# Longitudinal short-distance constraints on hadronic light-by-light scattering and tensor meson contributions to the muon g-2

Jonas Mager,<sup>1</sup> Luigi Cappiello,<sup>2,3</sup> Josef Leutgeb,<sup>1</sup> and Anton Rebhan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut für Theoretische Physik, Technische Universität Wien,

Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8-10, A-1040 Vienna, Austria

<sup>2</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica "Ettore Pancini", Università di Napoli "Federico II"

<sup>3</sup>INFN-Sezione di Napoli, Via Cintia, I-80126 Napoli, Italy

(Dated: February 6, 2025)

Short-distance constraints from the operator product expansion in QCD play an important role in the evaluation of the hadronic light-by-light scattering contribution to the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon. While conventional hadronic models involving a finite number of resonances fail to reproduce the correct power laws implied by them, holographic QCD has been shown to naturally incorporate the Melnikov-Vainshtein constraint on the longitudinal amplitude following from the triangle anomaly in the asymmetric limit, where one photon virtuality remains small compared to the others. This is saturated by an infinite tower of axial vector mesons, and their numerical contribution to the muon q-2 in AdS/QCD models agrees rather well with a recent dispersive analysis and alternative approaches. However, the longitudinal short-distance constraint where all virtualities are large turns out to be matched only at the level of 81%. In this Letter we show that tensor mesons, whose contribution to the muon q-2 has recently been found to be underestimated, can fill this gap, because in holographic QCD their infinite tower of excited mesons only contributes to the symmetric longitudinal short-distance constraint. Numerically, they give rise to a sizeable positive contribution from the low-energy region below 1.5 GeV, a small one from the mixed region, and a negligible one from the high-energy region, which could explain the remaining gap between the most recent dispersive and lattice results for the complete hadronic light-by-light contribution.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In its upcoming final result for the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon  $a_{\mu} = (g-2)_{\mu}/2$ , the Fermilab experiment [1, 2] is expected to reduce the current experimental error from currently  $22 \times 10^{-11}$  to about half of this size, challenging the Standard Model prediction [3] which needs further scrutiny and improvements regarding its main source of uncertainties to match this precision. At present, the main uncertainty arises from discrepancies in the contribution from hadronic vacuum polarization [4], for which new lattice QCD results [5–12] hold the promise of a more precise evaluation while also removing most of the deviation between the experimental result and the Standard Model value of the Muon g-2Theory Initiative from 2020 [3]. The latter involves as second most important source of uncertainty the effects of hadronic light-by-light scattering (HLbL), at the time determined as  $a_{\mu}^{\text{HLbL}} = 92(19) \times 10^{-11}$  [3, 13–27], where the error needs to be reduced substantially as well in view of the expected experimental improvements for  $a_{\mu}$ .

A new data-driven dispersive analysis [28, 29] has achieved a significant reduction of previous uncertainties related to the contribution of axial vector mesons and short-distance constraints (SDCs), agreeing quite well with results obtained with holographic models of QCD (hQCD) that have indicated larger contributions than previously assumed [30–36], as also found with resonance models [37] and Dyson-Schwinger approximations [38].

The holographic approach has highlighted the important role of axial vector mesons because it is the infinite tower of axial vector mesons therein that naturally realizes the Melnikov-Vainshtein (MV) SDC on the longitudinal amplitude (LSDC) which follows from the one-loop exact triangle anomaly together with OPE, but which previous hadronic models involving a finite number of resonances fail to incorporate because transition form factors (TFFs) always have a power-law decay at large virtuality.

Ref. [28, 29] has also found that previous results for the contribution of tensor mesons, which amounted to only  $+0.64(13) \times 10^{-11}$  for the ground state tensor multiplet according to [24] when using a quark model ansatz for the tensor transition form factors, has to be revised to a larger and negative value when a formalism free of kinematical singularities introduced recently in [39] is employed.

In this Letter we show that the infinite tower of tensor mesons that is provided in a rather universal manner by hQCD models also plays a significant role in LSDCs on the HLbL scattering amplitude. In hQCD models it was found that in contrast to the MV-SDC the symmetric LSDC, where all photon virtualities are large, is not fully saturated by axial vector mesons, but only at the level of 81%. The full tower of tensor mesons in hQCD does not contribute to the MV-SDC, but it does so for the symmetric LSDC. Fitting the tensor coupling such that the symmetric LSDC is saturated in combination with the axial vector mesons leads to a coupling of the ground-state tensor modes in complete agreement with available data from the BELLE experiment [40] and predicts a rather large positive contribution to  $a_{\mu}$ , which could explain the remaining difference between the completed dispersive result of [28, 29] and the most recent lattice results for the complete HLbL contribution of Refs. [41–44].

## II. HLBL TENSOR AND $(g-2)_{\mu}$

The central object in the calculation of the HLbL contribution to  $(g-2)_{\mu}$  is the hadronic light-by-light (HLbL) tensor, i.e. the hadronic four-point function of electromagnetic currents  $(q_4 = q_1 + q_2 + q_3)$ 

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}(q_1, q_2, q_3) = -i \int d^4x \, d^4y \, d^4z \, e^{-i(q_1 \cdot x + q_2 \cdot y + q_3 \cdot z)} \\ \times \langle 0|T\{j^{\mu}_{\rm em}(x)j^{\nu}_{\rm em}(y)j^{\lambda}_{\rm em}(z)j^{\sigma}_{\rm em}(0)\}|0\rangle \quad (1)$$

where

$$j_{\rm em}^{\mu} = \bar{q}\mathcal{Q}\gamma^{\mu}q, \quad \mathcal{Q} = \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}\right), \quad (2)$$

with  $N_f = 3$  quark fields  $q = (u, d, s)^T$ .

A very useful representation of the HLbL tensor can be obtained using the Bardeen, Tung [45], and Tarrach [46] (BTT) decomposition

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} = \sum_{i=1}^{54} T_i^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \Pi_i, \qquad (3)$$

with scalar functions  $\Pi_i$  depending on the virtualities  $q_i^2$ , and Lorentz structures defined in  $T_i^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$  [47]. This decomposition is manifestly gauge invariant and satisfies crossing symmetries.

The contribution to  $(g-2)_{\mu}$  is then given by the master equation [16]

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{HLbL}} = \frac{2\alpha^3}{3\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dQ_1 \int_0^\infty dQ_2 \int_{-1}^1 d\tau \sqrt{1 - \tau^2} Q_1^3 Q_2^3$$
$$\times \sum_{i=1}^{12} T_i(Q_1, Q_2, \tau) \bar{\Pi}_i(Q_1, Q_2, \tau) , \qquad (4)$$

where twelve hadronic scalar functions  $\overline{\Pi}_i$  built from the  $\Pi_i$  in (3) are evaluated for the reduced kinematics

$$(q_1^2, q_2^2, q_3^2, q_4^2) = (-Q_1^2, -Q_2^2, -Q_1^2 - 2Q_1Q_2\tau - Q_2^2, 0).$$
(5)

Isolating single resonance exchange contributions to  $\Pi_i$ in terms of their TFFs is a nontrivial task, which has recently been extended to spin-1 and spin-2 resonances using a new optimized basis [39]. In the case of tensor meson exchanges, the absence of kinematic singularities is however given only when the tensor TFFs have certain simplifications. (Nevertheless, in triangle kinematics, the problem this poses for the dispersive approach can be avoided in the strategy developed in [48, 49].) A particular problem for hadronic models is the fact that TFFs of meson resonances have a power-law decay at large virtualities [50] that makes it impossible to satisfy the SDCs following from the OPE of pQCD by a finite number of resonances. Especially important is the SDC derived for the longitudinal amplitude  $\bar{\Pi}_1$  by Melnikov and Vainshtein [13] from the triangle anomaly in combination with the OPE when two virtualities are much larger than the third,

$$\mathcal{C}_{\rm MV} = \lim_{Q_3 \to \infty} \lim_{Q \to \infty} Q^2 Q_3^2 \bar{\Pi}_1(Q, Q, Q_3) = -\frac{2}{3\pi^2}, \quad (6)$$

while the symmetric limit gives

$$C_{\text{sym}} = \lim_{Q \to \infty} Q^4 \bar{\Pi}_1(Q, Q, Q) = -\frac{4}{9\pi^2},$$
 (7)

as proved (and extended) in the subsequent analyses of Refs. [51, 52].

#### III. HOLOGRAPHIC QCD

Holographic QCD models [53–57] are inspired by the original AdS/CFT duality between a four-dimensional (4D) conformal large-N<sub>c</sub> gauge theory at strong coupling and a classical five-dimensional (5D) field theory in a curved gravitational background with anti-de-Sitter metric [58–60]: for every quantum operator  $\mathcal{O}(x)$  of the 4D (strongly coupled) gauge theory, there exists a corresponding 5D field  $\phi(x, z)$  living in an (asymptotically AdS space, whose value on the conformal boundary (taken at  $z = \epsilon \rightarrow 0$ )  $\phi(x, 0) \equiv \phi_0(x)$ , is identified (modulo some specific powers of  $\epsilon$ ), with the four-dimensional source of  $\mathcal{O}(x)$ . In this way correlation functions of the 4D operators can be obtained by functional derivatives of the 5D Lagrangian with respect to the values of the 5D fields on the conformal boundary.

Correlation functions of (conserved)  $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ (or  $U(3)_L \times U(3)_R$ ) flavor chiral currents of QCD, in the large-Nc limit, are obtained from a 5D Yang-Mills action with coupling constant  $g_5$  plus a Chern-Simons term in a curved 5D AdS<sub>5</sub> space. In the simplest case that we shall consider here, in so-called hard-wall (HW) models, the extra fifth (holographic) dimension extends over the finite interval  $z \in (0, z_0]$ ,

$$S_{\rm YM} = -\frac{1}{4g_5^2} \int d^4x \int_0^{z_0} dz \sqrt{-g} g^{PR} g^{QS} \\ \times \operatorname{tr} \left( \mathcal{F}_{PQ}^{\rm L} \mathcal{F}_{RS}^{\rm L} + \mathcal{F}_{PQ}^{\rm R} \mathcal{F}_{RS}^{\rm R} \right), \quad (8)$$

where P, Q, R, S = 0, ..., 3, z and  $\mathcal{F}_{MN} = \partial_M \mathcal{B}_N - \partial_N \mathcal{B}_M - i[\mathcal{B}_M, \mathcal{B}_N]$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_N = L_N, R_N$  being 5D gauge fields transforming under  $U(3)_{L,R}$  respectively; vector and axial-vector fields are given by  $V_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}(L_{\mu} + R_{\mu})$ and  $A_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}(L_{\mu} - R_{\mu})$ .

Interactions with photons involve the vector bulk-toboundary propagator, which is a solution of the vector 5D equation of motion for generic value of the 4D momentum  $q^2$  satisfying the boundary conditions  $\mathcal{J}(q,0) = 1$  and  $\partial_z \mathcal{J}(q,z_0) = 0$ . For Euclidean momentum  $q^2 = -Q^2$ , it is given in HW models by

$$\mathcal{J}(Q,z) = Qz \left[ K_1(Qz) + \frac{K_0(Qz_0)}{I_0(Qz_0)} I_1(Qz) \right]$$
(9)

According to the holographic recipe, the 4D vector current two-point function follows from the asymptotic behavior of (9), and matching it to the leading-order pQCD result for  $Q^2 \rightarrow \infty$  [54],

$$\Pi_V(Q^2) = -\frac{1}{g_5^2 Q^2} \left( \frac{1}{z} \partial_z \mathcal{J}(Q, z) \right) \Big|_{z \to 0} \sim -\frac{N_c}{24\pi^2} \ln Q^2$$
(10)

determines  $g_5^2 = 12\pi^2/N_c = (2\pi)^2$  for  $N_c = 3$ .

Single resonances appear as the coefficients of the expansion of the 5D fields in terms of a series of normalizable eigenfunctions. In the case of the vector field the masses are given by  $m_n = \gamma_{0,n}/z_0$ , where  $\gamma_{0,n}$  is the *n*th zero of the Bessel function  $J_0(\gamma_{0,n}) = 0$ . Identifying the mass of the lowest vector resonance with the mass of the  $\rho$  meson  $m_1 = \gamma_{0,1}/z_0 = m_\rho = 775.26$  MeV fixes  $z_0 = \gamma_{0,1}/m_\rho = 3.102 \,\text{GeV}^{-1}$ .

A rich dynamics is contained in the sector of axial gauge fields, which yields a TFF for axial vector mesons [30] in agreement with the Landau-Yang theorem [61, 62] and with the (subsequently derived) doubly virtual asymptotic behavior deduced from QCD light-cone expansions [50]. Summing over the infinite tower of axial vector mesons one finds that the MV-SDC is realized in the form [30–32]

$$\mathcal{C}_{\rm MV}^{\rm A} = -\left(\frac{g_5}{2\pi}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty d\xi \,\xi [\xi K_1(\xi)]^2 = -\frac{2}{3\pi^2} \left(\frac{g_5}{2\pi}\right)^2. \tag{11}$$

The symmetric LSDC, on the other hand, is reproduced as far as the power-law behavior is concerned, but the coefficient therein is 19% too small:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\rm sym}^{\rm A} = -\frac{g_5^2}{(2\pi)^2 \pi^2} \int_0^\infty d\xi \,\xi [\xi K_1(\xi)]^3$$
$$= -0.8122 \times \frac{4}{9\pi^2} \left(\frac{g_5}{2\pi}\right)^2. \tag{12}$$

# IV. TENSOR MESONS IN HQCD

Following [35, 63, 64], the tensor meson is introduced in the model as a deformation of the 4D part of the AdS metric

$$ds^{2} = g_{MN} dx^{M} dx^{N} = \frac{1}{z^{2}} (\eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}) dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} - \frac{1}{z^{2}} dz^{2},$$
(13)

whose dynamics is governed by the 5D Einstein-Hilbert action

$$S_{\rm EH} = -2k_T \int d^5 x \sqrt{g} \left(\mathcal{R} + 2\Lambda\right) \tag{14}$$



FIG. 1. Comparison of singly virtual tensor TFFs for helicity  $\lambda = 2$  with Belle data [40] for the  $f_2(1270)$ , normalized by  $\mathcal{F}^{f_2}(0,0) \equiv \sqrt{\mathcal{F}_1^T(0,0)^2 + \mathcal{F}_2^T(0,0)^2/24} = \sqrt{5\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}/(\pi\alpha^2 M_T)}$ . HW is the hard-wall hQCD result, QM( $\Lambda_T$ ) refers to the quark model of Ref. [65]. (Figure taken from [66], which also shows the comparison for the remaining helicities.)

with  $k_T$  determining the strength of the coupling to other fields.

Tensor mesons arise as solutions for tracelesstransverse metric fluctuation  $h_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^T_{\mu\nu}(q)h_n(z)$  with  $h_n(0) = 0 = h'_n(z_0)$ . Requiring canonical normalization  $k_T \int dz z^{-3} (\partial_z h_n)^2 = (m_n^T)^2$ , they are given explicitly by

$$h_n(z) = \frac{\sqrt{2/k_T}}{z_0 J_2\left(\gamma_{1,n}\right)} z^2 J_2(\gamma_{1,n} z/z_0), \qquad (15)$$

yielding an infinite tower of (flavor singlet) tensor meson modes with masses fixed by the zeros of the Bessel function  $J_1$ ,

$$m_n^T/m_\rho = \gamma_{1,n}/\gamma_{0,1} = 1.593, 2.917, 4.230, \dots$$
 (16)

The lowest tensor resonance has thus a mass  $m_1^T = 1.235$  GeV, which is only 3% below the physical value  $M_{f_2} = 1.2754$  GeV of  $f_2(1270)$ .

A single tensor mode gives rise to the  $T\to\gamma^*\gamma^*$  amplitude

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = T_1^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \frac{1}{m_T} \mathcal{F}_1 + T_3^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \frac{1}{m_T^3} \mathcal{F}_3 \qquad (17)$$

with structure functions (following the notation of [50])

$$\mathcal{F}_{1}^{T}(-Q_{1}^{2}, -Q_{2}^{2})/m_{T} = -\frac{1}{g_{5}^{2}} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{Q}^{2} \int \frac{dz}{z} h_{n}(z) \mathcal{J}(z, Q_{1}) \mathcal{J}(z, Q_{2}), \qquad (18)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{3}^{T}(-Q_{1}^{2},-Q_{2}^{2})/m_{T}^{3} = -\frac{1}{g_{5}^{2}} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{Q}^{2} \int \frac{dz}{z} h_{n}(z) \frac{\partial_{z} \mathcal{J}(z,Q_{1})}{Q_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial_{z} \mathcal{J}(z,Q_{2})}{Q_{2}^{2}}.$$
 (19)

Evaluating this for the lowest tensor mode in the singly virtual case where experimental data are available [40] for the  $f_2(1270)$  tensor meson, one obtains a remarkably good agreement as shown in Fig. 1, where it is compared with the quark model (QM) ansatz [65] with dipole scale  $\Lambda_T$  set to either  $m_{\rho}$  as in [28] or  $M_T$  as in [50, 65].

Summing over the infinite tower of tensor modes corresponds to a Witten diagram with bulk-to-bulk tensor propagator involving

$$G(z, z'; q^2) = \sum_{0}^{\infty} \frac{h_n(z)h_n(z')}{q^2 - m_n^2}$$
(20)

which at  $q^2 = 0$  has the simple form

$$G(z, z'; 0) = -\frac{1}{4}\min(z^4, z'^4).$$
 (21)

For the longitudinal amplitude this yields

$$\bar{\Pi}_{1}(Q,Q,Q_{3}) = -\frac{4}{k_{T}} \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}Q^{2}}{g_{5}^{2}}\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{z_{0}} \frac{dzdz'}{zz'} \times \mathcal{J}(z,Q) \mathcal{J}(z',Q) \frac{\partial_{z'}\mathcal{J}(z',Q_{3})}{Q_{3}^{2}} \partial_{z'}G(z,z';0). \quad (22)$$

In [66] we have given the strongly different results for the individual tensor contributions to  $\overline{\Pi}_i$  evaluated alternatively with only the pole contribution as defined by the dispersive approach [39] and without this restriction. Summing over the whole tower of tensor modes leads to exactly the same result (22), whereas in the case of axial vector mesons there is equivalence between the two possibilities already at the level of individual modes.

In the limit  $Q_3 \ll Q \to \infty$  the result (22) decays faster than  $1/Q^2$ , giving no contribution to the MV-SDC. But in the symmetric limit  $Q_3 = Q \to \infty$  one obtains

$$\bar{\Pi}_{1}(Q,Q,Q) \to \frac{4}{Q^{4}} \frac{1}{k_{T}} \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr} Q^{2}}{g_{5}^{2}}\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\xi K_{1}(\xi) \int_{0}^{\xi} d\xi' \xi'^{3} \times K_{1}(\xi') \partial_{\xi'} \left[\xi' K_{1}(\xi')\right],$$
$$= \frac{4}{Q^{4}} \frac{1}{k_{T}} \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr} Q^{2}}{g_{5}^{2}}\right)^{2} \times (-0.15285). \quad (23)$$

This is 12.23% of the OPE result for  $N_c = N_f = 3$  when  $k_T$  is matched through the energy-momentum tensor two-point function as done originally in [63], i.e.,

$$k_T \equiv \frac{1}{g_f^2} = \left(\frac{N_c N_f}{80\pi^2} + \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{40\pi^2}\right) = \frac{5}{16\pi^2}.$$
 (24)

Together with the contribution from the axial vector mesons, the symmetric SDC is matched at the level of 81.22 + 12.23 = 93.45%, and this could be increased to 98.2% if (24) is reduced to the term proportional to  $N_c^2$  that would be selected in a large- $N_c$  expansion.

However, this nearly perfect match is somewhat coincidental, since it does not reproduce the correct large- $N_c$  scaling. The OPE result is proportional to  $N_c \text{tr} Q^4$ , whereas the above result approaches  $N_c^0(\text{tr} Q^2)^2$  because  $g_5^2 = 12\pi^2/N_c$ . The correct behavior could be obtained by a multiplet of tensor contributions with



FIG. 2. Contribution of tensor mesons to the symmetric longitudinal SDC:  $Q^4 \hat{\Pi}_1(-Q^2, -Q^2, -Q^2)$  with full tensor bulkto-bulk propagator (blue) and the contributions from the first three modes (orange, green, red), normalized to the full symmetric SDC value  $\Omega = -4/(9\pi^2)$  with  $k_T$  fixed by (24). By contrast, added to Fig. 4 in [30], which shows how the tower of axial vector mesons saturates the MV-SDC in the asymmetric limit  $Q_3 \ll Q_1 \sim Q_2 \rightarrow \infty$ , the tensor contribution would not be discernible as different from zero.

 $k_T \propto N_c$  as provided by the quark part of the energymomentum tensor, contributing proportional to the sum  $\sum_{a=0,3,8} (\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{Q}^2 T^a)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{Q}^4$ . Instead of fixing  $k_T$  by (24), one could then determine it by matching the combined contribution of axial vector and tensor meson contributions to the symmetric longitudinal SDC. With degenerate tensor mesons as given by the AdS/QCD model, the result is simply an extra overall factor compared to the above result. For  $g_5^2 = (2\pi)^2$  as given by the OPE of the vector correlator, the tensor contribution to the HLbL amplitude and thus to  $a_{\mu}$  needed to be enhanced by a factor 1.536; if one chooses a reduced  $g_5^2 = 0.894(2\pi)^2$  by fitting  $F_{\rho}$  as proposed in [34], the contribution from tensor mesons is enhanced by a factor  $1/0.894^2$  relative to the axial vector contributions so that another factor of 1.097 suffices to have the correct ratio between symmetric and MV SDC. In these two scenarios, the contributions from the tensor mesons to  $a_{\mu}$ would have to be enhanced simply by a factor 1.536 and 1.373, respectively, compared to the initial choice (24) together with  $g_5^2 = (2\pi)^2$ . The corresponding increase of  $g_f$  would also mitigate the numerical deficit for the decay rate  $\Gamma(f_2 \to \pi\pi)$  that was obtained in [63] with (24), the large- $N_c$  scaling of which indeed fits to a tensor glueball rather than a  $q\bar{q}$  state.

In fact, matching the lowest tensor meson pole contribution in the AdS/QCD model with (24) to mass and two-photon decay rates of the experimentally observed  $f_2(1270), a_2(1320), f'_2(1525)$  one obtains also a result that is about a factor 1.5 higher. The two options for fitting  $g_5^2$ , OPE-fit and  $F_{\rho}$ -fit, and then fixing  $k_T$  through the OPE ratio of the symmetric and asymmetric LSDC give a result that tightly brackets the one obtained by match-

$k_T$	$M_T \; [\text{GeV}]$	$\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} \ [\text{keV}]$	IR	Mixed	$a_{\mu} [10^{-11}]$
by $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}$	1.2754(8)	2.65(45)	2.28	0.13	2.40(41)
	1.3182(6)	1.01(9)	0.85	0.04	0.89(8)
	1.5173(24)	0.08(2)	0.06	0.002	0.06(2)
	$f_2 + a_2 + f'_2$		3.18	0.17	3.35(42)
$F_{\rho}$ fit	1.235	2.3 + 0.8 + 0.2	2.92	0.19	3.11
OPE fit	1.235	2.6 + 0.9 + 0.2	3.27	0.21	3.48

TABLE I.  $a_{\mu}$  results for the tensor meson pole contributions obtained by inserting the hQCD results for  $\mathcal{F}_{1,3}^T$  in the formulae obtained in [39] within the dispersive approach, when experimental values of masses and two-photon decay rates are matched (upper four lines), compared to the results in the AdS/QCD model when  $k_T$  is fixed by the symmetric SDC with  $g_5$  alternatively determined by a fit of  $F_{\rho}$  or the OPE of the vector correlator. The columns IR and Mixed give the contributions from the low-energy (IR) region defined by  $Q_i \leq Q_0 = 1.5$  GeV and from the region where one or two virtualities are above  $Q_0$ . (Contributions from the region where  $Q_i > Q_0$  for all  $Q_i$  are below  $10^{-13}$ .) In the last two lines  $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}$  for the (degenerate) AdS/QCD result has been split as (69+25+6)% corresponding to ideal mixing for  $f_2 + a_2 + f'_2$ .

ing the experimental data for  $f_2, a_2, f'_2$ , see Table I. Here more than 90% of the tensor contributions to  $a_{\mu}$  are from the low-energy region  $Q_i \leq Q_0 = 1.5$  GeV, while contributions from all  $Q_i > Q_0$  are below  $10^{-13}$ .

Summing over the infinite tower of excited tensor modes by using the full bulk-to-bulk propagator still gives negligible (~  $10^{-13}$ ) contributions from the high-energy region  $Q_i > Q_0$ , but rather large contributions in the IR region

$$a_{\mu}^{T,\text{total}} \times 10^{11} = \begin{cases} 9.8 & (F_{\rho}\text{-fit}) \\ 11.0 & (\text{OPE-fit}) \end{cases}, \qquad (25)$$

$$a_{\mu}^{T,\text{total}}|_{\text{IR}} \times 10^{11} = \begin{cases} 8.5 & (F_{\rho}\text{-fit}) \\ 9.5 & (\text{OPE-fit}) \end{cases}, \quad (26)$$

with the difference between the IR and the total result belonging to the mixed region. In line with the "bestguess" model for the hQCD axial-sector contributions of [34] we would adopt the  $F_{\rho}$ -fit case as central value, the OPE-fit case as upper, and the original parameter choice of [63] as lower values for a theoretical error estimate within hQCD,

$$a_{\mu}^{T,\text{total}} = 9.8^{+1.2}_{-2.6} \times 10^{-11},$$
  
$$a_{\mu}^{T,\text{total}}|_{\text{IR}} = 8.5^{+1.0}_{-2.3} \times 10^{-11}.$$
 (27)

A contribution in the IR region of this size from tensor mesons would move the central value for  $a_{\mu}^{\text{HLbL,total}}$  obtained therein in a dispersive approach from<sup>1</sup> 101.9(7.9) to about  $113 \times 10^{-11}$ . This would then agree perfectly with the recent lattice results of 109.6(15.9), 124.7(14.9), and 125.5(11.6)  $\times 10^{-11}$  of the Mainz group [41, 42], the RBC-UKQCD group [43], and the BMWc lattice group [44], respectively.

As shown in [66], the sizable *positive* contribution from tensor mesons is a consequence of the presence of the structure function  $\mathcal{F}_3^T$ , which is not constrained by existing data on singly virtual TFFs for tensor mesons. Dropping it would give negative results for the tensor contribution, but within the holographic approach this is not possible without violating the symmetries of AdS; moreover, because of chiral symmetry, dropping the corresponding term in the vector part of the action, would require to drop also the same kind of term for axial vectors, completely losing the coupling between tensor mesons and pions in the Hirn-Sanz [56] model.

In [50] it was found that asymptotically  $\mathcal{F}_{2,4,5}^T$  are of comparable magnitude as  $\mathcal{F}_3^T$ , while they do not arise in the present hQCD model. Moreover, the asymptotic asymmetry functions obtained for  $\mathcal{F}_{1,3}^T$  differ [66], while there is agreement in the case of axial-vector mesons [30]. This calls for further analysis, in particular for the remaining SDCs on the HLbL amplitude.

However, the holographic prediction that  $\mathcal{F}_3^T$  contributes importantly at low energies can be tested in the doubly virtual  $T \to \gamma^* \gamma^*$  decays, for which experimental data will hopefully become available in the future.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Martin Hoferichter for very useful discussions. This work has been supported by the Austrian Science Fund FWF, project no. PAT 7221623. L.C. acknowledges the support of the INFN research project ENP (Exploring New Physics).

 MUON g - 2 collaboration, Measurement of the Positive Muon Anomalous Magnetic Moment to 0.20 ppm, Phys. Rev. Lett. 131 (2023) 161802 [2308.06230].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ref. [28, 29] obtained  $-2.5 \times 10^{-11}$  for the pole contribution of the ground-state tensor multiplet in the IR region, which in the hQCD case with  $F_{\rho}$ -fit reads  $+2.9 \times 10^{-11}$  (see Table I). Ref. [28, 29] also includes additional effective poles to account for other higher intermediate states. The amount of their contribution  $(+2.0 \times 10^{-11})$  is comparable to the contributions of excited pseudoscalar and axial vector states in the hQCD model of [34]

 $<sup>(+2.3 \</sup>times 10^{-11} \text{ for } F_{\rho}\text{-fit})$ . The hQCD  $(F_{\rho}\text{-fit})$  result for the contribution of excited tensors to the IR region amounts to an extra  $8.5 - 2.9 = 5.6 \times 10^{-11}$  in this category.

- [2] MUON g 2 collaboration, Detailed report on the measurement of the positive muon anomalous magnetic moment to 0.20 ppm, Phys. Rev. D 110 (2024) 032009 [2402.15410].
- [3] T. Aoyama et al., The anomalous magnetic moment of the muon in the Standard Model, Phys. Rept. 887 (2020) 1 [2006.04822].
- [4] G. Colangelo et al., Prospects for precise predictions of a<sub>μ</sub> in the Standard Model, 2203.15810.
- [5] S. Borsanyi et al., Leading hadronic contribution to the muon magnetic moment from lattice QCD, Nature 593 (2021) 51 [2002.12347].
- [6] M. Cè et al., Window observable for the hadronic vacuum polarization contribution to the muon g - 2 from lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) 114502 [2206.06582].
- [7] EXTENDED TWISTED MASS collaboration, Lattice calculation of the short and intermediate time-distance hadronic vacuum polarization contributions to the muon magnetic moment using twisted-mass fermions, Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023) 074506 [2206.15084].
- [8] FERMILAB LATTICE, HPQCD,, MILC collaboration, Light-quark connected intermediate-window contributions to the muon g-2 hadronic vacuum polarization from lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023) 114514 [2301.08274].
- [9] RBC, UKQCD collaboration, Update of Euclidean windows of the hadronic vacuum polarization, Phys. Rev. D 108 (2023) 054507 [2301.08696].
- [10] A. Boccaletti et al., High precision calculation of the hadronic vacuum polarisation contribution to the muon anomaly, 2407.10913.
- [11] RBC, UKQCD collaboration, The long-distance window of the hadronic vacuum polarization for the muon q-2, 2410.20590.
- [12] D. Djukanovic, G. von Hippel, S. Kuberski, H.B. Meyer, N. Miller, K. Ottnad et al., *The hadronic vacuum* polarization contribution to the muon g - 2 at long distances, 2411.07969.
- [13] K. Melnikov and A. Vainshtein, Hadronic light-by-light scattering contribution to the muon anomalous magnetic moment revisited, Phys. Rev. D70 (2004) 113006 [hep-ph/0312226].
- [14] P. Masjuan and P. Sánchez-Puertas, Pseudoscalar-pole contribution to the  $(g_{\mu} - 2)$ : a rational approach, Phys. Rev. **D95** (2017) 054026 [1701.05829].
- [15] G. Colangelo, M. Hoferichter, M. Procura and P. Stoffer, Rescattering effects in the hadronic-light-by-light contribution to the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118 (2017) 232001 [1701.06554].
- [16] G. Colangelo, M. Hoferichter, M. Procura and P. Stoffer, Dispersion relation for hadronic light-by-light scattering: two-pion contributions, JHEP 04 (2017) 161 [1702.07347].
- [17] M. Hoferichter, B.-L. Hoid, B. Kubis, S. Leupold and S.P. Schneider, *Pion-pole contribution to hadronic* light-by-light scattering in the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121** (2018) 112002 [1805.01471].
- [18] M. Hoferichter, B.-L. Hoid, B. Kubis, S. Leupold and S.P. Schneider, *Dispersion relation for hadronic light-by-light scattering: pion pole*, *JHEP* **10** (2018) 141 [1808.04823].

- [19] A. Gérardin, H.B. Meyer and A. Nyffeler, *Lattice calculation of the pion transition form factor with*  $N_f = 2 + 1$  Wilson quarks, Phys. Rev. **D100** (2019) 034520 [1903.09471].
- [20] J. Bijnens, N. Hermansson-Truedsson and A. Rodríguez-Sánchez, Short-distance constraints for the HLbL contribution to the muon anomalous magnetic moment, Phys. Lett. B798 (2019) 134994 [1908.03331].
- [21] G. Colangelo, F. Hagelstein, M. Hoferichter, L. Laub and P. Stoffer, Short-distance constraints on hadronic light-by-light scattering in the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon, Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) 051501 [1910.11881].
- [22] G. Colangelo, F. Hagelstein, M. Hoferichter, L. Laub and P. Stoffer, Longitudinal short-distance constraints for the hadronic light-by-light contribution to  $(g-2)_{\mu}$ with large-N<sub>c</sub> Regge models, JHEP **03** (2020) 101 [1910.13432].
- [23] V. Pauk and M. Vanderhaeghen, Single meson contributions to the muon's anomalous magnetic moment, Eur. Phys. J. C74 (2014) 3008 [1401.0832].
- [24] I. Danilkin and M. Vanderhaeghen, Light-by-light scattering sum rules in light of new data, Phys. Rev. D95 (2017) 014019 [1611.04646].
- [25] F. Jegerlehner, The Anomalous Magnetic Moment of the Muon, Springer Tracts Mod. Phys. 274 (2017) 1.
- [26] M. Knecht, S. Narison, A. Rabemananjara and D. Rabetiarivony, Scalar meson contributions to a<sub>μ</sub> from hadronic light-by-light scattering, Phys. Lett. B787 (2018) 111 [1808.03848].
- [27] G. Eichmann, C.S. Fischer and R. Williams, Kaon-box contribution to the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon, Phys. Rev. D101 (2020) 054015 [1910.06795].
- [28] M. Hoferichter, P. Stoffer and M. Zillinger, Dispersion relation for hadronic light-by-light scattering: subleading contributions, 2412.00178.
- [29] M. Hoferichter, P. Stoffer and M. Zillinger, A complete dispersive evaluation of hadronic light-by-light scattering, 2412.00190.
- [30] J. Leutgeb and A. Rebhan, Axial vector transition form factors in holographic QCD and their contribution to the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon, Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) 114015 [1912.01596].
- [31] L. Cappiello, O. Catà, G. D'Ambrosio, D. Greynat and A. Iyer, Axial-vector and pseudoscalar mesons in the hadronic light-by-light contribution to the muon (g - 2), Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020) 016009 [1912.02779].
- [32] J. Leutgeb and A. Rebhan, Hadronic light-by-light contribution to the muon g - 2 from holographic QCD with massive pions, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 094017 [2108.12345].
- [33] J. Leutgeb, J. Mager and A. Rebhan, Holographic QCD and the muon anomalous magnetic moment, Eur. Phys. J. C 81 (2021) 1008 [2110.07458].
- [34] J. Leutgeb, J. Mager and A. Rebhan, Hadronic light-by-light contribution to the muon g - 2 from holographic QCD with solved U(1)<sub>A</sub> problem, Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023) 054021 [2211.16562].
- [35] P. Colangelo, F. Giannuzzi and S. Nicotri, Hadronic light-by-light scattering contributions to (g - 2)<sub>μ</sub> from axial-vector and tensor mesons in the holographic soft-wall model, Phys. Rev. D 109 (2024) 094036 [2402.07579].
- [36] J. Leutgeb, J. Mager and A. Rebhan, Superconnections

in AdS/QCD and the hadronic light-by-light contribution to the muon g - 2, 2411.10432.

- [37] P. Masjuan, P. Roig and P. Sánchez-Puertas, The interplay of transverse degrees of freedom and axial-vector mesons with short-distance constraints in g-2, J. Phys. G 49 (2022) 015002 [2005.11761].
- [38] G. Eichmann, C.S. Fischer, T. Haeuser and O. Regenfelder, Axial-vector and scalar contributions to hadronic light-by-light scattering, 2411.05652.
- [39] M. Hoferichter, P. Stoffer and M. Zillinger, An optimized basis for hadronic light-by-light scattering, JHEP 04 (2024) 092 [2402.14060].
- [40] BELLE collaboration, Study of  $\pi^0$  pair production in single-tag two-photon collisions, Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016) 032003 [1508.06757].
- [41] E.-H. Chao, R.J. Hudspith, A. Gérardin, J.R. Green, H.B. Meyer and K. Ottnad, *Hadronic light-by-light* contribution to (g – 2)<sub>μ</sub> from lattice QCD: a complete calculation, Eur. Phys. J. C 81 (2021) 651 [2104.02632].
- [42] E.-H. Chao, R.J. Hudspith, A. Gérardin, J.R. Green and H.B. Meyer, *The charm-quark contribution to* light-by-light scattering in the muon (g - 2) from lattice QCD, Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022) 664 [2204.08844].
- [43] RBC, UKQCD collaboration, Hadronic light-by-light contribution to the muon anomaly from lattice QCD with infinite volume QED at physical pion mass, Phys. Rev. D 111 (2025) 014501 [2304.04423].
- [44] Z. Fodor, A. Gerardin, L. Lellouch, K.K. Szabo, B.C. Toth and C. Zimmermann, Hadronic light-by-light scattering contribution to the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon at the physical pion mass, 2411.11719.
- [45] W.A. Bardeen and W.K. Tung, Invariant amplitudes for photon processes, Phys. Rev. 173 (1968) 1423.
- [46] R. Tarrach, Invariant Amplitudes for Virtual Compton Scattering Off Polarized Nucleons Free from Kinematical Singularities, Zeros and Constraints, Nuovo Cim. A28 (1975) 409.
- [47] G. Colangelo, M. Hoferichter, M. Procura and P. Stoffer, Dispersion relation for hadronic light-by-light scattering: theoretical foundations, JHEP 09 (2015) 074 [1506.01386].
- [48] J. Lüdtke, M. Procura and P. Stoffer, Dispersion relations for hadronic light-by-light scattering in triangle kinematics, JHEP 04 (2023) 125 [2302.12264].
- [49] J. Lüdtke, M. Procura and P. Stoffer, Dispersion relations for the hadronic VVA correlator, 2410.11946.
- [50] M. Hoferichter and P. Stoffer, Asymptotic behavior of meson transition form factors, JHEP 05 (2020) 159 [2004.06127].

- [51] J. Bijnens, N. Hermansson-Truedsson, L. Laub and A. Rodríguez-Sánchez, Short-distance HLbL contributions to the muon anomalous magnetic moment beyond perturbation theory, JHEP 10 (2020) 203 [2008.13487].
- [52] J. Bijnens, N. Hermansson-Truedsson, L. Laub and A. Rodríguez-Sánchez, The two-loop perturbative correction to the  $(g-2)_{\mu}$  HLbL at short distances, JHEP **04** (2021) 240 [2101.09169].
- [53] T. Sakai and S. Sugimoto, Low energy hadron physics in holographic QCD, Prog. Theor. Phys. 113 (2005) 843 [hep-th/0412141].
- [54] J. Erlich, E. Katz, D.T. Son and M.A. Stephanov, QCD and a holographic model of hadrons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 (2005) 261602 [hep-ph/0501128].
- [55] L. Da Rold and A. Pomarol, Chiral symmetry breaking from five-dimensional spaces, Nucl. Phys. B721 (2005) 79 [hep-ph/0501218].
- [56] J. Hirn and V. Sanz, Interpolating between low and high energy QCD via a 5-D Yang-Mills model, JHEP 12 (2005) 030 [hep-ph/0507049].
- [57] A. Karch, E. Katz, D.T. Son and M.A. Stephanov, Linear confinement and AdS/QCD, Phys. Rev. D74 (2006) 015005 [hep-ph/0602229].
- [58] J.M. Maldacena, The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity, Int.J. Theor. Phys. 38 (1999) 1113 [hep-th/9711200].
- [59] S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory, Phys. Lett. B 428 (1998) 105 [hep-th/9802109].
- [60] E. Witten, Anti-de Sitter space and holography, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 253 [hep-th/9802150].
- [61] L.D. Landau, On the angular momentum of a system of two photons, Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ser. Fiz. 60 (1948) 207.
- [62] C.-N. Yang, Selection Rules for the Dematerialization of a Particle Into Two Photons, Phys. Rev. 77 (1950) 242.
- [63] E. Katz, A. Lewandowski and M.D. Schwartz, *Tensor mesons in AdS/QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* 74 (2006) 086004 [hep-ph/0510388].
- [64] S. Mamedov, Z. Hashimli and S. Jafarzade, *Tensor meson couplings in AdS/QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* 108 (2023) 114032 [2308.12392].
- [65] G.A. Schuler, F.A. Berends and R. van Gulik, Meson photon transition form-factors and resonance cross-sections in e+ e- collisions, Nucl. Phys. B 523 (1998) 423 [hep-ph/9710462].
- [66] L. Cappiello, J. Leutgeb, J. Mager and A. Rebhan, Tensor meson transition form factors in holographic QCD and the muon g - 2, 2501.09699.