$\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$ productions in $\pi^- p$ scattering process

Quan-Yun Guo¹ and Dian-Yong Chen^{1,2*†}

¹ School of Physics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210094, People's Republic of China and

² Lanzhou Center for Theoretical Physics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China

(Dated: February 6, 2025)

In the present work, we propose to investigate the productions of $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$ in the $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- D^0 p$ process. The cross sections and differential cross sections depending on the $D^0 p$ invariant mass spectrum are estimated by utilizing an effective Lagrangian approach, where both $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$ are considered as the ND^* molecular states with the $J^P = 1/2^-$, $3/2^-$, respectively. Our estimations indicate that the total cross sections are $141.6^{-109.6}_{+207.7} \mu b$ when $p_{\pi} = 15$ GeV, where the uncertainties result from the variation of the cutoff parameter Λ_r . By comparing the contributions of the *s*, *u*, and *t* channels, we find that the *t* channel plays the predominant role. Moreover, the present estimations suggest that the structure around 2.9 GeV in the $D^0 p$ invariant mass spectrum of the $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- D^0 p$ process should correspond to $\Lambda_c(2910)$ rather than $\Lambda_c(2940)$, which can be tested by further experimental measurements at J-PARC in the future.

I. INTRODUCTION

Over the past two decades, the exploration of multiquark candidates has emerged as a significant area of interest. Numerous new hadron states, potential exotic state candidates, have been identified by experimental Collaborations including Belle, BelleII, BES III, and LHCb (See Refs. [1-10] for representative examples). Of these exotic candidates, the possible pentaquark states, $P_c(4380)$ and $P_c(4450)$, were initially observed in the $J/\psi p$ invariant mass distributions of the $\Lambda_h^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^- p$ process by the LHCb Collaboration in 2015 [6, 11, 12]. Subsequent analysis of the same process in 2019 suggested that the structure of $P_c(4380)$ could be described by a background effect, and a new narrow state, $P_c(4312)$, was observed for the first time, while $P_c(4450)$ appeared to split into two distinct states, $P_c(4440)$ and $P_c(4457)$ [13]. Following these observations, the LHCb Collaboration further observed strange counterparts of the P_c states, which are named the P_{cs} states. The first P_{cs} state, $P_{cs}(4459)$, was observed in the $J/\psi\Lambda$ invariant mass distributions of the $\Xi_h^- \to K^- J/\psi \Lambda$ process in 2020 [14]. Two years later, another state, $P_{cs}(4338)$, was reported in the $J/\psi\Lambda$ invariant mass spectrum of the decay $B^- \to J/\psi \Lambda \bar{p}$ [15]. The masses of the observed P_c states are close to the thresholds of $D^{(*)}\Sigma_c$, while those of the P_{cs} states are close to the thresholds of $D^{(*)}\Xi_c$, which leads to the prosperity of the molecular interpretations of P_c and P_{cs} states [16–47].

Beyond the extensively studied P_c and P_{cs} states, the charmed baryons $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$ have also been suggested as potential pentaquark state candidates. Experimentally, $\Lambda_c(2940)$ was first observed in the $D^0 p$ invariant mass distributions by the BABAR Collaboration using 287 fb⁻¹ of the annihilation data collected by the BABAR detector at a center-of-mass energy of 10.58 GeV in 2006 [48]. Subsequently, the Belle Collaboration confirmed the observation of $\Lambda_c(2940)$ in the $\Sigma_c(2455)^{0,++}\pi^{+,-}$ invariant mass distributions, using a 553 fb⁻¹ data sample recorded by the Belle detec-

tor at or 60 MeV below the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance in 2007 [49]. In 2022, the Belle Collaboration discovered a new structure, $\Lambda_c(2910)$, in the $\Sigma_c(2455)^{0,++}\pi^{+,-}$ invariant mass spectrum of $\bar{B}^0 \to \Sigma_c(2455)^{0,++}\pi^{+,-}\bar{p}$ processes with a significance of 4.2σ [50]. The measured resonance parameters of $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$ are [51],

$$\Lambda_{c}^{+}(2910): M = (2914 \pm 7) \text{ MeV},$$

$$\Gamma = (52 \pm 27) \text{ MeV},$$

$$\Lambda_{c}^{+}(2940): M = (2939.6^{+1.3}_{-1.5}) \text{ MeV},$$

$$\Gamma = (20^{+6}_{-5}) \text{ MeV},$$
(1)

respectively.

Similarly to the case of P_c and P_{cs} states, the observed masses of $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$ are close to the threshold of D^*N , which indicates that both $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$ potentially are the D^*N pentaquark molecular states. In Ref. [52], the authors proposed that $\Lambda_c(2940)$ could be regarded as $D^{*0}p$ molecular state with $J^P = 1/2^-$. The estimations of the strong two-body decay channels $D^0 p$, $\Sigma_c^{++} \pi^-$, $\Sigma_c^0 \pi^+$ and three-body decay channels in Ref. [53, 54] suggested that $\Lambda_c(2940)$ was consistent with the experimental data when $J^P = 1/2^+$, which indicated that $\Lambda_c(2940)$ should be a *P*-wave D^*N molecular state. Using the one-boson exchange model, the calculations of D^*N bound state in Ref. [55] indicated that $\Lambda_c(2940)$ could be explained as an isoscalar S-wave or an isoscalar P-wave state with $I(J^P) = 0(1/2^+ \text{ or } 0(3/2^-))$. QCD sum rules were also applied to investigate the properties of $\Lambda_c(2940)$ [56], and the results showed that $\Lambda_c(2940)$ could be regarded as the S-wave D^*N state with $J^P = 3/2^-$ although there may be some computational limitations. Considering the mass similarity of the mass splittings of $P_c(4440)/P_c(4457)$ and $\Lambda_c(2910)/\Lambda_c(2940)$, the authors in Ref. [57] investigated the decay properties of $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$ in the D^*N molecular frame by an effective Lagrangian approach, and the estimations suggested $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$ could be assigned as D^*N molecular states with $J^P = 1/2^-$ and $3/2^-$, respectively. With the chiral effective field theory to the nextto-leading order, the estimations in Ref. [58] suggested that $\Lambda_c(2940)$ could be D^*N molecular state, and the observed signal of $\Lambda_c(2940)$ might contain the spin-1/2 and spin-3/2

^{*}Corresponding author

[†]Electronic address: chendy@seu.edu.cn

structures. The QCD sum rule calculations by carrying out the operator product expansion up to the vacuum condensates of dimension 13 and taking full account of the light-flavor SU(3) breaking effects indicated that $\Lambda_c(2940)/\Lambda_c(2910)$ could be D^*N molecular state with the $3/2^-$ [59]. In the constituent quark model, the decay properties of $\Lambda_c(2940)$ were investigated in the D^*N molecular frame. By using the quark delocalization color screening model, the authors in Ref. [60] found that $\Lambda_c(2940)$ more likely to be a D^*N molecular state with $J^P = 3/2^-$, while $\Lambda_c(2910)$ could not be interpreted as a D^*N molecular state.

In addition to the mass spectrum and decay properties, researches on the productions of $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$ has also been performed. In Ref. [61], the cross sections of the $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}_c \Lambda_c(2940)$ process were estimated, where the J^P quantum numbers of $\Lambda_c(2940)$ were considered to be $1/2^{\pm}$, $3/2^{\pm}$ or $5/2^{\pm}$, and the magnitudes of the estimated cross sections were between 10^0 and 10^5 nb. Similar processes were investigated in Ref. [62], where $\Lambda_c(2940)$ was regarded as a $D^{*0}p$ molecular state with $J^P = 1/2^{\pm}$. In addition, Ref. [63] proposed that the production of $\Lambda_c(2940)$ could be studied in the $\gamma n \rightarrow D^-\Lambda_c(2940)$ process, and the total cross sections were estimated.

It should be noted that the J-PARC hadron facility [64] proposes that the expected pion energy will reach over 20 GeV in the laboratory frame, providing some new platform to investigate the productions of Λ_c states. In Ref. [65], the authors proposed that the $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- D^0 p$ reaction could be investigated by the *t*-channel D^{*0} meson exchange, *s*-channel nucleon pole, and *u*-channel Σ_c^{++} exchange, where $\Lambda_c(2940)$ was considered as a $D^{*0}p$ molecular state with $J^P = 1/2^+, 1/2^-,$ respectively. The results indicated that in the above two cases, the *t*-channel provides the main contribution to the total cross section. After the observation of $\Lambda_c(2910)$ by Belle Collaboration in 2022, both the $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$ could be explained as S-wave ND* molecular states. The estimations in Ref. [57] suggested that the J^P quantum numbers of $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$ are preferred to be $1/2^-$ and $3/2^-$, respectively. Therefore, both $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$ are expected to contribute to the process $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- D^0 p$. Thus, in the present work, we investigate the process $\pi^- p \to D^- D^0 p$ with contributions from both $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$. In addition, we can further estimate the $D^0 p$ invariant mass distributions of this process to check the contributions of $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$.

This work is organized as follows. After introduction, we present our estimation of the cross sections for $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- D^0 p$ process. In Section III, the numerical results and related discussions of the cross sections are presented, and the last section is devoted to a short summary.

II. $\Lambda_c(2286)$, $\Lambda_c(2910)$ AND $\Lambda_c(2940)$ PRODUCTION IN THE $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- D^0 p$ REACTION

As shown in Fig. 1, $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$ can be produced in the πp scattering through the *s* (diagram (a)), *u* (diagram (b)) and *t* (diagram (c)) channels, and then $\Lambda_c(2910)^+$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ can decay into $D^0 p$. In this process, $\Lambda_c(2286)$



FIG. 1: Diagrams contributing to the process of $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- D^0 p$. Diagrams (a), (b) and (c) correspond to the *s*, *u* and *t* channels contributions, respectively. Here Λ_c and Λ_c^* refer to $\Lambda_c(2286)$ and $\Lambda_c(2910)/\Lambda_c(2940)$, respectively.

could play the role of background since $\Lambda_c(2286)$ is below the threshold of $D^0 p$.

In the present calculation, we employ the effective Lagrangian approach to depict the relevant hadron interaction vertices. The effective Lagrangians for πNN , $\Lambda_c ND$, $\Lambda_c \pi \Sigma_c$, $DN\Sigma_c$, $\Lambda_c ND^* \Lambda_{c1}^* ND$, $\Lambda_{c1}^* \pi \Sigma_c$, $\Lambda_{c2}^* ND$, $\Lambda_{c2}^* \pi \Sigma_c$, $D^* D\pi$ can be written as [54, 61, 62, 65–71],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\pi NN} &= -ig_{\pi NN}\bar{N}\gamma_{5}\vec{\tau}\cdot\vec{\pi}N, \\ \mathcal{L}_{D^{*}D\pi} &= g_{D^{*}D\pi}D_{\mu}^{*}\vec{\tau}\cdot\left(D\partial^{\mu}\vec{\pi}-\partial^{\mu}D\vec{\pi}\right), \\ \mathcal{L}_{DN\Sigma_{c}} &= -ig_{DN\Sigma_{c}}\bar{N}\gamma_{5}D\Sigma_{c}+H.c., \\ \mathcal{L}_{Y_{1/2^{\pm}}ND} &= ig_{YND}\bar{Y}\left\{\begin{array}{c}\gamma_{5}\\1\end{array}\right\}ND+H.c., \\ \mathcal{L}_{Y_{1/2^{\pm}}ND^{*}} &= g_{YND^{*}}\bar{Y}\gamma^{\mu}\left\{\begin{array}{c}1\\\gamma_{5}\end{array}\right\}ND_{\mu}^{*}+H.c., \\ \mathcal{L}_{Y_{1/2^{\pm}}\pi\Sigma_{c}} &= ig_{Y\pi\Sigma_{c}}\bar{Y}\left\{\begin{array}{c}\gamma_{5}\\1\end{array}\right\}\vec{\pi}\cdot\vec{\Sigma}_{c}+H.c., \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{Y_{3/2^{\pm}}ND} = \frac{g_{YND}}{m_{\pi}} \bar{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \gamma_{5} \\ 1 \end{array} \right\} Y^{\mu} \partial^{\mu} D + H.c.,$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{Y_{3/2^{\pm}}\pi\Sigma_{c}} = \frac{g_{Y\pi\Sigma_{c}}}{m_{\pi}} \bar{\Sigma}_{c} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \gamma_{5} \\ 1 \end{array} \right\} Y^{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \pi + H.c..$$
(2)

with the upper and lower symbols in the curly bracket to be the positive and negative parity of Λ_c states, respectively. The symbol Y_{J^p} refers to the $\Lambda_c(2286)/\Lambda_c(2910)/\Lambda_c(2940)$ states with spin J and parity P.

For the vertexes $\Lambda_c(2910)ND^*$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)ND^*$, we consider that the $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$ are both ND^* molecular states, and the effective Lagrangians of the molecular states

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda_{c1}^{*}ND^{*}} = g_{\Lambda_{c1}^{*}ND^{*}}\bar{\Lambda}_{c1}^{*}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{5}D_{\mu}^{*0}N + H.c.,$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda_{c2}^{*}ND^{*}} = g_{\Lambda_{c2}^{*}ND^{*}}\bar{\Lambda}_{c2}^{*}D_{\mu}^{*0}N + H.c..$$
(3)

Hereafter Λ_{c1}^* and Λ_{c2}^* refer to $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$, respectively.

With the above effective Lagrangians, one can obtain the amplitudes corresponding to the $\pi^- p \to D^- D^0 p$ processes, which are,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_{c}}^{*} &= \bar{u}(p_{5})(ig_{\Lambda_{c}ND}\gamma_{5})\mathcal{S}^{1/2}(k_{2},m_{\Lambda_{c}},\Gamma_{\Lambda_{c}})(ig_{\Lambda_{c}ND}\gamma_{5})\mathcal{S}^{1/2}(k_{1},m_{n},\Gamma_{n})(-i\sqrt{2}g_{\pi NN}\gamma_{5})u(p_{2}) \\ &\times F(k_{2},m_{\Lambda_{c}},\Lambda_{c})\Big[F(k_{1},m_{n},\Lambda_{c})\Big]^{2}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_{c1}^{*}}^{*} &= \bar{u}(p_{5})(g_{\Lambda_{c1}^{*}ND})\mathcal{S}^{1/2}(k_{2},m_{\Lambda_{c1}^{*}},\Gamma_{\Lambda_{c2}^{*}})(g_{\Lambda_{c1}^{*}ND})\mathcal{S}^{1/2}(k_{1},m_{n},\Gamma_{n})(-i\sqrt{2}g_{\pi NN}\gamma_{5})u(p_{2}) \\ &\times F(k_{2},m_{\Lambda_{c1}^{*}},\Lambda_{c})\Big[F(k_{1},m_{n},\Lambda_{c})\Big]^{2}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_{c2}^{*}}^{*} &= \bar{u}(p_{5})\Big[\frac{g_{\Lambda_{c1}^{*}ND}}{m_{\pi}}\gamma_{5}(-ip_{4}^{\mu})\Big]\mathcal{S}_{\mu\nu}^{3/2}(k_{2},m_{\Lambda_{c2}^{*}},\Gamma_{\Lambda_{c2}^{*}})\Big[\frac{g_{\Lambda_{c2}^{*}ND}}{m_{\pi}}\gamma_{5}(-ip_{3}^{\nu})\Big]\mathcal{S}^{1/2}(k_{1},m_{n},\Gamma_{n})(-i\sqrt{2}g_{\pi NN}\gamma_{5})u(p_{2}) \\ &\times F(k_{2},m_{\Lambda_{c2}^{*}},\Lambda_{c})\Big[F(k_{1},m_{n},\Lambda_{c})\Big]^{2}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_{c2}^{*}}^{\mu} &= \bar{u}(p_{5})(ig_{\Lambda_{c}ND}\gamma_{5})\mathcal{S}^{1/2}(k_{2},m_{\Lambda_{c2}^{*}},\Gamma_{\Lambda_{c2}^{*}})(ig_{\Lambda_{c1}\pi\Sigma_{c}},\gamma_{5})\mathcal{S}^{1/2}(k_{1},m_{\Sigma_{c}},\Gamma_{\Sigma_{c}})(-ig_{DN\Sigma_{c}}\gamma_{5})u(p_{2}) \\ &\times F(k_{2},m_{\Lambda_{c}^{*}},\Lambda_{c})\Big[F(k_{1},m_{\Sigma_{c}},\Lambda_{c})\Big]^{2}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_{c2}^{*}}^{\mu} &= \bar{u}(p_{5})(g_{\Lambda_{c}^{*}ND})\mathcal{S}^{1/2}(k_{2},m_{\Lambda_{c1}^{*}},\Lambda_{c2})(ig_{\Lambda_{c1}\pi\Sigma_{c}},\gamma_{5})(-ig_{DN\Sigma_{c}}\gamma_{5})u(p_{2}) \\ &\times F(k_{2},m_{\Lambda_{c1}^{*}},\Lambda_{c})\Big[F(k_{1},m_{\Sigma_{c}},\Lambda_{c})\Big]^{2}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_{c2}^{*}}^{\mu} &= \bar{u}(p_{5})(ig_{\Lambda_{c}ND},\gamma_{c})f(\mu_{A}^{*})\Big]\mathcal{S}_{\mu\nu}^{3/2}(k_{2},m_{\Lambda_{c2}^{*}},\Gamma_{\Lambda_{c2}^{*}})\Big[\frac{g_{\Lambda_{c}^{*}\pi\Sigma_{c}}}{m_{\pi}}}\gamma_{5}(-ip_{1}^{\mu})\Big]\mathcal{S}^{1/2}(k_{1},m_{\Sigma_{c}},\Gamma_{\Sigma_{c}})(-ig_{DN\Sigma_{c}}\gamma_{5})u(p_{2}) \\ &\times F(k_{2},m_{\Lambda_{c}^{*}},\Lambda_{c})\Big[F(k_{1},m_{\Sigma_{c}},\Lambda_{c})\Big]^{2}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_{c2}^{*}}^{\mu} &= \bar{u}(p_{5})(ig_{\Lambda_{c}ND}\gamma_{5})\mathcal{S}^{1/2}(k_{2},m_{\Lambda_{c2}^{*}},\Gamma_{\Lambda_{c2}^{*}})\Big[\frac{g_{\Lambda_{c}^{*}\pi\Sigma_{c}}}{m_{\pi}}}\gamma_{5}(-ip_{1}^{\mu})\Big]\mathcal{S}_{\mu\nu}^{3/2}(k_{2},m_{\Lambda_{c2}^{*}},\Gamma_{\Lambda_{c2}^{*}})\Big[g_{\mu\nu}(k_{1},m_{D},\Gamma_{D}^{*})\Big]g_{D\nu-\pi}(-ip_{1}^{\mu})\Big]u(p_{2}) \\ &\times F(k_{2},m_{\Lambda_{c}^{*}},\Lambda_{c})\Big[F(k_{1},m_{D},\Lambda_{c})\Big]^{2}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda_{c2}^{*}}^{\mu} &= \bar{u}(p_{5})(g_{\Lambda_{c}^{*},\Lambda_{c}})\Big[F(k_{1},m_{D},\Lambda_{c}^{*}](g_{\Lambda_{c}^{*},\Lambda_{c2}^{*})}(g_{\Lambda_{c}^{*},\Lambda_{c}^{*}})g_{\mu\nu}$$

where the superscripts *s*, *u* and *t* correspond to *s*, *u* and *t* channel, respectively. In the above amplitudes, $S_{\nu\rho}^{1}(k_{i}, m_{i}, \Gamma_{i})$ is the propagator of vector meson with four momentum k_{i} , mass m_{i} and width Γ_{i} , and its concrete expression is,

$$S^{1}_{\nu\rho}(k_{i}, m_{i}, \Gamma_{i}) = \frac{-g^{\nu\rho} + (p_{i}^{\nu} p_{i}^{\rho} / m_{i}^{2})}{p_{i}^{2} - m_{i}^{2} + im_{i}\Gamma_{i}}.$$
 (5)

 $S^{1/2}(p_i, m_i, \Gamma_i)$ is the propagator of n, $\Lambda_c(2286)$, $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and Σ_c^{++} , whose spin of 1/2. While $S_{\mu\nu}^{3/2}(p_i, m_i, \Gamma_i)$ is the propagator of $\Lambda_c(2940)$. The detailed expressions of $S^{1/2}(p_i, m_i, \Gamma_i)$ and $S_{\mu\nu}^{3/2}(p_i, m_i, \Gamma_i)$ read,

$$\mathcal{S}^{1/2}(p_i, m_i, \Gamma_i) = \frac{\not{p}_i + m_i}{p_i^2 - m_i^2 + im_i\Gamma_i},$$

$$S_{\mu\nu}^{3/2}(p_i, m_i, \Gamma_i) = \frac{p_i + m_i}{p_i^2 - m_i^2 + im_i\Gamma_i} \times \left(-g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}}{3} + \frac{2p^{\mu}p^{\nu}}{3m_i^2} + \frac{\gamma^{\mu}p^{\nu} - p^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}}{3m_i}\right).$$
(6)

In addition, the form factor $F(p_i, m_i, \Lambda_r)$ is introduced to depict the inner structure of the involved hadrons in each vertex, its specific expression is,

$$F(p_i, m_i, \Lambda_r) = \frac{\Lambda_r^4}{\Lambda_r^4 + (p_i^2 - m_i^2)^2},$$
(7)

where p_i and m_i are the four momentum and the mass of the exchanged hadron, respectively. Λ_r is a phenomeno-logical model parameter and is taken as 3.0 GeV in the present calculations, which is the same as the one in Ref. [65].

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Coupling Constants

Before the estimations of the cross sections for $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- D^0 p$, the values of the relevant coupling constants should be further clarified. The coupling constants $g_{\pi NN}$, $g_{\Lambda_c ND}$, $g_{\Lambda_c \pi \Sigma_c}$, $g_{DN\Sigma_c}$ and $g_{\Lambda_c ND^*}$ can be determined by SU(4) symmetry [54, 61, 62, 65, 66, 68–70], and the specific values are collected in Table I. The coupling constants $g_{\Lambda_{c1}^* ND}$, $g_{\Lambda_{c1}^* \pi \Sigma_c}$, $g_{\Lambda_{c2}^* ND}$, $g_{\Lambda_{c2}^* \pi \Sigma_c}$ and $g_{D^* D\pi}$ can be determined by combining the related effective Lagrangians and the corresponding decay widths of the corresponding decay processes. Based on the effective Lagrangians, one can obtain the corresponding amplitude $\mathcal{M}_{A\to BC}$. Then, the decay widths of the decay process $A \to BC$ can be,

$$\Gamma_{A \to BC} = \frac{1}{(2J+1)8\pi} \frac{|\vec{k}_f|}{M^2} \overline{|\mathcal{M}_{A \to BC}|^2} \tag{8}$$

where *M* and *J* are the mass and angular momentum of the initial states. \vec{k}_f is the three momentum of the final states in the initial rest frame. In addition, in Ref. [57], the decay properties of $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$ were investigated, and the estimations indicated that the branching fractions of *ND* and $\pi \Sigma_c$ channels for $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$ are about,

$$Br(\Lambda_{c}(2910) \to ND) = 40\%,$$

$$Br(\Lambda_{c}(2910) \to \pi\Sigma) = 60\%,$$

$$Br(\Lambda_{c}((2940)) \to ND) = 11\%,$$

$$Br(\Lambda_{c}(2940) \to \pi\Sigma) = 12.5\%.$$
(9)

With above branching fractions, the central values of the widths of $\Lambda_c(2940)$ and $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and the formula in Eq. (8), one can obtain the coupling constants $g_{\Lambda_{c1}^*ND}$, $g_{\Lambda_{c1}^*\Sigma_c\pi}$, $g_{\Lambda_{c2}^*ND}$, and $g_{\Lambda_{c2}^*\Sigma_c\pi}$, which are listed in Table I. As for the coupling constant $g_{D^*D\pi}$, we take the same value as the one in Ref. [65], which is $g_{D^*D\pi} = 14.1$.

TABLE I: The coupling constants involved in the $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- D^0 p$ process.

Coupling constant	Value	Coupling constant	Value
$g_{\pi NN}$	13.45	$g_{\Lambda_{c1}^*ND}$	0.99
$g_{\Lambda_c ND}$	-13.98	$g_{\Lambda_{c2}^*ND}$	0.84
$g_{\Lambda_c\pi\Sigma_c}$	9.32	$g_{\Lambda_{c1}^*\pi\Sigma_c}$	2.22
$g_{DN\Sigma_c}$	-2.69	$g_{\Lambda_{c2}^*\pi\Sigma_c}$	1.35
$g_{\Lambda_c ND^*}$	-5.20	$g_{\Lambda_{c1}^*ND^*}$	3.55
$g_{D^*D\pi}$	14.1	$g_{\Lambda_{c2}^*ND^*}$	2.34

In addition to the above coupling constants, the coupling constants relevant to $\Lambda_c(2910)/\Lambda_c(2940)$ and their components D^*N could be determined by the compositeness condition with non-relativistic limit [72, 73], which is

$$g_{\Lambda_c^* N D^*}^2 = \frac{4\pi}{4M_{\Lambda_c^*} m_N} \frac{(m_{D^*} + m_N)^{5/2}}{(m_{D^*} m_N)^{1/2}} \sqrt{32\epsilon},$$
 (10)

with $\epsilon = m_N + m_{D^*} - m_{\Lambda_c^*}$ to be the binding energy of $\Lambda_c(2910)/\Lambda_c(2940)$. Here, we take $\epsilon = 32$ MeV for $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\epsilon = 6.2$ MeV for $\Lambda_c(2940)$. The concrete values of $g_{\Lambda_c^*ND^*}$ are also presented in Table I.

B. Cross Sections for $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- D^0 p$

With the above preparations, one can estimate the cross sections for the $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- D^0 p$ reaction. The total amplitude of the $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- D^0 p$ process can be expressed as,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{Tot}} = \mathcal{M}^{s}_{\Lambda_{c}} + \mathcal{M}^{s}_{\Lambda_{c1}^{*}} + \mathcal{M}^{s}_{\Lambda_{c2}^{*}} + \mathcal{M}^{u}_{\Lambda_{c}} + \mathcal{M}^{u}_{\Lambda_{c1}^{*}} + \mathcal{M}^{u}_{\Lambda_{c2}^{*}} + \mathcal{M}^{t}_{\Lambda_{c}} + \mathcal{M}^{t}_{\Lambda_{c1}^{*}} + \mathcal{M}^{t}_{\Lambda_{c2}^{*}}$$
(11)

With the above amplitudes, one can obtain the differential cross sections of the $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- D^0 p$ process,

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{8(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{\Phi} \overline{\left|\mathcal{M}_{\text{Tot}}\right|^2} dp_5^0 dp_3^0 d\cos\theta d\eta, \qquad (12)$$

where the flux factor $\Phi = 4|\vec{p_1}| \sqrt{s}$, $\vec{p_1}$ and \sqrt{s} represent the three momentum of the initial π^- particle and the center of mass energy, respectively. p_3^0 and p_5^0 are the energy of the outgoing D^- meson and p, respectively.

The cross sections for $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- D^0 p$ depending on the momentum of the incident pion beam with $\Lambda_r = 3.0$ GeV are presented in Fig. 2. Among them, Fig. 2(a), Fig. 2(b) and Fig. 2(c) correspond to the cross sections resulted from the *s*, *u* and *t* -channel, respectively. In these three figures, the black solid curves represent the total contributions of each channels. The cyan dotted, red dashed and blue dash-dotted curves stand



FIG. 2: (Color online) The cross sections for the $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- D^0 p$ process depending on the momentum of the incident pion beam. Diagram (a), (b), (c) correspond to the individual contributions from *s*, *u*, and *t* channels, respectively. While diagram (d) shows the individual contributions from $\Lambda_c(2286)$, $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$.

for the contributions from the processes that include propagators $\Lambda_c(2286)$, $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$, respectively. As indicated in diagram (a), the contributions from $\Lambda_c(2286)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$ are similar when $P_{\pi} > 13$ GeV, while the contribution from $\Lambda_c(2940)$ is highly suppressed. As for the *u* channel, $\Lambda_c(2940)$ intermediate process is dominant, while the contributions from $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2286)$ are very close when $P_{\pi} > 13$ GeV, which is several times smaller than that of $\Lambda_c(2940)$. But for the t channel, the cross section resulted from $\Lambda_c(2910)$ intermediate process is predominantly, which is about 5 times of that from $\Lambda_c(2286)$, and about 20 times of that from $\Lambda_c(2910)$ when $P_{\pi} > 13$ GeV. By comparing Fig. 2-(a)-(c), one can find the cross sections resulted from s, u, and t channels are of orders of $10^{-2} \mu b$, $10^{-1} \mu b$, and $10^{2} \mu b$, respectively, which indicates that at high energy scattering process, the *t*-channel contributions are dominant.

In Fig. 2(d), we present the individual contributions from $\Lambda_c(2286)$, $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$, which correspond to cyan dotted, red dashed and blue dash-dotted curves, while the solid curve refers to the total cross sections for the $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- D^0 p$ process. From this diagram, one can find that the total cross sections increase rapidly near the threshold, and with P_{π} greater than 12.5 GeV, the cross sections increase rather

slowly with the increasing of p_{π} . At $P_{\pi} = 15$ GeV, the cross section reach up to 141.6 μ b. In addition, the present estimations indicate that the dominant contributions to the cross sections for $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- D^0 p$ come from the intermediate state $\Lambda_c(2910)$.

In addition to the cross sections, we also investigate the differential cross section for $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- D^0 p$ depending on the invariant mass of D^0p . The D^0p invariant mass distributions at $p_{\pi} = 14$ GeV are presented in Fig. 3. The cyan dotted, red dashed and blue dash-dotted curves correspond to the individual contributions from $\Lambda_c(2286)$, $\Lambda_c(2910)$, and $\Lambda_c(2940)$, respectively, while the black solid curve refers to the summation of the individual contributions as well as their interferences. From the figure, one can find that the contributions from $\Lambda_c(2286)$ are rather smooth, which plays the role of the background. As for $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$, our estimations suggested that the signal of $\Lambda_c(2910)$ is predominantly, while the signal of $\Lambda_c(2940)$ is about one order smaller than that of $\Lambda_c(2910)$, which indicate the expected structure near 2.9 GeV in the $D^0 p$ invariant mass spectrum of $\pi^- p \to D^- D^0 p$ process should correspond tto $\Lambda_c(2910)$ rather than $\Lambda_c(2940)$.

It is worth noting that the cutoff parameter Λ_r cannot be determined experimentally at present. So in the present es-



FIG. 3: (Color online) The $D^0 p$ invariant mass distributions of the $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- D^0 p$ process at $p_{\pi} = 14$ Gev



FIG. 4: (Color online) The total cross sections for the $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- D^0 p$ process depending on the momentum of the incident pion beam. The black solid curve are obtained with $\Lambda_r = 3.0$ GeV, while the band are the uncertainties resulted from the varying of Λ_r from 2.5 to 3.5 GeV.

timation, we vary Λ_r from 2.5 to 3.5 GeV to further check the parameter dependence of the total cross sections for the $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- D^0 p$ process. Our estimated cross sections are presented in Fig. 4, where the black curve is calculated with $\Lambda_r = 3.0$ GeV and the cyan band indicates the uncertainties resulting of the parameter Λ_r . In the considered model parameter range, the total cross section at $p_{\pi} = 15$ GeV is estimated to be $(142^{-110}_{+208}) \mu b$ at $p_{\pi} = 15$ GeV, which means that the values of the total cross sections crosse an order of magnitude when Λ_r ranges from 2.5 to 3.5 GeV.

IV. SUMMARY

With the observations of $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$ by the BABAR and Belle Collaborations, the investigations of these two states have become intriguing. The observed masses of both $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$ are close to the threshold of D^*N , this situation is similar to the well-known pentaquark candidates $P_c(4440)$ and $P_c(4457)$, and in addition, the mass splitting of $\Lambda_c(2940)$ and $\Lambda_c(2910)$ is very similar to that of $P_c(4440)$ and $P_c(4457)$. These particular spectrum properties inspire the ND^* molecular interpretations to the $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$.

Besides the mass spectrum and decay behaviors, the production properties of $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$ can also shed light on the inner structure of these two states. In addition, the pion beam energy will reach over 20 GeV in the J-PARC hadron facility. Thus, in the present work, we propose to measure $\Lambda_c(2910)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$ in the D^0p invariant mass spectrum of $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- D^0 p$ process. Our estimations indicate that the cross sections for $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- D^0 p$ range from several tens μ b to several hundreds μ b with the varying of the model parameter. Moreover, our estimations suggest that the dominant contributions come from $\Lambda_c(2910)$, and the expected structure around 2.9 GeV in the D^0p invariant mass spectrum of $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- D^0 p$ process should corresponds to $\Lambda_c(2910)$ rather than $\Lambda_c(2940)$, which could be tested by further experimental measurements at J-PARC in the future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under the Grant Nos. 12175037 and 12335001, as well as supported, in part, by National Key Research and Development Program under the contract No. 2024YFA1610503

- [1] S. K. Choi et al. Observation of a narrow charmonium-like state in exclusive $B^{\pm} \rightarrow K^{\pm}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}J/\psi$ decays. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 91:262001, 2003.
- [2] S. K. Choi et al. Observation of a resonance-like structure in the $pi^{\pm}\psi'$ mass distribution in exclusive $B \rightarrow K\pi^{\pm}\psi'$ decays. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 100:142001, 2008.
- [3] S. Uehara et al. Observation of a charmonium-like enhancement in the gamma gamma —> omega J/psi process. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 104:092001, 2010.
- [4] M. Ablikim et al. Observation of a Charged Charmoniumlike Structure in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ at \sqrt{s} =4.26 GeV. *Phys. Rev.*

Lett., 110:252001, 2013.

- [5] Z. Q. Liu et al. Study of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ and Observation of a Charged Charmoniumlike State at Belle. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 110:252002, 2013. [Erratum: Phys.Rev.Lett. 111, 019901 (2013)].
- [6] Roel Aaij et al. Observation of $J/\psi p$ Resonances Consistent with Pentaquark States in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^- p$ Decays. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 115:072001, 2015.
- [7] Roel Aaij et al. Observation of $J/\psi\phi$ structures consistent with exotic states from amplitude analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ decays. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 118(2):022003, 2017.

- [8] Medina Ablikim et al. Precise measurement of the e⁺e⁻ → π⁺π⁻J/ψ cross section at center-of-mass energies from 3.77 to 4.60 GeV. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 118(9):092001, 2017.
- [9] Roel Aaij et al. First observation of excited Ω_b^- states. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 124(8):082002, 2020.
- [10] Roel Aaij et al. Observation of an exotic narrow doubly charmed tetraquark. *Nature Phys.*, 18(7):751–754, 2022.
- [11] Roel Aaij et al. Model-independent evidence for $J/\psi p$ contributions to $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi p K^-$ decays. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 117(8):082002, 2016.
- [12] Roel Aaij et al. Evidence for exotic hadron contributions to $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi p\pi^-$ decays. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 117(8):082003, 2016. [Addendum: Phys.Rev.Lett. 117, 109902 (2016), Addendum: Phys.Rev.Lett. 118, 119901 (2017)].
- [13] Roel Aaij et al. Observation of a narrow pentaquark state, $P_c(4312)^+$, and of two-peak structure of the $P_c(4450)^+$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 122(22):222001, 2019.
- [14] Roel Aaij et al. Evidence of a $J/\psi\Lambda$ structure and observation of excited Ξ^- states in the $\Xi_b^- \to J/\psi\Lambda K^-$ decay. *Sci. Bull.*, 66:1278–1287, 2021.
- [15] R. Aaij et al. Observation of a $J/\psi\Lambda$ Resonance Consistent with a Strange Pentaquark Candidate in B- $\rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda p^-$ Decays. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 131(3):031901, 2023.
- [16] Hua-Xing Chen, Wei Chen, and Shi-Lin Zhu. Possible interpretations of the P_c(4312), P_c(4440), and P_c(4457). Phys. Rev. D, 100(5):051501, 2019.
- [17] Rui Chen, Zhi-Feng Sun, Xiang Liu, and Shi-Lin Zhu. Strong LHCb evidence supporting the existence of the hidden-charm molecular pentaquarks. *Phys. Rev. D*, 100(1):011502, 2019.
- [18] Feng-Kun Guo, Hao-Jie Jing, Ulf-G Meißner, and Shuntaro Sakai. Isospin breaking decays as a diagnosis of the hadronic molecular structure of the $P_c(4457)$. *Phys. Rev. D*, 99(9):091501, 2019.
- [19] Ming-Zhu Liu, Ya-Wen Pan, Fang-Zheng Peng, Mario Sánchez Sánchez, Li-Sheng Geng, Atsushi Hosaka, and Manuel Pavon Valderrama. Emergence of a complete heavy-quark spin symmetry multiplet: seven molecular pentaquarks in light of the latest LHCb analysis. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 122(24):242001, 2019.
- [20] Cheng-Jian Xiao, Yin Huang, Yu-Bing Dong, Li-Sheng Geng, and Dian-Yong Chen. Exploring the molecular scenario of Pc(4312), Pc(4440), and Pc(4457). *Phys. Rev. D*, 100(1):014022, 2019.
- [21] C. W. Xiao, J. Nieves, and E. Oset. Heavy quark spin symmetric molecular states from $\bar{D}^{(*)}\Sigma_c^{(*)}$ and other coupled channels in the light of the recent LHCb pentaquarks. *Phys. Rev. D*, 100(1):014021, 2019.
- [22] Jian-Rong Zhang. Exploring a $\Sigma_c \overline{D}$ state: with focus on $P_c(4312)^+$. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 79(12):1001, 2019.
- [23] Zhi-Gang Wang and Xu Wang. Analysis of the strong decays of the $P_c(4312)$ as a pentaquark molecular state with QCD sum rules. *Chin. Phys. C*, 44:103102, 2020.
- [24] Yong-Jiang Xu, Chun-Yu Cui, Yong-Lu Liu, and Ming-Qiu Huang. Partial decay widths of $P_c(4312)$ as a $D\Sigma_c$ molecular state. *Phys. Rev. D*, 102(3):034028, 2020.
- [25] T. J. Burns and E. S. Swanson. Molecular interpretation of the $P_c(4440)$ and $P_c(4457)$ states. *Phys. Rev. D*, 100(11):114033, 2019.
- [26] Yong-Hui Lin and Bing-Song Zou. Strong decays of the latest LHCb pentaquark candidates in hadronic molecule pictures. *Phys. Rev. D*, 100(5):056005, 2019.
- [27] Jun He and Dian-Yong Chen. Molecular states from $\Sigma_c^{(*)} \bar{D}^{(*)} \Lambda_c \bar{D}^{(*)}$ interaction. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 79(11):887, 2019.
- [28] Meng-Lin Du, Vadim Baru, Feng-Kun Guo, Christoph Hanhart,

Ulf-G Meißner, José A. Oller, and Qian Wang. Interpretation of the LHCb P_c States as Hadronic Molecules and Hints of a Narrow $P_c(4380)$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 124(7):072001, 2020.

- [29] Guang-Juan Wang, Li-Ye Xiao, Rui Chen, Xiao-Hai Liu, Xiang Liu, and Shi-Lin Zhu. Probing hidden-charm decay properties of P_c states in a molecular scenario. *Phys. Rev. D*, 102(3):036012, 2020.
- [30] Hao Xu, Qiang Li, Chao-Hsi Chang, and Guo-Li Wang. Recently observed P_c as molecular states and possible mixture of P_c(4457). Phys. Rev. D, 101(5):054037, 2020.
- [31] Yong-Jiang Xu, Yong-Lu Liu, and Ming-Qiu Huang. The magnetic moment of $P_c(4312)$ as a $\overline{D}\Sigma_c$ molecular state. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 81(5):421, 2021.
- [32] Xi-Zhe Ling, Jun-Xu Lu, Ming-Zhu Liu, and Li-Sheng Geng. $P_c(4457) \rightarrow P_c(4312) \pi/\gamma$ in the molecular picture. *Phys. Rev. D*, 104(7):074022, 2021.
- [33] Kan Chen, Rui Chen, Lu Meng, Bo Wang, and Shi-Lin Zhu. Systematics of the heavy flavor hadronic molecules. *Eur. Phys.* J. C, 82(7):581, 2022.
- [34] Meng-Lin Du, Zhi-Hui Guo, and J. A. Oller. Insights into the nature of the Pcs(4459). *Phys. Rev. D*, 104(11):114034, 2021.
- [35] Rui Chen and Xiang Liu. Mass behavior of hidden-charm openstrange pentaquarks inspired by the established P_c molecular states. *Phys. Rev. D*, 105(1):014029, 2022.
- [36] Hong-Wei Ke, Fang Lu, Hai Pang, Xiao-Hai Liu, and Xue-Qian Li. Study on the possible molecular states composed of Λ_cD̄^{*}, Σ_cD̄^{*}, Ξ_cD̄^{*} and Ξ'_cD̄^{*} in the Bethe–Salpeter frame based on the pentaquark states P_c(4440), P_c(4457) and P_{cs}(4459). Eur. Phys. J. C, 83(11):1074, 2023.
- [37] Kai Xu, Kanokphon Phumphan, Wiriya Ruangyoo, Chia-Chu Chen, Ayut Limphirat, and Yupeng Yan. Pc states in the mixture of molecular and pentaquark pictures. *Phys. Rev. D*, 109(3):036019, 2024.
- [38] Rui Chen. Can the newly reported $P_{cs}(4459)$ be a strange hidden-charm $\Xi_c \bar{D}^*$ molecular pentaquark? *Phys. Rev. D*, 103(5):054007, 2021.
- [39] Rui Chen. Strong decays of the newly P_{cs}(4459) as a strange hidden-charm Ξ_cD̄* molecule. Eur. Phys. J. C, 81(2):122, 2021.
- [40] Jun-Tao Zhu, Lin-Qing Song, and Jun He. P_{cs} (4459) and other possible molecular states from $\Xi_c^{(*)} \bar{D}^{(*)}$ and $\Xi_c' \bar{D}^{(*)}$ interactions. *Phys. Rev. D*, 103(7):074007, 2021.
- [41] C. W. Xiao, J. J. Wu, and B. S. Zou. Molecular nature of P_{cs} (4459) and its heavy quark spin partners. *Phys. Rev. D*, 103(5):054016, 2021.
- [42] Jun-Xu Lu, Ming-Zhu Liu, Rui-Xiang Shi, and Li-Sheng Geng. Understanding Pcs(4459) as a hadronic molecule in the Ξb-→J/ψΛK- decay. *Phys. Rev. D*, 104(3):034022, 2021.
- [43] Xiu-Wu Wang and Zhi-Gang Wang. Analysis of P _{cs}(4338) and related pentaquark molecular states via QCD sum rules*. *Chin. Phys. C*, 47(1):013109, 2023.
- [44] Albert Feijoo, Wen-Fei Wang, Chu-Wen Xiao, Jia-Jun Wu, Eulogio Oset, Juan Nieves, and Bing-Song Zou. A new look at the Pcs states from a molecular perspective. *Phys. Lett. B*, 839:137760, 2023.
- [45] Qi Wu and Dian-Yong Chen. Production of $P\psi s\Lambda(4338)$ from Ξb decay. *Phys. Rev. D*, 109(9):094003, 2024.
- [46] Qi Wu and Dian-Yong Chen. Production of P_c states from Λ_b decay. *Phys. Rev. D*, 100(11):114002, 2019.
- [47] Qi Wu, Dian-Yong Chen, and Ran Ji. Production of P_{cs} (4459) from Ξ_b Decay. *Chin. Phys. Lett.*, 38(7):071301, 2021.
- [48] Bernard Aubert et al. Observation of a charmed baryon decaying to D0p at a mass near 2.94-GeV/c**2. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 98:012001, 2007.
- [49] Kazuo Abe et al. Experimental constraints on the possible J**P

quantum numbers of the Lambda(c)(2880)+. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 98:262001, 2007.

- [50] Y. B. Li et al. Evidence of a New Excited Charmed Baryon Decaying to $\Sigma c(2455)0, ++\pi \pm$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 130(3):031901, 2023.
- [51] S. Navas et al. Review of particle physics. *Phys. Rev. D*, 110(3):030001, 2024.
- [52] Xiao-Gang He, Xue-Qian Li, Xiang Liu, and Xiao-Qiang Zeng. Lambda+(c)(2940): A Possible molecular state? *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 51:883–889, 2007.
- [53] Yubing Dong, Amand Faessler, Thomas Gutsche, and Valery E. Lyubovitskij. Strong two-body decays of the Lambda(c)(2940)+ in a hadronic molecule picture. *Phys. Rev.* D, 81:014006, 2010.
- [54] Yubing Dong, Amand Faessler, Thomas Gutsche, S. Kumano, and Valery E. Lyubovitskij. Strong three-body decays of $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$. *Phys. Rev. D*, 83:094005, 2011.
- [55] Jun He, Yuan-Tao Ye, Zhi-Feng Sun, and Xiang Liu. The observed charmed hadron $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ and the D^*N interaction. *Phys. Rev. D*, 82:114029, 2010.
- [56] Jian-Rong Zhang. S-wave $D^{(*)}N$ molecular states: $\Sigma_c(2800)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$? *Phys. Rev. D*, 89(9):096006, 2014.
- [57] Zi-Li Yue, Quan-Yun Guo, and Dian-Yong Chen. Strong decays of the Λc(2910) and Λc(2940) in the ND* molecular frame. *Phys. Rev. D*, 109(9):094049, 2024.
- [58] Bo Wang, Lu Meng, and Shi-Lin Zhu. $D^{(*)}N$ interaction and the structure of $\Sigma_c(2800)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)$ in chiral effective field theory. *Phys. Rev. D*, 101(9):094035, 2020.
- [59] Qi Xin, Xiao-Song Yang, and Zhi-Gang Wang. The singly charmed pentaquark molecular states via the QCD sum rules. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, 38(22n23):2350123, 2023.
- [60] Ye Yan, Xiaohuang Hu, Yuheng Wu, Hongxia Huang, Jialun Ping, and Youchang Yang. Pentaquark interpretation of Λ_c states in the quark model. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 83(6):524, 2023.
- [61] Jun He, Zhen Ouyang, Xiang Liu, and Xue-Qian Li. Production of charmed baryon $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ at PANDA. *Phys. Rev. D*, 84:114010, 2011.
- [62] Yubing Dong, Amand Faessler, Thomas Gutsche, and Valery E. Lyubovitskij. Role of the hadron molecule $\Lambda_c(2940)$ in the $p\bar{p}\beta pD^0\bar{\Lambda}_c(2286)$ annihilation reaction. *Phys. Rev. D*, 90(9):094001, 2014.
- [63] Xiao-Yun Wang, Alexey Guskov, and Xu-Rong Chen. $\Lambda_c^*(2940)^+$ photoproduction off the neutron. *Phys. Rev. D*, 92(9):094032, 2015.
- [64] Kazuya Aoki et al. Extension of the J-PARC Hadron Experimental Facility: Third White Paper. 10 2021.
- [65] Ju-Jun Xie, Yu-Bing Dong, and Xu Cao. Role of the $\Lambda_c^+(2940)$ in the $\pi^- p \to D^- D^0 p$ reaction close to threshold. *Phys. Rev. D*, 92(3):034029, 2015.
- [66] Yubing Dong, Amand Faessler, Thomas Gutsche, S. Kumano, and Valery E. Lyubovitskij. Radiative decay of $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ in a hadronic molecule picture. *Phys. Rev. D*, 82:034035, 2010.
- [67] Sang-Ho Kim, Atsushi Hosaka, Hyun-Chul Kim, and Hiroyuki Noumi. Production of strange and charmed baryons in pion induced reactions. *Phys. Rev. D*, 92(9):094021, 2015.
- [68] Dian-Yong Chen and Xiang Liu. Predicted charged charmonium-like structures in the hidden-charm dipion decay of higher charmonia. *Phys. Rev. D*, 84:034032, 2011.
- [69] W. Liu, C. M. Ko, and Z. W. Lin. Cross-section for charmonium absorption by nucleons. *Phys. Rev. C*, 65:015203, 2002.
- [70] S. Okubo. SU(4), SU(8) Mass Formulas and Weak Interactions. *Phys. Rev. D*, 11:3261–3269, 1975.
- [71] Benjamin C. Jackson, Yongseok Oh, H. Haberzettl, and K. Nakayama. $\overline{K} + N \rightarrow K + \Xi$ reaction and S = -1 hyperon

resonances. Phys. Rev. C, 91(6):065208, 2015.

- [72] Steven Weinberg. Evidence That the Deuteron Is Not an Elementary Particle. *Phys. Rev.*, 137:B672–B678, 1965.
- [73] V. Baru, J. Haidenbauer, C. Hanhart, Yu. Kalashnikova, and Alexander Evgenyevich Kudryavtsev. Evidence that the a(0)(980) and f(0)(980) are not elementary particles. *Phys. Lett. B*, 586:53–61, 2004.