller, [arXiv:0801.3593 [hep-ph]].
- [39] V. P. Goncalves and W. K. Sauter, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 056009 (2010)
- [40] E. Basso, V. P. Goncalves, A. K. Kohara and M. S. Rangel, Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, no.9, 600 (2017)
- [41] V. P. Goncalves and W. K. Sauter, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 035004 (2015)
- [42] R. O. Coelho, V. P. Goncalves, D. E. Martins and M. Rangel, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, no.5, 488 (2020)
- [43] O. Brüning and L. Rossi, “The High Luminosity Large Hadron Collider,” World Scientific, 2024, ISBN 978-981-12-7894-5 doi:10.1142/13487
- [44] D. d’Enterria, M. Drewes, A. Giammanco, J. Hajer, E. Bratkovskaya, R. Bruce, N. Burmasov, M. Dynal, O. Gould and I. Grabowska-Bold, *et al.* J. Phys. G **50**, no.5, 050501 (2023).