

# LOOP SPACE OF A KÄHLER MANIFOLD

ANAKKAR M.

ABS

## 1. ABSTRACT

We prove that the loop space of a Kähler manifold inherits a Kähler structure. Then we prove that equipped with this natural metric the loop space is complete and unbounded. Additionally, we show that a geodesic on the loop space can be constructed by piecing together geodesics from each individual leaf.

INT

## 2. INTRODUCTION

**2.1. Kählarity of the metric.** Let  $X$  be a  $n$ -dimensional complex Kähler manifold. Denote by  $h$  the Kähler metric and let  $\omega$  be its associated Kähler form, i.e  $\omega = -\text{Im}(h)$ . We consider the Sobolev loop space  $LX = W^{k,2}(\mathbb{S}^1, X)$ . One can define a Hermitian scalar product  $H$  on  $LX$  by

$$H_g(\xi, \eta) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} h_{g(s)}(\xi(s), \eta(s)) ds. \quad (2.1)$$

(herm-m1)

Here  $g \in LX$  and  $\xi, \eta \in T_g(LX) = W^{k,2}(\mathbb{S}^1, g^*TX)$ . The associated with  $H$  Kähler form  $\Omega = -\text{Im}(H)$  writes as

$$\Omega_g(\xi, \eta) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \omega_{g(s)}(\xi(s), \eta(s)) ds. \quad (2.2)$$

(kahler-)

Our goal in this paper is to prove the following.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\xi, \eta$  and  $\nu$  three vector fields on  $LX$ . Then*

$$d\Omega(\xi, \eta, \nu) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} (d\omega)(\xi(s), \eta(s), \nu(s)) ds.$$

**Corollary 1.** *If  $(X, h)$  is Kähler then  $(LX, H)$  is Kähler as well.*

An analogous statement is known in the following two cases.

1. Consider a compact lie group  $G$  with an invariant inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on the lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Define a form on the smooth loop space  $LG = C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^1, G)$  as follows.

$$\Omega(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle \xi(e^{i\theta}), \frac{d\eta}{d\theta}(e^{i\theta}) \rangle d\theta. \quad (2.3)$$

(SKah)

Then it is proved in [S] that  $LG$  equipped with this  $\Omega$  is Kähler.

2. In the Brylinski's book [B] a Kähler form is constructed on an oriented three-manifold. More precisely, by using a volume form  $\nu$  on the three-manifold  $M$  one constructs the Kähler form  $\Omega$  along the loop  $\gamma \in LM$  by

$$\Omega_\gamma(\xi, \eta) = \int_0^1 \nu\left(\frac{d\gamma}{dx}(x), \xi(x), \eta(x)\right) dx \quad (2.4)$$

(BKah)

Notice that the definition (2.3) and (2.4) are different from (2.2).

2.2.  $L\mathbb{P}^1$  versus  $\mathbb{P}(l^2)$ . Next we study two model of infinite dimensional Hilbert complex manifold :  $L\mathbb{P}^1$  and  $\mathbb{P}(l^2)$ . On the one hand, the loop space  $L\mathbb{P}^1$  equipped with the metric defined in (2.1) from the Fubini-Study metric on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  gives us that  $L\mathbb{P}^1$  is unbounded and complete. On the other hand,  $\mathbb{P}(l^2)$  equipped with the Fubini-Study metric is complete and bounded.

2.3. **Geodesics in loop spaces.** On the loop space  $LX$  the natural hermitian metric allow us to define a Levi-Civita connection. We define another connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  on  $LX$  and we prove that this is a connection that is compatible with the metric i.e it is a Levi-Civita connection. By uniqueness of the Levi-Civita connection one can express the geodesic equation by the constructed connection : For a path  $\gamma$  on  $LX$  the geodesic equation is given by  $\tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma} = 0$ . Thus we have the following.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  two loops on  $LX$  and let  $\gamma$  be a path joining them, i.e.*

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{S}^1, \quad \gamma_s(0) = f(s) \text{ and } \gamma_s(1) = g(s).$$

*Then  $\gamma$  is a geodesic in  $LX$  if and only if for all  $s \in \mathbb{S}^1$   $\gamma_s$  is a geodesic on  $X$  joining  $f(s)$  and  $g(s)$ .*

KAH

### 3. KÄLARITY

As it is well known, see [L], for vector fields  $\xi, \eta, \nu : LX \rightarrow T(LX)$  one has:

$$\begin{aligned} d\Omega(\xi, \eta, \nu) &= \xi(\Omega(\eta, \nu)) - \eta(\Omega(\xi, \nu)) + \nu(\Omega(\xi, \eta)) - \\ &\quad - \Omega([\xi, \eta], \nu) - \Omega([\eta, \nu], \xi) + \Omega([\xi, \nu], \eta). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1) \quad \text{(diff-1)}$$

First we compute the term  $\nu(\Omega(\xi, \eta))(g)$  on the right hand side of (3.1). Let us notice the following, see [L]. For a function  $F$  on  $LX$  and  $g \in LX$  denote as  $d_g F$  the De Rham differential of  $F$  at  $g$ . If  $\nu$  is a tangent vector to  $LX$  and  $\nu_g \in T_g(LX) = W^{k,2}(\mathbb{S}^1, g^*TX)$  its value at  $g$  we have

$$\nu(F)(g) = (d_g F)[\nu_g], \quad (3.2) \quad \text{(diff-2)}$$

where  $\nu(F)$  is the derivative of  $F$  along  $\nu$  and  $\nu(F)(g)$  its value at  $g$ . Therefore we have that

$$\nu(\Omega(\xi, \eta))(g) = d_g \left( \Omega(\xi, \eta) \right) [\nu_g]. \quad (3.3) \quad \text{(diff-3)}$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *Under the condition as above one has*

$$d_g \left( \Omega(\xi, \eta) \right) [\nu_g] = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} [d_g (\omega_g(\xi_g, \eta_g))] [\nu_g](s) ds. \quad (3.4) \quad \text{(diff-4)}$$

**Proof.** Consider the linear map  $I : W^{k,2}(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined as

$$I(f) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} f(s) ds. \quad (3.5)$$

Its differential at  $f$  in the direction  $\nu \in W^{k,2}(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C})$  is

$$d_f I[\nu] = \left. \frac{dI(f + t\nu)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} f(s) + t\nu(s) ds \right]_{t=0} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \nu(s) ds. \quad (3.6) \quad \text{(diff-i)}$$

Then for  $g \in LX$  and  $\xi, \eta, \nu \in TLX$  we have

$$d_g (\Omega(\xi, \eta)) [\nu_g] = d_g \left[ \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \omega_{g(s)}(\xi_g(s), \eta_g(s)) ds \right] [\nu_g] = d_g \left( I(\omega_g(\xi_g, \eta_g)) \right) [\nu_g]$$

$$= d_{\omega_g(\xi_g, \eta_g)} I \left( d_g(\omega_g(\xi_g, \eta_g)) [\nu_g] \right) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} [d_g(\omega_g(\xi_g, \eta_g))] [\nu_g](s) ds \quad (3.7)$$

(diff-12)

by (3.6). Here  $g \mapsto \omega_g(\xi_g, \eta_g)$  we consider as a map  $W^{k,2}(\mathbb{S}^1, X) \rightarrow W^{k,2}(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C})$ . Its De Rham differential  $d_g(\omega_g(\xi_g, \eta_g))$  is a 1-form with values in  $W^{k,2}(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C})$ .  $\square$

evD=Dev

**Lemma 3.2.** *Under the condition above one has*

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{S}^1, \quad d_g(\omega_g(\xi_g, \eta_g)) [\nu_g](s) = d_g(\omega_{g(s)}(\xi_g(s), \eta_g(s))) [\nu_g]. \quad (3.8)$$

**Proof.** Let  $s \in \mathbb{S}^1$ . We consider the map  $ev_s : W^{k,2}(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined as  $ev_s(g) = g(s)$ . Its differential  $d_g ev_s : W^{k,2}(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  at  $g$  taken in the direction  $\xi \in T_g(LX) = W^{k,2}(\mathbb{S}^1, g^*TX)$  is  $d_g ev_s[\xi] = \xi(s)$ . In fact, we can write locally

$$d_g ev_s[\xi] = \left. \frac{d(ev_s(g + t\xi))}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{d(g(s) + t\xi(s))}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \xi(s).$$

Let define  $\Theta : LX \rightarrow W^{k,2}(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C})$  by  $\Theta(g) = \omega_g(\xi_g, \eta_g)$  and  $K_s = ev_s \circ \Theta$ , i.e

$$\forall g \in LX, \quad K_s(g) = \omega_{g(s)}(\xi_g(s), \eta_g(s)).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [d_g(\omega_g(\xi_g, \eta_g))] [\nu_g](s) &= d_{\Theta(g)} ev_s [d_g \Theta] [\nu_g] = d_g (ev_s \circ \Theta) [\nu_g] = \\ &= d_g K_s [\nu_g] = d_g(\omega_{g(s)}(\xi_g(s), \eta_g(s))) [\nu_g]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

 $\square$ 

By combining lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 we obtain the following equality.

$$\nu(\Omega(\xi, \eta))(g) = \int_{s \in \mathbb{S}^1} d_g(\omega_{g(s)}(\xi_g(s), \eta_g(s))) [\nu_g] ds. \quad (3.10)$$

(f-diff-)

For the next computation we need the following technical Lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** *For  $s \in \mathbb{S}^1$  we consider the map  $T_s : LX \rightarrow X$  defined as  $T_s(g) = g(s)$ . Then*

$$\forall \xi, \nu \in T_g(LX), \quad d_g T_s[\xi] = \xi(s) \quad \text{and} \quad d_g^2 T_s[\xi, \nu] = 0$$

**Proof.** Let  $s \in \mathbb{S}^1$ . We consider the map  $T_s : LX \rightarrow X$  defined as  $T_s(g) = g(s)$ . Its differential  $d T_s(g) : T_g(LX) \rightarrow T_{g(s)}X$  at  $g$  taken in the direction  $\xi \in T_g(LX) = W^{k,2}(\mathbb{S}^1, g^*TX)$  is

$$d_g T_s[\xi] = \xi(s) \in T_{g(s)}X.$$

Indeed, locally we can write

$$d_g T_s[\xi] = \left. \frac{dT_s(g + t\xi)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{d(g(s) + t\xi(s))}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \xi(s) \in T_{g(s)}X.$$

So we have

$$d_g^2 T_s[\xi, \nu] = \left. \frac{d(d_{g+t\nu} T_s[\xi])}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{d\xi(s)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = 0.$$

 $\square$ 

Take a chart  $(\varphi, U)$  on  $X$  containing  $g(s)$ . In the image  $\varphi(U) = \tilde{U} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\tilde{\omega} = (\varphi^{-1})^* \omega$  is given by

$$\tilde{\omega}_z = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n h_{ij}(z) dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j,$$

where  $(h_{ij})$  is a Hermitian matrix. Then we have the following expression for the term in the integral (3.10).

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{g(s)}(\xi_g(s), \eta_g(s)) &= \tilde{\omega}_{\varphi(g(s))}(d_{g(s)}\varphi[\xi_g(s)], d_{g(s)}\varphi[\eta_g(s)]) \\ &\sum_{i,j=1}^n h_{ij}(\varphi(g(s))) dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j (d_{g(s)}\varphi[\xi_g(s)], d_{g(s)}\varphi[\eta_g(s)]) \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

**Lemma 3.4.** *One has the following expression for the term under the integral in (3.10)*

$$d_g \left( \omega_{g(s)}(\xi_g(s), \eta_g(s)) \right) [\nu_g] = A + B - C.$$

Here

$$A = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial z_k} \nu_k \xi_i \bar{\eta}_j + \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial \bar{z}_k} \bar{\nu}_k \xi_i \bar{\eta}_j - \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial z_k} \nu_k \eta_i \bar{\xi}_j - \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial \bar{z}_k} \bar{\nu}_k \eta_i \bar{\xi}_j; \quad (3.12)$$

As well as

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n h_{ij} d^2 \varphi_i(\xi, \nu) \bar{\eta}_j + h_{ij} d\xi_i[\nu] \bar{\eta}_j + h_{ij} \xi_i d^2 \varphi_j(\eta, \nu) + h_{ij} \xi_i d\bar{\eta}_j[\nu]; \\ C &= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n h_{ij} \overline{d^2 \varphi_j(\xi, \nu)} \eta_i + h_{ij} \overline{d\xi_j[\nu]} \eta_i + h_{ij} \bar{\xi}_j d^2 \varphi_i(\eta, \nu) + h_{ij} \bar{\xi}_j d\eta_i[\nu] \end{aligned}$$

where  $\zeta_l$  stands for  $dz_l d_{g(s)}\varphi[\zeta_g(s)]$  with  $l \in \{i, j, k\}$  and  $\zeta \in \{\xi, \eta, \nu\}$  for simplicity, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_i &= dz_i d_{g(s)}\varphi[\xi_g(s)] & \eta_i &= dz_i d_{g(s)}\varphi[\eta_g(s)] & \nu_i &= dz_i d_{g(s)}\varphi[\nu_g(s)] \\ \xi_j &= dz_j d_{g(s)}\varphi[\xi_g(s)] & \eta_j &= dz_j d_{g(s)}\varphi[\eta_g(s)] & \nu_j &= dz_j d_{g(s)}\varphi[\nu_g(s)] \\ \xi_k &= dz_k d_{g(s)}\varphi[\xi_g(s)] & \eta_k &= dz_k d_{g(s)}\varphi[\eta_g(s)] & \nu_k &= dz_k d_{g(s)}\varphi[\nu_g(s)]. \end{aligned}$$

As well  $d^2 \varphi_l(\zeta, \zeta')$  stands for  $dz_l d_{g(s)}^2 \varphi(\zeta_g(s), \zeta'_g(s))$  and  $d\zeta_l[\zeta']$  stands for  $dz_l d_{g(s)}\varphi d_g \zeta[\zeta'](s)$  with  $\zeta, \zeta' \in \{\xi, \eta, \nu\}$  and  $l \in \{i, j, k\}$ ;

**Proof.** Write  $\omega_{g(s)}(\xi_g(s), \eta_g(s)) = (\varphi^* \tilde{\omega})_{g(s)}(\xi_g(s), \eta_g(s)) =$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \tilde{\omega}_{\varphi(T_s(g))}(d_{T_s(g)}\varphi[d_g T_s[\xi_g]], d_{T_s(g)}\varphi[d_g T_s[\eta_g]]) \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n h_{ij}(\varphi(T_s(g))) dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j \left( d_{T_s(g)}\varphi[d_g T_s[\xi_g]], d_{T_s(g)}\varphi[d_g T_s[\eta_g]] \right) \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n h_{ij}(\varphi(T_s(g))) dz_i \left( d_{T_s(g)}\varphi[d_g T_s[\xi_g]] \right) d\bar{z}_j \left( d_{T_s(g)}\varphi[d_g T_s[\eta_g]] \right) - \end{aligned} \quad (3.13) \quad \boxed{\text{(firstsum)}}$$

$$- \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n h_{ij}(\varphi(T_s(g))) d\bar{z}_j \left( d_{T_s(g)}\varphi[d_g T_s[\xi_g]] \right) dz_i \left( d_{T_s(g)}\varphi[d_g T_s[\eta_g]] \right) \quad (3.14) \quad \boxed{\text{(secondsum)}}$$

For the first sum (3.13) we have to differentiate with respect to  $g$  a product of three functions (the second sum (3.14) is computed similarly):

- (1)  $D_{ij} : g \mapsto h_{ij}(\varphi(T_s(g)))$ .
- (2)  $E_i : g \mapsto dz_i \left( d_{T_s(g)}\varphi[d_g T_s[\xi_g]] \right)$ .
- (3)  $F_j : g \mapsto d\bar{z}_j \left( d_{T_s(g)}\varphi[d_g T_s[\eta_g]] \right)$ .

Using the chain rule on the differentiation by  $g$  on the direction  $\zeta \in T_g(LX)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad & d_g h_{ij}(\varphi(T_s(g)))[\zeta] = \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial z_k}(\varphi(T_s(g))) dz_k [d_{T_s(g)}\varphi[d_g T_s[\zeta]]] + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial \bar{z}_k}(\varphi(T_s(g))) d\bar{z}_k [d_{T_s(g)}\varphi[d_g T_s[\zeta]]] \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial z_k}(\varphi(g(s))) dz_k [d_{g(s)}\varphi[\zeta(s)]] + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial \bar{z}_k}(\varphi(g(s))) d\bar{z}_k [d_{g(s)}\varphi[\zeta(s)]] \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial z_k} d_{g(s)}\varphi_k[\zeta(s)] + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial \bar{z}_k} \overline{d_{g(s)}\varphi_k[\zeta(s)]} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial z_k} \zeta_k + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial \bar{z}_k} \bar{\zeta}_k.
\end{aligned}$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
d_g \left( dz_i \left( (d\varphi)_{T_s(g)}(dT_s)_g \xi_g \right) \right) [\zeta] &= dz_i (d_{T_s(g)}^2 \varphi(d_g T_s[\xi_g], d_g T_s[\zeta]) + d_{T_s(g)}\varphi[d_g T_s[d_g \xi[\zeta]])] \\
&= dz_i (d_{g(s)}^2 \varphi(\xi_g(s), \zeta(s)) + d_{g(s)}\varphi[d_g \xi[\zeta(s)]]) \\
&= d_{g(s)}^2 \varphi_i(\xi_g(s), \zeta(s)) + d_{g(s)}\varphi_i[d_g \xi[\zeta(s)]] \\
&= d^2 \varphi_i(\xi, \zeta) + d\xi_i[\zeta]
\end{aligned}$$

(3) By the same way as 2,

$$\begin{aligned}
d_g d\bar{z}_j \left( d_{T_s(g)}\varphi[d_g T_s[\eta_g]] \right) [\zeta] &= d\bar{z}_j (d_{T_s(g)}^2 \varphi(d_g T_s[\eta_g], d_g T_s[\zeta]) + d_{T_s(g)}\varphi[d_g T_s[d_g \eta[\zeta]])] \\
&= d\bar{z}_j (d_{g(s)}^2 \varphi(\eta_g(s), \zeta(s)) + d_{g(s)}\varphi[d_g \eta[\zeta]](s)) \\
&= \overline{d_{g(s)}^2 \varphi_j(\eta_g(s), \zeta(s))} + \overline{d_{g(s)}\varphi_j[d_g \eta[\zeta]](s)} \\
&= \overline{d^2 \varphi_j(\eta, \zeta)} + \overline{d\eta_j[\zeta]}
\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_g \left( h_{ij}(\varphi(T_s(g))) dz_i \left( d_{T_s(g)}\varphi[d_g T_s[\xi_g]] \right) d\bar{z}_j \left( d_{T_s(g)}\varphi[d_g T_s[\eta_g]] \right) \right) = \\
&= d_g h_{ij}(\varphi(T_s(g)))[\zeta] dz_i \left( d_{T_s(g)}\varphi[d_g T_s[\xi_g]] \right) d\bar{z}_j \left( d_{T_s(g)}\varphi[d_g T_s[\eta_g]] \right) + \\
&+ h_{ij}(\varphi(T_s(g))) d_g \left( dz_i \left( d_{T_s(g)}\varphi[d_g T_s[\xi_g]] \right) \right) [\zeta] d\bar{z}_j \left( d_{T_s(g)}\varphi[d_g T_s[\eta_g]] \right) + \\
&+ h_{ij}(\varphi(T_s(g))) dz_i \left( d_{T_s(g)}\varphi[d_g T_s[\xi_g]] \right) d_g \left( d\bar{z}_j \left( d_{T_s(g)}\varphi[d_g T_s[\eta_g]] \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Then we compute

$$d_g(D_{ij}E_i F_j) = d_g D_{ij} E_i F_j + D_{ij} d_g E_i F_j + D_{ij} E_i d_g F_j. \quad (3.15) \quad \boxed{\text{(dgDEF)}}$$

Summing all the terms we obtain our Lemma.  $\square$ 

According to (3.1) and (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d\Omega(\xi, \eta, \nu) &= \xi(\Omega(\eta, \nu)) - \eta(\Omega(\xi, \nu)) + \nu(\Omega(\xi, \eta)) - \Omega([\xi, \eta], \nu) - \Omega([\eta, \nu], \xi) + \Omega([\xi, \nu], \eta) \\
&= \int_{s \in \mathbb{S}^1} d(\omega_{g(s)}(\eta_g(s), \nu_g(s)))\xi_g - d(\omega_{g(s)}(\xi_g(s), \nu_g(s)))\eta_g + d(\omega_{g(s)}(\xi_g(s), \eta_g(s)))\nu_g - \\
&\quad - \omega_{g(s)}([\xi, \eta](s), \nu(s)) - \omega_{g(s)}([\eta, \nu](s), \xi(s)) + \omega_{g(s)}([\xi, \nu](s), \eta(s)) ds \quad (3.16) \quad \boxed{\text{(diff-5)}}
\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.1.** For every  $s \in \mathbb{S}^1$  the expression under the integral (3.16) is equal to  $(d\omega)_{g(s)}(\xi_g(s), \eta_g(s), \nu_g(s))$ , i.e.

$$d\Omega(\xi, \eta, \nu) = \int_{s \in \mathbb{S}^1} (d\omega)_{g(s)}(\xi_g(s), \eta_g(s), \nu_g(s)) ds.$$

**Proof.** Computing every terms of (3.16) as in the previous lemma we obtain (the terms in the corresponding brackets cancel out)

$$\begin{aligned} d(\omega_{g(s)}(\xi_g(s), \eta_g(s)))\nu_g &= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial z_k} \nu_k \xi_i \bar{\eta}_j + \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial \bar{z}_k} \bar{\nu}_k \xi_i \bar{\eta}_j - \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial z_k} \nu_k \eta_i \bar{\xi}_j - \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial \bar{z}_k} \bar{\nu}_k \eta_i \bar{\xi}_j \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \underbrace{h_{ij} d^2 \varphi_i(\xi, \nu) \bar{\eta}_j}_1 + \underbrace{h_{ij} d\xi_i[\nu] \bar{\eta}_j}_A + \underbrace{h_{ij} \xi_i d^2 \varphi_j(\eta, \nu)}_2 + \underbrace{h_{ij} \xi_i d\eta_j[\nu]}_B \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n - \underbrace{h_{ij} d^2 \varphi_j(\xi, \nu) \eta_i}_3 - \underbrace{h_{ij} d\xi_j[\nu] \eta_i}_H - \underbrace{h_{ij} \bar{\xi}_j d^2 \varphi_i(\eta, \nu)}_4 - \underbrace{h_{ij} \bar{\xi}_j d\eta_i[\nu]}_D \end{aligned}$$

The same as previous, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} d(\omega_{g(s)}(\eta_g(s), \nu_g(s)))\xi_g &= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial z_k} \xi_k \eta_i \bar{\nu}_j + \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial \bar{z}_k} \bar{\xi}_k \eta_i \bar{\nu}_j - \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial z_k} \xi_k \nu_i \bar{\eta}_j - \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial \bar{z}_k} \bar{\xi}_k \nu_i \bar{\eta}_j \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \underbrace{h_{ij} d^2 \varphi_i(\eta, \xi) \bar{\nu}_j}_5 + \underbrace{h_{ij} d\eta_i[\xi] \bar{\nu}_j}_C + \underbrace{h_{ij} \eta_i d^2 \varphi_j(\nu, \xi)}_3 + \underbrace{h_{ij} \eta_i d\nu_j[\xi]}_I \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n - \underbrace{h_{ij} d^2 \varphi_j(\eta, \xi) \nu_i}_6 - \underbrace{h_{ij} d\eta_j[\xi] \nu_i}_J - \underbrace{h_{ij} \bar{\eta}_j d^2 \varphi_i(\nu, \xi)}_1 - \underbrace{h_{ij} \bar{\eta}_j d\nu_i[\xi]}_G \end{aligned}$$

and the third term

$$\begin{aligned} d(\omega_{g(s)}(\xi_g(s), \nu_g(s)))\eta_g &= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial z_k} \eta_k \xi_i \bar{\nu}_j + \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial \bar{z}_k} \bar{\eta}_k \xi_i \bar{\nu}_j - \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial z_k} \eta_k \nu_i \bar{\xi}_j - \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial \bar{z}_k} \bar{\eta}_k \nu_i \bar{\xi}_j \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \underbrace{h_{ij} d^2 \varphi_i(\xi, \eta) \bar{\nu}_j}_5 + \underbrace{h_{ij} d\xi_i[\eta] \bar{\nu}_j}_E + \underbrace{h_{ij} \xi_i d^2 \varphi_j(\nu, \eta)}_2 + \underbrace{h_{ij} \xi_i d\nu_j[\eta]}_L \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n - \underbrace{h_{ij} d^2 \varphi_j(\xi, \eta) \nu_i}_6 - \underbrace{h_{ij} d\xi_j[\eta] \nu_i}_K - \underbrace{h_{ij} \bar{\xi}_j d^2 \varphi_i(\nu, \eta)}_4 - \underbrace{h_{ij} \bar{\xi}_j d\nu_i[\eta]}_F \end{aligned}$$

The commutator terms

$$\omega_{g(s)}([\xi, \eta](s), \nu(s)) = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \underbrace{h_{ij} d\eta_i[\xi] \bar{\nu}_j}_C - \underbrace{h_{ij} d\xi_i[\eta] \bar{\nu}_j}_E - \underbrace{h_{ij} d\eta_j[\xi] \nu_i}_J + \underbrace{h_{ij} d\xi_j[\eta] \nu_i}_K \quad (3.17)$$

$$\omega_{g(s)}([\eta, \nu](s), \xi(s)) = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \underbrace{h_{ij} d\nu_i[\eta] \bar{\xi}_j}_F - \underbrace{h_{ij} d\eta_i[\nu] \bar{\xi}_j}_D - \underbrace{h_{ij} d\nu_j[\eta] \xi_i}_L + \underbrace{h_{ij} d\eta_j[\nu] \xi_i}_B \quad (3.18)$$

$$\omega_{g(s)}([\xi, \nu](s), \eta(s)) = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \underbrace{h_{ij} d\nu_i[\xi] \bar{\eta}_j}_G - \underbrace{h_{ij} d\xi_i[\nu] \bar{\eta}_j}_A - \underbrace{h_{ij} d\nu_j[\xi] \eta_i}_I + \underbrace{h_{ij} d\xi_j[\nu] \eta_i}_H \quad (3.19)$$

Then computing the sum according to the sign in (3.16) gives us

$$d\Omega(\xi, \eta, \nu) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} (d\omega)(\xi(s), \eta(s), \nu(s)) ds$$

□

Then we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.1.** *If  $(X, h)$  is Kähler then  $(LX, H)$  is Kähler as well.*

**Proof.** Since  $d\omega = 0$  then  $d\Omega = 0$ .

□

ex-LP1

#### 4. $L\mathbb{P}^1$ VERSUS $\mathbb{P}(l^2)$ MODEL.

Let us consider the loop space  $L\mathbb{P}^1 = W^{1,2}(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{P}^1)$  on the Riemann sphere. Let  $h$  be the Hermitian metric associated to the Fubini-Study metric. We can endow  $L\mathbb{P}^1$  by the Hermitian metric constructed over the Fubini-Study metric defined as (2.1). By completeness of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  the Hilbert manifold  $L\mathbb{P}^1$  is complete. Let us prove that it is not bounded. Consider two loops  $f, g \in L\mathbb{P}^1$  defined by  $f : e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^1 \mapsto [1 : 0]$  and  $g : e^{i\theta} \mapsto [\cos(n\theta) : \sin(n\theta)] = [1 : \tan(n\theta)]$ . The geodesic joining  $f$  and  $g$  may not exist since  $LX$  is infinite dimensional, see [L2]. Nevertheless we can compute the geodesic distance by taking the infimum over the paths joining  $f$  and  $g$ . Let consider such a path  $\gamma$ , i.e.

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{S}^1, \quad \gamma_s(0) = f(s) \text{ and } \gamma_s(1) = g(s).$$

The length of the path  $L(\gamma)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L(\gamma) &= \int_0^1 \sqrt{H_{\gamma(t)}(\dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))} dt = \int_0^1 \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{s \in \mathbb{S}^1} h_{\gamma_s(t)}(\dot{\gamma}_s(t), \dot{\gamma}_s(t)) ds} dt \\ &\geq \int_0^1 \int_{s \in \mathbb{S}^1} \sqrt{h_{\gamma_s(t)}(\dot{\gamma}_s(t), \dot{\gamma}_s(t))} ds dt \quad (\text{by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality}) \\ &= \int_{s \in \mathbb{S}^1} \int_0^1 \sqrt{h_{\gamma_s(t)}(\dot{\gamma}_s(t), \dot{\gamma}_s(t))} ds dt = \int_{s \in \mathbb{S}^1} l(\gamma_s) ds \end{aligned}$$

where  $l(\gamma_s)$  stands for the length of the path  $\gamma_s$  joining  $f(s)$  to  $g(s)$  in  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Let denote by  $\text{dist}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$  the distance on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  given by the Fubini-Study metric  $h$ . We have that

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{S}^1, \quad l(\gamma_s) \geq \text{dist}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(f(s), g(s)).$$

Then we can finish the computing.

$$\begin{aligned}
L(\gamma) &\geq \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \text{dist}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(f(s), g(s)) ds = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\theta=0}^{2\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{|\tan(n\theta)|}{1+t^2(\tan(n\theta))^2} dt d\theta \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{4n-1} \int_{\frac{k\pi}{2n}}^{\frac{(k+1)\pi}{2n}} \int_0^1 \frac{|\tan(n\theta)|}{1+t^2(\tan(n\theta))^2} dt d\theta \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{4n-1} \int_{\frac{k\pi}{2n}}^{\frac{(k+1)\pi}{2n}} \int_0^1 \frac{(-1)^k \tan(n\theta)}{1+t^2(\tan(n\theta))^2} dt d\theta \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{4n-1} \int_{\frac{k\pi}{2n}}^{\frac{(k+1)\pi}{2n}} (-1)^k \arctan(\tan(n\theta)) d\theta \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{4n-1} \frac{\pi}{2} = \frac{2n\pi}{2\pi} = n
\end{aligned}$$

By taking the infimum length  $L(\gamma)$  over all the paths  $\gamma$  in  $L\mathbb{P}^1$  joining  $f$  to  $g$  we obtain

$$\text{dist}_{L\mathbb{P}^1}(f, g) \geq n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} +\infty \quad (4.1)$$

So  $L\mathbb{P}^1$  is not bounded.

Now let us study the manifold  $\mathbb{P}(l^2)$ . Endowed with its Fubini-Study metric,  $\mathbb{P}(l^2)$  is bounded. Recall the Fubini-Study form in  $\mathbb{P}(l^2)$

$$\omega = \frac{i}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\|w\|^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} dw_k \wedge d\bar{w}_k - \frac{1}{\|w\|^4} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \bar{w}_k dw_k \wedge \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_k d\bar{w}_k \right) = dd^c \ln(\|w\|^2)$$

where  $w$  are homogeneous coordinates. The unitary group  $\mathcal{U}(l^2) = \{L \in \mathcal{L}(l^2) \mid LL^* = \text{Id}\}$  acts holomorphically and transitively on  $\mathbb{P}(l^2)$ . Moreover  $\mathcal{U}(l^2)$  preserves the Fubini-Study form. Take two points  $[p]$  and  $[q]$  in  $\mathbb{P}(l^2)$  and consider a chart  $\mathcal{U}$  that contains these two points. One can suppose that  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_0$  and  $\|p\| = 1$ .

Consider an orthonormal basis of  $\text{Vect}(p, q)^\perp$  denoted by  $(v_j)_{j \in \llbracket 3, +\infty \rrbracket}$  and use the Gram-Schmidt algorithm on  $p$  and  $q$ . Then  $v_1 = p$  and  $v_2 = \alpha(q - \langle q, p \rangle p)$  with  $\alpha = \|q - \langle q, p \rangle p\|^{-1}$ . Therefore  $(v_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  is an orthonormal Hilbert basis of  $l^2$ . Let  $(e_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  be the canonical basis of  $l^2$  and then we can define  $L \in \mathcal{L}(l^2)$  by  $L(e_i) = v_j$ .  $L$  is unitary and  $L^{-1}(q) = \gamma e_2 + \delta e_1$ . In  $\mathbb{P}(l^2)$  coordinates  $L^* \cdot [p] = [L^*p] = [1 : 0 : \dots]$  and  $L^* \cdot [q] = [L^*q] = [\gamma : \delta : 0 : \dots] = [1 : \frac{\delta}{\gamma} : 0 : \dots]$  if  $\gamma \neq 0$ . The case  $\gamma = 0$  means that  $p$  and  $q$  are orthogonal. Then we can take a sequence of points  $(q_n)_n$  non orthogonal to  $p$  and converging to  $q$ . Then we replace  $q$  by  $q_n$  in the following and compute the limit at the end.

Therefore in  $\mathcal{U}_0$  we brought  $p$  to the origin and  $q$  in  $\text{Vect}(e_1)$ . Consider the path  $\gamma$  defined by  $\gamma(t) = t$  for  $t \in [0, R]$  where  $\gamma(R) = q$ . On the chart  $\mathcal{U}_0$  one has  $\omega_0 = dd^c \ln(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |z_k^0|^2)$ .

$$\text{dist}([p], [q]) = \int_0^R \sqrt{\omega_{\gamma(t)}(\gamma'(t), \gamma'(t))} dt = \int_0^R \sqrt{\frac{1}{1+t^2} - \frac{t^2}{(1+t^2)^2}} dt = \int_0^R \frac{dt}{1+t^2}$$

Then  $\text{dist}(p, q) = \arctan(R) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ . So  $\mathbb{P}(l^2)$  is bounded.



Second, we remark that  $\mathbb{P}(l^2)$  is complete. Take  $([w_n])_n$  a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{P}(l^2)$ . Then there exists  $n_0$  such that for every  $n, m \geq n_0$  the distance  $\text{dist}([w_n], [w_m]) < \frac{1}{4}$ . Therefore for every  $n \geq n_0$  the point  $[w_n]$  is in the ball  $B_{\mathbb{P}(l^2)}([w_{n_0}], \frac{1}{4})$  of  $\mathbb{P}(l^2)$ . Since the distance is less than  $1/4$  the sequence  $([w_n])_{n \geq n_0}$  is in the same chart and we can suppose that the chart is  $(\mathcal{U}_0, h_0)$ . Let denote  $[w_n] = [1 : \tilde{w}_n]$ . Therefore  $h_0(B_{\mathbb{P}(l^2)}([w_{n_0}], \frac{1}{4}))$  is an open ball of  $l^2$  that is complete and the sequence  $h_0([w_n])$  converge to some  $w$ . Consider then  $h_0^{-1}(w) = [1 : w] \in \mathbb{P}(l^2)$ . Then consider  $n$  such that the path  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(l^2)$  defined by  $\gamma(t) = [1 : wt + (1-t)\tilde{w}_n]$  does not pass to  $[1 : 0 : \dots]$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\gamma(t)}(\gamma'(t), \gamma'(t)) &= \frac{\|\gamma(t)\|^2 \|\gamma'(t)\| - \langle \gamma(t), \gamma'(t) \rangle \langle \gamma'(t), \gamma(t) \rangle}{\|\gamma(t)\|^4} \\ &= \frac{1}{\|\gamma(t)\|^4} \langle \|\gamma(t)\|^2 \gamma'(t) - \langle \gamma'(t), \gamma(t) \rangle \gamma(t), \gamma'(t) \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{\|\|\gamma(t)\|^2 \gamma'(t) - \langle \gamma'(t), \gamma(t) \rangle \gamma(t)\|}{\|\gamma(t)\|^4} \|\gamma'(t)\| \leq 2 \frac{\|\gamma'(t)\|^2}{\|\gamma(t)\|^2} \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

one can write  $\text{dist}([1 : \tilde{w}_n], [1 : w]) \leq C \|\tilde{w}_n - w\|$  where  $C > 0$ . Therefore the sequence  $(w_n)_n$  converges to  $[1 : w]$  in  $\mathbb{P}(l^2)$  and it is complete.

DIS-LOOP

## 5. DISTANCE ON THE LOOP SPACE

Let us consider  $X$  a finite dimensional complex Kähler manifold with  $h$  its Hermitian metric and  $\nabla$  the unique connection compatible with  $h$  (the Levi-Civita connection). In this context, a path  $\gamma$  is a geodesic if it satisfies the geodesic equation

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} \dot{\gamma}(t) = 0. \quad (5.1)$$

Let  $g \in LX$ . For a vector field  $\xi$  on  $LX$  and a smooth function  $\alpha$  on  $LX$ , there exist an induced vector field  $\hat{\xi}$  on  $X$  along  $g$  and a smooth function  $\hat{\alpha}$  on  $X$  such that

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{S}^1, \hat{\xi}(g(s)) = \xi_g(s) \text{ and } \hat{\alpha}(g(s)) = \alpha(g). \quad (5.2)$$

Then we can define a connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  on the loop space  $LX$  by the following. For two vector fields  $\xi$  and  $\nu$ , we have  $\tilde{\nabla}_\nu \xi : LX \rightarrow T(LX)$  such that

$$\forall g \in LX, \tilde{\nabla}_\nu \xi(g) = \left( s \mapsto \nabla_{\hat{\nu}} \hat{\xi}(g(s)) \right) \in T_g(LX) = W^{k,2}(\mathbb{S}^1, g^*TX) \quad (5.3)$$

**Proposition 5.1.**  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is a connection on  $LX$ .

**Proof.** Let  $g \in LX$ . For any smooth function  $\alpha$  and vector fields  $\xi, \eta$  on  $LX$

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{S}^1, \left( \tilde{\nabla}_{\alpha \xi} \eta \right)_g(s) = \nabla_{\hat{\alpha} \hat{\xi}} \hat{\eta}(g(s)) = \hat{\alpha}(g(s)) \nabla_{\hat{\xi}} \hat{\eta}(g(s)) = \alpha(g) \left( \tilde{\nabla}_\xi \eta \right)_g(s)$$

It satisfies the Leibniz rule: Let  $g \in LX$ . For all  $s \in \mathbb{S}^1$

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \tilde{\nabla}_\xi(\alpha \eta) \right)_g(s) &= \nabla_{\hat{\xi}}(\hat{\alpha} \hat{\eta})(g(s)) = d_{g(s)} \hat{\alpha} \left[ \hat{\xi}(g(s)) \right] \hat{\eta}(g(s)) + \hat{\alpha}(g(s)) \nabla_{\hat{\xi}} \hat{\eta}(g(s)) \\ &= d_g \alpha[\xi_g] \eta_g(s) + \alpha(g) \left( \tilde{\nabla}_\xi \eta \right)_g(s) \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 5.2.** The connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  satisfies the two following properties.

- (1)  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is compatible with the metric  $H$  defined in (2.1).
- (2)  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is torsion free, i.e.  $\nabla_\xi \eta - \nabla_\eta \xi - [\xi, \eta] = 0$ .

**Proof.**

(1) For  $g \in LX$  and  $\xi, \eta, \nu$  vector fields on  $LX$

$$\begin{aligned} H_g(\tilde{\nabla}_\nu \xi, \eta) + H_g(\xi, \tilde{\nabla}_\nu \eta) &= \int_{s \in \mathbb{S}^1} h_{g(s)} \left( \nabla_{\hat{\nu}} \hat{\xi}(g(s)), \eta_g(s) \right) + h_{g(s)} \left( \xi_g(s), \nabla_{\hat{\nu}} \hat{\eta}(g(s)) \right) ds \\ &= \int_{s \in \mathbb{S}^1} \hat{\nu} \left( h_{g(s)}(\xi_g(s), \eta_g(s)) \right) (g(s)) ds = \int_{s \in \mathbb{S}^1} \nu \left( h_{g(s)}(\xi_g(s), \eta_g(s)) \right) (g) ds \\ &= \nu(H(\xi, \eta))(g) \quad \text{by (3.4)} \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

(2) For  $g \in LX$  and for all  $s \in \mathbb{S}^1$

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_\xi \eta)_g(s) - (\tilde{\nabla}_\eta \xi)_g(s) - [\xi, \eta]_g(s) = \nabla_{\hat{\xi}} \hat{\eta}(g(s)) - \nabla_{\hat{\eta}} \hat{\xi}(g(s)) - [\hat{\xi}, \hat{\eta}](g(s)) = 0$$

Since  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection on  $X$ . □

By the fundamental theorem of riemannian geometry,  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is the Levi-Civita connection on  $LX$ . Thus we can state the following result.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  two loops on  $LX$  and let  $\gamma$  be a path joining them, i.e.*

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{S}^1, \quad \gamma_s(0) = f(s) \text{ and } \gamma_s(1) = g(s).$$

*$\gamma$  is a geodesic on  $LX$  if and only if for all  $s \in \mathbb{S}^1$ ,  $\gamma_s$  is a geodesic on  $X$  joining  $f(s)$  and  $g(s)$ .*

**Proof.** The path  $\gamma$  satisfies the geodesic equation :

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} \dot{\gamma}(t) = 0 \quad \iff \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{S}^1, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_s(t)} \dot{\gamma}_s(t) = 0$$
□

## REFERENCES

- |   |       |   |
|---|-------|---|
| <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">L</span>   | [L]   | LANG S.: <i>Differential Manifolds</i> , Springer, 1985.  |
| <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">L2</span>  | [L2]  | LANG S. <i>Fundamentals of Differential Geometry</i> , Vol. 191. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1999, pp. xviii+535.   |
| <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">B</span>   | [B]   | BRYLINSKI J-L.: <i>Loop Spaces, Characteristic Classes and Geometric Quantization</i> , Birkhäuser Boston (2007).   |
| <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">BN</span>  | [BN]  | O'NEILL B.: <i>Calculus on surface</i> , in Elementary Differential Geometry Second Edition, (2006)   |
| <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">GH</span>  | [GH]  | GRIFFITHS, P., HARRIS J.: <i>Principles of algebraic geometry</i> , John Wiley & Sons , New York (1978).  |
| <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">S</span>   | [S]   | SERGEEV A. <i>Kähler Geometry of loop spaces</i> , in Handbook of Geometric Analysis, Vol. 2, edited by Lizhen Ji, Peter Li, Richard Schoen, and Leon Simon, International Press, (2010). |
| <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Mil</span> | [Mil] | MILNOR J., SPIVAK M., WELLS R. <i>Morse Theory</i> , Princeton University Press. (AM-51), Volume 51. (1969)   |