

Viscous effects of a hot QGP medium in time dependent magnetic field and their phenomenological significance

Debarshi Dey^{a,1,†} Gowthama K K^{c,1,§} Sadhana Dash^{1,¶} and Basanta Kumar Nandi^{1,**}

¹*Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai 400076, India*

In this work, we have studied, for the first time, the impact of a realistic picture of a time dependent electric and magnetic field on the shear and bulk viscosities of the medium. Both the electric and magnetic fields are considered to be exponentially decaying with time, and the study is valid in the regime where the magnetic field strength is weak ($eB \ll T^2$). The evaluation has been done in the kinetic theory framework wherein we have solved the relativistic Boltzmann transport equation within the relaxation time approximation collision kernel. We have shown that the constant weak field results as well as the $B = 0$ results in the literature can be obtained as special cases of our general results. We have observed that the shear and bulk viscosities increase with time or equivalently, decrease with the strength of the magnetic field. To connect these observations with experiments, we have calculated the thermalization time, shear viscosity to entropy ratio (η/s), and bulk viscosity to entropy ratio (ζ/s).

arXiv:2501.19349v1 [hep-ph] 31 Jan 2025

^a Joint first author

^c Joint first author

[†] debarshi@iitb.ac.in

[§] k_gowthama@iitb.ac.in

[¶] sadhana@phy.iitb.ac.in

^{**} basanta@iitb.ac.in

I. INTRODUCTION

The quark gluon plasma (QGP) produced in the early stages of the heavy ion collision experiments at Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) and Large Hadron Collider (LHC)[1] depends critically on the initial conditions of the system such as the temperature, chemical potential and the magnetic field. Recent observations at the RHIC and LHC have indicated the presence of a strong magnetic field in the early stages of heavy-ion collision[2, 3]. This happens when the heavy-ion collisions are non-central, *i.e.* when the ions collide with a finite impact parameter. The nuclei and their constituent partons which interact violently in the collisions, give rise to the QGP medium. Those which do not interact are called the spectator partons and it is these spectator quarks moving away from each other at close to the speed of light that create large magnetic fields, the strength of which could be as large as $eB \sim 10^{-1}m_\pi^2 (\simeq 10^{17}$ Gauss) for super proton synchrotron energies, $eB \sim m_\pi^2$ for RHIC energies, and $eB \sim 15 m_\pi^2$ for LHC energies[4]. This strong magnetic field is expected to decay with time. Even though there is no definitive description of the evolution of the generated magnetic field, various models predict that the magnetic field may persist for much longer in the QGP due to a finite electrical conductivity of the medium[5–7]. This time dependent magnetic field may influence the behaviour of the hot QCD matter and hence needs to be taken into account to study the various properties of the QCD medium. A large corpus of work exists in the literature where several phenomena associated with the QGP have been studied in the presence of constant electromagnetic fields. This includes transport properties such as electrical and hall conductivities[8–14], shear and bulk viscosities[15–19], Seebeck and Nernst coefficients[20–24], etc. Exclusively quantum phenomena such as Chiral Magnetic Effect (CME)[25, 26], Chiral Vortical Effect (CVE)[27, 28] have also been studied considering a strong constant background magnetic field. Recently, some studies have been undertaken wherein the background magnetic field is taken to be time dependent[29–32]. In this work, we calculate the shear and bulk viscous coefficients of a thermal QCD medium with a time-dependent weak background magnetic field ($eB \ll T^2$). In the previous work[31] with time dependent magnetic field, the cyclotron was taken to be equal to the inverse of the decay rate of the magnetic field, for mathematical simplicity. This approximation has been relaxed in this work, which, thus, is more mathematically rigorous.

A system can be driven slightly out of equilibrium due to random fluctuations and/or due to external forces like the electromagnetic fields. The system relaxes back to its equilibrium state by transferring energy and momentum within the system. These transfers of energy and momentum due to the redistribution of the constituent particles in the presence of external fields can be studied macroscopically through the shear and bulk viscosity of the system. Shear viscosity is the reaction of the system to momentum transfer along parallel fluid cells. This arises due to the inhomogeneity in the fluid velocity of the hydrodynamical system. Bulk viscosity is the resistance to the volumetric change of the system through either compression or expansion. As the QGP medium expands and cools down it experiences both the shear and bulk viscosities. These viscous coefficients have been critical in establishing the QGP system as a strongly interacting medium. With this, the hydrodynamic modeling of QGP has moved away from ideal hydrodynamics to viscous hydrodynamics where shear and bulk viscous coefficients act as input parameters. In hydrodynamic simulations, different observables, such as the elliptic flow coefficient and the hadron transverse momentum spectrum are largely influenced by the shear and bulk viscosities[33–36]. The shear and bulk viscosities have also been helpful in our study of the phase transition from the hadronic medium to the QGP medium as the shear viscosity is a minimum and the bulk viscosity is a maximum near the phase transition point. The relativistic anisotropic viscosities were first introduced in [37, 38] and a kinetic formalism of these transport coefficients was given in [39, 40]. The presence of a magnetic field breaks the rotational symmetry of the system, therefore the viscous stress tensor is described by five shear viscous coefficients and two bulk viscous coefficients[41–46]. In the presence of the magnetic field, the shear and bulk viscosities have been previously determined using various approaches and techniques, *viz.* the correlator technique using Kubo formula[45, 47], the perturbative QCD approach in a weak magnetic field[48] and the kinetic theory approach [49, 50]. These coefficients have also been calculated in strongly interacting field theories by employing the AdS/CFT correspondence[51–53]. In this work, we are going to calculate these viscosities by using the relativistic Boltzmann transport equation within the relaxation time approximation. This might perhaps be the first attempt where the physics of time dependency of the external electromagnetic fields has been incorporated in the analysis of momentum transport in the context of QCD medium. Here we would like to clarify that the time dependency in our formalism appears strictly through the electromagnetic fields and we assume that the medium is static in nature. Our estimations are consistent with the studies so far, in the sense that we have correctly reproduced the earlier results in the literature as special cases of our general result, by taking the proper limits of the time-dependent electromagnetic fields.

Notations and conventions: The subscript f denotes the quark flavor, *i.e.* $f = u, d$. For gluons, we use the subscript g , wherever required. The particle velocity is defined as $\mathbf{v}_f = \frac{\mathbf{p}}{\epsilon_f}$, where \mathbf{p} is the momentum and $\epsilon_f = \sqrt{p^2 + m_f^2}$




FIG. 1: Temperature dependence of shear viscosity (left panel) at various values of the amplitude of magnetic field, qB_0 and (right panel) its dependency on the decay rate of the magnetic field, τ_B at $t = 5 \text{ fm}$ and $\mu = 0.1 \text{ GeV}$.

is the energy (with m_f as the mass of quark with flavor f) of the particle. The component of a three vector \mathbf{A} is denoted with the Latin indices A^i . The quantities $E = |\mathbf{E}|$ and $B = |\mathbf{B}|$ denote the magnitude of the electric and magnetic fields.

II. VISCOUS COEFFICIENTS WITH TIME VARYING FIELDS

The energy-momentum tensor of the QGP fluid can be expressed as

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 p^0} p^\mu p^\nu f(x, p), \quad (1)$$

where, the distribution function f is evaluated from relativistic kinetic theory. In general, the $T^{\mu\nu}$ above, can be decomposed into a free part and a dissipative part

$$T^{\mu\nu} = T_{(0)}^{\mu\nu} + \Delta T^{\mu\nu}, \quad (2)$$

where,

$$T_{(0)}^{\mu\nu} = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 p^0} p^\mu p^\nu f_0(x, p) \quad (3)$$

$$\Delta T^{\mu\nu} = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 p^0} p^\mu p^\nu \delta f(x, p) \quad (4)$$

f_0 is the equilibrium distribution function and δf is the infinitesimal deviation of the distribution from equilibrium. The next task is to evaluate δf , for which we take resort to the relativistic Boltzmann transport equation (RBTE).

In the presence of external Lorentz force, RBTE within the relaxation time approximation is written as,

$$p^\mu \frac{\partial f(x, p)}{\partial x^\mu} + \mathcal{F}^\mu \frac{\partial f(x, p)}{\partial p^\mu} = -\frac{p_\nu u^\nu}{\tau_R} \delta f(x, p), \quad (5)$$

where, $f(x, p) = f_0(x, p) + \delta f(x, p)$, with $f_0(x, p)$ being the equilibrium distribution function of quarks, and δf is the infinitesimal deviation of the distribution away from equilibrium, *i.e.*, $\delta f \ll f_0$. Here, $\mathcal{F}^\mu = (p^0 \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{F}, p^0 \mathbf{F})$ is a 4-vector that can be thought of as the relativistic counterpart of the classical electromagnetic force with $\mathbf{F} = q(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})$ being the background classical electromagnetic force field. Using $F^{0i} = -E^i$, and $2F_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk} B^k$, one obtains,

$$\mathcal{F}^\mu = qF^{\mu\nu} p_\nu \quad (6)$$

To solve for δf in the first approximation, one replaces all f 's by f_0 's in the LHS of Eq.(5). To incorporate the effects of a time varying electromagnetic field, however, it is necessary to retain some δf terms in the LHS. Writing the Boltzmann equation out into its components, we have

$$\frac{p^\mu}{p^0} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x^\mu} + \frac{\partial(\delta f)}{\partial t} + q\mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mathbf{p}} + q(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \frac{\partial(\delta f)}{\partial \mathbf{p}} = -\frac{\delta f}{\tau_R} \quad (7)$$




FIG. 2: Shear viscosity (left panel) as a function of time, t for various values of the magnetic field decay rate, τ_B and (right panel) as a function of chemical potential, μ .

The gluons will satisfy a Boltzmann equation with only the first term in the L.H.S. of the above equation. This is because they are electrically neutral and will not couple to electromagnetic fields. Also, since the time dependence comes exclusively from the external fields, the gluonic δf will be time-independent. We denote the first term in Eq.(7) by L , and use the following *Ansatz* for δf

$$\delta f = -\tau_R q \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mathbf{p}} - \mathbf{\Gamma} \cdot \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mathbf{p}}, \quad (8)$$

which simply implies

$$f = f_0 - \tau_R q \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mathbf{p}} - \mathbf{\Gamma} \cdot \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mathbf{p}}. \quad (9)$$

Without loss of generality, we can take $\mathbf{B} \equiv B \hat{z}$. Further, we take a planar profile for the electric field, $\mathbf{E} \equiv E_x \hat{x} + E_y \hat{y}$. Then, using Eq.(9) in Eq.(7), the Boltzmann equation takes the form

$$-\mathbf{\Gamma} \cdot \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mathbf{p}} - qB\tau_R \left(v_x \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_y} - v_y \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_x} \right) = -L - \tau_R \frac{\partial(\delta f)}{\partial t}. \quad (10)$$

We take exponentially decaying electric and magnetic fields given as

$$\mathbf{B} = B_0 e^{-t/\tau_B} \hat{z}, \quad \mathbf{E} = E_0 e^{-t/\tau_B} (\hat{x} + \hat{y}) \quad (11)$$

$\mathbf{\Gamma}$ in δf [Eq.(8)] encapsulates the effect of the magnetic field, so, we take $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ to be time dependent. Thus, both the terms in Eq.(8) are time dependent. Now we evaluate the partial derivatives in the paranthesis in Eq.(10).

$$v_x \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_y} = v_x \left\{ \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial p_y} - \tau_R q E_x \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial p_y \partial p_x} - \tau_R q E_y \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial p_y^2} - \Gamma_x \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial p_y \partial p_x} - \Gamma_y \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial p_y^2} - \Gamma_z \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial p_z \partial p_y} \right\} \quad (12)$$

$$v_y \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_x} = v_y \left\{ \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial p_x} - \tau_R q E_x \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial p_x^2} - \tau_R q E_y \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial p_x \partial p_y} - \Gamma_x \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial p_x^2} - \Gamma_y \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial p_x \partial p_y} - \Gamma_z \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial p_x \partial p_z} \right\} \quad (13)$$

Considering terms only upto $\mathcal{O}(v)$, we get,

$$v_x \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_y} - v_y \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_x} = \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon_f} \frac{1}{\epsilon_f} \left[v_x (-\tau_R q E_y - \Gamma_y) + v_y (-\tau_R q E_x - \Gamma_x) \right] \quad (14)$$

The Boltzmann equation becomes

$$v_x \left[-\Gamma_x + E_y \frac{q^2 \tau_R^2 B}{\epsilon_f} + \Gamma_y \frac{\tau_R q B}{\epsilon_f} - \tau_R^2 q \dot{E}_x - \tau_R \dot{\Gamma}_x \right] \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon_f} + v_y \left[-\Gamma_y - E_x \frac{q^2 \tau_R^2 B}{\epsilon_f} - \Gamma_x \frac{\tau_R q B}{\epsilon_f} - \tau_R^2 q \dot{E}_y - \tau_R \dot{\Gamma}_y \right] \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon_f} + v_z \left[-\Gamma_z - \tau_R \dot{\Gamma}_z \right] + L = 0 \quad (15)$$




FIG. 3: contour plots of (left panel) shear viscosity and (right panel) bulk viscosity as a function of the magnetic field amplitude, qB_0 and decay rate, τ_B at $t = 2$ fm, $T = 0.2$ GeV² and $\mu = 0.1$ GeV

L is derived in Appendix A. The final expression reads

$$L = \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon_f} \tau_R \left[\left\{ \epsilon_f \frac{\partial P}{\partial \epsilon} - \frac{p^2}{3\epsilon_f} \right\} \partial_l u^l + p^l \left(\frac{\partial_l P}{\epsilon + P} - \frac{\partial_l T}{T} \right) - \frac{T p^l}{\epsilon_f} \partial_l \left(\frac{\mu}{T} \right) - \frac{p^k p^l}{2\epsilon_f} W_{kl} \right] \quad (16)$$

This can be written as

$$L = \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon_f} \tau_R \left[\frac{p_0 p_x v_x}{p^2} \left\{ \epsilon_f \frac{\partial P}{\partial \epsilon} - \frac{p^2}{3\epsilon_f} \right\} \partial_l u^l - \frac{p^k v_x W_{kx}}{2} + \frac{p_0 p_y v_y}{p^2} \left\{ \epsilon_f \frac{\partial P}{\partial \epsilon} - \frac{p^2}{3\epsilon_f} \right\} \partial_l u^l - \frac{p^k v_x W_{ky}}{2} + p^l p^l \left(\frac{\partial_l P}{\epsilon + P} - \frac{\partial_l T}{T} \right) - \frac{T p^l}{\epsilon_f} \partial_l \left(\frac{\mu}{T} \right) \right]. \quad (17)$$

Here, $l, k = 1, 2, 3$. Using this in Eq.(15), and equating the coefficients of v_x , v_y and v_z , we arrive at the following equations:

$$\dot{\Gamma}_x + \frac{\Gamma_x}{\tau_R} - \Gamma_y \omega_c + \tau_R \dot{E}_x - q \tau_R \omega_c E_y - L_x = 0 \quad (18)$$

$$\dot{\Gamma}_y + \frac{\Gamma_y}{\tau_R} - \Gamma_x \omega_c + \tau_R \dot{E}_y + q \tau_R \omega_c E_x - L_y = 0 \quad (19)$$

where,

$$L_x = \left[\frac{p_0 p_x}{p^2} \left\{ \epsilon_f \frac{\partial P}{\partial \epsilon} - \frac{p^2}{3\epsilon_f} \right\} \partial_l u^l - \frac{p^k W_{kx}}{2} \right] \quad (20)$$

$$L_y = \left[\frac{p_0 p_y}{p^2} \left\{ \epsilon_f \frac{\partial P}{\partial \epsilon} - \frac{p^2}{3\epsilon_f} \right\} \partial_l u^l - \frac{p^k W_{ky}}{2} \right] \quad (21)$$

Also, $\omega_c = qB/\epsilon_f$, with $B = B_0 e^{-t/\tau_B}$. Eq.(18), (19) are coupled linear differential equations, which need to be solved for Γ_x, Γ_y . We use the matrix method of solving these equations. Writing the coupled differential equations in matrix form, we have

$$\frac{d\mathbf{\Gamma}}{dt} = A\mathbf{\Gamma} + \mathbf{S}, \quad (22)$$




FIG. 4: Temperature dependence of bulk viscosity (left panel) at various values of the amplitude of the magnetic field, qB_0 and (right panel) its dependency on the decay rate of the magnetic field, τ_B at $t = 5 \text{ fm}$ and $\mu = 0.1 \text{ GeV}$.

where,

$$\mathbf{\Gamma} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_x \\ \Gamma_y \end{pmatrix}, \quad A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -1/\tau_R & \omega_c \\ -\omega_c & -1/\tau_R \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} -\tau_R q \dot{E}_x + q \tau_R \omega_c E_y + L_x \\ -\tau_R q \dot{E}_y - q \tau_R \omega_c E_x + L_y \end{pmatrix} \quad (23)$$

The corresponding homogenous equation is

$$\frac{d\mathbf{\Gamma}}{dt} = A\mathbf{\Gamma} \quad (24)$$

The solution to this equation, called the complementary function, is given by

$$\mathbf{\Gamma} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_x \\ \Gamma_y \end{pmatrix} = C_1 e^{\chi_1} \mathbf{v}_1 + C_2 e^{\chi_2} \mathbf{v}_2. \quad (25)$$

Here, C_1 and C_2 are constatnts to be determined from the initial conditions of the problem, with the χ 's given by

$$\chi_1 = \int dt \lambda_1, \quad \chi_2 = \int dt \lambda_2, \quad (26)$$

where, λ_1, λ_2 are the eigenvalues of A , given by

$$\lambda_1 = -1/\tau_R - i\omega_c, \quad \lambda_2 = -1/\tau_R + i\omega_c. \quad (27)$$

$\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$ are the eigenvectors of A , given by

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix} \quad (28)$$

The particular solution of Eq.(22) is given by

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_p = x(t) \int x(t)^{-1} \mathbf{S}, \quad (29)$$

where, $x(t)$ is a square matrix made up of the solutions of the homogenous equation Eq.(24):

$$x(t) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\chi_1} & e^{\chi_2} \\ -ie^{\chi_1} & ie^{\chi_2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (30)$$

$\mathbf{\Gamma}_p$ is evaluated explicitly in Appendix B. It is a column matrix with two elements, which, we refer to by Γ_{xp}, Γ_{yp} . The complete solution to Eq.(22) is then given by

$$\Gamma_x = C_1 \exp \left[-t/\tau_R + ibe^{-t/\tau_B} \right] + C_2 \exp \left[-t/\tau_R - ibe^{-t/\tau_B} \right] + \Gamma_{xp} \quad (31)$$

$$\Gamma_y = -iC_1 \exp \left[-t/\tau_R + ibe^{-t/\tau_B} \right] + iC_2 \exp \left[-t/\tau_R - ibe^{-t/\tau_B} \right] + \Gamma_{yp}, \quad (32)$$




FIG. 5: Shear viscosity (left panel) as a function of time, t for various values of the magnetic field decay rate, τ_B and (right panel) as a function of chemical potential, μ .

where, $b = \frac{\tau_B q B_0}{\epsilon_f}$. In the rest of our calculations we are ignoring C_1 and C_2 , which are constants with time but can depend on other quantities such as temperature, qB_0 etc. The argument is as follows: In the limiting case of constant fields ($t \rightarrow 0$) the particular solutions, Γ_{xp} and Γ_{yp} exactly reproduce the constant field results of η and ζ in literature, as shown in (II A 2) and (II B 2). Since switching on the time should not change the contribution from time independent quantities C_1 and C_2 , we can conclude that they will not contribute to the shear and bulk viscosities, and hence, can be neglected. The elements Γ_{xp} , Γ_{yp} are given by,

$$\Gamma_{xp} = \left[\int dt \left\{ -\frac{\tau_R q}{2} (\dot{E}_x + i\dot{E}_y) + \frac{q^2 \tau_R}{2\epsilon_f} B (E_y - iE_x) + \frac{1}{2}(L_x + iL_y) \right\} e^{-\chi_1} \right] e^{\chi_1} + \left[\int dt \left\{ -\frac{\tau_R q}{2} (\dot{E}_x - i\dot{E}_y) + \frac{q^2 \tau_R}{2\epsilon_f} B (E_y + iE_x) + \frac{1}{2}(L_x - iL_y) \right\} e^{-\chi_2} \right] e^{\chi_2} \quad (33)$$

$$\Gamma_{yp} = \left[\int dt \left\{ -\frac{\tau_R q}{2} (\dot{E}_y - i\dot{E}_x) + \frac{q^2 \tau_R}{2\epsilon_f} B (-E_x - iE_y) + \frac{1}{2}(L_y - iL_x) \right\} e^{-\chi_1} \right] e^{\chi_1} + \left[\int dt \left\{ -\frac{\tau_R q}{2} (\dot{E}_y + i\dot{E}_x) + \frac{q^2 \tau_R}{2\epsilon_f} B (-E_x + iE_y) + \frac{1}{2}(L_y + iL_x) \right\} e^{-\chi_2} \right] e^{\chi_2} \quad (34)$$

Each element thus has 12 integrals that are to be added to yield the final result. In our analysis we have considered a time varying electric field, but only the terms that come with L_x and L_y contribute to the shear and bulk viscosities. This is because, only L_x , L_y contain the velocity gradient structure W_{ij} . Hence, all the integrals in Eqs. [33-34] that do not contain L_x or L_y , which are the terms with electric fields, do not contribute to shear and bulk viscosities. The first integral of Γ_{xp} , is shown below, as an example.

$$\int dt \dot{E}_x e^{-\chi_1} = -\frac{E_0}{\tau_E} \int dt e^{t(-1/\tau_E + 1/\tau_R)} \exp\left(-\frac{i\tau_B q B_0}{\epsilon_f} e^{-t/\tau_B}\right) \quad (35)$$

The integral thus consists of an exponential of an exponential. We make the substitution $u = e^{-t/\tau_B}$ so that the integral becomes,

$$\frac{E_0 \tau_B}{\tau_E} \int du \frac{1}{u} \exp\left(-\tau_B \ln u [1/\tau_R - 1/\tau_E]\right) \exp\left(-\frac{i\tau_B q B_0}{\epsilon_f} u\right) \quad (36)$$

Thus, the first element of Γ_{xp} in Eq.(33) is,

$$I_1 = \frac{\tau_R q E_0 \tau_B}{2\tau_E} \int du u^{a-1} \exp(-ibu), \quad (37)$$

where,

$$a \equiv \tau_B \left(\frac{1}{\tau_E} - \frac{1}{\tau_R} \right), \quad b \equiv \frac{\tau_B q B_0}{\epsilon_f}. \quad (38)$$

The remaining integrals are evaluated in Appendix B.

Evaluating Γ_x , Γ_y is akin to evaluating δf via Eq.(8), with $\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mathbf{p}} = \beta \mathbf{v} f_0 (f_0 - 1)$.

The spatial components of the dissipative part of the energy momentum tensor can be related to the shear and bulk viscous coefficients in the following manner[41]

$$\Delta T^{ij} = -\eta W^{ij} - \zeta \delta^{ij} \partial_l u^l, \quad (39)$$

where, $i, j, l = \{1, 2, 3\}$, and

$$W^{ij} = \partial^i u^j + \partial^j u^i - \frac{2}{3} \delta^{ij} \partial_l u^l \quad (40)$$

To obtain ΔT^{ij} for our problem, we substitute δf into Eq.(4) and set $\mu, \nu = i, j$, respectively. Further, we need to consider only those terms in δf which possess the relevant tensor structures as in Eq.(39). The details have been mentioned in Appendix C. The final expression reads

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta T^{ij} = & -\frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_f g_f \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p^i p^j}{\epsilon_f} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial f^0}{\partial \epsilon_f} \right) (A_1 e^{\chi_1} + A_2 e^{\chi_2}) + \left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}^0}{\partial \epsilon_f} \right) (\bar{A}_1 e^{\bar{\chi}_1} + \bar{A}_2 e^{\bar{\chi}_2}) \right\} \times \left\{ \left(\epsilon_f \frac{\partial P}{\partial \epsilon} - \frac{p^2}{3\epsilon_f} \right) \partial_l u^l - \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. \frac{p^k p^l W_{kl}}{2\epsilon_f} \right\} \right] + g_g \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p^i p^j}{\epsilon_g} \tau_g \beta f_g^0 (1 + f_g^0) \times \left\{ \left(\epsilon_g \frac{\partial P}{\partial \epsilon} - \frac{p^2}{3\epsilon_g} \right) \partial_l u^l - \frac{p^k p^l W_{kl}}{2} + p^l \left(\frac{\partial_l P}{\epsilon + P} - \frac{\partial_l T}{T} \right) \right\} \quad (41) \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$A_1 = \int dt e^{-\chi_1}, \quad A_2 = \int dt e^{-\chi_2}, \quad \bar{A}_1 = \int dt e^{-\bar{\chi}_1}, \quad \bar{A}_2 = \int dt e^{-\bar{\chi}_2}. \quad (42)$$

Also, g_f is the quark degeneracy factor. Since the antiquark contribution is taken explicitly in Eq.(41), $g_f = 6$. The gluon degeneracy factor $g_g = 16$.

A. Shear Viscosity

To obtain the shear viscosity, we compare Eq.(41) with Eq.(39), and read off the coefficient of $-W^{ij}$. The expression reads

$$\eta = - \sum_f g_f \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{|\mathbf{p}|^4}{30\epsilon_f^2} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial f^0}{\partial \epsilon_f} \right) (A_1 e^{\chi_1} + A_2 e^{\chi_2}) + \left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}^0}{\partial \epsilon_f} \right) (\bar{A}_1 e^{\bar{\chi}_1} + \bar{A}_2 e^{\bar{\chi}_2}) \right\} - 2g_g \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{|\mathbf{p}|^4}{30\epsilon_g^2} \tau_g \left(\frac{\partial f_g^0}{\partial \epsilon_g} \right) \quad (43)$$

To obtain the final results, we have used the following identity:

$$p^i p^j p^k p^l \longrightarrow \frac{1}{15} |\mathbf{p}|^4 (\delta^{ij} \delta^{kl} + \delta^{ik} \delta^{jl} + \delta^{il} \delta^{jk}). \quad (44)$$

The steps arriving at Eq.(43) have been given in Appendix D. In the following subsection, we show that the general expression obtained in Eq.(43) (with $\mu = 0$) reduces to the constant field results present in the literature under necessary limits on the time dependent fields of our analysis.

1. Zero field result:

The expression of Eq.(43) can be reduced to the zero field result in two ways. Recall that in our analysis the form of the magnetic field is $B = B_0 \exp[-t/\tau_B]$. We can set the magnetic field to be zero by either setting the amplitude, B_0 to be zero or by setting, $t \rightarrow \infty$. In η , the parameter time (t), arises in the factor $A_1 e^{\chi_1} + A_2 e^{\chi_2}$. The factor A_1 is given by, $\int e^{-\chi_1} dt$, with $\chi_1 = -(t/\tau_R) - i(qB_0/\epsilon_f) \int e^{-t/\tau_B} dt$. Taking the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$, we see that,

$$\int e^{(t/\tau_R) + i(qB_0/\epsilon_f) \int e^{-t/\tau_B} dt} dt \rightarrow \int e^{(\infty/\tau_R) + i(qB_0/\epsilon_f) \int e^{(-\infty/\tau_B)} dt} dt = \int e^{(\infty/\tau_R)} dt \quad (45)$$

and similarly,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{\chi_1} = e^{-(\infty/\tau_R)}. \quad (46)$$

Hence we get,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A_1 e^{\chi_1} = \frac{\int e^{(\infty/\tau_R)} dt}{e^{(\infty/\tau_R)}} = \frac{0}{0}. \quad (47)$$

Applying the L'Hospital's rule to solve the above equation we get,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A_1 e^{\chi_1} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d(\int e^{(t/\tau_R)} dt)}{d(e^{(t/\tau_R)})} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{(t/\tau_R)}}{e^{(t/\tau_R)}/\tau_R} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau_R = \tau_R. \quad (48)$$

Similarly, we get,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A_2 e^{\chi_2} = \tau_R.$$

Hence,

$$\eta = - \left[\sum_f g_f \left(\frac{1}{60\pi^2} \right) \int dp \frac{p^6}{\epsilon_f^2} \left(\frac{\partial f^0}{\partial \epsilon_f} \right) (2\tau_R) + g_g \left(\frac{1}{30\pi^2} \right) \int dp \frac{p^6 \tau_g}{\epsilon_g^2} \left(\frac{\partial f^g}{\partial \epsilon_g} \right) \right] \quad (49)$$

which is what we observe for shear viscosity (with $\mu = 0$) in literature[18]. The result can also be obtained with the limits, $qB_0 \rightarrow 0$, as shown in the Appendix E.

2. Constant field result:

We have seen that the magnetic field tends to zero when $\tau_B \rightarrow 0$, and we can obtain the constant field limit with $\lim_{\tau_B \rightarrow \infty} B_0 e^{-t/\tau_B}$. Hence, to obtain the shear viscosity in the constant field limit we can enforce, $\lim_{\tau_B \rightarrow \infty} \eta$. Again, as the τ_B dependence is in the term $A_1 e^{\chi_1} + A_2 e^{\chi_2}$, we get (for $\mu = 0$),

$$\lim_{\tau_B \rightarrow \infty} A_1 = \int e^{(1/\tau_R - i\omega_c)t} dt = \frac{e^{(1/\tau_R - i\omega_c)t}}{(1/\tau_R - i\omega_c)} \quad (50)$$

where we have used, $\lim_{\tau_B \rightarrow \infty} \chi_1 = (i\omega_c - 1/\tau_R)t$ and $\lim_{\tau_B \rightarrow \infty} \omega_c = qB_0/\epsilon_f$. Hence,

$$A_1 e^{\chi_1} = \frac{e^{(1/\tau_R - i\omega_c)t} e^{-(1/\tau_R - i\omega_c)t}}{(1/\tau_R - i\omega_c)} = \frac{1}{(1/\tau_R - i\omega_c)}. \quad (51)$$

Similarly for $A_2 e^{\chi_2}$, we get,

$$A_2 e^{\chi_2} = \frac{1}{(1/\tau_R + i\omega_c)} \quad (52)$$

Hence,

$$A_1 e^{\chi_1} + A_2 e^{\chi_2} = \frac{1}{(1/\tau_R - i\omega_c)} + \frac{1}{(1/\tau_R + i\omega_c)} = \frac{2\tau_R}{(1 + \omega_c^2 \tau_R^2)}, \quad (53)$$

Substituting this in the equation for η , we get the constant field result (with $\mu = 0$) found in the literature,

$$\eta = - \left[\sum_f \left(\frac{g_f}{60\pi^2} \right) \int dp \frac{p^6}{\epsilon_f^2} \left(\frac{\partial f^0}{\partial \epsilon_f} \right) \left(\frac{2\tau_R}{1 + \omega_c^2 \tau_R^2} \right) + \left(\frac{g_g}{30\pi^2} \right) \int dp \frac{p^6 \tau_g}{\epsilon_g^2} \left(\frac{\partial f^g}{\partial \epsilon_g} \right) \right] \quad (54)$$

We have shown that the kinetic theory results found in the literature [18] for the shear viscosity arise as special limiting cases of our result for a QGP system in a time dependent electromagnetic field.




FIG. 6: Temperature dependence of (left panel) $\eta_{1,2}$ and (right panel) $\eta_{3,4}$ its dependency on the decay rate of the magnetic field, τ_B at $t = 5 \text{ fm}$, $qB_0 = 0.01 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $\mu = 0.1 \text{ GeV}$.

B. Bulk viscosity

The bulk viscosity is expressed in the dissipative part of the energy momentum tensor in terms of the gradient of the fluid flow. To obtain the bulk viscosity of the medium we compare Eq.(41) with Eq.(39) to obtain,

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_f \frac{g_f}{3} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p^2}{\epsilon_f} \{ (f_0(1-f_0) A_f + \bar{f}_0(1-\bar{f}_0) \bar{A}_f) \} + \frac{g_g}{3} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p^2}{\epsilon_g} f_0^g (1+f_0^g) A_g, \quad (55)$$

where, we have used $p^i p^j = \frac{p^2}{3} \delta^{ij}$, $A_f = \frac{\beta}{3} (A_1 e^{\chi_1} + A_2 e^{\chi_2}) \left[\frac{p^2}{\epsilon_f} - 3\epsilon_f \frac{\partial P}{\partial \epsilon} \right]$, $\bar{A}_f = \frac{\beta}{3} (\bar{A}_1 e^{\bar{\chi}_1} + \bar{A}_2 e^{\bar{\chi}_2}) \left[\frac{p^2}{\epsilon_f} - 3\epsilon_f \frac{\partial P}{\partial \epsilon_f} \right]$, and $A_g = \tau_g \frac{\beta}{3} \left[\frac{p^2}{\epsilon_g} - 3\epsilon_g \frac{\partial P}{\partial \epsilon_g} \right]$.

The calculation of viscosity requires nonzero velocity gradient. But there exist different frames to define the fluid velocity u^μ . For example, u^μ denotes the velocity of baryon number flow in the Eckart frame, whereas it denotes the velocity of energy flow in the Landau–Lifshitz frame. Therefore the freedom to choose a specific frame creates arbitrariness. To avoid this arbitrariness, one needs the “condition of fit”, i.e. if one chooses the Landau–Lifshitz frame, then the condition of fit in the local rest frame demands the “00” component of the dissipative part of the energy–momentum tensor to be zero ($\Delta T^{00} = 0$). In order to satisfy this Landau–Lifshitz condition, the factors A_f , \bar{A}_f , and A_g should be replaced as $A_f \rightarrow A'_f = A_f - c_f \epsilon_f$, $\bar{A}_f \rightarrow \bar{A}'_f = \bar{A}_f - \bar{c}_f \epsilon_f$, and $A_g \rightarrow A'_g = A_g - c_g \epsilon_g$. The Landau–Lifshitz conditions for A_f , \bar{A}_f , and A_g are respectively given by[54],

$$\sum_f \frac{g_f}{3} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \epsilon_f f_0(1-f_0)(A_f - c_f \epsilon_f) = 0, \quad (56)$$

$$\sum_f \frac{g_f}{3} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \epsilon_f \bar{f}_0(1-\bar{f}_0)(\bar{A}_f - \bar{c}_f \epsilon_f) = 0, \quad (57)$$

$$\frac{g_g}{3} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \epsilon_g f_0^g(1+f_0^g)(A_g - c_g \epsilon_g) = 0. \quad (58)$$

The quantities c_f , and c_g are arbitrary constants and are associated with the particle and energy conservations for a thermal medium having asymmetry between the numbers of particles and antiparticles. These quantities can be obtained after substituting $A_f \rightarrow A'_f$, $\bar{A}_f \rightarrow \bar{A}'_f$, and $A_g \rightarrow A'_g$, and solving for the arbitrary constants, c_f , \bar{c}_f , and c_g from Eqs.[56-58]. Thus, we obtain the final expression for the bulk viscosity,

$$\zeta = \frac{\beta}{18} \sum_f g_f \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \epsilon_f^2 \{ f_0(1-f_0)(A_1 e^{\chi_1} + A_2 e^{\chi_2}) + \bar{f}_0(1-\bar{f}_0)(\bar{A}_1 e^{\bar{\chi}_1} + \bar{A}_2 e^{\bar{\chi}_2}) \} [p^2 - 3v_s^2 \epsilon_f^2]^2 + \frac{\beta g_g}{9} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \epsilon_g^2 \tau_g f_0^g(1+f_0^g) [p^2 - 3v_s^2 \epsilon_g^2]^2. \quad (59)$$

The steps from Eq.(55) to Eq.(59) are detailed in Appendix F. We have used the following useful formulae for the pressure (P), entropy (s), the specific heat (C_V) and the velocity of sound squared (v_s^2)

$$P = T \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} f^0(1 - f^0), \quad (60)$$

$$s = \frac{1}{3T^2} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} |\mathbf{p}|^2 f^0(1 - f^0), \quad (61)$$

$$C_V = \frac{1}{T^2} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \epsilon_f^2 f^0(1 - f^0), \quad (62)$$

$$v_s^2 = \frac{s}{C_v}. \quad (63)$$

In what follows, we show that the general expression obtained in Eq.(59) reduces to the constant field results present in the literature under necessary limits on the time dependent fields of our analysis.

1. Zero field result:

The expression Eq.(59) can be reduced to the zero field result in two ways. Recall that in our analysis, the form of the magnetic field is $B = B_0 \exp[-t/\tau_B]$. We can set the magnetic field to be zero by either setting the amplitude, B_0 to be zero or by setting, $t \rightarrow \infty$. In ζ , the parameter time (t) arise in the factor $A_1 e^{\chi_1} + A_2 e^{\chi_2}$. The factor A_1 is given by, $\int e^{-\chi_1} dt$, with $\chi_1 = -(t/\tau_R) - i(qB_0/\epsilon_f) \int e^{-t/\tau_B} dt$. Taking the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$, we see that,

$$\int e^{(t/\tau_R) + i(qB_0/\epsilon_f) \int e^{-t/\tau_B} dt} dt \rightarrow \int e^{(\infty/\tau_R) + i(qB_0/\epsilon_f) \int e^{-\infty/\tau_B} dt} dt = \int e^{(\infty/\tau_R)} dt \quad (64)$$

and similarly,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{\chi_1} = e^{-(\infty/\tau_R)}. \quad (65)$$

Hence we get,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A_1 e^{\chi_1} = \frac{\int e^{(\infty/\tau_R)} dt}{e^{(\infty/\tau_R)}} = \frac{0}{0}. \quad (66)$$

Applying the L'Hospital's rule to solve the above equation we get,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A_1 e^{\chi_1} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d(\int e^{(t/\tau_R)} dt)}{d(e^{(t/\tau_R)})} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{(t/\tau_R)}}{e^{(t/\tau_R)}/\tau_R} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau_R = \tau_R. \quad (67)$$

Similarly, we get,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A_2 e^{\chi_2} = \tau_R.$$

Hence,

$$\zeta = \frac{\beta}{36\pi^2} \sum_f g_f \int dp \frac{p^2}{\epsilon_f^2} f^0(1 - f^0) [p^2 - 3\epsilon_f^2 v_s^2]^2 (2\tau_R) + \frac{g_g \beta}{18\pi^2} \int dp \frac{p^2}{\epsilon_g^2} f_g^0(1 + f_g^0) \tau_g [p^2 - 3\epsilon_g^2 v_s^2]^2, \quad (68)$$

which is what we observe in literature [18]. The result can also be obtained with the limits, $qB_0 \rightarrow 0$, as shown in the Appendix E.

2. Constant field result:

The magnetic field tends to zero when $\tau_B \rightarrow 0$, and we can obtain the constant field limit with $\lim_{\tau_B \rightarrow \infty} B_0 e^{-t/\tau_B}$. Hence, to obtain the bulk viscosity in the constant field limit, we can enforce, $\lim_{\tau_B \rightarrow \infty} \zeta$. Again, as the τ_B dependence is in the term $A_1 e^{\chi_1} + A_2 e^{\chi_2}$, we get,

$$\lim_{\tau_B \rightarrow \infty} A_1 = \int e^{(1/\tau_R - i\omega_c)t} dt = \frac{e^{(1/\tau_R - i\omega_c)t}}{(1/\tau_R - i\omega_c)} \quad (69)$$

where we have used, $\lim_{\tau_B \rightarrow \infty} \chi_1 = (i\omega_c - 1/\tau_R)t$, and $\lim_{\tau_B \rightarrow \infty} \omega_c = qB_0/\epsilon_f$. Hence,

$$A_1 e^{\chi_1} = \frac{e^{(1/\tau_R - i\omega_c)t} e^{-(1/\tau_R - i\omega_c)t}}{(1/\tau_R - i\omega_c)} = \frac{1}{(1/\tau_R - i\omega_c)}. \quad (70)$$

Similarly for $A_2 e^{\chi_2}$, we get,

$$A_2 e^{\chi_2} = \frac{1}{(1/\tau_R + i\omega_c)} \quad (71)$$

Hence,

$$A_1 e^{\chi_1} + A_2 e^{\chi_2} = \frac{1}{(1/\tau_R - i\omega_c)} + \frac{1}{(1/\tau_R + i\omega_c)} = \frac{2\tau_R}{(1 + \omega_c^2 \tau_R^2)}, \quad (72)$$

Substituting this in the equation for ζ , we get the constant field result found in the literature,

$$\zeta = \frac{\beta}{36\pi^2} \sum_f g_f \int dp \frac{p^2}{\epsilon_f^2} f^0 (1 - f^0) [p^2 - 3\epsilon_f^2 v_s^2]^2 \left[\frac{2\tau_R}{(1 + \omega_c^2 \tau_R^2)} \right] + \frac{g_g \beta}{18} \int dp \frac{p^2}{\epsilon_g^2} f_g^0 (1 + f_g^0) \tau_g [p^2 - 3\epsilon_g^2 v_s^2]^2. \quad (73)$$

We have shown that the kinetic theory results found in the literature [18] for the bulk viscosity arises as special limiting cases of our result for a QGP system in a time dependent electromagnetic field.

C. Momentum transport coefficients in a general configuration of magnetic field

In this section, we deal with the general decomposition of shear viscosity coefficients in the presence of an arbitrary time dependent background magnetic field. The time-independent case is well known with η breaking up into five coefficients, and ζ into two. The starting point is to write the infinitesimal change in the distribution function of the charged particles in a suitable tensor basis[41, 55],

$$\delta f = \sum_{l=0}^4 C_l Y_{mn}^l v_m v_n, \quad (74)$$

where, the coefficients are,

$$Y_{ij}^0 = (3b_i b_j - \delta_{ij})(b_k b_l V_{kl} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot \mathbf{V}), \quad (75)$$

$$Y_{ij}^1 = 2V_{ij} + (3b_i b_j - \delta_{ij}) \cdot \mathbf{V} + \delta_{ij} b_k b_l V_{kl} - 2V_{ik} b_k b_j - 2V_{jk} b_k b_i + b_i b_j V_{kl} b_k b_l, \quad (76)$$

$$Y_{ij}^2 = 2V_{ik} b_k b_j + 2V_{jk} b_k b_i - 4b_i b_j V_{kl} b_k b_l, \quad (77)$$

$$Y_{ij}^3 = V_{ik} b_j b_k + V_{jk} b_i b_k - V_{kl} b_{ik} b_j b_l - V_{kl} b_{jk} b_i b_l, \quad (78)$$

$$Y_{ij}^4 = 2V_{kl} b_{ik} b_j b_l + 2V_{kl} b_{jk} b_i b_l, \quad (79)$$

$$(80)$$

where, V_i and $b_i = \frac{B_i}{B}$, are respectively the fluid velocity, and the unit vector along the direction of the magnetic field, with B_i being the components of the magnetic field \mathbf{B} . $b_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk} b_k$ and $V_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i})$. The energy-momentum tensor in this basis can be written as,

$$\Delta T^{ij} = \sum_{l=0}^4 \eta_l Y_{ij}^l, \quad (81)$$

where, $\eta_0, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3$ and η_4 denote the five shear viscosity coefficients. The η_0 component is called the longitudinal viscosity as, $Y_{ij}^0 b_i b_j \neq 0$ and the $\eta_{1,2,3,4}$ are called the transverse viscosities as, $Y_{ij}^{1,2,3,4}$ are transverse to $b_i b_j$. For the calculation of the viscosities, it is sufficient to take only spatial components of the nonequilibrium part of the




FIG. 7: Magnetic field dependence, qB_0 of (left panel) $\eta_{1,2}$ and (right panel) $\eta_{3,4}$ its dependency on the decay rate of the magnetic field, τ_B at $t = 5 \text{ fm}$, $T = 0.3 \text{ GeV}$, and $\mu = 0.1 \text{ GeV}$.

energy-momentum tensor. In the above tensor, we have excluded the bulk viscosity part to determine the shear viscosity coefficients. The change in the energy momentum tensor in terms of δf is,

$$\Delta T^{ij} = \sum_f g_f \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \epsilon_f v^i v^j \delta f. \quad (82)$$

Substituting Eq.(74) in Eq.(82) we obtain the expression for ΔT^{ij}

$$\Delta T^{ij} = \frac{1}{15} \sum_f g_f \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \epsilon_f v^4 (\delta_{ij} \delta_{mn} + \delta_{im} \delta_{jn} + \delta_{in} \delta_{jm}) \sum_{l=0}^4 C_l Y_{mn}^l, \quad (83)$$

where again, we have used the identity $v_i v_j v_m v_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{15} |\mathbf{v}|^4 (\delta_{ij} \delta_{mn} + \delta_{im} \delta_{jn} + \delta_{in} \delta_{jm})$. η_0 is the familiar zero magnetic field result:

$$\eta_0 = \frac{\beta}{30\pi^2} \sum_f g_f \int dp \frac{p^6}{\epsilon_f^2} \left[\tau_R f^0 (1 - f^0) + \tau_R \bar{f}^0 (1 - \bar{f}^0) \right]. \quad (84)$$

Comparing Eq.(83) and Eq.(81), one arrives at the following expressions. These are derived in Appendix G

$$\eta_1 = \frac{2}{15} \sum_f g_f \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \epsilon_f v^4 C_1, \quad (85)$$

$$\eta_2 = \frac{2}{15} \sum_f g_f \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \epsilon_f v^4 C_2, \quad (86)$$

$$\eta_3 = \frac{2}{15} \sum_f g_f \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \epsilon_f v^4 C_3, \quad (87)$$

$$\eta_4 = \frac{2}{15} \sum_f g_f \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \epsilon_f v^4 C_4. \quad (88)$$

To evaluate the coefficients C_1, C_2, C_3 and C_4 we need to solve the Boltzmann equation with δf of the form in Eq.(74). The Boltzmann equation reads

$$-\beta \epsilon_f V_{ij} v_i v_j f_0 (1 - f_0) + q E^i \frac{\partial f^0}{\partial p^i} + \omega_c b_{ij} v_j \frac{\partial}{\partial v_i} \left(\sum_{l=0}^4 C_l Y_{mn}^l v_m v_n \right) + \sum_{l=0}^4 \dot{C}_l Y_{mn}^l v_m v_n = - \frac{\sum_{l=0}^4 C_l Y_{mn}^l v_m v_n}{\tau_R}. \quad (89)$$

Imposing the condition $\nabla \cdot V = 0$, and using the relations $V_{ij}b_i b_j = 0$, $b_{ij}v_i v_j = 0$, $b_i b_i = 1$, $b_{ij}b_i = 0$, and $b_{ij}b_j = 0$, the coefficients C_i with $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, are found to be

$$C_1 = \frac{\epsilon_f \beta f^0 (1 - f^0)}{4} \left[\left(\int e^{-\xi_1} dt \right) e^{\xi_1} + \left(\int e^{-\xi_2} dt \right) e^{\xi_2} \right], \quad (90)$$

$$C_2 = \frac{\epsilon_f \beta f^0 (1 - f^0)}{4} \left[\left(\int e^{-\xi_3} dt \right) e^{\xi_3} + \left(\int e^{-\xi_4} dt \right) e^{\xi_4} \right], \quad (91)$$

$$C_3 = \frac{i\epsilon_f \beta f^0 (1 - f^0)}{4} \left[\left(\int e^{-\xi_1} dt \right) e^{\xi_1} - \left(\int e^{-\xi_2} dt \right) e^{\xi_2} \right], \quad (92)$$

$$C_4 = \frac{i\epsilon_f \beta f^0 (1 - f^0)}{4} \left[\left(\int e^{-\xi_3} dt \right) e^{\xi_3} - \left(\int e^{-\xi_4} dt \right) e^{\xi_4} \right], \quad (93)$$

where, $\xi_{1,2,3,4}$ are given by,

$$\xi_1 = -\frac{t}{\tau_R} - 2i \int \frac{qB}{\epsilon_f} dt, \quad (94)$$

$$\xi_2 = -\frac{t}{\tau_R} + 2i \int \frac{qB}{\epsilon_f} dt, \quad (95)$$

$$\xi_3 = -\frac{t}{\tau_R} - i \int \frac{qB}{\epsilon_f} dt, \quad (96)$$

$$\xi_4 = -\frac{t}{\tau_R} + i \int \frac{qB}{\epsilon_f} dt, \quad (97)$$

Substituting Eqs.[90-97] in Eqs.[85-88] we get,

$$\eta_1 = \frac{1}{60\pi^2} \sum_f g_f \int dp \frac{p^6}{\epsilon_f^2} \beta f^0 (1 - f^0) \left[e^{\xi_1} \int e^{-\xi_1} dt + e^{\xi_2} \int e^{-\xi_2} dt \right], \quad (98)$$

$$\eta_2 = \frac{1}{60\pi^2} \sum_f g_f \int dp \frac{p^6}{\epsilon_f^2} \beta f^0 (1 - f^0) \left[e^{\xi_3} \int e^{-\xi_3} dt + e^{\xi_4} \int e^{-\xi_4} dt \right], \quad (99)$$

$$\eta_3 = \frac{i}{60\pi^2} \sum_f g_f \int dp \frac{p^6}{\epsilon_f^2} \beta f^0 (1 - f^0) \left[e^{\xi_1} \int e^{-\xi_1} dt - e^{\xi_2} \int e^{-\xi_2} dt \right], \quad (100)$$

$$\eta_4 = \frac{i}{60\pi^2} \sum_f g_f \int dp \frac{p^6}{\epsilon_f^2} \beta f^0 (1 - f^0) \left[e^{\xi_3} \int e^{-\xi_3} dt - e^{\xi_4} \int e^{-\xi_4} dt \right]. \quad (101)$$

Eq.(89), followed by the following results have been derived in Appendix H. The expressions above correspond to the shear viscosity of the charged particles in the presence of an arbitrary time-dependent magnetic field. As the gluons are electrically neutral, they are not affected by the external electromagnetic fields. Hence one can add the zero field gluon result to the above equations to obtain the complete expression for the shear viscosity, like in Eq.(43).

III. PHENOMENOLOGICALLY SIGNIFICANT QUANTITIES

A. η/s and ζ/s

We estimate the entropy density using equilibrium distribution functions as,

$$s = \frac{1}{3T^2} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} |\mathbf{p}|^2 f^0 (1 - f^0). \quad (102)$$

η/s and ζ/s act as important quantities that capture the strength of the interaction of the system. The estimations from hydrodynamics and the KSS bound of the η/s values has been crucial in understanding the QGP system as a strongly interacting matter and in establishing the importance of viscous effects in the hydrodynamical description of the QGP matter. From atomic and molecular physics we know that the shear viscosity has a minima and bulk viscosity exhibits a maxima near the vicinity of the phase transition. The estimation of the phase transition of the QGP medium is an ongoing and crucial open question in the heavy-ion collision experiments and the shear and bulk viscosities can act as crucial signals in their estimation.




FIG. 8: Time dependency of $\eta_{1,2,3,4}$ at various values of the magnetic field decay rate, τ_B at $T = 0.3 \text{ GeV}$, $qB_0 = 0.01 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $\mu = 0.1 \text{ GeV}$

B. Thermalisation time

The temperature of the QGP system created in heavy-ion collisions initially varies with space and time. The matter interact with each other and achieve thermal equilibrium, the time taken for which is called the thermalisation time, τ_{th} . Thermal equilibrium is vital for the implementation of hydrodynamics. There is debate on the thermalisation time of the medium and recent results suggest that the unstable modes induced by the rapid expansion of the QGP fireball can affect the isotropization time and hence the thermalisation time. The equation of state (EOS) is described for a system assuming isotropization and thermalisation. Hence, the estimation of the thermalisation time is crucially important in the study of the QGP system. With the shear viscosity over entropy density ratio η/s characterizing the interaction strength, and temperature T as the only scale, the time for the medium to thermalise is given by,

$$\tau_{th} = 4\pi\eta/Ts, \quad (103)$$

The effect of the time dependent electromagnetic fields on the thermalisation time has been studied through the shear viscosity of the system.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The relaxation time in the R.H.S. of Eq.(7) for quarks and gluons is given by[56]

$$\tau_R(T, \mu) = \frac{1}{5.1 T \alpha_{sq}(T, \mu)^2 \log(1/\alpha_{sq}(T, \mu)) [1 + 0.12(2N_f + 1)]} \quad (104)$$

$$\tau_g(T) = \frac{1}{22.5 T \alpha_{sg}(T)^2 \log(1/\alpha_{sg}(T)) [1 + 0.06N_f]}, \quad (105)$$

where, α_{sq} , α_{sg} are the running couplings for quarks and gluons, respectively. For quarks, the expression is[57]

$$\alpha_{sq}[\Lambda^2(T, \mu), qB_0] = \frac{\alpha_s[\Lambda^2(T, \mu)]}{1 + b_0 \alpha_s(\Lambda^2(T, \mu)) \ln \frac{\Lambda^2(T, \mu)}{\Lambda^2(T, \mu) + qB_0}}, \quad (106)$$

with

$$\alpha_s(\Lambda^2) = \frac{1}{b_0 \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{\Lambda_{MS}^2}}, \quad (107)$$

where, $\Lambda(T, \mu) = 2\pi\sqrt{T^2 + \frac{\mu^2}{\pi^2}}$, $b_0 = \frac{11N_c - 2N_f}{2\pi}$. Λ_{MS} is set at 0.176 GeV. For gluons, α_{sg} is obtained simply by setting $\mu = 0$ so that $\Lambda(T) = 2\pi T$.




FIG. 9: Temperature dependence of η/s (left panel) and (right panel) ζ/s , its dependency on the decay rate of the magnetic field, τ_B at $t = 5 \text{ fm}$, $qB_0 = 0.02 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $\mu = 0.1 \text{ GeV}$.

In our analysis, the shear viscosity depends on the temperature, chemical potential and the time, decay rate, amplitude of the magnetic field at which the viscosity is calculated, *i.e.*, $\eta \equiv \eta(T, \mu, t, \tau_B, qB_0)$. The form of η is given in Eq.(43), where A_1, A_2, χ_1 and χ_2 are time dependent quantities that arise due to the nature of the magnetic field. In Fig.1, shear viscosity as a function of temperature with the time fixed at 1.97 fm and chemical potential taken to be zero is plotted for various values of the amplitude of the magnetic field, qB_0 (left panel) and for various values of the decay rate of the magnetic field, τ_B (right panel). The effect of qB_0 is seen to be small compared to the temperature. This is so because we are working in the weak field limit where the temperature dominates over the magnetic field. Hence the effect of the magnetic field is more visible in the lower temperature region and is seen in the inset graph. We observe that as the magnitude of the magnetic field increases, it leads to a decrease in the shear viscosity value. In the right panel, we see the effect of the decay rate on the shear viscosity at $qB_0 = 0.01 \text{ GeV}^2$. A faster decaying magnetic field has a stronger impact on η .

In Fig.2, we look at the effects of time (t) and chemical potential (μ) on the shear viscosity. The time dependency of the shear viscosity enters through the magnetic field which is parametrized by τ_B , which tells us how fast the magnetic field decays with time. On the left panel, we plot the shear viscosity as a function of time for various values of τ_B at $qB_0 = 0.01 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $T = 0.3 \text{ GeV}$. The behaviour of the shear viscosity depends on the time scale under consideration. In the early time regime, a larger τ_B increases the shear viscosity while in the later time regime the faster decaying magnetic field, *i.e.*, a lower values of τ_B , increases the shear viscosity. The time behaviour of the shear viscosity is dependent on the decay coefficient (τ_B) and the relaxation time (τ_R) and the interplay between them as can be seen in the time dependent part of the shear viscosity formula,

$$\chi_1 = -(t/\tau_R) - i(qB_0/\epsilon_f) \int e^{-t/\tau_B} dt, \quad \chi_2 = -(t/\tau_R) + i(qB_0/\epsilon_f) \int e^{-t/\tau_B} dt. \quad (108)$$

These quantities influence $A_1 e^{\chi_1} + A_2 e^{\chi_2}$ and hence the shear and bulk viscosities. When we fix the temperature, we fix the relaxation time, τ_R , and hence whether the τ_R term dominates or the $\tau_B qB_0$ term dominates in (108), depends on the time scale under consideration. The point of crossover depends on the magnitude of the magnetic field and the temperature fixed for consideration. In the right panel of Fig.(2), we look at the dependency of the shear viscosity on the chemical potential. We have plotted for two different temperatures, $T = 0.2, 0.3 \text{ GeV}$ at $t = 2 \text{ fm}$ and $\tau_B = 4 \text{ fm}$. It is observed that the shear viscosity significantly increases with chemical potential. Also, the gap between the η curves at two different temperatures increases with chemical potential. The interdependency and the complex relationship between the magnitude and the decay rate of the magnetic field on the shear viscosity are captured in the contour graphs of the left panel of Fig.3. We see that an increase in the decay rate of the magnetic field increases the shear viscosity. We observe that for the most part, the amplitude of the magnetic field plays a more important role than the decay rate on the behaviour of the shear viscosity and the impact of τ_B increases with qB_0 . In the right panel of Fig.3, we observe the dependency of the bulk viscosity on qB_0 and τ_B of the magnetic field. For the case of bulk viscosity, we observe that the τ_B has a stronger impact on ζ , as compared to η . The bulk viscosity increases with the increase in τ_B and the impact of the decay rate increases with the amplitude of the magnetic field.

The bulk viscosity represents the viscous resistance to the change in the volume of the medium and is quantified by the quantity ζ . In our analysis, the bulk viscosity depends on the temperature, chemical potential, time, decay rate, and amplitude of the magnetic field at which the viscosity is calculated, *i.e.*, $\zeta \equiv \zeta(T, \mu, t, \tau_B, qB_0)$. The form of ζ is given in Eq.(59), where A_1, A_2, χ_1 and χ_2 are time dependent quantities that arise due to the time dependent




FIG. 10: Contour graph of the thermalisation time, τ_{th} as a function of the decay rate, τ_B and the amplitude of the magnetic field, qB_0 at $T = 0.2 \text{ GeV}$, $t = 1 \text{ fm}$ and $\mu = 0.1 \text{ GeV}$

magnetic field. In Fig.4, we have plotted the bulk viscosity as a function of temperature with the time fixed at 1.97 fm and chemical potential to be zero, for various values of the amplitude of the magnetic field, qB_0 (left panel) and for various values of the decay rate of the magnetic field, τ_B (right panel). On the left panel, the effect of qB_0 is seen to be small compared to the temperature. The effect of the magnetic field is more visible in the lower temperature region and is seen in the inset graph. We observe that the increase in the magnitude of the magnetic field decreases the bulk viscosity. In the right panel, we see the effect of the decay rate on the bulk viscosity at $qB_0 = 0.01 \text{ GeV}^2$. A faster decaying magnetic field has a stronger impact, and decreases ζ .

The effects of time (t) and chemical potential (μ) on the bulk viscosity are explored in Fig.5. The time dependency of the bulk viscosity enters through the magnetic field which is parametrized by τ_B . On the left panel, we plot the bulk viscosity as a function of time for various values of τ_B at $qB_0 = 0.01 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $T = 0.3 \text{ GeV}$. The behaviour of the bulk viscosity depends on the time under consideration. In the early time regime, a larger τ_B increases the bulk viscosity while in the later time regime the faster decaying magnetic field, i.e., a lower values of τ_B , increases the bulk viscosity. The time behaviour of the bulk viscosity is dependent on the decay coefficient (τ_B) and the relaxation time (τ_R) and the interplay between them. Similar to the analysis of the time behaviour nature of the shear viscosity, and as can be seen in Eq.(108), the point of crossover depends on the magnitude of the magnetic field and the temperature fixed for consideration. In the right panel of Fig.5, we look at the dependency of the bulk viscosity on the chemical potential. We have plotted for two different temperatures, $T = 0.2, 0.3 \text{ GeV}$ at $t = 2 \text{ fm}$ and $\tau_B = 4 \text{ fm}$. It is observed that the bulk viscosity significantly increases with chemical potential. Also, the gap between the ζ curves at two different temperatures increases with chemical potential.

The results of the decomposition of the shear viscosity, along and transverse to the direction of the magnetic field is as shown in Fig.6. On the left panel, the temperature behavior of η_1 and η_2 is explored and it is observed that they increase with temperature. On the right panel, we study the temperature behaviour of η_3 and η_4 for various values of τ_B . It is observed that η_3 is larger than η_4 and this difference is significant in the high temperature regime. The decay rate of the magnetic field, τ_B , is seen to have a significant impact, and a faster decaying magnetic field is shown to decrease the viscosities. The effects of the temperature and decay rate are seen to be more pronounced for η_3, η_4 as compared to η_1, η_2 .

The magnetic field amplitude (qB_0) behaviour of the decomposed viscosities are studied in Fig.7 for different values of the decay rate. On the left panel, we observe that η_1, η_2 decreases with an increase in qB_0 . The increase in decay rate is seen to decrease η_1 . In the right panel, we study the qB_0 behaviour of η_3 and η_4 for various values of τ_B . We observe that the viscosities increase with an increase in qB_0 and the difference between η_3 and η_4 is enhanced in the high temperature region. The increase in the decay rate is seen to increase the viscosities, and the effect is more pronounced in η_3 .

The time behaviour of the decomposed shear viscosities are plotted in Fig.8. To keep the range of the results comparable we have plotted $20 \times \eta_3$ and $20 \times \eta_4$ along with η_1 and η_2 . The low time behavior of $\eta_{1,2}$ differs as compared to $\eta_{3,4}$. The behaviour of $\eta_{1,2}$ is seen to increase with an increase in time and both converge at large values.

$\eta_{3,4}$ on the other hand, decreases with time and the difference is also seen to be large compared to $\eta_{1,2}$. τ_B is seen to increase η_3 and these two curves decay with time to an asymptotic value at large t .

Now we look at some phenomenologically significant quantities. We begin with the results of η/s , which has been instrumental in establishing the QGP medium as a strongly interacting medium. In Fig.9, on the left panel, we study the temperature behaviour of η/s for various values of τ_B . The η/s values obtained respects the K.S.S bound and is seen to increase with temperature. The η/s ratio increases with an increase in the decay rate and this effect is seen to be more significant in the low temperature region. A faster decaying magnetic field leads to a smaller value of η/s . On the right panel, we study the temperature behaviour of ζ/s . The effect of the magnetic field and its decay rate is significant in the ζ/s results. We observe the ζ/s increases with an increase in the τ_B and its effect is more pronounced in the low temperature region.

The thermalisation time of the medium is plotted in Fig.10 as a function of the decay rate and the amplitude of the magnetic field. The system is seen to thermalise faster for a larger amplitude of the magnetic field. The magnetic field is shown to have a significant effect, about 10% for qB_0 values ranging from $0 - 0.02 \text{ GeV}^2$, on the thermalisation time. The estimates for the thermalisation time agree with the perturbative QCD result of $2 - 3 \text{ fm}$ [58–60].

V. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

In conclusion, we have explored the momentum response of the QCD medium to time-varying electromagnetic fields. To that end, we have solved the relativistic Boltzmann equation within the relaxation time approximation. The shear and bulk viscosities are shown to depend on time, the amplitude and the decay rate of the magnetic field. The impact of the time dependent magnetic field is shown to be significant in low temperatures or in the regime of a fast-decaying magnetic field. The results are shown to perfectly reduce to the results in the literature for the zero and the constant field limits. The significance of the chemical potential and its interplay with the time dependent magnetic field is explored. The formalism was extended to the case of a general direction of the magnetic field and various components of the shear viscosities obtained. It was observed that the magnetic field and its time decaying nature has a relatively significant impact on $\eta_{3,4}$ as compared to $\eta_{1,2}$. The behaviour of all these quantities with temperature, time, decay rate and amplitude of the magnetic field has been explored. The shear viscosity to entropy density ratio and the bulk viscosity to entropy density ratio are seen to be critically dependent on the decay rate of the magnetic field where the fast decaying magnetic field result deviates significantly from a slowly decaying magnetic field, especially at lower temperatures. The thermalisation time of the medium has also been estimated and the results are shown to be in the range of perturbative QCD results, and the decay rate has a significant impact on them.

The effects of time dependent magnetic fields are seen to be more prominent when the amplitude of the magnetic field is high, hence the development of the formalism for the strong magnetic field decaying with time, would be crucial and necessary for the complete understanding of the behaviour of the QGP system. In our analysis, we have assumed the medium to be static, the analysis with both the electromagnetic fields and the medium profile varying with time would lead to a more realistic result and we plan on taking up this problem in our future work. The viscous coefficients are crucial in hydrodynamics, and hence observing their effects and their impact on the final state observables would be a fruitful endeavor. The challenging task of developing a resistive magnetohydrodynamics framework with time dependent electromagnetic fields would also be useful to the community.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

G.K.K and D.D thank Sukanya Mitra for clarification of a mathematical doubt. G.K.K and D.D are thankful to the Indian Institute of Technology Bombay for the Institute postdoctoral fellowship. S. D. acknowledges the SERB Power Fellowship, SPF/2022/000014 for the support on this work.

Appendices

Appendix A: Derivation of L [Eq. 16]

We use L as a shorthand notation for the term $\tau_R \frac{p^\mu}{p^0} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x^\mu}$. We begin with the Boltzmann equations for quarks, antiquarks, and gluons.

$$p^\mu \partial_\mu f = \frac{-\epsilon_f}{\tau_R} \delta f \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$p^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{f} = \frac{-\epsilon_f}{\tau_R} \delta \bar{f} \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$p^\mu \partial_\mu f_g = \frac{-\epsilon_g}{\tau_g} \delta f_g \quad (\text{A3})$$

Rewriting Eq.(4),

$$\Delta T^{\mu\nu} = \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3 p^0} p^\mu p^\nu \delta f(x, p) \quad (\text{A4})$$

Using Eq.s[A1-A3] in the expression for $\Delta T^{\mu\nu}$, we have, to first approximation,

$$\Delta T^{\mu\nu} = - \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p^\mu p^\nu}{(u \cdot p)} \left[\sum_f g_f \left(\frac{\tau_R p^\mu \partial_\mu f_0 + \tau_R p^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{f}_0}{\epsilon_f} \right) + g_g \frac{\tau_g p^\mu \partial_\mu f_g^0}{\epsilon_g} \right] \quad (\text{A5})$$

The Boltzmann equation, and hence, $\Delta T^{\mu\nu}$ is an iterative equation. In the first approximation, f is simply replaced by f^0 so that we have

$$\Delta T^{\mu\nu} = - \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} p^\mu p^\nu \left[\sum_f g_f \left(\frac{L_q + L_{\bar{q}}}{\epsilon_f} \right) + g_g \frac{L_g}{\epsilon_g} \right] \quad (\text{A6})$$

The projector $\Delta^{\mu\nu} \equiv g^{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu$ with $g^{\mu\nu}$ being the flat space metric, projects on to the space orthogonal to u^μ . Then the derivative ∂_μ can be decomposed along and orthogonal to u^μ in the following way:

$$\partial_\mu = \nabla_\mu + u_\mu D, \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$\text{where, } \quad \nabla_\mu \equiv \Delta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu, \quad D \equiv u^\mu \partial_\mu$$

Then,

$$\partial_\mu f^0 = \nabla_\mu f^0 + u_\mu D f^0 \quad (\text{A8})$$

For fermions, we have

$$f^0 = \frac{1}{\exp \beta(u^\alpha p_\alpha) + 1} \quad (\text{A9})$$

$$\nabla_\mu f^0 = \frac{f^0(1-f^0)}{T} \left[u^\alpha p_\alpha \frac{\nabla_\mu T}{T} - p_\alpha \nabla_\mu u^\alpha + T \nabla_\mu \left(\frac{\mu_f}{T} \right) \right] \quad (\text{A10})$$

$$u_\mu D f^0 = \frac{f^0(1-f^0)}{T} \left[u_\mu u^\alpha p_\alpha \frac{DT}{T} - u_\mu p_\alpha D u^\alpha + T u_\mu D \left(\frac{\mu_f}{T} \right) \right], \quad (\text{A11})$$

so that

$$\partial_\mu f^0 = \beta f^0(1-f^0) \left[u^\alpha p_\alpha u_\mu \frac{DT}{T} + u^\alpha p_\alpha \frac{\nabla_\mu T}{T} - u_\mu p_\alpha D u^\mu - p_\alpha \nabla_\mu u^\alpha + T \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\mu_f}{T} \right) \right].$$

Similarly, for antiquarks and gluons, we have

$$\partial_\mu \bar{f}^0 = \beta \bar{f}^0 (1 - \bar{f}^0) \left[u^\alpha p_\alpha u_\mu \frac{DT}{T} + u^\alpha p_\alpha \frac{\nabla_\mu T}{T} - u_\mu p_\alpha D u^\mu - p_\alpha \nabla_\mu u^\alpha - T \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\mu_f}{T} \right) \right]. \quad (\text{A12})$$

$$\partial_\mu f_g^0 = \beta f_g^0 (1 - f_g^0) \left[u^\alpha p_\alpha u_\mu \frac{DT}{T} + u^\alpha p_\alpha \frac{\nabla_\mu T}{T} - u_\mu p_\alpha D u^\mu - p_\alpha \nabla_\mu u^\alpha \right]. \quad (\text{A13})$$

From the energy-momentum conservation equation $\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$, one can derive

$$\frac{DT}{T} = - \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \epsilon} \right) \nabla_\alpha u^\alpha, \quad D u^\alpha = \frac{\nabla^\alpha P}{\epsilon + P}. \quad (\text{A14})$$

On imposing the condition of fit $\Delta^{\mu\nu} = 0$, only the spatial components of $\Delta^{\mu\nu}$ are non-zero. Further, we use the well-known relations

$$\partial_k u_l = -\frac{1}{2} W_{kl} - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{kl} \partial_j u^j \quad (\text{A15})$$

$$W_{kl} = \partial_k u_l + \partial_l u_k - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{kl} \partial_j u^j \quad (\text{A16})$$

Using Eqs.[A14-A16], we finally arrive at

$$\tau_R \frac{p^\mu}{p^0} \partial_\mu f^0 \equiv L_q = -\beta \tau_R f_0 (1 - f_0) \left[\left\{ \epsilon_f \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \epsilon} \right) - \frac{p^2}{3\epsilon_f} \right\} \partial_l u^l + p^l \left(\frac{\partial_l P}{\epsilon + P} - \frac{\partial_l T}{T} \right) - \frac{T p^l}{\epsilon_f} \partial_l \left(\frac{\mu}{T} \right) - \frac{p^k p^l}{2\epsilon_f} W_{kl} \right] \quad (\text{A17})$$

Similarly,

$$L_{\bar{q}} = -\beta \tau_R \bar{f}_0 (1 - \bar{f}_0) \left[\left\{ \epsilon_f \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \epsilon} \right) - \frac{p^2}{3\epsilon_f} \right\} \partial_l u^l + p^l \left(\frac{\partial_l P}{\epsilon + P} - \frac{\partial_l T}{T} \right) + \frac{T p^l}{\epsilon_f} \partial_l \left(\frac{\mu}{T} \right) - \frac{p^k p^l}{2\epsilon_f} W_{kl} \right] \quad (\text{A18})$$

$$L_g = -\beta \tau_g f_g^0 (1 + f_g^0) \left[\left\{ \epsilon_g \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \epsilon} \right) - \frac{p^2}{3\epsilon_g} \right\} \partial_l u^l + p^l \left(\frac{\partial_l P}{\epsilon + P} - \frac{\partial_l T}{T} \right) - \frac{T p^l}{\epsilon_g} \partial_l \left(\frac{\mu}{T} \right) - \frac{p^k p^l}{2\epsilon_g} W_{kl} \right] \quad (\text{A19})$$

Appendix B: Derivation of Γ_F [Eq. 29]

The particular solution of the differential equation

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dt} = A\Gamma + S \quad (\text{B1})$$

is evaluated via the method of variation of parameters. Following the standard procedure, it can be shown that the particular solution is given by

$$\Gamma_p = x(t) \int x(t)^{-1} B, \quad (\text{B2})$$

where, $x(t)$ is the matrix composed of linearly independent solutions of the homogeneous differential equation Eq.(24):

$$x(t) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\chi_1} & e^{\chi_2} \\ -ie^{\chi_1} & ie^{\chi_2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{B3})$$

The inverse of the matrix above is

$$x(t)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2ie^{(\chi_1 + \chi_2)}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\chi_1} & e^{\chi_2} \\ -ie^{\chi_1} & ie^{\chi_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} e^{-\chi_1} & \frac{i}{2} e^{-\chi_1} \\ \frac{1}{2} e^{-\chi_2} & \frac{-i}{2} e^{-\chi_2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{B4})$$

Now,

$$x(t)^{-1} B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b_1}{2} e^{-\chi_1} + \frac{ib_2}{2} e^{-\chi_1} \\ \frac{b_1}{2} e^{-\chi_2} + \frac{-ib_2}{2} e^{-\chi_2} \end{pmatrix}; \quad (\text{B5})$$

where,

$$b_1 = -\tau_R q \dot{E}_x + q \tau_R \omega_c E_y + L_x, \quad b_2 = -\tau_R q \dot{E}_y - q \tau_R \omega_c E_x + L_y \quad (\text{B6})$$

Thus, the first element of the column matrix $x(t)^{-1} \mathbf{B}$ is

$$\frac{-\tau_R q}{2} \int dt \dot{E}_x e^{-\chi_1} + \frac{q^2 \tau_R}{2\epsilon_f} \int dt B E_y e^{-\chi_1} + \frac{L_x}{2} \int dt e^{-\chi_1} - \frac{i\tau_R q}{2} \int dt \dot{E}_y e^{-\chi_1} - \frac{i q^2 \tau_R}{2\epsilon_f} \int dt B E_x e^{-\chi_1} + \frac{i L_y}{2} \int dt e^{-\chi_1} \quad (\text{B7})$$

Let us look at the first integral, for which, we recall that $\chi_1 = \int dt \left(1/\tau_R + \frac{iqB_0}{\epsilon_f} e^{-t/\tau_B} \right) = t/\tau_R - ibe^{-t/\tau_B}$, with $b = \frac{qB_0\tau_B}{\epsilon_f}$. By changing variables via $e^{-t/\tau_B} = u$, the first integral is written as

$$I_1 = \frac{-\tau_R q}{2} \frac{E_0 \tau_B}{\tau_E} \int du u^{a-1} e^{-ibu}, \quad (\text{B8})$$

where, $a = \tau_B(1/\tau_E - 1/\tau_R)$. Similarly, for the remaining 5 integrals, we have

$$I_2 = \frac{-\tau_R \tau_B q^2 B_0 E_0}{2\epsilon_f} \int du u^{a_1-1} e^{-ibu} \quad (\text{B9})$$

$$I_3 = \frac{-\tau_B L_x}{2} \int du u^{a_2-1} e^{-ibu} \quad (\text{B10})$$

$$I_4 = \frac{-i\tau_R q}{2} \frac{E_0 \tau_B}{\tau_E} \int du u^{a-1} e^{-ibu} \quad (\text{B11})$$

$$I_5 = \frac{i\tau_R \tau_B q^2 B_0 E_0}{2\epsilon_f} \int du u^{a_1-1} e^{-ibu} \quad (\text{B12})$$

$$I_6 = \frac{-\tau_B L_y}{2} \int du u^{a_2-1} e^{-ibu}, \quad (\text{B13})$$

where,

$$a_1 = \tau_B \left(\frac{1}{\tau_E} + \frac{1}{\tau_B} - \frac{1}{\tau_R} \right), \quad a_2 = \frac{-\tau_B}{\tau_R} \quad (\text{B14})$$

Thus, the first element of the matrix $x(t)^{-1} \mathbf{B}$ is $\sum_{j=1}^6 I_j \equiv E_1$ (say). The second element, similarly, is $\sum_{j=7}^{12} I_j \equiv E_2$, with

$$I_7 = \frac{-\tau_R \tau_B q E_0}{2\tau_E} \int du u^{a_1-1} e^{ibu} \quad (\text{B15})$$

$$I_8 = \frac{i\tau_R \tau_B q E_0}{2\tau_E} \int du u^{a_1-1} e^{ibu} \quad (\text{B16})$$

$$I_9 = \frac{-\tau_R \tau_B q^2 B_0 E_0}{2\epsilon_f} \int du u^{a_1-1} e^{ibu} \quad (\text{B17})$$

$$I_{10} = \frac{-i\tau_R \tau_B q^2 B_0 E_0}{2\epsilon_f} \int du u^{a_1-1} e^{ibu} \quad (\text{B18})$$

$$I_{11} = \frac{-\tau_B L_x}{2} \int du u^{a_2-1} e^{ibu} \quad (\text{B19})$$

$$I_{12} = \frac{i\tau_B L_y}{2} \int du u^{a_2-1} e^{ibu} \quad (\text{B20})$$

Finally, from Eq.(B2), we have

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_p = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\chi_1} E_1 + e^{\chi_2} E_2 \\ -ie^{\chi_1} E_1 + ie^{\chi_2} E_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{xp} \\ \Gamma_{yp} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{B21})$$

Appendix C: Derivation of ΔT^{ij} [Eq. 41]

We recall that

$$\Delta T^{ij} = \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} p^i p^j \left[\sum_f g_f \left(\frac{\delta f_q + \delta \bar{f}_q}{\epsilon_f} \right) + g_g \frac{\delta f_g}{\epsilon_g} \right] \quad (\text{C1})$$

Employing the Ansatz [Eq. 8] for δf , we get

$$\Delta T^{ij} = - \sum_f g_f \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p^i p^j}{\epsilon_f} \left[(\tau_{RQ} E_x v_x + \tau_{RQ} E_y v_y + v_x \Gamma_x + v_y \Gamma_y) \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon_f} + \right. \\ \left. (\tau_{R\bar{Q}} E_x v_x + \tau_{R\bar{Q}} E_y v_y + v_x \bar{\Gamma}_x + v_y \bar{\Gamma}_y) \frac{\partial \bar{f}_0}{\partial \epsilon_f} + \text{gluonic contribution} \right] \quad (\text{C2})$$

Of all the terms in Γ_x, Γ_y , the relevant terms are only those which possess the velocity gradient structure, *i.e.*, W_{kl} . Such integrals are I_3, I_6, I_{11} and I_{12} . So, effectively, Eq.s (31) and (32) reduce to

$$\Gamma_x = (I_3 + I_6) e^{\chi_1} + (I_{11} + I_{12}) e^{\chi_2}, \quad \Gamma_y = -i(I_3 + I_6) e^{\chi_1} + i(I_{11} + I_{12}) e^{\chi_2} \quad (\text{C3})$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}_x = (\bar{I}_3 + \bar{I}_6) e^{\bar{\chi}_1} + (\bar{I}_{11} + \bar{I}_{12}) e^{\bar{\chi}_2}, \quad \bar{\Gamma}_y = -i(\bar{I}_3 + \bar{I}_6) e^{\bar{\chi}_1} + i(\bar{I}_{11} + \bar{I}_{12}) e^{\bar{\chi}_2}, \quad (\text{C4})$$

for quarks and antiquarks respectively. Eq.(C2) now reads

$$\Delta T^{ij} = - \sum_f g_f \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p^i p^j}{\epsilon_f} \left(\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon_f} \right) [\Gamma_x v_x + \Gamma_y v_y + \bar{\Gamma}_x v_x + \bar{\Gamma}_y v_y] \quad (\text{C5})$$

Now,

$$\Gamma_x v_x + \Gamma_y v_y = \frac{1}{2} (L_x v_x + L_y v_y) (A_1 e^{\chi_1} + A_2 e^{\chi_2}) + \text{cross terms of the type } L_x v_y, L_y v_x \quad (\text{C6})$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}_x v_x + \bar{\Gamma}_y v_y = \frac{1}{2} (L_x v_x + L_y v_y) (\bar{A}_1 e^{\bar{\chi}_1} + \bar{A}_2 e^{\bar{\chi}_2}) + \text{cross terms of the type } \bar{L}_x v_y, \bar{L}_y v_x, \quad (\text{C7})$$

where, $A_1 = \int dt e^{-\chi_1}$, $A_2 = \int dt e^{-\chi_2}$ Assuming $p_z = 0$, this simplifies to

$$L_x v_x + L_y v_y = \left[\epsilon_f \frac{\partial P}{\partial \epsilon} - \frac{p^2}{3\epsilon_f} \right] \partial_l u^l - \frac{p^k p^l W_{kl}}{2} \quad (\text{C8})$$

Finally, thus, we arrive at Eq.(41)

$$\Delta T^{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_f g_f \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p^i p^j}{\epsilon_f} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon_f} \right) (A_1 e^{\chi_1} + A_2 e^{\chi_2}) + \left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}_0}{\partial \epsilon_f} \right) (\bar{A}_1 e^{\bar{\chi}_1} + \bar{A}_2 e^{\bar{\chi}_2}) \right\} \times \left\{ \left(\epsilon_f \frac{\partial P}{\partial \epsilon} - \frac{p^2}{3\epsilon_f} \right) \partial_l u^l - \frac{p^k p^l W_{kl}}{2\epsilon_f} \right\} \right. \\ \left. + g_g \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p^i p^j}{\epsilon_g} \tau_g \beta f_g^0 (1 + f_g^0) \times \left\{ \left(\epsilon_g \frac{\partial P}{\partial \epsilon} - \frac{p^2}{3\epsilon_g} \right) \partial_l u^l - \frac{p^k p^l W_{kl}}{2\epsilon_g} + p^l \left(\frac{\partial_l P}{\epsilon + P} - \frac{\partial_l T}{T} \right) \right\} \right] \quad (\text{C9})$$

Appendix D: Derivation of η [Eq.(43)]

We look at the terms in ΔT^{ij} containing W_{kl} .

$$\Delta T^{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_f g_f \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p^i p^j}{\epsilon_f} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon_f} \right) (A_1 e^{\chi_1} + A_2 e^{\chi_2}) + \left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}_0}{\partial \epsilon_f} \right) (\bar{A}_1 e^{\bar{\chi}_1} + \bar{A}_2 e^{\bar{\chi}_2}) \right\} \times \\ \left(-\frac{p^k p^l W_{kl}}{2\epsilon_f} \right) + g_g \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p^i p^j}{\epsilon_g} \tau_g \beta f_g^0 (1 + f_g^0) \times \left(-\frac{p^k p^l W_{kl}}{2\epsilon_g} \right) \quad (\text{D1})$$

Using

$$p^i p^j p^k p^l \longrightarrow \frac{1}{15} |\mathbf{p}|^4 (\delta^{ij} \delta^{kl} + \delta^{ik} \delta^{jl} + \delta^{il} \delta^{jk}), \quad (\text{D2})$$

Eq.(D1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta T^{ij} = & \frac{1}{2} \sum_f g_f \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{W_{kl}}{2\epsilon_f^2} \frac{|\mathbf{p}|^4}{15} (\delta^{ij} \delta^{kl} + \delta^{ik} \delta^{jl} + \delta^{il} \delta^{jk}) \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial f^0}{\partial \epsilon_f} \right) (A_1 e^{\chi_1} + A_2 e^{\chi_2}) + \left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}^0}{\partial \epsilon_f} \right) (\bar{A}_1 e^{\bar{\chi}_1} + \bar{A}_2 e^{\bar{\chi}_2}) \right\} \times \\ & + g_g \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \left(-\frac{W_{kl}}{2\epsilon_g^2} \right) \frac{|\mathbf{p}|^4}{15} (\delta^{ij} \delta^{kl} + \delta^{ik} \delta^{jl} + \delta^{il} \delta^{jk}) \tau_g \beta f_g^0 (1 + f_g^0) \quad (\text{D3}) \end{aligned}$$

The delta functions contracted with W_{kl} produce W_{ll} , W_{ij} , W_{ji} . The tensor W_{ij} is defined so that $W_{ii} = 0$, and $W_{ij} = W_{ji}$. Thus, finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta T^{ij} = & \frac{1}{2} \sum_f g_f \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} (2W^{ij}) \frac{|\mathbf{p}|^4}{30\epsilon_f^2} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial f^0}{\partial \epsilon_f} \right) (A_1 e^{\chi_1} + A_2 e^{\chi_2}) + \left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}^0}{\partial \epsilon_f} \right) (\bar{A}_1 e^{\bar{\chi}_1} + \bar{A}_2 e^{\bar{\chi}_2}) \right\} \times \\ & + g_g \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} (2W^{ij}) \frac{|\mathbf{p}|^4}{30\epsilon_g^2} \tau_g \beta f_g^0 (1 + f_g^0) \quad (\text{D4}) \end{aligned}$$

Comparing with Eq.(39), one can read off the shear viscosity:

$$\eta = - \sum_f g_f \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{|\mathbf{p}|^4}{30\epsilon_f^2} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial f^0}{\partial \epsilon_f} \right) (A_1 e^{\chi_1} + A_2 e^{\chi_2}) + \left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}^0}{\partial \epsilon_f} \right) (\bar{A}_1 e^{\bar{\chi}_1} + \bar{A}_2 e^{\bar{\chi}_2}) \right\} - 2g_g \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{|\mathbf{p}|^4}{30\epsilon_g^2} \tau_g \left(\frac{\partial f_g^0}{\partial \epsilon_g} \right) \quad (\text{D5})$$

Appendix E: Alternative zero field limit derivation

In η and ζ , the factor of time arises in the factor $A_1 e^{\chi_1} + A_2 e^{\chi_2}$. Consider A_1 , given by $\int e^{-\chi_1} dt$, and, $\chi_1 = -(t/\tau_R) - i(qB_0/\epsilon_f) \int e^{-t/\tau_B} dt$. Taking the limit $qB_0 \rightarrow 0$, we see that,

$$\int e^{(t/\tau_R) + i(qB_0/\epsilon_f) \int e^{-t/\tau_B} dt} dt \rightarrow \int e^{(t/\tau_R) + i(0) \int e^{-t/\tau_B} dt} dt = \int e^{(t/\tau_R)} dt = \tau_R e^{t/\tau_R} \quad (\text{E1})$$

Therefore, $A_1 e^{\chi_1}$ is,

$$\tau_R e^{t/\tau_R} e^{-t/\tau_R} = \tau_R. \quad (\text{E2})$$

Similarly, $A_2 e^{\chi_2} = \tau_R$, and hence we have, $A_1 e^{\chi_1} + A_2 e^{\chi_2} = 2\tau_R$. This is the same result one obtains in the $t \rightarrow \infty$ limit.

Appendix F: Derivation of ζ [Eq.(59)]

The initial expression of ζ is Eq.(55):

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_f \frac{g_f}{3} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p^2}{\epsilon_f} \{ f_0 (1 - f_0) A_f + \bar{f}_0 (1 - \bar{f}_0) \bar{A}_f \} + \frac{g_g}{3} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p^2}{\epsilon_g} f_g^0 (1 + f_g^0) A_g, \quad (\text{F1})$$

We look at the quark contribution to ζ . The relevant Landau-Lifshitz conditions [Eqs.(56-57)] lead to

$$c_f = \frac{\sum_f g_f \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \epsilon_f f_0 (1 - f_0) A_f}{T^2 C_V}, \quad (\text{F2})$$

$$\bar{c}_f = \frac{\sum_f g_f \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \epsilon_f \bar{f}_0 (1 - \bar{f}_0) \bar{A}_f}{T^2 C_V}. \quad (\text{F3})$$

where, we have used the definition of C_V from Eq.(62). Thus, we have

$$\zeta_q = \frac{1}{2} \sum_f \frac{g_f}{3} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p^2}{\epsilon_f} \{f_0(1-f_0)A_f + \bar{f}_0(1-\bar{f}_0)\bar{A}_f\} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_f c_f \frac{g_f}{3} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} p^2 \{f_0(1-f_0) + \bar{f}_0(1-\bar{f}_0)\} \quad (\text{F4})$$

Using Eq.(61), this becomes

$$\zeta_q = \frac{1}{2} \sum_f \frac{g_f}{3} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p^2}{\epsilon_f} \{f_0(1-f_0)A_f + \bar{f}_0(1-\bar{f}_0)\bar{A}_f\} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3} (c_f + \bar{c}_f) (3T^2 s) \quad (\text{F5})$$

Substituting c_f from Eq.(F2), and using $v_s^2 = s/c_v$, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_q &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_f \frac{g_f}{3} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p^2}{\epsilon_f} \{f_0(1-f_0)A_f + \bar{f}_0(1-\bar{f}_0)\bar{A}_f\} - \frac{1}{2} v_s^2 \sum_f g_f \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \{\epsilon_f f_0(1-f_0)A_f + \bar{f}_0(1-\bar{f}_0)\bar{A}_f\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_f \frac{g_f}{3} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3 \epsilon_f} \{\epsilon_f f_0(1-f_0)A_f + \bar{f}_0(1-\bar{f}_0)\bar{A}_f\} [p^2 - 3v_s^2 \epsilon_f^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F6})$$

Using $A_f = \frac{\beta}{3} (A_1 e^{x_1} + A_2 e^{x_2}) \left[\frac{p^2}{\epsilon_f} - 3\epsilon_f \frac{\partial P}{\partial \epsilon} \right]$, $\bar{A}_f = \frac{\beta}{3} (\bar{A}_1 e^{\bar{x}_1} + \bar{A}_2 e^{\bar{x}_2}) \left[\frac{p^2}{\epsilon_f} - 3\epsilon_f \frac{\partial P}{\partial \epsilon} \right]$, we finally arrive at

$$\zeta_q = \frac{\beta}{18} \sum_f g_f \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3 \epsilon_f^2} \{f_0(1-f_0)(A_1 e^{x_1} + A_2 e^{x_2}) + \bar{f}_0(1-\bar{f}_0)(\bar{A}_1 e^{\bar{x}_1} + \bar{A}_2 e^{\bar{x}_2})\} [p^2 - 3v_s^2 \epsilon_f^2]^2. \quad (\text{F7})$$

The gluon contribution can be evaluated following similar steps. The result is

$$\zeta_g = \frac{\beta g_g}{9} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3 \epsilon_g^2} \tau_g f_0^g (1 + f_0^g) [p^2 - 3v_s^2 \epsilon_g^2]^2. \quad (\text{F8})$$

Adding Eq.(F7) and Eq.(F8) gives us the total bulk viscosity of the medium, which is Eq.(59) in the main text.

Appendix G: Derivation of Eqs. [85-88]

Comparing Eq.(83) and Eq.(81), we get

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{ij}^1 \eta_1 &= \frac{1}{15} \sum_f g_f \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \epsilon_f v^4 (\delta_{ij} \delta_{mn} + \delta_{im} \delta_{jn} + \delta_{in} \delta_{jm}) C_1 Y_{mn}^1 \\ &= \frac{1}{15} \sum_f g_f \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \epsilon_f v^4 C_1 (Y_{ii}^1 + Y_{ij}^1 + Y_{ji}^1). \end{aligned}$$

$Y_{ii} = 0$, and $Y_{ij} = Y_{ji}$ yields

$$\eta_1 = \frac{2}{15} \sum_f g_f \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \epsilon_f v^4 C_1. \quad (\text{G1})$$

Similarly, η_2 , η_3 , and η_4 are derived.

Appendix H: Derivation of η_i [Eqs. 98-101]

In the co-moving frame, $\partial^i f_0|_{\mathbf{V}=0} = -\beta f_0(1-f_0) p_j \partial^i V^j$, where f_0 is the F-D distribution function. Using this, the Boltzmann equation becomes

$$-v_i v_j \epsilon_f \beta f_0(1-f_0) V_{ij} + q E^i \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial p^i} + \omega_c v_j b_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i} (\delta f) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\delta f) = -\frac{\delta f}{\tau_R}. \quad (\text{H1})$$

With δf being given by Eq.(74), the above equation reads

$$-\beta\epsilon_f V_{ij} v_i v_j f_0(1-f_0) + qE^i \frac{\partial f^0}{\partial p^i} + \omega_c b_{ij} v_j \frac{\partial}{\partial v_i} \left(\sum_{l=0}^4 C_l Y_{mn}^l v_m v_n \right) + \sum_{l=0}^4 \dot{C}_l Y_{mn}^l v_m v_n = -\frac{\sum_{l=0}^4 C_l Y_{mn}^l v_m v_n}{\tau_R}. \quad (\text{H2})$$

This is Eq.(89). With the relations $V_{ij} b_i b_j = 0$, $b_{ij} v_i v_j = 0$, $b_i b_i = 1$, $b_{ij} b_i = 0$, and $b_{ij} b_j = 0$, the basis tensors Y_{mn}^l reduce to

$$Y_{mn}^1 = 2V_{mn} - 2V_{mk} b_k b_n - 2V_{nk} b_k b_m \quad (\text{H3})$$

$$Y_{mn}^2 = 2V_{mk} b_k b_n + 2V_{nk} b_k b_m \quad (\text{H4})$$

$$Y_{mn}^3 = V_{mk} b_{nk} + V_{nk} b_{mk} - V_{kl} b_{mk} b_n b_l - V_{kl} b_{nk} b_m b_l \quad (\text{H5})$$

$$Y_{mn}^4 = 2V_{kl} b_{mk} b_n b_l + 2V_{kl} b_{nk} b_m b_l. \quad (\text{H6})$$

We now simplify the terms in the L.H.S. of Eq.(H1) containing derivatives of δf . Using the above expressions, we can write

$$v_j b_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i} (\delta f) = 2v_j b_{ij} \left[C_1 (2V_{mi} v_m - \underline{2V_{mk} b_k b_i v_m} - 2V_{ik} b_k b_m v_m) + C_2 (2\underline{V_{mk} b_k b_i v_m} + 2V_{ik} b_k b_m v_m) + \right. \\ \left. C_3 (V_{mk} b_{ik} v_m + V_{ik} b_{mk} v_m - \underline{V_{kl} b_{mk} b_i b_l v_m} - V_{kl} b_{ik} b_m b_l v_m) + C_4 (2\underline{V_{kl} b_{mk} b_i b_l v_m} + 2V_{kl} b_{ik} b_m b_l v_m) \right] \quad (\text{H7})$$

The underlined terms vanish owing to $b_{ij} b_i = 0$. Thus,

$$v_j b_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i} (\delta f) = 2\omega_c \left[2C_1 V_{ik} b_{ij} v_j v_k - 2C_1 V_{ik} b_{ij} b_k v_j (\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{V}) + 2C_2 V_{ik} b_{ij} b_k v_j (\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{V}) \right. \\ \left. + 2C_3 V_{ij} v_i v_j - 4C_3 V_{ij} b_i v_j (\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{V}) + 2C_4 V_{ij} b_i v_j (\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{V}) \right] \quad (\text{H8})$$

Next, we simplify δf

$$\delta f = 2C_1 v_m v_n (V_{mn} - V_{mk} b_k b_n - V_{nk} b_k b_m) + 2C_2 v_m v_n (V_{mk} b_k b_n + V_{nk} b_k b_m) + \\ C_3 v_m v_n (V_{mk} b_{nk} + V_{nk} b_{mk} - V_{kl} b_{mk} b_n b_l - V_{kl} b_{nk} b_m b_l) + 2C_4 v_m v_n (V_{kl} b_{mk} b_n b_l + V_{kl} b_{nk} b_m b_l) \\ = 2C_1 v_i v_j V_{ij} - 4C_1 v_i b_j V_{ij} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{b}) + 4C_2 v_i b_j V_{ij} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{b}) + C_3 V_{ik} b_{jk} v_i v_j + \\ C_3 V_{jk} b_{ik} v_i v_j - 2C_3 V_{kl} b_{ik} b_l v_i (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{b}) + 4C_4 V_{kl} b_{ik} b_l v_i (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \quad (\text{H9})$$

Similarly we write out the term $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\delta f)$ explicitly. Then, the Boltzmann equation becomes

$$\beta\epsilon_f V_{ij} v_i v_j f_0(1-f_0) + qE^i \frac{\partial f^0}{\partial p^i} + 2\omega_c \left[2C_1 V_{ik} b_{ij} v_j v_k - 2C_1 V_{ik} b_{ij} b_k v_j (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{b}) + 2C_2 V_{ik} b_{ij} b_k v_j (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{b}) + 2C_3 V_{ij} v_i v_j - \right. \\ \left. 4C_3 V_{ij} b_i v_j (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{b}) + 2C_4 V_{ij} b_i v_j (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \right] + 2C_1 v_i v_j V_{ij} - 4\dot{C}_1 (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{b}) V_{ij} v_i b_j + 4\dot{C}_2 (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{b}) V_{ij} v_i b_j + 2\dot{C}_3 V_{ik} b_{jk} v_i v_j - \\ 2\dot{C}_3 (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{b}) V_{kl} b_{ik} b_l v_i + 4\dot{C}_4 (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{b}) V_{kl} b_{ik} b_l v_i = \frac{-1}{\tau_R} \left[2C_1 v_i v_j V_{ij} - 4C_1 v_i b_j V_{ij} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{b}) + 4C_2 v_i b_j V_{ij} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{b}) + \right. \\ \left. C_3 V_{ik} b_{jk} v_i v_j + C_3 V_{jk} b_{ik} v_i v_j - 2C_3 V_{kl} b_{ik} b_l v_i (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{b}) + 4C_4 V_{kl} b_{ik} b_l v_i (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \right]. \quad (\text{H10})$$

Comparing tensor structures on both sides of the above equation, we get,

$$-\epsilon_f \beta f_0(1-f_0) + 4C_3 \omega_c + 2\dot{C}_1 = -\frac{1}{\tau_R} 2C_1 \quad (\text{H11})$$

$$\left(-8C_3 \omega_c + 4\dot{C}_2 + 4\omega_c C_4 - 4\dot{C}_1 \right) = -\frac{1}{\tau - R} (4C_2 - 4C_1) \quad (\text{H12})$$

$$\dot{C}_3 - 2\omega_c C_1 = -\frac{1}{\tau_R} C_3 \quad (\text{H13})$$

$$-4\omega_c C_1 + 4\omega_c C_2 + 2\dot{C}_3 - 4\dot{C}_4 = -\frac{1}{\tau - R} (2C_3 - 4C_4) \quad (\text{H14})$$

This leads to the following set of coupled differential equations

$$\dot{C}_1 = -\frac{C_1}{\tau_R} - 2\omega_c C_3 + \frac{\epsilon_f \beta}{2} f_0(1 - f_0) \quad (\text{H15})$$

$$\dot{C}_3 = -\frac{C_3}{\tau_R} - 2\omega_c C_1 \quad (\text{H16})$$

$$\dot{C}_4 = -\frac{C_4}{\tau_R} + \omega_c C_2 \quad (\text{H17})$$

$$\dot{C}_2 = -\frac{C_2}{\tau_R} - \omega_c C_4 + \frac{\epsilon_f \beta}{2} f_0(1 - f_0) \quad (\text{H18})$$

The first two equations can be written as a matrix differential equation

$$\frac{d\mathbf{X}_1}{dt} = G_1(t)\mathbf{X}_1 + \mathbf{H}_1(t), \quad \text{with} \quad (\text{H19})$$

$$\mathbf{X}_1 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ C_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_1(t) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -1/\tau_R & -2\omega_c \\ 2\omega_c & -1/\tau_R \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{H}_1(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\epsilon_f \beta}{2} f_0(1 - f_0) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{H20})$$

Similarly, the last two equations can also be written as a matrix differential equation

$$\frac{d\mathbf{X}_2}{dt} = G_2(t)\mathbf{X}_2 + \mathbf{H}_2(t), \quad \text{with} \quad (\text{H21})$$

$$\mathbf{X}_2 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} C_2 \\ C_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_2(t) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -1/\tau_R & -\omega_c \\ \omega_c & -1/\tau_R \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{H}_2(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\epsilon_f \beta}{2} f_0(1 - f_0) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{H22})$$

The solutions to these differential equations have a complementary function [Eq.(F2)] and a particular integral [Appendix (B)]. Following the same steps as enumerated earlier, we finally arrive at

$$C_1 = \frac{\epsilon_f \beta f^0(1 - f^0)}{4} \left[\left(\int e^{-\xi_1} dt \right) e^{\xi_1} + \left(\int e^{-\xi_2} dt \right) e^{\xi_2} \right], \quad (\text{H23})$$

$$C_2 = \frac{\epsilon_f \beta f^0(1 - f^0)}{4} \left[\left(\int e^{-\xi_3} dt \right) e^{\xi_3} + \left(\int e^{-\xi_4} dt \right) e^{\xi_4} \right], \quad (\text{H24})$$

$$C_3 = \frac{i\epsilon_f \beta f^0(1 - f^0)}{4} \left[\left(\int e^{-\xi_1} dt \right) e^{\xi_1} - \left(\int e^{-\xi_2} dt \right) e^{\xi_2} \right], \quad (\text{H25})$$

$$C_4 = \frac{i\epsilon_f \beta f^0(1 - f^0)}{4} \left[\left(\int e^{-\xi_3} dt \right) e^{\xi_3} - \left(\int e^{-\xi_4} dt \right) e^{\xi_4} \right], \quad (\text{H26})$$

with

$$\xi_1 = -\frac{t}{\tau_R} - 2i \int \frac{qB}{\epsilon_f} dt, \quad (\text{H27})$$

$$\xi_2 = -\frac{t}{\tau_R} + 2i \int \frac{qB}{\epsilon_f} dt, \quad (\text{H28})$$

$$\xi_3 = -\frac{t}{\tau_R} - i \int \frac{qB}{\epsilon_f} dt, \quad (\text{H29})$$

$$\xi_4 = -\frac{t}{\tau_R} + i \int \frac{qB}{\epsilon_f} dt \quad (\text{H30})$$

These are Eqs.[90-97] in the main text. Now substituting the C_i 's thus obtained in Eqs.[85-88], we arrive at

$$\eta_1 = \frac{1}{60\pi^2} \sum_f g_f \int dp \frac{p^6}{\epsilon_f^2} \beta f^0(1-f^0) \left[e^{\xi_1} \int e^{-\xi_1} dt + e^{\xi_2} \int e^{-\xi_2} dt \right], \quad (\text{H31})$$

$$\eta_2 = \frac{1}{60\pi^2} \sum_f g_f \int dp \frac{p^6}{\epsilon_f^2} \beta f^0(1-f^0) \left[e^{\xi_3} \int e^{-\xi_3} dt + e^{\xi_4} \int e^{-\xi_4} dt \right], \quad (\text{H32})$$

$$\eta_3 = \frac{i}{60\pi^2} \sum_f g_f \int dp \frac{p^6}{\epsilon_f^2} \beta f^0(1-f^0) \left[e^{\xi_1} \int e^{-\xi_1} dt - e^{\xi_2} \int e^{-\xi_2} dt \right], \quad (\text{H33})$$

$$\eta_4 = \frac{i}{60\pi^2} \sum_f g_f \int dp \frac{p^6}{\epsilon_f^2} \beta f^0(1-f^0) \left[e^{\xi_3} \int e^{-\xi_3} dt - e^{\xi_4} \int e^{-\xi_4} dt \right]. \quad (\text{H34})$$

These are Eqs.[98-101] in the main text.

-
- [1] J. Adams et al., *Nuclear Physics A* **757**, 102 (2005).
[2] S. Acharya et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 022301 (2020).
[3] J. Adam et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 162301 (2019).
[4] V. V. Skokov, A. Y. Illarionov, and V. D. Toneev, *International Journal of Modern Physics A* **24**, 5925 (2009).
[5] K. Tuchin, *Phys. Rev. C* **88**, 024911 (2013).
[6] L. McLerran and V. Skokov, *Nuclear Physics A* **929**, 184 (2014).
[7] E. Stewart and K. Tuchin, *Nuclear Physics A* **1016**, 122308 (2021).
[8] B. Feng, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 036009 (2017).
[9] A. Das, H. Mishra, and R. K. Mohapatra, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 094031 (2019).
[10] L. Thakur and P. K. Srivastava, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 076016 (2019).
[11] K. Hattori, S. Li, D. Satow, and H.-U. Yee, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 076008 (2017).
[12] K. Fukushima and Y. Hidaka, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 162301 (2018).
[13] M. Kurian and V. Chandra, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 114026 (2017).
[14] A. Bandyopadhyay, S. Ghosh, R. L. S. Farias, J. Dey, and G. a. Krein, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 114015 (2020).
[15] S. Rath and S. Dash, *Eur. Phys. J. C Part. Fields* **82** (2022).
[16] P. Danielewicz and M. Gyulassy, *Phys. Rev. D* **31**, 53 (1985).
[17] S. Yasui and S. Ozaki, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 114027 (2017).
[18] S. Rath and B. K. Patra, *Eur. Phys. J. C Part. Fields* **81** (2021).
[19] S. A. Khan and B. K. Patra, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 094033 (2022).
[20] M. Kurian, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 054024 (2021).
[21] J. R. Bhatt, A. Das, and H. Mishra, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 014015 (2019).
[22] A. Das, H. Mishra, and R. K. Mohapatra, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 014030 (2020).
[23] H.-X. Zhang, J.-W. Kang, and B.-W. Zhang, *Eur. Phys. J. C Part. Fields* **81** (2021).
[24] D. Dey and B. K. Patra, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 076021 (2021).
[25] D. E. Kharzeev, L. D. McLerran, and H. J. Warringa, *Nuclear Physics A* **803**, 227 (2008).
[26] K. Fukushima, D. E. Kharzeev, and H. J. Warringa, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 074033 (2008).
[27] D. E. Kharzeev and D. T. Son, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 062301 (2011).
[28] D. Kharzeev, J. Liao, S. Voloshin, and G. Wang, *Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics* **88**, 1 (2016).
[29] G. K. K and V. Chandra, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 114015 (2023).
[30] G. K. K, M. Kurian, and V. Chandra, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 034008 (2022).
[31] G. K. K, M. Kurian, and V. Chandra, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 094037 (2021).
[32] K. K. Gowthama, M. Kurian, and V. Chandra, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 074017 (2021).
[33] H. Song and U. Heinz, *Physics Letters B* **658**, 279 (2008).
[34] G. S. Denicol, T. Kodama, and T. Koide, *Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics* **37**, 094040 (2010).
[35] K. Dusling and T. Schäfer, *Phys. Rev. C* **85**, 044909 (2012).
[36] J. Noronha-Hostler, J. Noronha, and F. Grassi, *Phys. Rev. C* **90**, 034907 (2014).
[37] X.-G. Huang, M. Huang, D. H. Rischke, and A. Sedrakian, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 045015 (2010).
[38] X.-G. Huang, A. Sedrakian, and D. H. Rischke, *Annals of Physics* **326**, 3075 (2011).
[39] G. S. Denicol, X.-G. Huang, E. Molnár, G. M. Monteiro, H. Niemi, J. Noronha, D. H. Rischke, and Q. Wang, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 076009 (2018).
[40] G. S. Denicol, E. Molnár, H. Niemi, and D. H. Rischke, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 056017 (2019).
[41] L. Pitaevskii and E. Lifshitz, *Physical Kinetics: Volume 10*, v. 10 (Butterworth-Heinemann, 2012).
[42] K. Tuchin, *Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics* **39**, 025010 (2012).
[43] R. Critelli, S. I. Finazzo, M. Zaniboni, and J. Noronha, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 066006 (2014).

- [44] J. Hernandez and P. Kovtun, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2017** (2017).
- [45] K. Hattori, *Nuclear Physics A* **982**, 551 (2019), the 27th International Conference on Ultrarelativistic Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions: Quark Matter 2018.
- [46] Z. Chen, C. Greiner, A. Huang, and Z. Xu, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 056020 (2020).
- [47] S.-i. Nam and C.-W. Kao, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 114003 (2013).
- [48] S. Li and H.-U. Yee, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 056024 (2018).
- [49] P. Panday and B. K. Patra, *International Journal of Modern Physics E* **33**, 2450010 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218301324500101>.
- [50] M. Kurian, S. Mitra, S. Ghosh, and V. Chandra, *Eur. Phys. J. C Part. Fields* **79** (2019).
- [51] D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, *Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science* **57**, 95 (2007).
- [52] M. F. Wondrak, M. Kaminski, and M. Bleicher, *Nuclear Physics A* **1005**, 121880 (2021), the 28th International Conference on Ultra-relativistic Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions: Quark Matter 2019.
- [53] R.-G. Cai and Y.-W. Sun, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2008**, 115 (2008).
- [54] P. Chakraborty and J. I. Kapusta, *Phys. Rev. C* **83**, 014906 (2011).
- [55] K. Tuchin, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* **23**, 1430001 (2014).
- [56] A. Hosoya and K. Kajantie, *Nuclear.....ics B* **250**, 666 (1985).
- [57] A. Ayala, C. A. Dominguez, S. Hernandez-Ortiz, L. A. Hernandez, M. Loewe, D. M. Paret, and R. Zamora, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 031501 (2018).
- [58] R. Baier, A. Mueller, D. Schiff, and D. Son, *Physics Letters B* **502**, 51 (2001).
- [59] Z. Xu and C. Greiner, *Phys. Rev. C* **71**, 064901 (2005).
- [60] M. Martinez and M. Strickland, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 102301 (2008).