

COMPLETE CALABI-YAU METRICS ON NONCOMPACT ABELIAN FIBERED THREEFOLDS

RUIMING LIANG AND YANG ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this article, we construct complete Calabi-Yau metrics on abelian fibrations X over \mathbb{C} . We also provide compactification for X so that the compactified variety has negative canonical bundle.

1. INTRODUCTION

Following Yau's celebrated work on the Ricci curvature [26], the study of Calabi-Yau metrics has become a central topic within Kähler geometry. A significant challenge in this field is the construction of complete Calabi-Yau metrics on non-compact Kähler manifolds, a topic that was initiated by Tian and Yau in their paper [22]. Their approach involved modeling these metrics on a Fano manifold with its anti-canonical divisor removed.

Following their works, Hein introduced a novel method for constructing such metrics on rational elliptic surfaces, which are not Fano, by removing certain singular fibers [8]. In these cases, the fibration structure plays a critical role in formulating explicit ansatz for the metrics.

In Hein's paper, he mainly focused on the space of a rational elliptic surface X with a special fiber F removed. Recall that rational elliptic surfaces are characterized as smooth surfaces X with relatively minimal elliptic fibrations over \mathbb{P}^1 and $K_X = -[F]$. It's clear that $X \setminus F$ has a global holomorphic volume form Ω with a simple pole along the special fiber F . However, it should be pointed out that the condition $K_X = -[F]$ is essential and is closely related to Kodaira's smooth relatively minimal model [15] and Hein's construction also relies heavily on this condition.

Recall that if $X \rightarrow S$ is a relatively minimal elliptic fibration over a compact Riemann surface with multiple fibers are $X_{s_1} = m_1 F_1, \dots, X_{s_k} = m_k F_k$, then

$$(1) \quad K_X = f^*(K_S \otimes (R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X)^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \left(\sum_i (m_i - 1) F_i \right),$$

where $\deg(K_S \otimes (R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X)^\vee) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) - 2\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$.

Thus for regular relatively minimal elliptic fibrations, the canonical line bundle K_X is an integer multiple of a generic fiber. Conversely, for any elliptic fibration $f : X \rightarrow S$, the condition $K_X = m[F]$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, F a fiber, also forces X to be relatively minimal.

Moreover, by Riemann-Roch and Leray Hirsch formula, we know that the multiplicity is greater than -2 . If $K_X = -2[F]$, then $S \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and there is no singular fiber on X . In this case, X is either a trivial bundle or a hopf surface. After removing a regular fiber from X , we get a trivial product torus bundle over \mathbb{C} and everything is trivial. If $K_X = -[F]$, we still get $S \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and there is no multiple fiber. In this case, X is the rational elliptic surface. From this we know that, if we require elliptic fibration structure, then rational elliptic surface is the only plausible playground to construct noncompact Calabi-Yau metrics.

Inspired by Hein's work, we aim to extend this framework to abelian fibrations. The problem divides into two parts:

- Construct an abelian fibration over an open Riemann surface and find a noncompact Calabi-Yau metric on it;
- Compactify the abelian fibration into a projective variety with negative canonical bundle.

The primary challenge in higher-dimensional geometry arises from the complexities introduced by minimal models. Specifically, for an abelian surface fibered threefold X (not necessarily regular) over \mathbb{P}^1 , while a relatively minimal model X_{\min} does indeed exist, it may not be a smooth variety and lacks uniqueness. Moreover, the canonical divisor K_X in this context is merely a rational multiple of the generic fiber. This situation is detailed further in Crauder's work [5].

Definition 1 (Abelian fibered threefold). *By an abelian fibered threefold, we mean a surjective morphism $f : X \rightarrow S$ from a normal threefold X to a Riemann surface S whose general fiber is an abelian surface.*

Definition 2 (Relatively minimal model). *We call an abelian fibered threefold $f : X \rightarrow S$ to be relatively minimal if*

(1) *X has only \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal singularities*

(2) *The canonical Weil divisor K_X is relatively nef, that is, for any curve C in a fiber X_s , we have $K_X \cdot C \geq 0$.*

Remark 1. *In the paper [5], the authors also give a definition of minimal abelian fibration. It's clear that their definition is stronger than Oguiso's definition.*

Lemma 1 (Lemma 1.3 in [18]). *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a relatively minimal fibered threefold over a Riemann surface S . Assume that the general fiber of f is of Kodaira dimension 0. Then there exists a \mathbb{Q} -divisor D on S such that $K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(K_S + D)$.*

So in order to generalize Hein's construction, we have to look for fibrations which may not be smooth and whose canonical divisor is a fractional multiple of a fiber.

Now we state the main results of our paper (details to be discussed in the following sections):

Definition 3. *Let N be an open manifold, g be a complete Riemannian metric on N . Let $\mathfrak{H}_m = \{U \in M_{m \times m} | U^t = U, \text{Im } U > 0\}$ be the Siegel upper half space. We say*

(a) *The metric g is ALG($\delta, [\theta, \varepsilon, \tau]$), if there exists $r_0 > 0$, a compact set $K \subset N$ and an embedding $\Phi : S(\theta, r_0) \times T^{2m} \hookrightarrow N \setminus K$ with dense image such that*

$$\left| \nabla_{g_{\text{flat}}}^k (\Phi^* g - g_{\text{flat}}) \right|_{g_{\text{flat}}} \leq C(k) |z|^{-\delta-k}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $S(\theta, r_0) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| > r_0, 0 < \arg z < 2\pi\theta\}$ and $g_{\text{flat}} = g_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus g_{\varepsilon, \tau}$.

(b) *The metric g is ALH if there exists $\delta > 0$, a compact set $K \subset N$, and a diffeomorphism $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \times T^{2m+1} \rightarrow N \setminus K$ such that*

$$\left| \nabla_{g_{\text{flat}}}^k (\Phi^* g - g_{\text{flat}}) \right|_{g_{\text{flat}}} \leq C(k) e^{-\delta t}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $g_{\text{flat}} = dt^2 + h$ with h some flat metric on T^{2m+1} . We say that g is ALH(ℓ, ε, τ) if g is ALH and $h = \ell^2 d\varphi^2 \oplus g_{\varepsilon, \tau}$ with respect to some topological splitting $T^{2m+1} = S^1 \times T^{2m}$, with $\varphi \in S^1 = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 2. (1) *This definition of ALG and ALH manifold is a direct generalization of the one in Hein's thesis. Also one can consider more general definitions, such as replacing the torus factor with an arbitrary compact Calabi-Yau manifold.*

(2) *The tangent cones of ALH and ALG manifolds at infinity are \mathbb{R} and C respectively, where C is a flat 2 cone.*

Now we state our main result

Theorem 1 (Main theorem). *Let $\pi_i : X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, $i = 1, 2$ be two rational elliptic fibrations. Specify one particular singular fiber F_i on each X_i , $i = 1, 2$. Let the two singular fibers F_1, F_2 project to the same point on \mathbb{P}^1 while all the other singular fibers to different points. Take the fiber product $f : X = X_1 \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} X_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Now X is a singular variety with all the singular loci contained in the singular fiber $F = F_1 \times F_2$. Let $M = X \setminus F$.*

(1) Suppose the monodromy of both F_1 and F_2 are finite. Then for some specific types, there could exist ALG or ALH type Calabi-Yau metric on M .

(2) If F_i is of $I_{b_i}^*$ type, then there exists generalized ALH* type Calabi-Yau metric on M .

(3) If F_1 is of I_b^* type and F_2 is of II^* or III^* or IV^* type, then there exists generalized ALG* type Calabi-Yau metric on M .

This paper is organized as follows:

- In section 2, we recall the semi-flat metric on smooth torus bundles.
- In section 3, we discuss an isotrivial construction, which will shed some light on the construction of the nonisotrivial one in section 4.
- In section 4, we focus on the construction of ansatz on nonisotrivial abelian surface fibrations and discuss the asymptotical behavior at infinity.
- In section 5, we prove a $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma in our cases. Then we glue the local ansatz constructed at infinity in section 4 with an appropriate initial kähler form on the whole space to get a background metric for the complex Monge-Ampère equation. Then with Tian-Yau-Hein's package, we can perturb the background metric into a genuine Calabi-Yau metric whose behavior at infinity has already been well understood.
- In section 6, we discuss some generalizations of our construction and the potential difficulties we may encounter.
- In appendix A, we briefly review the Tian-Yau-Hein's package.

Acknowledgments: We would like to express our sincere gratitude to Professor Gang Tian, for his helpful suggestions, patient guidance and revision of the earlier version. We are deeply thankful to Professor Yalong Shi for his helpful discussions. Finally, we are very appreciative of Chenhan Liu for his encouragement and assistance throughout the research. Yang Zhang is supported by NSFC No.12371058.

2. REVIEW OF RICCI-FLAT METRICS ON TORUS BUNDLES

In order to explain our construction, we review some basic facts following Hein's thesis [8].

Assume $f : X \rightarrow S$ is a holomorphic submersion over a Riemann surface S (not necessarily compact) such that all fibers $X_s = f^{-1}(s)$ are smooth complex m -tori. Assume f admits a holomorphic section $\sigma : S \rightarrow X$ and a constant polarization ω , that is $\omega \in \Omega^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\omega|_{X_s}$ is a Kähler metric, and there exists $c_i \in \mathbb{R}, \eta_i(s) \in H^2(X_s, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $[\omega|_{X_s}] = \sum c_i \eta_i(s)$ for all $s \in S$.

From the lemma below, we know that when ω is a closed form, we get a constant polarization.

Lemma 2 (Proposition 2.1 in [10]). *Fix a basis $(\tau_1(s), \dots, \tau_{2m}(s))$ of the lattice Λ_s that varies holomorphically with $s \in S$, and let $(\xi^1(s), \dots, \xi^{2m}(s))$ be the \mathbb{R} -dual basis of 1-forms on \mathbb{C}^m . Then the classes $[\xi^i(s) \wedge \xi^j(s)] \in H^2(X_s, \mathbb{Z}) \subset H^2(X_s, \mathbb{R})$ with $i < j$ form a basis of $H^2(X_s, \mathbb{Z})$. Let ω be a real 2-form on U whose restriction to X_s is closed for all $s \in S$, and expands $[\omega|_{X_s}] = \sum_{i < j} P_{ij}(s) [\xi^i(s) \wedge \xi^j(s)]$. If ω is closed, then $P_{ij}(s)$ do not depend on y .*

Proof. Consider the Gauss-Manin connection ∇^{GM} on the smooth \mathbb{R} -vector bundle $R^2 f_* \mathbb{R} \otimes \mathcal{C}_S^\infty$. By definition, the sections $[\xi^i(y) \wedge \xi^j(y)]$ of this vector bundle form a basis of the space of ∇^{GM} -parallel sections. On the other hand, since ω is closed, Cartan-Lee formula yields that:

$$\nabla_v^{\text{GM}} [\omega|_{X_s}] = [d \circ \iota_{\tilde{v}} \omega + \iota_{\tilde{v}} \circ d\omega] = [\iota_{\tilde{v}} \circ d\omega] = 0,$$

where \tilde{v} is the horizontal lift of v with respect to the Gauss-Manin connection, and the second equality comes from the assumption that $\omega|_{X_s}$ is closed. □

For $\varepsilon > 0, s \in S$, we denote by $g_{s,\varepsilon}$ the unique flat Kähler metric on X_s with $\text{vol}(X_s, g_{s,\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon$ and whose Kähler class is a proportional to $[\omega|_{X_s}]$. Restricting $g_{s,\varepsilon}$ to the tangent space $T_{\sigma(s)} X_s$ induces a

hermitian metric h_ε on the holomorphic vector bundle $E := \sigma^*T_{X/S}$, that is

$$h_{\varepsilon,s}(v, w) := g_{s,\varepsilon}(v, w), \quad \forall v, w \in T_{\sigma(s)}X_s = (\sigma^*T_{X/S})_s = E_s.$$

Assume further that X has a holomorphic volume form Ω . Then Ω induces a faithful pairing

$$\wedge^m E \otimes T^{1,0}S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad ((v_1, \dots, v_m), w) \mapsto \Omega(v_1, \dots, v_m, \sigma_*w)$$

Using the hermitian metric on $\wedge^m E$ induced by h_ε , we get a Riemannian metric $g_{S,\varepsilon}$. Explicitly, this metric is given by:

$$g_{S,\varepsilon}(w, w) = \frac{\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}(v_1, \dots, v_n, \sigma_*w, \bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_n, \sigma_*\bar{w})}{\det(h_\varepsilon(v_i, v_j))}.$$

Using $g_{S,\varepsilon}$ and the family of flat metrics $\{g_{s,\varepsilon}\}$ on the fibers, we can build a submersion metric on X .

Lemma 3. *Choose a fiber-preserving biholomorphism $X \cong E/\Lambda$ for some holomorphic lattice bundle $\Lambda \subset E$.*

- (1) Λ induces a flat \mathbb{R} -linear connection on E , hence an integrable horizontal distribution \mathcal{H} on X
- (2) \mathcal{H} is independent of the biholomorphism $X \cong E/\Lambda$.

Proof. (1) Define $\nabla : E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_S$ as follows: For any $\sigma \in E$, we can write $\sigma = \sum_i \alpha_i \sigma_i$, where $\sigma_i \in \Lambda$ form a local basis of E . Set

$$\nabla \sigma = \sum_i \sigma_i \otimes d\alpha_i \in E \otimes \Omega_S.$$

- (2) Two such isomorphisms differ only by a holomorphic section $S \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E)$. □

Remark 3. *The above connection is none other than the Gauss-Manin connection. Specifically, consider the sheaf $R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z}$ on S . Since $f : X \rightarrow S$ is a proper submersion, $R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z}$ is locally constant with $(R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z})|_s = H^1(X_s, \mathbb{Z}) = (T_{\sigma(s)}X_s)^\vee$. Then $E^\vee \cong (R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathcal{C}^\infty(S)$. Thus the connection in the above lemma is just the dual connection of the Gauss-Manin connection on the locally free sheaf $(R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathcal{C}^\infty(S)$.*

Define

$$(2) \quad g_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}(u, v) := g_{S,\varepsilon}(f_*u, f_*v) + g_{s,\varepsilon}(Pu, Pv), \quad u, v \in T_x X, s = f(x),$$

where $P := T_x X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_x = T_x X_s$ is the projection along \mathcal{H}_x . This defines a semi-flat hermitian metric $g_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}$ on X .

For computational purpose, we now derive the explicit formula for $g_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}$ in local coordinates in terms of Ω and the periods of the tori X_s with respect to ω . This will help to prove that ω is a Kähler form, which is inverse to the lemma(2).

Fix isomorphisms $X|_U \cong E|_U/\Lambda \cong (U \times \mathbb{C}^m)/\Lambda$ over an open set $U \subset \mathbb{C}$, with coordinates z on U and v along the fibers. Fix an oriented basis $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{2m})$ for Λ at one point and extend it to a tuple of multi-valued functions $\tau_i(z) \in \mathcal{O}(U, \mathbb{C}^m)$ that generates Λ everywhere. Let $(\xi^1(z), \dots, \xi^{2m}(z))$ be \mathbb{R} -dual to $(\tau_1(z), \dots, \tau_{2m}(z))$. Now we can relate the complex coordinate v_1, \dots, v_m to the real basis: Let $\tau_i(z) = (T_{1i}(z), \dots, T_{mi}(z))$, $\forall i = 1, \dots, 2m$. Define

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & \cdots & T_{12m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ T_{m1} & \cdots & T_{m2m} \end{pmatrix} = (\tau_1^t, \dots, \tau_{2m}^t) \in \mathcal{O}(U, \mathbb{C}^{m \times 2m}).$$

Then

$$(dv^1, \dots, dv^m) = (\xi^1, \dots, \xi^{2m}) \begin{pmatrix} T^{11} & \cdots & T_{m1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ T_{12m} & \cdots & T_{m2m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Written briefly, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} dv \\ d\bar{v} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T \\ \bar{T} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \xi, \quad \xi = (\Pi, \bar{\Pi}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} dv \\ d\bar{v} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\Pi \in \mathcal{O}(U, \mathbb{C}^{2m \times m})$ satisfy $(\Pi, \bar{\Pi}) \begin{pmatrix} T \\ \bar{T} \end{pmatrix} = I_{2m}$.

Existence of a constant polarization is equivalent to the existence of $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{2m \times 2m}$, $Q + Q^{\text{tr}} = 0$, such that $\omega = \frac{1}{2} \sum Q_{ij} \xi^i \wedge \xi^j$ restricts to a flat Kähler metric on each fiber.

Lemma 4. (1) *Since T is multi-valued, the well-definedness of ω requires that under the monodromy action, T transforms as $T \mapsto TA$, where $A \in \text{Gl}(2m, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies $A^{\text{tr}}QA = Q$.*

(2) *There exists $S \in \text{Gl}(2m, \mathbb{R})$ such that $S^{\text{tr}}QS = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.*

(3) *Write $TS = R(1, Z)$ with $R : U \rightarrow \text{Gl}(m, \mathbb{C})$, $Z : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ multi-valued holomorphic maps. Then ω is positive (1, 1) if and only if Z belongs to the Siegel upper half-plane \mathfrak{H}_m*

(4) *$\omega = iH_{jk}dv^j \wedge d\bar{v}^k$, where $H^{-1} := 2\bar{R}(\text{Im } Z)R^{\text{tr}} = i\bar{T}Q^{-1}T^{\text{tr}}$.*

Proof. We only prove (4). First prove $2\bar{R}(\text{Im } Z)R^t = \sqrt{-1}\bar{T}Q^{-1}T^t$. Note

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \overline{R^{-1}T}Q^{-1}(R^{-1}T)^t &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} (1, \bar{Z})S^{-1}Q^{-1}(S^{-1})^t(1, Z)^t \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} (1, \bar{Z}) (S^tQS)^{-1} (1, Z)^t \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} (1, \bar{Z}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (1, Z)^t \\ &= \text{Im } Z. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\omega = \frac{1}{2} \sum Q_{ij} \xi^i \wedge \xi^j$ and $\tilde{\omega} = iH_{jk}dv^j \wedge d\bar{v}^k$.

Change variable by $(\eta^1, \dots, \eta^{2m}) = (\xi^1, \dots, \xi^{2m})(S^t)^{-1}$. Then $\omega = \frac{1}{2} \sum \tilde{Q}_{ij} \eta^i \wedge \eta^j$, where $(\tilde{Q}_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Now $\tilde{S} = I_{2m}$.

Change variable by $(dz^1, \dots, dz^m) = (dv^1, \dots, dv^m)(R^{-1})^t$, then

$$\tilde{\omega} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} (dz^1, \dots, dz^m) (\text{Im } Z)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} dz^1 \\ \vdots \\ dz^m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now $\tilde{R} = 1$, $\tilde{T} = (1, Z)$. Hence to prove $\omega = \tilde{\omega}$, we only need to consider the case $S = 1$, $R = 1$, $Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Let $\Pi = (\pi_{i\alpha})_{2m \times m}$ such that

$$\xi^i = \sum_{\alpha} \pi_{i\alpha} dv_{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha} \bar{\pi}_{i\alpha} d\bar{v}_{\alpha}.$$

Now direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \frac{1}{2} \sum q_{ij} \xi^i \wedge \xi^j \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum q_{ij} (\pi_{i\alpha} dv_{\alpha} + \bar{\pi}_{i\alpha} d\bar{v}_{\alpha}) \wedge (\pi_{j\beta} dv_{\beta} + \bar{\pi}_{j\beta} d\bar{v}_{\beta}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum q_{ij} \pi_{i\alpha} \pi_{j\beta} dv_{\alpha} \wedge dv_{\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \sum q_{ij} \bar{\pi}_{i\alpha} \bar{\pi}_{j\beta} d\bar{v}_{\alpha} \wedge d\bar{v}_{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum q_{ij} (\pi_{i\alpha} \bar{\pi}_{j\beta} - \bar{\pi}_{i\beta} \pi_{j\alpha}) dv_\alpha \wedge d\bar{v}_\beta.$$

From this we see that ω is of type $(1, 1)$ if and only if the coefficient matrix

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i,j} q_{ij} \pi_{i\alpha} \pi_{j\beta} \right) = \Pi^t \cdot Q \cdot \Pi = 0;$$

and ω is positive if and only if

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}} \left(\sum_{i,j} q_{ij} (\pi_{i\alpha} \bar{\pi}_{j\beta} - \bar{\pi}_{i\beta} \pi_{j\alpha}) \right)_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}} (\Pi^t Q \bar{\Pi} - \Pi^t Q \bar{\Pi}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} \Pi^t Q \bar{\Pi} > 0$$

is hermitian positive definite.

Now $Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $(\Pi, \bar{\Pi}) \begin{pmatrix} T \\ \bar{T} \end{pmatrix} = I_{2m}$ implies that $\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} \Pi_1 \\ \Pi_2 \end{pmatrix}$, where $\Pi_1 = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}(\text{Im } Z)^{-1}$, $\Pi_2 = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\bar{Z}(\text{Im } Z)^{-1}$. Hence

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2} \sum q_{ij} \xi^i \wedge \xi^j = (dv^1, \dots, dv^m) \Pi^t Q \bar{\Pi} \begin{pmatrix} dv^1 \\ \vdots \\ dv^m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that

$$\Pi^t Q \bar{\Pi} = \left(-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}(\text{Im } Z)^{-1}, \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\bar{Z}(\text{Im } Z)^{-1} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \overline{\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}(\text{Im } Z)^{-1} \\ \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\bar{Z}(\text{Im } Z)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}} = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}(\text{Im } Z)^{-1}.$$

Therefore, $\omega = \tilde{\omega}$. □

Note that

$$\nabla_{\partial z_i} \begin{pmatrix} dv^1 \\ \vdots \\ dv^m \end{pmatrix} = \nabla_{\partial z_i} T \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \xi^1 \\ \vdots \\ \xi^{2m} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\partial T}{\partial z_i} \begin{pmatrix} T \\ \bar{T} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} dv \\ d\bar{v} \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, the flat connection induced by Λ has Christoffel symbols

$$\Gamma_i(z, v) = \frac{\partial T}{\partial z^i} \begin{pmatrix} T \\ \bar{T} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ \bar{v} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^m, \quad i = 1, \dots, \dim_{\mathbb{C}} U$$

i.e. the vectors $(e_i, \Gamma_i(z, v))$ span the horizontal space at $(z, v) \in U \times \mathbb{C}^m$.

Theorem 2. Define $H(\varepsilon) := \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\det Q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} H$, where $H^{-1} := 2\bar{R}(\text{Im } Z)R^t = i\bar{T}Q^{-1}T^t$.

(1) If $\Omega = g dz \wedge dv^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dv^m$ for some holomorphic function $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, then the Kähler form of the hermitian metric $g_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon}$ is given by

$$(3) \quad \omega_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon} = i|g|^2 \det(H(\varepsilon))^{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z} + iH(\varepsilon)_{jk} (dv^j - \Gamma^j dz) \wedge (d\bar{v}^k - \bar{\Gamma}^k d\bar{z}).$$

This is a closed form with top power $(m+1)!i^{(m+1)^2} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$. In particular, $g_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon}$ is a Calabi-Yau metric.

(2) The metric $\omega_{S, \varepsilon}$ on S is Kähler, and the Ricci form satisfies

$$(4) \quad \rho(\omega_{S, \varepsilon}) = -i\partial\bar{\partial} \log \det(\text{Im } Z) = \frac{i}{4} \left((\text{Im } Z)^{ab} dZ_{bc} \wedge (\text{Im } Z)^{cd} d\bar{Z}_{da} \right)$$

Corollary 1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be an elliptic fibration without singular fibers over a Riemann surface, equipped with a holomorphic section σ . Consider a holomorphic volume form Ω on X and let $\omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}$ be the semi-flat hyperkähler metric on X constructed from σ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Omega$ such that the fibers have $\omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}$ -area equal to ε . Thus, $\omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}^2 = \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$.*

Given a domain $U \subset S$ with a holomorphic coordinate z , and fixing an isomorphism of elliptic fibrations $X|_U \cong (U \times \mathbb{C}_v) / (\mathbb{Z}\tau_1 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_2)$, where $\tau_1, \tau_2 : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are multi-valued holomorphic functions ensuring that (τ_1, τ_2) is positively oriented and σ maps to the zero section. Then $\Omega = gdz \wedge dv$ for some holomorphic function $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and

$$(5) \quad \omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon} = i|g|^2 \frac{\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1\tau_2)}{\varepsilon} dz \wedge d\bar{z} + \frac{i}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1\tau_2)} (dv - \Gamma dz) \wedge (d\bar{v} - \bar{\Gamma} d\bar{z});$$

$$(6) \quad \Gamma(z, w) = \frac{1}{\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1\tau_2)} (\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1v) \tau_2' - \text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_2v) \tau_1').$$

Especially in our cases, we have

Corollary 2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be the fiber product of two elliptic fibrations without singular fibers over a Riemann surface, equipped with a holomorphic section σ . Consider a holomorphic volume form Ω on X and let $\omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}$ be the semi-flat Calabi-Yau metric on X constructed from σ and Ω such that the fibers have $\omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}$ -area equal to ε . Thus, in particular, $\omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}^3 = 6i\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$.*

Let U be a domain in S , let z be a holomorphic coordinate on U , and fix an isomorphism of elliptic fibrations $X|_U \cong (U \times \mathbb{C}_{v_1} \times \mathbb{C}_{v_2}) / (\mathbb{Z}\tau_1 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_2 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_3 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_4)$ with multi-valued holomorphic functions $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4 : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ so that $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4)$ is positively oriented and σ maps to the zero section. Then $\Omega = gdz \wedge dv_1 \wedge dv_2$ for some holomorphic function $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon} &= 4i|g|^2 \frac{\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1\tau_2) \text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3\tau_4)}{\varepsilon^2} dz \wedge d\bar{z} \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1\tau_2)} (dv_1 - \Gamma^1 dz) \wedge (d\bar{v}_1 - \bar{\Gamma}^1 d\bar{z}) \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3\tau_4)} (dv_2 - \Gamma^2 dz) \wedge (d\bar{v}_2 - \bar{\Gamma}^2 d\bar{z}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma^1(z, v) &= \frac{1}{\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1\tau_2)} (\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1v_1) \tau_2' - \text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_2v_1) \tau_1'); \\ \Gamma^2(z, v) &= \frac{1}{\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3\tau_4)} (\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3v_2) \tau_4' - \text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_4v_2) \tau_3'). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In this case $T = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 & \tau_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tau_3 & \tau_4 \end{pmatrix}$ Note

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 & \tau_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tau_3 & \tau_4 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{\tau_4}{\tau_3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\tau_4}{\tau_3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$H^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1\tau_2) & 0 \\ 0 & 2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3\tau_4) \end{pmatrix}, \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1\tau_2)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3\tau_4)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det H^{-1} = 4\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1\tau_2)\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3\tau_4)$$

By Gaussian Elimination algorithm, we can get the inverse matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} T \\ \bar{T} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i\bar{\tau}_2}{2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1\tau_2)} & 0 & \frac{-i\tau_2}{2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1\tau_2)} & 0 \\ \frac{-i\bar{\tau}_1}{2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1\tau_2)} & 0 & \frac{i\tau_1}{2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1\tau_2)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{i\bar{\tau}_4}{2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3\tau_4)} & 0 & \frac{-i\tau_4}{2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3\tau_4)} \\ 0 & \frac{-i\bar{\tau}_3}{2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3\tau_4)} & 0 & \frac{i\tau_3}{2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3\tau_4)} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then by direct computation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(z, w) &= \begin{pmatrix} \tau'_1 & \tau'_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tau'_3 & \tau'_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i\bar{\tau}_2}{2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1\tau_2)} & 0 & \frac{-i\tau_2}{2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1\tau_2)} & 0 \\ \frac{-i\bar{\tau}_1}{2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1\tau_2)} & 0 & \frac{i\tau_1}{2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1\tau_2)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{i\bar{\tau}_4}{2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3\tau_4)} & 0 & \frac{-i\tau_4}{2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3\tau_4)} \\ 0 & \frac{-i\bar{\tau}_3}{2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3\tau_4)} & 0 & \frac{i\tau_3}{2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3\tau_4)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \bar{v}_1 \\ \bar{v}_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1\tau_2)} (\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1 v_1) \tau'_2 - \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_2 v_1) \tau'_1) \\ \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3\tau_4)} (\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3 v_2) \tau'_2 - \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\tau}_4 v_2) \tau'_3) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma^1(z, v) \\ \Gamma^2(z, v) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging all these data into the semi-flat metric formula, we get the desired formula. \square

3. CONSTRUCTION OF ISOTRIVIAL FIBRATIONS

In this section, we discuss the isotrivial construction on a quotient model.

Theorem 3. *Let A be an abelian surface. Let $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ acts on $\mathbb{P}^1_{[t:s]} \times A$ in such a manner that*

(a) \mathbb{Z}_k acts on \mathbb{P}^1 by $t \mapsto \zeta_k^{-1}t$, so that the fixed loci are at $t = 0$ and $s = 0$, where $\zeta_k = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{k}\right)$ is a primitive k -th unit root;

(b) \mathbb{Z}_k induces an automorphism τ of A such that $\tau^*\Omega_A = \zeta_k\Omega_A$, where Ω_A is the holomorphic volume form on A .

Let X be the crepant resolution of the quotient space $(\mathbb{P}^1_{[t:s]} \times A)/\mathbb{Z}_k$ at $t = 0$. There is a natural abelian fibration structure $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $F = f^*(\{\infty\})$ (viewed as the pullback of a divisor) be the special fiber at $t = \infty$. Then

(1) F is an irreducible but non reduced divisor with multiplicity k ;

(2) $K_X = -\frac{2}{k}[F]$ and there exists a holomorphic volume form Ω on $M = X \setminus F$ with a second order pole on the reduced space F_{red} .

(3) There exists an appropriately chosen explicit initial metric ω_0 on the non compact manifold M and a smooth function u with Schwartz decay such that the metric

$$\omega_{\text{CY}} = \omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}u$$

satisfies the complex Monge-Ampere equation

$$\omega_{\text{CY}}^3 = \lambda\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$$

and the infinity of ω_{CY} models on the ALG ansatz with angle $\theta = \frac{2\pi}{k}$.

Remark 4. (i) In his paper [25], Wang also presents a construction for higher-dimensional isotrivial ALG ansatz. Specifically, he considers the Kähler crepant resolution of $\mathbb{C} \times Y/\langle\sigma\rangle$, where Y must have a trivial first Betti number. This condition is crucial for proving the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma. Therefore, Theorem 3 can be seen as a counterpart to Wang's result.

(ii) The existence of a crepant resolution for the singularities at $f^{-1}(0)$ follows from the assumption $\tau^*\Omega_A = \zeta_k\Omega_A$ and Roan's general theorem ([19]), which asserts that if G is a finite subgroup of $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$, then the quotient singularity \mathbb{C}^3/G admits a crepant resolution. However, this result does not extend directly to higher dimensions without modification.

(iii) The theorem can be generalized by replacing the abelian surface with an arbitrary abelian variety, provided we can perform a crepant resolution at the singularities at $t = 0$. The proof remains unchanged. However, in higher dimensions, a natural description of such resolutions is lacking.

(iv) Although the theorem builds on substantial prior work and its proof is not overly complex, it is listed separately because it offers valuable insights into constructing global models with negative canonical bundles.

To maintain consistency with our approach, we employ the semi-flat metric introduced in Theorem 2 as our model at infinity. However, upon closer examination through direct computation, it becomes evident that in the context of the quotient model, the semi-flat metric aligns perfectly with the flat metric inherited from $\mathbb{C}_t \times A$. This alignment facilitates a Kummer-type construction in this specific scenario.

More precisely, following a crepant resolution, we identify a metric defined in the vicinity of the exceptional divisors and expressed via a potential function. This metric extends the concept of the Eguchi-Hanson metric, which is traditionally defined on the blow-up of an A_2 -singularity. Utilizing this metric for gluing operations offers two significant advantages:

- **Proximity to Flatness:** The metric closely resembles the flat metric, ensuring that the resulting glued metric remains positive definite post-gluing.
- **Enhanced Decay Estimates:** Upon solving the non-compact Monge-Ampère equation, the final Calabi-Yau metric ω_{CY} exhibits a remarkable proximity to our initial ansatz. Consequently, the decay estimates significantly surpass those obtained through the polynomial decay derived from the Tian-Yau-Hein framework, providing a more favorable outcome.

Referring to Kenji Ueno's paper ([23],[24]), we list the possible constructions such that there exists crepant resolution at $t = 0$ (we omit some cases when the actions are the same while the lattices of abelian surfaces are different, because the calculating processes are almost the same):

- **Case 1:** $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (\mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E_1 \times E_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E_1 \times E_2$, $(-1, (t, w_1, w_2)) \mapsto ((-t, -w_1, w_2))$, where E_i is an arbitrary elliptic curve. Around $t = 0$ and $t = \infty$, this corresponds to II①(a) type;
- **Case 2:** $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (\mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E_1 \times E_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E_1 \times E_2$, $(\zeta_3, (t, w_1, w_2)) \mapsto ((\zeta_3 t, \zeta_3^2 w_1, w_2))$, where E_1 is an elliptic curve corresponding to a hexagonal lattice, E_2 is an arbitrary elliptic curve. Around $t = 0$, this corresponds to III②(b) type; around $t = \infty$, this corresponds to III②(a) type;
- **Case 3:** $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times (\mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E_1 \times E_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E_1 \times E_2$, $(i, (t, w_1, w_2)) \mapsto ((it, -iw_1, w_2))$, where E_1 is an elliptic curve corresponding to a square lattice, E_2 is an arbitrary elliptic curve. Around $t = 0$, this corresponds to III③(a) type; around $t = \infty$, this corresponds to III③(b) type;
- **Case 4:** $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times (\mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E_1 \times E_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E \times E$, $(\zeta_6, (t, w_1, w_2)) \mapsto ((\zeta_6 t, \zeta_6^2 w_1, w_2))$, where E_1 is an elliptic curve corresponding to a hexagonal lattice, E_2 is an arbitrary elliptic curve. Around $t = 0$, this corresponds to III④(a) type; around $t = \infty$, this corresponds to III④(b) type;
- **Case 5:** $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (\mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E \times E) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E \times E$, $(\zeta_3, (t, w_1, w_2)) \mapsto ((\zeta_3 t, \zeta_3 w_1, \zeta_3 w_2))$, where E is an elliptic curve corresponding to a hexagonal lattice. Around $t = 0$, this corresponds to II③(a) type; around $t = \infty$, this corresponds to II③(b) type;
- **Case 6:** $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times (\mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E_1 \times E_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E_1 \times E_2$, $(i, (t, w_1, w_2)) \mapsto ((it, iw_1, -w_2))$, where E_1 is an elliptic curve corresponding to a square lattice, E_2 is an arbitrary elliptic curve. Around $t = 0$, this corresponds to III⑥(a) type; around $t = \infty$, this corresponds to III⑥(b) type;
- **Case 7:** $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times (\mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E_1 \times E_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E_1 \times E_2$, $(\zeta_6, (t, w_1, w_2)) \mapsto ((\zeta_6 t, \zeta_3 w_1, -w_2))$, where E_1 is an elliptic curve corresponding to a hexagonal lattice, E_2 is an arbitrary elliptic curve. Around $t = 0$, this corresponds to III③(a) type; around $t = \infty$, this corresponds to III③(b) type;

- **Case 8:** $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times (\mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E \times E) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E \times E$, $(\zeta_6, (t, w_1, w_2)) \mapsto ((\zeta_6 t, \zeta_6 w_1, \zeta_3^2 w_2))$, where E is an elliptic curve corresponding to a hexagonal lattice. Unfortunately, this type of local model is not listed in Ueno's paper.
- **Case 9:** $\mathbb{Z}_{12} \times (\mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E_1 \times E_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E_1 \times E_2$, $(\zeta_{12}, (t, w_1, w_2)) \mapsto ((\zeta_{12} t, \zeta_6 w_1, -i w_2))$, where E_1 is an elliptic curve corresponding to a hexagonal lattice, E_2 is an elliptic curve corresponding to a square lattice. The singular fibers at $t = 0, \infty$ belongs to class IV $\textcircled{7}$.
- **Case 10:** $\mathbb{Z}_{12} \times (\mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E_1 \times E_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E_1 \times E_2$, $(\zeta_{12}, (t, w_1, w_2)) \mapsto ((\zeta_{12} t, i w_1, \zeta_3^2 w_2))$, where E_1 is an elliptic curve corresponding to a square lattice, E_2 is an elliptic curve corresponding to a hexagonal lattice. The singular fibers at $t = 0, \infty$ belongs to class IV $\textcircled{6}$.
- **Case 11:** $\mathbb{Z}_5 \times (\mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times A) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times A$, $(\zeta_5, (t, w_1, w_2)) \mapsto ((\zeta_5 t, \zeta_5 w_1, \zeta_5^3 w_2))$, where $A = \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$, where $\Lambda = \langle (1, 1), (\zeta_5, \zeta_5^2), (\zeta_5^2, \zeta_5^4), (\zeta_5^3, \zeta_5) \rangle$ is an abelian surface with automorphism group $\mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$. The singular fibers at $t = 0, \infty$ belongs to class IV $\textcircled{6}$.
- **Case 12:** $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times (\mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E \times E) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E \times E$, $(\zeta_6, (t, w_1, w_2)) \mapsto ((\zeta_6 t, \zeta_3^2 w_2, \zeta_3^2 w_1))$, where E is an elliptic curve corresponding to a hexagonal lattice. The singular fibers at $t = 0, \infty$ belongs to class IV $\textcircled{2}$.
- **Case 13:** $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times (\mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E \times E) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E \times E$, $(\zeta_6, (t, w_1, w_2)) \mapsto ((\zeta_6 t, \zeta_6 w_2, \zeta_6 w_1))$, where E is an elliptic curve corresponding to a hexagonal lattice. The singular fibers at $t = 0, \infty$ belongs to class IV $\textcircled{2}$.

Remark 5. *First four cases are trivial because after a minimal resolution, the singular fibers in these cases are found to be Kodaira-type singular fibers, each paired with a smooth elliptic curve.*

Now we give a proof to (1) and (2) of theorem(3)

Proof. For the case 1 ~ 4, everything is trivial. For the case 5 ~ 13, the proofs are very similar. We only discuss case 5 in detail. That is we have to prove

$$(9) \quad K_X = -\frac{2}{3}[F],$$

where $F = f^{-1}(\infty)$ is the fiber.

On $\mathbb{C}_t \times E \times E$, we have natural holomorphic volume form $dt \wedge dw_1 \wedge dw_2$. This volume form is invariant under the \mathbb{Z}_3 -action and hence descends naturally to $(\mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E_{w_1} \times E_{w_2})/\mathbb{Z}_3$. By the basic property of crepant resolution, the descended volume form can be lifted to a volume form on the resolution space X . We just need to calculate the order of Ω along $F = f^{-1}(\infty)$.

Locally the singularities at $t = \infty$ are modeled on $\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_3$, where \mathbb{Z}_3 acts on \mathbb{C}^3 by $\zeta \cdot (s, w_1, w_2) = (\zeta^2 s, \zeta w_1, \zeta w_2)$. Hence

$$\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_3 = \text{Specm } \mathbb{C}[s, w_1, w_2]^{\mathbb{Z}_3} = \text{Specm } \mathbb{C}[s^3, w_1^3, w_2^3, s w_1, s w_2, w_1^2 w_2, w_1 w_2^2] = V(I),$$

where I corresponds to the ideal generated by the relations given by $x_1 = s^3, \dots, x_7 = w_1 w_2^2$. Note $V(I) \rightarrow \Delta$, $(x_1, \dots, x_7) \mapsto x_1$ gives out the local fibration structure of $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ around $s = 0$. From this, the scheme theoretical fiber $f^*(\infty)$ at $t = \infty$ is not reduced. Actually, it's an irreducible smooth fiber with multiplicity 3.

Now take x_2, x_3, x_4 to be the local coordinate of V . Suppose $\Omega = \pi^*(h dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_4)$ for some meromorphic function h on V , that is

$$\frac{1}{s^2} ds \wedge dw_1 \wedge dw_2 = \pi^*(h dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_4) = h d(w_1^3) \wedge d(w_2^3) \wedge d(s w_1) = 9 h w_1^3 w_2^2 ds \wedge dw_1 \wedge dw_2,$$

hence $h = \frac{1}{9s^2 w_1^3 w_2^2} = \frac{1}{9x_4^2 x_7}$. Note $x_4 = 0$ refers to the reduced scheme F_{red} of F . Hence $K_X = -\frac{2}{3}[F]$. \square

Next we compute the ansatz given by theorem(2).

Lemma 5. *For the isotrivial case, the ansatz given in theorem(2) coincides with the flat metric descended from $\mathbb{C}_t \times A$.*

Proof. We only explain **Case 13** in detail: Consider a neighborhood U of F in X . The pullback U' of $U|_{\Delta^*}$ under $z = s^6$ can be written as $(\Delta_s^* \times \mathbb{C}_{w_1} \times \mathbb{C}_{w_2})/(\mathbb{Z} + \zeta_3\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z} + \zeta_3\mathbb{Z})$ and thus the central fiber at $s = 0$ is a smooth torus $\mathbb{C}^2/(\mathbb{Z} + \zeta_3\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z} + \zeta_3\mathbb{Z})$. The deck transformation of the monodromy on U' is generated by

$$\mathcal{A} : U' \rightarrow U', (s, w_1, w_2) \mapsto (\zeta_6^5 s, \zeta_6 w_2, \zeta_6 w_1).$$

Now the fiberwise linear map

$$\Phi : \Delta^* \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \Delta^* \times \mathbb{C}, (s, w_1, w_2) \mapsto (s^6, s(w_1 + w_2), s^4(w_1 - w_2)) = (z, v_1, v_2)$$

factors through the monodromy action of A . Hence we have an isomorphism of fibrations

$$\tilde{\Phi} : U|_{\Delta^*} \rightarrow (\Delta_z^* \times \mathbb{C}_{v_1} \times \mathbb{C}_{v_2})/(\mathbb{Z}\tau_1 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_2 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_3 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_4)$$

with multi-valued generators

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1(z) &= \text{pr}_2 \tilde{\Phi} \left(z^{\frac{1}{3}}, 1, 0 \right) = z^{\frac{1}{6}}; \\ \tau_2(z) &= \text{pr}_2 \tilde{\Phi} \left(z^{\frac{1}{3}}, \zeta_3, 0 \right) = \zeta_3 z^{\frac{1}{6}}; \\ \tau_3(z) &= \text{pr}_3 \tilde{\Phi} \left(z^{\frac{1}{3}}, 0, 1 \right) = z^{\frac{2}{3}}; \\ \tau_4(z) &= \text{pr}_3 \tilde{\Phi} \left(z^{\frac{1}{3}}, 0, \zeta_3 \right) = \zeta_3 z^{\frac{2}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} z^{\frac{1}{6}} & \zeta_3 z^{\frac{1}{6}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^{\frac{2}{3}} & \zeta_3 z^{\frac{2}{3}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Now we need to determine the multiplicity N of $\tilde{\Phi}(dz \wedge dv_1 \wedge dv_2)$ along the central fiber F . Note that we have the following commutative diagram of fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow \tilde{\Phi} & \\ V & \longrightarrow & (\Delta_z^* \times \mathbb{C}_{v_1, v_2}^2)/\Lambda(z) \end{array},$$

where the lattice $\Lambda(z) = \mathbb{Z}\tau_1 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_2 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_3 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_4$.

Note that

$$\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}^6 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[s^6, sw_1 + sw_2, w_1^3 w_2^3, w_1^6 + w_2^6, s^2 w_1 w_2, s^4 w_1 - s^4 w_2, \dots],$$

Take $x_1 = sw_1 + sw_2$, $x_2 = w_1^3 w_2^3$, $x_3 = w_1^6 + w_2^6$ to be the coordinate.

Direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi}^*(dz \wedge dv_1 \wedge dv_2) &= d(s^6) \wedge d(sw_1 + sw_2) \wedge d(s^4 w_1 - s^4 w_2) \\ &= -12s^{10} ds \wedge dw_1 \wedge dw_2 \\ &= x_1^{10} m(x_2, x_3) dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3, \end{aligned}$$

where $m(x_2, x_3)$ is rational function. Hence the multiplicity of $\tilde{\Phi}^*(dz \wedge dv)$ along F_{red} is 10.

Assume $\Omega = \frac{1}{s^2} ds \wedge dw_1 \wedge dw_2 = h dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3$, then $h = \frac{n(w_1, w_2)}{s^2} ds \wedge dw_1 \wedge dw_2$, where $n(x_2, x_3)$ is rational function. Therefore Ω has a pole of order 2 along F_{red} , that is $K_X = -\frac{2}{6}[F]$.

Thus we should take $g(z) = -\frac{1}{12z^2}$ so that the pullback of $g(z)dz \wedge dv_1 \wedge dv_2$ is just the holomorphic volume $\Omega = \frac{1}{s^2} ds \wedge dw_1 \wedge dw_2$ descended to X .

Note that

$$\omega = \frac{i\varepsilon}{\sqrt{3}}(dw_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 + dw_2 \wedge d\bar{w}_2)$$

can be descended to $U|_{\Delta^*}$ and become a constant polarization such that the volume of the fiber is ε . Change variable by

$$s = z^{\frac{1}{6}}, \quad w_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(z^{-\frac{1}{6}} v_1 + z^{-\frac{2}{3}} v_2 \right), \quad w_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(z^{-\frac{1}{6}} v_1 - z^{-\frac{2}{3}} v_2 \right),$$

then we have

$$\frac{i\varepsilon}{\sqrt{3}}(dw_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 + dw_2 \wedge d\bar{w}_2)|_{\hat{F}} = \frac{i\varepsilon}{2\sqrt{3}}|z|^{-\frac{1}{3}}dv_1 \wedge d\bar{v}_1 + \frac{i\varepsilon}{2\sqrt{3}}|z|^{-\frac{4}{3}}dv_2 \wedge d\bar{v}_2,$$

where \hat{F} is an arbitrary smooth fiber in $U|_{\Delta^*}$. From this we get

$$H(\varepsilon)_{11} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2\sqrt{3}}|z|^{-\frac{1}{3}}, \quad H(\varepsilon)_{22} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2\sqrt{3}}|z|^{-\frac{4}{3}}, \quad H(\varepsilon)_{12} = H(\varepsilon)_{21} = 0,$$

which gives $\det(H(\varepsilon))^{-1} = \frac{12}{\varepsilon^2}|z|^{\frac{5}{3}}$.

For the Christoffel symbols, we compute as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(z, v) &= \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma^1(z, v_1, v_2) \\ \Gamma^2(z, v_1, v_2) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \left(\bar{T} \right)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ \bar{v} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1 \tau_2)} (\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1 v_1) \tau_2' - \text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_2 v_1) \tau_1') \\ \frac{1}{\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3 \tau_4)} (\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3 v_2) \tau_2' - \text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_4 v_2) \tau_3') \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v_1}{6z} \\ \frac{2v_2}{3z} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We put all the data into formula(3), then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon} &= \frac{i|z|^{\frac{5}{3}} dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{12\varepsilon^2 |z|^4} \\ &\quad + \frac{i\varepsilon}{2\sqrt{3}|z|^{\frac{1}{3}}} \left(dv_1 - \frac{1}{6} \frac{v_1}{z} dz \right) \wedge \left(d\bar{v}_1 - \frac{1}{6} \frac{\bar{v}_1}{\bar{z}} d\bar{z} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{i\varepsilon}{2\sqrt{3}|z|^{\frac{4}{3}}} \left(dv_2 - \frac{2}{3} \frac{v_2}{z} dz \right) \wedge \left(d\bar{v}_2 - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\bar{v}_2}{\bar{z}} d\bar{z} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Change coordinates on $\Delta \setminus [0, 1)$ by setting $z = \left(\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha}\right)^6$ and $v_1 = \left(\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha}\right)^1(\beta_1 + \beta_2)$, $v_2 = \left(\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha}\right)^4(\beta_1 - \beta_2)$. Then α ranges over the sector $|\alpha| > \alpha_0$, $0 < \arg \alpha < \frac{2\pi}{6}$, and β_i move in the torus $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \zeta_3\mathbb{Z}$. In the new coordinate, with a carefully chosen constant $\alpha_0 = -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{\varepsilon}$, the above ansatz looks like

$$(10) \quad \omega_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon} = \frac{i}{2} \left\{ d\alpha \wedge d\bar{\alpha} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{3}}(d\beta_1 \wedge d\bar{\beta}_1 + d\beta_2 \wedge d\bar{\beta}_2) \right\}.$$

This is automatically a flat metric. So the semi-flat ansatz is just a complex 2-dimensional torus fibration over a cone whose angle is $\frac{1}{3}$. It's clear that the semi-flat ansatz coincides with the flat metric descended from $\mathbb{C}_t \times A$. □

Lemma 6. *There exists a kähler metric $\omega_{0, \varepsilon}$ on $X \setminus F$ which coincides with the pullback of $\omega_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon}$ outside a neighborhood of the $t = 0$ fiber.*

Proof. For simplicity, we only treat case 5 and case 6 in detail.

Case 5: In this case, there are only isolated singularities. Here we have 9 singularities of A_2 -type on the $t = 0$ fiber. After crepant resolution, we get 9 exceptional divisors. The local neighborhoods of

the 9 exceptional divisors are modeled on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$, and the exceptional divisors correspond to the zero section. From chapter 4 of [16], we know that by solving an ODE, we can construct a generalized Eguchi-Hanson metric on the Crepant resolution of $\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$ whose action is given by $(\zeta, (t, w_1, w_2)) \mapsto (\zeta t, \zeta w_1, \zeta w_2)$. Since Eguchi-Hanson metric is very close to the euclidean metric, we can imitate chapter 5 of [16] by using a cutoff function to glue the 9 Eguchi-Hanson metrics to the descended flat metric $\omega_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon}$ to get a Kähler metric $\omega_{0, \varepsilon}$. Note that $\omega_{0, \varepsilon}$ only changes in a neighborhood of the 9 exceptional divisors and remains unchanged near $t = \infty$.

To be more precise, we have natural identifications $(\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{Z}_3 \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3) \setminus \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\text{Bl}_0(\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \mathbb{Z}_3) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3) \cong \text{Bl}_0 \mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_3$. The Eguchi-Hanson metric on $(\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{Z}_3$ is given by

$$g_{i\bar{j}} = \sqrt[3]{1 + \frac{a^3}{u^3}} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{a^3}{a^3 + u^3} \frac{\bar{z}_i z_j}{u} \right),$$

for some parameter $a > 0$, $u = |z|^2$. Note that this metric is invariant under \mathbb{Z}_3 -action, so it's well-defined on $(\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{Z}_3$. There is a global Kähler potential for this metric:

$$f_a(u) = \sqrt[3]{a^3 + u^3} + \frac{a}{3} \sum_{j=0}^2 \zeta^j \log \left(\sqrt[3]{1 + \frac{u^3}{a^3}} - \zeta^j \right).$$

This metric can be extended to a metric on $\text{Bl}_0(\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \mathbb{Z}_3) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$. Let $\chi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth cutoff function such that $\chi(u) = 1, \forall u \leq 1$, $\chi(u) = 0, \forall u \geq 1 + \delta$, $\chi^{(k)}(u) = 0$ for $u = 1, 1 + \delta, \forall k \geq 1$. Then $\Phi_a(u) = u + \chi(u)(f_a(u) - u)$ defines a potential on $\text{Bl}_0(\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \mathbb{Z}_3)$. The kähler metric g_a associated to this Kähler potential satisfies $|g_a - g_{\text{euc}}|_{C^k} \leq C_k a^2$, where C_k is a constant independent of a . So if we take a sufficiently small, g_a is indeed a Kähler metric. By definition of f_a , we know in a neighborhood of 0, the metric is Eguchi-Hanson, while outside the neighborhood the metric is the flat one. We can carry out this process around the nine singularities in Case 5.

For general cases, the singularities may not be isolated.

Case 6: First, we determine fixed locus at $t = 0$. Note \mathbb{Z}_4 -action is generated by $\rho : (t, w_1, w_2) \mapsto (it, iw_1, -w_2)$. So $\langle \rho^2 \rangle$ form a \mathbb{Z}_2 -subgroup.

For the \mathbb{Z}_2 action, the fixed loci are given by

$$F_1 = \{(0, 0, z_1)\}, F_2 = \left\{ \left(0, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2}, z_2 \right) \right\}, F_3 = \left\{ \left(0, \frac{1}{2}, z_3 \right) \right\}, F_4 = \left\{ \left(0, \frac{i}{2}, z_4 \right) \right\},$$

where z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 ranges in E_2 . Note that the normal bundles of F_i are all trivial. Under the \mathbb{Z}_4 -action, F_1 and F_2 turn into rational curves \tilde{F}_1, \tilde{F}_2 , while F_3 and F_4 correspond to the same torus \tilde{F}_3 .

For the \mathbb{Z}_4 action, there are eight fixed points

$$P_1 = (0, 0, 0), P_2 = \left(0, 0, \frac{1}{2} \right), P_3 = \left(0, 0, \frac{i}{2} \right), P_4 = \left(0, 0, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2} \right);$$

$$P_5 = \left(0, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2}, 0 \right), P_6 = \left(0, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right), P_7 = \left(0, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2}, \frac{i}{2} \right), P_8 = \left(0, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2} \right).$$

The first four points belong to F_1 , while the latter four points belong to F_2 . In a small neighborhood U_i of each P_i , the $Y = (\mathbb{C} \times E_1 \times E_2)/\mathbb{Z}_4$ is locally isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_4$. Now we take a crepant resolution $f : X \rightarrow Y$. So $f^{-1}(U_i)$ is isomorphic to an open subset V_i of a crepant resolution of $\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_4$. Choose a small open neighborhood U of \tilde{F}_1 , so that $f^{-1} \left(U \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^4 U_i \right) = f^{-1}(U) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^4 V_i$ has product structure, i.e.

we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 F_1 \times (\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2}) & \longleftrightarrow & \widetilde{W} & \longrightarrow & f^{-1}(U) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^4 V_i, \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 F_1 \times (\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2) & \longleftrightarrow & W & \longrightarrow & U \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^4 U_i
 \end{array}$$

where W is a suitable open set in $F_1 \times (\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2)$ such that the right block is a cartesian diagram, $(\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)$ is the crepant resolution of $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$. W can be viewed as the quotient of the normal bundle of F_1 under the \mathbb{Z}_2 -action. So we can descend the flat kähler metric ω_0 on $\mathbb{C} \times E_1 \times E_2$ to $W \setminus (F_1 \times \{0\})$. Take the Eguchi-Hanson metric ω_{EH} on $(\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2})$ and glue $\omega_{\text{EH}} \oplus h_{F_1}$ with ω_0 by using cutoff function as shown in Case 5 to a Kähler metric ω_1 , where h_{F_1} is the flat metric on torus. Note that the above argument is based on the fact that the normal bundle of F_1 is trivial. Since the Eguchi-Hanson metric and flat metric are both invariant under \mathbb{Z}_4 -action, so we can descend ω_1 to $f^{-1}(U) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^4 V_i$. Now around $f^{-1}(P_i)$, we can apply the proof of theorem 4.7 in [12] to get a Kähler metric in V_i which coincides with ω_1 outside V_i .

The metric around \tilde{F}_2 can be handled identically as \tilde{F}_1 , while the metric around \tilde{F}_3 can simply be taken as ω_1 . Note that these three metrics coincide with flat metric outside a suitable neighborhood so they can be glued together as a kähler metric on the crepant resolution X .

A similar argument applies to other cases because all the dimension 1 fixed loci have trivial normal bundles. □

From Proposition 1.6 in [25], we can get a good decay estimate in our isotrivial construction.

Proposition 1 (Decay estimate). *Let u be any smooth solution to the complex Monge-Ampere equation $(\omega_{0,\varepsilon} + i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^3 = C\omega_{0,\varepsilon}^3$, then for any $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$|i\partial\bar{\partial}u|_{\omega_{0,\varepsilon}} = O\left(\frac{1}{r^\beta}\right), \quad i\partial\bar{\partial}u \in C_\beta^k(X \setminus F).$$

This kind of decay is called Schwartz decay.

To conclude this section, we discuss those cases not admitting crepant resolutions. According to [5], in Kenji-Ueno's list, there are still three singular fibers that have smooth relatively minimal models, i.e II②(b), II④(b), III①(b). In these three cases, after the canonical resolution constructed by Kenji-Ueno, we have to do contraction and simple flip so that the resulting model is relatively minimal. Nevertheless, we can still descend a holomorphic volume form onto these spaces. This is somewhat similar to (2) in theorem(3)

We discuss the case II②(b) in detail.

Consider the action $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times (\mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E \times E) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E \times E$, $(i, (t, w_1, w_2)) \mapsto ((it, iw_1, iw_2))$, where E is an elliptic curve corresponding to a square lattice. Around $t = 0$, this corresponds to II②(b) type; around $t = \infty$, this corresponds to II②(a) type.

Now consider the rational form $\Omega = tdt \wedge dw_1 \wedge dw_2$ on $\mathbb{P}_{[t:s]}^1 \times E \times E$. This form is invariant under the \mathbb{Z}_4 -action, so it can be descended to the quotient space. From the proof of theorem 1.1 in [5], we know the descended form can be lifted to the relatively minimal model X and has no zero or pole except at $t = \infty$. We still denote this holomorphic volume form on X by Ω . By a similar calculation in the previous paragraph, the fiber F at $t = \infty$ is of multiplicity 4, and Ω has a pole of order 3 along F_{red} . Then we can calculate the ansatz in the coordinate $(z, v_1, v_2) = (s^4, s^3v_1, s^3v_2)$, which will be a flat cone metric with angle $\frac{1}{4}$. The essential difficulty is that we could not carry out the gluing process as in the crepant

resolution case because we do not have cone structure here. Nevertheless, it's still quite reasonable to believe there exist such ALG Kähler Ricci flat metrics. In order to avoid such difficulties arising from gluing process, we turn to the non-isotrivial construction in the next section.

4. CONSTRUCTION OF NONISOTRIVIAL MODELS

4.1. Elaboration on the main theorem(1).

Theorem 4 (Main theorem). *Let $\pi_i : X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, $i = 1, 2$ be two rational elliptic fibrations. Specify one particular singular fiber F_i on each X_i , $i = 1, 2$. Let the two singular fibers F_1, F_2 project to the same point on \mathbb{P}^1 while all the other singular fibers to different points. Take the fiber product $f : X = X_1 \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} X_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Now X is a singular variety with all the singular loci contained in the singular fiber $F = F_1 \times F_2$. Let $M = X \setminus F$. We have:*

(1) *If the monodromy of both F_1, F_2 are finite, then*

- (1a) *In the punctured neighborhood of F , M has a local quotient model as $(\Delta_s^* \times E_{1,w_1} \times E_{2,w_2}) / \sim$, where \sim is given by the deck transformation of the monodromy action and has the form*

$$A : (s, w_1, w_2) \mapsto \left(\xi_k s, \xi_k^\alpha h_1(s) w_1, \xi_k^\beta h_2(s) w_2 \right),$$

where $h_i(s)$ is determined by the monodromy action of the elliptic fibration and $1 \leq \alpha, \beta < k$.

- (1b) *We can naturally compactify the fibration $M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ into a normal projective variety \tilde{X} fibered over \mathbb{P}^1 such that*

$$K_{\tilde{X}} = \frac{k - \alpha - \beta - 1}{k} [\tilde{F}],$$

where \tilde{F} is an arbitrary fiber of $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

- (1c) *When $\alpha + \beta > k$, there exists an ALG Ricci flat Kähler metric on $X \setminus F$ with angle $\theta = \frac{2(\alpha + \beta - k)\pi}{k}$.*
- (1d) *When $\alpha + \beta = k$, there exists an ALH Ricci flat Kähler metric on $X \setminus F$.*

(2) *If F_i is of $I_{b_i}^*$ type, then*

(2a) *We can naturally compactify the fibration $M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ into a normal projective variety \tilde{X} fibered over \mathbb{P}^1 such that*

$$K_{\tilde{X}} = -\frac{1}{2} [\tilde{F}],$$

where \tilde{F} is an arbitrary fiber of $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

(2b) *There exists a noncompact Calabi-Yau metric ω_{CY} on M satisfying $|B(x_0, s)| \sim s^{\frac{3}{2}}$ for $s \gg 1$ and the unique tangent cone at infinity is \mathbb{R}^+ .*

(3) *If F_1 is of I_b^* type and F_2 is of II^* or III^* or IV^* type, then*

(3a) *We can naturally compactify the fibration $M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ into a normal projective variety \tilde{X} fibered over \mathbb{P}^1 such that*

$$K_{\tilde{X}} = -\frac{1}{2} [\tilde{F}], -\frac{1}{2} [\tilde{F}], -\frac{1}{3} [\tilde{F}],$$

corresponding to II^ , III^* and IV^* type respectively, where \tilde{F} is an arbitrary fiber of $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.*

(3b) *There exists a generalized noncompact Calabi-Yau metric ω_{CY} on M satisfying $|B(x_0, s)| \sim s^2$ for $s \gg 1$ and the unique tangent cone at infinity is a metric cone of angle $\frac{2\pi}{3}$, $\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\frac{\pi}{3}$ corresponding to II^* , III^* and IV^* type respectively.*

Remark 6. (i) *One may argue that the fiber product of two rational elliptic surfaces is already a Calabi-Yau variety by using the adjunction formula. However, this argument is valid only for generic cases. In our cases, the fiber products are not normal, so we cannot define the canonical divisor. This is caused by the multiplicities of irreducible components in the singular fibers (for example II^* , III^* , IV^* , I_b^*).*

(ii) *The Calabi-Yau metrics in (2) can be viewed as generalized ALH*-type metrics while the the Calabi-Yau metrics in (3) can be viewed as generalized ALG*-type metrics.*

4.2. Review of local models on elliptic fibrations. Since our construction of nonisotrivial ansatz relies heavily on the fiber product structure of two elliptic fibrations, we need to review local model of singular fiber of elliptics fibrations. We follow the argument in [8] and [15].

Consider an elliptic fibration $\pi : U \rightarrow \Delta$ with only one singular fiber at 0. Then $U|_{\Delta^*}$ is abstractly isomorphic to some $(\Delta_z^* \times \mathbb{C}_v)/(\mathbb{Z}\tau_1(z) + \mathbb{Z}\tau_2(z))$, where $\tau_i(z)$ are multi-valued functions, and (z, v) forms a coordinate. By adjunction formula or Poincare residue formula, we can always write a holomorphic volume form Ω on U in the coordinate of (z, v) as $\Omega = g(z)dz \wedge dv$. However, the coordinate (z, v) is not defined on the singular fiber, so the expression $g(z)dz \wedge dv$ is a priori defined on $U|_{\Delta^*}$ not on U . Nevertheless, by assumption, Ω is defined on the whole U . So when we choose a coordinate (x, y) around a point on the singular fiber, we can compute $dz \wedge dw$ in the new coordinate (x, y) and get something as $\pi^N h(x, y)dx \wedge dy$, where N is the multiplicity of Ω along the singular fiber $\pi^{-1}(0)$. Since the semi-flat ansatz is computed in the (z, v) coordinate, it's essential to determine the multiplicity N .

Case 1: Finite monodromy: We begin by recalling the local model around a singular fiber with finite monodromy in an elliptic fibration. Let $\pi : U \rightarrow \Delta_z$ be an elliptic fibration, such that $z = 0$ is the only singular fiber whose monodromy is finite.

We only deal with the singular fiber of type IV; other cases can be treated similarly. According to Kodaira, the j -invariant has zero at $z = 0$. That is $\mathcal{J}(0) = 0$, and let $m := \text{mult}_0 \mathcal{J} \in \mathbb{N}$. From Kodaira [15], we know that $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. When τ approaches ζ_3 , we can perform a coordinate change on Δ , so that

$$\tau(z) = \zeta_3 \frac{1 - \zeta_3 z^{\frac{m}{3}}}{1 - z^{\frac{m}{3}}}$$

Traversing a counterclockwise loop around $z = 0$ once induces a transformation on τ given by

$$\tau \mapsto A\tau = \frac{d\tau + b}{c\tau + a}$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The pullback U' of $U|_{\Delta^*}$ under $z = s^3$ can be written as $(\Delta_s^* \times \mathbb{C}_w)/(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau(s^6))$ and thus extends over $s = 0$ with central fiber $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\zeta_3)$. The deck action of the monodromy on U' is generated by

$$\mathcal{A}(s, w) = \left(\zeta_3 s, \zeta_3 \frac{1 - s^{2m}}{1 - \zeta_3 s^{2m}} w \right)$$

Note that

$$\Phi : \Delta^* \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \Delta^* \times \mathbb{C}, (s, w) \mapsto (s^3, (1 - s^{2m}) s^2 w)$$

factors through the monodromy action A , thus inducing an isomorphism of elliptic fibrations

$$\Phi : U|_{\Delta^*} = U' / \sim_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow (\Delta^* \times \mathbb{C})/(\mathbb{Z}\tau_1 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1(z) &= \text{pr}_2 \Phi \left(z^{\frac{1}{3}}, 1 \right) = (1 - z^{\frac{m}{3}}) z^{\frac{2}{3}}; \\ \tau_2(z) &= \text{pr}_2 \Phi \left(z^{\frac{1}{3}}, \zeta_3 \right) = \zeta_3 (1 - \zeta_3 z^{\frac{m}{3}}) z^{\frac{2}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $(z, v) = (s^3, (1 - s^{2m}) s^2 w)$ can be viewed as a coordinate on $U|_{\Delta^*}$. From Hein [8], by analyzing the canonical resolution, we find that the multiplicity N of the holomorphic volume form along the singular fiber is $N = 1$.

For sake of readers' convenience, we list all the cases in the following table:

$\pi^*(0)$	Matrix A	Deck action \mathcal{A}	Coordinate (z, v)	generators τ_1, τ_2
I_0^*	$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$	$(s, w) \mapsto (-s, -w)$	(s^2, sw)	$z^{\frac{1}{2}}, z^{\frac{1}{2}}\tau(z)$
II	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$(s, w) \mapsto \left(\zeta_6 s, \zeta_6 \frac{1-s^{2m}}{1-\xi_3 s^{2m}} w\right)$	$(s^6, (1-s^{2m})s^5 w)$	$(1-z^{\frac{m}{3}})z^{\frac{5}{6}}, \zeta_3(1-\xi_3 z^{\frac{m}{3}})z^{\frac{5}{6}}$
II*	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$(s, w) \mapsto \left(\zeta_6 s, \zeta_6^5 \frac{1-s^{2m}}{1-\xi_3 s^{2m}} w\right)$	$(s^6, (1-s^{2m})sw)$	$(1-z^{\frac{m}{3}})z^{\frac{1}{6}}, \zeta_3(1-\xi_3 z^{\frac{m}{3}})z^{\frac{1}{6}}$
III	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$(s, w) \mapsto \left(is, i \frac{1-s^{2m}}{1-is^{2m}} w\right)$	$(s^4, (1-s^{2m})s^3 w)$	$(1-z^{\frac{m}{2}})z^{\frac{3}{4}}, i(1+z^{\frac{m}{2}})z^{\frac{3}{4}}$
III*	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$(s, w) \mapsto \left(is, -i \frac{1-s^{2m}}{1-is^{2m}} w\right)$	$(s^4, (1-s^{2m})sw)$	$(1-z^{\frac{m}{2}})z^{\frac{1}{4}}, i(1+z^{\frac{m}{2}})z^{\frac{1}{4}}$
IV	$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$(s, w) \mapsto \left(\zeta_3 s, \zeta_3 \frac{1-s^{2m}}{1-\xi_3 s^{2m}} w\right)$	$(s^3, (1-s^{2m})s^2 w)$	$(1-z^{\frac{m}{3}})z^{\frac{2}{3}}, \zeta_3(1-\xi_3 z^{\frac{m}{3}})z^{\frac{2}{3}}$
IV*	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$	$(s, w) \mapsto \left(\zeta_3 s, \zeta_3^2 \frac{1-s^{2m}}{1-\xi_3 s^{2m}} w\right)$	$(s^3, (1-s^{2m})sw)$	$(1-z^{\frac{m}{3}})z^{\frac{1}{3}}, \zeta_3(1-\xi_3 z^{\frac{m}{3}})z^{\frac{1}{3}}$

Recall that $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ for type II and IV*; $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ for type II* and IV; $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ for type III and III*.

Case 2: I_b singular fiber Let $\pi : U \rightarrow \Delta$ be an elliptic fibration with I_1 singular fiber at 0. According to Kodaira, the map

$$\Psi : (\Delta^* \times \mathbb{C}) / (\mathbb{Z}\tau_1 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_2) \rightarrow \Delta_z \times \mathbb{P}_{[X:Y:W]}^2, (z, v) \mapsto \left(z, \left[-\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \wp_z(v) : \frac{i}{8\pi^3} \wp'_z(v) : 1 \right] \right)$$

is an isomorphism between

$$(\Delta^* \times \mathbb{C}) / (\mathbb{Z}\tau_1 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_2) \cong \{(z, [X : Y : W]) | Y^2 W = 4X^3 + X^2 W - g_2(z) X W^2 - g_3(z) W^3\} \subset \Delta^* \times \mathbb{P}^2$$

where $\tau_1 = 1$, $\tau_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log z$, $g_2(z) = 20 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-z^n)^{-1} n^3 z^n$, $g_3(z) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-z^n)^{-1} (7n^5 + 5n^3) z^n$ are regular on Δ with $g_2(0) = g_3(0) = 0$ and $\wp_z(v) = \frac{1}{w^2} + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(z) \setminus (0,0)} \left(\frac{1}{(v-\lambda)^2} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right)$ is the Weierstrass \wp -function associated with the lattice $\Lambda(z)$ generated by $\tau_1(z), \tau_2(z)$. $\text{Im } \Psi$ in $\Delta \times \mathbb{P}^2$ is a smooth elliptic surface \bar{U} with central fiber the node, $y^2 = 4x^3 + x^2$. This \bar{U} represents the Kodaira canonical form for a singular fiber of type I_1 .

Recall that $\frac{dx}{y}$ is the generating holomorphic 1-form on an elliptic curve $E = \{[X : Y : W] \in \mathbb{P}^2 | Y^2 W = 4X^3 + X^2 W - g_2(z) X W^2 - g_3(z) W^3\}$, where $x = \frac{X}{Z}$, $y = \frac{Y}{Z}$. From

$$\Psi^* \left(dz \wedge \frac{dx}{y} \right) = dz \wedge \frac{-\frac{1}{4\pi^2} d\wp_z(v)}{\frac{i}{8\pi^3} \wp'_z(v)} = 2\pi i dz \wedge dv,$$

we know that when changing coordinates from $(z, (x, y))$ to (z, v) , the pullback form $\Psi^{-1*}(dz \wedge dv)$ has no order along the singular fiber, that is $N = 0$.

An I_b singular fiber can always be realized over Δ^* with $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = \frac{b}{2\pi i} \log z$. It admits an unramified, fiber-preserving b -fold covering map onto an I_1 degeneration globally. So in this case $N = 0$.

Case 3: I_b^* singular fiber: Let $\pi : U \rightarrow \Delta$ be an elliptic fibration with I_b^* singular fiber at 0. The pullback of $U|_{\Delta^*}$ under $z = u^2$ extends as $U' \rightarrow \Delta_u$ with an I_{2b} central fiber. \mathbb{Z}_2 acts naturally on U' , extending the action by deck transformations on U' , in such a way that the quotient elliptic surface has four ordinary double points along its central fiber. Resolving these singularities results in the Kodaira canonical form \bar{U} for I_b^* . We can assume that $U'|_{\Delta^*} = (\Delta_u^* \times \mathbb{C}_w) / (\mathbb{Z}\tau_1 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_2)$ with $\tau_1 = 1$ and $\tau_2 = \frac{b}{\pi i} \log u$. Since $du \wedge dw$ is invariant under the \mathbb{Z}_2 -action, it induces a holomorphic volume form on the crepant resolution \bar{U} .

Now we can change the coordinate

$$\Delta_u^+ \times \mathbb{C}_w \rightarrow \Delta_z^* \times \mathbb{C}_v, (u, w) \mapsto (z, v) = (u^2, uw).$$

In (z, v) coordinate, we have $\tau_1(z) = z^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\tau_2(z) = \frac{b}{2\pi i} z^{\frac{1}{2}} \log z$, $dz \wedge dv = u^2 du \wedge dw$. Therefore, when changing coordinate from (u, w) to (z, v) , the pullback of $dz \wedge dv$ has order $N = 1$ along the singular fiber.

4.3. Ansatz on fiber product. Now we prove part of theorem (4). In this section, we only discuss the global algebraic structure, construct the ansatz modeled at infinity and verify the asymptotic behaviors (including the verification of SOB and HMG properties). We will discuss gluing issues and perturbation to a genuine Calabi-Yau metric in the next section.

The analysis is largely analogous across all these cases, so we will focus on a few representative examples.

Situation 1: Both F_1 and F_2 are of finite monodromy, i.e. corresponding to (1) in theorem(4).

For (1a), (1b), (1c), we consider the case when F_1 is a singular fiber of type II^* and F_2 is of type III^* .

(1a) Let U_i be a neighborhood of singular fiber F_i . We pull back $U_1|_{\Delta^*}$ under $z_1 = s_1^6$, $U_2|_{\Delta^*}$ under $z_2 = s_2^4$. Let U'_i be the pullback of $U_i|_{\Delta^*}$ under z_i . From discussion in section 4.1, we may conclude

$$U'_1 = (\Delta_{s_1}^* \times \mathbb{C}_{w_1}) / (\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau(s_1^6)),$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_1 : (s_1, w_1) \mapsto \left(\zeta_6 s_1, \zeta_6^5 \frac{1 - s_1^{2m_1}}{1 - \zeta_3 s_1^{2m_1}} w_1 \right);$$

$$U'_2 = (\Delta_{s_2}^* \times \mathbb{C}_{w_2}) / (\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tilde{\tau}(s_2^4)),$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_2 : (s_2, w_2) \mapsto \left(i s_2, -i \frac{1 - s_2^{2m_2}}{1 + s_2^{2m_2}} w_2 \right),$$

where $\tau(z_1) = \zeta_3 \frac{1 - \zeta_3 z_1^{\frac{m_1}{3}}}{1 - z_1^{\frac{m_1}{3}}}$, $\tilde{\tau}(z_2) = i \frac{1 + z_2^{\frac{m_2}{2}}}{1 - z_2^{\frac{m_2}{2}}}$. When taking fiber product, we have $z = z_1 = z_2$. It is therefore convenient to perform a further pullback with $s_1 = s^2$, $s_2 = s^3$. Consequently, the fiber product space $U|_{\Delta^*}$ when pulled back under $z = s^{12}$, can be expressed as $U' = (\Delta_s^* \times \mathbb{C}_{w_1} \times \mathbb{C}_{w_2}) / \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau(s_1^6) + \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tilde{\tau}(s_2^4)$, where the deck action of monodromy acts on U' by

$$\mathcal{A} : (s, w_1, w_2) \mapsto \left(\zeta_{12} s, \zeta_6^5 \frac{1 - s^{4m_1}}{1 - \zeta_3 s^{4m_1}} w_1, -i \frac{1 - s^{6m_2}}{1 + s^{6m_2}} w_2 \right).$$

Hence $U|_{\Delta^*} = U' / \sim_{\mathcal{A}}$.

(1b) First we describe the compactification. The map

$$\Phi : \Delta^* \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \Delta^* \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}, (s, w_1, w_2) \mapsto (s^{12}, (1 - s^{4m_1})s^2 w_1, (1 - s^{6m_2})s^3 w_2)$$

factors through the monodromy action \mathcal{A} and hence induces an isomorphism of elliptic fibrations

$$\Phi : U|_{\Delta^*} = U' / \sim_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow (\Delta^* \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}) / (\mathbb{Z}\tau_1 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_2 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_3 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_4),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1(z) &= \text{pr}_2 \Phi \left(z^{\frac{1}{3}}, 1 \right) = \left(1 - z^{\frac{m_1}{3}} \right) z^{\frac{1}{6}}; \\ \tau_2(z) &= \text{pr}_2 \Phi \left(z^{\frac{1}{3}}, \zeta_3 \right) = \zeta_3 \left(1 - \zeta_3 z^{\frac{m_1}{3}} \right) z^{\frac{1}{6}}; \\ \tau_3(z) &= \text{pr}_3 \Phi \left(z^{\frac{1}{3}}, 1 \right) = \left(1 - z^{\frac{m_2}{2}} \right) z^{\frac{1}{4}}; \\ \tau_4(z) &= \text{pr}_3 \Phi \left(z^{\frac{1}{3}}, \zeta_3 \right) = i \left(1 + z^{\frac{m_2}{2}} \right) z^{\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $(z, v_1, v_2) = (s^{12}, (1 - s^{4m_1})s^2 w_1, (1 - s^{6m_2})s^3 w_2)$ can be viewed as a coordinate on $U|_{\Delta^*}$. Note that U' can be extended to $s = 0$ and the central fiber is $\mathbb{C}^2/(\mathbb{Z} + \zeta_3\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z})$. Denote \widetilde{U}' to be the extended space. The deck action \mathcal{A} also acts on \widetilde{U}' , though the action is not free anymore. Then $\widetilde{U}'/\sim_{\mathcal{A}}$ provides a compactification of $U|_{\Delta^*}$. Hence we can compactify M into a new projective variety \widetilde{X} .

Then we try to calculate the canonical divisor of \widetilde{X} . We first find a holomorphic volume form Ω on M , and then extend it to a rational form, still denoted Ω on \widetilde{X} . Since \widetilde{X} is relatively minimal, we can define an order of pole along the singular fiber. From this we obtain the canonical divisor.

From characterization of rational elliptic surface, we know that there exists holomorphic volume form Ω_i on $X_i \setminus F_i$, and Ω_i has an order one pole along F_i . According to Hein [8], in the local coordinate (z_i, v_i) the holomorphic volume form is of the form $\Omega_i = \frac{k_i(z_i)}{z_i^2} dz_i \wedge dv_i$, where $i = 1, 2$. We use Poincare-Residue formula to construct a holomorphic volume form on $X \setminus F$. Now $\Omega_1 \wedge \Omega_2 = -\frac{k_1(z_1)k_2(z_2)}{z_1^2 z_2^2} dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \wedge dv_1 \wedge dv_2$ can be viewed as a global section of $K_{X_1 \times X_2}$. The fiber product $X_1 \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} X_2 = \{(x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2 | \pi_1(x_1) = \pi_2(x_2)\}$ can be viewed as a hypersurface or divisor in the product space $X_1 \times X_2$. Also note that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} X_2 & \xrightarrow{\iota} & X_1 \times X_2, \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array}$$

where $D \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is the diagonal. Since $D \sim \{p\} \times \mathbb{P}^1 + \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{p\}$ for any point p in \mathbb{P}^1 , $X_1 \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} X_2$ is the zero locus in $X_1 \times X_2$ of $\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \boxtimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. Hence $\mathcal{O}_{X_1 \times X_2}(X) \sim \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \boxtimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. Let p be the point corresponding to F_i . In the local coordinate (z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2) , the global section s of $\mathcal{O}_{X_1 \times X_2}(X)$, when restricted to $U_1 \times U_2$, is of the form $\frac{h(z_1, z_2, v_2, v_2) z_1 z_2}{z_1 - z_2}$, where h is a local holomorphic nonzero function on $U_1 \times U_2$, $z_1 z_2$ corresponds to $\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \boxtimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ and $\frac{1}{z_1 - z_2}$ is a local section of $\mathcal{O}_{X_1 \times X_2}(X)$. From Poincare residue formula, we get

$$\Omega_1 \wedge \Omega_2 \otimes s = -\frac{k_1 k_2}{z_1^2 z_2^2} \frac{h z_1 z_2}{z_1 - z_2} d(z_1 - z_2) \wedge dz_2 \wedge dv_1 \wedge dv_2 \mapsto \Omega := -\frac{k_1 k_2 h}{z^2} dz \wedge dw_1 \wedge dw_2.$$

The well-definedness of Poincare residue suggests that Ω is a global holomorphic volume form on $M = X \setminus F$ (not on X !). Since $X \setminus F \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is an abelian surface fibration, if we apply Poincare residue formula to a generic smooth fiber, we map Ω to the holomorphic volume form on an abelian surface. This implies $h(z, v_1, v_2) = h(z)$ depends only on z . In conclusion, $\Omega = \frac{k(z)}{z^2} dz \wedge dv_1 \wedge dv_2$ on M .

Now we try to extend Ω to \widetilde{X} . To do so, we need to calculate the transition function between coordinate (z, v_1, v_2) on M and the coordinate on \widetilde{X} .

In the neighborhood of the singular point $(0, 0, 0)$, if we take $w'_1 = (1 - s_1^{4m_1}) w_1$, $w'_2 = (1 - s_2^{6m_2}) w_2$, then the monodromy action in this new coordinate looks like

$$\mathcal{A} : (s, w'_1, w'_2) \mapsto (\zeta_{12}s, \zeta_6^5 w'_1, -i w'_2).$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_{12} = \text{Specm } \mathbb{C}[s^{12}, s^2 w'_1, s^3 w'_2, w_1^6, w_2^4, s w_1^5 w_2^5, \dots].$$

We can take $x_1 = w_1^6$, $x_2 = w_2^4$, $x_3 = s w_1^5 w_2^5$ as local coordinate on \widetilde{X} across the singular fiber. Now $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto x_3^3$ represents the fibration map and $\{x_3 = 0\}$ represents the reduced component of the fiber.

Direct computation shows that

$$\frac{1}{z^2} dz \wedge dv_1 \wedge dv_2 = 12 \frac{1}{s^8} (1 - S_1^{4m_1}) (1 - S_2^{6m_2}) ds \wedge dw_1 \wedge dw_2 = \frac{h(x_1, x_2)}{x_3^8} dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3,$$

where $h(x_1, x_2)$ is some holomorphic function. From this we know that the canonical divisor of \tilde{X} is

$$K_{\tilde{X}} = -\frac{8}{12}[\tilde{F}] = \frac{12 - 10 - 9 - 1}{12}[\tilde{F}].$$

(1c) Now we calculate the semi-flat ansatz by using corollary(2). From the expressions of $\tau_i(z)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, we immediately get

$$\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1 \tau_2) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left(1 - |z|^{\frac{2m_1}{3}}\right) |z|^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad \text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3 \tau_4) = (1 - |z|^{m_2}) |z|^{\frac{1}{2}};$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^1(z, v) &= \frac{1}{\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1 \tau_2)} \left(\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1 v_1) \tau_2' - \text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_2 v_1) \tau_1' \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{v_1}{z} + \text{Error}_1 \right); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^2(z, v) &= \frac{1}{\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3 \tau_4)} \left(\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3 v_2) \tau_4' - \text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_4 v_2) \tau_3' \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{v_2}{z} + \text{Error}_2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Error}_1 &= \frac{-2m_1}{v_1} \frac{z^{\frac{m_1}{3}}}{1 - |z|^{\frac{2m_1}{3}}} \left\{ \bar{z}^{\frac{m_1}{3}} v_1 + \frac{z^{\frac{1}{6}}}{\bar{z}^{\frac{1}{6}}} \bar{v}_1 \right\}, \\ \text{Error}_2 &= \frac{2m_2}{v_2} \frac{z^{\frac{m_2}{2}}}{1 - |z|^{m_2}} \left\{ v_2 + \frac{z^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|z|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \bar{v}_2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Plugging all these data into the formula (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon} &= \frac{2\sqrt{3}i|k|^2 \left(1 - |z|^{\frac{2m_1}{3}}\right) (1 - |z|^{m_2}) |z|^{\frac{5}{6}} dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{\varepsilon^2 |z|^4} \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left(1 - |z|^{\frac{2m_1}{3}}\right) |z|^{\frac{1}{3}}} \left(dv_1 - \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{v_1}{z} + \text{Error}_1 \right) dz \right) \wedge \left(d\bar{v}_1 - \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{\bar{v}_1}{\bar{z}} + \overline{\text{Error}_1} \right) d\bar{z} \right) \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{(1 - |z|^{m_2}) |z|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(dv_2 - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{v_2}{z} + \text{Error}_2 \right) dz \right) \wedge \left(d\bar{v}_2 - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\bar{v}_2}{\bar{z}} + \overline{\text{Error}_2} \right) d\bar{z} \right), \end{aligned}$$

To analyze the asymptotic behavior of the ansatz, change coordinate on $\Delta \setminus [0, 1)$ by setting

$$z = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_0} \right)^{-\frac{12}{7}}, \quad v_1 = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_0} \right)^{-\frac{2}{7}}, \quad v_2 = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_0} \right)^{-\frac{3}{7}},$$

where $\alpha_0 = \frac{24\sqrt[4]{3}|k(0)|}{7\varepsilon}$. Then α ranges over the sector $|\alpha| > \alpha_0$, $0 < \arg \alpha < \frac{7\pi}{6}$, and β_1 moves in a fundamental region converging to the torus $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \zeta_3\mathbb{Z}$, β_2 moves in a fundamental region converging to the torus $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}$ as $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$. In the new coordinate, the above ansatz looks like

$$(11) \quad \omega_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon} = \frac{i}{2} \left\{ d\alpha \wedge d\bar{\alpha} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{\sqrt{3}} d\beta_1 \wedge d\bar{\beta}_1 + \varepsilon d\beta_2 \wedge d\bar{\beta}_2 \right\} (1 + \text{Error}(\alpha)).$$

Error(α) constitutes three error terms $\frac{\left|k\left(\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_0}\right)^{-\frac{12}{7}}\right)\right|^2}{|k(0)|^2} \left(1 - \left|\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_0}\right|^{-\frac{8}{7}m_1}\right) \left(1 - \left|\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_0}\right|^{-\frac{12}{7}m_2}\right) - 1$, Error₁(α) and Error₂(α). Hence, Error(α) = $O\left(|\alpha|^{-\frac{12}{7}}\right)$ and the error bound improves by a factor $|\alpha|^{-1}$ whenever we take a derivative with respect to α or β . In particular, $|\text{Rm}| = O\left(|\alpha|^{-\frac{31}{12}}\right)$.

(1d) There are only three cases, that is $(F_1, F_2) = (\text{II}, \text{II}^*), (\text{III}, \text{III}^*), (\text{IV}, \text{IV}^*)$. We treat the case (III, III^{*}) in detail.

The fiber product space $U|_{\Delta^*}$, when pulled back under $z = s^4$, can be written as $U' = (\Delta_s^* \times \mathbb{C}_{w_1} \times \mathbb{C}_{w_2})/\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau(s^4) + \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tilde{\tau}(s^4)$, where the deck action of monodromy acts on U' by

$$\mathcal{A} : (s, w_1, w_2) \mapsto \left(is, i\frac{1-s^{2m_1}}{1+s^{2m_1}}w_1, -i\frac{1-s^{2m_2}}{1+2^{6m_2}}w_2 \right).$$

Hence $U|_{\Delta^*} = U'/\sim_{\mathcal{A}}$. The map

$$\Phi : \Delta^* \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \Delta^* \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}, (s, w_1, w_2) \mapsto (s^4, (1-s^{2m_1})s^3w_1, (1-s^{2m_2})sw_2)$$

factors through the monodromy action \mathcal{A} and hence induces an isomorphism of fibrations

$$\Phi : U|_{\Delta^*} = U'/\sim_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow (\Delta^* \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C})/(\mathbb{Z}\tau_1 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_2 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_3 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_4),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1(z) &= \text{pr}_2 \Phi \left(z^{\frac{1}{4}}, 1 \right) = \left(1 - z^{\frac{m_1}{2}} \right) z^{\frac{3}{4}}; \\ \tau_2(z) &= \text{pr}_2 \Phi \left(z^{\frac{1}{4}}, 3 \right) = i \left(1 + z^{\frac{m_1}{2}} \right) z^{\frac{3}{4}}; \\ \tau_3(z) &= \text{pr}_3 \Phi \left(z^{\frac{1}{4}}, 1 \right) = \left(1 - z^{\frac{m_2}{2}} \right) z^{\frac{1}{4}}; \\ \tau_4(z) &= \text{pr}_3 \Phi \left(z^{\frac{1}{4}}, i \right) = i \left(1 + z^{\frac{m_2}{2}} \right) z^{\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $(z, v_1, v_2) = (s^{12}, (1-s^{4m_1})s^2w_1, (1-s^{6m_2})s^3w_2)$ can be viewed as a coordinate on $U|_{\Delta^*}$. Note that U' can be extended to $s = 0$ and the central fiber is $\mathbb{C}^2/(\mathbb{Z} + \zeta_3\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z})$. Denote \widetilde{U}' to be the extended space. The deck action \mathcal{A} also acts on \widetilde{U}' , though the action is not free anymore. Then $\widetilde{U}'/\sim_{\mathcal{A}}$ provides a compactification of $U|_{\Delta^*}$. Hence we can compactify M into a new projective variety \widetilde{X} . Similarly, we know that $K_{\widetilde{X}} = -\frac{1}{4}[F]$. The only difference is the asymptotic behavior of the corresponding ansatz. Plugging all the data into the formula(7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon} &= \frac{4i|k|^2 (1 - |z|^{m_1})(1 - |z|^{m_2}) dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{\varepsilon^2 |z|^2} \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{(1 - |z|^{m_1}) |z|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left(dv_1 - \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{v_1}{z} + \text{Error}_1 \right) dz \right) \wedge \left(d\bar{v}_1 - \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{\bar{v}_1}{\bar{z}} + \overline{\text{Error}_1} \right) d\bar{z} \right) \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{(1 - |z|^{m_2}) |z|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(dv_2 - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{v_2}{z} + \text{Error}_2 \right) dz \right) \wedge \left(d\bar{v}_2 - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\bar{v}_2}{\bar{z}} + \overline{\text{Error}_2} \right) d\bar{z} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Error}_1 &= \frac{2m_1}{v_1} \frac{z^{\frac{m_1}{2}}}{1 - |z|^{m_1}} \left\{ v_1 + \frac{z^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|z|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \bar{v}_1 \right\}, \\ \text{Error}_2 &= \frac{2m_2}{v_2} \frac{z^{\frac{m_2}{2}}}{1 - |z|^{m_2}} \left\{ v_2 + \frac{z^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|z|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \bar{v}_2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Change the coordinate on $\Delta \setminus [0, 1)$ by setting $z = \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon\alpha}{2\sqrt{2}|k(0)|}\right)$, $v_1 = \exp\left(-\frac{3\varepsilon\alpha}{8\sqrt{2}|k(0)|}\right)\beta_1$, $v_2 = \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon\alpha}{8\sqrt{2}|k(0)|}\right)\beta_2$, where $\alpha \in (0, \infty) \times i\left(0, \frac{4\sqrt{2}\pi|k(0)|}{\varepsilon}\right)$, β_i move in a fundamental region converging to the torus $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}$ as $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$. Then the metric in the new coordinate is of the form

$$\omega_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon} = \frac{i}{2} (d\alpha \wedge d\bar{\alpha} + d\beta_1 \wedge d\bar{\beta}_1 + d\beta_2 \wedge d\bar{\beta}_2) (1 + \text{Error}(\alpha)),$$

where $\text{Error}(\alpha) = O_\varepsilon\left(\exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon \text{Re } \alpha}{2\sqrt{2}|k(0)|}\right)\right)$. This error bound holds true for all derivatives as well.

Situation 2: Both F_i are of $I_{b_i}^*$ type, i.e. corresponding to (2) in theorem(4).

(2a) Let U_i be a neighborhood of singular fiber F_i . Let Ω_i be the holomorphic volume form on $X_i \setminus F_i$. From the discussion in section 4.1, we know that there exists an appropriate coordinate (z_i, v_i) on U_i such that the $\Omega_i = \frac{k_i(z)}{z_i^2} dz_i \wedge dv_i$, $i = 1, 2$, where $k_i(0) \neq 0$. According to the Poincare residue formula, we can construct a holomorphic volume form Ω on $X \setminus F$ such that in the fiber product coordinate, we have $\Omega = \frac{k(z)}{z^2} dz \wedge dv_1 \wedge dv_2$.

We then describe the compactification of X . Pull back $U_i|_{\Delta^*}$ under $z_i = u_i^2$, the resulting U_i' can be extended to \widetilde{U}_i' such that the singular fiber at 0 is of I_{2b_i} -type. Consider the fiber product $\widetilde{U} = \widetilde{U}_1' \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \widetilde{U}_2'$. It's still a normal projective variety, since there are only $4b_1b_2$ isolated singular points on the fiber product. Now we can quotient \widetilde{U} by the natural \mathbb{Z}_2 -action. It's clear that outside the singular fiber, $\widetilde{U}/\mathbb{Z}_2$ is isomorphic to the fiber product of $U_1 \setminus F_1 \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} U_2 \setminus F_2$. Hence $\widetilde{U}/\mathbb{Z}_2$ is a natural compactification. Note that $(z, v_1, v_2) = (u^2, uw_1, uw_2)$, where (z, w_1) , (z, w_2) are coordinates on U_1' and U_2' respectively and (z, v_1, v_2) is the coordinate on \widetilde{U} . Now

$$\Omega = \frac{k(z)}{z^2} dz \wedge dv_1 \wedge dv_2 = \frac{2k(u^2)}{u} du \wedge dw_1 \wedge dw_2.$$

Hence $K_{\widetilde{X}} = -\frac{1}{2}[\widetilde{F}]$.

(2b) The period in the coordinate of (z, v_1, v_2) is

$$\tau_1(z) = z^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \tau_2(z) = \frac{b_1}{2\pi i} z^{\frac{1}{2}} \log z, \quad \tau_3(z) = z^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \tau_4(z) = \frac{b_2}{2\pi i} z^{\frac{1}{2}} \log z.$$

Plugging these data into formula(7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon} &= \frac{ib_1b_2|k|^2 |\log |z||^2}{\pi^2 \varepsilon^2 |z|^2} dz \wedge d\bar{z} \\ &+ \frac{i\pi\varepsilon}{4|z| |\log |z||} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{1}{b_j} \left\{ dv_j - \left(\frac{v_j}{z} - \frac{i \text{Im} \left(z^{-\frac{1}{2}} v_j \right)}{4z^{\frac{1}{2}} |\log |z||} \right) dz \right\} \wedge \left\{ d\bar{v}_j - \left(\frac{\bar{v}_j}{\bar{z}} + \frac{i \text{Im} \left(z^{-\frac{1}{2}} v_j \right)}{4\bar{z}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\log |z||} \right) d\bar{z} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

For the purpose of analyzing the asymptotic behavior, it is beneficial to reformulate the ansatz using the coordinates (u, w_1, w_2) :

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon} &= \frac{4i|k|^2 |\log |u||^2}{\pi^2 \varepsilon^2 |u|^4} du \wedge d\bar{u} \\ &+ \frac{i\pi\varepsilon}{2 |\log |u||} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{1}{b_j} \left(dw_j + \frac{i \text{Im}(w_j)}{u |\log |u||} du \right) \wedge \left(d\bar{w}_j - \frac{i \text{Im}(w_j)}{\bar{u} |\log |u||} d\bar{u} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Delta^* = \{z \in X | 0 < z < \frac{1}{2}\}$, $U = f^{-1}(\Delta^*)$, $z_x = f(x)$ for $x \in U$. For fixed $x_0 \in U$, define $r_x = \text{dist}_M(x_0, x)$. Let $g = \frac{ik|^2 |\log |z||^2}{\pi^2 \varepsilon^2 |z|^2} dz \wedge d\bar{z}$.

Note that the diameter of $f^{-1}(z)$ is approximately $\frac{C |\log |u||}{\sqrt{|\log |u||}} = C |\log |u||^{\frac{1}{2}} = C' |\log |z||^{\frac{1}{2}}$; The g -length of $\{z' \in \Delta^* : |z'| = |z|\}$ is approximately $2\pi \frac{|\log |z||}{|z|} |z| = 2\pi |\log |z||$; For fixed z_0 and any z lying on the line

$0z_0$, the radial distance from z_0 to z is approximately $\left| \int_{|z_0|}^{|z|} \frac{\log r}{r} dr \right| \sim \frac{1}{2} |\log |z||^2$ as $z \rightarrow 0$. Thus for any fixed $x_0 \in U$ and any $x \in U$ sufficiently close to the singular fiber, we have

$$r_x \sim \text{dist}_g(z_x, z_{x_0}) \sim |\log |z_x||^2.$$

Note $f : U \rightarrow \Delta^*$ is a Riemannian submersion whose fiber volume is ε , so $\text{Vol}(f^{-1}(B), g_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon}) = \varepsilon \cdot \text{Vol}(B, g)$, for any $B \subset \Delta^*$. Moreover, f is bi-Lipschitz, hence

$$\text{Vol}_{g_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon}}(B(x_0, r_x)) \sim C \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_{|z_{x_0}|}^{|z_x|} \frac{(\log r)^2}{r^2} r dr \sim C |\log |z_x||^3 \sim r_x^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

i.e. the volume growth of geodesic ball is of order $\frac{3}{2}$. Hence $\omega_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon}$ is SOB $(\frac{3}{2})$.

Next, we prove $|B(x, \frac{1}{2}r_x)| \geq \frac{1}{C} r_x^{\frac{3}{2}}$, if $r_x \gg 1$. Recall that the diameter of $f^{-1}(z)$ and the g -length of $\{z' \in \Delta : |z'| = |z|\}$ are of order $|\log |z||^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $|\log |z||$ respectively, so

$$B\left(x, \frac{1}{2}r_x\right) \supset \{y \in U : |z_x| < |z_y| < |z_x|^{1-\alpha}\},$$

for all $x \in U$, $r_x \gg 1$ and small $\alpha > 0$. By coarea formula, we have

$$\left| B\left(x, \frac{1}{2}r_x\right) \right| \geq 2\pi \int_{|z_x|}^{|z_x|^{1-\alpha}} r^{-2} |\log r| dr = \frac{2\pi}{3} (\alpha^3 - 3\alpha^2 + 3\alpha) |\log |z_x||^3 \sim r_x^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Hence $\omega_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon}$ is SOB $(\frac{3}{2})$.

To determine the norm of Riemann curvature tensor, we just need to calculate the norm of Chern curvature. Direct computation shows that

$$|\text{Rm}(x)|^2 \sim \frac{(\pi\varepsilon)^4 |z_x|^2}{4|k(0)|^4 |\log |z_x||^4} \sim e^{-2r_x^{\frac{1}{2}}} r_x^{-2}.$$

From this, we know that $C^{k, \alpha}$ quasi-atlas exists.

Now we compute the asymptotic cone of M . Note that the diameter of fiber $f^{-1}(z_x)$ is only of order $r_x^{\frac{1}{4}}$, hence we only need to compute the tangent cone of g . Define

$$\Phi_\lambda : [0, \infty) \times S^1 \rightarrow \Delta^*, (s, \theta) \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \exp\left(-\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} s^{\frac{1}{2}} + i\theta\right) = z.$$

Direct computation shows that

$$\Psi_\lambda^*(\lambda^2 g) = \frac{4i|k|^2 b \lambda^2 \left| \log \frac{1}{2} - \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} s^{\frac{1}{2}} \right|^2}{2\pi\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{4} \lambda^{-1} s^{-1} ds \otimes ds + d\theta \otimes d\theta \right) \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{i|k(\frac{1}{2})|^2 b}{2\pi\varepsilon} ds \otimes ds.$$

Hence the tangent cone is \mathbb{R}^+ .

Situation 3: F_1 is of I_b^* type and F_2 is of II^* or III^* or IV^* type, i.e. corresponding to (3) in theorem(4).

We only discuss the case when F_2 is of type IV^* in detail.

(3a) Let U_i be a neighborhood of singular fiber F_i . Let Ω_i be the holomorphic volume form on $X_i \setminus F_i$. From the discussion in section 4.1, we know that there exists an appropriate coordinate (z_i, v_i) on U_i such that the $\Omega_i = \frac{k_i(z)}{z_i^2} dz_i \wedge dv_i$, $i = 1, 2$, where $k_i(0) \neq 0$. According to the Poincare residue formula, we can construct a holomorphic volume form Ω on $X \setminus F$ such that in the fiber product coordinate, we have $\Omega = \frac{k(z)}{z^2} dz \wedge dv_1 \wedge dv_2$.

We then describe the compactification of X . Pull back $U_i|_{\Delta^*}$ under $z_i = s_i^6$, and then the resulting U'_i can be extended to \widetilde{U}'_i such that the singular fiber at 0 is of I_{2b} -type and II^* -type respectively. Consider the fiber product $\widetilde{U} = \widetilde{U}'_1 \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \widetilde{U}'_2$. It's still a normal projective variety. Quotient \widetilde{U} by the natural \mathbb{Z}_6 action. It's clear that outside the singular fiber, $\widetilde{U}/\mathbb{Z}_6$ is isomorphic to the fiber product of $U_1 \setminus F_1 \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} U_2 \setminus F_2$. Hence

\tilde{U}/\mathbb{Z}_6 is a natural compactification. Note that $(z, v_1, v_2) = (s^6, s^3 w_1, s w_2)$, where $(z, v_1) = (s^6, s^3 w_1)$, $(z, v_2) = (s^6, s w_2)$ are coordinates on U'_1 and U'_2 respectively and (z, v_1, v_2) is the coordinate on \tilde{U} . Now

$$\Omega = \frac{k(z)}{z^2} dz \wedge dv_1 \wedge dv_2 = \frac{6k(s^6)}{s^3} ds \wedge dw_1 \wedge dw_2.$$

Hence $K_{\tilde{X}} = -\frac{1}{2}[\tilde{F}]$.

(3b) The period in the coordinate of (z, v_1, v_2) is

$$\tau_1(z) = z^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tau_2(z) = \frac{b}{2\pi i} z^{\frac{1}{2}} \log z, \tau_3(z) = \left(1 - z^{\frac{m}{3}}\right) z^{\frac{1}{3}}, \tau_4(z) = \zeta_3 \left(1 - \zeta_3 z^{\frac{m}{3}}\right) z^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Plugging these data into formula(7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon} &= \frac{i\sqrt{3}b|k|^2 |\log |z|| \left(1 - |z|^{\frac{2m}{3}}\right)}{\pi \varepsilon^2 |z|^{\frac{7}{3}}} dz \wedge d\bar{z} \\ &+ \frac{i\pi \varepsilon}{4b|z| |\log |z||} \left\{ dv_1 - \left(\frac{v_1}{z} - \frac{i \operatorname{Im} \left(z^{-\frac{1}{2}} v_j \right)}{4z^{\frac{1}{2}} |\log |z||} \right) dz \right\} \wedge \left\{ d\bar{v}_1 - \left(\frac{\bar{v}_1}{\bar{z}} + \frac{i \operatorname{Im} \left(z^{-\frac{1}{2}} v_1 \right)}{4\bar{z}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\log |z||} \right) d\bar{z} \right\} \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{3} \left(1 - |z|^{\frac{2m}{3}}\right) |z|^{\frac{2}{3}}} \left(dv_1 - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{v_1}{z} + \text{Error}_1 \right) dz \right) \wedge \left(d\bar{v}_1 - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\bar{v}_1}{\bar{z}} + \overline{\text{Error}_1} \right) d\bar{z} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Delta^* = \{z \in X \mid 0 < z < \frac{1}{2}\}$, $U = f^{-1}(\Delta^*)$, $z_x = f(x)$ for $x \in U$. For fixed $x_0 \in U$, define $r_x = \text{dist}_M(x_0, x)$. Let $g = \frac{ik|^2 |\log |z||^2}{\pi^2 \varepsilon^2 |z|^2} dz \wedge d\bar{z}$.

Note that the diameter of $f^{-1}(z)$ is approximately $\frac{C|\log |u||}{\sqrt{|\log |u||}} = C|\log |u||^{\frac{1}{2}} = C'|\log |z||^{\frac{1}{2}}$; The g -length of $\{z' \in \Delta^* : |z'| = |z|\}$ is approximately $2\pi \frac{|\log |z||^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|z|^{\frac{7}{6}}} |z| = 2\pi \frac{|\log |z||^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|z|^{\frac{1}{6}}}$; For fixed z_0 and any z lying on the line $0z_0$, the radial distance from z_0 to z is approximately $\left| \int_{|z_0|}^{|z|} \frac{|\log r|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r} dr \right| \sim \frac{|\log |z||^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|z|^{\frac{1}{6}}}$ as $z \rightarrow 0$. Thus for any fixed $x_0 \in U$ and any $x \in U$ sufficiently close to the singular fiber, we have

$$r_x \sim \text{dist}_g(z_x, z_{x_0}) \sim \frac{|\log |z_x||^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|z_x|^{\frac{1}{6}}}.$$

Note $f : U \rightarrow \Delta^*$ is a Riemannian submersion whose fiber volume is ε so $\text{Vol}(f^{-1}(B), g_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon}) = \varepsilon \cdot \text{Vol}(B, g)$, for any $B \subset \Delta^*$. Moreover f is bi-Lipschitz, hence

$$\text{Vol}_{g_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon}}(B(x_0, s)) \sim C \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_{|z_{x_0}|}^{|z_x|} \frac{|\log r|}{r^{\frac{7}{3}}} r dr \wedge d\theta \sim C \frac{|\log |z_x||}{|z_x|^{\frac{1}{3}}} \sim C r_x^2,$$

i.e. the volume growth of geodesic ball is of order 2.

Next, we prove $|B(x, \frac{1}{2}r_x)| \geq \frac{1}{C}r_x^2$, if $r_x \gg 1$. Recall that the diameter of $f^{-1}(z)$ and the g -length of $\{z' \in \Delta : |z'| = |z|\}$ are of order $|\log |z||^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\frac{|\log |z||^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|z|^{\frac{1}{6}}}$ respectively, so

$$B\left(x, \frac{1}{2}r_x\right) \supset \left\{ y \in U : |z_x| < |z_y| < (1 + \alpha)|z_x|, \left| \arg \frac{z_y}{z_x} \right| < \alpha \right\},$$

for all $x \in U$, $r_x \gg 1$ and small $\alpha > 0$. According to coarea formula,

$$\left| B\left(x, \frac{1}{2}r_x\right) \right| \geq 2\pi \int_{|z_x|}^{(1+\alpha)|z_x|} \frac{|\log r|}{r^{\frac{7}{3}}} r dr \sim \frac{\log |z_x|}{|z_x|^{\frac{1}{3}}} \sim r_x^2.$$

Hence $\omega_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon}$ is SOB (2).

Now we compute the asymptotic cone of M . Note that the diameter of fiber $f^{-1}(z_x)$ is only of order $|\log |z_x||^{\frac{1}{2}}$, hence we only need to compute the tangent cone of g . Define $\Phi_\lambda : \alpha \mapsto \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_\lambda}\right)^{-6}$, where $\alpha_\lambda |\log |\alpha_\lambda||^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \lambda$, then

$$\Phi_\lambda^*(\lambda^2 g) = \frac{216\sqrt{3}bi|k|^2}{\varepsilon^2} \left| 1 - \frac{\log |\alpha|}{\log |\alpha_\lambda|} \right| d\alpha \wedge d\bar{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{216\sqrt{3}bi|k(0)|^2}{\varepsilon^2} d\alpha \wedge d\bar{\alpha}.$$

Hence the tangent cone at infinity is a metric cone of angle $\frac{\pi}{3}$.

Remark 7. $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \otimes s$ provides a global trivializing section of the trivial bundle $K_{X_1 \times X_2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_1 \times X_2}(X)$, which implies that the invertible sheaf $(K_{X_1 \times X_2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_1 \times X_2}(X))|_X$ over X is trivial. However, we cannot conclude that K_X is trivial, because K_X is not well defined for a non-normal variety X . Moreover, the Poincare residue Ω can only be defined on M , indicating Ω provides a global trivializing section of K_M . This observation is quite important for constructing noncompact Calabi-Yau metrics, because for all those cases when the fiber product variety X is normal, K_X is indeed trivial. To be more precise, if all the irreducible components of the two fibers F_1, F_2 are reducible (i.e. of order 1, e.g. I_b, II, III, IV type singular fibers), the resulting X is normal. From [14] and [20], we know further that for $I_n \times I_m, I_n \times II, I_n \times III, I_n \times IV, II \times II, II \times III, III \times III, IV \times IV$ cases, we can carry out crepant resolution to X . Thus Ω can be lifted to a global holomorphic volume form on the resolution space. So it's impossible in these cases to construct a noncompact Calabi-Yau metrics on M .

5. PERTURBATION OF THE SEMI-FLAT ANSATZ

In this section, we aim to glue the semi-flat ansatz $\omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}$ constructed in the previous section into an ambient space. We will then employ the Tian-Yau-Hein package to perturb it into a genuine complete Calabi-Yau metric, ensuring that its asymptotic behavior resembles that of the ansatz. Compared to the elliptic fibration case studied by Hein, this approach may encounter additional topological obstructions.

Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the abelian fibration constructed in section 4. Let $F = f^{-1}(p)$ be the singular fiber. Fix a small disk $\Delta \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ around p . Then f has no singular fibers over Δ^* . Write $X|_{\Delta^*} = (\Delta^* \times \mathbb{C}^2) / \Lambda(z)$. We aim to find a metric $\hat{\omega}$ on $X \setminus F$ and glue it with the semi-flat ansatz $\omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}(\alpha)$ to produce a complete Kähler metric ω_ε , which coincide with $\omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}(\alpha)$ near the singular fiber. As a result, the asymptotic behavior of ω_ε is described by that of $\omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}(\alpha)$. Here

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}(\alpha) &= c(\alpha) |g|^2 \frac{\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1 \tau_2) \text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3 \tau_4)}{\varepsilon} dz \wedge d\bar{z} \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1 \tau_2)} (dw_1 - \Gamma^1 dz) \wedge (d\bar{w}_1 - \bar{\Gamma}^1 d\bar{z}) \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3 \tau_4)} (dw_2 - \Gamma^2 dz) \wedge (d\bar{w}_2 - \bar{\Gamma}^2 d\bar{z}), \end{aligned}$$

where $c(\alpha)$ is chosen such that $\omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}^3 = i\alpha\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$. We need this parameter α to adjust the metric properly. Then we can apply the Tian-Yau-Hein's package to get a complete Calabi-Yau metric.

Next, we outline the obstructions encountered and the methods employed to find such a metric. Portions of this process are essentially identical to those described in Hein's thesis [8], and thus we will omit redundant details.

The First difficulty: We need to prove a $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -type lemma on $X \setminus F$. There are mainly two obstructions: One is purely topological and the other is analytic.

The first obstruction is to find a Kähler metric $\hat{\omega}$ on $X \setminus F$ such that $[\hat{\omega}] = [\omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}(1)]$ in the de Rham cohomology group $H^2(X|_{\Delta^*}, \mathbb{R})$. By invoking the Leray spectral sequence and following an argument identical to that in Hein's thesis [8], we conclude that $H_2(X|_{\Delta^*}, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the six generators of $H_2(\hat{F}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^6$, where \hat{F} is a general smooth fiber over Δ^* and those so called bad cycles.

Definition 4 (Bad cycle). *Consider the restriction of the fibration to a unit disk centered at $z = 0$, that is $f : X|_{\Delta^*} \rightarrow \Delta^*$. Let \hat{F} be a general smooth fiber over a point in Δ^* . Take a simple loop $\gamma \subset F$ such that $[\gamma] \in H_1(\hat{F}, \mathbb{Z})$ is indivisible and invariant under the monodromy action. Lift a simple loop $\gamma' \subset \Delta^*$ to X , then move γ around the puncture along the lifted loop. Now the union of the translates of γ is a T^2 embedded in $f^{-1}(\gamma')$ and this is called a bad cycle.*

Remark 8. *The bad cycle is formed by those non-vanishing cycles rotating around the puncture.*

In order to guarantee that $[\hat{\omega}] = [\omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}(1)]$ in $H^2(X|_{\Delta^*}, \mathbb{R})$, we only need to show that

- $\hat{\omega}|_{\hat{F}} = \omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}(1)|_{\hat{F}}$ for any fiber \hat{F} over Δ^* ;
- $\langle \hat{\omega}, C \rangle = \langle \omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}(1), C \rangle = 0$ for any bad cycles C .

Note that $M = X \setminus F = X_1 \setminus F_1 \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} X_2 \setminus F_2$ is fiber product of two rational elliptic surface. Let ω_1, ω_2 be two Kähler metrics on $X_1 \setminus F_1$ and $X_2 \setminus F_2$ respectively such that $\langle \omega_i, C_i \rangle = 0$ and $[\omega_i|_{\Delta_i^*}] = [\omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}^i(1)]$, where Δ_i^* denotes a neighborhood near the singular fiber of $X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, C_i denotes a bad cycle on $X_i|_{\Delta_i^*}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}^1(1) &= c(\alpha)i|g|^2 \frac{\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1\tau_2)}{\varepsilon} dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 + \frac{i}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1\tau_2)} (d\omega_1 - \Gamma^1 dz_1) \wedge (d\bar{\omega}_1 - \bar{\Gamma}^1 d\bar{z}_1) \\ \omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}^2(1) &= c(\alpha)i|g|^2 \frac{\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3\tau_4)}{\varepsilon} dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 + \frac{i}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_3\tau_4)} (d\omega_2 - \Gamma^2 dz_1) \wedge (d\bar{\omega}_2 - \bar{\Gamma}^2 d\bar{z}_1).\end{aligned}$$

ω_1, ω_2 always exist and can be obtained by restriction of a global Kähler metric from X_i (This relies heavily on the fact that $H_2(T^2, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$). Now take $\hat{\omega} = \iota^*(\omega_1 \oplus \omega_2)$, where $\iota : X \hookrightarrow X_1 \times X_2$ is the inclusion map. By definition, we have $\langle \hat{\omega}, C \rangle = \langle \omega_1 + \omega_2, \iota_* C \rangle$, where C is a bad cycle on $X|_{\Delta^*}$. Since the pairing kills all the torsion part, we only need to calculate $\iota_* C$ in \mathbb{R} -coefficients. According to the Künneth formula, $H_2(X_1|_{\Delta^*} \times X_2|_{\Delta^*}, \mathbb{R}) \cong H_2(X_1|_{\Delta^*}, \mathbb{R}) \oplus H_1(X_1|_{\Delta^*}, \mathbb{R}) \otimes H_1(X_2|_{\Delta^*}, \mathbb{R}) \oplus H_2(X_2|_{\Delta^*}, \mathbb{R})$. Since $X|_{\Delta^*}$ is fiber product of two elliptic fibrations, it's clear that the bad cycles of $X|_{\Delta^*}$ can be linearly generated by a loop on Δ^* times those invariant cycles in \hat{F}_1 and \hat{F}_2 , where \hat{F}_i is smooth fiber of $X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Without losing generality, we assume that the bad cycle C is generated by a invariant cycle in \hat{F}_1 and a loop on Δ^* . According to the definition of the pushforward, $\iota_* C$ lies in $H_2(X|_{\Delta^*}, \mathbb{R})$. This homology class decomposes into three parts:

- A bad cycle in $H_2(X_1|_{\Delta^*}, \mathbb{R})$;
- A nontrivial contribution from the tensor product $H_1(X_1|_{\Delta^*}, \mathbb{R}) \otimes H_1(X_2|_{\Delta^*}, \mathbb{R})$;
- A trivial component in $H_2(X_2|_{\Delta^*}, \mathbb{R})$.

Hence $\langle \omega_1 + \omega_2, \iota_* C \rangle = 0$, and $\hat{\omega}$ satisfies our demands.

Remark 9. *We call this obstruction topological because it essentially arises from $H^2(T^4, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^6$ and those bad cycles. Our proof relies heavily on the fiber product structure.*

The next obstruction comes from $H^{0,1}(X|_{\Delta^*})$. This is analogous to the classic $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma on a compact Kähler manifold. We have already proven that $\omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}(1) - \omega$ is d -exact, and now we want to show that it's $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -exact. This is in general unrealistic. However, we could translate $\omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}(1)$ by pulling back along a section T , then require $T^*\omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}(1) - \omega$ to be $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -exact.

Write $\omega_{\text{sf},\varepsilon}(1) - \omega = d\zeta$, where ζ is a smooth real 1-form on $X|_{\Delta^*}$. Then $\xi := \zeta^{0,1}$ satisfies $\bar{\partial}\xi = 0$. From the Leray spectral sequence, we get a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\Delta^*, f_*\mathcal{O}_{X|_{\Delta^*}}) \rightarrow H^1(X|_{\Delta^*}, \mathcal{O}_{X|_{\Delta^*}}) \rightarrow H^0(\Delta^*, R^1f_*\mathcal{O}_{X|_{\Delta^*}}) \rightarrow H^2(\Delta^*, f_*\mathcal{O}_{X|_{\Delta^*}}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since Δ^* is a Stein manifold, and $f_*\mathcal{O}_{X|_{\Delta^*}} = \mathcal{O}_{\Delta^*}$ (fiber is a compact complex manifold), we have by Cartan B theorem that $H^i(\Delta^*, R^1f_*\mathcal{O}_{X|_{\Delta^*}}) = 0, \forall i \geq 1$. Thus

$$H^{0,1}(X|_{\Delta^*}) \cong H^1(X|_{\Delta^*}, \mathcal{O}_{X|_{\Delta^*}}) \cong H^0(\Delta^*, R^1f_*\mathcal{O}_{X|_{\Delta^*}}).$$

The proper base change property implies that $(R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_{X|_{\Delta^*}})_z = H^{0,1}(f^{-1}(z)) \cong \mathbb{C}^2$. Note that the fiberwise constant $(0,1)$ -form $\eta_1 = \frac{d\bar{v}_1}{\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1 \tau_2)}$ and $\eta_2 = \frac{d\bar{v}_2}{\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1 \tau_2)}$ define two holomorphic sections of $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_{X|_{\Delta^*}}$. If we restrict ξ to $f^{-1}(z)$ and write the constant part of the restriction as $a_1(z)\Theta_1(z) + a_2(z)\Theta_2(z)$, we can identify the class of ξ in $H^{0,1}(X|_{\Delta^*})$ with two holomorphic functions $a_1, a_2 : \Delta^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

For any two holomorphic functions $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 : \Delta^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ viewed as a section of X , the associated vertical translation T is given by $T(z, v_1, v_2) = (z, v_1 + \sigma_1(z), v_2 + \sigma_2(z))$. By direct computation, we get

$$T^* \omega_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon}(1) - \omega_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon}(1) = d\tilde{\zeta} + \frac{i}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1 \tau_2)} \sum_{i=1}^2 \left| \sigma'_i(z) - \Gamma^i(z, \sigma_1(z), \sigma_2(z)) \right|^2 dz \wedge d\bar{z};$$

$$\tilde{\zeta} = \tilde{\zeta}^{0,1} := \frac{i}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1 \tau_2)} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^2 \sigma_i(z) (d\bar{v}_i - \bar{\Gamma}^i(z, v) d\bar{z}) \right\}$$

Thus, if we choose $\sigma_i(z) = \frac{2\sqrt{-1}}{\varepsilon} a_i(z)$, then $(\xi + \tilde{\xi})|_{f^{-1}(z)} = 0 \in H^{0,1}(f^{-1}(z))$, $\forall z \in \Delta^*$. By the definition of sheaf, we get $\xi + \tilde{\xi} = 0 \in H^{0,1}(X|_{\Delta^*})$, i.e. $\xi + \tilde{\xi}$ is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact. Also note that the correction term $\frac{i}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{\text{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1 \tau_2)} \sum_{i=1}^2 \left| \sigma'_i(z) - \Gamma^i(z, \sigma_1(z), \sigma_2(z)) \right|^2 dz \wedge d\bar{z}$ is lifted from an open Riemann surface and thus has a smooth real potential.

In conclusion, we have proven:

Theorem 5 ($\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma). *There exists a holomorphic section $\tilde{\sigma}$ of f over Δ^* and a smooth function $u_1 : X|_{\Delta^*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $T^* \omega_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon}(1) - \omega_0 = i\partial\bar{\partial}u_1$, where T denotes the translation by $\tilde{\sigma}$ relative to the initially chosen section σ .*

By using the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma, we can glue the metrics ω_0 and $\omega_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon}(1)$. However, direct gluing by cut-off functions may cause some troubles.

The second difficulty: ω_0 and $\omega_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon}(1)$ differ a lot in their overlapping region. Gluing by cut-off function may create large negative $dz \wedge d\bar{z}$ components.

The third difficulty: $\omega_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon}(1)$ is SOB(β) manifold, where $0 < \beta < 2$. Thus in order to apply the Tian-Yau-Hein's package, we need an integrable condition

$$\int_{X \setminus F} (\omega_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon}^3(\alpha) - \alpha i \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}) = 0.$$

The second and third difficulties must be handled simultaneously. Nevertheless, from this point onward, the dimension of the fiber becomes irrelevant, and Hein's proof (see [8], Chapter 5, Claims 3, 4, and 5) applies seamlessly to our example. Therefore, we omit redundant details.

To conclude, we have

Theorem 6. *There exist a holomorphic section $\tilde{\sigma}$ over Δ^* , concentric disks $\Delta' \subset \Delta'' \subset \Delta''' \subset \Delta$, a $(1,1)$ -form $\beta \geq 0$ on \mathbb{P}^1 such that $\text{supp}(\beta) \subset \Delta''' \setminus \Delta'$, and a constant $\alpha_0 > 0$. For all $\alpha > \alpha_0$, there exist functions $u_\alpha^{\text{int}} \in C^\infty(f^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \Delta'), \mathbb{R})$ and $u_\alpha^{\text{ext}} \in C^\infty(f^{-1}(\Delta'' \setminus \{0\}), \mathbb{R})$ such that*

- their complex Hessians coincide over $\Delta'' \setminus \Delta'$;
- for all $t > t_\alpha$, $\omega_0(\alpha, t) := \omega + t f^* \beta + i\partial\bar{\partial}u_\alpha^{\text{int}, \text{ext}}$ is a positive definite closed $(1,1)$ -form on $X \setminus F$;
- $\omega_0(\alpha, t) = \omega$ over $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \Delta'''$;
- $\omega_0(\alpha, t) = T^* \omega_{\text{sf}}(\alpha)$ over $\Delta' \setminus \{0\}$, where T denotes vertical translation by $\tilde{\sigma}$ relative to σ .

Furthermore, $\int_M (\omega_0(\alpha, t)^3 - i\alpha \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}) = 0$ holds for exactly one $t > t_\alpha$.

Therefore, we could apply Tian-Yau-Hein's package (7) to get a complete noncompact Calabi-Yau metric on $X \setminus F$. The asymptotic behavior of ω_{CY} resembles $\omega_{\text{sf}, \varepsilon}(\alpha)$ because of theorem(8). This concludes the proof of theorem(4).

6. FURTHER DISCUSSION

Completing isotrivial model: It is important to note that in the case of elliptic fibrations, all ALG-type gravitational instantons can be derived from isotrivial models. For instance, one can consider the quotient $(\mathbb{P}^1_{[t:s]} \times E)/\mathbb{Z}_k$, where $k = 2, 3, 4, 6$, as described in Hein's thesis [8]. By performing Kodaira's canonical resolution on this quotient, an isotrivial rational elliptic surface is obtained, thereby enumerating all possible ALG gravitational instantons.

However, in the context of abelian surface fibrations, smooth relatively minimal models do not always exist. Even when such models do exist, as discussed in the final paragraph of Section 3, finding an appropriate gluing metric remains challenging. Consequently, the model $(\mathbb{P}^1 \times A)/\mathbb{Z}_k$ does not suffice for all cases of finite monodromy. This necessitates exploring alternative methods for constructing isotrivial models.

More general construction: Our second construction relies heavily on the fiber product structure. According to Kenji Ueno [23] and [24], there are many abelian surface fibrations that are not fiber product of elliptic fibration. One possible method is to consider a genus two curve fibration over \mathbb{P}^1 and then take the Jacobian fibration which is automatically a polarized abelian surface fibration. However, for this general construction, we may encounter two difficulties. The first difficulty is to find a global model whose canonical bundle is negative multiple of a fiber. The second difficulty arises from gluing procedure.

Relation with the Tian-Yau metric: Recall that the volume growth of the Tian-Yau metric in complex dimension three is of $\frac{3}{2}$ order, which is the same as that in our construction of the $I_{b_1}^* \times I_{b_2}^*$ case. It's natural to ask whether these two constructions are related. We also remark that the ALH* gravitational instanton (corresponding to the I_b -type singular fiber) is equivalent to the Tian-Yau metric as discussed in [9].

APPENDIX A. TIAN-YAU-HEIN'S PACKAGE

For readers' convenience, we recall the Tian-Yau-Hein package in this appendix.

Definition 5 (SOB(β)). *Let (M, g) be a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold with real dimension at least 3. Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$. We say (M, g) satisfies SOB(β) condition if there exists a fixed $x_0 \in M$ and a positive constant C such that*

- (1) $\text{Vol}_g(B(x_0, R)) \leq CR^\beta, \forall R > C;$
- (2) $\text{Vol}_g(B(x), (1 - \frac{1}{C})d_g(x, x_0)) \geq \frac{1}{C}d_g(x, x_0)^\beta;$
- (3) $\text{Ric}(x) \geq -Cd_g(x, x_0)^{-2}, \forall x \in M;$
- (4) *For any $D > C$, any two points $x, y \in M$ with $d_g(x_0, x) = d(x_0, y) = D$ can be joined by a curve in the annulus $A(x_0, \frac{1}{C}D, CD) = \{x \in M : \frac{1}{C}D < d_g(x_0, x) < CD\}$*

Definition 6 ($C^{k,\alpha}$ -atlas). *Let (M, ω_0) be a complete Kähler manifold. A $C^{k,\alpha}$ quasi-atlas for (M, ω_0) is a collection $\{\Phi_x : x \in A\}, A \subset M$, of holomorphic local diffeomorphisms $\Phi_x : B \rightarrow M, \Phi_x(0) = x$, from $B = B(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ into M which extend smoothly to the closure \bar{B} , and such that there exists $C \geq 1$ with $\text{inj}(\Phi_x^*g_0) \geq \frac{1}{C}, \frac{1}{C}g_{\mathbb{C}^m} \leq \Phi_x^*g_0 \leq Cg_{\mathbb{C}^m}$, and $\|\Phi_x^*g_0\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(B, g_{\mathbb{C}^m})} \leq C$ for all $x \in A$, and such that for all $y \in M$ there exists $x \in A$ with $y \in \Phi_x(B)$ and $\text{dist}_{g_0}(y, \partial\Phi_x(B)) \geq \frac{1}{C}$.*

Lemma 7 (Tian-Yau [22], Proposition 1.2). *If $|\text{Rm}| \leq C$, then there exists a quasi-atlas which is $C^{1,\alpha}$ for every α . If moreover $\sum_{i=1}^k |\nabla^i \text{Scal}| \leq C$, then this quasi-atlas is even $C^{k+1,\alpha}$.*

Theorem 7 (Tian-Yau-Hein's Package). *Let (M, ω_0) be a complete noncompact Kähler manifold with a $C^{3,\alpha}$ quasi-atlas which satisfies SOB(β) for some $\beta > 0$.*

Let $f \in C^{2,\alpha}(M)$ satisfy $|f| \leq Cr^{-\mu}$ on $\{r > 1\}$ for some $\mu > 2$. If $\beta \leq 2$, then assume in addition that $\int_M (e^f - 1)\omega_0^m = 0$. Then there exist $\bar{\alpha} \in (0, \alpha)$ and $u \in C^{4,\bar{\alpha}}(M)$ such that $(\omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^m = e^f\omega_0^m$. If $\beta \leq 2$, then moreover $\int_M |\nabla u|^2\omega_0^m < \infty$. Independent of the value of β , if in addition $f \in C_{\text{loc}}^{k,\bar{\alpha}}(M)$ for some $k \geq 3$, then all such solutions u belong to $C_{\text{loc}}^{k+2,\bar{\alpha}}(M)$.

Definition 7 (HMG(λ, k, α)). *Let (M, g) be a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold with real dimension at least 3. (M^n, g) is called HMG(λ, k, α), $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, if there exist $x_0 \in M$ and $C \geq 1$ such that*

(1) *for every $x \in M$ with $r(x) \geq C$, there exists a local diffeomorphism Φ_x from the unit ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ into M such that $\Phi_x(0) = x$ and $\Phi_x(B) \supset B(x, \frac{1}{C}r(x)^\lambda)$;*

(2) *$h := r(x)^{-2\lambda}\Phi_{xg}^*$ satisfies $\text{inj}(h) \geq \frac{1}{C}, \frac{1}{C}g_{\text{euc}} \leq h \leq Cg_{\text{euc}}$, and $\|h - g_{\text{euc}}\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(B, g_{\text{euc}})} \leq C$.*

Lemma 8. *A complete Kähler manifold with $|\text{Rm}| + \sum_{i=1}^k r^{i\lambda} |\nabla^i \text{Scal}| \leq Cr^{-2\lambda}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ is HMG($\lambda, k+1, \alpha$) for every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.*

Theorem 8 (Convergence to constant). *Let (M^m, ω_0) be a complete Kähler manifold and let $u, f \in C^\infty(M)$ be such that $\sup |\nabla^i u| + \sup |\nabla^i f| < \infty$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and $(\omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^m = e^f \omega_0^m$.*

(1) *Assume M is SOB(β) with $\beta \in (0, 2]$, and $r(x)^\kappa |B(x, 1)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $r(x) \rightarrow \infty$ for every fixed $\kappa > 0$. If $\int_M |\nabla u|^2 \omega_0^m < \infty$ and $|f| \leq Cr^{-\beta-\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then*

$$\sup_{B(x,1)} |u - u_{B(x,1)}| \leq Cr(x)^{-\delta}$$

for some $\delta > 0$ and all $x \in M$.

(2) *Assume M is HMG($\lambda, k+1, \alpha$) and that M has at most linear diameter growth in the sense that for all $s \geq C$ and $x_1, x_2 \in M$ with $r(x_1) = r(x_2) = s$, there exists a path $\gamma : x_1 \rightarrow x_2$ with length $(\gamma) \leq Cs$ and $s - \frac{1}{C}s^\lambda \leq r \circ \gamma \leq Cs$.*

Let $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be a smooth weight function such that $\varphi(t)(1+t)^{-\delta}$ is non-decreasing for some $\delta > 0$, and $\varphi(t - \frac{1}{2}t^\lambda) \geq \frac{1}{C}\varphi(t)$. If $\sup_x \varphi(r(x))r(x)^{1-\lambda} \sup_{B(x,1)} |u - u_{B(x,1)}| < \infty$ and $\|f\|_{\psi, k, \alpha} < \infty$ with $\psi(t) := (1+t)^{1+\lambda}\varphi(t)$, then

$$\|u - \bar{u}\|_{\varphi, k+2, \alpha} < \infty$$

for some constant $\bar{u} \in \mathbb{R}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Wolf Barth, Klaus Hulek, Chris Peters, and Antonius Van de Ven. *Compact complex surfaces*, volume 4. Springer, 2015.
- [2] Gao Chen. *Classification of gravitational instantons with faster than quadratic curvature decay*. PhD thesis, State University of New York at Stony Brook, 2017.
- [3] Tristan C Collins and Yang Li. Complete Calabi-Yau metrics in the complement of two divisors. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.10656*, 2022.
- [4] Ronan J Conlon and Hans-Joachim Hein. Asymptotically conical calabi-yau manifolds, I. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 162(15):2855–2902, 2013.
- [5] Bruce Crauder and David R Morrison. Minimal models and degenerations of surfaces with Kodaira number zero. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 343(2):525–558, 1994.
- [6] Phillip Griffiths and Joseph Harris. *Principles of algebraic geometry*. John Wiley & Sons, 2014.
- [7] Mark Haskins, Hans-Joachim Hein, and Johannes Nordström. Asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau manifolds. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 101(2):213–265, 2015.
- [8] Hans-Joachim Hein. *On gravitational instantons*. Princeton University, 2010.
- [9] Hans-Joachim Hein, Song Sun, Jeff Viaclovsky, and Ruobing Zhang. Asymptotically Calabi metrics and weak Fano manifolds. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.09287*, 2021.
- [10] Hans-Joachim Hein and Valentino Tosatti. Remarks on the collapsing of torus fibered Calabi–Yau manifolds. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 47(6):1021–1027, 2015.
- [11] Dominic Joyce. Asymptotically Locally Euclidean metrics with holonomy SU(m). *Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry*, 19:55–73, 2001.
- [12] Dominic Joyce. Quasi-ALE metrics with holonomy SU(m) and Sp(m). *Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry*, 19:103–132, 2001.
- [13] Dominic D Joyce. *Compact manifolds with special holonomy*. Oxford University Press, USA, 2000.
- [14] Grzegorz Kapustka and Michał Kapustka. Fiber products of elliptic surfaces with section and associated Kummer fibrations. *International Journal of Mathematics*, 20(04):401–426, 2009.
- [15] Kunihiko Kodaira. On compact analytic surfaces: II. *Annals of Mathematics*, 77(3):563–626, 1963.
- [16] Jørgen Olsen Lye. *Stable geodesics on a K3 surface*. PhD thesis, Dissertation, Universität Freiburg, 2019, 2019.

- [17] Rick Miranda. Persson's list of singular fibers for a rational elliptic surface. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 205(1):191–211, 1990.
- [18] Keiji Ogus. A note on moderate abelian fibrations. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 207:101–118, 1997.
- [19] Shi-Shyr Roan. Minimal resolutions of Gorenstein orbifolds in dimension three. *Topology*, 35(2):489–508, 1996.
- [20] Chad Schoen. On fiber products of rational elliptic surfaces with section. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 197:177–199, 1988.
- [21] Song Sun and Ruobing Zhang. Collapsing geometry of hyperkähler 4-manifolds and applications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.12991*, 2021.
- [22] Gang Tian and Shing Tung Yau. Complete kähler manifolds with zero Ricci curvature I. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 3(3):579–609, 1990.
- [23] Kenji Ueno. On fiber spaces of normally polarized abelian varieties of dimension 2, I. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, 17:37–95, 1971.
- [24] Kenji Ueno. On fiber spaces of normally polarized abelian varieties of dimension 2, II. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, 17:163–199, 1972.
- [25] Yuanqi Wang. Ricci-flat manifolds of generalized ALG asymptotics. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.11267*, 2022.
- [26] Shing-Tung Yau. On the Ricci curvature of a compact kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation, I. *Communications on pure and applied mathematics*, 31(3):339–411, 1978.

(R. Liang) PEKING UNIVERSITY

Email address: 2201110017@stu.pku.edu.cn

(Y. Zhang) NANJING UNIVERSITY

Email address: 502022210052@smail.nju.edu.cn