

# Convexification With Viscosity Term for an Inverse Problem of Tikhonov

Michael V. Klibanov

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

University of North Carolina at Charlotte, Charlotte, NC 28223, USA

Email: mklibanv@charlotte.edu

## Abstract

In 1965 A.N. Tikhonov, the founder of the theory of Ill-Posed and Inverse Problems, has posed an coefficient inverse problem of the recovery of the unknown electric conductivity coefficient from measurements of the back reflected electrical signal. In the geophysical application targeted by Tikhonov, this coefficient depends only on the depth and characterizes the electrical conductivity of the ground. The goal of this paper is to construct for this problem a version of the globally convergent convexification numerical method for this problem. In this version, the viscosity term is introduced. A Carleman estimate allows to prove global convergence of this method.

**Key Words:** electric conductivity of the ground, geophysical applications, coefficient inverse problem, convexification, viscosity term, Carleman estimate, global strong convexity, global convergence

**2020 MSC codes:** 35R30, 65J15, 65N21, 86A22, 86A25

## 1 Introduction

The goal of this paper is to construct a globally convergent numerical method for a 1d Coefficient Inverse Problem (CIP) and carry out its convergence analysis. This CIP has an application in geophysics, as was first noticed in 1965 by A.N. Tikhonov [26], the founder of the theory of Ill-Posed and Inverse Problems. This is the problem of the recovery of the spatial dependence of the electrical conductivity of the medium under the ground surface from measurements of the backscattering electric field on that surface. In [26], so as in this paper, the electric conductivity coefficient  $\sigma(z)$  is assumed to be dependent only on the depth  $z > 0$ . This is a reasonable assumption in many geophysical applications. Tikhonov has proven uniqueness theorem of this CIP under the assumption that  $\sigma(z)$  is a piecewise analytic function [26].

CIPs are both ill-posed and nonlinear. Conventional numerical methods for CIPs are based on the minimization of least squares mismatch functionals: we refer to, e.g. [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 23, 24] and references cited therein for these methods. However, due to the ill-posedness and the nonlinearity of CIPs, these functionals are non convex. The non convexity, in turn causes the well known phenomenon of multiple local minima and ravines of these functionals, see, e.g. [25] for a numerical example of multiple local minima for a 1d CIP for a hyperbolic PDE. Gradient-like methods of the minimization of the least squares cost functionals for CIPs can stop at any point of a local minimum. Therefore, convergence of such a method to the correct solution of a CIP can be rigorously guaranteed only if its starting point is located in a sufficiently small neighborhood of this solution. In other words, a good first guess about the solution needs to be available. However, it is unclear in many applications how to obtain such a guess.

**Definition.** *We call a numerical method for a CIP globally convergent, if a theorem is proven, which claims its convergence to the true solution of that problem without any advanced knowledge of a small neighborhood of this solution.*

The author has originally proposed the convexification concept in [12] with the goal to avoid the phenomenon of local minima and to obtain this way a general concept of globally convergent numerical methods for CIPs. Each CIP requires its own version of the convexification method. Currently various versions of the convexification concept are developed for CIPs for three main types of PDEs of the second order: elliptic, parabolic and hyperbolic ones as well as for the radiative transport equation, see, e.g. [13, 14, 15, 16, 18] and references cited therein. In particular, the book [14] contains results obtained prior its publication in 2021. The second generation of the convexification method was developed in [1, 2, 3]. The convexification works only for formally determined CIPs, in which the number  $m$  of independent variables in the input data equals the number  $n$  of independent variables in the unknown coefficient,  $m = n$ . In our case  $m = n = 1$ .

We introduce the viscosity term in our numerical scheme and develop the convexification method for this case. The viscosity term was previously used for the convexification method in [17] to numerically solve the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. In [18] this term was used for the convexification method for a CIP for the radiative transport equation. Finally, in [19] the viscosity term was used to solve the so-called Lavrent'ev integral equation via the variational quasi-reversibility method, which is the linear version of the convexification method.

The convexification method consists of two steps. On the first step, called “transformation”, the CIP is transformed to a boundary value problem (BVP) for such a PDE (or a system of PDEs), which does not contain the unknown coefficient. Both Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions are given for this BVP. This BVP is solved on the second step. On this step a weighted Tikhonov-like functional is constructed. The weight is the Carleman Weight Function (CWF). This is the function, which is involved as the weight in the Carleman estimate for the corresponding PDE operator, see, e.g. books [14, 22] for Carleman estimates. The key theorem of our convergence analysis claims that this functional is strongly convex on a convex bounded set of an appropriate Hilbert space. Since a smallness condition is not imposed on the diameter  $d > 0$  of this set, then this is the global strong convexity. The final theorem of the convergence analysis claims the convergence to the true solution of the CIP of the gradient descent method of the minimization of that functional, as long as its starting point is located on the subset of that set, whose diameter is  $d/6$  and the noise level in the data tends to zero. Again, since a

smallness condition is not imposed on  $d$ , then this is the global convergence, as defined above. Explicit convergence rates are also derived.

In section 2 we state both forward and inverse problems. In section 3 we describe our transformation procedure and construct the above mentioned functional. In section 4 construct our convexified functional. In section 5 we formulate theorems of our convergence analysis. These theorems are proven in section 6 and 7.

## 2 Forward and Inverse Problems

Let the number  $T > 0$ . For  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  let  $C^\alpha(\mathbb{R})$  and  $C^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])$  be Hölder spaces [20, 21]. For  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  let  $\{z < 0\}$  be the air and  $\{z > 0\}$  be the ground. Let the electric conductivity coefficient  $\sigma(z)$  has the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(z) &\in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}), \\ \sigma(z) &\geq 1, \forall z \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \sigma(z) &= 1 \text{ for } z \in \{z < 0\} \cup \{z > Z\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where the number  $Z > 0$ . Let  $t > 0$  be time and let the function  $u(z, t)$  be the voltage in a layered medium.

Then  $u(z, t)$  solves the following problem [26]

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(z) u_t &= u_{zz}, z \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0, \\ u(z, 0) &= \delta(z). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

We refer to [20, §11-§13 of Chapter 4] for such a problem for a general parabolic operator of the second order. In particular, existence and uniqueness of the solution

$$u \in C^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}((\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]) \setminus \{|z| < \varepsilon, t \in (0, \varepsilon)\}), \quad \forall T > 0.$$

of problem (2.2) follows from this reference, where  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  is an arbitrary number.

**Coefficient Inverse Problem 1 (CIP1).** Assume that the function  $\sigma(z)$  satisfies conditions (2.1) and the following function  $f(t)$  is known for all  $t > 0$ :

$$u_z(0, t) = f(t). \quad (2.3)$$

Find the function  $\sigma(z)$  for  $z \in (0, Z)$ .

Since we work below only with the Laplace transform of the function  $u(z, t)$ , then it is convenient to reformulate CIP1 for the case of the Laplace transform. For  $k > 0$ , let

$$v(z, k) = \int_0^\infty u(z, t) e^{-kt} dt \quad (2.4)$$

be the Laplace transform of the function  $u(z, t)$ . Let  $g(k)$  be the Laplace transform (2.4) of functions  $f_0(t)$  and  $f_1(t)$  in (2.3) respectively. Then CIP1 is transformed in CIP2, and we work below only with CIP2.

**Coefficient Inverse Problem 2 (CIP2).** Assume that the function  $\sigma(z)$  satisfies conditions (2.1) and the following function  $g(k)$  is known

$$v_z(0, k) = g(k), \quad \forall k \geq k_{\min} > 0, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $k_{\min} > 0$  is a certain number chosen below. Find the function  $\sigma(z)$  for  $z \in (0, Z)$ .

### 3 Transformation

It follows from (2.3) and (2.4) that

$$v_{zz} - kv - k(\sigma(z) - 1)v = -\delta(z), z \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.1)$$

It follows from the third line of (2.1) that we have  $v_{zz} - kv = 0$  for  $z > M$  and  $z < 0$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} v(z, k) &= C_1 e^{-\sqrt{k}z} + C_2 e^{\sqrt{k}z}, \quad z > M, \\ v(z, k) &= C_3 e^{\sqrt{k}z}, \quad z < 0. \end{aligned}$$

where the numbers  $C_1, C_2, C_3$  are independent on  $z$ . Hence, to have the function  $v(z, k)$  bounded, we set

$$\begin{aligned} v(z, k) &= A_1 e^{-\sqrt{k}z}, \quad z > M, \\ v(z, k) &= A_2 e^{\sqrt{k}z}, \quad z < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where the numbers  $A_1, A_2$  are independent on  $z$ .

The fundamental solution  $u^0(z, k)$  of equation

$$u_{zz}^0 - ku^0 = -\delta(z) \quad (3.3)$$

is

$$u^0(z, k) = \frac{\exp(-\sqrt{k}|z|)}{2\sqrt{k}}. \quad (3.4)$$

By (3.2) and (3.4)

$$v(0, k) = u^0(0, k) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{k}}. \quad (3.5)$$

Replace the function  $v(z, k)$  with the function  $w(z, k)$ , where

$$v(z, k) = w(z, k) u^0(z, k). \quad (3.6)$$

Using (3.1) and (3.3)-(3.6), we obtain

$$w_{zz} - 2\sqrt{k}w_z - k(\sigma(z) - 1)w = 0 \text{ for } z > 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Since  $u > 0$  as the fundamental solution of the parabolic equation in the first line of (2.2) [20], then (3.4) and (3.6) imply that  $w > 0$  as well. Hence, we can consider another change of variables,

$$p(z, k) = \frac{1}{k} \ln w(z, k). \quad (3.8)$$

Then (2.5), (3.2) and (3.4)-(3.7) imply

$$p_{zz} + kp_z^2 - 2\sqrt{k}p_z = (\sigma(z) - 1), \quad z \in (0, Z), \quad (3.9)$$

$$p(0, k) = 1, \quad (3.10)$$

$$p_z(0, k) = 2\sqrt{k}g(k) + \frac{4}{k^{3/2}}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$p_z(Z, k) = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

Differentiate (3.9) with respect to  $k$  and denote

$$q(z, k) = \frac{\partial}{\partial k} p(z, k). \quad (3.13)$$

Also, use (3.10)-(3.12). We obtain

$$q_{zz} + 2kq_z p_z + p_z^2 - 2\sqrt{k}q_z - \frac{p_z}{\sqrt{k}} = 0, \quad (3.14)$$

$$q(0, k) = 0, \quad (3.15)$$

$$q_z(0, k) = 2\sqrt{k}g'(k) + \frac{g(k)}{\sqrt{k}} - \frac{6}{k^{5/2}}, \quad (3.16)$$

$$q_z(Z, k) = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

We need now to solve problem (3.14)-(3.17). However, equation (3.14) contains two unknown functions  $p$  and  $q$ . Since the initial condition at any value of  $k$  is unknown for the function  $p$ , then  $p$  cannot be expressed via  $q$  using (3.13). Hence, we introduce the viscosity term in equation (3.14). More precisely, we perturb this equation by the viscosity term  $-\varepsilon p_{zz}$ , where the small parameter  $\varepsilon > 0$  needs to be found numerically. We obtain

$$-\varepsilon p_{zz} + q_{zz} + 2kq_z p_z + p_z^2 - 2\sqrt{k}q_z - \frac{p_z}{\sqrt{k}} = 0, \quad (3.18)$$

We cannot prove convergence of the procedure described below as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . It is well known that such a proof is a very non-trivial one for any PDE. Hence, we do not address this question in the current paper, so as in two previous publications of the first author with coauthors about the convexification method with the viscosity term [17, 18].

Introduce a new function  $r(z, k, \varepsilon)$ ,

$$r(z, k, \varepsilon) = q - \varepsilon p. \quad (3.19)$$

Hence,

$$p = \frac{q - r}{\varepsilon}. \quad (3.20)$$

Substituting (3.19) and (3.20) in equations (3.14) and (3.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(q, r) = q_{zz} + 2\frac{k}{\varepsilon}q_z(q_z - r_z) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}(q_z - r_z)^2 - \\ - 2\sqrt{k}q_z - \frac{(q_z - r_z)}{\varepsilon\sqrt{k}} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_2(q, r) = r_{zz} + 2\frac{k}{\varepsilon}q_z(q_z - r_z) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}(q_z - r_z)^2 - \\ - 2\sqrt{k}q_z - \frac{(q_z - r_z)}{\varepsilon\sqrt{k}} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Next, (3.10)-(3.12), (3.15)-(3.17) and (3.19) imply the following boundary conditions for functions  $q(z, k)$  and  $r(z, k)$ :

$$q(0, k) = 0, \quad (3.23)$$

$$q_z(0, k) = 2\sqrt{k}g'(k) + \frac{g(k)}{\sqrt{k}} - \frac{6}{k^{5/2}}, \quad (3.24)$$

$$q_z(Z, k) = 0, \quad (3.25)$$

$$r(0, k) = -\varepsilon, \quad (3.26)$$

$$r_z(0, k) = 2\sqrt{k}(g'(k) - \varepsilon g(k)) + \frac{g(k)}{\sqrt{k}} - \frac{6}{k^{5/2}} - \frac{4\varepsilon}{k^{3/2}}, \quad (3.27)$$

$$r_z(Z, k) = 0. \quad (3.28)$$

Thus, we focus below on the numerical solution of the boundary value problem (3.21)-(3.28). We explain in the next section how to get an approximation for the unknown coefficient  $\sigma(z)$  using the solution of problem (3.21)-(3.28).

## 4 Convexification

We construct in this section a globally convergent numerical method for problem (3.21)-(3.28). Fix an arbitrary number  $R > 0$ . The small parameter  $\varepsilon > 0$  is fixed below. Let  $k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}]$ , where  $k_{\min}, k_{\max}$  are two numbers, which we should choose numerically. We solve problem (3.21)-(3.28) on the following set  $\overline{B(R)}$  :

$$\overline{B(R)} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (q(z, k), r(z, k)) \in H^2(0, Z) \times H^2(0, Z), \forall k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}], \\ \|q(z, k)\|_{H^2(0, Z)} + \|r(z, k)\|_{H^2(0, Z)} \leq R, \forall k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}], \\ \text{functions } q \text{ and } r \text{ satisfy} \\ \text{boundary conditions (3.23)-(3.28)} \end{array} \right\}. \quad (4.1)$$

The sets like  $\overline{B(R)}$  are called ‘‘correctness sets’’ in the regularization theory [27, 28].

Consider the following Carleman Weight Function (CWF):

$$\varphi_\lambda(z) = e^{-2\lambda z}, \quad (4.2)$$

where  $\lambda \geq 1$  is a parameter. We now formulate a Carleman estimate for the operator  $d^2/dz^2$ . First, we define the subspace  $H_0^2(0, Z)$  of the space  $H^2(0, Z)$  as:

$$H_0^2(0, Z) = \{u(z) \in H^2(0, Z) : u(0) = u'(0) = 0\}.$$

**Theorem 4.1** (Carleman estimate [13]). *There exists a sufficiently large number  $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(Z) \geq 1$  and a number  $C_0 = C_0(Z) > 0$ , both numbers depend only on  $Z$ , such that the following Carleman estimate holds for the operator  $d^2/dz^2$*

$$\int_0^Z u_{zz}^2 \varphi_\lambda dz \geq C_0 \int_0^Z u_{zz}^2 \varphi_\lambda dz + C_0 \lambda \int_0^Z (u_z^2 + \lambda^2 u^2) \varphi_\lambda dz, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\forall u \in H_0^2(0, Z), \forall \lambda \geq \lambda_0.$$

Let  $L_1(q, r)$  and  $L_2(q, r)$  be the nonlinear partial differential operators defined in (3.21) and (3.22). Consider the weighted Tikhonov-like functional, where the weight function is the CWF of (4.2),

$$J_\lambda(q, r)(k) = \int_0^Z [(L_1(q, r)(z, k))^2 + (L_2(q, r)(z, k))^2] \varphi_\lambda(z) dz, \quad (4.4)$$

where  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  is the regularization parameter. The functional  $J_{\lambda, \alpha}(q, r)$  depends on  $k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}]$  since functions  $q, r$  depend on  $k$ . Here  $[k_{\min}, k_{\max}]$  is a certain interval, which should be chosen computationally.

We solve the following Minimization Problem:

**Minimization Problem.** For each  $k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}]$ , minimize functional (4.4) on the set  $\overline{B(R)}$  defined in (4.1).

The solution of the problem depends on  $k, \lambda$  as on parameters. We will fix an optimal  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$  but will vary  $k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}]$ . Suppose that a minimizer  $(q_{\min, \lambda}(z, k), r_{\min, \lambda}(z, k))$  of functional (4.4) is found. Then, using (3.20), we set

$$p_{\min, \lambda}(z, k) = \frac{q_{\min, \lambda}(z, k) - r_{\min, \lambda}(z, k)}{\varepsilon}. \quad (4.5)$$

Substituting (4.5) in (3.9), we obtain

$$\sigma_{\min, \lambda}(z, k) = \partial_z^2 p_{\min, \lambda}(z, k) + k (\partial_z p_{\min, \lambda}(z, k))^2 - 2\sqrt{k} \partial_z p_{\min, \lambda}(z, k) + 1. \quad (4.6)$$

Finally, we define the computational solution of our Coefficient Inverse Problem 2 with the input data (2.5) as the average over the interval  $[k_{\min}, k_{\max}]$  of functions  $\sigma_{\min, \lambda}(z, k)$  in (4.6),

$$\sigma_{\text{comp}, \lambda}(z) = \frac{1}{k_{\max} - k_{\min}} \int_{k_{\min}}^{k_{\max}} \sigma_{\min, \lambda}(z, k) dk. \quad (4.7)$$

## 5 Theorems of the Convergence Analysis

### 5.1 The central result

**Theorem 5.1** (strong convexity, the central result).

1. For each  $\lambda > 0$ , for each  $k > 0$ , for each  $\alpha > 0$  and for each point  $(q, r) \in \overline{B(R)}$  the functional  $J_{\lambda, \alpha}(q, r)(k)$  has the Fréchet derivative

$$J'_\lambda(q, r)(k) \in (H_0^2(0, Z) \times H_0^2(0, Z)) \cap \{(u(z, k), v(z, k)) : u'(Z, k) = v'(Z, k) = 0\}. \quad (5.1)$$

This derivative is Lipschitz continuous on the set  $\overline{B(R)}$ , i.e. there exists a number  $D = D(R, \lambda, k) > 0$  such that for all  $k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|J'_\lambda(q_2, r_2)(k) - J'_{\lambda, \alpha}(q_1, r_1)(k)\|_{H^2(0, Z) \times H^2(0, Z)} \leq \\ & \leq D \|(q_2, r_2)(k) - (q_1, r_1)(k)\|_{H^2(0, Z) \times H^2(0, Z)}, \quad \forall (q_2, r_2), (q_1, r_1) \in \overline{B(R)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

2. There exists a sufficiently large number  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(R, Z, k_{\min}, k_{\max}, \varepsilon) \geq \lambda_0 \geq 1$  such that for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$ , for all  $k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}]$  the functional  $J_{\lambda, \alpha}(q, r)$  is strongly convex on the set  $\overline{B(R)}$ , i.e. there exists a number  $C_1 = C_1(R, Z, k_{\min}, k_{\max}, \varepsilon) > 0$  such that the following strong convexity inequality is valid:

$$\begin{aligned} & J_\lambda(q_2, r_2)(k) - J_\lambda(q_1, r_1)(k) - [J'_\lambda(q_1, r_1)(k), (q_2 - q_1, r_2 - r_1)(k)] \geq \\ & \geq C_1 e^{-2\lambda Z} \|(q_2, r_2)(k) - (q_1, r_1)(k)\|_{H^2(0, Z) \times H^2(0, Z)}^2, \\ & \forall (q_1, r_1)(k), (q_2, r_2)(k) \in \overline{B(R)}, \quad \forall k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}], \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

where  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is the scalar product in  $H^2(0, Z) \times H^2(0, Z)$ .

3. For each  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$ , for each  $k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}]$  there exists unique minimizer  $(q_{\min, \lambda}(z, k), r_{\min, \lambda}(z, k)) \in \overline{B(R)}$  of the functional  $J_\lambda(q, r)(k)$  on the set  $\overline{B(R)}$  and the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} [J'_{\lambda, \alpha}(q_{\min, \lambda}, r_{\min, \lambda}), (q - q_{\min, \lambda}, r - r_{\min, \lambda})](k) &\geq 0, \\ \forall (q, r)(k) \in \overline{B(R)}, \forall k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}], \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Here and below  $C_1 = C_1(R, Z, k_{\min}, k_{\max}, \varepsilon) > 0$  denotes different numbers depending only on numbers  $R, Z, k_{\min}, k_{\max}$  and  $\varepsilon$ . The number  $\lambda_1$  also depends only on these parameters.

## 5.2 The accuracy of the minimizer

We now want to estimate the accuracy of the minimizer of functional (4.4). To do this, we should assume first that there exists exact solution  $\sigma^*(z)$  satisfying conditions (2.1) with the ‘‘ideal’’, i.e. noiseless data  $g^*(k)$  in (2.5). This assumption is a natural one in the theory of Ill-Posed problems [27, 28]. The function  $\sigma^*(z)$  generates functions  $q^*(z, k)$  and  $r^*(z, k)$ , just as above.

Suppose that there exists a vector function

$$F(z, k) = (F_1, F_2)(z, k) \in H^2(0, Z) \times H^2(0, Z), \quad \forall k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}]$$

satisfying boundary conditions (3.23)-(3.28), where  $F_1$  stands for  $q$ , and  $F_2$  stands for  $r$ . Suppose also that there exists a vector function

$$F^*(z, k) = (F_1^*, F_2^*)(z, k) \in H^2(0, Z) \times H^2(0, Z), \quad \forall k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}]$$

satisfying boundary conditions (3.23)-(3.28), in which  $g(k)$  is replaced with  $g^*(k)$ . Again,  $F_1^*$  stands for  $q^*$  and  $F_2^*$  stands for  $r^*$ . Let  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  be a small number characterizing the noise level in the data (3.23)-(3.28). More precisely, we assume that

$$\|F_1 - F_1^*\|_{H^2(0, Z)} + \|F_2 - F_2^*\|_{H^2(0, Z)} < \delta, \quad \forall k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}]. \quad (5.5)$$

We also assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_1(z, k)\|_{H^2(0, Z)} + \|F_2(z, k)\|_{H^2(0, Z)} &\leq R, \quad \forall k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}], \\ \|F_1^*(z, k)\|_{H^2(0, Z)} + \|F_2^*(z, k)\|_{H^2(0, Z)} &\leq R, \quad \forall k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Let  $\overline{B^*(R)}$  be the following analog of the set  $\overline{B(R)}$  in (4.1)

$$\overline{B^*(R)} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (q(z, k), r(z, k)) \in H^2(0, Z) \times H^2(0, Z), \quad \forall k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}], \\ \|q(z, k)\|_{H^2(0, Z)} + \|r(z, k)\|_{H^2(0, Z)} \leq R, \quad \forall k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}], \\ \text{functions } q \text{ and } r \text{ satisfy} \\ \text{boundary conditions (3.23)-(3.28),} \\ \text{in which } g(k) \text{ is replaced with } g^*(k). \end{array} \right\}. \quad (5.7)$$

We assume that

$$\begin{aligned} R - C_1\delta &> 0, \\ (q^*(z, k), r^*(z, k)) &\in B^*(R - C_1\delta). \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

For every vector function  $(q, r) \in \overline{B(R)}$  consider the vector function

$$(\tilde{q}, \tilde{r}) = (q - F_1, r - F_2). \quad (5.9)$$

Similarly, consider the vector function

$$(\tilde{q}^*, \tilde{r}^*) = (q^* - F_1^*, r^* - F_2^*). \quad (5.10)$$

It follows from (5.6)-(5.10) and triangle inequality that

$$(\tilde{q}, \tilde{r}), (\tilde{q}^*, \tilde{r}^*) \in B_0(2R), \quad (5.11)$$

$$\overline{B_0(2R)} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (q(z, k), r(z, k)) \in H^2(0, Z) \times H^2(0, Z), \forall k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}], \\ \|q(z, k)\|_{H^2(0, Z)} + \|r(z, k)\|_{H^2(0, Z)} \leq 2R, \forall k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}], \\ \text{functions } q \text{ and } r \text{ satisfy} \\ \text{zero boundary conditions (3.23)-(3.28).} \end{array} \right\} \quad (5.12)$$

Consider a new functional

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda(q, r) : \overline{B_0(2R)} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ I_\lambda(q, r) &= J_\lambda(q + F_1, r + F_2). \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

By (5.13) an obvious analog of Theorem 5.1 is valid for the functional  $I_\lambda(q, r)$ . However, since  $(q + F_1, r + F_2) \in \overline{B(3R)}$  for  $(q, r) \in \overline{B_0(2R)}$ , then we should take here

$$\lambda_2 = \lambda_1(3R, Z, k_{\min}, k_{\max}, \varepsilon). \quad (5.14)$$

**Theorem 5.2** (the accuracy of the minimizer). *Assume that (5.8)-(5.14) hold. For any  $\lambda \geq \lambda_2$ , and for any  $k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}]$ , let  $(\tilde{q}_{\min, \lambda}, \tilde{r}_{\min, \lambda}) \in \overline{B_0(2R)}$  be the unique minimizer on the set  $\overline{B_0(2R)}$  of the functional  $I_\lambda(q, r)$  in (5.13), which is guaranteed by Theorem 5.1, i.e.*

$$I_\lambda(\tilde{q}_{\min, \lambda}, \tilde{r}_{\min, \lambda}) = \min_{\overline{B_0(2R)}} I_\lambda(q, r). \quad (5.15)$$

Define

$$(\bar{q}_{\min, \lambda_2}, \bar{r}_{\min, \lambda_2}) = (\tilde{q}_{\min, \lambda_2} + F_1, \tilde{r}_{\min, \lambda_2} + F_2). \quad (5.16)$$

Then

$$(\bar{q}_{\min, \lambda_2}, \bar{r}_{\min, \lambda_2}) \in B(R). \quad (5.17)$$

Furthermore, the vector function  $(\bar{q}_{\min, \lambda_2}, \bar{r}_{\min, \lambda_2})$  is the unique minimizer of the functional  $J_{\lambda_2}(q, r)$  on the set  $\overline{B(R)}$ , i.e.

$$(\bar{q}_{\min, \lambda_2}, \bar{r}_{\min, \lambda_2}) = (q_{\min, \lambda_2}, r_{\min, \lambda_2}), \quad (5.18)$$

and the following accuracy estimate is valid for all  $k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}]$

$$\|q_{\min, \lambda_2}(z, k) - q^*(z, k)\|_{H^2(0, Z)} + \|r_{\min, \lambda_2}(z, k) - r^*(z, k)\|_{H^2(0, Z)} \leq C_1 \delta, \quad (5.19)$$

$$\|\sigma_{\text{comp}, \lambda_2} - \sigma^*\|_{L_2(0, Z)} \leq C_1 \delta, \quad (5.20)$$

where the function  $\sigma_{\text{comp}, \lambda_2}(z)$  is found via (4.5)-(4.7).

### 5.3 Global convergence of the gradient descent method

Similarly with (5.8) assume that

$$\begin{aligned} R/3 - C_1\delta &> 0, \\ (q^*(z, k), r^*(z, k)) &\in B^*(R/3 - C_1\delta). \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

Let the number  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  and let

$$(q_0(z, k), r_0(z, k)) \in B\left(\frac{R}{3}\right). \quad (5.22)$$

Let  $\lambda_2$  be the number defined in (5.14). We construct the gradient descent method as the following sequence:

$$(q_n, r_n) = (q_{n-1}, r_{n-1}) - \gamma J'_{\lambda_2}(q_{n-1}, r_{n-1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (5.23)$$

Note that since by Theorem 5.1  $J'_{\lambda_2}(q_{n-1}, r_{n-1})$  satisfies (5.1), then all terms of sequence (5.23) have the same boundary conditions (3.23)-(3.28).

**Theorem 5.3.** *Assume that (5.21)-(5.23). Let  $(q_{\min, \lambda_2}, r_{\min, \lambda_2})$  be the unique minimizer of the functional  $J_{\lambda_2}(q, r)$  on the set  $\overline{B(R)}$ , the existence of which is guaranteed by Theorem 5.1. Then  $(q_{\min, \lambda_2}, r_{\min, \lambda_2}) \in B(R/3)$ . There exists a sufficiently small number  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  and a number  $\theta = \theta(\gamma) \in (0, 1)$  such that all terms of sequence (5.23) belong to  $B(R)$  and the following estimates hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|q_n - q^*\|_{H^2(0, Z)} + \|r_n - r^*\|_{H^2(0, Z)} \leq \\ &\leq C_1\delta + \theta^n \left( \|q_{\min, \lambda_2} - q_0\|_{H^2(0, Z)} + \|r_{\min, \lambda_2} - r_0\|_{H^2(0, Z)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.24)$$

$$\forall k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}],$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\sigma_{\text{comp}, \lambda_2, n} - \sigma^*\|_{L_2(0, Z)} \leq \\ &\leq C_1\delta + \theta^n \sup_{k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}]} \left( \|q_{\min, \lambda} - q_0\|_{H^2(0, Z)} + \|r_{\min, \lambda} - r_0\|_{H^2(0, Z)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

where functions  $\sigma_{\text{comp}, \lambda, n}(z)$  are defined as in (4.7) with the replacement of the triple  $(q_{\min, \lambda}, r_{\min, \lambda}, p_{\min, \lambda})$  with  $(q_n, r_n, p_n)$ .

**Proof.** Assuming that Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 are valid, the proof follows immediately from Theorem 6 of [15].  $\square$

**Remark 5.1.** *Since smallness conditions are not imposed on  $R$ , then Theorem 5.3 claims the global convergence of sequence (5.23), see Definition in section 1.*

## 6 Proof of Theorem 5.1

Below  $C_2 = C_2(R, Z, k_{\min}, k_{\max}, \varepsilon) > 0$  denotes different numbers depending only on numbers  $R, Z, k_{\min}, k_{\max}$  and  $\varepsilon$ . Consider two arbitrary pairs  $(q_1, r_1), (q_2, r_2) \in \overline{B(R)}$ . Then by (4.1), (5.12) and triangle inequality

$$(q_2, r_2) - (q_1, r_1) = (h_1, h_2) \in \overline{B_0(2R)}. \quad (6.1)$$

Also, (4.1), (5.12), (6.1) and Sobolev embedding theorem imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & (q_1, r_1), (q_2, r_2), (h_1, h_2) \in C^1[0, Z] \times C^1[0, Z], \\ & \|(q_1, r_1)\|_{C^1[0, Z] \times C^1[0, Z]}, \|(q_2, r_2)\|_{C^1[0, Z] \times C^1[0, Z]}, \|(h_1, h_2)\|_{C^1[0, Z] \times C^1[0, Z]} \leq C, \\ & \forall k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}], \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

where the number  $C = C(R, Z, k_{\min}, k_{\max}) > 0$  is a number depending only on listed parameters. By (3.21) and (6.1)

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(q_2, r_2) &= L_1(q_1 + h_1, r_1 + h_2) = \\ &= \partial_z^2 q_1 + \partial_z^2 h_1 + 2\frac{k}{\varepsilon} (\partial_z q_1 + \partial_z h_1) [(\partial_z q_1 - \partial_z r_1) + (\partial_z h_1 - \partial_z h_2)] + \\ &+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (\partial_z q_1 - \partial_z r_1)^2 + \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} (\partial_z q_1 - \partial_z r_1) (\partial_z h_1 - \partial_z h_2) + \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} (\partial_z h_1 - \partial_z h_2)^2 - \\ &- 2\sqrt{k} \partial_z q_1 - \frac{(\partial_z q_1 - \partial_z r_1)}{\varepsilon \sqrt{k}} - 2\sqrt{k} \partial_z h_1 - \frac{(\partial_z h_1 - \partial_z h_2)}{\varepsilon \sqrt{k}}. \end{aligned}$$

We now single out the linear, with respect to  $(h_1, h_2)$  part of this expression. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(q_2, r_2) &= L_1(q_1, r_1) + \\ &+ \partial_z^2 h_1 + 2\frac{k}{\varepsilon} \partial_z h_1 + 2\frac{k}{\varepsilon} \partial_z q_1 (\partial_z h_1 - \partial_z h_2) + \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} (\partial_z q_1 - \partial_z r_1) (\partial_z h_1 - \partial_z h_2) - \\ &- 2\sqrt{k} \partial_z h_1 - \frac{(\partial_z h_1 - \partial_z h_2)}{\varepsilon \sqrt{k}} + \\ &+ 2\frac{k}{\varepsilon} \partial_z h_1 (\partial_z h_1 - \partial_z h_2) + \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} (\partial_z h_1 - \partial_z h_2)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} L_{1,\text{linear}}(h_1, h_2) &= \partial_z^2 h_1 + 2\frac{k}{\varepsilon} \partial_z h_1 + 2\frac{k}{\varepsilon} \partial_z q_1 (\partial_z h_1 - \partial_z h_2) + \\ &+ \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} (\partial_z q_1 - \partial_z r_1) (\partial_z h_1 - \partial_z h_2) - 2\sqrt{k} \partial_z h_1 - \frac{(\partial_z h_1 - \partial_z h_2)}{\varepsilon \sqrt{k}}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

In addition, denote

$$L_{1,\text{nonlinear}}(h_1, h_2) = 2\frac{k}{\varepsilon} \partial_z h_1 (\partial_z h_1 - \partial_z h_2) + \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} (\partial_z h_1 - \partial_z h_2)^2. \quad (6.5)$$

Using (6.3)-(6.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (L_1(q_2, r_2))^2 - (L_1(q_1, r_1))^2 &= 2L_1(q_1, r_1) L_{1,\text{linear}}(h_1, h_2) + \\ &+ (L_{1,\text{linear}}(h_1, h_2))^2 + 2L_1(q_1, r_1) L_{1,\text{nonlinear}}(h_1, h_2) + \\ &+ 2L_{1,\text{linear}}(h_1, h_2) L_{1,\text{nonlinear}}(h_1, h_2) + (L_{1,\text{nonlinear}}(h_1, h_2))^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

Next, (6.2)-(6.6) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality lead to

$$(L_1(q_2, r_2))^2 - (L_1(q_1, r_1))^2 - 2L_1(q_1, r_1) L_{1,\text{linear}}(h_1, h_2) \geq$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} (\partial_z^2 h_1)^2 - C_1 [(\partial_z h_1)^2 + (\partial_z h_2)^2]. \quad (6.7)$$

Similarly, using (3.22), (6.1), (6.2) and analogs of formulas (6.3)-(6.6) for the operator  $L_2$ , we obtain the following analog of (6.7):

$$\begin{aligned} & (L_2(q_2, r_2))^2 - (L_2(q_1, r_1))^2 - 2L_2(q_1, r_1) L_{2,\text{linear}}(h_1, h_2) \geq \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} (\partial_z^2 h_2)^2 - C_1 [(\partial_z h_1)^2 + (\partial_z h_2)^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

Hence, using (4.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & J_\lambda(q_2, r_2)(k) - J_\lambda(q_1, r_1)(k) = \\ & = 2 \int_0^Z [L_1(q_1, r_1) L_{1,\text{linear}}(h_1, h_2) + L_2(q_1, r_1) L_{2,\text{linear}}(h_1, h_2)] \varphi_\lambda(z) dz + \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_0^Z [(L_{i,\text{linear}}(h_1, h_2))^2 + 2L_i(q_1, r_1) L_{i,\text{nonlinear}}(h_1, h_2)] \varphi_\lambda(z) dz + \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_0^Z [2L_{i,\text{linear}}(h_1, h_2) L_{i,\text{nonlinear}}(h_1, h_2) + (L_{i,\text{nonlinear}}(h_1, h_2))^2] \varphi_\lambda(z) dz. \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

Consider the expression in the second line of (6.9),

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{J}_{\lambda, q_1, r_1}(h_1, h_2)(k) = \\ & = 2 \int_0^Z [L_1(q_1, r_1) L_{1,\text{linear}}(h_1, h_2) + L_2(q_1, r_1) L_{2,\text{linear}}(h_1, h_2)] \varphi_\lambda(z) dz. \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

Obviously,

$$\widehat{J}_{\lambda, q_1, r_1}(h_1, h_2)(k) : H_0^2(0, Z) \times H_0^2(0, Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (6.11)$$

is a bounded linear functional. Hence, by Riesz theorem there exists unique vector function  $\widetilde{J}_{\lambda, q_1, r_1} \in H_0^2(0, Z) \times H_0^2(0, Z)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{J}_{\lambda, q_1, r_1}(h_1, h_2)(k) = \left[ \widetilde{J}_{\lambda, q_1, r_1}, (h_1, h_2) \right](k), \\ & \forall (h_1, h_2) \in H_0^2(0, Z) \times H_0^2(0, Z), \forall k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

It follows from (6.1), (6.3)-(6.6) and (6.9)-(6.12) that for all  $k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\|(h_1, h_2)\|_{H_0^2(0, Z) \times H_0^2(0, Z)}} \|(h_1, h_2)\|_{H_0^2(0, Z) \times H_0^2(0, Z)}^{-1} \times \\ & \times \left\{ \begin{aligned} & J_\lambda(q_1 + h_1, r_1 + h_2)(k) - J_\lambda(q_1, r_1)(k) - \\ & - \left[ \widetilde{J}_{\lambda, q_1, r_1}, (h_1, h_2) \right](k) \end{aligned} \right\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\widetilde{J}_{\lambda, q_1, r_1}$  is the Fréchet derivative of the functional  $J_\lambda$  at the point  $(q_1, r_1)$ , i.e.

$$\widetilde{J}_{\lambda, q_1, r_1} = J'_\lambda(q_1, r_1)(k) \in H_0^2(0, Z) \times H_0^2(0, Z), \forall k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}]. \quad (6.13)$$

We omit the proof of the Lipschitz continuity property (5.2) of  $J'_\lambda(q_1, r_1)(k)$  since this proof is similar with the proof of Theorem 5.3.1 of [14].

We now prove the strong convexity property (5.3). To do this, we use Carleman estimate (4.3) of Theorem 4.1. Using (4.3), (6.7)-(6.9) and (6.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& J_\lambda(q_1 + h_1, r_1 + h_2)(k) - J_\lambda(q_1, r_1)(k) - [J'_\lambda(q_1, r_1)(k), (h_1, h_2)] \geq \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^Z [(\partial_z^2 h_1)^2 + (\partial_z^2 h_2)^2] \varphi_\lambda dz - C_1 \int_0^Z [(\partial_z h_1)^2 + (\partial_z h_2)^2] \varphi_\lambda dz \geq \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} C_0 \int_0^Z [(\partial_z^2 h_1)^2 + (\partial_z^2 h_2)^2] \varphi_\lambda dz + \\
& + \frac{1}{2} C_0 \lambda \int_0^Z [(\partial_z h_1)^2 + (\partial_z h_2)^2 + \lambda^2 (h_1^2 + h_2^2)] \varphi_\lambda dz - \\
& - C_1 \int_0^Z [(\partial_z h_1)^2 + (\partial_z h_2)^2] \varphi_\lambda dz, \quad \forall \lambda \geq \lambda_0.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

Hence, we can choose a sufficiently large number  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(R, Z, k_{\min}, k_{\max}, \varepsilon) \geq \lambda_0$  such that (6.14) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& J_\lambda(q_1 + h_1, r_1 + h_2)(k) - J_\lambda(q_1, r_1)(k) - [J'_\lambda(q_1, r_1)(k), (h_1, h_2)] \geq \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} C_0 \int_0^Z [(\partial_z^2 h_1)^2 + (\partial_z^2 h_2)^2] \varphi_\lambda dz + \\
& + C_1 \lambda \int_0^Z [(\partial_z h_1)^2 + (\partial_z h_2)^2 + \lambda^2 (h_1^2 + h_2^2)] \varphi_\lambda dz \geq \\
& \geq C_1 e^{-2\lambda Z} \|(h_1, h_2)\|_{H^2(0, Z) \times H^2(0, Z)}^2 = \\
& = C_1 e^{-2\lambda Z} \|(q_2, r_2)(k) - (q_1, r_1)(k)\|_{H^2(0, Z) \times H^2(0, Z)}^2, \quad \forall \lambda \geq \lambda_1,
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (5.3).

Existence and uniqueness of the minimizer  $(q_{\min, \lambda}(z, k), r_{\min, \lambda}(z, k)) \in \overline{B(R)}$  of the functional  $J_\lambda(q, r)(k)$  on the set  $\overline{B(R)}$  as well as inequality (5.4) easily follow immediately from (5.3) and a combination of Lemma 5.2.1 with Theorem 5.2.1 of [14].  $\square$

## 7 Proof of Theorem 5.2

Let  $I_\lambda(q, r)$  be the functional defined in (5.13). As stated in lines below (5.13), an obvious analog of Theorem 5.1 is valid for  $I_\lambda(q, r)$  for values of the parameter  $\lambda$  as in (5.14). Recall

that  $(\tilde{q}_{\min,\lambda}, \tilde{r}_{\min,\lambda}) \in \overline{B_0(2R)}$  is the unique minimizer on the set  $\overline{B_0(2R)}$  of the functional  $I_\lambda(q, r)$  for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_2$ . By (5.3), (5.10) and the second line of (5.13)

$$\begin{aligned} & I_\lambda(\tilde{q}^*, \tilde{r}^*)(k) - I_\lambda(\tilde{q}_{\min,\lambda}, \tilde{r}_{\min,\lambda})(k) - \\ & - [I'_\lambda(\tilde{q}_{\min,\lambda}, \tilde{r}_{\min,\lambda})(k), (\tilde{q}^* - \tilde{q}_{\min,\lambda}, \tilde{r}^* - \tilde{r}_{\min,\lambda})(k)] \geq \\ & \geq C_1 e^{-2\lambda Z} \|(\tilde{q}^*, \tilde{r}^*)(k) - (\tilde{q}_{\min,\lambda}, \tilde{r}_{\min,\lambda})(k)\|_{H^2(0,Z) \times H^2(0,Z)}^2, \quad \forall k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}]. \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

By (5.4)

$$- [I'_\lambda(\tilde{q}_{\min,\lambda}, \tilde{r}_{\min,\lambda})(k), (\tilde{q}^* - \tilde{q}_{\min,\lambda}, \tilde{r}^* - \tilde{r}_{\min,\lambda})(k)] \leq 0.$$

In addition, obviously  $-I_\lambda(\tilde{q}_{\min,\lambda}, \tilde{r}_{\min,\lambda})(k) \leq 0$ . Hence, (7.1) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & I_\lambda(\tilde{q}^*, \tilde{r}^*)(k) \geq \\ & \geq C_1 e^{-2\lambda Z} \|(\tilde{q}^*, \tilde{r}^*)(k) - (\tilde{q}_{\min,\lambda}, \tilde{r}_{\min,\lambda})(k)\|_{H^2(0,Z) \times H^2(0,Z)}^2, \quad \forall k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}]. \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

Next, by (5.10) and (5.13)

$$\begin{aligned} & I_\lambda(\tilde{q}^*, \tilde{r}^*)(k) = J_\lambda(\tilde{q}^* + F_1, \tilde{r}^* + F_2)(k) = \\ & = J_\lambda((\tilde{q}^* + F_1^*) + (F_1 - F_1^*), (\tilde{r}^* + F_2^*) + (F_2 - F_2^*))(k) = \\ & = J_\lambda(q^* + (F_1 - F_1^*), r^* + (F_2 - F_2^*)). \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

Now,  $J_\lambda(q^*, r^*) = 0$ . Hence, using (5.5) and (7.3), we obtain

$$I_\lambda(\tilde{q}^*, \tilde{r}^*)(k) = J_\lambda(q^* + (F_1 - F_1^*), r^* + (F_2 - F_2^*)) \leq C_1 \delta^2.$$

Combining this with (7.2) and setting  $\lambda = \lambda_2$ , we obtain

$$\|(\tilde{q}^*, \tilde{r}^*)(k) - (\tilde{q}_{\min,\lambda_2}, \tilde{r}_{\min,\lambda_2})(k)\|_{H^2(0,Z) \times H^2(0,Z)} \leq C_1 \delta, \quad \forall k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}]. \quad (7.4)$$

Using (5.10) and (5.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}^* - \tilde{q}_{\min,\lambda_2} &= (\tilde{q}^* + F_1^*) - (\tilde{q}_{\min,\lambda_2} + F_1) - (F_1^* - F_1) = \\ &= (q^* - \bar{q}_{\min,\lambda_2}) - (F_1^* - F_1). \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

Similarly

$$\tilde{r}^* - \tilde{r}_{\min,\lambda_2} = (r^* - \bar{r}_{\min,\lambda_2}) - (F_2^* - F_2). \quad (7.6)$$

Hence, using (5.5), (7.4)-(7.6) and triangle inequality, we obtain

$$\|(q^*, r^*)(k) - (\bar{q}_{\min,\lambda_2}, \bar{r}_{\min,\lambda_2})(k)\|_{H^2(0,Z) \times H^2(0,Z)} \leq C_1 \delta, \quad \forall k \in [k_{\min}, k_{\max}]. \quad (7.7)$$

$$I_\lambda(\tilde{q}_{\min,\lambda}, \tilde{r}_{\min,\lambda}) = \min_{\overline{B_0(2R)}} I_\lambda(q, r). \quad (7.8)$$

Hence, using (5.5) and (7.7), we obtain (5.17). By (5.9), (5.11), (5.13) and (5.15)

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{\lambda_2}(\tilde{q}_{\min,\lambda_2}, \tilde{r}_{\min,\lambda_2}) = J_{\lambda_2}(\tilde{q}_{\min,\lambda_2} + F_1, \tilde{r}_{\min,\lambda_2} + F_2) = \\ & = J_{\lambda_2}(\bar{q}_{\min,\lambda_2}, \bar{r}_{\min,\lambda_2}) \leq J_{\lambda_2}(q, r) = \\ & = J_{\lambda_2}((q - F_1) + F_1, (r - F_2) + F_2), \quad \forall (q, r) \in \overline{B(R)}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.9)$$

Let  $(q_{\min, \lambda_2}, r_{\min, \lambda_2}) \in \overline{B(R)}$  be the unique minimizer of the functional  $J_{\lambda_2}(q, r)$  on the set  $\overline{B(R)}$ , the existence of which is guaranteed by Theorem 5.1. Hence, (7.9) implies that

$$J_{\lambda_2}(\bar{q}_{\min, \lambda_2}, \bar{r}_{\min, \lambda_2}) \leq J_{\lambda_2}(q_{\min, \lambda_2}, r_{\min, \lambda_2}). \quad (7.10)$$

However, since by (5.17)  $(\bar{q}_{\min, \lambda_2}, \bar{r}_{\min, \lambda_2}) \in \overline{B(R)}$ , then (7.7) and (7.10) imply (5.18) and (5.19) and (5.20).  $\square$

### Acknowledgment

This was partially supported by US National Science Foundation grant DMS 2436227.

## References

- [1] Baudouin L, de Buhan M and Ervedoza S 2013, Global Carleman estimates for waves and applications, *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, **38**, 823–859.
- [2] Baudouin L, de Buhan M and Ervedoza S 2017, Convergent algorithm based on Carleman estimates for the recovery of a potential in the wave equation, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **55**, 1578–1613.
- [3] Baudouin L, de Buhan M, Ervedoza S and Osses A 2021 Carleman-based reconstruction algorithm for the waves, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **59**, 998–1039.
- [4] Beilina L 2016 Domain decomposition finite element/finite difference method for the conductivity reconstruction in a hyperbolic equation, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, **37**, 222–237.
- [5] Beilina L and Lindström E 2022 An adaptive finite element/finite difference domain decomposition method for applications in microwave imaging, *Electronics*, **11**, 1359.
- [6] Beilina L, Aram M G and Karchevskii E M 2022 An adaptive finite element method for solving 3D electromagnetic volume integral equation with applications in microwave thermometry, *Journal of Computational Physics* **459**, 111122.
- [7] Chavent G 2010 *Nonlinear Least Squares for Inverse Problems: Theoretical Foundations and Step-by-Step Guide for Applications*, Springer Science & Business Media, Berlin.
- [8] Giorgi G, Brignone M, Aramini R and Piana M 2013 Application of the inhomogeneous Lippmann–Schwinger equation to inverse scattering problems, *SIAM J. Applied Mathematics* **73**, 212–231.
- [9] Goncharsky A V and Romanov S Y 2017 Iterative methods for solving coefficient inverse problems of wave tomography in models with attenuation, *Inverse Problems* **33** 025003.
- [10] Goncharsky A V, Romanov S Y and Seryozhnikov S Y 2024 On mathematical problems of two-coefficient inverse problems of ultrasonic tomography, *Inverse Problems* **40**, 045026.

- [11] Grote M J and Nahum U (2019) Adaptive eigenspace for multi-parameter inverse scattering problems, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, **77** 3264–3280.
- [12] Klibanov M V and Ioussoupova O V 1995 Uniform strict convexity of a cost functional for three-dimensional inverse scattering problem, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **26**, 147–179.
- [13] Klibanov M V, Kolesov A E, Nguyen L and Sullivan A 2017 Globally strictly convex cost functional for a 1-D inverse medium scattering problem with experimental data, *SIAM J. Applied Mathematics* **77**, 1733–1755.
- [14] Klibanov M V and Li J 2021 *Inverse Problems and Carleman Estimates: Global Uniqueness, Global Convergence and Experimental Data* (De Gruyter, Berlin).
- [15] Klibanov M V, Khoa V A, Smirnov A V, Nguyen L H, Bidney G W, Nguyen L, Sullivan A and Astratov V N 2021 Convexification inversion method for nonlinear SAR imaging with experimentally collected data, *J. Applied and Industrial Mathematics* **15**, 413–436.
- [16] Klibanov M V, Li J and Zhang W 2022 A globally convergent numerical method for a 3D coefficient inverse problem for a wave-like equation, *SIAM J. Scientific Computing* **44**, A3341–A3365.
- [17] Klibanov M V, Nguyen L H, and H.V. Tran H V 2022 Numerical viscosity solutions to Hamilton-Jacobi equations via a Carleman estimate and the convexification method, *Journal of Computational Physics* **451**, 11082.
- [18] Klibanov M V, Li J, and Yang Z 2023, Convexification for the viscosity solution to a coefficient inverse problem for the radiative transport equation, *Inverse Problems*, **39**, 125002.
- [19] Klibanov M V and Timonov A A 2025 Acoustic imaging via a viscosity approximation of an elliptic system generated by the Lavrent’ev integral operator, *SIAM J. Imaging Sciences*, accepted for publication.
- [20] Ladyzhenskaya O A, Solonnikov V A, and Uraltceva N N 1968 *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type* (Providence, R.I.: American Mathematical Society).
- [21] Ladyzhenskaya O A and Uraltceva N N 1969 *Linear and Quasilinear Elliptic Equations* (Academic Press, New York).
- [22] Lavrent’ev M M, Romanov V G and S.P. Shishatskii S P 1986 *Ill-Posed Problems of Mathematical Physics and Analysis* (Providence, R.I.: American Mathematical Society).
- [23] Lindström E and Beilina L 2024 Energy norm error estimates and convergence analysis for a stabilized Maxwell’s equations in conductive media, **69** 415-436.
- [24] Rizutti G and Gisolf A 2017 An iterative method for 2D inverse scattering problems by alternating reconstruction of medium properties and wavelets: theory and application to the inversion of elastic waveforms, *Inverse Problems* **33**, 035003.

- [25] Scales J A, Smith M L and Fischer T L 1992 Global optimization methods for multimodal inverse problems, *Journal of Computational Physics* **103**, 258-268.
- [26] Tikhonov A N 1965 Mathematical basis of the theory of electromagnetic sounding, *USSR Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics* **5**, 207-211.
- [27] Tikhonov A N and Arsenin V Y 1977 *Solutions of Ill-Posed Problems* (Winston, New York).
- [28] Tikhonov A N, Goncharsky A V, Stepanov V V and Yagola A G 1995 *Numerical Methods for the Solutions of Ill-Posed Problems* (Kluwer, London).