

GRADIENT ESTIMATES FOR SCALAR CURVATURE

TOBIAS HOLCK COLDING AND WILLIAM P. MINICOZZI II

ABSTRACT. A gradient estimate is a crucial tool used to control the rate of change of a function on a manifold, paving the way for deeper analysis of geometric properties. A celebrated result of Cheng and Yau gives gradient bounds on manifolds with Ricci curvature ≥ 0 . The Cheng-Yau bound is not sharp, but there is a sharp gradient estimate. To explain this, a Green's function u on a manifold can be used to define a regularized distance $b = u^{\frac{1}{2-n}}$ to the pole. On \mathbf{R}^n , the level sets of b are spheres and $|\nabla b| = 1$. If $\text{Ric} \geq 0$, then [C3] proved the sharp gradient estimate $|\nabla b| \leq 1$. We show that the average of $|\nabla b|$ is ≤ 1 on a three manifold with nonnegative scalar curvature. The average is over any level set of b and if the average is one on even one level set, then $M = \mathbf{R}^3$.

0. INTRODUCTION

Gradient estimates for harmonic functions are ubiquitous in geometric analysis. They are typically proven using the maximum principle and require a lower Ricci curvature bound. For scalar curvature, those techniques are not available and one does not expect a pointwise gradient bound. Instead we will use different techniques to prove a sharp average gradient estimate in three dimensions.

Suppose that M^3 is an open three-manifold, $u > 0$ is a Green's function with a pole at $p \in M$ and $u(x), |\nabla u|(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.¹ We use the normalization $\Delta u = -4\pi \delta_p$. The function $b = u^{-1}$ vanishes at p , is positive away from p , and gives a "regularized distance." On \mathbf{R}^3 , we have $u(x) = |x - p|^{-1}$, $b(x) = |x - p|$ is a distance function, and $|\nabla b|$ is one.

The celebrated Cheng-Yau gradient estimate bounds $\frac{|\nabla u|}{u}$ in terms of the distance to p if $\text{Ric} \geq 0$, [ChY, Y1]. This implies that $|\nabla b| \leq C$ for some constant $C > 1$. The sharp gradient estimate in [C3] gives the stronger estimate $|\nabla b| \leq 1$, with equality at one point if and only if M is Euclidean. Both [ChY] and [C3] hold in all dimensions with obvious modifications².

This sharp gradient estimate is closely related to some monotonicity formulas, [C3]. To explain this, we follow [CM4] and define $A_\beta(r)$ by

$$(0.1) \quad A_\beta(r) = r^{-2} \int_{b=r} |\nabla b|^{1+\beta}.$$

If $\beta = 0$, then $A_0(r) = 4\pi$ is constant by the divergence theorem. Thus, if M^3 has $\text{Ric} \geq 0$ (and similarly in all dimensions), then the sharp gradient estimate gives a bound for $A_1(r)$

$$(0.2) \quad A_1(r) = r^{-2} \int_{b=r} |\nabla b|^2 \leq (\sup |\nabla b|) A_0(r) = 4\pi \sup |\nabla b| \leq 4\pi,$$

The authors were partially supported by NSF DMS Grants 2405393 and 2304684.

¹When $\inf_M \text{Ric} > -\infty$ and $u \rightarrow 0$ at infinity, then $|\nabla u| \rightarrow 0$ at infinity by [ChY].

²In higher dimensions, $\Delta u = -\text{Vol}(\mathbf{S}^{n-1}) \delta_p$ and b is given by $b^{2-n} = u$; see [C3].

with equality only when M is Euclidean space. The bound $A_1(r) \leq 4\pi$ says that $|\nabla b| \leq 1$ in an average sense. We will prove this for $S \geq 0$ in three dimensions:

Theorem 0.3. If M^3 has first betti number zero, one end, and $S \geq 0$, then $A_1(r) \leq 4\pi$ with equality for some r if and only if $M = \mathbf{R}^3$.

Corollary 1.2 in [MW1] proved that $A_1(r) \leq 4\pi$ in the special case where M has asymptotically nonnegative Ricci curvature.

The main ingredient in Theorem 0.3 is the following quadratic lower bound for the growth of A_1 (this does not use that $|\nabla u| \rightarrow 0$).

Proposition 0.4. Suppose that M^3 has first betti number zero, one end, and $S \geq 0$. If $A_1(r_0) > 4\pi$, then there exists $c > 0$ so that for $r \geq r_0$

$$(0.5) \quad A_1(r) \geq cr^2.$$

Since $|\nabla u| = b^{-2} |\nabla b|$, quadratic growth of A_1 is a positive lower bound for the weighted average of $|\nabla u|$ near infinity, contradicting that $|\nabla u| \rightarrow 0$.

The next corollary gives a Cohn-Vossen type bound for the average of the scalar curvature that is related to a conjecture of Yau, [Y2]. See [X, Z] for versions of this for $\text{Ric} \geq 0$.

Corollary 0.6. If M^3 has first betti number zero, one end, and $S \geq 0$, then for any $r > 0$

$$(0.7) \quad r^{-1} \int_0^r \int_{b=s} S \leq 48\pi.$$

Gradient estimates are closely connected to monotonicity formulas involving the Green's function, starting with three monotonicity formulas in [C3]. The level sets of b are analogous to distance spheres, but they come with a natural measure $|\nabla u|$ that is preserved.³ [CM4] showed that the monotonicities were contained in one-parameter families and [CM5] used these quantities to understand the asymptotic structure of certain Einstein manifolds. There are now many applications along these lines, including [AFM1, AFM2, BFM, BS, GV, HP, P], cf. also [BR]. In the last several years, there have been a number of monotonicity formulas using $A_1(r)$ in three dimensions with lower bounds on scalar curvature. This started in the papers of Munteanu-Wang, [MW1, MW2], on nonnegative scalar curvature, the work of Agostiniani-Mazzieri-Oronzio, [AMO], on the positive mass theorem (cf. Bray-Kazaras-Khuri-Stern, [BKKS], Xia-Yin-Zhou, [XYZ]), the work of Agostiniani-Mantegazza-Mazzieri-Oronzio, [AMMO], on the Penrose inequality, and also appears in the work of Chodosh-Li, [ChL], on stable minimal 3-manifolds and the Bernstein problem.

1. THE DIFFERENTIAL INEQUALITY FOR A_1

In this section, we will prove a differential inequality for $A_1(r)$ in terms of several natural integrals on the level sets of b . Let Σ denote a level set of b and K the curvature of Σ . The outward unit normal \mathbf{n} is given by

$$(1.1) \quad \mathbf{n} = \frac{\nabla b}{|\nabla b|}.$$

³This works in general dimensions; see [ChC1, ChC2, C1, C2, CM1, CM2].

The Laplacian of b^2 and trace-free part \mathbf{B} of the hessian of b^2 are

$$(1.2) \quad \Delta b^2 = 6 |\nabla b|^2,$$

$$(1.3) \quad \mathbf{B} = \text{Hess}_{b^2} - 2 |\nabla b|^2 g.$$

On \mathbf{R}^3 , $u = |x|^{-1}$, $b^2 = |x|^2$ and, thus, \mathbf{B} vanishes. This reflects the conical structure of \mathbf{R}^3 .

Define B_1, B_2, S_1 by

$$(1.4) \quad B_1(r) = r^{-2} \int_{b=r} \text{Hess}_{b^2}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}),$$

$$(1.5) \quad B_2(r) = \int_{b=r} \frac{|\mathbf{B}|^2}{|\nabla b^2|^2},$$

$$(1.6) \quad S_1(r) = \int_{b=r} S.$$

The function A_1 is locally Lipschitz, differentiable almost everywhere and absolutely continuous, while B_1 and S_1 are continuous (see Appendix A).

The next proposition implies that $r A_1'(r) \geq A_1(r) - 4\pi$ when $S \geq 0$, which can be seen to be equivalent to the monotonicity formula in [MW1].⁴

Proposition 1.7. If the level sets of b are connected, then

$$(1.8) \quad r A_1'(r) \geq A_1(r) - 4\pi + \frac{1}{2r} \int_0^r (S_1(s) + B_2(s)) ds.$$

1.1. Differentiating A_1 . The next lemma recalls the formula for the derivative of A_1 ; this is contained in [C3, CM4], but we include the proof for completeness. This calculation uses that u is a positive proper harmonic function with precise asymptotics at the pole p , but it does not require curvature or topological assumptions on M .

Lemma 1.9. The function A_1 is continuously differentiable with

$$(1.10) \quad r A_1'(r) = \frac{1}{2} B_1(r) - A_1(r) = \frac{1}{2} r^{-2} \int_{b=r} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}),$$

$$(1.11) \quad 2 (r A_1(r))' = B_1(r).$$

Proof. Since $\frac{|\nabla b|}{b} = |\nabla \log u|$, the asymptotics of the Green's function near the pole p (lemma 2.1 in [C3], [GS]) gives that

$$(1.12) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \int_{b=s} b^{-2} |\nabla b|^2 = 4\pi.$$

Using that $\text{div}(b^{-2} \nabla b) = 0$ away from p and

$$(1.13) \quad \nabla |\nabla b| = \nabla \left(\frac{|\nabla b^2|}{2b} \right) = \frac{\text{Hess}_{b^2}(\mathbf{n}, \cdot)}{2b} - \frac{|\nabla b^2| \nabla b}{2b^2},$$

⁴Theorem 1.1 in [MW1] states that $\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_{u=t} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \leq 4\pi$. If $r = \frac{1}{t}$, this is $r A_1'(r) \geq A_1(r) - 4\pi$.

the divergence theorem gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.14) \quad A_1(r) &= \int_{b=r} \langle |\nabla b| (b^{-2} \nabla b), \mathbf{n} \rangle = 4\pi + \int_{b<r} b^{-2} \langle \nabla b, \frac{\text{Hess}_{b^2}(\mathbf{n}, \cdot)}{2b} - \frac{|\nabla b^2| \nabla b}{2b^2} \rangle \\
&= 4\pi + \int_{b<r} b^{-3} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla b| \text{Hess}_{b^2}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}) - |\nabla b|^3 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we also used the asymptotics at $b = 0$ to evaluate the inner boundary term. Combining this and the coarea formula gives

$$(1.15) \quad r A_1'(r) = r^{-2} \int_{b=r} \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{Hess}_{b^2}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}) - |\nabla b|^2 \right) = \frac{1}{2} B_1(r) - A_1(r).$$

Note that the right-hand side is continuous in s (by Appendix A); since A_1 is absolutely continuous, we see that A_1 is continuously differentiable. Furthermore, (1.15) gives the first equality in (1.10). To get the second equality, use (1.3) to get that

$$(1.16) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{b=r} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}) = \int_{b=r} \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{Hess}_{b^2}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}) - |\nabla b|^2 \right).$$

Finally, the last claim (1.11) follows easily from the first equality in (1.10). \square

1.2. Level set geometry. The next proposition expresses $\Delta|\nabla b^2|$ in terms of the scalar curvature of M and the geometry of the level set. This result is local and does not require any assumptions on M (cf. equation (1.2) in [MW2]).

Proposition 1.17. We have that

$$\frac{\Delta|\nabla b^2|}{|\nabla b^2|} = \frac{1}{2} S - K + \frac{|\mathbf{B}|^2}{2|\nabla b^2|^2} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\text{Hess}_{b^2}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n})}{b^2}.$$

The next lemma uses the Gauss equation (cf. [SY, JK, S, BKKS, AMO]) to express the Ricci curvature in the direction normal to a level set in terms of the ambient scalar curvature, the Gauss curvature of the level set, and derivatives of the function and then combines this with the Bochner formula.

Lemma 1.18. If v is a function on M^3 and $\tilde{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{\nabla v}{|\nabla v|}$, then

$$\frac{\Delta|\nabla v|}{|\nabla v|} = \frac{1}{2} S - K + \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\text{Hess}_v|^2}{|\nabla v|^2} + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla v|^{-2} [|\Delta v|^2 - 2 \Delta v \text{Hess}_v(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, \tilde{\mathbf{n}})] + \frac{\langle \nabla \Delta v, \tilde{\mathbf{n}} \rangle}{|\nabla v|}.$$

Proof. Let e_1, e_2 be a local orthonormal frame for the level sets of v , so that $e_1, e_2, \tilde{\mathbf{n}}$ is a local orthonormal frame for M^3 . The definitions of S and Ric give

$$(1.19) \quad S = \text{Ric}(e_1, e_1) + \text{Ric}(e_2, e_2) + \text{Ric}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, \tilde{\mathbf{n}}) = 2R(e_1, e_2, e_1, e_2) + 2\text{Ric}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, \tilde{\mathbf{n}}).$$

The Gauss equation gives that

$$(1.20) \quad K = R(e_1, e_2, e_1, e_2) + \frac{1}{2} |H_\Sigma|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |A_\Sigma|^2.$$

Combining the last two equations, we see that

$$(1.21) \quad S = 2\text{Ric}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, \tilde{\mathbf{n}}) + 2K + |A_\Sigma|^2 - |H_\Sigma|^2.$$

We also have that

$$(1.22) \quad |\nabla v|^2 |A_\Sigma|^2 = |\text{Hess}_v|_\Sigma|^2 = |\text{Hess}_v|^2 + (\text{Hess}_v(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, \tilde{\mathbf{n}}))^2 - 2 |\nabla|\nabla v||^2.$$

Using this in (1.21) gives that (cf. [S, SY])

$$(1.23) \quad S = 2 \text{Ric}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, \tilde{\mathbf{n}}) + 2K - |H_\Sigma|^2 + |\nabla v|^{-2} (|\text{Hess}_v|^2 + (\text{Hess}_v(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, \tilde{\mathbf{n}}))^2 - 2 |\nabla|\nabla v||^2).$$

Using that $|\nabla v| H_\Sigma = |\nabla v| \text{div} \frac{\nabla v}{|\nabla v|} = \Delta v - \text{Hess}_v(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, \tilde{\mathbf{n}})$ gives

$$\text{Ric}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, \tilde{\mathbf{n}}) = \frac{1}{2} S - K + |\nabla v|^{-2} \left[|\nabla|\nabla v||^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\Delta v|^2 - \Delta v \text{Hess}_v(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, \tilde{\mathbf{n}}) - \frac{1}{2} |\text{Hess}_v|^2 \right].$$

By the Bochner formula

$$(1.24) \quad |\nabla v| \Delta |\nabla v| + |\nabla|\nabla v||^2 = \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\nabla v|^2 = |\text{Hess}_v|^2 + \langle \nabla \Delta v, \nabla v \rangle + \text{Ric}(\nabla v, \nabla v),$$

which can be re-written as

$$(1.25) \quad \frac{\Delta |\nabla v|}{|\nabla v|} - \frac{|\text{Hess}_v|^2}{|\nabla v|^2} + \frac{|\nabla|\nabla v||^2}{|\nabla v|^2} - \frac{\langle \nabla \Delta v, \mathbf{n} \rangle}{|\nabla v|} = \text{Ric}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, \tilde{\mathbf{n}}).$$

Combining this with the formula for $\text{Ric}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, \tilde{\mathbf{n}})$ gives the claim. \square

Specializing to the function $v = b^2$ gives Proposition 1.17:

Proof of Proposition 1.17. Since $\Delta b^2 = 6 |\nabla b|^2$, Lemma 1.18 gives

$$(1.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\Delta |\nabla b^2|}{|\nabla b^2|} &= \frac{1}{2} S - K + \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\text{Hess}_{b^2}|^2}{|\nabla b^2|^2} + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla b^2|^{-2} [|\Delta b^2|^2 - 2 \Delta b^2 \text{Hess}_{b^2}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n})] + \frac{\langle \nabla \Delta b^2, \mathbf{n} \rangle}{|\nabla b^2|} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} S - K + \frac{|\text{Hess}_{b^2}|^2}{8 b^2 |\nabla b|^2} + \frac{[9 |\nabla b|^4 - 3 |\nabla b|^2 \text{Hess}_{b^2}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n})]}{2 b^2 |\nabla b|^2} + 3 \frac{\langle \nabla |\nabla b|^2, \mathbf{n} \rangle}{b |\nabla b|} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\nabla b^2 = 2b \nabla b$, we have that $|\nabla b^2|^2 = 4b^2 |\nabla b|^2$ and, thus,

$$(1.27) \quad \langle \nabla |\nabla b|^2, \mathbf{n} \rangle = b^{-1} |\nabla b| \text{Hess}_{b^2}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}) - 2b^{-1} |\nabla b|^3 = \frac{|\nabla b|}{b} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}).$$

Therefore, we get that

$$(1.28) \quad \begin{aligned} 2 \frac{\Delta |\nabla b^2|}{|\nabla b^2|} &= S - 2K + \frac{|\text{Hess}_{b^2}|^2}{4 b^2 |\nabla b|^2} + \frac{9 |\nabla b|^4 - 3 |\nabla b|^2 \text{Hess}_{b^2}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n})}{b^2 |\nabla b|^2} \\ &\quad + 6 \frac{b^{-1} |\nabla b| \text{Hess}_{b^2}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}) - 2b^{-1} |\nabla b|^3}{b |\nabla b|} \\ &= S - 2K + \frac{|\text{Hess}_{b^2}|^2 - 12 |\nabla b|^4}{4 b^2 |\nabla b|^2} + 3 \frac{\text{Hess}_{b^2}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n})}{b^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The corollary follows from this and

$$(1.29) \quad |\mathbf{B}|^2 = |\text{Hess}_{b^2}|^2 - 12 |\nabla b|^4,$$

which uses (1.2). \square

1.3. A lower bound for B_1 . We turn next to a lower bound for B_1 . This requires topological control on the level sets so that the Gauss-Bonnet theorem yields an integral bound on the curvature (the level sets are surfaces so Gauss-Bonnet applies). The local calculations of the previous subsection will be used.

Proposition 1.30. If the level sets of b are connected, then for $0 < r_1 < r_2 < \infty$ regular values

$$(1.31) \quad r B_1(r) \geq 4 r A_1(r) - 8 \pi r + \int_0^r (S_1(s) + B_2(s)) ds.$$

Proof. Combining the fact that $\langle \nabla |\nabla b^2|, \mathbf{n} \rangle = \text{Hess}_{b^2}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n})$, the divergence theorem and the coarea formula for b gives that

$$(1.32) \quad \begin{aligned} s B_1(s) &= s^{-1} \int_{b=s} \text{Hess}_{b^2}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}) = \int_{b=s} \langle b^{-1} \nabla |\nabla b^2|, \mathbf{n} \rangle \\ &= \int_{b \leq s} \left(\frac{\Delta |\nabla b^2|}{b} - \frac{\langle \nabla b, \nabla |\nabla b^2| \rangle}{b^2} \right) = \int_0^s \int_{b=t} \left(\frac{\Delta |\nabla b^2|}{b |\nabla b|} - \frac{\langle \mathbf{n}, \nabla |\nabla b^2| \rangle}{b^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality used the asymptotics at the pole⁵ to see that the inner boundary term goes to zero. Using Proposition 1.17, the Gauss-Bonnet theorem and the connectedness of the level sets, we get that

$$(1.33) \quad \begin{aligned} s B_1(s) &= \int_0^s \left(S_1(t) + B_2(t) + 2 B_1(t) - 2 \int_{b=t} K \right) \\ &\geq \int_0^s (S_1(t) + B_2(t) + 2 B_1(t) - 8 \pi). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1.9 gives that $r A_1'(r) = \frac{1}{2} B_1(r) - A_1(r)$, so that $\int_0^r B_1(s) = 2 r A_1(r)$. Using this in (1.33), we conclude that

$$(1.34) \quad r B_1(r) \geq 4 r A_1(r) - 8 \pi r + \int_0^r (S_1(s) + B_2(s)) ds. \quad \square$$

Proof of Proposition 1.7. Since $r A_1'(r) = \frac{1}{2} B_1(r) - A_1(r)$ by Lemma 1.9, Proposition 1.30 gives that

$$(1.35) \quad 2 r A_1'(r) = B_1(r) - 2 A_1(r) \geq 2 A_1(r) - 8 \pi + \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r (S_1(s) + B_2(s)) ds. \quad \square$$

2. THE MAIN THEOREMS

In this section, we will study the growth $A_1(r)$ and use this to prove the main theorems. The starting point is the differential inequality for A_1 from Proposition 1.7. When $S \geq 0$, this gives the differential inequality $r A_1'(r) \geq A_1(r) - 4 \pi$ which leads to linear growth of A_1 if it gets above 4π . To get to quadratic growth, we will need the $B_2(r)$ term. This is non-standard since B_2 vanishes in the model case.

⁵Combining the [GS] first order asymptotics of u at the pole with standard elliptic estimates for the harmonic function u on a ball of size $b/2$ gives that $\text{Hess}_{b^2}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n})$ is bounded near p .

The next lemma gives a lower bound for B_2 in terms of A_1 and A'_1 .

Lemma 2.1. We have that

$$(2.2) \quad (r A'_1)^2 \leq \frac{2}{3} A_1 B_2.$$

The proof uses an elementary linear algebra fact (that is usually used for the Kato inequality for harmonic functions, see, e.g., lemma 2.2 in [MW1], but is used differently here):

Lemma 2.3. If C is a trace-free three-by-three matrix and v is a unit vector, then

$$(2.4) \quad |C(v, v)|^2 \leq |C(v)|^2 \leq \frac{2}{3} |C|^2.$$

Proof. Choose an orthonormal basis e_1, e_2, e_3 so that $e_1 = v$. It follows that

$$(2.5) \quad |C(v, v)|^2 = C_{11}^2 \leq C_{11}^2 + C_{12}^2 + C_{13}^2 = |C(v)|^2.$$

Expanding $|C|^2$ and using that $C_{33} = -(C_{11} + C_{22})$, we have

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} |C|^2 &= C_{11}^2 + C_{22}^2 + C_{33}^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} C_{ij}^2 = C_{11}^2 + C_{22}^2 + (C_{11} + C_{22})^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} C_{ij}^2 \\ &= 2 C_{11}^2 + 2 C_{22}^2 + 2 C_{11} C_{22} + 2 (C_{12}^2 + C_{13}^2 + C_{23}^2) \\ &= \frac{3}{2} C_{11}^2 + 2 (C_{12}^2 + C_{13}^2) + \left(\sqrt{2} C_{22} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} C_{11} \right)^2 + 2 C_{23}^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

The lemma is sharp on the three-by-three diagonal matrix with entries 2, -1 and -1 .

Proof of Lemma 2.1. To see this, use the first claim in Lemma 1.9, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and then Lemma 2.3 to get that

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} 4 (r A'_1(r))^2 &= \left(r^{-2} \int_{b=r} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}) \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(r^{-2} \int_{b=r} |\nabla b|^2 \right) \left(r^{-2} \int_{b=r} \frac{[\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n})]^2}{|\nabla b|^2} \right) \\ &\leq A_1(r) \left(\frac{2}{3} \int_{b=r} \frac{|\mathbf{B}|^2}{|\nabla b|^2} \right) = \frac{8}{3} A_1(r) B_2(r). \end{aligned}$$

□

2.1. The growth of A_1 . We will now turn to proving a lower bound for the growth of $A_1(r)$ when $S \geq 0$, the level sets of b are connected, and there exist $\delta > 0$ and $r_0 > 0$ so that

$$(2.8) \quad A_1(r_0) > 4\pi + \delta.$$

Proposition 1.7 gives that A_1 is increasing for $r \geq r_0$ with

$$(2.9) \quad (\log(A_1(r) - 4\pi))' \geq r^{-1},$$

so that integrating this from r_0 to r gives that

$$(2.10) \quad A_1(r) \geq 4\pi + \delta \frac{r}{r_0}.$$

Define a continuous positive function

$$(2.11) \quad a(r) = r (\log A_1)'(r)$$

that measures the rate of polynomial growth of $A_1(r)$. To get that A_1 grows quadratically, we will show that $a(r)$ rapidly approaches 2 as r grows.

The next proposition says that the continuous function $a(r)$ satisfies the differential inequality

$$(2.12) \quad r a' \geq 1 - a^2/4 - 4\pi/A_1$$

in an integral sense.

Proposition 2.13. If $r_1 < r_2$ are regular values of b with $r_0 \leq r_1$, then

$$(2.14) \quad a(r_2) - a(r_1) \geq \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \left(1 - \frac{a^2(r)}{4} - \frac{4\pi}{A_1(r)}\right) \frac{dr}{r}.$$

The proposition will follow from applying the divergence theorem and co-area formula to a well-chosen vector field V that is defined in the next lemma.

Lemma 2.15. If we define a C^1 vector field $V = b^{-2} \frac{\nabla|\nabla b^2|}{A_1(b)}$, then at a regular value r

$$(2.16) \quad \int_{b=r} \langle V, \mathbf{n} \rangle = 2a(r) + 2,$$

$$(2.17) \quad r \int_{b=r} \frac{\operatorname{div} V}{|\nabla b|} \geq 2 - \frac{1}{2}a^2(r) - \frac{8\pi}{A_1(r)}.$$

Proof. Using the definitions of V and B_1 , we see that

$$(2.18) \quad \int_{b=r} \langle V, \mathbf{n} \rangle = \frac{1}{A_1(r)} r^{-2} \int_{b=r} \langle \nabla|\nabla b^2|, \mathbf{n} \rangle = \frac{B_1(r)}{A_1(r)}.$$

Therefore, Lemma 1.9 gives for each r that

$$(2.19) \quad 2a(r) + 2 = \frac{B_1(r)}{A_1(r)} = \int_{b=r} \langle V, \mathbf{n} \rangle,$$

giving the first claim.

We turn now to the second claim. Define a C^1 function $v = \frac{1}{A_1(b)}$, so that $V = b^{-2} v \nabla|\nabla b^2|$. The product rule and the chain rule give that

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\operatorname{div} V}{|\nabla b|} &= b^{-2} v \frac{\Delta|\nabla b^2|}{|\nabla b|} + b^{-2} \left\langle \frac{\nabla v}{|\nabla b|}, \nabla|\nabla b^2| \right\rangle - 2b^{-3} v \langle \mathbf{n}, \nabla|\nabla b^2| \rangle \\ &= 2b^{-1} v \frac{\Delta|\nabla b^2|}{|\nabla b^2|} - b^{-3} a(b) v \langle \mathbf{n}, \nabla|\nabla b^2| \rangle - 2b^{-3} v \langle \mathbf{n}, \nabla|\nabla b^2| \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 1.17 and $S \geq 0$, this becomes

$$(2.21) \quad \frac{\operatorname{div} V}{|\nabla b|} \geq \frac{v}{b} \left(-2K + \frac{|\mathbf{B}|^2}{|\nabla b^2|^2} + 3 \frac{\operatorname{Hess}_{b^2}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n})}{b^2} \right) - (2 + a(b)) b^{-3} v \operatorname{Hess}_{b^2}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}).$$

Thus, since $v \equiv \frac{1}{A_1(r)}$ is constant on $\{b = r\}$, we see at regular values $r > r_0$ that

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{aligned} r \int_{b=r} \frac{\operatorname{div} V}{|\nabla b|} &\geq \int_{b=r} \frac{1}{A_1(r)} \left(-2K + \frac{|\mathbf{B}|^2}{|\nabla b^2|^2} + (1 - a(r)) \frac{\operatorname{Hess}_{b^2}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n})}{r^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{B_2(r)}{A_1(r)} + (1 - a(r)) \frac{B_1(r)}{A_1(r)} - \frac{2}{A_1(r)} \int_{b=r} K. \end{aligned}$$

Using that $\frac{B_1}{A_1} = 2(a + 1)$ and using the connectedness of the level sets and Gauss-Bonnet to bound $\int_{b=r} K \leq 4\pi$, we see that

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{aligned} r \int_{b=r} \frac{\operatorname{div} V}{|\nabla b|} &\geq \frac{B_2(r)}{A_1(r)} + 2(1 - a(r))(1 + a(r)) - \frac{8\pi}{A_1(r)} \\ &= \frac{B_2(r)}{A_1(r)} + 2 - 2a^2(r) - \frac{8\pi}{A_1(r)} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1 gives that

$$(2.24) \quad a^2 \leq \frac{2}{3} \frac{B_2}{A_1},$$

so we get that

$$(2.25) \quad r \int_{b=r} \frac{\operatorname{div} V}{|\nabla b|} \geq 2 - \frac{1}{2} a^2(r) - \frac{8\pi}{A_1(r)},$$

completely the proof. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.13. The first claim in Lemma 2.15, the divergence theorem, and the co-area formula give that

$$(2.26) \quad a(r_2) - a(r_1) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{r_1 < b < r_2} \operatorname{div} V = \frac{1}{2} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \int_{b=r} \frac{\operatorname{div} V}{|\nabla b|} dr.$$

The proposition follows from using the second claim in Lemma 2.15 to get a lower bound for the right-hand side. \square

The differential inequality (2.12) would force a to rapidly approach 2, giving the desired quadratic growth. We will need to do this in an integral sense because we only have the differential inequality in an integral sense. The next corollary is designed for this.

Corollary 2.27. If $r_1 < r_2$ are regular values of b with $r_0 \leq r_1$ and $a(r) \leq 2$ for $r_1 \leq r \leq r_2$, then

$$(2.28) \quad a(r_2) \geq 2 + \sqrt{r_1/r_2} a(r_1) - 2\sqrt{r_1/r_2} - \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{r_2}} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{r^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{A_1(r)} dr.$$

Proof. Let the vector field V be as in Lemma 2.15. Since we work in an interval where $0 < a \leq 2$, we have that

$$(2.29) \quad (2 - a^2/2) \geq 2 - a$$

and, thus, the second claim in Lemma 2.15 gives that

$$(2.30) \quad r \int_{b=r} \frac{\operatorname{div} V}{|\nabla b|} \geq 2 - a(r) - \frac{8\pi}{A_1(r)}.$$

Therefore since $\operatorname{div}(\sqrt{b}V) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}}\langle\nabla b, V\rangle + \sqrt{b}\operatorname{div}V$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.31) \quad \int_{b=r} \frac{\operatorname{div}(\sqrt{b}V)}{|\nabla b|} &= \sqrt{r} \int_{b=r} \frac{\operatorname{div}V}{|\nabla b|} + \frac{1}{2} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{b=r} \langle V, \mathbf{n} \rangle \\
&\geq r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(2 - a(r) - \frac{8\pi}{A_1(r)} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{b=r} \langle V, \mathbf{n} \rangle \right) \\
&= r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(3 - \frac{8\pi}{A_1(r)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality used (2.16). Thus, (2.16), the divergence theorem, the co-area formula and (2.31) give that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.32) \quad 2\sqrt{r_2}(a(r_2)+1) - 2\sqrt{r_1}(a(r_1)+1) &= \int_{b=r_2} \langle \sqrt{b}V, \mathbf{n} \rangle - \int_{b=r_1} \langle \sqrt{b}V, \mathbf{n} \rangle = \int_{r_1 \leq b \leq r_2} \operatorname{div}(\sqrt{b}V) \\
&= \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{\operatorname{div}(\sqrt{b}V)}{|\nabla b|} dr \geq \int_{r_1}^{r_2} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(3 - \frac{8\pi}{A_1(r)} \right) dr \\
&= 6\sqrt{r_2} - 6\sqrt{r_1} - 8\pi \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{r^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{A_1(r)} dr.
\end{aligned}$$

Simplifying this gives the claim. \square

2.2. Quadratic growth. We will show next that $a(r)$ is rapidly approaching 2.

Corollary 2.33. There is a constant $c = c(r_0, \delta) > 0$ so that for all $r \geq r_0$

$$(2.34) \quad a(r) \geq 2 - \frac{c}{\sqrt{r}}.$$

Proof. Since $a(r)$ is continuous and the regular values of b are dense, we need only show (2.34) when r is a regular value of b . Moreover, we can assume that $a(r) < 2$ since there is otherwise nothing to prove.

Suppose now that $r_1 < r_2$ are regular value with $r_1 \geq r_0$ and so that $a \leq 2$ on $[r_1, r_2]$. Using (2.10), there is a fixed $c_1 > 0$, depending on r_0 and δ , so that

$$(2.35) \quad A_1(r) \geq c_1 r$$

and, consequently,

$$(2.36) \quad \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{r_2}} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{r^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{A_1(r)} dr \leq \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{r_2}},$$

where c_2 also depends on r_0, δ . Thus, the conclusion of Corollary 2.27 gives that

$$(2.37) \quad a(r_2) \geq 2 + \sqrt{r_1/r_2}(a(r_1) - 2) - \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{r_2}}.$$

We will now consider two cases.

Suppose first that $a < 2$ on $[r_0, r_2]$, so we can take $r_1 = r_0$ and (2.37) gives that

$$(2.38) \quad a(r_2) \geq 2 + \sqrt{r_0/r_2}(a(r_0) - 2) - \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{r_2}}.$$

In particular, (2.34) holds in this case with $c = c_2 - 2\sqrt{r_0}$.

In the remaining case, we have that $\max\{a(r) \mid r_0 \leq r \leq r_2\} \geq 2$. Since a is continuous and $a(r_2) < 2$, there exists $r' \in [r_0, r_2)$ so that

$$(2.39) \quad a(r') = 2 \text{ and } a(r) < 2 \text{ for } r' < r \leq r_2.$$

If r' is a regular value, then applying (2.37) with $r_1 = r'$ gives that

$$(2.40) \quad a(r_2) \geq 2 + \sqrt{r_1/r_2} (a(r_1) - 2) - \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{r_2}} = 2 - \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{r_2}}.$$

Thus, (2.34) holds in this subcase with $c = c_2$. In the more general case where r' is not a regular value, then we use the density of regular values to choose a sequence of regular values $r'_i > r'$ with $r'_i \rightarrow r'$. Since $a(r)$ is continuous, it follows that

$$(2.41) \quad \sqrt{r'_i/r_2} (a(r'_i) - 2) \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, arguing as above shows that (2.34) holds again with $c = c_2$. \square

We can now prove the quadratic lower bound for the growth of $A_1(r)$.

Proof of Proposition 0.4. Since M has one end and zero first betti number, the level sets of b are connected and their complement consists of a bounded component p and an unbounded component where $b \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, the results of this section apply.

Corollary 2.33 gives constants c, r_0 so that for all $r \geq r_0$, we have that $A_1(r) > 0$ and

$$(2.42) \quad r \frac{A_1'(r)}{A_1(r)} \equiv a(r) \geq 2 - \frac{c}{\sqrt{r}}.$$

Integrating this, we conclude that

$$(2.43) \quad \log \frac{A_1(r)}{A_1(r_0)} = \int_{r_0}^r \frac{a(s)}{s} ds \geq \int_{r_0}^r \left(2s^{-1} - cs^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right) ds \geq 2 \log \frac{r}{r_0} - 2cr_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Exponentiating this gives that

$$(2.44) \quad \frac{A_1(r)}{A_1(r_0)} \geq \frac{r^2}{r_0^2} e^{-2cr_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}},$$

which is the claimed quadratic growth of $A_1(r)$. \square

2.3. The proof of the gradient estimate. We will now prove the sharp gradient estimate $A_1(r) \leq 4\pi$ and show that equality (even for just one r) implies that M is Euclidean. As observed in lemma 3.1 in [CM4], this implies that

$$(2.45) \quad \text{Vol}\{b = r\} \geq 4\pi r^2,$$

with equality if and only if M is Euclidean.

Proof of Theorem 0.3. We prove first that $A_1 \leq 4\pi$. We will argue by contradiction, so suppose instead that there is some $r_0 > 0$ with $A_1(r_0) \geq \delta > 0$. We can then apply Proposition 0.4 to get for $r \geq r_0$ that

$$(2.46) \quad A_1(r) \geq cr^2,$$

where $c = c(\delta, r_0) > 0$. Since $|\nabla u| = b^{-2} |\nabla b|$, this gives a positive lower bound for the weighted averages of $|\nabla u|$

$$(2.47) \quad r^{-2} \int_{b=r} |\nabla u| |\nabla b| = r^{-2} A_1(r) \geq c > 0.$$

This contradicts that $|\nabla u| \rightarrow 0$ at infinity, so we conclude that we must always have $A_1 \leq 4\pi$.

We turn now to the case of equality, where there is some $r_0 > 0$ with $A_1(r_0) = 4\pi$. Proposition 1.7 gives for any r that

$$(2.48) \quad r A_1'(r) \geq A_1(r) - 4\pi + \frac{1}{2r} \int_0^r (S_1(s) + B_2(s)) ds.$$

We will use this a few times. First, if we define $f(r) = r^{-1}(A_1(r) - 4\pi)$, then (2.48) gives the differential inequality

$$(2.49) \quad f'(r) = r^{-1} A_1'(r) - r^{-2} (A_1(r) - 4\pi) = r^{-2} (r A_1'(r) - (A_1(r) - 4\pi)) \geq 0.$$

Since $f(r_0) = 0$, integrating this gives that

$$(2.50) \quad f(r) \geq 0 \text{ for } r \geq r_0$$

and, thus, $A_1(r) \geq 4\pi$ for $r \geq r_0$. Since we have already established the opposite inequality $A_1(r) \leq 4\pi$, we conclude that

$$(2.51) \quad A_1(r) = 4\pi \text{ and } A_1'(r) = 0 \text{ for all } r \geq r_0.$$

Combining this with (2.48), we see that $B_2(r) = 0$ for all r . Therefore, the trace-free Hessian B of b^2 vanishes identically on all of M . By section one in [ChC1], this implies that M is a cone and, since it is smooth, Euclidean. \square

2.4. An average bound for scalar curvature.

Proof of Corollary 0.6. Theorem 0.3 gives that $A_1(2r) \leq 4\pi$. In particular, we have that

$$(2.52) \quad \int_r^{2r} A_1'(s) ds = A_1(2r) - A_1(r) \leq 4\pi.$$

Therefore, we can choose $s \in [r, 2r]$ with $r A_1'(s) \leq 4\pi$. Applying Proposition 1.7 with this s gives that

$$(2.53) \quad \begin{aligned} 8\pi &\geq 2r A_1'(s) \geq s A_1'(s) \geq A_1(s) - 4\pi + \frac{1}{2s} \int_0^s (S_1(t) + B_2(t)) dt \\ &\geq -4\pi + \frac{1}{2s} \int_0^r S_1(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we see that

$$(2.54) \quad r^{-1} \int_0^r \int_{b=s} S \leq \left(\frac{2s}{r}\right) \frac{1}{2s} \int_0^r S_1 \leq 4(12\pi) = 48\pi.$$

\square

APPENDIX A. LEVEL SETS OF HARMONIC FUNCTIONS

We will recall a few facts about the level sets of a proper harmonic function u that are used in the paper. The starting point is that Sard's theorem gives that almost every level set is regular and, thus, is a smooth closed hypersurface.

Section 2 of [CM1] developed the basics of weighted averages over level sets of harmonic functions using estimates from [Ch, HS]; cf. [CNV, HS, Ln] and proposition 26 in [ChL]. In particular, the integrals of a continuous function over the level sets vary continuously (cf. [CM1], lemma 11 in [ChL]):

If f is a continuous function on $M \setminus \{p\}$, then $\int_{b=s} f$ is continuous in s .

The argument gives a slightly stronger conclusion. It is not necessary for f to be defined on the critical set where $|\nabla u| = 0$, as long as f is continuous off of this set and uniformly bounded on compact subsets of $M \setminus \{p\}$. In particular, the functions $A_1(s)$, $B_1(s)$ and $S_1(s)$ are all continuous in s .

More is true for $A_1(s)$. Namely, using the divergence theorem and co-area formula, lemma 12 in [ChL] shows that $A_1(s)$ is locally Lipschitz in s . Thus, by Lebesgue's theorem (the one-dimensional version of Rademacher's theorem), $A_1(s)$ is differentiable almost everywhere and absolutely continuous (i.e., the fundamental theorem of calculus holds).

Finally, if M has one end and the first betti number vanishes, then the level sets of b are connected by a result of Munteanu-Wang [MW1] (see Lemma 2.2 in [MW2]).

REFERENCES

- [AFM1] V. Agostiniani, M. Fogagnolo, and L. Mazzieri, *Sharp geometric inequalities for closed hypersurfaces in manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature*, Invent. Math. 222 (2020), no. 3, 1033–1101.
- [AFM2] V. Agostiniani, M. Fogagnolo, and L. Mazzieri, *Minkowski inequalities via nonlinear potential theory*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 244 (2022), no. 1, 51–85.
- [AMMO] V. Agostiniani, C. Mantegazza, L. Mazzieri and F. Oronzio, *Riemannian Penrose inequality via Nonlinear Potential Theory*, arXiv:2205.11642
- [AMO] V. Agostiniani, L. Mazzieri and F. Oronzio, *A Green's function proof of the positive mass theorem*, Comm. Math. Phys. 405 (2024), no. 2, Paper No. 54, 23 pp.
- [BFM] L. Benatti, M. Fogagnolo and L. Mazzieri, *Minkowski inequality on complete Riemannian manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature*, Anal. PDE 17 (2024), no. 9, 3039–3077.
- [BKKS] H. Bray, D. Kazaras, M. Khuri, and D. Stern, *Harmonic functions and the mass of 3- dimensional asymptotically flat Riemannian manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal. 32 (2022), no. 6, Paper No. 184, 29 pp.
- [BS] E. Brué and D. Semola, *Regularity of Lagrangian flows over $RCD^*(K, N)$ spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 765 (2020), 171–203.
- [BR] I. Bustamante and M. Reiris, *Deriving Perelman's Entropy from Colding's Monotonic Volume*, <https://arxiv.org/2501.12949>.
- [ChC1] J. Cheeger and T.H. Colding, *Lower bounds on Ricci curvature and the almost rigidity of warped products*. Ann. of Math. (2) 144 (1996), no. 1, 189–237.
- [ChC2] J. Cheeger and T.H. Colding, *On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. I*. J. Differential Geom. 46 (1997), no. 3, 406–480.
- [CNV] J. Cheeger, A. Naber, and D. Valtorta, *Critical sets of elliptic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 68 (2015), no. 2, 173–209.
- [Ch] S.Y. Cheng, *Eigenfunctions and nodal sets*, Comment. Math. Helv. 51 (1976) 43–55.
- [ChY] S.Y. Cheng and S.T. Yau, *Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and their geometric applications*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 28 (1975), no. 3, 333–354
- [ChL] O. Chodosh and C. Li, *Stable minimal hypersurfaces in \mathbf{R}^4* , Acta Math. 233 (2024), no. 1, 1–31.
- [C1] T.H. Colding, *Large manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*. Invent. Math. 124 (1996), no. 1-3, 193–214.

- [C2] T.H. Colding, *Ricci curvature and volume convergence*. Ann. of Math. (2) 145 (1997), no. 3, 477–501.
- [C3] T.H. Colding, *New monotonicity formulas for Ricci curvature and applications*. I. Acta Math. 209 (2012), no. 2, 229–263.
- [CM1] T.H. Colding and W.P. Minicozzi II, *Harmonic functions with polynomial growth*, J. Diff. Geom., v. 46, no. 1 (1997) 1–77.
- [CM2] T.H. Colding and W.P. Minicozzi II, *Large scale behavior of kernels of Schrödinger operators*, AJM 119 (1997), 1355–1398.
- [CM3] T.H. Colding and W.P. Minicozzi II, *Monotonicity and its analytic and geometric implications*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 110 (2013), no. 48, 19233–19236.
- [CM4] T.H. Colding and W.P. Minicozzi II, *Ricci curvature and monotonicity for harmonic functions*. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 49 (2014), no. 3-4, 1045–1059.
- [CM5] T.H. Colding and W.P. Minicozzi II, *On uniqueness of tangent cones for Einstein manifolds*, Invent. Math. 196 (2014), no. 3, 515–588.
- [GV] N. Gigli and I. Violo, *Monotonicity formulas for harmonic functions in $RCD(0, N)$ spaces*, J. Geom. Anal. 33 (2023), no. 3, Paper No. 100.
- [GS] D. Gilbarg and J. Serrin, *On isolated singularities of solutions of second order elliptic differential equations*, J. Anal. Math., 4 (1955/56), 309–340.
- [HS] R. Hardt and L. Simon, *Nodal sets for solutions of elliptic equations*, J. Differential Geom. 30 (1989), no. 2, 505–522.
- [HP] S. Honda and Y. Peng, *Sharp gradient estimate, rigidity and almost rigidity of Green functions on non-parabolic $RCD(0, N)$ spaces*, preprint.
- [JK] J. Jezierski and J. Kijowski, *Positivity of total energy in general relativity*, Phys. Rev. D (3) 36 (1987), no. 4, 1041–1044.
- [Ln] F.-H. Lin, *Nodal sets of solutions of elliptic and parabolic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 44 (1991), no. 3, 287–308.
- [MW1] O. Munteanu and J. Wang, *Comparison theorems for three-dimensional manifolds with scalar curvature bound*, IMRN, 2023(3), 2215–2242.
- [MW2] O. Munteanu and J. Wang, *Geometry of three-dimensional manifolds with scalar curvature lower bound*, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2201.05595.pdf>
- [P] J. Park, *Canonical identification at infinity for Ricci-flat manifolds*. J. Geom. Anal. 32 (2022), no. 1, Paper No. 8, 13 pp.
- [SY] R. Schoen and S-T Yau. *Existence of incompressible minimal surfaces and the topology of three-manifolds of positive scalar curvature*, Ann. Math., 110(1):127–142, 1979.
- [S] D. Stern, *Scalar curvature and harmonic maps to \mathbf{S}^1* , J. Differ. Geom. 122(2), 259–269 (2022).
- [XYZ] C. Xia, J. Yin and X. Zhou, *New monotonicity for p -capacitary functions in 3-manifolds with non-negative scalar curvature*, Advances in Mathematics, 440:109526, 2024.
- [X] G. Xu, *Integral of scalar curvature on non-parabolic manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal. 30 (2020), no. 1, 901–909.
- [Y1] S.T. Yau, *Harmonic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 28 (1975), 201–228.
- [Y2] S.T. Yau, *Open problems in geometry, in: Chern – a great geometer of the twentieth century*, International Press, Hong Kong (1992), 275–319.
- [Z] B. Zhu, *Geometry of positive scalar curvature on complete manifold*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 791 (2022), 225–246.

MIT, DEPT. OF MATH., 77 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139-4307.

Email address: colding@math.mit.edu and minicozz@math.mit.edu