

# Model Independent Effective Dimension Six Operators And Scattering Unitarity

Swagata Ghosh<sup>a,b\*</sup> Rashidul Islam<sup>c†</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, Kharagpur 721302, India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Physics, University of Calcutta, 92 Acharya Prafulla Chandra Road, Kolkata 700009, India.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Physics, Mathabhanga College, Cooch Behar, West Bengal, India.

## Abstract

The effective field theory containing higher dimensional operators violates the unitarity of the  $2 \rightarrow 2$  scattering processes in the Standard Model. This unitarity violation depends on the values of the Wilson coefficients corresponding to a higher dimensional operator. We showed that even a small values of some of the Wilson coefficients lead to the unitarity violation at the LHC centre of mass energies. Considering the final states as the gauge bosons in the longitudinal mode, the scalars, and the  $t\bar{t}$ , we showed that the unitarity violation in  $WW \rightarrow WW$ ,  $WW \rightarrow ZZ$ , and  $ZZ \rightarrow hh$  scattering amplitudes sets bounds on the Wilson coefficients of the dimension six effective operators.

## 1 The effective Lagrangian and scattering amplitude

We consider the set of dimension six operators of the Strongly Interacting Light Higgs (SILH) basis [1, 2] with the  $i^{th}$  Wilson coefficient (WC)  $\bar{c}_i$  corresponding to the  $i^{th}$  operator  $O_i$  coming with the cut-off scale  $\Lambda$ . The relevant bosonic and fermionic operators are given by,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{boson}} = & \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \sum_i \bar{c}_i O_i = \frac{\bar{c}_H}{\Lambda^2} \partial^\mu (\Phi^\dagger \Phi) \partial_\mu (\Phi^\dagger \Phi) + \frac{\bar{c}_T}{\Lambda^2} (\Phi^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}^\mu \Phi) (\Phi^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}^\mu \Phi) - \frac{\bar{c}_6 \lambda}{\Lambda^2} (\Phi^\dagger \Phi)^3 \\ & + \frac{ig\bar{c}_W}{2\Lambda^2} (\Phi^\dagger \tau^i \overleftrightarrow{D}^\mu \Phi) (D^\nu W_{\mu\nu})^i + \frac{ig'\bar{c}_B}{2\Lambda^2} (\Phi^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}^\mu \Phi) (\partial^\nu B_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{ig\bar{c}_{HW}}{2\Lambda^2} (D^\mu \Phi)^\dagger \tau^i (D^\nu \Phi) W_{\mu\nu}^i \\ & + \frac{ig'\bar{c}_{HB}}{2\Lambda^2} (D^\mu \Phi)^\dagger (D^\nu \Phi) B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{g'^2 \bar{c}_\gamma}{\Lambda^2} (\Phi^\dagger \Phi) B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + \frac{g_s^2 \bar{c}_g}{\Lambda^2} (\Phi^\dagger \Phi) G_{\mu\nu}^a G^{a\mu\nu} \\ & + \frac{g^3 \bar{c}_{3W}}{\Lambda^2} \epsilon_{ijk} W_\mu^{i\nu} W_\nu^{j\alpha} W_\alpha^{k\mu}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{fermion}} = & \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \sum_j \bar{c}_j O_j = \left( \frac{\bar{c}_u}{\Lambda^2} y_u \bar{Q}_L u_R \Phi^c \Phi^\dagger \Phi + \frac{i\bar{c}_{Hud}}{\Lambda^2} (\bar{u}_R \gamma^\mu d_R) (\Phi^c \overleftrightarrow{D}^\mu \Phi) + \text{h.c.} \right) \\ & + \frac{i\bar{c}_{Hq}}{\Lambda^2} (\bar{Q}_L \gamma^\mu Q_L) (\Phi^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}^\mu \Phi) + \frac{i\bar{c}'_{Hq}}{\Lambda^2} (\bar{Q}_L \tau^i \gamma^\mu Q_L) (\Phi^\dagger \tau^i \overleftrightarrow{D}^\mu \Phi) + \frac{i\bar{c}_{Hu}}{\Lambda^2} (\bar{u}_R \gamma^\mu u_R) (\Phi^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}^\mu \Phi) \\ & + \frac{i\bar{c}'_{Hd}}{\Lambda^2} (\bar{d}_R \tau^i \gamma^\mu d_R) (\Phi^\dagger \tau^i \overleftrightarrow{D}^\mu \Phi) + \frac{g' \bar{c}_{uB}}{\Lambda^2} y_u \bar{Q}_L \Phi^c \sigma_{\mu\nu} u_R B^{\mu\nu} + \frac{g \bar{c}_{uW}}{\Lambda^2} y_u \bar{Q}_L \tau^i \Phi^c \sigma_{\mu\nu} u_R W^{i\mu\nu} \\ & + \frac{g' \bar{c}_{dB}}{\Lambda^2} y_d \bar{Q}_L \Phi \sigma_{\mu\nu} d_R B^{\mu\nu} + \frac{g \bar{c}_{dW}}{\Lambda^2} y_d \bar{Q}_L \tau^i \Phi \sigma_{\mu\nu} d_R W^{i\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here,  $\Phi^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu \Phi = \Phi^\dagger D^\mu \Phi - D_\mu \Phi^\dagger \Phi$ ,  $\tau_i$ s are the Pauli matrices,  $g'$ ,  $g$ , and  $g_s$  are the  $U(1)_Y$ ,  $SU(2)_L$ , and  $SU(3)_c$  gauge couplings respectively. The operators relating to the gluons and leptons are not considered in this work.

The amplitude  $A$  of any  $2 \rightarrow 2$  scattering process can be expressed in terms of the partial waves  $a_l$  as,  $A = 16\pi \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (2\ell+1) P_\ell(\cos \theta) a_\ell$ . Using the optical theorem for zero scattering angle ( $\theta = 0$ ), we get,  $|a_l|^2 = \text{Im } a_l \Rightarrow \text{Re } a_l \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . We are interested in  $0^{th}$  order partial waves  $a_0$  only.

\*swgtghsh54@gmail.com, speaker at 26<sup>th</sup> DAE-BRNS HEP Symposium, December 19-23, 2024, BHU, India.

†islam.rashid@gmail.com

PROCESS	$O_6$	$O_T$	$O_H$	$O_\gamma$	$O_W$	$O_{HW}$	$O_B$	$O_{HB}$	$O_{3W}$
$WW \rightarrow WW$			$\otimes$	$\otimes$	$\checkmark \otimes$	$\checkmark$	$\otimes$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
$WW \rightarrow ZZ$		$\checkmark$	$\otimes$	$\checkmark \otimes$	$\checkmark \otimes$	$\checkmark$	$\otimes$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
$ZZ \rightarrow ZZ$		$\checkmark$	$\otimes$	$\checkmark \otimes$	$\otimes$	$\checkmark$	$\otimes$	$\checkmark$	
$WW \rightarrow hh$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark \otimes$		$\checkmark \otimes$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	
$ZZ \rightarrow hh$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark \otimes$	$\checkmark \otimes$	$\checkmark \otimes$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark \otimes$	$\checkmark$	
$WW \rightarrow t\bar{t}$			$\otimes$	$\otimes$	$\checkmark \otimes$	$\checkmark$	$\otimes$		$\checkmark$
$ZZ \rightarrow t\bar{t}$			$\checkmark$	$\otimes$	$\checkmark \otimes$	$\otimes$	$\checkmark$	$\otimes$	

Table 1: Dimension-6 operators affecting the bosonic and fermionic scatterings. The entries marked with  $\checkmark$  are affected by the modification of the SM vertices. The entries marked with  $\otimes$  are affected by the wavefunction normalization.

## 2 Redefinition of fields and masses

To express the kinetic terms in their canonical form, the fields can be redefined as follows considering  $\bar{c}_i v^2 / \Lambda^2 \ll 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} h &\rightarrow h \left[ 1 - \frac{\bar{c}_H}{\Lambda^2} v^2 \right], \quad G_\mu^a \rightarrow G_\mu^a \left[ 1 + \frac{\bar{c}_G}{\Lambda^2} g_s^2 v^2 \right], \quad W_\mu^\pm \rightarrow W_\mu^\pm \left[ 1 + \frac{\bar{c}_W}{\Lambda^2} \frac{g^2}{4} v^2 \right], \\ Z_\mu &\rightarrow Z_\mu \left[ 1 + \frac{\bar{c}_\gamma}{\Lambda^2} g'^2 \sin^2 \theta_W v^2 + \frac{\bar{c}_W}{\Lambda^2} \frac{g^2}{4} v^2 + \frac{\bar{c}_B}{\Lambda^2} \frac{g'^2}{4} v^2 \right], \\ A_\mu &\rightarrow A_\mu \left[ 1 + \frac{\bar{c}_\gamma}{\Lambda^2} g^2 \sin^2 \theta_W v^2 \right] + Z_\mu \frac{\bar{c}_W - \bar{c}_B - 8\bar{c}_\gamma \sin^2 \theta_W}{\Lambda^2} \frac{gg'}{4} v^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Eventually, the particle masses are also modified to

$$\begin{aligned} m_h^2 &= \left[ 1 - 2v^2 \frac{\bar{c}_H}{\Lambda^2} \right] (3\lambda v^2 - \mu^2) + \frac{15}{4} \lambda v^4 \frac{\bar{c}_6}{\Lambda^2} \equiv 3\lambda v'^2 - \mu'^2, \\ m_Z^2 &= \frac{g^2 v'^2}{4 \cos^2 \theta_W} \left[ 1 + g^2 v'^2 \frac{\bar{c}_W}{2\Lambda^2} + g'^2 v'^2 \frac{\bar{c}_B}{2\Lambda^2} + 2g'^2 v'^2 \sin^2 \theta_W \frac{\bar{c}_\gamma}{\Lambda^2} - 2v'^2 \frac{\bar{c}_T}{\Lambda^2} \right], \\ m_W^2 &= \frac{g^2 v'^2}{4} \left[ 1 + g^2 v'^2 \frac{\bar{c}_W}{2\Lambda^2} \right], \quad \text{with } v'^2 = v^2 - \frac{v^4}{\Lambda^2} \left[ 2\bar{c}_H - \frac{5}{4}\bar{c}_6 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Here,  $v$  and  $v'$  are the Standard Model and redefined Higgs vevs respectively.

## 3 Bounds on the Wilson coefficients

We set the cutoff scale and the parton-level energy respectively at  $\Lambda = 1$  TeV, and  $\sqrt{s} = 2$  TeV. We implement the effective Lagrangian in FeynRules [3] to generate FeynArts model files to calculate the helicity amplitudes using FeynArts/FormCalc [4, 5]. The Table 3 shows which operators contribute to which scattering processes. As we take  $\Lambda = 1$  TeV, the WCs are scaled with  $\Lambda^2$ , and we express  $\bar{c}_i / \Lambda^2 = C_i$ . We took one operator to be non-zero at a time and drop  $O_6, O_H, O_{3W}$  as they do not violate the unitarity.  $WW \rightarrow hh$  provides bounds on  $\bar{C}_W$  and  $\bar{C}_{HW}$  both at 0.06, whereas  $ZZ \rightarrow hh$  provides bounds on  $\bar{C}_B$  and  $\bar{C}_{HB}$  both at 0.27. We have bounds on  $\bar{C}_\gamma$  at 0.14 and  $\bar{C}_T$  at 1.2 from the processes  $ZZ \rightarrow ZZ$  and  $ZZ \rightarrow hh$  respectively. A more detailed study can be found in [6].

## 4 Conclusion

The introduction of the dimension six operators causes unitarity violation of some of the scattering amplitudes. We consider here the dimension six operators of the SILH basis. Some of the operators




Figure 1: The unitarity limits on the effective Wilson coefficients, where they violate the bound  $|\text{Re } a_0| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

affect the canonical kinetic terms causing the modification of the fields and their masses and hence indirectly affect the vertices as shown in the Table 3. The Wilson coefficients come with a cut-off scale  $\Lambda$ , but always scale with  $\Lambda^2$ . Therefore, we set  $\Lambda = 1$  TeV to show the bounds on the WCs. All the bounds are shown for  $\sqrt{s} = 2$  TeV, the typical parton-level energy for the LHC. We consider one non-zero operator at a time in this work. Using optical theorem for zero scattering angle and zeroth partial wave  $a_0$  we showed the bounds on different Wilson coefficients when the terms linear in  $c_i/\Lambda^2$  are sufficient.

## References

- [1] G. F. Giudice, C. Grojean, A. Pomarol and R. Rattazzi : The Strongly-Interacting Light Higgs. JHEP **0706**, 045 (2007) [doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/06/045](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/06/045). [[hep-ph/0703164](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0703164)].
- [2] R. Contino, M. Ghezzi, C. Grojean, M. Muhlleitner and M. Spira : Effective Lagrangian for a light Higgs-like scalar. JHEP **1307**, 035 (2013) [doi:10.1007/JHEP07\(2013\)035](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2013)035). [[arXiv:1303.3876 \[hep-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3876)].
- [3] A. Alloul, B. Fuks and V. Sanz : Phenomenology of the Higgs Effective Lagrangian via FEYN-RULES. JHEP **1404**, 110 (2014). [doi:10.1007/JHEP04\(2014\)110](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2014)110). [[arXiv:1310.5150 \[hep-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1310.5150)].
- [4] T. Hahn : Generating Feynman diagrams and amplitudes with FeynArts 3. Comput. Phys. Commun. **140**, 418 (2001) [doi:10.1016/S0010-4655\(01\)00290-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655(01)00290-9). [[hep-ph/0012260](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0012260)].
- [5] T. Hahn and M. Perez-Victoria : Automatized one loop calculations in four-dimensions and D-dimensions. Comput. Phys. Commun. **118**, 153 (1999) [doi:10.1016/S0010-4655\(98\)00173-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655(98)00173-8). [[hep-ph/9807565](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9807565)]  
C. Groß, T. Hahn, S. Heinemeyer, F. von der Pahlen, H. Rzehak and C. Schappacher : New Developments in FormCalc 8.4. PoS LL **2014**, 035 (2014) [[arXiv:1407.0235 \[hep-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1407.0235)].
- [6] S. Ghosh, R. Islam and A. Kundu : Scattering unitarity with effective dimension-6 operators. J. Phys. G **45**, no.1, 015003 (2018) [doi:10.1088/1361-6471/aa9873](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6471/aa9873). [[arXiv:1704.01867 \[hep-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.01867)].