

# FLUCTUATION CORRECTION AND GLOBAL SOLUTIONS FOR THE STOCHASTIC SHIGESADA-KAWASAKI-TERAMOTO SYSTEM VIA ENTROPY-BASED REGULARIZATION

FLORIAN HUBER

ABSTRACT. We derive a noise term to account for fluctuation corrections based on the particle system approximation for the  $n$ -species Shigesada-Kawasaki-Teramoto (SKT) system. For the resulting system of stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs), we establish the existence of nonnegative, global, weak martingale solutions. Our approach utilizes the regularization technique introduced in [3], which is grounded in the entropy structure of the system.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Notation and main result	5
2.1. Notation and stochastic framework	5
2.2. Assumptions	5
2.3. Main results	6
3. Operator setup	7
3.1. Definition of the connection operator $L$	7
3.2. Definition of the regularization operator $R_\varepsilon$	7
4. Existence of approximate solutions	8
5. The SKT system with fluctuation correction	9
6. Uniform estimates	10
6.1. Higher order uniform estimates	16
6.2. Tightness of the laws of $(u^\varepsilon)$	21
6.3. Convergence of $(u^\varepsilon)$	22
7. The fluctuation correction term	27
8. Appendix	34
8.1. Proofs of technical lemmata	34
8.2. Auxiliary Lemmata	42
References	43

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In their seminal work [34], Shigesada, Kawasaki, and Teramoto (SKT) introduced a deterministic cross-diffusion system for two competing species, designed to model segregation dynamics in population interactions. Building on the recent derivation of the SKT model from an interacting particle system [9], we incorporate a noise term that accounts for fluctuations of the particle system around its mean-field limit, following the principles of fluctuating hydrodynamics. This extension leads to the stochastic system for  $n$  species, where  $u_i$  represents the population density of the  $i$ th species:

---

*Date:* January 31, 2025.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60H15, 35R60, 35Q92.

*Key words and phrases.* Population dynamics, cross-diffusion, martingale solutions, multiplicative noise, entropy method.

$$(1.1) \quad du_i - \operatorname{div} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}(u) \nabla u_j \right) dt = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} \operatorname{div} (\sigma_i(u) \circ_\lambda dW_i(t)) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{O}, \quad t > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

with initial and no-flux boundary conditions

$$(1.2) \quad u_i(0) = u_i^0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{O}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}(u) \nabla u_j \cdot \nu = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\mathcal{O}, \quad t > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and coefficients

$$(1.3) \quad A_{ij}(u) = \delta_{ij} \left( a_{i0} + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} u_k \right) + a_{ij} u_i, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$(1.4) \quad \sigma(u)_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \sqrt{u_i \left( a_{i0} + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} u_k^\varepsilon \right)}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  ( $d \geq 1$ ) is a bounded domain.  $\nu$  denotes the exterior unit normal vector to the boundary  $\partial\mathcal{O}$  of our domain.  $(W_1, \dots, W_n)$  is an  $d \times n$ -dimensional, spatially colored, Wiener noise, and  $a_{ij} \geq 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n, j = 0, \dots, n$  are parameters.

We define

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} \operatorname{div} (\sigma_i(u) \circ_\lambda dW_i(t)) := \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} \operatorname{div} (\sigma_i(u) dW_i(t)) + \frac{1}{N} \lambda \mathcal{T}(v^\varepsilon)_i dt,$$

where the entries of the  $n \times 1$  dimensional  $\lambda$ -modified Itô-Stratonovich correction term are given by

$$\mathcal{T}(v^\varepsilon)_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \partial_{x_l} \left( (\partial_{u(s)_i} (\sigma(u(s))))_{ii} e_k^{il} e_k^{il} \partial_{x_l} (\sigma(u(s)))_{ii} + \partial_{u(s)_i} (\sigma(u(s)))_{ii} e_k^{il} \partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} (\sigma(u(s)))_{ii} \right).$$

The noise term introduced in our model accounts for the effects of finite population sizes, capturing the inherent fluctuations that arise in systems with a discrete number of individuals. To represent this, we incorporate the factor  $\frac{1}{N}$ , which corresponds to the inverse of the population size for each species. As the population size tends to infinity, these stochastic fluctuations diminish, and the system's dynamics converge to those of the deterministic SKT model. This deterministic limit provides a mean-field approximation of the underlying particle system, effectively describing the collective behavior of individuals.

The stochastic framework, including the definition of the family  $(e_k^{il})_{ilk} : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , is presented in Section 2. To establish the global existence of solutions, we impose a “smallness” condition on the factor  $\frac{1}{N}$  relative to the coefficients of the matrix  $A$ . Additionally, we introduce a refined “Itô-Stratonovich” type correction, denoted by  $\circ_\lambda$ .

The deterministic counterpart of (1.1)–(1.3) generalizes the classical two-species SKT model [34] to an arbitrary number of species. This model can be rigorously derived from nonlocal population models [19, 33], stochastic interacting particle systems [8], and finite-state jump Markov models [1, 15]. Unlike the original SKT system [34], we omit deterministic environmental potentials and Lotka–Volterra terms for simplicity. Within this framework, we denote  $a_{i0}$  as the diffusion coefficients,  $a_{ii}$  as the self-diffusion coefficients, and  $a_{ij}$  for  $i \neq j$  as the cross-diffusion coefficients.

Recent developments in fluctuating hydrodynamics (e.g., [22, 23]) have motivated our study of a fluctuation-corrected noise term for the Shigesada-Kawasaki-Teramoto (SKT) system, based on the particle approximation introduced in [9]. This noise term serves as a correction for stochastic fluctuations and leads to a system of stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs) (1.1)–(1.3), for which we establish the existence of global, nonnegative solutions. As in [22, 23], the noise must be sufficiently smooth to ensure well-posedness; otherwise, similar to the Dean-Kawasaki equation, the system would become supercritical in the framework of singular SPDEs [24].

Previous studies of (1.1)–(1.3) have considered multiplicative Itô noise that preserves certain structural properties of the system. For example, the existence of a local pathwise mild solution

with  $n = 2$  was established in [29, Theorem 4.3] under the assumption that the diffusion matrix is positive definite and the noise coefficient satisfies standard Lipschitz conditions. A related system, incorporating quadratic rather than linear coefficients, was analyzed under detailed balance and small cross-diffusion coefficients in [18]. However, these works introduce significant simplifications, and the structural preservation assumed in previous studies does not hold in our present setting.

The present system, (1.1), presents two primary challenges. First, the diffusion matrix associated with (1.1) is generally nonsymmetric and lacks positive semidefiniteness, which renders standard semigroup theory inapplicable. In the deterministic case, methods relying on the gradient-flow (or entropy) structure, implicit Euler time discretization, and the Leray-Schauder fixed-point theorem have been successfully employed (see [10, 11, 27]). However, in the stochastic setting, an explicit Euler scheme is necessary to accommodate the stochastic Itô integral, precluding the use of entropy estimates. Alternatively, a Galerkin scheme, as detailed in [31, Theorem 4.2.4], reduces the system to a finite-dimensional setting but relies on energy-type ( $L^2$ ) estimates. In contrast, our system admits only entropy estimates involving the test function  $\log(u_i)$ , which lies outside the Galerkin space. Global martingale solutions for an SKT system with general coefficients satisfying detailed balance were established in [3], even in the absence of self-diffusion, by leveraging a novel regularization technique.

The second challenge arises from the noise structure derived from the particle system. This structure requires additional technical considerations as it only partially aligns with the entropy structure of the system. Unlike scalar equations, the noise in this system does not conform to the expected form dictated by the full gradient-flow structure,  $\operatorname{div} \left( B^{\frac{1}{2}}(u) dW_t \right)$ , where  $B$  is introduced in the subsequent pages. A noise based on this formal gradient-flow structure has been explored as a fluctuation correction in numerical simulations of multi-component fluid mixtures [21]. However, the particle system analysis in [9] suggests that for the SKT system, the appropriate fluctuation correction should depend on a component-wise mobility. A rigorous investigation into the approximation accuracy of this equation for the particle system, potentially following the methodology of [20], is deferred to future research.

Our strategy for determining the existence of solutions also relies on the system's entropy or formal gradient-flow structure. This time, however, the noise the interacting particle system suggests leads to additional technical difficulties. We say (1.1) has an entropy structure if there exists a function  $h : [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , called an entropy density, such that the deterministic analog of (1.1) can be written in terms of the entropy variables (or chemical potentials)  $w_i = \partial h / \partial u_i$  as

$$(1.5) \quad \partial_t u_i(w) - \operatorname{div} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n B_{ij}(w) \nabla w_j \right) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ ,  $u_i$  is interpreted as a function of  $w$ , and  $B(w) = A(u(w))h''(u(w))^{-1}$  with  $B = (B_{ij})$  is positive semidefinite. Formally, one could read this representation as

$$(1.6) \quad \partial_t u_i - \operatorname{div} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n B_{ij}(w) \nabla D\mathcal{H}(u)_j \right) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

for a suitable entropy functional  $\mathcal{H} = \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u) dx$ . For the deterministic analog of (1.1), it was shown in [12] that a useful entropy density is given by

$$(1.7) \quad h(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i (u_i (\log u_i - 1) + 1), \quad u \in [0, \infty)^n,$$

where the numbers  $\pi_i > 0$  are assumed to satisfy the so-called detailed-balance condition:  $\pi_i a_{ij} = \pi_j a_{ji}$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ . For the Markov chain associated to  $(a_{ij})$ , and  $(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)$ , this condition corresponds to reversibility ([12]). A formal computation yields that, for the deterministic SKT system under the detailed-balance condition,

$$(1.8) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u) dx + 2 \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i \left( 2a_{i0} |\nabla \sqrt{u_i}|^2 + 2a_{ii} |\nabla u_i|^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} |\nabla \sqrt{u_i u_j}|^2 \right) dx = 0.$$

A similar expression can be derived in the stochastic setting; see (6.13). Not only does the entropy structure provide us with bounds on  $u_i$ , it gives  $L^2$  estimates for  $\nabla\sqrt{u_i}$  if  $a_{i0} > 0$  and for  $\nabla u_i$  if  $a_{ii} > 0$ . Solving the system in terms of the entropy variables  $w$  leads to the positivity of  $u_i(w) = \exp(w_i/\pi_i)$ .

We will use this entropy structure combined with a regularization scheme, that preserves the entropy estimates and non-negativity after passing to the de-regularization limit. The main idea of this scheme is to “regularize” the entropy variable  $w$ . To be specific, we perturb the mapping  $w \mapsto u(w)$ , and define  $Q_\varepsilon(w) = u(w) + \varepsilon L^* L w$ , where  $L : D(L) \rightarrow H$  with domain  $D(L) \subset H$  is a suitable operator and  $L^*$  its dual. The operator  $L$  is chosen in such a way that all elements of  $D(L)$  are bounded functions, implying that  $u(w)$  is well-defined. It can be shown that the mapping  $Q_\varepsilon : D(L) \rightarrow D(L)'$  is invertible. We will use its inverse, denoted by  $R_\varepsilon : D(L)' \rightarrow D(L)$  as a regularization operator for our approximation scheme to (1.1). The approximated equation can be written as

$$(1.9) \quad dv(t) = \operatorname{div} (B(R_\varepsilon(v)) \nabla R_\varepsilon(v)) dt + \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} \operatorname{div} (\sigma(u(R_\varepsilon(v))) \cdot \circ_\lambda dW(t)), \quad t > 0.$$

The existence of a local solution  $v^\varepsilon$  to (1.9) with suitable initial and boundary conditions can be shown by applying standard results, e.g. [31, Theorem 4.2.4]. The entropy inequality for  $w^\varepsilon := R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)$  and  $u^\varepsilon := u(w^\varepsilon)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T \wedge \tau_R} \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^\varepsilon(t)) dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T \wedge \tau_R} \|Lw^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2 \\ & + \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T \wedge \tau_R} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \nabla w^\varepsilon(s) : B(w^\varepsilon(s)) \nabla w^\varepsilon(s) dx ds \leq C(u^0, T), \end{aligned}$$

up to some stopping time  $\tau_R > 0$  allows us to extend the local solution to a global one. The entropy inequality provides suitable, uniform in  $\varepsilon$ , bounds for  $u_i^\varepsilon$ , which can be further refined by the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality to prove uniform bounds for  $u_i^\varepsilon$  in  $L^q(0, T; L^q(\mathcal{O}))$  with  $q \geq 2$ . Such an estimate is crucial to define, for instance, the product  $u_i^\varepsilon u_j^\varepsilon$ . Uniform estimate for  $u_i^\varepsilon$  in the Sobolev–Slobodeckij space  $W^{\alpha,p}(0, T; D(L)')$  for some  $\alpha < 1/2$  and  $p > 2$  such that  $\alpha p > 1$  then allows us to prove the tightness of the laws of  $(u^\varepsilon)$  in some sub-Polish space and to conclude strong convergence in  $L^2$  thanks to the Skorokhod–Jakubowski theorem.

On an informal level, we may state our main result as follows.

**Theorem 1** (Informal statement). *Let the assumptions imposed in [9] hold and  $s > \frac{3d}{2} + 1$ , then the martingale term in the equation governing the fluctuations process will be an  $(W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^d))^*$  valued martingale satisfying*

$$\mathbb{E} [M_i(t, \varphi) M_k(s, \psi)] = \delta_{ik} \int_0^{s \wedge t} \left\langle u_i, \nabla \varphi(\cdot) \nabla \psi(\cdot) \left( 2\sigma_i + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n f(a_{ij} u_j) \right) \right\rangle dr,$$

for  $\varphi, \psi \in W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , where  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$  is a solution of the mean field equation on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Theorem 2** (Informal statement). *Let  $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $a_{i0}, a_{ii} > 0$ ,  $a_{ij} \geq 0$  satisfy the detailed-balance condition and let  $\frac{1}{N}$  be “small”. Then there exists a global and nonnegative martingale solution to the system (1.1)–(1.3).*

**Remark 3.** *We note that [9] was concerned with the derivation under stronger regularity conditions on the initial datum than we propose, and only the local existence theory on the whole space. However, we want to give a somewhat rigorous justification for the noise imposed in the first part of this paper.*

This paper is organized as follows. We present our notation and the main results in Section 2. The operators needed to define the approximative scheme are introduced in Section 3. In Section 4, the existence of solutions to a general approximative equation is proven. In the following section, we derive estimates uniform in the regularization parameters and pass to the limit via tightness arguments. Section 7 deals with the derivation of the noise we impose on our system. Finally, the proofs of some auxiliary lemmas are presented in Appendix 8.1.

## 2. NOTATION AND MAIN RESULT

**2.1. Notation and stochastic framework.** Let  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  ( $d \geq 1$ ) be a bounded domain. The Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces are denoted by  $L^p(\mathcal{O})$  and  $W^{k,p}(\mathcal{O})$ , respectively, where  $p \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $H^k(\mathcal{O}) = W^{k,2}(\mathcal{O})$ . For notational simplicity, we generally do not distinguish between  $W^{k,p}(\mathcal{O})$  and  $W^{k,p}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ . We set  $H_N^m(\mathcal{O}) = \{v \in H^m(\mathcal{O}) : \nabla v \cdot \nu = 0 \text{ on } \partial\mathcal{O}\}$  for  $m \geq 2$ . If  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in X$  is some vector-valued function in the normed space  $X$ , we write  $\|u\|_X^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|u_i\|_X^2$ . The inner product of a Hilbert space  $H$  is denoted by  $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$ , and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{V', V}$  is the dual product between the Banach space  $V$  and its dual  $V'$ . If  $F : U \rightarrow V$  is a Fréchet differentiable function between Banach spaces  $U$  and  $V$ , we write  $DF[v] : U \rightarrow V$  for its Fréchet derivative, for any  $v \in U$ .

Given two quadratic matrices  $A = (A_{ij}), B = (B_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $A : B = \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}B_{ij}$  is the Frobenius matrix product,  $\|A\|_F = (A : A)^{1/2}$  the Frobenius norm of  $A$ , and  $\text{tr } A = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ii}$  the trace of  $A$ . The constants  $C > 0$  in this paper are generic and their values change from line to line. To avoid confusion, we will also highlight when we use the matrix divergence of a matrix-valued function  $\mathbb{R}^d \ni x \mapsto M(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ , which is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with entries  $\text{div}_M(M)_i = \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_{x_j} M_{ij}$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space endowed with a complete right-continuous filtration  $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and let  $H$  be a Hilbert space. Then  $L^0(\Omega; H)$  consists of all measurable functions from  $\Omega$  to  $H$ , and  $L^2(\Omega; H)$  consists of all  $H$ -valued random variables  $v$  such that  $\mathbb{E}\|v\|_H^2 = \int_{\Omega} \|v(\omega)\|_H^2 \mathbb{P}(d\omega) < \infty$ . Let  $U$  be a separable Hilbert space and  $(e_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $U$ . The space of Hilbert–Schmidt operators from  $U$  to  $H$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_2(U; H) = \left\{ F : U \rightarrow H \text{ linear, continuous} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|F e_k\|_H^2 < \infty \right\},$$

and it is endowed with the norm  $\|F\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(U; H)} = (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|F e_k\|_H^2)^{1/2}$ .

Let  $W = (W_1, \dots, W_n)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional  $U$ -cylindrical Wiener process, taking values in the separable Hilbert space  $U_0 \supset U$  and adapted to the filtration  $\mathbb{F}$ . In the current setting,

We can write  $W^{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e_k^{ij} W_k^{ij}$ , where  $(W_k^{ij})$  is a sequence of independent standard one-dimensional Brownian motions [13, Section 4.1.2], such that  $\mathbb{E}[W_{k_1}^{i_1 j_1}(t) W_{k_2}^{i_2 j_2}(s)] = \delta_{i_1 i_2} \delta_{j_1 j_2} \delta_{k_1 k_2} t \wedge s$ . Then  $W^{ij}(\omega) \in C^0([0, \infty); U_0)$  for a.e.  $\omega$  [31, Section 2.5.1].

**Remark 4.** We conjecture that the limitation on  $\lambda$  being  $> \frac{1}{2}$  can be lifted by considering a different entropy. Finding such an entropy is, however, not trivial.

**2.2. Assumptions.** We impose the following assumptions:

**Assumption 5.**

- (A1) *Domain:*  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  ( $d \geq 1$ ) is a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary. Let  $T > 0$  and set  $Q_T = \mathcal{O} \times (0, T)$ .
- (A2) *Initial datum:*  $u^0 = (u_1^0, \dots, u_n^0) \in L^\infty(\Omega; L^2(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}^n))$  is a  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable random variable satisfying  $u^0(x) \geq 0$  for a.e.  $x \in \mathcal{O}$   $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.
- (A3) *Diffusion matrix:*  $a_{ij} \geq 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, n$  and there exist  $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n > 0$  such that  $\pi_i a_{ij} = \pi_j a_{ji}$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$  (detailed-balance condition).
- (A4) Let  $p > 2$  be fixed. The correction factor  $\frac{1}{N}$ , satisfies the following condition:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} 3^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left( \frac{p}{p-1} \right) 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sup_{i,l} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < 1, \\ & \frac{1}{N} 3^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \sup_{i,j} \left( \frac{n}{2} \left( \frac{18|\lambda-1|}{\kappa} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} a_{ii} \right) \sup_{i,l} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \sup_{i,l} \frac{\lambda}{2} a_{ii} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right) < 4\pi_i a_{0i}, \\ & \frac{1}{N} 3^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \sup_{i,j} \left( \frac{\lambda}{3} + \frac{n}{4} \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right) < 2\pi_i a_{ii}. \end{aligned}$$

(A5) We assume that the family  $(e_k^{ij})_{ijk}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  forms an orthonormal basis of  $W^{s,2}(\mathcal{O})^n$ , where  $s > d + 1$ .

**Remark 6.** We will also refer to  $W$  simply as spatially colored noise or  $W^{s,2}(\mathcal{O})$  cylindrical noise, instead of an  $n$ -dimensional  $W^{s,2}(\mathcal{O})^n (= U)$  cylindrical Wiener process.

We also introduce the following assumptions, which will be used in an intermediate step.

**Assumption 7** (Auxiliary Assumptions).

(A6) *Multiplicative noise:*  $\Sigma = (\Sigma_{ij})$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix, where  $\Sigma_{ij} : L^2(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2(U; L^2(\mathcal{O}))$  is  $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}^n)) / \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L}_2(U; L^2(\mathcal{O})))$ -measurable and  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted. Furthermore, there exists  $C_\sigma > 0$  such that for all  $u, v \in L^2(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\operatorname{div}(\Sigma(u) - \Sigma(v))\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(U; L^2(\mathcal{O}))} &\leq C_\Sigma \|u - v\|_{D(L)}, \\ \|\operatorname{div}(\Sigma(v))\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(U; L^2(\mathcal{O}))} &\leq C_\Sigma (1 + \|v\|_{D(L)}). \end{aligned}$$

(A7) *Correction term:*  $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T}_i)$  is an  $n$  dimensional vector, where  $\mathcal{T}_i : D(L)' \rightarrow D(L)'$  is measurable and  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted. Furthermore, there exists  $C_{\mathcal{T}} > 0$  such that for all  $v_1, v_2 \in D(L)'$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{T}(v_1) - \mathcal{T}(v_2)\|_{D(L)'} &\leq C_{\mathcal{T}} \|v_1 - v_2\|_{D(L)'}, \\ \|\mathcal{T}(v_1)\|_{D(L)'} &\leq C_{\mathcal{T}} (1 + \|v_1\|_{D(L)'}). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 8** (Discussion of the assumptions).

- (A1): The Lipschitz regularity of the boundary  $\partial\mathcal{O}$  is needed to apply the Sobolev and Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities.
- (A2): The regularity condition on  $u^0$  can be weakened to  $u^0 \in L^p(\Omega; L^2(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}^n))$  for sufficiently large  $p \geq 2$  (only depending on the space dimension); it is used to derive the higher-order moment estimates.
- (A3) The detailed-balance condition is also needed in the deterministic case to reveal the entropy structure of the system; see [12].
- (A4) This Assumption is the most restrictive one, if “small” population sizes  $N$  are considered. As the population sizes grow, the Assumption becomes hardly restrictive.
- (A5) is a regularity requirement on the noise leading to the same conditions as in [20].
- (A6) (A7): The Lipschitz continuity and linear growth of  $\Sigma(u)$  and  $\mathcal{T}(v)$  are used for an auxiliary step, leading to a general statement which is easier citable later on.

**2.3. Main results.** Let  $T > 0$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m > d/2 + 1$  and  $D(L) = H_N^m(\mathcal{O})$ .

**Definition 1** (Martingale solution). Let  $\lambda \geq 0$  be fixed. A martingale solution to (1.1)–(1.3) is the triple  $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{W}, \tilde{u})$  such that  $\tilde{U} = (\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}, \tilde{\mathbb{F}})$  is a stochastic basis with filtration  $\tilde{\mathbb{F}} = (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ ,  $\tilde{W}$  is an  $n$ -dimensional, spatially colored Wiener process ( $W^{s,2}(\mathcal{O})$  cylindrical, where  $s > d + 1$ ), and  $\tilde{u} = (\tilde{u}_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_n)$  is a continuous  $D(L)'$ -valued  $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}$ -adapted process such that  $\tilde{u}_i \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\mathcal{O} \times (0, T)$   $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s.,

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{u}_i \in L^0(\tilde{\Omega}; C^0([0, T]; D(L)')) \cap L^0(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{O}))),$$

the law of  $\tilde{u}_i(0)$  is the same as for  $u_i^0$ , and for all  $\phi \in D(L)$ ,  $t \in (0, T)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s.,

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{u}_i(t), \phi \rangle_{D(L)', D(L)} &= \langle \tilde{u}_i(0), \phi \rangle_{D(L)', D(L)} - \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} A_{ij}(\tilde{u}(s)) \nabla \tilde{u}_j(s) \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx \, ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left( \int_0^t \sigma_{ij}(\tilde{u}(s)) \, d\tilde{W}_j(s) \right) \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N} \lambda \int_{\mathcal{O}} \int_0^t \mathcal{T}(\tilde{u}(s)) \phi \, ds \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

where the entries of the  $n \times 1$  dimensional  $\lambda$ -modified Itô-Stratonovich correction are given by

$$\mathcal{T}(v^\varepsilon)_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \partial_{x_l} \left( (\partial_{\tilde{u}(s)_i} (\sigma(\tilde{u}(s))))_{ii} e_k^{il} e_k^{il} \partial_{x_l} (\sigma(\tilde{u}(s)))_{ii} + \partial_{\tilde{u}(s)_i} (\sigma(\tilde{u}(s)))_{ii} e_k^{il} \partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} (\sigma(\tilde{u}(s)))_{ii} \right).$$

Our main results read as follows.

**Theorem 9** (Existence for the SKT model). *Let Assumptions (A1)–(A4) be satisfied and let  $a_{i,0}, a_{ii} > 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then (1.1)–(1.3) has a global nonnegative martingale solution in the sense of Definition 1.*

**Remark 10.** Equation (2.2) can also be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{u}_i(t), \phi \rangle_{D(L)', D(L)} &= \langle \tilde{u}_i(0), \phi \rangle_{D(L)', D(L)} - \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \tilde{u}_i(s) \left( a_{i0} + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \tilde{u}_j(s) \right) \Delta \phi \, dx \, ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left( \int_0^t \sigma_{ij}(\tilde{u}(s)) \circ_{\lambda} d\tilde{W}_j(s) \right) \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\phi \in D(L) \cap W^{2,\infty}(\mathcal{O})$ .

**Remark 11** (Nonnegativity of the solution). The a.s. nonnegativity of the population densities is a consequence of the entropy structure, since the approximate densities  $u_i^\varepsilon$  satisfy  $u_i^\varepsilon = u_i(R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)) = \exp(R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)/\pi_i) > 0$  a.e. in  $Q_T$ .

### 3. OPERATOR SETUP

In this section, we review the operators' properties in the approximate scheme laid out in [3].

**3.1. Definition of the connection operator  $L$ .** We define an operator  $L$  that “connects” two Hilbert spaces  $V$  and  $H$  satisfying  $V \subset H$ . This abstract operator defines a regularization operator that “lifts” the dual space  $V'$  to  $V$ .

**Proposition 12** (Operator  $L$ ). *Let  $V$  and  $H$  be separable Hilbert spaces such that the embedding  $V \hookrightarrow H$  is continuous and dense. Then there exists a bounded, self-adjoint, positive operator  $L : D(L) \rightarrow H$  with domain  $D(L) = V$ . Moreover, it holds for  $L$  and its dual operator  $L^* : H \rightarrow V'$  (we identify  $H$  and its dual  $H'$ ) that, for some  $0 < c < 1$ ,*

$$(3.1) \quad c\|v\|_V \leq \|L(v)\|_H = \|v\|_V, \quad \|L^*(w)\|_{V'} \leq \|w\|_H, \quad v \in V, w \in H.$$

We apply Proposition 12 to  $V = H_N^m(\mathcal{O})$  and  $H = L^2(\mathcal{O})$ , recalling that  $H_N^m(\mathcal{O}) = \{v \in H^m(\mathcal{O}) : \nabla v \cdot \nu = 0 \text{ on } \partial\mathcal{O}\}$  and  $m > d/2 + 1$ . Then, by Sobolev's embedding,  $D(L) \hookrightarrow W^{1,\infty}(\mathcal{O})$ . Note the following two properties, that will be used later:

$$(3.2) \quad \|L^*L(v)\|_{V'} \leq \|v\|_V, \quad \|L^*(w)\|_{V'} \leq \|w\|_H \quad \text{for all } v \in V, w \in H.$$

**Lemma 13** (Operator  $L^{-1}$ ). *Let  $L^{-1} : \text{ran}(L) \rightarrow D(L)$  be the inverse of  $L$  and let  $D(L^{-1}) := \overline{D(\Lambda)}$  be the closure of  $D(\Lambda)$  with respect to  $\|L^{-1}(\cdot)\|_H$ . Then  $D(L)'$  is isometric to  $D(L^{-1})$ . In particular, it holds that  $(L^{-1}(v), L^{-1}(w))_H = (v, w)_{D(L)'}$  for all  $v, w \in D(L)'$ .*

**Lemma 14** (Operator  $u$ ). *The mapping  $u := (h')^{-1}$  from  $D(L)$  to  $L^\infty(\mathcal{O})$  is Fréchet differentiable and, as a mapping from  $D(L)$  to  $D(L)'$ , monotone.*

**3.2. Definition of the regularization operator  $R_\varepsilon$ .** First, we define another operator, denoted by  $Q_\varepsilon$ , that maps  $D(L)$  to  $D(L)'$ . Its inverse is the desired regularization operator.

**Lemma 15** (Operator  $Q_\varepsilon$ ). *Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and define  $Q_\varepsilon : D(L) \rightarrow D(L)'$  by  $Q_\varepsilon(w) = u(w) + \varepsilon L^*Lw$ , where  $w \in D(L)$ . Then  $Q_\varepsilon$  is Fréchet differentiable, strongly monotone, coercive, and invertible. Its Fréchet derivative  $DQ_\varepsilon[w](\xi) = u'(w)\xi + \varepsilon L^*L\xi$  for  $w, \xi \in D(L)$  is continuous, strongly monotone, coercive, and invertible.*

Lemma 15 the existence of the inverse of  $Q_\varepsilon$ , which we denote by  $R_\varepsilon := Q_\varepsilon^{-1} : D(L)' \rightarrow D(L)$ . This operator will be used to regularize our equation and has the following properties.

**Lemma 16** (Operator  $R_\varepsilon$ ). *The operator  $R_\varepsilon : D(L)' \rightarrow D(L)$  is Fréchet differentiable and strictly monotone. In particular, it is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant  $C/\varepsilon$ , where  $C > 0$  does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ . The Fréchet derivative satisfies the relation*

$$DR_\varepsilon[v] = (DQ_\varepsilon[R_\varepsilon(v)])^{-1} = (u'(R_\varepsilon(v)) + \varepsilon L^* L)^{-1} \quad \text{for } v \in D(L)',$$

and it is Lipschitz continuous with constant  $C/\varepsilon$ , satisfying  $\|DR_\varepsilon[v](\xi)\|_{D(L)} \leq \varepsilon^{-1} C \|\xi\|_{D(L)'}$  for  $v, \xi \in D(L)'$ .

#### 4. EXISTENCE OF APPROXIMATE SOLUTIONS

Analogously to [3], we regularize equation (1.1)–(1.4) on the level of the so-called entropy variables by introducing the regularized variable  $R_\varepsilon(v)$  for  $v \in D(L)'$ . Setting  $v = u(R_\varepsilon(v)) + \varepsilon L^* L R_\varepsilon(v)$ , we consider the regularized problem

$$(4.1) \quad dv = \operatorname{div}_M (B(R_\varepsilon(v)) \nabla R_\varepsilon(v)) dt + \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} \operatorname{div}_M (\Sigma(u(R_\varepsilon(v))) dW(t)) + \frac{1}{N} \lambda \mathcal{T}(u(R_\varepsilon(v))) dt,$$

$$\text{in } \mathcal{O}, \quad t \in [0, T \wedge \tau),$$

$$(4.2) \quad v(0) = u^0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{O}, \quad \nabla R_\varepsilon(v) \cdot \nu = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\mathcal{O}, \quad t > 0,$$

recalling that  $B(w) = A(u(w))h''(u(w))^{-1}$  for  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

$\mathcal{T}(v)$  denotes an Itô-Stratonovich type correction term. Let us clarify the notion of solution for the equation (4.1)–(4.2). Let  $T > 0$ , let  $\tau$  be an  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted stopping time, and let  $v$  be a continuous,  $D(L)'$ -valued,  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted process. We call  $(v, \tau)$  a local strong solution to (4.1)–(4.2) if

$$v(\omega, \cdot, \cdot) \in L^2([0, T \wedge \tau(\omega)]; D(L)') \cap C^0([0, T \wedge \tau(\omega)]; D(L)')$$

for a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$  and for all  $t \in [0, T \wedge \tau)$ ,

(4.3)

$$v(t) = v(0) + \int_0^t \operatorname{div}_M (B(R_\varepsilon(v(s))) \nabla R_\varepsilon(v(s))) ds + \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} \int_0^t \operatorname{div}_M (\Sigma(u(R_\varepsilon(v(s)))) dW(s) \\ + \frac{1}{N} \lambda \int_0^t \mathcal{T}(v) ds,$$

$$(4.4) \quad \nabla R_\varepsilon(v) \cdot \nu = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\mathcal{O} \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

$R_\varepsilon$  can be shown to be strongly measurable and, if  $v$  is progressively measurable, also progressively measurable. For progressively measurable  $w$ ,  $u(w)$  inherits this property, and if  $v \in C^0([0, T]; D(L)')$ , we have  $R_\varepsilon(v) \in C^0([0, T]; D(L))$  and  $u(R_\varepsilon(v)) \in L^\infty(Q_T)$ . Finally, if  $v \in L^0(\Omega; L^p(0, T; D(L)'))$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , then  $\operatorname{div}(B(u(R_\varepsilon(v))) \nabla R_\varepsilon(v)) \in L^0(\Omega; L^p(0, T; D(L)'))$ . Therefore, the expressions in (4.3) are well defined. We call a local strong solution global strong solution if  $\mathbb{P}(\tau = \infty) = 1$ . Given  $t > 0$  and  $v \in L^2(\Omega; C^0([0, t]; D(L)'))$ , we introduce the stopping time

$$\tau_R := \inf\{s \in [0, t] : \|v(s)\|_{D(L)'} > R\} \quad \text{for } R > 0,$$

which is  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. positive. This claim was already verified in [3].

Before we go into further specifics of the system, we want to state a general existence theorem for equations of the form (4.1)–(4.2).

**Theorem 17** (Existence of approximate solutions). *Let Assumptions (A1)–(A7) be satisfied and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $R > 0$ . Then problem (4.1)–(4.2) has a unique local solution  $(v^\varepsilon, \tau_R)$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is based on Theorem [3, Theorem 13], which in turn uses [31, Theorem 4.2.4, Proposition 4.1.4]. The necessary conditions for the operator  $M : D(L)' \rightarrow D(L)'$ ,  $M(v) := \operatorname{div}(B(R_\varepsilon(v)) \nabla R_\varepsilon(v))$  are verified in the proof of [3, Theorem 13] in a general setting, which is analogous in the present setting. The Lipschitz continuity of  $\sigma$  and Lemma 14 now yield that for  $v, \bar{v} \in D(L)'$  with  $\|v\|_{D(L)'} \leq K$  and  $\|\bar{v}\|_{D(L)'} \leq K$ ,

$$\|\operatorname{div}_M (\Sigma(u(R_\varepsilon(v))) - \Sigma(u(R_\varepsilon(\bar{v}))))\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(U; D(L)')} \leq C \|\Sigma(u(R_\varepsilon(v))) - \Sigma(u(R_\varepsilon(\bar{v})))\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(U; L^2(\mathcal{O}))} \\ \leq C(K) \|u(R_\varepsilon(v)) - u(R_\varepsilon(\bar{v}))\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}$$

$$\leq C(K)\|R_\varepsilon(v) - R_\varepsilon(\bar{v})\|_{D(L)} \leq C(\varepsilon, K)\|v - \bar{v}\|_{D(L)'},$$

where  $C(K)$  also depends on the  $L^\infty(\mathcal{O})$  norms of  $u'(R_\varepsilon(v))$  and  $u'(R_\varepsilon(\bar{v}))$ . Assumption (A7) guarantees that

$$\|\mathcal{T}(v) - \mathcal{T}(\bar{v})\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(U; D(L)')} \leq C(\varepsilon, K)\|v - \bar{v}\|_{D(L)'}$$

Hence, the assumptions of [31, Theorem 4.2.4] are satisfied in the ball  $\{v \in D(L)' : \|v\|_{D(L)'} \leq K\}$ . These *local* bounds are sufficient to conclude the existence of a *local* solution  $v$  up to the stopping time  $\tau_R$ . The boundary conditions follow from  $R_\varepsilon(v) \in D(L) = H_N^m(\mathcal{O})$  and the definition of the space  $H_N^m(\mathcal{O})$ .  $\square$

## 5. THE SKT SYSTEM WITH FLUCTUATION CORRECTION

Based on section 7, in particular Lemma 35 and Lemma 36, we set

$$\sigma(u(R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)))_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \sqrt{u_i \left( a_{i0} + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} u_k^\varepsilon \right)},$$

( $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ), with  $\delta_{ij}$  being the Kronecker delta. For notational convenience, we write  $u^\varepsilon$  instead of  $u(R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon))$ . To apply the results of the previous section, we regularize the components of  $\sigma$  in the following way: Set  $\sigma_\delta(u^\varepsilon)_{ii} := g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon (a_{i0} + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} u_k^\varepsilon))$ , where

$$g_\delta(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}}x & 0 \leq x \leq \delta/2 \\ -\frac{2\sqrt{\delta}}{\delta^3}x^3 + \frac{4}{\delta\sqrt{\delta}}x^2 - \frac{3}{2\sqrt{\delta}}x + \frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{2} & \delta/2 \leq x \leq \delta \\ \sqrt{x} & x \geq \delta \end{cases}$$

The same regularization has been used in [20], in the setting of the Dean-Kawasaki equation. Since  $\mathcal{D} = (0, \infty)^n$ , we simply set  $g_\delta(x) = 0$  for any  $x < 0$ . For notational convenience, let us define  $\tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) := a_{i0} + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} u_k^\varepsilon$ . The (partial) derivatives of  $g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon))$ , with respect to  $u_i^\varepsilon$  and  $u_j^\varepsilon$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{u_i^\varepsilon} g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} \left( \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) + a_{ii} u_i \right) & 0 \leq u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \leq \delta/2 \\ \left( -\frac{2\sqrt{\delta}}{\delta^3} 3(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon))^2 + \frac{4}{\delta\sqrt{\delta}} 2(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)) - \frac{3}{2\sqrt{\delta}} \right) (\tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) + a_{ii} u_i) & \delta/2 \leq u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \leq \delta \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{(A_i + a_{ii} u_i)}{\sqrt{u_i A_i}} & u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \geq \delta \end{cases} \\ \partial_{u_j^\varepsilon} g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} a_{ij} u_j^\varepsilon & 0 \leq u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \leq \delta/2 \\ \left( -\frac{2\sqrt{\delta}}{\delta^3} 3(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon))^2 + \frac{4}{\delta\sqrt{\delta}} 2(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)) - \frac{3}{2\sqrt{\delta}} \right) (a_{ij} u_j) & \delta/2 \leq u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \leq \delta \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{(a_{ij} u_j^\varepsilon)}{\sqrt{u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)}} & u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \geq \delta \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It can be verified that  $(\sigma_\delta(u^\varepsilon))_{ii}$  is locally Lipschitz continuous in  $u^\varepsilon$ , for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , due to the Lipschitz continuity of  $g_\delta$  and the local Lipschitz continuity of its argument. Further, it is not hard to see that  $g_\delta \in C^1$  and that it satisfies

$$(5.1) \quad \|\partial_x g_\delta(x)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}}, \quad |\partial_x g_\delta(x)| \leq C \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}, \quad |g_\delta(x)| \leq C \sqrt{|x|}, \quad \text{for all } x > 0.$$

Our goal now is to obtain a local solution  $(v^\varepsilon, \tau)$  of

$$(5.2) \quad dv^\varepsilon = \operatorname{div}_M (B(R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)) \nabla R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)) dt + \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} \operatorname{div}_M (\sigma_\delta(u(R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)))) dW(t) + \frac{1}{N} \lambda \mathcal{T}(v^\varepsilon) dt, \\ \text{in } \mathcal{O}, \quad t \in [0, T \wedge \tau),$$

$$(5.3) \quad v^\varepsilon(0) = u^0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{O}, \quad \nabla R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon) \cdot \nu = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\mathcal{O}, \quad t > 0,$$

In addition, we aim to obtain an entropy estimate, allowing us to extend the solution globally in time and to pass to the limit, as the regularization(s) vanish. The components of the  $n \times d$  matrix

$W$  are given by  $W^{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e_k^{ij} W_k^{ij}(s)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $j = 1, \dots, d$ ). The entries of the  $n \times 1$  dimensional modified Itô-Stratonovich correction are given by

$$(5.4) \quad \mathcal{T}(v^\varepsilon)_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \partial_{x_l} \left( (\partial_{u_i}(\sigma(u^\varepsilon)))_{ii} \right) e_k^{il} e_k^{il} \partial_{x_l}(\sigma(u^\varepsilon))_{ii} + \partial_{u_i}(\sigma(u^\varepsilon))_{ii} e_k^{il} \partial_{x_l} e_k^{il}(\sigma(u^\varepsilon))_{ii}.$$

**Remark 18.** Note that  $\mathcal{T}$  differs from the natural Itô-Stratonovich correction, given by

$$\mathcal{T}(v^\varepsilon)_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \partial_{x_l} \left( (\partial_{v_i^\varepsilon}(\sigma(u^\varepsilon)))_{ii} \right) e_k^{il} e_k^{il} \partial_{x_l}(\sigma(u^\varepsilon))_{ii} + \partial_{v_i^\varepsilon}(\sigma(u^\varepsilon))_{ii} e_k^{il} \partial_{x_l} e_k^{il}(\sigma(u^\varepsilon))_{ii}.$$

This term includes functional derivatives in the direction of  $v^\varepsilon$ . However, for our analysis, working with (5.4) is more convenient, as this term is already the appropriate correction for the limiting equation.

Note that the correction term will not include any regularization. We will not highlight the second regularization in  $v^\varepsilon$  or  $u^\varepsilon$ , as  $\delta$  can be chosen as a function of  $\varepsilon$ , and both limits can be performed at the same time. In the following estimates, however, we want to keep  $\delta$  as a separate parameter as this increases the readability of our arguments.

**Lemma 19.** Let  $v, v^1, v^2 \in D(L)'$ , such that  $\|v\|_{D(L)'}, \|v^1\|_{D(L)'}, \|v^2\|_{D(L)'} \leq R$ , for some  $R > 0$ , then there exist a constant  $C_{R,\varepsilon} > 0$ , such that

$$(5.5) \quad \|\mathcal{T}(v)\|_{D(L)'}^2 \leq C_{R,\varepsilon} \left( 1 + \|v\|_{D(L)'}^2 \right)$$

$$(5.6) \quad \|\mathcal{T}(v^1) - \mathcal{T}(v^2)\|_{D(L)'}^2 \leq C_{R,\varepsilon} \|v^1 - v^2\|_{D(L)'}^2.$$

*Proof.* The proof is postponed to Appendix (8.1).  $\square$

**Proposition 20.** Let Assumptions (A1)–(A4) hold. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$ , there exists a unique local solution  $(v^\varepsilon, \tau_R)$  to problem (5.2)–(5.3).

*Proof.* By [3], the regularization of  $\sigma$  and Lemma 19, Assumptions (A6), (A7) are satisfied and Theorem 17 (with  $\Sigma = \sigma_\delta$ ) yields the existence and uniqueness of a local solution.  $\square$

## 6. UNIFORM ESTIMATES

To pass to the limit in the regularization, we require suitable estimates. Hence, the goal of the following pages will be to establish an entropy estimate for the solution of (5.2)–(5.3), which is uniform in the regularization parameters.

**Lemma 21.** Let  $(v^\varepsilon, \tau_R)$  be a local solution to (5.2)–(5.3) and set  $v^R(t) = v^\varepsilon(\omega, t \wedge \tau_R(\omega))$  for  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $t \in (0, \tau_R(\omega))$ . We define

$$\text{IC}_{i,k,l} := \pi_i \left( g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon))' \left( \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon + u_i^\varepsilon \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon) \right) e_k^{il} + g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon)) \partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} \right)^2 \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon}.$$

Let  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ ,  $0 < \kappa, \tilde{\kappa}_3 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{T}(u^\varepsilon), R_\varepsilon \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \text{IC}_{i,k,l} dx ds \\ & \leq - \left( \lambda - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lambda \kappa}{2} - |\lambda - 1| \frac{\kappa}{2} - \frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{4} \right) \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \left( \frac{\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon}{u_i^\varepsilon} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\ & \quad - \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i a_{ii} \left( \partial_{x_l} \sqrt{u_i^\varepsilon} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \left( \left( \frac{32\lambda + 1}{2\kappa} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \|\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right) \pi_i \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} u_i^\varepsilon \log(u_i^\varepsilon) - u_i^\varepsilon + 2 dx ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \left( \frac{1}{3} \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} (\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon)^2 dx ds + \frac{\tilde{\kappa}_3 n}{4} \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} (\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon)^2 dx ds \right) \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \left( \frac{\lambda}{2\kappa} + \frac{1}{2\tilde{\kappa}_3} \right) \int_0^t \|\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il}\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \left( \left( \frac{18|\lambda-1|}{\kappa} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} a_{ii} \right) \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} a_{ii} \|\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right) \\
& \quad \times \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left( \frac{n}{2a_{i0}} \partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon \right)^{1-\theta} \left( \frac{na_{ij}}{2a_{ij}} \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_l} \sqrt{u_j^\varepsilon} \right)^\theta dx ds.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is in the Appendix (see 8.1).

**Proposition 22** (Entropy inequality). *Let  $(v^\varepsilon, \tau_R)$  be a local solution to (5.2)–(5.3) and set  $v^R(t) = v^\varepsilon(\omega, t \wedge \tau_R(\omega))$  for  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $t \in (0, \tau_R(\omega))$ . Let  $0 < \kappa \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$  be fixed. Then there exists constants  $C(u^0, T), c > 0$ , depending on  $u^0$  and  $T$  but not on  $\varepsilon, \delta$  and  $R$ , such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T \wedge \tau_R} \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^\varepsilon(t)) dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T \wedge \tau_R} \|Lw^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2 \\
& + c \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T \wedge \tau_R} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i (4a_{0i} |\nabla(u^\varepsilon)^{1/2}|^2 + 2a_{ii} |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2) + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} \pi_i a_{ij} |\nabla(u_i^\varepsilon u_j^\varepsilon)^{1/2}|^2 dx ds \\
& + \frac{1}{N} C(\lambda, \kappa) \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T \wedge \tau_R} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \left( \frac{\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon}{u_i^\varepsilon} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\
& + \frac{1}{N} \lambda \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T \wedge \tau_R} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i a_{ii} \left( \partial_{x_l} \sqrt{u_i^\varepsilon} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\
& \leq C(u^0, T, \kappa^{-1}, \lambda),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $u^\varepsilon := u(R_\varepsilon(v^R))$  and  $w^\varepsilon := R_\varepsilon(v^R)$ . In particular, we have that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$  with  $i \neq j$ ,

$$(6.1) \quad \mathbb{E} \|u_i^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\mathcal{O}))} \leq C(u^0, T),$$

$$(6.2) \quad a_{i0}^{1/2} \mathbb{E} \|(u_i^\varepsilon)^{1/2}\|_{L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{O}))} + a_{ii}^{1/2} \mathbb{E} \|u_i^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{O}))} \leq C(u^0, T),$$

$$a_{ij}^{1/2} \mathbb{E} \|\nabla(u_i^\varepsilon u_j^\varepsilon)^{1/2}\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))} \leq C(u^0, T).$$

Moreover, we have the estimate

$$(6.3) \quad \varepsilon \mathbb{E} \|LR_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))}^2 + \mathbb{E} \|v^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T; D(L)')}^2 \leq C(u^0, T).$$

**Corollary 23.** *For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ , the estimate*

$$(6.4) \quad \mathbb{E} \left( \|\nabla w^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))} + \|\sqrt{\pi_i a_{ij} u_j^\varepsilon} \nabla w_i^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))} \right) \leq C(u^0, T)$$

holds.

*Proof.* The result is immediate from Proposition 22. The choice of  $(e_k^{il})_{i, l, k}$  and the definition of  $\tilde{A}$  yield the bound.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 22.* We apply the Itô lemma in the version of [28, Theorem 3.1], with  $V = H = D(L)'$  and the regularized entropy

$$(6.5) \quad \mathcal{H}(v) := \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u(R_\varepsilon(v))) dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|LR_\varepsilon(v)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2, \quad v \in D(L)'.$$

Recall that  $R_\varepsilon(v) = h'(u(R_\varepsilon(v)))$  for  $v \in D(L)'$ , since  $u = u(w)$  is the inverse of  $h'$ . The necessary conditions can be verified similarly to in [3]. For convenience, we will outline the steps.  $\mathcal{H}$  is Fréchet differentiable and using Lemma 16, its derivative can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} D\mathcal{H}[v](\xi) &= \int_{\mathcal{O}} (h'(u(R_\varepsilon(v)))u'(R_\varepsilon(v))DR_\varepsilon[v](\xi) + \varepsilon LDR_\varepsilon[v](\xi) \cdot LR_\varepsilon(v)) \, dx \\ &= \langle (u'(R_\varepsilon(v)) + \varepsilon L^*L)DR_\varepsilon[v](\xi), R_\varepsilon(v) \rangle_{D(L)', D(L)} \\ &= \langle DQ_\varepsilon[R_\varepsilon(v)]DR_\varepsilon[v](\xi), R_\varepsilon(v) \rangle_{D(L)', D(L)} = \langle \xi, R_\varepsilon(v) \rangle_{D(L)', D(L)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $v, \xi \in D(L)'$ . In other words,  $D\mathcal{H}[v]$  can be identified with  $R_\varepsilon(v) \in D(L)$ . In a similar way, we can prove that  $D\mathcal{H}[v]$  is Fréchet differentiable and

$$D^2\mathcal{H}[v](\xi, \eta) = \langle \xi, DR_\varepsilon[v](\eta) \rangle_{D(L)', D(L)} \quad \text{for } v, \xi, \eta \in D(L)'.$$

Thanks to the Lipschitz continuity of  $R_\varepsilon$  and  $DR_\varepsilon[v]$  (see Lemma 16) for all  $v, \xi \in D(L)'$  with  $\|v\|_{D(L)'} \leq K$  for some  $K > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D\mathcal{H}[v](\xi)| &\leq \|R_\varepsilon(v)\|_{D(L)} \|\xi\|_{D(L)'} \leq C(\varepsilon)(1 + \|v\|_{D(L)'}) \|\xi\|_{D(L)'} \leq C(\varepsilon, K) \|\xi\|_{D(L)'}, \\ |D^2\mathcal{H}[v](\xi, \xi)| &\leq \|DR_\varepsilon[v](\xi)\|_{D(L)} \|\xi\|_{D(L)'} \leq C(\varepsilon) \|\xi\|_{D(L)'}^2. \end{aligned}$$

To bound the mapping  $D(L)' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $v \mapsto D\mathcal{H}[v](\eta)$  for any  $\eta \in D(L)'$ , as in Lemma 13, we use that the operator  $L$  can be constructed in such a way that the Riesz representative in  $D(L)'$  of a functional acting on  $D(L)'$  can be expressed via the application of  $L^*L$  to an element of  $D(L)$ . Indeed, for  $F \in D(L)$  and  $\xi \in D(L)'$ , Lemma 13 yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi, F \rangle_{D(L)', D(L)} &= (L^{-1}\xi, LF)_{D(L)', D(L)} = ((LL^{-1})L^{-1}\xi, LF)_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \\ &= (L^{-1}\xi, L^{-1}L^*LF)_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} = (L^*LF, \xi)_{D(L)'}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can associate  $D\mathcal{H}[v]$  with  $L^*LR_\varepsilon(v) \in D(L)'$ , since we can identify  $D\mathcal{H}[v]$  with  $R_\varepsilon(v) \in D(L)$ . Then, by the first estimate in (3.2) and the Lipschitz continuity of  $R_\varepsilon$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|L^*LR_\varepsilon(v)\|_{D(L)'} &\leq C\|R_\varepsilon(v)\|_{D(L)} \leq C\|R_\varepsilon(v) - R_\varepsilon(0)\|_{D(L)} + C\|R_\varepsilon(0)\|_{D(L)} \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon)(1 + \|v\|_{D(L)'}) \quad \text{for all } v \in D(L)', \end{aligned}$$

giving the desired estimate for  $D\mathcal{H}[v]$  in  $D(L)'$ . Thus, the assumptions of the Itô lemma, as stated in [28], are satisfied.

To simplify the notation, we set  $u^\varepsilon := u(R_\varepsilon(v^R))$  and  $w^\varepsilon := R_\varepsilon(v^R)$  in the following. By Itô's lemma, using  $D\mathcal{H}[v^R] = h'(u^\varepsilon)$ ,  $D^2\mathcal{H}[v^R] = DR_\varepsilon(v^R)$ , and already applying Lemma 39 to the correction term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (6.6) \quad \mathcal{H}(v^R(t)) &\leq \mathcal{H}(v(0)) + \int_0^t \langle \operatorname{div}_M (B(w^\varepsilon) \nabla h'(u^\varepsilon(s))), w^\varepsilon(s) \rangle_{D(L)', D(L)} \, ds \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \partial_{x_i} \left( (\sigma_\delta)_{i,j}(u) e_k^{jl} \right) (h'(u^\varepsilon(s)))_i \, dx \, dW_k^{jl}(s) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N} \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{T}(v^\varepsilon(s))_i (h'(u^\varepsilon(s)))_i \, dx \, ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d (\partial_{x_i} (\sigma_{i,i}(u) e_k^{il}))^2 \frac{\pi_i}{u_i} \, dx \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 39, the first term on the right-hand side can be estimated from above by  $\int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^0) \, dx$ . Using  $w^\varepsilon = R_\varepsilon(v^R) = h'(u^\varepsilon)$  and integrating by parts, the second term on the right-hand side can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \langle \operatorname{div} (B(w^\varepsilon) \nabla h'(u^\varepsilon(s))), w^\varepsilon(s) \rangle_{D(L)', D(L)} \, ds \\ &= - \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \nabla w^\varepsilon(s) : B(w^\varepsilon) \nabla w^\varepsilon(s) \, dx \, ds \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that  $R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon) = h'(u(R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon))) = h'(u^\varepsilon)$  implies that  $\nabla R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon) = h''(u^\varepsilon)\nabla u^\varepsilon$ . It is shown in [12, Lemma 4] that for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $u \in (0, \infty)^n$ ,

$$z^T h''(u)A(u)z \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i \left( a_{0i} \frac{z_i^2}{u_i} + 2a_{ii}z_i^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n \pi_i a_{ij} \left( \sqrt{\frac{u_j}{u_i}} z_i + \sqrt{\frac{u_i}{u_j}} z_j \right)^2.$$

Using  $B(R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)) = A(u^\varepsilon)h''(u^\varepsilon)^{-1}$  and the previous inequalities with  $z = \nabla u^\varepsilon$ , we find that

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon) : B(R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon))\nabla R_\varepsilon(v) &= \nabla u^\varepsilon : h''(u^\varepsilon)(A(u^\varepsilon)h''(u^\varepsilon)^{-1})h''(u^\varepsilon)\nabla u^\varepsilon \\ &= \nabla u^\varepsilon : h''(u^\varepsilon)A(u^\varepsilon)\nabla u^\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$(6.8) \quad \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i (4a_{0i}|\nabla(u^\varepsilon)^{1/2}|^2 + 2a_{ii}|\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2) + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} \pi_i a_{ij} |\nabla(u_i^\varepsilon u_j^\varepsilon)^{1/2}|^2.$$

Due to the choice of space, the boundary integral vanishes and we are left with

$$(6.9) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^\varepsilon(t)) \, dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|Lw^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2 \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i (4a_{0i}|\nabla(u^\varepsilon)^{1/2}|^2 + 2a_{ii}|\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2) + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} \pi_i a_{ij} |\nabla(u_i^\varepsilon u_j^\varepsilon)^{1/2}|^2 \, dx \, ds \\ &\leq \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^0) \, dx + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N} \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{T}(v^\varepsilon(s))_i (h'(u^\varepsilon(s)))_i \, dx \, ds}_{=: I} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d (\partial_{x_l}(\sigma_{i,i}(u) e_k^{il}))^2 \frac{\pi_i}{u_i} \, dx \, ds}_{=: II} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \partial_{x_l}((\sigma_\delta)_{i,j}(u) e_k^{jl}) (h'(u^\varepsilon(s)))_i \, dx \, dW_k^{jl}(s). \end{aligned}$$

To bound the remaining terms on the right-hand side, we use Lemma 21 (with  $\theta = 1$ ) and condition (A4):

$$\begin{aligned} I + II &< \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i (4a_{0i}|\nabla(u^\varepsilon)^{1/2}|^2 + 2a_{ii}|\nabla(u^\varepsilon)|^2) \, dx \, ds \\ &\quad + C_{\kappa, (a_{ij})_{ij}} \left( 1 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{j=1}^n u_j^\varepsilon(s) \log(u_j^\varepsilon(s)) - u_j^\varepsilon(s) + 1 \, dx \, ds \right) \\ &\quad - C_{\lambda, \kappa} \frac{1}{N} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \left( \frac{\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon}{u_i^\varepsilon} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 \, dx \, ds \\ &\quad - \lambda \frac{1}{N} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i a_{ii} \left( \partial_{x_l} \sqrt{u_i^\varepsilon} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 \, dx \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

We wish to absorb the first term into the left-hand-side of (6.9), leading to

$$(6.10) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^\varepsilon(t)) \, dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|Lw^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2 \\ &\quad + c \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i (4a_{0i}|\nabla(u^\varepsilon)^{1/2}|^2 + 2a_{ii}|\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2) + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} \pi_i a_{ij} |\nabla(u_i^\varepsilon u_j^\varepsilon)^{1/2}|^2 \, dx \, ds \\ &\quad + C_{\lambda, \kappa} \frac{1}{N} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \left( \frac{\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon}{u_i^\varepsilon} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 \, dx \, ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \lambda \frac{1}{N} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i a_{ii} \left( \partial_{x_l} \sqrt{u_i^\varepsilon} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\
& \leq \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^0) dx + C_\kappa \left( 1 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^\varepsilon(s)) dx ds \right) \\
& + \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \partial_{x_l} \left( (\sigma_\delta)_{i,j}(u) e_k^{jl} \right) (h'(u^\varepsilon(s)))_i dx dW_k^{jl}(s).
\end{aligned}$$

We take expectation, causing the last term to vanish, and apply Gronwall's lemma to the function  $F(t) = \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^\varepsilon(s)) dx$  to find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^\varepsilon(t)) dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \mathbb{E} \|Lw^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2 \\
& + c \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i (4a_{0i} |\nabla(u^\varepsilon)^{1/2}|^2 + 2a_{ii} |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2) + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} \pi_i a_{ij} |\nabla(u_i^\varepsilon u_j^\varepsilon)^{1/2}|^2 dx ds \\
& + C_{\lambda, \kappa} \frac{1}{N} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \left( \frac{\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon}{u_i^\varepsilon} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\
& + \lambda \frac{1}{N} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i a_{ii} \left( \partial_{x_l} \sqrt{u_i^\varepsilon} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\
& \leq C(u^0, T).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking first the supremum in (6.10) over  $(0, T_R)$ , where  $T_R \leq T \wedge \tau_R$ , and then the expectation yields

(6.11)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^\varepsilon(t)) dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \|Lw^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2 \\
& + c \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i (4a_{0i} |\nabla(u^\varepsilon)^{1/2}|^2 + 2a_{ii} |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2) + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} \pi_i a_{ij} |\nabla(u_i^\varepsilon u_j^\varepsilon)^{1/2}|^2 dx ds \\
& + C_{\lambda, \kappa} \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \left( \frac{\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon}{u_i^\varepsilon} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\
& + \lambda \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i a_{ii} \left( \partial_{x_l} \sqrt{u_i^\varepsilon} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^0) dx + \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \left( - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} (\sigma_\delta(u^\varepsilon(s)))_{ii} e_k^{1l} \partial_{x_l} \frac{\partial h}{\partial u_i}(u^\varepsilon(s)) dx dW_k^{1l}(s) \right) \\
& + \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^0) dx + C_\kappa \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \left( 1 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^\varepsilon(s)) dx ds \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We apply the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality [31, Theorem 6.1.2]:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \left( - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} (\sigma_\delta(u^\varepsilon(s)))_{ii} e_k^{1l} \partial_{x_l} \frac{\partial h}{\partial u_i}(u^\varepsilon(s)) dx dW_k^{1l}(s) \right) \\
& \leq 4 \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \left\{ \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \left( \int_{\mathcal{O}} (\sigma_\delta(u^\varepsilon(s)))_{ii} e_k^{1l} \partial_{x_l} \frac{\partial h}{\partial u_i}(u^\varepsilon(s)) dx \right)^2 ds \right\}^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 4\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \left\{ \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}^2 \right) \left\| \frac{(\sigma_\delta(u^\varepsilon(s)))_{ii}}{\sqrt{u_i^\varepsilon(s)} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon(s))} \sqrt{\tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon(s))} \pi_i \partial_{x_l} \sqrt{u_i^\varepsilon(s)} \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})}^2 ds \right\}^{1/2} \\
(6.12) \quad &\leq 4 \left( \sup_{i,l} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \left\{ \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \left\| \frac{(\sigma_\delta(u^\varepsilon(s)))_{ii}}{\sqrt{u_i^\varepsilon(s)} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon(s))} \sqrt{\tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon(s))} \pi_i \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2 \left\| \partial_{x_l} \sqrt{u_i^\varepsilon(s)} \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2 ds \right\}^{1/2} \\
&\leq 4 \left( \sup_{i,l} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \left\{ \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \left\| \pi_i \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon(s)) \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})} \left\| \partial_{x_l} \sqrt{u_i^\varepsilon(s)} \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2 ds \right\}^{1/2} \\
&\leq 2 \left( \sup_{i,l} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \left( 2 \left( \sup_{i,j} \pi_j a_{ji} \right) + \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i a_{ij} \pi_i (u_i(t) (\log(u_i(t)) - 1) + 1) dx \right) \\
&\quad + 2 \left( \sup_{i,l} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \left\| \partial_{x_l} \sqrt{u_i^\varepsilon(s)} \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, after absorbing suitable terms into the left-hand-side, (6.11) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.13) \quad &\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^\varepsilon(t)) dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \|Lw^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2 \\
&\quad + c\mathbb{E} \int_0^{T_R} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i (4a_{0i} |\nabla(u^\varepsilon)^{1/2}|^2 + 2a_{ii} |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2) + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} \pi_i a_{ij} |\nabla(u_i^\varepsilon u_j^\varepsilon)^{1/2}|^2 dx ds \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} C_{\lambda, \kappa} \frac{1}{N} \int_0^{T_R} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \left( \frac{\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon}{u_i^\varepsilon} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \lambda \frac{1}{N} \int_0^{T_R} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i a_{ii} \left( \partial_{x_l} \sqrt{u_i^\varepsilon} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\
&\leq C\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^0) dx + C\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \left( 1 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^\varepsilon(s)) dx ds \right) \\
&\leq C + C\mathbb{E} \int_0^{T_R} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sup_{0 < s < t} h(u^\varepsilon(s)) dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

We apply Gronwall's lemma to the function  $F(t) = \sup_{0 < s < t} \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^\varepsilon(s)) dx$  to find that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^\varepsilon(t)) dx \leq C(u^0, T).$$

The proof is finished by applying this bound in (6.13).  $\square$

The entropy inequality allows us to extend the local solution to a global one.

**Proposition 24.** *Let  $(v^\varepsilon, \tau_R)$  be a local solution to (5.2)–(5.3), constructed in Proposition 20. Then  $v^\varepsilon$  can be extended to a global solution to (5.2)–(5.3).*

*Proof.* With the notation  $u^\varepsilon = u(R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon))$  and  $w^\varepsilon = R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)$ , we observe that  $v^\varepsilon = Q_\varepsilon(R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)) = u(R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)) + \varepsilon L^* L R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon) = u^\varepsilon + \varepsilon L^* L w^\varepsilon$ . Thus, we have for  $T_R \leq T \wedge \tau_R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \|v^\varepsilon(t)\|_{D(L)'} &\leq \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \|u^\varepsilon\|_{D(L)'} + \varepsilon \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \|L^* L w^\varepsilon(t)\|_{D(L)'} \\
&\leq C\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \|u^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})} + \varepsilon \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \|L^* L w^\varepsilon(t)\|_{D(L)'}.
\end{aligned}$$

We know from Hypothesis (H1) that  $|u^\varepsilon| \leq C(1 + h(u^\varepsilon))$ . Therefore, taking into account the entropy inequality and the second inequality in (3.2),

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \|v(t)\|_{D(L)'} \leq C \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \|h(u^\varepsilon(t))\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})} + \varepsilon C \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \|Lw^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \leq C(u^0, T).$$

This allows us to perform the limit  $R \rightarrow \infty$  and to conclude that the solution  $v^\varepsilon$  exists in  $(0, T)$  for any  $T > 0$ .  $\square$

**6.1. Higher order uniform estimates.** Let  $v^\varepsilon$  be a global solution to (5.2)–(5.3) and set  $u^\varepsilon = u(R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon))$ . We assume that  $A(u)$  is given by (1.3) and that  $a_{ii} > 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . We start with some uniform estimates, which are a consequence of the entropy inequality in Proposition 22.

**Lemma 25** (Higher-order moments I). *Let  $p \geq 2$ . There exists a constant  $C(p, u^0, T)$ , which is independent of  $\varepsilon$ , such that*

$$(6.14) \quad \mathbb{E} \|u^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\mathcal{O}))}^p \leq C(p, u^0, T),$$

$$(6.15) \quad a_{i0}^{p/2} \mathbb{E} \|(u_i^\varepsilon)^{1/2}\|_{L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{O}))}^p + a_{ii}^{p/2} \mathbb{E} \|u_i^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{O}))}^p \leq C(p, u^0, T),$$

$$(6.16) \quad a_{ij}^{p/2} \mathbb{E} \|\nabla(u_i^\varepsilon u_j^\varepsilon)^{1/2}\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))}^p \leq C(p, u^0, T).$$

Moreover, we have

$$(6.17) \quad \mathbb{E} \left( \varepsilon \sup_{0 < t < T} \|LR_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(t))\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2 \right)^p + \mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{0 < t < T} \|v^\varepsilon(t)\|_{D(L)'} \right)^p \leq C(p, u^0, T),$$

$$(6.18) \quad \mathbb{E} \left( \|\nabla w^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))} + \|\sqrt{\pi_i a_{ij} u_j^\varepsilon} \nabla w_i^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))} \right)^p \leq C(u^0, T).$$

*Proof.* Proceeding as in the proof of Proposition 22 and taking into account identity (6.10):

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^\varepsilon(t)) \, dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|Lw^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2 \\ & + c \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i (4a_{0i} |\nabla(u^\varepsilon)^{1/2}|^2 + 2a_{ii} |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2) + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} \pi_i a_{ij} |\nabla(u_i^\varepsilon u_j^\varepsilon)^{1/2}|^2 \, dx \, ds \\ & + C_{\lambda, \kappa} \frac{1}{N} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \left( \frac{\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon}{u_i^\varepsilon} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 \, dx \, ds \\ & + \lambda \frac{1}{N} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i a_{ii} (\partial_{x_l} \sqrt{u_i^\varepsilon})^2 (e_k^{il})^2 \, dx \, ds \\ & \leq \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^0) \, dx + C_\kappa \left( 1 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^\varepsilon(s)) \, dx \, ds \right) \\ & + \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \partial_{x_l} \left( (\sigma_\delta)_{i,j}(u) e_k^{jl} \right) (h'(u^\varepsilon(s)))_i \, dx \, dW_k^{jl}(s). \end{aligned}$$

We raise this inequality to the  $p$ th power, take the supremum order time as well as the expectation, apply the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality. Since every term on the left-hand-side of the inequality is non-negative, we can multiply out the square and drop the (non-negative) cross terms to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T} \left( \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^\varepsilon(t)) \, dx \right)^p + \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^t \|LR(v^\varepsilon(s))\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2 \, ds \right)^p \\ & + \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T} \left( \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i 4a_{0i} |\nabla(u^\varepsilon)^{1/2}|^2 \, dx \, ds \right)^p \\ & + \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T} \left( \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i 2a_{ii} |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 \, dx \, ds \right)^p \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C_{\lambda, \kappa} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T} \left( \frac{1}{N} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \left( \frac{\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon}{u_i^\varepsilon} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \right)^p \\
& + \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T} \left( \lambda \frac{1}{N} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i a_{ii} \left( \partial_{x_l} \sqrt{u_i^\varepsilon} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \right)^p \\
& \leq C(p, u^0) + 3^{p-1} \left( \frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} \right)^p \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^d \left( \int_{\mathcal{O}} \partial_{x_l} (\sigma_i(u) e_k^{il}) (h'(u^\varepsilon(s)))_i e_k^{ij} dx \right)^2 ds \right)^{p/2} \\
& + 3^{p-1} \left( \frac{1}{N} \right)^p \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T} \left( \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^\varepsilon(s)) dx ds \right)^p.
\end{aligned}$$

The second term on the right-hand side can be bounded as in the previous estimates (see (6.12)):

(6.19)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T} \left( \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^\varepsilon(t)) dx \right)^p + \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^t \|LR(v^\varepsilon(s))\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2 ds \right)^p \\
& + \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T} \left( \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i 4a_{0i} |\nabla(u^\varepsilon)^{1/2}|^2 dx ds \right)^p \\
& + \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T} \left( \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i 2a_{ii} |\nabla u_i^\varepsilon|^2 dx ds \right)^p \\
& + C_{\lambda, \kappa} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T} \left( \frac{1}{N} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \left( \frac{\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon}{u_i^\varepsilon} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \right)^p \\
& + \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T} \left( \lambda \frac{1}{N} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i a_{ii} \left( \partial_{x_l} \sqrt{u_i^\varepsilon} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \right)^p \\
& \leq C(p, u^0) \\
& + \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} 2^p 3^{p-1} \left( \frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \left( \sup_{i,l} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left( 2 \sup_{i,j} \pi_j a_{ji} \right)^p \\
& + \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} 2^p 3^{p-1} \left( \frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \left( \sup_{i,l} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \left( \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i a_{ij} \pi_i (u_i(t) (\log(u_i(t)) - 1) + 1) dx \right)^p \\
& + \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} 2^p 3^{p-1} \left( \frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \left( \sup_{i,l} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T_R} \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \left\| \partial_{x_l} \sqrt{u_i^\varepsilon}(s) \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2 ds \\
& + 3^{p-1} \left( \frac{1}{N} \right)^p \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 < t < T} \left( \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^\varepsilon(s)) dx ds \right)^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Recalling (A4), using Jensen's inequality and neglecting the expression  $\varepsilon \|LR_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(t))\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2$ , we apply Gronwall's lemma. Then, taking into account the fact that the entropy dominates the  $L^1(\mathcal{O})$  norm, and applying the Poincaré–Wirtinger inequality, we obtain estimates (6.14)–(6.16). Going back to (6.19), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left( \varepsilon \sup_{0 < t < T} \|LR_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(t))\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2 \right)^p & \leq C(p, u^0) + C(p, T) \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \left( \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u^\varepsilon(s)) dx \right)^p ds \\
& \leq C(p, u^0, T).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the previous estimates and arguing as in the proof of Proposition 24, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{0 < t < T} \|v^\varepsilon(t)\|_{D(L)'} \right)^p = \mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{0 < t < T} \|u^\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon L^* LR_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(t))\|_{D(L)'} \right)^p$$

$$\leq C\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0 < t < T} \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})}\right)^p + C\mathbb{E}\left(\varepsilon^2 \sup_{0 < t < T} \|LR_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(t))\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2\right)^{p/2} \leq C(p, u^0, T).$$

The last claim follows again from the same arguments as in Corollary 23. This ends the proof.  $\square$

Using the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality, we can derive further estimates. We recall that  $Q_T = \mathcal{O} \times (0, T)$ .

**Lemma 26** (Higher-order moments II). *Let  $p \geq 2$ . There exists a constant  $C(p, u^0, T) > 0$ , which is independent of  $\varepsilon$ , such that*

$$(6.20) \quad \mathbb{E}\|u_i^\varepsilon\|_{L^{2+2/d}(Q_T)}^p \leq C(p, u^0, T),$$

$$(6.21) \quad \mathbb{E}\|u_i^\varepsilon\|_{L^{2+4/d}(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))}^p \leq C(p, u^0, T).$$

*Proof.* We apply the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T \|u_i^\varepsilon\|_{L^r(\mathcal{O})}^s dt\right)^{p/s} &\leq C\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T \|u_i^\varepsilon\|_{H^1(\mathcal{O})}^{\theta s} \|u_i^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})}^{(1-\theta)s} dt\right)^{p/s} \\ &\leq C\mathbb{E}\left(\|u_i^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\mathcal{O}))}^{(1-\theta)s} \int_0^T \|u_i^\varepsilon\|_{H^1(\mathcal{O})}^2 dt\right)^{p/s} \\ &\leq C(\mathbb{E}\|u_i^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\mathcal{O}))}^{2(1-\theta)p})^{1/2} (\mathbb{E}\|u_i^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{O}))}^{4p/s})^{1/2} \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

where  $r > 1$  and  $\theta \in (0, 1]$  satisfy  $1/r = 1 - \theta(d+2)/(2d)$  and  $s = 2/\theta \geq 2$ . The right-hand side is bounded in by (6.14) and (6.15). Setting  $r = s$  yields estimate (6.20), implying that  $r = 2 + 2/d$ , and (6.21) follows from the choice  $s = 2 + 4/d$ , implying that  $r = 2$ .  $\square$

Next, we prove bounds on the fractional time derivative of  $u^\varepsilon$ . In combination with the previous estimates, this will allow us to establish the tightness of the laws of  $(u^\varepsilon)$  in a suitable space. For a vector space  $X$ , and constants  $p \geq 1$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , the Sobolev–Slobodeckij space  $W^{\alpha, p}(0, T; X)$  is the set of all functions  $v \in L^p(0, T; X)$  for which

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{W^{\alpha, p}(0, T; X)}^p &= \|v\|_{L^p(0, T; X)}^p + |v|_{W^{\alpha, p}(0, T; X)}^p \\ &= \int_0^T \|v\|_X^p dt + \int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{\|v(t) - v(s)\|_X^p}{|t - s|^{1+\alpha p}} dt ds < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

With this norm,  $W^{\alpha, p}(0, T; X)$  becomes a Banach space. For  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ , we also introduce the Hölder space  $C^{0, \beta}([0, T], X)$  of continuous,  $X$ -valued functions  $v$  which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{C^{0, \beta}([0, T], X)}^p &= \|v\|_{C([0, T], X)}^p + |v|_{C^\beta([0, T], X)}^p \\ &= \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|v(t)\|_X^p + \sup_{s, t \in [0, T], s \neq t} \frac{\|v(t) - v(s)\|_X^p}{|t - s|^{\beta p}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 27.** [3, Lemma 21] *Let  $g \in L^1(0, T)$  and  $\delta < 2$ ,  $\delta \neq 1$ . Then*

$$(6.22) \quad \int_0^T \int_0^T |t - s|^{-\delta} \int_{s \wedge t}^{t \vee s} g(r) dr dt ds < \infty.$$

**Lemma 28** (Time regularity). *There exists  $0 < \beta$  and a constant  $C(u^0, T) > 0$  such that, for  $p := \frac{d+2}{d+1}$ ,*

$$(6.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|u^\varepsilon\|_{C^{0, \beta}(0, T; D(L)')}^p &\leq C(u^0, T), \\ \varepsilon^p \mathbb{E}\|L^* LR_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)\|_{C^{0, \beta}(0, T; D(L)')}^p + \mathbb{E}\|v^\varepsilon\|_{C^{0, \beta}(0, T; D(L)')}^p &\leq C(u^0, T). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p > 2$ , we can choose  $\alpha < 1/2$  such that  $\alpha p > 1$ . Then the continuous embedding  $W^{\alpha, p}(0, T) \hookrightarrow C^{0, \beta}([0, T])$  for  $\beta = \alpha - 1/p > 0$  implies that

$$(6.24) \quad \mathbb{E}\|u^\varepsilon\|_{C^{0, \beta}([0, T]; D(L)')}^p \leq C(u^0, T).$$

*Proof.* We recall the continuous embedding  $W^{\alpha,p}(0,T) \hookrightarrow C^{0,\beta}([0,T])$  for  $\beta = \alpha - 1/p > 0$ , which will be used throughout the proof.

First, we derive the  $W^{\alpha,p}$  estimate for  $v^\varepsilon$  and then we conclude the estimate for  $u^\varepsilon$  from the definition  $v^\varepsilon = u^\varepsilon + \varepsilon L^* L R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)$  and Lemma 26. We know from (6.17) that  $\mathbb{E}\|v^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;D(L)')}^p$  is bounded. Hence it remains to bound the seminorm-part of the  $W^{\alpha,p}(0,T;D(L)')$  norm:

$$\mathbb{E}|v_i^\varepsilon|_{W^{\alpha,p}(0,T;D(L)')}^p = \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{\|v_i^\varepsilon(t) - v_i^\varepsilon(s)\|_{D(L)'}^p}{|t-s|^{1+\alpha p}} dt ds.$$

Instead of bounding each term in the same seminorm, we will perform a more fine-grained analysis:

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &:= \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_0^T |t-s|^{-1-\alpha_1 p_1} \left\| \int_{s \wedge t}^{t \vee s} \operatorname{div}_M \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}(u^\varepsilon(r)) \nabla u_j^\varepsilon(r) dr \right\|_{D(L)'}^{p_1} dt ds \\ J_2 &:= \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_0^T |t-s|^{-1-\alpha_2 p_2} \left\| \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} \int_{s \wedge t}^{t \vee s} \left( \operatorname{div}_M \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_\delta(u^\varepsilon(r)) dW(r) \right) \right)_i \right\|_{D(L)'}^{p_2} dt ds \\ J_3 &:= \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_0^T |t-s|^{-1-\alpha_3 p_3} \left\| \lambda \frac{1}{N} \int_{s \wedge t}^{t \vee s} \mathcal{T}(u^\varepsilon(r))_i dr \right\|_{D(L)'}^{p_3} dt ds. \end{aligned}$$

The bound for  $J_1$ , we set

$$g(t) = \int_0^t \left\| \left( a_{i0} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} u_j^\varepsilon \right) \nabla u_i^\varepsilon + \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} u_i^\varepsilon \nabla u_j^\varepsilon \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})} dr.$$

Then, using  $D(L) \subset W^{1,\infty}(\mathcal{O})$  (due to the assumption  $m > d/2 + 1$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_0^T |t-s|^{-1-\alpha_1 p_1} \left\| \int_{s \wedge t}^{t \vee s} \operatorname{div} \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}(u^\varepsilon(r)) \nabla u_j^\varepsilon(r) dr \right\|_{D(L)'}^{p_1} dt ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^T \int_0^T |t-s|^{-1-\alpha_1 p_1} \left( \int_{s \wedge t}^{t \vee s} \left\| \left( a_{i0} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} u_j^\varepsilon \right) \nabla u_i^\varepsilon + \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} u_i^\varepsilon \nabla u_j^\varepsilon \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})} dr \right)^{p_1} dt ds \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{|g(t) - g(s)|^p}{|t-s|^{1+\alpha_1 p_1}} dt ds \leq C \mathbb{E} \|g\|_{W^{\alpha_1, p_1}(0,T;\mathbb{R})}^p. \end{aligned}$$

The embedding  $W^{1,p_1}(0,T;\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow W^{\alpha_1, p_1}(0,T;\mathbb{R})$  and estimates (6.15), (6.21) show that for  $1 \leq p_1 \leq (d+2)/(d+1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \|g\|_{W^{\alpha_1, p_1}(0,T;\mathbb{R})}^{p_1} &\leq C \mathbb{E} \|g\|_{W^{1, p_1}(0,T;\mathbb{R})}^{p_1} = C \mathbb{E} \|\partial_t g\|_{L^p(0,T;\mathbb{R})}^{p_1} + C \mathbb{E} \|g\|_{L^{p_1}(0,T;\mathbb{R})}^{p_1} \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \left\| \left( a_{i0} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} u_j^\varepsilon \right) \nabla u_i^\varepsilon + \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} u_i^\varepsilon \nabla u_j^\varepsilon \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})}^{p_1} dt \\ &\quad + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_0^t \left\| \left( a_{i0} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} u_j^\varepsilon \right) \nabla u_i^\varepsilon + \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} u_i^\varepsilon \nabla u_j^\varepsilon \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})}^{p_1} dr dt \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can choose a  $\alpha_1$ , such that  $\alpha_1 p_1 > 1$  and in turn a  $\beta_1 > 0$ , such that  $W^{\alpha_1, p_1}(0,T) \hookrightarrow C^{0,\beta_1}([0,T])$ .

In the following estimates, we will neglect the parameters  $\sqrt{\frac{1}{N}}$  and  $\frac{1}{N}$  for simplicity. To estimate  $J_2$ , we use the embedding  $L^2(\mathcal{O}) \hookrightarrow W^{-1,2}(\mathcal{O}) \hookrightarrow D(L)'$ , the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality,

and the Hölder inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &\leq C \int_0^T \int_0^T |t-s|^{-1-\alpha_2 p_2} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{l=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{s \wedge t}^{t \vee s} \partial_{x_l} \left( \sigma_{\delta}(u^{\varepsilon}(r))_{ij} e_k^{jl} \right) dW_k^{jl}(r) \right\|_{D(L)'}^{p_2} dt ds \\
&\leq C \int_0^T \int_0^T |t-s|^{-1-\alpha_2 p_2} \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{s \wedge t}^{t \vee s} \sum_{l=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \|\sigma_{\delta}(u^{\varepsilon}(r))_{ij} e_k^{jl}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2 dr \right)^{p_2/2} dt ds \\
&= C \int_0^T \int_0^T |t-s|^{-1-\alpha_2 p_2} \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{s \wedge t}^{t \vee s} \sum_{l=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{O}} \delta_{ij} u_i^{\varepsilon}(r) \left( a_{i0} + \sum_{m=1}^n a_{im} u_m^{\varepsilon}(r) \right) (e_k^{jl})^2 dx dr \right)^{p_2/2} dt ds \\
&= C \int_0^T \int_0^T |t-s|^{-1-\alpha_2 p_2} \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{s \wedge t}^{t \vee s} \sum_{l=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{O}} u_i^{\varepsilon}(r) \left( a_{i0} + \sum_{m=1}^n a_{im} u_m^{\varepsilon}(r) \right) (e_k^{il})^2 dx dr \right)^{p_2/2} dt ds \\
&\leq C \int_0^T \int_0^T |t-s|^{-1-\alpha_2 p_2} \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{l=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{O})}^2 \int_{s \wedge t}^{t \vee s} \int_{\mathcal{O}} u_i^{\varepsilon}(r) \left( a_{i0} + \sum_{m=1}^n a_{im} u_m^{\varepsilon}(r) \right) dx dr \right)^{p_2/2} dt ds \\
&\leq C \int_0^T \int_0^T |t-s|^{-1-\alpha_2 p_2 + \frac{p_2}{2}} \int_{s \wedge t}^{t \vee s} \mathbb{E} \sum_{j=1}^n (1 + \|u^{\varepsilon}(r)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^{p_2}) dr dt ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By (6.21) and (6.22), the right-hand side is finite if  $1 + \alpha_2 p_2 - p_2/2 < 2$ , which is equivalent to  $\alpha_2 < \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{2}$ . Choosing  $p_2 = 2 + \frac{4}{d}$ , we can choose an  $\alpha_2$  that satisfies  $1 < \alpha_2 p_2$  and the above relation. This yields a  $\beta_2 > 0$ , such that  $W^{\alpha_2, p_2}(0, T) \hookrightarrow C^{0, \beta_2}([0, T])$ .

Lastly, we bound the correction term. In this step, we set  $g(t) = \int_0^t \|\mathcal{T}(u^{\varepsilon}(r))_i\|_{D(L)'} dr$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3 &= \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_0^T |t-s|^{-1-\alpha_3 p_3} \left\| \int_{s \wedge t}^{t \vee s} \mathcal{T}(u^{\varepsilon}(r))_i dr \right\|_{D(L)'}^{p_3} dt ds \\
&\leq C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_0^T |t-s|^{-1-\alpha_3 p_3} \left( \int_{s \wedge t}^{t \vee s} \|\mathcal{T}(u^{\varepsilon}(r))_i\|_{D(L)'} dr \right)^{p_3} dt ds \\
&\leq C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{|g(t) - g(s)|^{p_3}}{|t-s|^{1+\alpha_3 p_3}} dt ds \leq C \mathbb{E} \|g\|_{W^{\alpha_3, p_3}(0, T; \mathbb{R})}^{p_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Again by the embedding  $W^{1, p}(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow W^{\alpha, p}(0, T; \mathbb{R})$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \|g\|_{W^{\alpha_3, p_3}(0, T; \mathbb{R})}^{p_3} &\leq C \mathbb{E} \|g\|_{W^{1, p_3}(0, T; \mathbb{R})}^{p_3} = C \mathbb{E} \|\partial_t g\|_{L^p(0, T; \mathbb{R})}^{p_3} + C \mathbb{E} \|g\|_{L^p(0, T; \mathbb{R})}^{p_3} \\
&\leq C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{T}(u^{\varepsilon}(t))_i\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})}^{p_3} dt + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_0^t \|\mathcal{T}(u^{\varepsilon}(r))_i\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})}^{p_3} dr dt.
\end{aligned}$$

To bound the terms above, we perform the same steps as in the proof of Lemma 19. The only term requiring attention will be the one involving the derivative of the logarithm of our solution. Here we notice the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sqrt{\tilde{A}_i} \sqrt{\tilde{A}_i} \log(u_i^{\varepsilon}) \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})}^{p_3} &\leq C \left\| \sqrt{\tilde{A}_i}^{p_3} \sqrt{\tilde{A}_i}^{-p_3} \log(u_i^{\varepsilon})^{p_3} \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})} \\
&\leq C \left\| \tilde{A}_i^{-\frac{p_3}{2-p_3}} + \tilde{A}_i \log(u_i^{\varepsilon})^2 \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})}.
\end{aligned}$$

The bounds (6.20), (6.18) and Lemma 40 now imply that we can choose  $p_3 = \frac{2(d+4)}{d+6}$ . The remaining terms can be easily bound by Lemma 25 and Lemma 26, yielding that  $J_3$  is finite for  $\alpha_3 < 1$  and  $p_3 = \frac{2(d+4)}{d+6}$ . We can choose  $\frac{d+6}{2d+8} \alpha_3 > 1$ , which in turn allows us to conclude that  $\left\| \int_{s \wedge t}^{t \vee s} \mathcal{T}(u^{\varepsilon}(r))_i dr \right\|_{D(L)'} \in W^{\alpha_3, p_3}(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow C^{\beta_3}(0, T)$  with  $0 < \beta_3 \leq \alpha_3 p_3 - 1$ .

we now simply set  $\beta := \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$ . The uniform bounds for  $u^\varepsilon$  follow by the definition of  $v^\varepsilon$  and the  $C^\beta$  seminorm,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|u^\varepsilon|_{C^\beta([0,T];D(L)')}^p &= \mathbb{E}|v^\varepsilon - \varepsilon L^*LR_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)|_{C^\beta([0,T];D(L)')}^p \\ &\leq C\mathbb{E} \sup_{s,t \in [0,T], s \neq t} \frac{\|v^\varepsilon(t) - v^\varepsilon(s)\|_{D(L)'}}{|t-s|^{\beta p}} \\ &\quad + C\mathbb{E} \sup_{s,t \in [0,T], s \neq t} \frac{\varepsilon^p \|L^*LR_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(t)) - L^*LR_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(s))\|_{D(L)'}}{|t-s|^{\beta p}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.2) and the Lipschitz continuity of  $R_\varepsilon$  (Lemma 16) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|L^*LR_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(t)) - L^*LR_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(s))\|_{D(L)'} &\leq \|R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(t)) - R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(s))\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \\ &\leq \varepsilon^{-1}C\|v^\varepsilon(t) - v^\varepsilon(s)\|_{D(L)'}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we find that

$$\mathbb{E}|u^\varepsilon|_{C^\beta([0,T];D(L)')}^p \leq C\mathbb{E}|v^\varepsilon|_{C^\beta([0,T];D(L)')}^p.$$

□

**6.2. Tightness of the laws of  $(u^\varepsilon)$ .** We show that the laws of  $(u^\varepsilon)$  are tight in a certain sub-Polish space. For this, we introduce the following spaces:

- $C^0([0, T]; D(L)')$  is the space of continuous functions  $u : [0, T] \rightarrow D(L)'$  with the topology  $\mathbb{T}_1$  induced by the norm  $\|u\|_{C^0([0,T];D(L)')} = \sup_{0 < t < T} \|u(t)\|_{D(L)'}$ ;
- $L_w^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{O}))$  is the space  $L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{O}))$  with the weak topology  $\mathbb{T}_2$ .

We define the space

$$\tilde{Z}_T := C^0([0, T]; D(L)') \cap L_w^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{O})),$$

endowed with the topology  $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}$  that is the maximum of the topologies  $\mathbb{T}_1$  and  $\mathbb{T}_2$ . The space  $\tilde{Z}_T$  is a sub-Polish space, since  $C^0([0, T]; D(L)')$  is separable and metrizable and

$$f_m(u) = \int_0^T (u(t), v_m(t))_{H^1(\mathcal{O})} dt, \quad u \in L_w^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{O})), \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $(v_m)_m$  is a dense subset of  $L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{O}))$ , is a countable family  $(f_m)$  of point-separating functionals acting on  $L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{O}))$ . In the following, we choose a number  $s^* \geq 1$  such that

$$(6.25) \quad s^* < \frac{2d}{d-2} \quad \text{if } d \geq 3, \quad s^* < \infty \quad \text{if } d = 2, \quad s^* \leq \infty \quad \text{if } d = 1.$$

Then the embedding  $H^1(\mathcal{O}) \hookrightarrow L^{s^*}(\mathcal{O})$  is compact.

**Lemma 29.** *The set of laws of  $(u^\varepsilon)$  is tight in*

$$Z_T = \tilde{Z}_T \cap L^2(0, T; L^{s^*}(\mathcal{O}))$$

*with the topology  $\mathbb{T}$  that is the maximum of  $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}$  and the topology induced by the  $L^2(0, T; L^{s^*}(\mathcal{O}))$  norm, where  $s^*$  is given by (6.25).*

*Proof.* We apply Chebyshev's inequality for the first moment and use estimate (6.24), for any  $\eta > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{\substack{s,t \in [0,T], \\ |t-s| \leq \delta}} \|u^\varepsilon(t) - u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{D(L)'} > \eta \right) &\leq \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \frac{1}{\eta} \mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{\substack{s,t \in [0,T], \\ |t-s| \leq \delta}} \|u^\varepsilon(t) - u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{D(L)'} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\delta^\beta}{\eta} \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{\substack{s,t \in [0,T], \\ |t-s| \leq \delta}} \frac{\|u^\varepsilon(t) - u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{D(L)'}}{|t-s|^\beta} \right) \leq \frac{\delta^\beta}{\eta} \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathbb{E} \|u^\varepsilon\|_{C^{0,\beta}([0,T];D(L)')} \leq C \frac{\delta^\beta}{\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that for all  $\theta > 0$  and all  $\eta > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{s,t \in [0,T], |t-s| \leq \delta} \|u^\varepsilon(t) - u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{D(L)'} > \eta \right) \leq \theta,$$

which is equivalent to the Aldous condition [5, Section 2.2]. Applying [35, Lemma 5, Theorem 3] with the spaces  $X = H^1(\mathcal{O})$  and  $B = D(L)'$ , we conclude that  $(u^\varepsilon)$  is precompact in  $C^0([0, T]; D(L)')$ . Then, proceeding as in the proof of the basic criterion for tightness [32, Chapter II, Section 2.1], we see that the set of laws of  $(u^\varepsilon)$  is tight in  $C^0([0, T]; D(L)')$ .

Next, by Chebyshev's inequality again and estimate (6.2), for all  $K > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\|u^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{O}))} > K) \leq \frac{1}{K^2} \mathbb{E}\|u^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{O}))}^2 \leq \frac{C}{K^2}.$$

This implies that for any  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $K > 0$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(\|u^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{O}))} \leq K) \leq 1 - \delta$ . Since closed balls in the norm of  $L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{O}))$  are weakly compact, we infer that the set of laws of  $(u^\varepsilon)$  is tight in  $L_w^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{O}))$ .

The tightness in  $L^2(0, T; L^{s^*}(\mathcal{O}))$  follows from standard Sobolev embedding arguments.  $\square$

**Lemma 30.** *The set of laws of  $(\sqrt{\varepsilon}R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon))$  are tight in  $L_w^2(0, T; D(L)) \cap L_{w^*}^\infty(0, T; D(L))$ . In addition the laws of  $(\nabla R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon))$  are tight in  $L_w^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))$  (equipped with the weak topology). In other words,  $(\sqrt{\varepsilon}L^*LR_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon))$  is tight in*

$$Y_T := L_w^2(0, T; D(L)') \cap L_{w^*}^\infty(0, T; D(L)')$$

with the associated topology  $\mathbb{T}_Y$ .

*Proof.* We apply the Chebyshev inequality and use the inequality  $\|L^*LR_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)\|_{D(L)'} \leq C\|LR_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} = C\|R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)\|_{D(L)}$  and estimate (6.3):

$$\mathbb{P}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\|L^*LR_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(0, T; D(L)')} > K) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{K^2} \mathbb{E}\|L^*LR_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(0, T; D(L)')}^2 \leq \frac{C}{K^2}$$

for any  $K > 0$ . Since closed balls in  $L^2(0, T; D(L)')$  are weakly compact, the set of laws of  $(\sqrt{\varepsilon}L^*LR_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon))$  is tight in  $L_w^2(0, T; D(L)')$ . The remaining claims follow from an analogous argument.  $\square$

**6.3. Convergence of  $(u^\varepsilon)$ .** Let  $\mathbb{P}(X)$  be the space of probability measures on  $X$ . We consider the space  $Z_T \times Y_T \times C^0([0, T]; U_0)$ , equipped with the probability measure  $\mu^\varepsilon := \mu_u^\varepsilon \times \mu_w^\varepsilon \times \mu_{\nabla w}^\varepsilon \times \mu_W^\varepsilon$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_u^\varepsilon(\cdot) &= \mathbb{P}(u^\varepsilon \in \cdot) \in \mathbb{P}(Z_T), \\ \mu_w^\varepsilon &= \mathbb{P}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}L^*LR_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon) \in \cdot) \in \mathbb{P}(Y_T), \\ \mu_{\nabla w}^\varepsilon &= \mathbb{P}(\nabla R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon) \in \cdot) \in \mathbb{P}(L_w^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))), \\ \mu_W^\varepsilon(\cdot) &= \mathbb{P}(W \in \cdot) \in \mathbb{P}(C^0([0, T]; U_0)), \end{aligned}$$

recalling the choice (6.25) of  $s^*$ .

The family of measures  $(\mu^\varepsilon)$  is tight since the laws of the sequences  $(u^\varepsilon)$ ,  $(\sqrt{\varepsilon}L^*LR_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon))$ , and  $(\nabla R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon))$  are tight in the respective spaces  $(Z_T, \mathbb{T})$ ,  $(Y_T, \mathbb{T}_Y)$ , and  $L_w^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))$ . Furthermore,  $(\mu_W^\varepsilon)$  is a singleton and, therefore, weakly compact in  $C^0([0, T]; U_0)$ . By Prokhorov's theorem,  $(\mu_W^\varepsilon)$  is also tight. Consequently, the product space  $Z_T \times Y_T \times L_w^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O})) \times C^0([0, T]; U_0)$  satisfies the assumptions of the Skorokhod–Jakubowski theorem [6, Theorem C.1].

Applying this theorem, we obtain a subsequence of  $(u^\varepsilon, \sqrt{\varepsilon}L^*LR_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon))$  (not relabeled), along with a probability space  $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$  and random variables  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{w}, \nabla \tilde{w}, \tilde{W})$  and  $(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon, \tilde{w}^\varepsilon, \nabla \tilde{w}^\varepsilon, \tilde{W}^\varepsilon)$  taking values in  $Z_T \times Y_T \times L_w^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O})) \times C^0([0, T]; U_0)$ . These random variables satisfy the property that  $(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon, \tilde{w}^\varepsilon, \nabla \tilde{w}^\varepsilon, \tilde{W}^\varepsilon)$  has the same law as  $(u^\varepsilon, \sqrt{\varepsilon}L^*LR_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon), \nabla R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon), W)$  on  $\mathcal{B}(Z_T \times Y_T \times L_w^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O})) \times C^0([0, T]; U_0))$ . Furthermore, as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon, \tilde{w}^\varepsilon, \nabla \tilde{w}^\varepsilon, \tilde{W}^\varepsilon) \rightarrow (\tilde{u}, \tilde{w}, \nabla \tilde{w}, \tilde{W}) \quad \text{in } Z_T \times Y_T \times L_w^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O})) \times C^0([0, T]; U_0) \quad \tilde{\mathbb{P}}\text{-a.s.}$$

By the definitions of  $Z_T$  and  $Y_T$ , this convergence implies the following  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s. limits:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}^\varepsilon &\rightarrow \tilde{u} \quad \text{strongly in } C^0([0, T]; D(L)'), \\ \tilde{u}^\varepsilon &\rightharpoonup \tilde{u} \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{O})), \\ \tilde{u}^\varepsilon &\rightarrow \tilde{u} \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T; L^{s^*}(\mathcal{O})), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{w}^\varepsilon &\rightharpoonup \tilde{w} \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; D(L)'), \\
\nabla \tilde{w}^\varepsilon &\rightharpoonup \nabla \tilde{w} \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O})), \\
\tilde{w}^\varepsilon &\rightharpoonup^* \tilde{w} \quad \text{weakly* in } L^\infty(0, T; D(L)'), \\
\tilde{W}^\varepsilon &\rightarrow \tilde{W} \quad \text{strongly in } C^0([0, T]; U_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Although the notation  $\nabla \tilde{w}^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \nabla \tilde{w}$  may not be immediately obvious, the uniqueness of the distributional limit ensures that  $\nabla \tilde{w}^\varepsilon$  indeed converges to  $\nabla \tilde{w}$ .

To establish regularity properties for the limit function  $\tilde{u}$ , we observe that  $\tilde{u}$  is a  $Z_T$ -Borel random variable, since  $\mathcal{B}(Z_T \times Y_T \times C^0([0, T]; U_0))$  is contained in  $\mathcal{B}(Z_T) \times \mathcal{B}(Y_T) \times \mathcal{B}(C^0([0, T]; U_0))$ . From estimates (6.1) and (6.2), together with the fact that  $u^\varepsilon$  and  $\tilde{u}^\varepsilon$  have the same law, we obtain

$$\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \|\tilde{u}^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{O}))}^p + \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \|\tilde{u}^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T; D(L)')}^p < \infty.$$

Thus, there exists a further subsequence of  $(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon)$  (not relabeled) that converges weakly in  $L^p(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{O})))$  and weakly\* in  $L^p(\tilde{\Omega}; C^0([0, T]; D(L)'))$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\tilde{u}^\varepsilon \rightarrow \tilde{u}$  in  $Z_T$   $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s., it follows that

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{O}))}^p + \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; D(L)')}^p < \infty.$$

Let  $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}^\varepsilon$  denote the filtrations generated by  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{w}, \tilde{W})$  and  $(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon, \tilde{w}^\varepsilon, \tilde{W}^\varepsilon)$ , respectively. Following the arguments of [7, Proposition B4], we verify that these variables define stochastic processes. The progressive measurability of  $\tilde{u}^\varepsilon$  follows from [4, Appendix B]. Setting  $\tilde{W}_j^{\varepsilon, k}(t) := \langle \tilde{W}^\varepsilon(t), e_k \rangle_U$ , we claim that for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\tilde{W}_j^{\varepsilon, k}(t)$  forms an independent, standard  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t$ -Wiener process. The adaptedness follows by definition, while the independence of increments and the limiting characteristic function imply that  $\tilde{W}(t)$  is a spatially colored Wiener ( $U$ -cylindrical) process by Lévy's characterization theorem.

By definition,  $u_i^\varepsilon = u_i(R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)) = \exp(R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon))$  is positive in  $Q_T$  a.s. We claim that also  $\tilde{u}_i$  is nonnegative in  $\mathcal{O}$  a.s.

**Lemma 31** (Nonnegativity). *It holds that  $\tilde{u}_i \geq 0$  a.e. in  $Q_T$   $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s. for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Since  $u_i^\varepsilon > 0$  in  $Q_T$  a.s., we have  $\mathbb{E} \|(u_i^\varepsilon)^-\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))} = 0$ , where  $z^- = \min\{0, z\}$ . The function  $u_i^\varepsilon$  is  $Z_T$ -Borel measurable and so does its negative part. Therefore, using the equivalence of the laws of  $u_i^\varepsilon$  and  $\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon$  in  $Z_T$  and writing  $\mu_i^\varepsilon$  and  $\tilde{\mu}_i^\varepsilon$  for the laws of  $u_i^\varepsilon$  and  $\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon$ , respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \|(\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon)^-\|_{L^2(Q_T)} &= \int_{L^2(Q_T)} \|y^-\|_{L^2(Q_T)} d\tilde{\mu}_i^\varepsilon(y) \\
&= \int_{L^2(Q_T)} \|y^-\|_{L^2(Q_T)} d\mu_i^\varepsilon(y) = \mathbb{E} \|u_i^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q_T)} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon \geq 0$  a.e. in  $Q_T$   $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s. The convergence (up to a subsequence)  $\tilde{u}^\varepsilon \rightarrow \tilde{u}$  a.e. in  $Q_T$   $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s. then implies that  $\tilde{u}_i \geq 0$  in  $Q_T$   $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s.  $\square$

The following lemma is needed to verify that  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{W})$  is a martingale solution to (1.1)–(1.2).

**Lemma 32.** *It holds for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and all  $\phi_1 \in L^2(\mathcal{O})$  and all  $\phi_2 \in D(L)$  that*

$$(6.26) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T (\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon(t) - \tilde{u}_i(t), \phi_1)_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} dt = 0,$$

$$(6.27) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \langle \tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon(0) - \tilde{u}_i(0), \phi_2 \rangle_{D(L)', D(L)} = 0,$$

$$(6.28) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T \langle \sqrt{\varepsilon} \tilde{w}_i^\varepsilon(t), \phi_2 \rangle_{D(L)', D(L)} dt = 0,$$

$$(6.29) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \langle \sqrt{\varepsilon} \tilde{w}_i^\varepsilon(0), \phi_2 \rangle_{D(L)', D(L)} = 0,$$

$$(6.30) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} (A_{ij}(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(s)) \nabla \tilde{u}_j^\varepsilon(s) - A_{ij}(\tilde{u}(s)) \nabla \tilde{u}_j(s)) \cdot \nabla \phi_2 \, dx \, ds \right| dt = 0,$$

$$(6.31) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \left( \sigma_\delta(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(s))_{ij} d\tilde{W}_j^\varepsilon(s) - \sigma(\tilde{u}(s))_{ij} d\tilde{W}_j(s), \nabla \phi_2 \right)_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \right|^2 dt = 0,$$

$$(6.32) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T \left| \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{T}(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(s))_i - \mathcal{T}(\tilde{u}(s))_i, \phi_2 \rangle \, ds \right| dt = 0$$

*Proof.* The proof is a combination of the uniform bounds and Vitali's convergence theorem. Convergences (6.26) and (6.27) have been shown in the proof of [18, Lemma 16], and (6.28) is a direct consequence of (6.23) and

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left( \int_0^T \langle \sqrt{\varepsilon} \tilde{w}_i^\varepsilon(t), \phi_2 \rangle_{D(L)', D(L)} \, dt \right)^p \leq \varepsilon^{p/2} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left( \int_0^T \|\tilde{w}_i^\varepsilon(t)\|_{D(L)'} \|\phi_2\|_{D(L)} \, dt \right)^p \leq \varepsilon^{p/2} C.$$

Convergence (6.29) follows from  $\tilde{w}_i^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \tilde{w}_i$  weakly\* in  $L^\infty(0, T; D(L)')$ . We establish (6.30):

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^T \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} (A_{ij}(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(s)) \nabla \tilde{u}_j^\varepsilon(s) - A_{ij}(\tilde{u}(s)) \nabla \tilde{u}_j(s)) \cdot \nabla \phi_2 \, dx \, ds \right| \right. \\ & \leq \int_0^T \|A_{ij}(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(s)) - A_{ij}(\tilde{u}(s))\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \|\nabla \tilde{u}_j^\varepsilon(s)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \|\nabla \phi_2\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})} \, ds \\ & \quad \left. + \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} A_{ij}(\tilde{u}(s)) \nabla(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(s) - \tilde{u}(s)) \cdot \nabla \phi_2 \, dx \, ds \right| =: I_1^\varepsilon + I_2^\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By the Lipschitz continuity of  $A$  and the uniform bound for  $\nabla \tilde{u}^\varepsilon$ , we have  $I_1^\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$   $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s. At this point, we use the embedding  $D(L) \hookrightarrow W^{1, \infty}(\mathcal{O})$ . Also the second integral  $I_2^\varepsilon$  converges to zero, since  $A_{ij}(\tilde{u}) \nabla \phi_2 \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))$  and  $\nabla \tilde{u}_j^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \nabla \tilde{u}_j$  weakly in  $L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))$ . This shows that  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s.,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} A_{ij}(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(s)) \nabla \tilde{u}_j^\varepsilon(s) \cdot \nabla \phi_2 \, dx \, ds = \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} A_{ij}(\tilde{u}(s)) \nabla \tilde{u}_j(s) \cdot \nabla \phi_2 \, dx \, ds.$$

A straightforward estimation and bound (6.15) lead to

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} A_{ij}(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(s)) \nabla \tilde{u}_j^\varepsilon(s) \cdot \nabla \phi_2 \, dx \, ds \right|^p \\ & \leq \|\nabla \phi_2\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}^p \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left( \int_0^T \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(s)) \nabla \tilde{u}_j^\varepsilon(s) \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})} \, ds \right)^p \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Vitali's convergence theorem gives (6.30).

It remains to prove (6.31).

We have that  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \|\sigma_{ij}(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(s)) - \sigma_{ij}(\tilde{u}(s))\|_{L^2(U; L^2(\mathcal{O}))}^2 \, ds \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon) - \tilde{u}_i \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}) \right\|_{L^1(0, T; L^1(\mathcal{O}))} \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))} \left\| \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon) - \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}) \right\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))} + \|\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon - \tilde{u}_i\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))} \left\| \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}) \right\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation and Hölder's inequality yield,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \mathbb{E} \|\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \mathbb{E} \left\| \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon) - \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}) \right\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \mathbb{E} \|\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon - \tilde{u}_i\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \mathbb{E} \left\| \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}) \right\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \mathbb{E} \|\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathcal{O}))}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \mathbb{E} \|\tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathcal{O}))}^2 + \mathbb{E} \|\tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u})\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathcal{O}))}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + C \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \mathbb{E} \|\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathcal{O}))}^2 + \mathbb{E} \|\tilde{u}_i\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathcal{O}))}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \mathbb{E} \|\tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u})\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathcal{O}))}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The dominated convergence theorem now implies that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T \|\sigma_\delta(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(s))_{ij} - \sigma(\tilde{u}(s))_{ij}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(U;L^2(\mathcal{O}))}^2 ds = 0.$$

The estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left| \left( \int_0^T \sigma_\delta(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(s))_{ij} d\tilde{W}_j^\varepsilon(s) - \int_0^T \sigma(\tilde{u}(s))_{ij} d\tilde{W}_j(s), \nabla \phi_2 \right)_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \right|^2 \\ &\leq C \|\phi_2\|_{D(L)}^2 \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T (\|\sigma_\delta(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(s))_{ij}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(U;L^2(\mathcal{O}))}^2 + \|\sigma(\tilde{u}(s))_{ij}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(U;L^2(\mathcal{O}))}^2) ds \\ &\leq C \|\phi_2\|_{D(L)}^2 \left\{ 1 + \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left( \int_0^T (\|\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(s)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2 + \|\tilde{u}(s)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2) ds \right) \right\} \leq C \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\phi_2 \in D(L)$ , the dominated convergence,  $\tilde{W}^\varepsilon \rightarrow \tilde{W}$  in  $C^0([0, T]; U_0)$ , the uniform convergence of  $\sigma_\delta \rightarrow \sigma$  and [16, Lemma 2.1] imply

$$\int_0^T \sigma_\delta(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon)_{ij} d\tilde{W}^\varepsilon \rightarrow \int_0^T \sigma(\tilde{u})_{ij} d\tilde{W} \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O})) \quad \tilde{\mathbb{P}}\text{-a.s.}$$

We are left with the correction term.

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T \left| \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{T}(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(s))_i - \mathcal{T}(\tilde{u}(s))_i, \phi_2 \rangle ds \right| dt \\ &\leq \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T \left| \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \langle \partial_{\tilde{u}^\varepsilon} \sigma(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(s))_{ii} \partial_{x_l} \sigma(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(s))_{ii} - \partial_{\tilde{u}} \sigma(\tilde{u}(s))_{ii} \partial_{x_l} \sigma(\tilde{u}(s))_{ii}, (e_k^{il})^2 \partial_{x_l} \phi_2 \rangle ds \right| dt \\ &\leq \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T \left| \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \left\langle \frac{1}{\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon} \partial_{x_l} (\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon)) - \frac{1}{\tilde{u}_i} \partial_{x_l} (\tilde{u}_i \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u})), (e_k^{il})^2 \partial_{x_l} \phi_2 \right\rangle ds \right| dt \\ &\quad + \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T \left| \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \left\langle a_{ii} \frac{1}{\tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon)} \partial_{x_l} (\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon)) - \frac{1}{\tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u})} a_{ii} \partial_{x_l} (\tilde{u}_i \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u})), (e_k^{il})^2 \partial_{x_l} \phi_2 \right\rangle ds \right| dt \\ &\leq \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T \left| \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \langle \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_l} \log(\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon) - \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}) \partial_{x_l} \log(\tilde{u}_i), (e_k^{il})^2 \partial_{x_l} \phi_2 \rangle ds \right| dt \\ &\quad + \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T \left| \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \left\langle a_{ii} \frac{\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon}{\tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon)} \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon) - a_{ii} \frac{\tilde{u}_i}{\tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u})} \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}), (e_k^{il})^2 \partial_{x_l} \phi_2 \right\rangle ds \right| dt \\ &\quad + \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T \left| \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \langle \partial_{x_l} \tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon - \partial_{x_l} \tilde{u}_i, (e_k^{il})^2 \partial_{x_l} \phi_2 \rangle ds \right| dt \\ &\quad + \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T \left| \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \langle a_{ii} \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon) - a_{ii} \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}), (e_k^{il})^2 \partial_{x_l} \phi_2 \rangle ds \right| dt. \end{aligned}$$

Considering the first term

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T \left| \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \langle \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_l} \log(\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon) - \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}) \partial_{x_l} \log(\tilde{u}_i), (e_k^{il})^2 \partial_{x_l} \phi_2 \rangle ds \right| dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T \left| \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \left\langle \partial_{x_l} \log(\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon) - \partial_{x_l} \log(\tilde{u}_i), \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}) (e_k^{il})^2 \partial_{x_l} \phi_2 \right\rangle ds \right| dt \\
&\quad + \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T \left| \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \left\langle \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon) - \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}), (e_k^{il})^2 \partial_{x_l} \log(\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_l} \phi_2 \right\rangle ds \right| dt \\
&\leq \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T \left| \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \left\langle \partial_{x_l} \log(\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon) - \partial_{x_l} \log(\tilde{u}_i), \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}) (e_k^{il})^2 \partial_{x_l} \phi_2 \right\rangle ds \right| dt \\
&\quad + \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T \left| \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \left\| \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon) - \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}) \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2 \|\partial_{x_l} \log(\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \|\partial_{x_l} \phi_2\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})} ds \right| dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Using  $\tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}) (e_k^{il})^2 \partial_{x_l} \phi_2 \in L^{2+\frac{2}{d}}(0, T; L^{2+\frac{2}{d}}(\mathcal{O})) \cap L^{2+\frac{4}{d}}(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))$ , the weak convergence of  $\partial_{x_l} \tilde{w}^\varepsilon = \partial_{x_l} \log(\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon)$  for every  $l = 1, \dots, d$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , as well as the strong convergence of  $\tilde{u}^\varepsilon$  in  $L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))$ , allows us to conclude that this term, after an application of the dominated convergence theorem, goes to 0, as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T \left| \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \left\langle a_{ii} \frac{\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon}{\tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon)} \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon) - a_{ii} \frac{\tilde{u}_i}{\tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u})} \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}), (e_k^{il})^2 \partial_{x_l} \phi_2 \right\rangle ds \right| dt \\
&\leq C \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T \left| \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \left\langle \left( \frac{\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon}{\tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon)} - \frac{\tilde{u}_i}{\tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u})} \right), \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon) (e_k^{il})^2 \partial_{x_l} \phi_2 \right\rangle ds \right| dt \\
&\quad + C \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T \left| \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \left\langle \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon) - \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}), \frac{\tilde{u}_i}{\tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u})} (e_k^{il})^2 \partial_{x_l} \phi_2 \right\rangle ds \right| dt \\
&\leq C \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T \left| \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \left\langle \frac{(\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon - \tilde{u}_i) \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}) + (\tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}) - \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon)) \tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon}{\tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon) \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u})}, \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon) (e_k^{il})^2 \partial_{x_l} \phi_2 \right\rangle ds \right| dt \\
&\quad + C \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^T \left| \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \left\langle \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon) - \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}), \frac{\tilde{u}_i}{\tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u})} (e_k^{il})^2 \partial_{x_l} \phi_2 \right\rangle ds \right| dt.
\end{aligned}$$

The non-negativity of  $\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon, \tilde{u}_i$ , the bound  $\frac{1}{\tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u})} + \frac{1}{\tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{2}{a_{i0}}$ , as well as the fact that  $\frac{\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon}{\tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon)}, \frac{\tilde{u}_i}{\tilde{A}_i(\tilde{u})} \in L^\infty(\Omega; L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\mathcal{O})))$ ,  $\partial_{x_l} \phi_2 \in L^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ , the strong convergence of  $\tilde{u}^\varepsilon \rightarrow \tilde{u}$  in  $L^2(0, T; L^{s^*}(\mathcal{O}))$  and the weak convergence of  $\partial_{x_l} \tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon \rightarrow \partial_{x_l} \tilde{u}_i$  in  $L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))$  yield that this term vanishes as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , due to the dominated convergence theorem. Identical arguments can be applied to the two remaining terms.  $\square$

To show that the limit is indeed a solution, we define, for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $\phi \in D(L)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_i^\varepsilon(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon, \tilde{w}^\varepsilon, \tilde{W}^\varepsilon, \phi)(t) &:= \langle \tilde{u}_i(0), \phi \rangle + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \langle \tilde{w}^\varepsilon(0), \phi \rangle \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} A_{ij}(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(s)) \nabla \tilde{u}_j^\varepsilon(s) \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx \, ds \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \int_0^t \sigma_\delta(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(s))_{ij} d\tilde{W}_j^\varepsilon(s), \nabla \phi \right)_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{T}(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(s))_i \phi \, dx \, ds,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Lambda_i(\tilde{u}, \tilde{w}, \tilde{W}, \phi)(t) := \langle \tilde{u}_i(0), \phi \rangle - \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \langle A_{ij}(\tilde{u}(s)) \nabla \tilde{u}_j(s) \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx \, ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \int_0^t \sigma(\tilde{u}(s))_{ij} d\tilde{W}_j(s), \nabla \phi \right)_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \\
& + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{T}(\tilde{u}(s))_i \phi \, dx \, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

The following corollary is a consequence of the previous lemma.

**Corollary 33.** *It holds for any  $\phi_1 \in L^2(\mathcal{O})$  and  $\phi_2 \in D(L)$  that*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\| (\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon, \phi_1)_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} - (\tilde{u}_i, \phi_1)_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \right\|_{L^1(\tilde{\Omega} \times (0, T))} = 0, \\
& \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\| \Lambda_i^\varepsilon(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon, \sqrt{\varepsilon} \tilde{w}^\varepsilon, \tilde{W}^\varepsilon, \phi_2) - \Lambda_i(\tilde{u}, 0, \tilde{W}, \phi_2) \right\|_{L^1(\tilde{\Omega} \times (0, T))} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $v^\varepsilon$  is a strong solution to (5.2), it satisfies for a.e.  $t \in [0, T]$   $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $\phi \in D(L)$ ,

$$(v_i^\varepsilon(t), \phi)_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} = \Lambda_i^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon, \varepsilon L^* L R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon), W, \phi)(t)$$

and in particular,

$$\int_0^T \mathbb{E} \left| (v_i^\varepsilon(t), \phi)_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} - \Lambda_i^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon, \varepsilon L^* L R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon), W, \phi)(t) \right| dt = 0.$$

We deduce from the equivalence of the laws of  $(u^\varepsilon, \varepsilon L^* L R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon), W)$  and  $(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon, \sqrt{\varepsilon} \tilde{w}^\varepsilon, \tilde{W})$  that

$$\int_0^T \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left| (\tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon(t) + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \tilde{w}_i^\varepsilon, \phi)_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} - \Lambda_i^\varepsilon(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon, \sqrt{\varepsilon} \tilde{w}^\varepsilon, \tilde{W}^\varepsilon, \phi)(t) \right| dt = 0.$$

By Corollary 33, we can pass to the limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  to obtain

$$\int_0^T \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left| (\tilde{u}_i(t), \phi)_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} - \Lambda_i(\tilde{u}, 0, \tilde{W}, \phi)(t) \right| dt = 0.$$

This identity holds for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and all  $\phi \in D(L)$ . This shows that

$$\left| (\tilde{u}_i(t), \phi)_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} - \Lambda_i(\tilde{u}, 0, \tilde{W}, \phi)(t) \right| = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, T] \text{ } \tilde{\mathbb{P}}\text{-a.s., } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

We infer from the definition of  $\Lambda_i$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tilde{u}_i(t), \phi)_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} &= (\tilde{u}_i(0), \phi)_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} - \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} A_{ij}(\tilde{u}(s)) \nabla \tilde{u}_j(s) \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx \, ds \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \int_0^t \sigma(\tilde{u}(s))_{ij} d\tilde{W}_j(s), \nabla \phi \right)_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \\
&+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{T}(\tilde{u}(s))_i \phi \, dx \, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

for a.e.  $t \in [0, T]$  and all  $\phi \in D(L)$ . Set  $\tilde{U} = (\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}, \tilde{\mathbb{F}})$ . Then  $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{W}, \tilde{u})$  is a martingale solution to (1.1)–(1.3).

## 7. THE FLUCTUATION CORRECTION TERM

The deterministic version of (1.1)–(1.3) was derived in [9] from an  $n$  species interacting particle system with particle numbers  $N_1, \dots, N_n$ , moving on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We assume  $N_1 = \dots = N_n = N$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , this system takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.1) \quad dX_{k,i}^{N,\eta} &= -\nabla U_i \left( X_{k,i}^{N,\eta} \right) dt \\
&+ \left( 2\sigma_i + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n f_\eta \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ (\ell,j) \neq (k,i)}}^N B_{ij}^\eta \left( X_{k,i}^{N,\eta} - X_{\ell,j}^{N,\eta} \right) \right) \right)^{1/2} dW_i^k(t) \\
X_{k,i}^{N,\eta}(0) &= \xi_i^k, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, k = 1, \dots, N
\end{aligned}$$

where  $f_\eta$  is a globally Lipschitz continuous approximation of  $f$  with a Lipschitz constant smaller or equal to  $\eta^{-\alpha}$  for some small  $\alpha > 0$ . The scaling parameter  $\eta$  should be interpreted as the interaction radius of each particle. Although a derivation of the exact fluctuation equation would be interesting, for our purpose we only focus on the structure of the noise appearing in this characterization of the fluctuations process. Setting  $\mu_i^N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=1}^N \delta_{X_{m,i}^{N,\eta}}$ ,  $\mu_i^N \rightarrow u_i$  in a suitable sense. We note that the martingale part of the expression  $\sqrt{N}(\mu_i^N - u_i)$  will only depend on the quantity  $\mu_i^N$ . Let us apply Itô's formula to  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{m=1}^N \varphi(X_{m,i}^{N,\eta})$  with a smooth test function  $\varphi$ , but only keep track of the stochastic integral:

(7.2)

$$M_{i,N}(t, \varphi) = \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=1}^N \nabla \varphi(X_{k,i}^{N,\eta}) \left( 2\sigma_i + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n f_\eta \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ (\ell,j) \neq (k,i)}}^N B_{ij}^\eta \left( X_{k,i}^{N,\eta} - X_{\ell,j}^{N,\eta} \right) \right) \right)^{1/2} dW_i^k(t) \quad (7.3)$$

$$= \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=1}^N \left\langle \delta_{X_{k,i}^{N,\eta}}, \nabla \varphi(\cdot) \left( 2\sigma_i + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n f_\eta \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ (\ell,j) \neq (k,i)}}^N B_{ij}^\eta \left( (\cdot) - X_{\ell,j}^{N,\eta} \right) \right) \right) \right\rangle^{1/2} dW_i^k(t) \Bigg\rangle.$$

Computing the covariance  $\mathbb{E}[M_{i,N}(t, \varphi)M_{i,N}(s, \psi)]$  yields

$$\mathbb{E}[M_{i,N}(t, \varphi)M_{i,N}(s, \psi)] = \int_0^{s \wedge t} \left\langle \mu_i^N, \nabla \varphi(\cdot) \nabla \psi(\cdot) \left( 2\sigma_i + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n f_\eta \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ (\ell,j) \neq (k,i)}}^N B_{ij}^\eta \left( (\cdot) - X_{\ell,j}^{N,\eta} \right) \right) \right) \right\rangle dr.$$

Respecting the relationship between  $N$  and  $\eta$ , given in [9, Lemma 9], the limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ , can be performed simultaneously and we expect the limiting object to satisfy

$$\mathbb{E}[M_i(t, \varphi)M_i(s, \psi)] = \int_0^{s \wedge t} \left\langle u_i, \nabla \varphi(\cdot) \nabla \psi(\cdot) \left( 2\sigma_i + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n f(a_{ij}u_j) \right) \right\rangle dr,$$

where  $u_i$  denotes the  $i$ -th component of the mean-field limit (1.5). Let us recall the following auxiliary intermediate system, used in [9]:

$$(7.4) \quad d\bar{X}_{k,i}^\eta = -\nabla U_i(\bar{X}_{k,i}^\eta) dt + \left( 2\sigma_i + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n f_\eta \left( B_{ij}^\eta \star u_{n,j}(\bar{X}_{k,i}^\eta) \right) \right)^{1/2} dW_i^k(t),$$

$$\bar{X}_{k,i}^\eta(0) = \xi_i^k, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, k = 1, \dots, N.$$

First passing to the limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$  in the original system yields the one above and a consecutive limit  $\eta \rightarrow 0$  leads to the macroscopic system

$$(7.5) \quad d\hat{X}_{k,i} = -\nabla U_i(\hat{X}_{k,i}) dt + \left( 2\sigma_i + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n f(a_{ij}u_j(\hat{X}_{k,i})) \right)^{1/2} dW_i^k(t)$$

$$\hat{X}_{k,i}^n(0) = \xi_i^k, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, k = 1, \dots, N$$

As a first step, we establish tightness for the laws of  $M_{i,N}$ . For this, we set  $\mathcal{U} = (W^{-s_1,2})^*$ ,  $\mathcal{H} = (W^{-s_m,2})^*$  and  $\mathcal{V} = (W^{-s_2,2})^*$ , where  $s_1 > d + 1$ ,  $s_2 > \frac{3d}{2} + 1$  and  $s_2 > s_m > s_1$ .

**Lemma 34.** *Let Assumptions (A1)–(A4) from [9] hold. In addition, let there be constants  $C > 0$ ,  $\iota > 0$ , such that  $|f(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^\iota)$ , for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $(\tau_q)_{q \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_t$ -adapted sequence of stopping times with  $\tau_q \leq T$  and  $0 < \theta \leq 1$ . Then, for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $M_{i,N}$  is a*

square-integrable  $\mathcal{V}$ -valued martingale, which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_N \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t \leq T} \|M_{i,N}\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 \right] &\leq C < \infty, \\ \sup_N \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t \leq T} \|M_{i,N}(\tau_q + \theta) - M_{i,N}(\tau_q)\|_{\mathcal{U}}^2 \right] &\leq C\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The constant  $C$  depends only on the initial condition, the coefficients, and the time  $T$ . In particular, it can be chosen independently of  $\eta$  and  $N$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(e_m)_m$  denote an orthonormal basis of  $W^{s_1,2}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t \leq T} \|M_{i,N}\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 \right] &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T \left\langle \mu_i^N, (\nabla e_m(\cdot))^2 \left( 2\sigma_i + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n f_{\eta} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ (\ell,j) \neq (k,i)}}^N B_{ij}^{\eta} \left( (\cdot) - X_{\ell,j}^{N,\eta} \right) \right) \right) \right\rangle dr \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\nabla e_m(X_{k,i}^{N,\eta}))^2 \left( 2\sigma_i + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n f_{\eta} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ (\ell,j) \neq (k,i)}}^N B_{ij}^{\eta} \left( X_{k,i}^{N,\eta} - X_{\ell,j}^{N,\eta} \right) \right) \right) dr \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us ignore the sum over  $j$  and the term  $2\sigma_i$ , and focus on

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\nabla e_m(X_{k,i}^{N,\eta}))^2 f_{\eta} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ (\ell,j) \neq (k,i)}}^N B_{ij}^{\eta} \left( X_{k,i}^{N,\eta} - X_{\ell,j}^{N,\eta} \right) \right) dr \right).$$

We expand the term by using (7.4), (7.5) and incorporate the estimates from the proof of [9, Lemma 9, Lemma 10] to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\nabla e_m(X_{k,i}^{N,\eta}))^2 f_{\eta} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ (\ell,j) \neq (k,i)}}^N B_{ij}^{\eta} \left( X_{k,i}^{N,\eta} - X_{\ell,j}^{N,\eta} \right) \right) dr \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\nabla e_m(X_{k,i}^{N,\eta}))^2 \left( f_{\eta} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ (\ell,j) \neq (k,i)}}^N B_{ij}^{\eta} \left( X_{k,i}^{N,\eta} - X_{\ell,j}^{N,\eta} \right) \right) - f_{\eta} \left( B_{ij}^{\eta} \star u_{\eta,j} \left( t, \bar{X}_{k,i}^{\eta} \right) \right) \right) dr \right) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\nabla e_m(X_{k,i}^{N,\eta}))^2 f_{\eta} \left( B_{ij}^{\eta} \star u_{\eta,j} \left( t, \bar{X}_{k,i}^{\eta} \right) \right) dr \right) \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\nabla e_m(X_{k,i}^{N,\eta}))^2 \left( \eta^{-d-1-\alpha} |X_{k,i}^{N,\eta} - \bar{X}_{k,i}^{\eta}| + \eta^{-d-1-\alpha} |X_{k,j}^{N,\eta} - \bar{X}_{k,j}^{\eta}| \right) dr \right) \\ &\quad + C \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\nabla e_m(X_{k,i}^{N,\eta}))^2 \left( \frac{1}{N\eta^{\alpha}} \left| \sum_{l=1}^N \left( B_{ij}^{\eta} \left( \bar{X}_{k,i}^{\eta}(t) - \bar{X}_{l,j}^{\eta}(t) \right) - B_{ij}^{\eta} \star u_{\eta,j} \left( \bar{X}_{k,i}^{\eta}(t) \right) \right) - \frac{1}{\eta^d} B_{ii}(0) \right| \right) dr \right) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\nabla e_m(X_{k,i}^{N,\eta}))^2 f_{\eta} \left( B_{ij}^{\eta} \star u_{\eta,j} \left( t, \bar{X}_{k,i}^{\eta} \right) \right) dr \right) \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\nabla e_m(X_{k,i}^{N,\eta}))^2 \left( \eta^{-d-1-\alpha} |X_{k,i}^{N,\eta} - \bar{X}_{k,i}^{\eta}| + \eta^{-d-1-\alpha} |X_{k,j}^{N,\eta} - \bar{X}_{k,j}^{\eta}| \right) dr \right) \\ &\quad + C \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\nabla e_m(X_{k,i}^{N,\eta}))^2 \left( \frac{1}{N\eta^{\alpha}} \left| \sum_{l=1}^N \left( B_{ij}^{\eta} \left( \bar{X}_{k,i}^{\eta}(t) - \bar{X}_{l,j}^{\eta}(t) \right) - B_{ij}^{\eta} \star u_{\eta,j} \left( \bar{X}_{k,i}^{\eta}(t) \right) \right) - \frac{1}{\eta^d} B_{ii}(0) \right| \right) dr \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\nabla e_m(X_{k,i}^{N,\eta}))^2 \left( f_\eta \left( B_{ij}^\eta \star u_{\eta,j} \left( t, \bar{X}_{k,i}^\eta \right) \right) - f \left( a_{ij} u_{\eta,j} \left( t, \hat{X}_{k,i}^\eta \right) \right) \right) dr \right) \\
& + \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\nabla e_m(X_{k,i}^{N,\eta}))^2 f \left( a_{ij} u_{\eta,j} \left( t, \hat{X}_{k,i}^\eta \right) \right) dr \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's and Jensen's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq C \left( T \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\nabla e_m(X_{k,i}^{N,\eta}))^4 \left( \eta^{-2d-2-2\alpha} |X_{k,i}^{N,\eta} - \bar{X}_{k,i}^\eta|^2 + \eta^{-2d-2-2\alpha} |X_{k,j}^{N,\eta} - \bar{X}_{k,j}^\eta|^2 \right) dr \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& + C \left( T \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\nabla e_m(X_{k,i}^{N,\eta}))^4 \right. \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \left. \left( \frac{1}{N^2 \eta^{2\alpha}} \left| \sum_{l=1}^N \left( B_{ij}^\eta \left( \bar{X}_{k,i}^\eta(t) - \bar{X}_{l,j}^\eta(t) \right) - B_{ij}^\eta \star u_{\eta,j} \left( \bar{X}_{k,i}^\eta(t) \right) \right) - \frac{1}{\eta^d} B_{ii}(0) \right|^2 \right) dr \right] \right) \\
& + \left( T \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\nabla e_m(X_{k,i}^{N,\eta}))^4 \left( f_\eta \left( B_{ij}^\eta \star u_{\eta,j} \left( t, \bar{X}_{k,i}^\eta \right) \right) - f \left( a_{ij} u_{\eta,j} \left( t, \hat{X}_{k,i}^\eta \right) \right) \right)^2 dr \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& + \left( T \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\nabla e_m(X_{k,i}^{N,\eta}))^4 f \left( a_{ij} u_{\eta,j} \left( t, \hat{X}_{k,i}^\eta \right) \right)^2 dr \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we want to keep the term  $T^{\frac{1}{2}}$  separate, in anticipation of the second bound claimed in the statement. Following the same steps as in the proofs of [9, Lemma 9, Lemma 10] and using the results of [9, Lemma 9, Lemma 10],

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\nabla e_m(X_{k,i}^{N,\eta}))^2 f_\eta \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ (\ell,j) \neq (k,i)}}^N B_{ij}^\eta \left( X_{k,i}^{N,\eta} - X_{\ell,j}^{N,\eta} \right) \right) \right) dr \\
& \leq T^{\frac{1}{2}} C(T, n) \left( \eta^{-d-1-\alpha} N^{\frac{1}{2}(-1+(T+1)C(n,T,\sigma)\delta)} \right) \|\nabla e_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \\
& \quad + T^{\frac{1}{2}} C(n, T) \|\nabla e_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}\eta^{d+\alpha}}} \\
& \quad + T^{\frac{1}{2}} C(n, T) \|\nabla e_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 (\eta^{1-\alpha}) \\
& \quad + T^{\frac{1}{2}} C(n, T) \|\nabla e_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T f \left( a_{ij} u_{\eta,j} \left( t, \hat{X}_{k,i}^\eta \right) \right)^2 dr \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By our additional assumption on the growth of  $f$ , we can bound the term above by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla e_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 C(T, n) \left( \eta^{-d-1-\alpha} N^{\frac{1}{2}(-1+(T+1)C(n,T,\sigma)\delta)} + \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}\eta^{d+\alpha}}} + \eta^{1-\alpha} \right) \\
& \quad + T^{\frac{1}{2}} C(n, T) \|\nabla e_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T 1 + \left( a_{ij} u_{\eta,j} \left( t, \hat{X}_{k,i}^\eta \right) \right)^{2\iota} dr \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla e_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 C(T, n) \left( \eta^{-d-1-\alpha} N^{\frac{1}{2}(-1+(T+1)C(n,T)\delta)} + \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}\eta^{d+\alpha}}} + \eta^{1-\alpha} \right) \\
& \quad + C(a) T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla e_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T 1 + \|u_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{2\iota} dr \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla e_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 C(T, n) \left( \eta^{-d-1-\alpha} N^{\frac{1}{2}(-1+(T+1)C(n,T,\sigma)\delta)} + \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}\eta^{d+\alpha}}} + \eta^{1-\alpha} + C(\|u(0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The terms we initially ignored only contribute to the constant in terms of  $n$  and  $\sigma_i$ . The condition  $\eta^{-(d+1+\alpha)} \leq \sqrt{\delta \log(N)}$ , now implies that, for  $N > 1$ , the quantity in the parentheses can be uniformly bounded by a constant depending only on  $n, t, \sigma, \delta$  and the initial condition of the mean-field equation. This yields

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t \leq T} \|M_{i,N}(t)\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 \right] \leq T^{\frac{1}{2}} C(n, T, \sigma, u(0)) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|\nabla e_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2.$$

For the second claimed inequality, let  $(\tau_q)_{q \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_t$ -adapted sequence of stopping times with  $\tau_q \leq T$  and  $0 < \theta \leq 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[ \|M_{i,N}(\tau_m + \theta) - M_{i,N}(\tau_m)\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 \right] \\ & \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{\tau_q}^{\tau_q + \theta} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\nabla e_m(X_{k,i}^{N,\eta}))^2 \left( 2\sigma_i + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n f_\eta \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ (\ell,j) \neq (k,i)}}^N B_{ij}^\eta (X_{k,i}^{N,\eta} - X_{\ell,j}^{N,\eta}) \right) \right) \right) dr. \end{aligned}$$

The identical steps as in the previous estimate, yield

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \|M_{i,N}(\tau_m + \theta) - M_{i,N}(\tau_m)\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 \right] \leq \theta^{\frac{1}{2}} C(n, T, \sigma, u(0)) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|\nabla e_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2.$$

The claim follows from the embedding  $\mathcal{V} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 35.** *The sequence  $(M_{i,N})_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges in law in  $C([0, T], \mathcal{H})$  towards a Gaussian process  $M_i$  with*

$$\mathbb{E} [M_i(t, \varphi) M_k(s, \psi)] = \delta_{ik} \int_0^{s \wedge t} \left\langle u_i, \nabla \varphi(\cdot) \nabla \psi(\cdot) \left( 2\sigma_i + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n f(a_{ij} u_j) \right) \right\rangle dr,$$

for  $\varphi, \psi \in W^{s_m, 2}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(M_{i,N})_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a tight sequence of square integrable martingales, we have that for each sub-sequence and  $\varphi \in W^{s_m, 2}$ ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \left\langle \mu_i^N, \nabla \varphi(\cdot)^2 \left( 2\sigma_i + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n f_\eta \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ (\ell,j) \neq (k,i)}}^N B_{ij}^\eta ((\cdot) - X_{\ell,j}^{N,\eta}) \right) \right) \right\rangle dr = \langle M_i(\cdot, \varphi) \rangle_t.$$

We now have to identify this precise limit. The propagation of chaos result from [9], yields that the sequence  $\mu_i^N$  converges to  $u_i$ , in the sense that  $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\mathcal{W}_2(\mu_i^N(t), u_i(t))| \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\mathcal{W}_2$  denotes the Wasserstein distance on the space of probability measures with finite second moment. In particular, we have convergence in Law. For convenience let us split

$$\int_0^t \left\langle \mu_i^N, \nabla \varphi(\cdot)^2 \left( 2\sigma_i + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n f_\eta \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ (\ell,j) \neq (k,i)}}^N B_{ij}^\eta ((\cdot) - X_{\ell,j}^{N,\eta}) \right) \right) \right\rangle dr$$

into

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \langle \mu_i^N, \nabla \varphi(\cdot)^2 2\sigma_i \rangle dr \\ & + \int_0^t \sum_{j=1}^n \left\langle \mu_i^N, \nabla \varphi(\cdot)^2 2f_\eta \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ (\ell,j) \neq (k,i)}}^N B_{ij}^\eta ((\cdot) - X_{\ell,j}^{N,\eta}) \right) \right\rangle dr. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mu \mapsto \int_0^t \langle \mu, \nabla \varphi(\cdot)^2 2\sigma_i \rangle dr$  is a continuous, bounded function from  $C([0, T], \mathcal{H})$  to  $C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ , and we that the laws of  $\mu_i^N$  converge to the  $u_i$ , we have the convergence in law of  $\int_0^t \langle \mu_i^N, \nabla \varphi(\cdot)^2 2\sigma_i \rangle dr$

to  $\int_0^t \langle u_i, \nabla \varphi(\cdot)^2 2\sigma_i \rangle dr$ . For the second part, we again add and subtract suitable terms and note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left\langle \delta_{x_{k,i}^{N,\eta}}, \nabla \varphi(\cdot)^2 2f_\eta \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ (\ell,j) \neq (k,i)}}^N B_{ij}^\eta \left( (\cdot) - X_{\ell,j}^{N,\eta} \right) \right) \right\rangle dr \\ & - \int_0^t \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left\langle \delta_{x_{k,i}^{N,\eta}}, \nabla \varphi(\cdot)^2 2f \left( a_{ij} u_j \left( t, \hat{X}_{k,i} \right) \right) \right\rangle dr \\ & + \int_0^t \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \nabla \varphi(X_{k,i}^{N,\eta})^2 - \nabla \varphi(\hat{X}_{k,i})^2 + \nabla \varphi(\hat{X}_{k,i})^2 \right) 2f \left( a_{ij} u_j \left( t, \hat{X}_{k,i} \right) \right) dr, \end{aligned}$$

yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^t \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left\langle \delta_{x_{k,i}^{N,\eta}}, \nabla \varphi(\cdot)^2 2f_\eta \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ (\ell,j) \neq (k,i)}}^N B_{ij}^\eta \left( (\cdot) - X_{\ell,j}^{N,\eta} \right) \right) \right\rangle dr \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_0^t \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \nabla \varphi(X_{k,i}^{N,\eta})^2 - \nabla \varphi(\hat{X}_{k,i})^2 + \nabla \varphi(\hat{X}_{k,i})^2 \right) 2f \left( a_{ij} u_j \left( t, \hat{X}_{k,i} \right) \right) dr \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_0^t \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left\langle \delta_{x_{k,i}^{N,\eta}}, \nabla \varphi(\cdot)^2 2f_\eta \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ (\ell,j) \neq (k,i)}}^N B_{ij}^\eta \left( (\cdot) - X_{\ell,j}^{N,\eta} \right) \right) - \nabla \varphi(\cdot)^2 2f \left( a_{ij} u_j \left( t, \hat{X}_{k,i} \right) \right) \right\rangle dr \right| \\ & \quad + C \left| \int_0^t \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \nabla \varphi(X_{k,i}^{N,\eta}) - \nabla \varphi(\hat{X}_{k,i}) \right) \left( \nabla \varphi(X_{k,i}^{N,\eta}) + \nabla \varphi(\hat{X}_{k,i}) \right) 2f \left( a_{ij} u_j \left( t, \hat{X}_{k,i} \right) \right) dr \right| \\ & = I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking expectations on both sides,  $\mathbb{E}[I_1]$  converges to 0 as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\eta \rightarrow 0$  by the same arguments as in the proof of [9, Lemma 9, Lemma 10].  $\mathbb{E}[I_2]$  converges to 0 by the embedding  $W^{s_m,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , the growth assumption on  $f$  and [9, Lemma 9, Lemma 10], yielding

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left\langle \delta_{x_{k,i}^{N,\eta}}, \nabla \varphi(\cdot)^2 2f_\eta \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ (\ell,j) \neq (k,i)}}^N B_{ij}^\eta \left( (\cdot) - X_{\ell,j}^{N,\eta} \right) \right) \right\rangle dr \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_0^t \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \nabla \varphi(X_{k,i}^{N,\eta})^2 - \nabla \varphi(\hat{X}_{k,i})^2 + \nabla \varphi(\hat{X}_{k,i})^2 \right) 2f \left( a_{ij} u_j \left( t, \hat{X}_{k,i} \right) \right) dr \right| \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\text{Law}\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{\hat{X}_{k,i}}\right)$  satisfies [9, equation (29) in Theorem 7], we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \langle M_i(\cdot, \varphi) \rangle_t &= \int_0^t \left\langle \hat{u}_i, \nabla \varphi(\cdot)^2 \left( 2\sigma_i + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n f(a_{ij} u_j) \right) \right\rangle dr \\ &= \int_0^t \left\langle u_i, \nabla \varphi(\cdot)^2 \left( 2\sigma_i + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n f(a_{ij} u_j) \right) \right\rangle dr, \\ &= \int_0^t \left\langle \nabla \varphi(\cdot) \sqrt{u_i \left( 2\sigma_i + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n f(a_{ij} u_j) \right)}, \nabla \varphi(\cdot) \sqrt{u_i \left( 2\sigma_i + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n f(a_{ij} u_j) \right)} \right\rangle dr, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the uniqueness of the limiting mean-field equation  $\square$

It is possible to represent  $M_i$  as a stochastic integral. This step can be performed on either a bounded domain or by working with weighted spaces. For consistency within this section, we choose the second option.

**Definition 2.** We call a locally integrable function  $w$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , such that  $w(x) > 0$ -a.e. a weight or weight function.

Every weight  $w$  induces a positive Borel-measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  via integration, i.e.  $\lambda_w(E) = \int_E w(x) dx$  for measurable sets  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Definition 3.** Let  $w$  be a weight. For  $0 < p < \infty$  we define  $L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  as the set of measurable functions  $u$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L_w^p} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u(x)|^p w(x) dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we introduce the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_w^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \left( \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |D^j u|^p w_j dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

We admit the abuse of notation where the subscript  $w$  represents not only one weight but a family of weights.

**Definition 4.** We denote by  $W_w^{m,p}$  the completion of  $\{u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+) : \|u\|_{W_w^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \infty\}$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{W_w^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ , where  $(w_j)_{0 \leq j \leq m}$  are weight functions.

For convenience, for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , we set  $w_j(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^a$  with  $a > \frac{d}{2}$ . If  $1 < p < \infty$ , then for this choice of weight function,  $W_w^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a uniformly convex Banach space (see [30, section 4]). We will denote the dual space (identified via the unweighted  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  duality) of  $W_w^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  by  $W_w^{-m,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . In other words, we work on the following triple(s) of spaces

$$W_w^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow W_w^{-m,q}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

We proceed as in [17] and define the quantity

$$\Phi(u)v := \operatorname{div}_M(\sqrt{\Psi(u)}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}v).$$

**Lemma 36.** (1) Let  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s > d$ ,  $\Psi(u) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $Q^{\frac{1}{2}} : W_w^{-s,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be continuous. The  $[0, T] \ni t \mapsto \Phi(u(t))$  is well defined as a map from  $U = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  into  $\mathcal{H} = W_w^{-s,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and is an a.s. continuous map into the Hilbert-Schmidt operators  $\mathcal{L}_2(U, \mathcal{H})$ . It is moreover adapted to the filtration generated by  $u$ .

(2) There exists a filtered probability space  $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{\mathbb{P}}, (\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t)_t)$ , an  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued (cylindrical) Wiener process, defined on  $(\Omega \times \hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \mathbb{P} \times \hat{\mathbb{P}})$ , adapted to  $(\mathcal{F}_t \times \hat{\mathcal{F}}_t)_t$ , such that

$$M_i(t)(\omega) = M_i(t)(\omega, \tilde{\omega}) = \int_0^t \Phi(u, \omega, \tilde{\omega}) dW(s, \omega, \tilde{\omega}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\psi \in W_w^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $s > d$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle \operatorname{div}(\sqrt{\Psi(u)}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}v), \psi \right\rangle \right| &= \left| \left\langle v, Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{\Psi(u)}\nabla\psi \right\rangle \right| \leq \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|Q^{\frac{1}{2}}(\sqrt{\Psi(u)}\nabla\psi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\leq C\|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\sqrt{\Psi(u)}\nabla\psi\|_{W_w^{-s,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\sqrt{\Psi(u)}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \|\psi\|_{W_w^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality can be obtained by following the arguments of [2] on weighted spaces. Hence, the operator  $v \mapsto \Phi(u)v$  is bounded from  $L^2(\mathcal{O})$  into  $W^{-1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset W_w^{-1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and, by Sobolev

embedding theorems, Hilbert-Schmidt from  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow W_{\frac{1}{w}}^{-s,2}(\mathcal{O})$  with  $s > d$ , which can be deduced from [26, Proposition 2.10.] or via the explicit isometry  $I_{s,a}f = (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{s}{2}}(\text{Id} - \Delta)^{-\frac{s}{2}}f$ . The continuity of  $t \mapsto u(t)$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , hence in particular in  $\mathcal{H}$ , follows from similar arguments as [9, Proof of Theorem 3] (using  $u$  as a test function and integrating in time) and yields the first claim.

Applying [14, Theorem 8.2] with  $\mathcal{Q} = \text{Id}$ , yields the existence of a probability space  $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{\mathbb{P}}, (\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t)_t)$ , an  $L^2$ -valued cylindrical Wiener process  $W$ , defined on  $(\Omega \times \hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \mathbb{P} \times \hat{\mathbb{P}})$ , adapted to  $(\mathcal{F}_t \times \hat{\mathcal{F}}_t)_t$ , such that

$$Z_i(t)(\omega) = Z_i(t)(\omega, \tilde{\omega}) = \int_0^t \Phi(u, \omega, \tilde{\omega}) dW(s, \omega, \tilde{\omega}).$$

By the previous arguments, it is clear that  $Z(t)$  takes values in  $W_{\frac{1}{w}}^{-s,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and for  $\psi \in W_w^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have  $\langle Z(t), \psi \rangle = M(t, \psi)$ . The remainder of the proof follows from identical arguments as [17, Proposition 5.16], so we choose to skip them.  $\square$

**Remark 37.** *The respective  $\mathcal{Q}$ -Wiener process we proposed in the first part of the paper can be obtained by considering  $\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}W$ .*

## 8. APPENDIX

### 8.1. Proofs of technical lemmata.

*Proof of Lemma 21.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{T}(u^\varepsilon), R_\varepsilon \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} ds \\ &= -\lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d ((\partial_{u_i^\varepsilon}(\sigma_\delta(u^\varepsilon(R_\varepsilon(v))))_{ii})) ((\partial_{x_l}(\sigma_\delta(u^\varepsilon(R_\varepsilon(v))))_{ii}) e_k^{il}) e_k^{il} \partial_{x_l} R_\varepsilon(v_i) dx ds \\ & \quad - \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d (\partial_{u_i}(\sigma_\delta(u^\varepsilon(R_\varepsilon(v))))_{ii}) (\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il}(\sigma_\delta(u^\varepsilon(R_\varepsilon(v))))_{ii}) e_k^{il} \partial_{x_l} R_\varepsilon(v_i) dx ds \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d g'_i(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon))^2 (\tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) + a_{ii} u_i^\varepsilon) (\tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon + u_i^\varepsilon \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)) (e_k^{il})^2 \partial_{x_l} \log(u_i^\varepsilon) dx ds \\ & \quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d (\partial_{u_i}(\sigma_\delta(u^\varepsilon(R_\varepsilon(v))))_{ii}) (\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il}(\sigma_\delta(u^\varepsilon(R_\varepsilon(v))))_{ii}) e_k^{il} \partial_{x_l} R_\varepsilon(v_i) dx ds \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)^2 (\tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) + a_{ii} u_i^\varepsilon) \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \frac{(\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon)^2}{u_i^\varepsilon} (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\ & \quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)} (\tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) + a_{ii} u_i^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\ & \quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} e_k^{il} \partial_{x_l} \log(u_i^\varepsilon) dx ds \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)} (\tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon))^2 u_i^\varepsilon \left( \frac{\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon}{u_i^\varepsilon} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\ & \quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)} a_{ii} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) (\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)} \left( \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) + a_{ii} u_i^\varepsilon \right) \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\
& - \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \left( \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) + a_{ii} u_i^\varepsilon \right) \partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} e_k^{il} \partial_{x_l} \log(u_i^\varepsilon) dx ds \\
& = \text{IS}_1 + \text{IS}_2 + \text{IS}_3 + \text{IS}_4.
\end{aligned}$$

For the further steps, we want to bound  $\text{IS}_4$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{IS}_4 & = - \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \left( \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) + a_{ii} u_i^\varepsilon \right) \partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} e_k^{il} \partial_{x_l} \log(u_i^\varepsilon) dx ds \\
& \leq \frac{\hat{\kappa}_1}{2} \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)^2 u_i^\varepsilon (e_k^{il})^2 \partial_{x_l} \log(u_i^\varepsilon) dx ds \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2\hat{\kappa}_1} \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)}{u_i^\varepsilon} (\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\
& \quad + \frac{\hat{\kappa}_2}{2} \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d (\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2\hat{\kappa}_2} \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d (\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il})^2 dx ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, let us consider the correction term appearing in the Itô formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \left( g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon))' \left( \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon + u_i^\varepsilon \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon) \right) e_k^{il} + g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon)) \partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} \right)^2 \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon} dx ds \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \left( g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon))' \right)^2 \left( \left( \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon \right)^2 + 2\tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon \cdot u_i^\varepsilon \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon) + \left( u_i^\varepsilon \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon) \right)^2 \right) \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon} (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i 2g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon))' g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon)) \left( \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon + u_i^\varepsilon \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon) \right) e_k^{il} \partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon} dx ds \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \left( g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon))' \right)^2 (\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il})^2 \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon} dx ds \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \left( g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon))' \right)^2 \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon)^2 u_i^\varepsilon \left( \frac{\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon}{u_i^\varepsilon} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\
& \quad + \frac{2}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \left( g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon))' \right)^2 \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon \cdot \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon) (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \left( g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon))' \right)^2 u_i^\varepsilon \left( \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon) \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i 2g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon))' g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon)) \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon e_k^{il} \partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon} dx ds \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i 2g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon))' g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon)) u_i^\varepsilon \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon) e_k^{il} \partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon} dx ds \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \left( g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon))' \right)^2 (\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il})^2 \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon} dx ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \text{IC}_1 + \text{IC}_2 + \text{IC}_3 + \text{IC}_4 + \text{IC}_5 + \text{IC}_6$$

We want to group our remaining terms. At this point, the necessity of the additional Itô correction becomes apparent.

- $\text{IC}_1$  can be grouped with  $\text{IS}_1$ , noting that  $g'_\delta(x)^2 x \leq \frac{1}{4}$ , for every  $x > 0$ .
- $\text{IC}_2$  and  $\text{IS}_3$  can be bounded in the same way by

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\kappa}_1 \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i g'_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon))^2 \left( \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) + a_{ii} u_i^\varepsilon \right) \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \frac{(\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon)^2}{u_i^\varepsilon} (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\ & + \frac{1}{\tilde{\kappa}_1} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i g'_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon))^2 \left( \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) + a_{ii} u_i^\varepsilon \right) \frac{u_i^\varepsilon}{\tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)} \left( \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\ & \leq \tilde{\kappa}_1 \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)} \left( \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) + a_{ii} u_i^\varepsilon \right) \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \frac{(\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon)^2}{u_i^\varepsilon} (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\ & + \frac{1}{\tilde{\kappa}_1} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)} \left( \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) + a_{ii} u_i^\varepsilon \right) \frac{u_i^\varepsilon}{\tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)} \left( \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \end{aligned}$$

- Noting that  $\left( g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon))' \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)}$ ,  $\text{IC}_3$  is easily controlled by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \left( g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon))' \right)^2 u_i^\varepsilon \left( \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)} u_i^\varepsilon \left( \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)} u_i^\varepsilon \left( \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 \end{aligned}$$

- $\text{IC}_4$  is treated by Young's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon))' g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)) \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon e_k^{il} \partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon} \\ & \leq \frac{\tilde{\kappa}_2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \left( g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon))' \right)^2 \left( \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \right)^2 \left( \frac{\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon}{\sqrt{u_i^\varepsilon}} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{2\tilde{\kappa}_2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon))^2 (\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il})^2 \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

By the non-negativity of  $u^\varepsilon$  and (5.1), the second term can be bounded by  $C \left( \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^\varepsilon \log(u_i^\varepsilon) - u_i^\varepsilon + 2 \right)$ .

- By Young's inequality, we bound  $\text{IC}_5$  by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon))' g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)) u_i^\varepsilon \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) e_k^{il} \partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon} \\ & \leq \frac{\tilde{\kappa}_3}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \left( g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon))' \right)^2 \left( \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{2\tilde{\kappa}_3} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i (e_k^{il} \partial_{x_l} e_k^{il})^2. \end{aligned}$$

A constant bounds the first term, whereas the second one can be absorbed into the left-hand side in the entropy inequality.

- The final term is again bounded by  $C \left( \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^\varepsilon \log(u_i^\varepsilon) - u_i^\varepsilon + 2 \right)$ .

Combining the previous observations

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{T}(u^\varepsilon), R_\varepsilon \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \, ds \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \left( g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon))' \left( \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon + u_i^\varepsilon \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon) \right) e_k^{il} + g_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon)) \partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} \right)^2 \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon} \, dx \, ds \\
& \leq - \left( \lambda - \frac{1}{2} - \lambda 3\hat{\kappa}_1 - 3|\lambda - 1| \tilde{\kappa}_1 - \frac{\tilde{\kappa}_2}{4} \right) \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon)} \left( \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \right)^2 u_i^\varepsilon \left( \frac{\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon}{u_i^\varepsilon} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 \, dx \, ds \\
& - \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon)} a_{ii} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) (\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 \, dx \, ds \\
& + \frac{3}{\hat{\kappa}_1} \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \frac{u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon)}{u_i^\varepsilon} (\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il})^2 \, dx \, ds \\
& + \frac{\hat{\kappa}_2}{2} \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i (\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 \, dx \, ds \\
& + \frac{1}{2\hat{\kappa}_2} \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i (\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il})^2 \, dx \, ds \\
& + \frac{3|\lambda - 1|}{\tilde{\kappa}_1} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon)} u_i^\varepsilon (\partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon))^2 (e_k^{il})^2 \, dx \, ds \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon)} u_i^\varepsilon (\partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon))^2 (e_k^{il})^2 \, dx \, ds \\
& + \frac{1}{2\tilde{\kappa}_2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon) (\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il})^2 \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon} \\
& + \frac{\tilde{\kappa}_3}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i (\partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon))^2 (e_k^{il})^2 \, dx \, ds \\
& + \frac{1}{2\tilde{\kappa}_3} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i (\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il})^2 \, dx \, ds \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon) (\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il})^2 \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon} \, dx \, ds \\
& + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \frac{1}{\tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)} a_{ii} \left| \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \right|^2 (e_k^{il})^2 \, dx \, ds \\
& + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \frac{1}{\tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)} a_{ii} \left| \partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon \right|^2 (e_k^{il})^2 \, dx \, ds \\
& \leq - \left( \lambda - \frac{1}{2} - \lambda 3\hat{\kappa}_1 - |\lambda - 1| 3\tilde{\kappa}_1 - \frac{\tilde{\kappa}_2}{4} \right) \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \left( \frac{\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon}{u_i^\varepsilon} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 \, dx \, ds \\
& - \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon)} a_{ii} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) (\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 \, dx \, ds \\
& + \frac{3}{\hat{\kappa}_1} \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \left\| \partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} \right\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \, dx \, ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{\hat{\kappa}_2}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} (\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon)^2 dx ds \\
& + \frac{1}{2\hat{\kappa}_2} \lambda \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \|\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il}\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\
& + \frac{3|\lambda-1|}{\tilde{\kappa}_1} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)} \left(\partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)\right)^2 dx ds \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon)} \left(\partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon)\right)^2 dx ds \\
& + \frac{1}{2\hat{\kappa}_2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \|\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon) dx ds \\
& + \frac{\tilde{\kappa}_3}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left(\partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon)\right)^2 dx ds \\
& + \frac{1}{2\tilde{\kappa}_3} \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \|\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il}\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \|\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon) dx ds \\
& + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \pi_i \frac{1}{\tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)} a_{ii} \left|\partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)\right|^2 dx ds \\
& + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \|\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)} a_{ii} |\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon|^2 dx ds \\
\leq & - \left( \lambda - \frac{1}{2} - 3\lambda\hat{\kappa}_1 - |\lambda-1|3\tilde{\kappa}_1 - \frac{\tilde{\kappa}_2}{4} \right) \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \left(\frac{\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon}{u_i^\varepsilon}\right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\
& - \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i g'_\delta(u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon))^2 a_{ii} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) (\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \left( \frac{3}{\hat{\kappa}_1} \lambda \|\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \frac{1}{2\tilde{\kappa}_2} \|\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right) \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) dx ds \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \left( \frac{\hat{\kappa}_2}{2} \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} (\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon)^2 dx ds + \frac{\tilde{\kappa}_3}{2} \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left(\partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}(u^\varepsilon)\right)^2 dx ds \right) \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \left( \frac{1}{2\hat{\kappa}_2} \lambda + \frac{1}{2\tilde{\kappa}_3} \right) \int_0^t \|\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il}\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \left( \left( \frac{3|\lambda-1|}{\tilde{\kappa}_1} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} a_{ii} \right) \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} a_{ii} \|\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right) \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)} \left(\partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)\right)^2 dx ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Setting  $\tilde{\kappa}_1 = \tilde{\kappa}_2 = \kappa$ ,  $\hat{\kappa}_1 = \frac{\kappa}{6}$  we require  $\lambda > \frac{1}{2} + \lambda\frac{\kappa}{2} + |\lambda-1|\frac{\kappa}{2} + \frac{\kappa}{4}$ , which is satisfied if  $\kappa < \frac{2}{3}$ . We make the restriction that  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \kappa$ .

$$\leq - \left( \lambda - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lambda\kappa}{2} - |\lambda-1|\frac{\kappa}{2} - \frac{\kappa}{4} \right) \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \left(\frac{\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon}{u_i^\varepsilon}\right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 dx ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i a_{ii} \left( \partial_{x_l} \sqrt{u_i^\varepsilon} \right)^2 (e_k^{il})^2 \, dx \, ds \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \left( \left( \frac{32\lambda + 1}{2\kappa} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \|\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right) \pi_i \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} u_i^\varepsilon \log(u_i^\varepsilon) - u_i^\varepsilon + 2 \, dx \, ds \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \left( \frac{1}{3} \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} (\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon)^2 \, dx \, ds + \frac{\tilde{\kappa}_3 n}{4} \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} (\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon)^2 \, dx \, ds \right) \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \left( \frac{\lambda}{2\kappa} + \frac{1}{2\tilde{\kappa}_3} \right) \int_0^t \|\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il}\|_{L^2}^2 \, ds \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^d \pi_i \left( \left( \frac{18|\lambda - 1|}{\kappa} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} a_{ii} \right) \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} a_{ii} \|\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right) \\
& \quad \times \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left( \frac{n}{2a_{i0}} \partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon \right)^\theta \left( \frac{na_{ij}}{2a_{ij}} \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_l} \sqrt{u_j^\varepsilon} \right)^\theta \, dx \, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof.* (of Lemma 19) We begin with the linear growth estimate, using that  $D(L) \hookrightarrow W^{1,\infty}(\mathcal{O})$ , by the definition of the dual-norm,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{T}(v)_i\|_{D(L)'} & \leq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \partial_{x_l} \left( (\partial_{u_i^\varepsilon} (\sigma_\delta(u^\varepsilon)))_{ii} \right) (\partial_{x_l} (\sigma_\delta(u^\varepsilon)))_{ii} e_k^{il} e_k^{il} + \partial_{u_i^\varepsilon} (\sigma_\delta(u^\varepsilon))_i (\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} (\sigma_\delta(u^\varepsilon))_{ii}) e_k^{il} \right\|_{D(L)'}, \\
& \leq C \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d (\partial_{u_i^\varepsilon} (\sigma_\delta(u^\varepsilon)))_{ii} (\partial_{x_l} (\sigma_\delta(u^\varepsilon)))_{ii} e_k^{il} e_k^{il} + \partial_{u_i^\varepsilon} (\sigma_\delta(u^\varepsilon))_i (\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} (\sigma_\delta(u^\varepsilon))_{ii}) e_k^{il} \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  only depends on the domain  $\mathcal{O}$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{T}(v)_i\|_{D(L)'} & \leq C \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d (\partial_{u_i^\varepsilon} (\sigma(u^\varepsilon)))_{ii} (\partial_{x_l} (\sigma(u^\varepsilon)))_{ii} e_k^{il} e_k^{il} \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})} \\
& \quad + C \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \partial_{u_i^\varepsilon} (\sigma(u^\varepsilon))_i (\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} (\sigma(u^\varepsilon))_{ii}) e_k^{il} \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})} \\
& \leq C \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)} \left( \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) + a_{ii} u_i^\varepsilon \right) \left( \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon + u_i^\varepsilon \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \right) (e_k^{il})^2 \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})} \\
& \quad + C \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \left( \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) + a_{ii} u_i^\varepsilon \right) e_k^{il} \partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})} \\
& \leq C \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_l} \log(u_i^\varepsilon) (e_k^{il})^2 \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})} \\
& \quad + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \left\| \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) (e_k^{il})^2 \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})} + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \left\| \partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon (e_k^{il})^2 \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})} \\
& \quad + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \left\| \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) e_k^{il} \partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})} + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \left\| u_i^\varepsilon e_k^{il} \partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})} \\
& \leq C \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_l} \log(u_i^\varepsilon) (e_k^{il})^2 \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \left\| \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) u_i^\varepsilon (e_k^{il})^2 \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})} + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \left\| \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) (e_k^{il})^2 \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})} \\
& + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \left\| \partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon (e_k^{il})^2 \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})} + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \left\| \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) (e_k^{il})^2 \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})} \\
& + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^d \left\| u_i^\varepsilon e_k^{il} \partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $C$  only depends on the domain  $\mathcal{O}$ . We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \sqrt{u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)} e_k^{il} \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \sqrt{u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)} \right\|_{L^2} \leq \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \sqrt{u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon)} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \leq \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left| u_i^\varepsilon \tilde{A}_i(u^\varepsilon) \right| dx \leq C \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{O}} |u_j^\varepsilon|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

The resulting term can be treated as in the proof of [3, Theorem 13](see also [25, Theorem 2.6.6]), by

$$\|u_i^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \leq C \|u'\|_{L^\infty} \|R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon) - R_\varepsilon(0)\|_{D(L)} + \|u(R_\varepsilon(0))\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} (1 + \|u'\|_{L^\infty} \|v^\varepsilon\|_{D(L)}).$$

The term including the spatial derivative is handled similarly but requires additional care. By the embedding  $D(L) \hookrightarrow W^{1,2}(\mathcal{O})$ ,

$$\|\partial_{x_l} u_i^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \leq \|u'\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_{x_l} R_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} (1 + \|u'\|_{L^\infty} \|v^\varepsilon\|_{D(L)}).$$

Every remaining term, except for the first, can be bound similarly. For the first term, we use that  $\log(u_i^\varepsilon) = w_i^\varepsilon = R_\varepsilon(v_i^\varepsilon)$  and [3, Lemma 9](see also [25, Lemma 2.5.12]) to obtain an analogous bound.

To verify the Lipschitz continuity, we slightly alter the notation in these estimates to accommodate for the fact that we need two vector-valued functions  $u^{1,\varepsilon} = u^\varepsilon(R_\varepsilon(v^1))$ ,  $u^{2,\varepsilon} = u^\varepsilon(R_\varepsilon(v^2))$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathcal{T}(v^1)_i - \mathcal{T}(v^2)_i\|_{D(L)'} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \left\| \partial_{x_l} \left( \left( \frac{\tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) + a_{ii} u_i^{1,\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{u_i^{1,\varepsilon} \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon})}} \right) (\partial_{x_l} (\sigma_\delta(u^{1,\varepsilon}))_{ii} e_k^{il}) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - \left( \frac{\tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon}) + a_{ii} u_i^{2,\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{u_i^{2,\varepsilon} \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon})}} \right) (\partial_{x_l} (\sigma_\delta(u^{2,\varepsilon}))_{ii} e_k^{il}) \right) e_k^{il} \right\|_{D(L)'} \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \left\| \partial_{x_l} \left( (\partial_{u_i^{1,\varepsilon}} (\sigma_\delta(u^{1,\varepsilon}))_{ii} (\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} (\sigma_\delta(u^{1,\varepsilon}))_{ii}) - \partial_{u_i^{2,\varepsilon}} (\sigma_\delta(u^{2,\varepsilon}))_{ii} (\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} (\sigma_\delta(u^{2,\varepsilon}))_{ii})) e_k^{il} \right) \right\|_{D(L)'} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \left\| \left( \left( \frac{(\tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) + a_{ii} u_i^{1,\varepsilon}) (\tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) \partial_{x_l} u_i^{1,\varepsilon} + u_i^{1,\varepsilon} \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}))}{u_i^{1,\varepsilon} \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon})} \right) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - \left( \frac{(\tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon}) + a_{ii} u_i^{2,\varepsilon}) (\tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon}) \partial_{x_l} u_i^{2,\varepsilon} + u_i^{2,\varepsilon} \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon}))}{u_i^{2,\varepsilon} \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon})} \right) \right) (e_k^{il})^2 \right\|_{L^1} \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \left\| \left( (\partial_{u_i^{1,\varepsilon}} (\sigma_\delta(u^{1,\varepsilon}))_{ii}) - (\partial_{u_i^{2,\varepsilon}} (\sigma_\delta(u^{2,\varepsilon}))_{ii}) \right) (\partial_{x_l} (\sigma_\delta(u^{2,\varepsilon}))_{ii}) e_k^{il} \right\|_{L^1} \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \left\| \left( (\partial_{u_i^{1,\varepsilon}} (\sigma_\delta(u^{1,\varepsilon}))_{ii}) (\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} (\sigma_\delta(u^{1,\varepsilon}))_{ii}) - \partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} (\sigma_\delta(u^{2,\varepsilon}))_{ii} \right) e_k^{il} \right\|_{L^1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \left\| \left( \partial_{u_i^{1,\varepsilon}} (\sigma_\delta(u^{1,\varepsilon}))_{ii} - \partial_{u_i^{2,\varepsilon}} (\sigma_\delta(u^{2,\varepsilon}))_{ii} \right) (\partial_{x_l} e_k^{il} (\sigma_\delta(u^{2,\varepsilon}))_{ii}) e_k^{il} \right\|_{L^1} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \left\| \left( \left( \frac{\tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) \partial_{x_l} u_i^{1,\varepsilon}}{u_i^{1,\varepsilon} \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon})} \right) - \left( \frac{\tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon}) \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon}) \partial_{x_l} u_i^{2,\varepsilon}}{u_i^{2,\varepsilon} \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon})} \right) \right) (e_k^{il})^2 \right\|_{L^1} \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \left\| \left( \left( \frac{\tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) u_i^{1,\varepsilon} \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon})}{u_i^{1,\varepsilon} \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon})} \right) - \left( \frac{\tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon}) u_i^{2,\varepsilon} \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon})}{u_i^{2,\varepsilon} \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon})} \right) \right) (e_k^{il})^2 \right\|_{L^1} \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \left\| \left( \left( \frac{a_{ii} u_i^{1,\varepsilon} \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) \partial_{x_l} u_i^{1,\varepsilon}}{u_i^{1,\varepsilon} \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon})} \right) - \left( \frac{a_{ii} u_i^{2,\varepsilon} \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon}) \partial_{x_l} u_i^{2,\varepsilon}}{u_i^{2,\varepsilon} \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon})} \right) \right) (e_k^{il})^2 \right\|_{L^1} \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \left\| \left( \left( \frac{a_{ii} u_i^{1,\varepsilon} u_i^{1,\varepsilon} \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon})}{u_i^{1,\varepsilon} \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon})} \right) - \left( \frac{a_{ii} u_i^{2,\varepsilon} u_i^{2,\varepsilon} \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon})}{u_i^{2,\varepsilon} \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon})} \right) \right) (e_k^{il})^2 \right\|_{L^1} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \left\| \left( \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) \partial_{x_l} \log(u_i^{1,\varepsilon}) - \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon}) \partial_{x_l} \log(u_i^{2,\varepsilon}) \right) (e_k^{il})^2 \right\|_{L^1} \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \left\| \left( \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) - \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon}) \right) (e_k^{il})^2 \right\|_{L^1} \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \left\| \left( a_{ii} \partial_{x_l} u_i^{1,\varepsilon} - a_{ii} \partial_{x_l} u_i^{2,\varepsilon} \right) (e_k^{il})^2 \right\|_{L^1} \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \left\| \left( \left( \frac{a_{ii} u_i^{1,\varepsilon} u_i^{1,\varepsilon} \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon})}{u_i^{1,\varepsilon} \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon})} \right) - \left( \frac{a_{ii} u_i^{2,\varepsilon} u_i^{2,\varepsilon} \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon})}{u_i^{2,\varepsilon} \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon})} \right) \right) (e_k^{il})^2 \right\|_{L^1} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) - \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon})\|_{L^2} \|w^1 - w^2\|_{D(L)} \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u'\|_{L^\infty} \|w^1 - w^2\|_{D(L)} \\
& \quad + C \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u'\|_{L^\infty} \|w^1 - w^2\|_{D(L)} \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \left\| \left( \frac{u_i^{1,\varepsilon}}{\tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon})} - \frac{u_i^{2,\varepsilon}}{\tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon})} \right) \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) + \frac{u_i^{2,\varepsilon}}{\tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon})} (\partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) - \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon})) \right\|_{L^1} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) - \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon})\|_{L^2} \|w^1 - w^2\|_{D(L)} \\
& \quad + C \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u'\|_{L^\infty} \|w^1 - w^2\|_{D(L)} \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \left\| \left( \frac{u_i^{1,\varepsilon} \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) - u_i^{2,\varepsilon} \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon})}{\tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon})} \right) \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) + \frac{u_i^{2,\varepsilon}}{\tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon})} (\partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) - \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon})) \right\|_{L^1} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) - \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon})\|_{L^2} \|w^1 - w^2\|_{D(L)} \\
& \quad + C \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u'\|_{L^\infty} \|w^1 - w^2\|_{D(L)} \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \left\| \left( \frac{(u_i^{1,\varepsilon} - u_i^{2,\varepsilon}) \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) + (\tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) - \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon}) u_i^{2,\varepsilon})}{\tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon})} \right) \right\|_{L^2} \|\partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon})\|_{L^2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \left\| \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) - \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon}) \right\|_{L^1} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \left\| \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) - \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon}) \right\|_{L^2} \|w^1 - w^2\|_{D(L)} \\
& \quad + C \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u'\|_{L^\infty} \|w^1 - w^2\|_{D(L)} \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \left( \|u_i^{1,\varepsilon} - u_i^{2,\varepsilon}\|_{L^2} + \left\| \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) - \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon}) \right\|_{L^2} \right) \left\| \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) \right\|_{L^2} \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \left\| \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^{1,\varepsilon}) - \partial_{x_l} \tilde{A}_i(u^{2,\varepsilon}) \right\|_{L^1}.
\end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of [3, Theorem 13] (see also [25, Theorem 2.6.6]), we can bound all terms by a constant, depending on the  $L^\infty$  norms of  $u'(R_\varepsilon(v_1))$ ,  $u'(R_\varepsilon(v_2))$ ,  $u(R_\varepsilon(v_1))$ ,  $u(R_\varepsilon(v_2))$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \|e_k^{il}\|_{L^\infty}^2$  and the constant appearing in the embedding of  $D(L)$  into  $W^{1,2}(\mathcal{O})$  and the difference  $\|R_\varepsilon(v_1) - R_\varepsilon(v_2)\|_{D(L)} \leq C(\varepsilon)\|v_1 - v_2\|_{D(L)}$ .  $\square$

## 8.2. Auxiliary Lemmata.

**Lemma 38.** [3, Lemma 14][25, Lemma 2.7.3] *Let  $w \in D(L)$ ,  $a = (a_{ij}) \in L^1(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ , and  $b = (b_{ij}) \in D(L)^{n \times n}$  satisfying  $\text{DR}_\varepsilon[w](a) = b$ . Then*

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} a : b \, dx \leq \int_{\mathcal{O}} \text{tr}[a^T u'(w)^{-1} a] \, dx.$$

**Lemma 39.** [3, Lemma 15][25, Lemma 2.7.4] *Let  $v^0 \in L^p(\Omega; L^1(\mathcal{O}))$  for some  $p \geq 1$  satisfy  $\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(v^0) \, dx \leq C$ . Then*

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} h(u(R_\varepsilon(v^0))) \, dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|LR_\varepsilon(v^0)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2 \leq \int_{\mathcal{O}} h(v^0) \, dx.$$

**Lemma 40.** *Let  $1 \leq k_1, k_2, k_3, l_1, l_2, l_3 < \infty$  as well as  $p, q, m \geq 1$ . If  $u \in L^{k_3}(\Omega; L^{k_2}(0, T; L^{k_1}(\mathcal{O})))$  and  $v \in L^{l_3}(\Omega, L^{l_2}(0, T; L^{l_1}(\mathcal{O})))$ , then  $uv \in L^m(\Omega, L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathcal{O})))$ , with  $q = \frac{k_1 l_1}{k_1 + l_1}$ ,  $p = \frac{k_2 l_2}{k_2 + l_2}$ ,  $m = \frac{k_3 l_3}{k_3 + l_3}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is a repeated application of Hölder's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|uv\|_{L^m(\Omega, L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathcal{O})))} & = \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_0^T \left( \int_{\mathcal{O}} (uv)^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} dt \right)^{\frac{m}{p}} d\mu(\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \\
& \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_0^T \left( \int_{\mathcal{O}} (u)^{qp_1} \, dx \right)^{\frac{pp_2}{qp_1}} dt \right)^{\frac{mp_3}{pp_2}} d\mu(\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{mp_3}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_0^T \left( \int_{\mathcal{O}} (v)^{qq_1} \, dx \right)^{\frac{pq_2}{qq_1}} dt \right)^{\frac{mq_3}{pq_2}} d\mu(\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{mq_3}}
\end{aligned}$$

By the assumptions, the following relations need to hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
qp_1 & = k_1, & \frac{pp_2}{qp_1} & = \frac{k_2}{k_1}, & \frac{mp_3}{pp_2} & = \frac{k_3}{k_2}, \\
qq_1 & = l_1, & \frac{pq_2}{qq_1} & = \frac{l_2}{l_1}, & \frac{mq_3}{pq_2} & = \frac{l_3}{l_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

In addition,

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q} \left( \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} \right) = \frac{1}{k_1} + \frac{1}{l_1} = \frac{k_1 + l_1}{k_1 l_1}, \quad q = \frac{k_1 l_1}{k_1 + l_1},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{p} &= \frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{q_2} \right) = \frac{1}{k_2} + \frac{1}{l_2} = \frac{k_2 + l_2}{k_2 l_2}, & p &= \frac{k_2 l_2}{k_2 + l_2}, \\ \frac{1}{m} &= \frac{1}{m} \left( \frac{1}{p_3} + \frac{1}{q_3} \right) = \frac{1}{k_3} + \frac{1}{l_3} = \frac{k_3 + l_3}{k_3 l_3}, & m &= \frac{k_3 l_3}{k_3 + l_3}.\end{aligned}$$

□

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. Bansaye, A. Moussa, and F. Muñoz-Hernández. Stability of a cross-diffusion system and approximation by repulsive random walks: a duality approach. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 2024.
- [2] A. Behzadan and M. Holst. Multiplication in sobolev spaces, revisited. *Arkiv för Matematik*, 59(2):275–306, 2021.
- [3] M. Braukhoff, F. Huber, and A. Jüngel. Global martingale solutions for stochastic shigesada–kawasaki–teramoto population models. *Stochastics and Partial Differential Equations: Analysis and Computations*, 12(1):525–575, 2024.
- [4] Z. Brzeźniak, E. Hausenblas, and E. Motyl. Uniqueness in law of the stochastic convolution process driven by Lévy noise. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 18:1–15, 2013.
- [5] Z. Brzeźniak and E. Motyl. The existence of martingale solutions to the stochastic Boussinesq equations. *Global Stoch. Anal.*, 1:175–216, 2014.
- [6] Z. Brzeźniak and M. Ondreját. Stochastic wave equations with values in Riemannian manifolds. In *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations and Applications, Quad. Mat.*, volume 25, pages 65–97, 2010.
- [7] Z. Brzeźniak and M. Ondreját. Stochastic geometric wave equations with values in compact Riemannian homogeneous spaces. *Ann. Probab.*, 41:1938–1977, 2013.
- [8] L. Chen, E. Daus, A. Holzinger, and A. Jüngel. Rigorous derivation of population cross-diffusion systems from moderately interacting particle systems. *J. Nonlin. Sci.*, 31(94):38 pages, 2021.
- [9] L. Chen, E. S. Daus, A. Holzinger, and A. Jüngel. Rigorous derivation of population cross-diffusion systems from moderately interacting particle systems. *Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 31:1–38, 2021.
- [10] L. Chen and A. Jüngel. Analysis of a multi-dimensional parabolic population model with strong cross-diffusion. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 36:301–322, 2004.
- [11] L. Chen and A. Jüngel. Analysis of a parabolic cross-diffusion population model without self-diffusion. *J. Diff. Eqs.*, 224:39–59, 2006.
- [12] X. Chen, E. Daus, and A. Jüngel. Global existence analysis of cross-diffusion population systems for multiple species. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 227:715–747, 2018.
- [13] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk. *Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Second edition edition, 2014.
- [14] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk. *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions*, volume 152. Cambridge university press, 2014.
- [15] E. Daus, L. Desvillettes, and H. Dietert. About the entropic structure of detailed balanced multi-species cross-diffusion equations. *J. Diff. Eqs.*, 266:3861–3882, 2019.
- [16] A. Debussche, N. Glatt-Holtz, and R. Temam. Local martingale and pathwise solutions for an abstract fluids model. *Physica D*, 240:1123–1144, 2011.
- [17] A. Debussche and J. Vovelle. Diffusion-approximation in stochastically forced kinetic equations. *Tunisian Journal of Mathematics*, 3(1):1–53, 2020.
- [18] G. Dhariwal, A. Jüngel, and N. Zamponi. Global martingale solutions for a stochastic population cross-diffusion system. *Stoch. Process. Appl.*, 129:3792–3820, 2019.
- [19] H. Dietert and A. Moussa. Persisting entropy structure for nonlocal cross-diffusion systems. In *Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse. Mathématiques.*, 2023.
- [20] A. Djurdjevac, H. Kremp, and N. Perkowski. Weak error analysis for a nonlinear spde approximation of the dean–kawasaki equation. *Stochastics and Partial Differential Equations: Analysis and Computations*, pages 1–26, 2024.
- [21] A. Donev, A. Nonaka, A. K. Bhattacharjee, A. L. Garcia, and J. B. Bell. Low mach number fluctuating hydrodynamics of multispecies liquid mixtures. *Physics of Fluids*, 27(3), 2015.
- [22] B. Fehrman and B. Gess. Non-equilibrium large deviations and parabolic-hyperbolic pde with irregular drift. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 234(2):573–636, 2023.
- [23] B. Fehrman and B. Gess. Well-posedness of the dean–kawasaki and the nonlinear dawson–watanabe equation with correlated noise. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 248(2):20, 2024.
- [24] M. Hairer. A theory of regularity structures. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 198(2):269–504, 2014.
- [25] F. Huber. *Stochastic PDEs with cross-diffusion effects*. PhD thesis, Technische Universität Wien, 2022.
- [26] F. Huber. Markovian lifts of stochastic volterra equations in sobolev spaces: Solution theory, an ito formula and invariant measures. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.10352*, 2024.
- [27] A. Jüngel. The boundedness-by-entropy method for cross-diffusion systems. *Nonlinearity*, 28:1963–2001, 2015.
- [28] N. Krylov. A relatively short proof of Itô’s formula for SPDEs and its applications. *Stoch. Partial Diff. Eqs.: Anal. Comput.*, 1:152–174, 2013.

- [29] C. Kuehn and A. Neamțu. Pathwise mild solutions for quasilinear stochastic partial differential equations. *J. Diff. Eqs.*, 269:2185–2227, 2020.
- [30] A. Kufner and B. Opic. How to define reasonably weighted Sobolev spaces. *Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, 25(3):537–554, 1984.
- [31] W. Liu and M. Röckner. *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations: An Introduction*. Springer, Cham, 2015.
- [32] M. Métivier. *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations in Infinite Dimensional Spaces*. Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1988.
- [33] A. Moussa. From nonlocal to classical Shigesada–Kawasaki–Teramoto systems: triangular case with bounded coefficients. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 52:42–64, 2020.
- [34] N. Shigesada, K. Kawasaki, and E. Teramoto. Spatial segregation of interacting species. *J. Theor. Biol.*, 79:83–99, 1979.
- [35] J. Simon. Compact sets in the space  $L^p(0, t; b)$ . *Ann. Math. Pura. Appl.*, 146:65–96, 1987.

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND  
Email address: [florian.huber@epfl.ch](mailto:florian.huber@epfl.ch)