

Characteristic-Specific Partial Fine-Tuning for Efficient Emotion and Speaker Adaptation in Codec Language Text-to-Speech Models

Tianrui Wang^{1,2}, Meng Ge¹, Cheng Gong³, Chunyu Qiang¹, Haoyu Wang¹, Zikang Huang¹, Yu Jiang¹
Xiaobao Wang^{1,2}, Xie Chen⁴, Longbiao Wang^{1,5,*}, Jianwu Dang⁶

¹Tianjin Key Laboratory of Cognitive Computing and Application, College of Intelligence and Computing, Tianjin University, Tianjin, China

²Guangdong Laboratory of Artificial Intelligence and Digital Economy, Guangdong, China

³Institute of Artificial Intelligence (TeleAI), China Telecom, Beijing, China

⁴MoE Key Lab of Artificial Intelligence, AI Institute, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China

⁵Huiyan Technology (Tianjin) Co., Ltd, Tianjin, China

⁶Shenzhen Institute of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangdong, China

Abstract

Recently, emotional speech generation and speaker cloning have garnered significant interest in text-to-speech (TTS). With the open-sourcing of codec language TTS models trained on massive datasets with large-scale parameters, adapting these general pre-trained TTS models to generate speech with specific emotional expressions and target speaker characteristics has become a topic of great attention. Common approaches, such as full and adapter-based fine-tuning, often overlook the specific contributions of model parameters to emotion and speaker control. Treating all parameters uniformly during fine-tuning, especially when the target data has limited content diversity compared to the pre-training corpus, results in slow training speed and an increased risk of catastrophic forgetting. To address these challenges, we propose a characteristic-specific partial fine-tuning strategy, short as CSP-FT. First, we use a weighted-sum approach to analyze the contributions of different Transformer layers in a pre-trained codec language TTS model for emotion and speaker control in the generated speech. We then selectively fine-tune the layers with the highest and lowest characteristic-specific contributions to generate speech with target emotional expression and speaker identity. Experimental results demonstrate that our method achieves performance comparable to, or even surpassing, full fine-tuning in generating speech with specific emotional expressions and speaker identities. Additionally, CSP-FT delivers approximately 2× faster training speeds, fine-tunes only around 8% of parameters, and significantly reduces catastrophic forgetting. Furthermore, we show that codec language TTS models perform competitively with self-supervised models in speaker identification and emotion classification tasks, offering valuable insights for developing universal speech processing models.

Keywords: Domain Adaptation, Partial Fine-Tuning, Emotional Text-to-Speech, Catastrophic Forgetting, Codec Language Model

1. Introduction

With advancements in text-to-speech (TTS) technology, the focus has expanded beyond achieving high content intelligibility to include emotional expression and speaker cloning (Triantafyllopoulos et al.; Qin et al., 2023; 2023). To improve task support and model performance, TTS models are being developed with increasingly larger parameters and trained on larger datasets (Lyth and King; Peng et al., 2024; 2024). As many large-scale general codec language TTS models are now open-sourced, adapting them to generate speech that meets specific emotional expression and speaker cloning requirements has become a growing area of research interest (Moss et al.; Neekhara et al., 2020; 2021).

Adapting general pre-trained TTS models to generate speech with specific emotional expression and speaker identity is a domain adaptation (DA) problem (Singhal et al., 2023). Based on the availability of target domain data, domain adaptation methods can be categorized into three types: data-scarce, task-irrelevant-data, and target-data-available DA (Singhal et al., 2023). Data-scarce DA, such as few-shot DA, focuses on adapting a

pre-trained model to a specific task using only a few examples. This is typically achieved through in-context learning or fine-tuning with limited data (Choi et al.; Huang et al., 2020; 2022). On the other hand, task-irrelevant-data DA, including zero-shot DA, relies on the pre-trained model’s inherent generalization capabilities to adapt to new tasks without fine-tuning (Xin et al.; Casanova et al.; Wang et al., 2024; 2022; 2023a). However, both few-shot and zero-shot strategies often suffer from performance instability due to the limited availability of target-domain data (Singhal et al., 2023). In contrast, target-data-available DA employs supervised fine-tuning with labeled target domain data. By aligning the model with the specific target domain, this approach consistently delivers stable and high-performance results on target tasks (Motiian et al.; Neekhara et al., 2017; 2021).

Currently, many general-purpose codec language TTS models have been open-sourced. While these models demonstrate zero-shot capability to generate speech with prompted emotion and speaker identity, their performance is often unstable, particularly when dealing with unseen speakers or unseen emotional expressions (Zhang et al., 2023). In contrast, fine-tuning

these pre-trained models with a small amount of data that includes specific emotional expressions or speaker characteristics has been shown to significantly improve their ability to control emotion and speaker identity in speech generation, offering a more stable and reliable performance (Huang et al.; Lou et al., 2024; 2024). Fine-tuning methods can be broadly categorized into two types: full fine-tuning (Jain et al.; Inoue et al.; Yang et al., 2022; 2020; 2021) and parameter-efficient fine-tuning (Hu et al.; Hously et al.; Wang et al., 2022; 2019; 2023b). Full fine-tuning continually trains a pre-trained model on the target dataset with a small learning rate for a few steps (Moss et al., 2020), thereby adapting the entire model’s parameters to the target task or data domain. In contrast, parameter-efficient fine-tuning freezes all pre-trained parameters and only fine-tunes lightweight inserted parameters (Hu et al., 2022). This approach is often employed for large parameter models, where full fine-tuning may require unaffordable computational resources. While full fine-tuning can demonstrate superior domain adaptation performance when computational resources permit, it is prone to overfitting the target data domain, which can result in catastrophic forgetting (Chen and Garner, 2024). Therefore, effective learning of target knowledge, along with resource consumption and catastrophic forgetting, are key challenges in target-data-available DA, which also hinder the practical deployment and application of open-sourced codec language TTS models.

Effective learning of target knowledge is the primary goal of fine-tuning, and is often considered alongside the two challenges of resource consumption and catastrophic forgetting. The solution to the issue of resource consumption is straightforward: under the premise of ensuring effective learning of target knowledge, we need to minimize the number of parameters involved in the training process as much as possible. On the other hand, catastrophic forgetting, sometimes referred to as knowledge forgetting, occurs when a model loses a significant portion of the knowledge it acquired during pre-training after being fine-tuned for a specific target domain (McCloskey and Cohen; French; Goodfellow et al., 1989; 1999; 2013). This degradation severely compromises the model’s ability to generalize to fine-tuning-unseen data, for example, in TTS tasks, fine-tuning a model for a specific speaker can impair other critical capabilities, such as emotion control and word accuracy (Fan et al., 2015). To mitigate such issues, few-shot learning studies have demonstrated that transferring knowledge from specific modules of a pre-trained model can achieve effective performance on target data domains (Shen et al., 2021). Similarly, fine-tuning approaches focusing solely on the attention layer parameters of visual transformers have been shown to produce results comparable to full fine-tuning, while reducing catastrophic forgetting (Touvron et al., 2022). Furthermore, a comprehensive analysis across various datasets and model architectures highlights the importance of understanding different model modules’ specific contributions for efficiently adapting to target tasks (Ye et al., 2023). By analyzing the contributions of individual modules to target tasks, selectively fine-tuning only partial parameters allows for efficient learning of domain-specific knowledge with a minimal set of trainable pa-




Figure 1: Characteristic-specific partial fine-tuning for emotion and speaker adaptation in codec language TTS models.

rameters (Rolland and Abad, 2024). Additionally, preserving the model’s architecture and most parameters helps retain foundational knowledge, thereby effectively mitigating catastrophic forgetting (Shen et al., 2021).

To address the limitations of previous fine-tuning approaches in TTS, which reduced fine-tuning efficiency and increased the risk of knowledge forgetting by overlooking the varying contributions of different modules in TTS models to controlling specific speech information, we propose characteristic-specific partial fine-tuning. As illustrated in Figure 1, our method partially adapts a pre-trained codec language TTS model to generate speech with target-domain emotions and speaker identities using a small amount of target-domain data. We begin by analyzing the contribution (indicated by dashed lines) of each module in the codec language TTS model to emotion and speaker expression. Using the magnitude of these contributions as a guide, we then select partial modules (depicted as purple blocks) for fine-tuning in the target domain (represented by green arrows). Specifically, we employ two sets of learnable weights to compute two weighted sums of the outputs from each model’s Transformer layer. The two weighted-sum features are then used to learn emotion recognition and speaker identification tasks on a preset dataset with emotion and speaker labels, with the weights representing the contribution of different Transformer layers to controlling the emotion and speaker characteristics of the generated speech. To simultaneously adapt to emotion and speaker characteristics in the target domain, we calculate the average of two sets of weights. The Transformer layer with the highest weight contributes the most to emotion and speaker control in the original model, so we fine-tune it to leverage and maintain its highest contribution. On the other hand, the Transformer layer with the lowest contribution, which has the greatest potential for improvement, is also selected for fine-tuning. We evaluate our strategy using three pre-trained codec language TTS models: GPT-SoVITS¹, VALLE-X (Zhang et al., 2023), and CosyVoice (Du et al., 2024). Our results demonstrate that fine-tuning only two layers achieves performance comparable to, or even surpassing, full fine-tuning, with training speeds up to twice as fast. Moreover, the word error rate of models fine-tuned using our proposed method is significantly lower than that of full fine-tuning, suggesting that

¹<https://github.com/RVC-Boss/GPT-SoVITS>




Figure 2: Overview of the proposed approach. The codec language TTS model is fine-tuned for emotion recognition and speaker identification tasks using a weighted-sum framework. The method prioritizes layers with the lowest and highest weighted importance, facilitating efficient fine-tuning to generate speech with target-domain specific speaker and emotional expressions.

our approach effectively mitigates catastrophic knowledge forgetting caused by the limited content diversity of small target-domain datasets. Additionally, we evaluate the cross-lingual and cross-dataset robustness of the characteristic-specific contributions identified by our strategy. This means that once the feature contributions for emotion expression and speaker identity control are analyzed, they can be applied to fine-tune any target-domain data for emotion and speaker adaptation.

Our main contributions are summarized as follows:

- We propose a characteristic-specific partial fine-tuning, short as CSP-FT, to efficiently adapt a general-purpose codec language TTS model for generating speech with specific emotional expressions and speaker identities.
- Our approach emphasizes analyzing the contributions of different Transformer layers in the model to control emotion and speaker characteristics in the generated speech. This analysis is crucial for selecting parameters and significantly enhances the performance of partial parameter fine-tuning while reducing the risk of catastrophic forgetting.
- Experiments on three open-source codec language TTS models demonstrate that our method can significantly alleviate the issue of catastrophic forgetting, achieving excellent adaptation performance with fast fine-tuning speeds and few trainable parameters.
- Additionally, we explore leveraging the codec language TTS model as a speech encoder for tasks such as speech emotion recognition and speaker identification, showing that TTS models can not only generate high-quality speech but also be effectively utilized for speech perception tasks.

2. Methodology

As described in Section 1, our goal is to adapt the pre-trained codec language TTS model to generate speech with specific emotional expressions and speaker identities for the target domain. While full fine-tuning can achieve this, it not only

slows down the fine-tuning process but also risks losing the content knowledge acquired during the pre-training stage. To address this, we propose a partial parameter fine-tuning strategy based on the contributions of different model components to emotion and speaker control in the generated speech, as illustrated in Figure 2. In this section, we will introduce our method in detail, beginning with a global overview and progressively providing a more localized explanation.

2.1. Preliminary and Overall of our Method

The goal of the speaker and emotional domain adaptation in TTS is to effectively transfer a pre-trained model $f : \mathbf{X}^s \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}^s$ from the source domain to the target domain, enabling it to perform well on generating speech with target-domain emotional expression and speaker identify $f : \mathbf{X}^t \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}^t$. The resulting model f should not only excel on the target domain dataset with specific speaker and emotional expressions but also retain the extensive content knowledge acquired from the source domain dataset. Traditional fine-tuning treats all parameters in f equally to achieve adaptation. In contrast, our proposed characteristic-specific partial fine-tuning strategy selects a subset of parameters from f by analyzing the contributions of different model modules to control emotion and speaker identity in the generated speech. By freezing the remaining parameters, we fine-tune only the selected subset modules to adapt the model to the target domain. Our method not only speeds up the fine-tuning process but also preserves the knowledge acquired during pre-training, thereby significantly reducing the risk of catastrophic forgetting.

As shown in Figure 2, our method consists of two stages: characteristic-specific analysis and characteristic-specific partial fine-tuning. In the first stage, we treat the codec language TTS model as an encoder, using two sets of learnable weights to compute two weighted sums of the Transformer layer outputs, generating representations for emotion and speaker respectively. These representations are then passed to lightweight

downstream modules for emotion recognition and speaker identification tasks. In the second stage, we calculate the mean of the two sets of learnable layer weights and select the Transformer blocks corresponding to the highest and lowest weights for fine-tuning on the target domain. Our approach ensures the preservation of the original content knowledge in the pre-trained TTS model while significantly enhancing the model’s ability to express the target-domain speaker identity and emotional characteristics in the generated speech. We will provide a detailed explanation of each stage in the subsequent sections.

2.2. Characteristic-Specific Analysis of Codec Language TTS

The codec language TTS model uses a Transformer architecture to predict the next discrete speech token in an autoregressive manner based on text and speech prompts. We repurpose this pre-trained model as a causal encoder, fine-tuning it with a learnable weighted-sum strategy for emotion recognition and speaker identification, enabling an analysis of how the model’s layers capture and control emotional and speaker-specific information in speech.

The input speech waveform is converted into discrete speech tokens $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{T_A \times 1}$ using a codec compression model with quantization (Défossez et al.; Du et al., 2023; 2024). Then, the text, beginning of sentence token (BOS), and speech tokens are passed through embedding modules to generate continuous representations, which are concatenated and fed into an N -layer Transformer. The Transformer processes the input and produces D -dimensional layer-wise representations, denoted as $\mathbf{O} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times (T_s + 1 + T_A) \times D}$:

$$\mathbf{O} = \{\mathbf{O}_1, \mathbf{O}_2, \dots, \mathbf{O}_N\}. \quad (1)$$

In speech synthesis, the model directly predicts the next speech discrete token based on \mathbf{O}_N . However, our goal is to analyze the contribution of each layer of the Transformer to emotion and speaker control in the generated speech. To achieve this, we apply normalization and combine the layer outputs using learnable weights with softmax, \mathbf{W}_e or \mathbf{W}_s , thereby obtaining task-specific representations $\mathbf{Z}_e, \mathbf{Z}_s \in \mathbb{R}^{(T_s + T_A + 1) \times D}$, defined as:

$$\mathbf{Z} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{e^{\omega_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^N e^{\omega_j}} \cdot \text{layernorm}(\mathbf{O}_i) \right), \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{W} = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_N\}$ represents the learnable weights for each layer.

The contribution of controlling emotion and speaker identity in the generated speech is primarily reflected in the amount of relevant information contained by the module’s representations. Therefore, we use speech emotion recognition and speaker identification tasks to train \mathbf{W} . Specifically, the characteristic-specific representations $\mathbf{Z}_e, \mathbf{Z}_s$ are transformed into utterance-level representations. This transformation is achieved using a stacked convolution module (Conv), consisting of 1D Convolution and ReLU, followed by attentive stat pooling (ASP) (Desplanques et al., 2020), which aggregates the frame-level representations. Finally, a cross-entropy loss function is applied to optimize the layer weights, convolutions, and ASP modules.

After training on speech emotion recognition and speaker identification, the layer weights \mathbf{W}_e and \mathbf{W}_s indicate the contribution of each layer for the respective downstream tasks. By analyzing the magnitude of these weights, we can identify the relevant layers for fine-tuning in order to generate speech with target-domain emotion and speaker identity.

2.3. Layer Selection for Emotion and Speaker Adaptation

Based on the weighted-sum learning for speaker identification and emotion recognition, \mathbf{W}_e and \mathbf{W}_s reflect the contribution of different Transformer layers in the TTS model to controlling emotion and speaker identity in the generated speech. Since we aim to learn the control of both emotion and speaker attributes simultaneously, we first compute the mean of \mathbf{W}_e and \mathbf{W}_s , denoted as \mathbf{W}_m :

$$\mathbf{W}_m = \frac{\mathbf{W}_e + \mathbf{W}_s}{2}. \quad (3)$$

We then select the two layers corresponding to the highest and lowest mean values for fine-tuning on the target-domain data. The layer with the lowest weight, which contains minimal emotion and speaker-related knowledge, is fine-tuned to strengthen its contribution to controlling the speaker and emotion of the generated speech. In contrast, the layer with the highest weight, which captures the most emotion and speaker information, is leveraged to maximize its utility. By freezing the remaining layers, we preserve the pre-trained knowledge, thereby minimizing the risk of catastrophic forgetting, which could otherwise undermine the TTS model’s performance, especially in terms of word accuracy. This strategy strikes a balance between enabling emotion and speaker adaptation in the characteristic-specific layers and maintaining the model’s strong foundational knowledge, leading to an effective and efficient adaptation for generating speech with the desired target-domain emotion and speaker expression.

2.4. Characteristic-Specific Partial Fine-Tuning

Section 2.3 selects two Transformer layers for emotion and speaker adaptation based on the characteristic-specific weights derived in Section 2.2. Since the utterance-level emotion and speaker classification explored in Section 2.2 is theoretically independent of the speech content (Du et al., 2022), the speaker and emotion controlling capabilities of the characteristic-specific selected layers are also cross-lingual and content-agnostic. When working with target-domain datasets containing specific emotional expressions and speaker characteristics, we only need to freeze the remaining parameters and fine-tune the selected two Transformer layers on the target-domain TTS dataset, as shown in Figure 2 (b). This allows the model to efficiently learn to generate speech with the emotional expression and speaker identity of the target domain. The cross-lingual and content-agnostic property of our approach allows us to complete the preparatory work in Sections 2.2 and 2.3 using open-source data with speaker and emotion annotations. We can then directly apply the selected modules to target-domain TTS fine-tuning, eliminating the need to repeat the exploration of Sections 2.2 and 2.3 on the target-domain dataset.

3. Experiment Setup

To validate our approach, we conduct experiments on fine-tuning TTS models to generate speech with target-domain emotional and speaker expressions, using three open-source pre-trained codec language TTS models.

3.1. Dataset

We collect 11 public English emotional speech datasets: CREMA-D, EMNS, EmoV-DB, eINTERFACE, IEMOCAP, JL-Corpus, MEAD, MELD, RAVDE-SS, TESS, and MSP, whose details are summarized in EmoBox (Ma et al., 2024). To ensure consistency and balance across datasets, we select only emotions with over 8,000 samples, resulting in 8 emotion labels: angry, happy, sad, fear, disgust, neutral, surprise, and contempt. The final dataset, comprising 2,060 speakers and 244 hours of speech data, is divided into training and testing sets in a 9 : 1 ratio. To meet the requirements of speaker adaptation tasks, we ensure that all test set speakers and emotions also appear in the training set. In addition to this English emotional dataset, we incorporate Chinese emotional speech data from ESD (Zhou et al., 2021) to evaluate the effectiveness of characteristic-specific layer weights in cross-language and cross-data domain adaptation tasks. This cross-lingual validation highlights the adaptability of our method to diverse linguistic and domain-specific challenges.

3.2. Model and Training Setup

First, our proposed method trains the codec language TTS model for speaker and emotion recognition tasks using a weighted-sum approach. It then selectively fine-tunes partial Transformer layers for target-domain emotional expression and speaker adaptation based on the characteristic-specific weights learned in the first stage. This section provides a detailed overview of the pre-trained TTS models and the downstream model used for characteristic-specific analysis.

3.2.1. Pre-trained Codec Language TTS Model Setup

Three pre-trained codec language TTS models are employed for experiments: GPT-SoVITS², CosyVoice³, and VALLE-X⁴.

GPT-SoVITS: This model employs RoBERTa (Cui et al., 2020) to encode text into textual representations, followed by HuBERT (Hsu et al., 2021) and vector quantization to transform speech into discrete speech tokens. These tokens are passed through an embedding module, concatenated with the textual representations, and then input into a Transformer model. The Transformer comprises 24 layers, each with 512 dimensions, 2048 inner dimensions, and 16 attention heads. Finally, a VITS module (Kim et al., 2021) is used to convert the speech tokens back into speech.

CosyVoice: This model utilizes a 6-layer Conformer (Gulati et al., 2020) with 1024 dimensions, 4096 inner dimensions,

and 16 attention heads to encode text into textual representations. Speech is converted into discrete speech tokens using the SenseVoice model (An et al., 2024) with vector quantization. These tokens are processed through an embedding module, concatenated with the textual representations, and passed into a 14-layer Transformer with 1024 dimensions, 4096 inner dimensions, and 16 attention heads. Finally, a Flow Matching module followed by HiFi-GAN converts the speech tokens back into speech (Mehta et al., 2024).

VALLE-X: This model employs the Encodec (Défossez et al., 2023) to transform speech into discrete speech tokens. Both speech and text tokens are processed through embedding modules, concatenated, and then input into a 24-layer Transformer with 1024 dimensions, 4096 inner dimensions, and 16 attention heads. Finally, a non-autoregressive Transformer, followed by the Encodec decoder, reconstructs the speech tokens back into speech.

3.2.2. Characteristic-Specific Analysis Setup

In Section 2.2, the characteristic-specific analysis is performed using two lightweight downstream models to handle sentence-level task learning. Since the core of this module is training layer weights, we use very lightweight models for the downstream tasks. Each model consists of three convolutional layers, with a kernel size of 5, a pooling kernel size of 5, and an internal dimension of 256. The three TTS models are frozen and weighted-sum fine-tuned in combination with two lightweight trainable downstream modules, as described in Section 2.2, for emotion recognition and speaker identification tasks, using a multi-task training manner. The fine-tuning process is performed on the 244-hour English emotional dataset for 75 epochs on an NVIDIA RTX 3090 GPU. The Adam optimizer (Kingma, 2014) is utilized, with a warm-up learning rate that increases linearly from 0 to 5e-4 over the first 8% of training steps, followed by a gradual decay to 0.

3.2.3. Target Data Domain Adaptation Setup

When fine-tuning for domain adaptation, our method and all compared methods follow consistent configurations within the same type of codec language TTS model to ensure a fair comparison. The three TTS models are fine-tuned using one of three approaches: partial fine-tuning, full fine-tuning, or other efficient fine-tuning, for 10 epochs. To maintain stability during fine-tuning, the learning rates are set to 5% of the officially reported values used for training from scratch. Specifically, the learning rate peaks at 5e-4 for GPT-SoVITS, 1e-4 for CosyVoice, and 2.5e-5 for VALLE-X. The Adam optimizer is utilized, with the learning rate ramping up linearly from 0 to its peak during the first 8% of training steps, followed by a gradual decay to 0. This configuration achieves a balance between effective adaptation and the retention of pre-trained knowledge.

3.3. Reference Method

We compare our method with two reference approaches: low-rank adaptation (LoRA) fine-tuning (Hu et al., 2022) and full fine-tuning. LoRA, a state-of-the-art parameter-efficient

²<https://github.com/RVC-Boss/GPT-SoVITS>

³<https://github.com/FunAudioLLM/CosyVoice>

⁴<https://github.com/X-LANCE/SLAM-LLM/tree/main/examples/vallex>

fine-tuning technique, is widely used for adapting large language and TTS models (Lou et al., 2024). It freezes the pre-trained model and introduces a small number of trainable parameters to adjust the model to a new data domain. To ensure a fair comparison, we adjust the rank of LoRA so that the number of trainable parameters aligns with that of our partial fine-tuning strategy. In contrast, full fine-tuning involves making the entire model trainable to fully learn new domain-specific knowledge. We maintain consistent hyperparameters for all methods, including batch size, epochs, and learning rate, to ensure a fair and comparable evaluation.

3.4. Evaluation

In characteristic-specific analysis, the emotion classification and speaker identification tasks are evaluated using accuracy as the metric.

Our goal is to fine-tune the pre-trained model to generate speech with target-domain emotional expressions and speaker characteristics. To achieve this, we evaluate the performance of the fine-tuned TTS models using train-set prompts, where only the transcription of the test-set speech (ground truth) is identical to the training-set prompts, but the test-set speech itself is unseen during fine-tuning. Specifically, we input the target text from the test set, along with training-set prompts that correspond to the same emotional expression and speaker identity as the test-set target speech, into the fine-tuned TTS model. We then assess the generated speech’s word accuracy and its similarity in emotion and speaker characteristics to the target test-set target speech (ground truth). The evaluation metrics include speaker similarity (SS), emotion representation similarity (ERS), word error rate (WER) for English, and character error rate (CER) for Chinese. Speaker similarity is evaluated by extracting speaker representations from both the target and generated speech using the Resemblyzer⁵ model and computing the cosine similarity between these representations. Similarly, emotion representation similarity is assessed by calculating the cosine similarity between the Emotion2vec+large⁶ representations of the target and generated speech. WER is determined by comparing the target text with the recognition results of the generated speech using the Whisper_{Large V3} model⁷, while CER for Chinese speech is evaluated using the Paraformer-zh⁸ model.

4. Experiment Results

To comprehensively evaluate our approach, we first validate the use of TTS models as encoders in a weighted-sum fine-tuning framework for emotion recognition and speaker identification tasks. This establishes the reliability of weighted-sum fine-tuning and confirms the effectiveness of layer-wise characteristic-specific weights. Building on this foundation, we

conduct layer-wise fine-tuning experiments to explore the relationship between these characteristic-specific weights and domain adaptation performance. Subsequently, we compare our method with reference approaches to highlight its advantages in emotion and speaker domain adaptation. To further assess its robustness, we test the cross-lingual and cross-dataset generality of our approach on Chinese tasks and analyze its domain adaptation efficiency by comparing the training speeds of different methods. Additionally, we investigate catastrophic forgetting across various approaches to demonstrate the effectiveness of our method. Finally, ablation studies on the number and selection of fine-tuned layers are performed to analyze the impact of these factors on adaptation performance.

4.1. Performance on Speaker and Emotion Recognition of Codec Language TTS Model

To validate the effectiveness of the layer-wise weights identified in the characteristic-specific analysis, we compare the performance of Whisper (Radford et al., 2023), HuBERT (Hsu et al., 2021), WavLM (Chen et al., 2022), and three codec language TTS models on weighted-sum speaker and emotion recognition tasks (using 244-hour English emotional dataset), as presented in Table 1. It is important to note that Whisper utilizes only its encoder for this evaluation.

Table 1: Accuracy of different pre-trained models on emotion recognition and speaker identification.

Pre-trained Model	Param. (M)	Speaker Accuracy (%) ↑	Emotion Accuracy (%) ↑
Fbank	0	84.33	43.64
Whisper small	86.89	88.45	67.13
HuBERT base	94.68	89.14	67.99
WavLM base	94.68	90.83	69.01
Whisper medium	305.38	92.75	69.84
HuBERT large	316.61	93.25	71.66
WavLM large	316.61	93.59	72.61
GPT-SoVITS	77.46	89.02	63.24
VALLE-X	362.09	93.91	66.03
CosyVoice	310.72	94.97	70.48

The results indicate that codec language TTS models exhibit capabilities comparable to SOTA BERT-style pre-trained models in speaker identification and speech emotion recognition tasks, both essential for speaker cloning and emotional speech synthesis. Examining each codec language model individually, GPT-SoVITS achieves performance similar to WavLM base in speaker identification, with a parameter count of 77.46M. However, its performance in speech emotion recognition tasks is comparatively mediocre. This discrepancy arises from its use of the cn-HuBERT base, which is pre-trained on a Chinese dataset, for extracting speech tokens. As a result, while GPT-SoVITS excels in speaker feature analysis, it delivers moderate performance in English semantic tasks such as emotion recognition. VALLE-X, on the other hand, uses the first layer of speech tokens encoded by Encodec, capturing more acoustic

⁵<https://github.com/resemble-ai/Resemblyzer>

⁶<https://github.com/ddlBoJack/emotion2vec>

⁷<https://github.com/openai/whisper>

⁸<https://github.com/modelscope/FunASR>

information than HuBERT tokens (Borsos et al., 2023). With a higher parameter count (362.09M), it achieves performance comparable to the BERT-style Large model in the speaker task. However, the limited acoustic details present in the first layer of Encodec tokens restrict its effectiveness in semantic emotional tasks (Wang et al., 2024). Finally, CosyVoice employs a speech encoder similar to Whisper (the encoder of an ASR system) and introduces an additional speaker embedding as input. This configuration enables it to maintain strong performance in semantic emotion recognition while significantly enhancing its speaker identification capabilities, achieving a balanced improvement across both domains.

Overall, while making completely fair comparisons among pre-trained models is challenging due to variations in training data, model designs, and pre-training task setups, the results clearly demonstrate the effectiveness of using codec language TTS models with weighted-sum fine-tuning for emotion and speaker tasks, ensuring the meaningfulness of layer-wise weights. Furthermore, the findings highlight the potential of decoder-only architectures in perception tasks, offering valuable insights for the development of other general-purpose speech-processing models.

4.2. Characteristic-Specific Weight and Domain Adaptation

The layer-wise weights obtained from weighted-sum fine-tuning reflect the contribution of different layers in learning emotion and speaker tasks (Chen et al., 2022). To further explore the relationship between these characteristic-specific weights and the performance of emotion and speaker adaptation, we perform partial fine-tuning on each layer of the codec language TTS models using the English emotional speech dataset. We then evaluate the performance of the single-layer fine-tuned models based on the evaluation setup described in Section 3.4.

Figure 3 illustrates the single-layer fine-tuning performance and layer-wise characteristic-specific weight of the GPT-SoVITS. It reveals significant variations in fine-tuning performance across different layers. Notably, the trends of ERS and SS are inversely proportional to WER. This pattern is because most of the pre-training data for GPT-SoVITS are in Chinese, meaning that fine-tuning on an English emotional dataset introduces substantial catastrophic forgetting, especially in terms of semantic content. Specifically, the 3rd and 6th layers of GPT-SoVITS show the lowest and highest characteristic-specific weights, respectively. The 3rd layer with the lowest weight exhibits strong performance in emotion and speaker adaptation, with minimal forgetting issues (lowest WER). In contrast, fine-tuning the 6th layer results in excellent overall performance, achieving notable improvements in both SS and ERS while maintaining a low WER.

Then, the single-layer fine-tuning results of VALLE-X are presented in Figure 4. Unlike GPT-SoVITS, VALLE-X exhibits a less pronounced increase in WER, largely because its pre-training data predominantly consists of English, enabling it to adapt to the English target dataset without significantly altering its knowledge space. This extensive English content knowledge acquired during pre-training helps mitigate catastrophic forgetting overall. Interestingly, the behavior of fine-tuning the lay-




Figure 3: Single-Layer fine-tuning performance and layer-wise characteristic-specific weights for emotion and speaker adaptation GPT-SoVITS.

ers with the lowest and highest layer-wise weights resembles that observed in GPT-SoVITS. Specifically, fine-tuning the 5th layer, characterized by the lowest weight, achieves a significant increase in both SS and ERS while maintaining the smallest WER. In contrast, fine-tuning the 9th layer, which possesses the highest weight, results in substantial improvements in SS and ERS, albeit accompanied by a slight increase in WER.




Figure 4: Single-Layer fine-tuning performance and layer-wise characteristic-specific weights for emotion and speaker adaptation in VALLE-X.

Finally, we present the single-layer fine-tuning results of CosyVoice, as shown in Figure 5. The distributions of emotion and speaker characteristic-specific weights in CosyVoice are less consistent compared to the previous two models. However, the adaptation performance on correlated single layers aligns with the results observed in GPT-SoVITS and VALLE-X, demonstrating a strong correlation between adaptation performance and layer-wise characteristic-specific weights. Additionally, the variation in WER within CosyVoice is larger than in the other two models. This is because CosyVoice is pre-trained on a dataset comprising 130k hours of Chinese and 30k hours of English data; thus, adapting to an English dataset sig-

Table 2: Comparison of fine-tuning strategies for adapting pre-trained TTS models to generate speech with target-domain speaker and emotion expressions. Param. indicates the trainable/total parameter. **Bold** represents the best score, and underline denotes the second-best score.

Method	GPT-SoVITS			VALLE-X			CosyVoice					
	Param.(M)	SS (%) \uparrow	ERS (%) \uparrow	WER (%) \downarrow	Param.(M)	SS (%) \uparrow	ERS (%) \uparrow	WER (%) \downarrow	Param.(M)	SS (%) \uparrow	ERS (%) \uparrow	WER (%) \downarrow
Origin	0 / 77.46	64.01	77.65	8.02	0 / 362.09	84.22	85.61	8.44	0 / 310.72	88.91	89.76	8.43
Full FT	77.46 / 77.46	69.37	83.89	10.25	362.09 / 362.09	90.18	92.95	9.53	310.72 / 310.72	92.46	<u>95.55</u>	25.10
LoRA FT	3.13 / 80.59	68.67	82.35	14.68	12.68 / 374.77	89.24	91.71	9.47	13.76 / 324.48	91.84	93.29	20.59
	6.23 / 83.69	68.65	82.22	12.11	25.07 / 387.16	89.45	91.93	9.89	27.53 / 338.25	91.97	93.10	18.10
	9.33 / 86.78	68.70	82.37	11.83	37.45 / 399.55	89.51	92.19	9.60	41.29 / 352.01	91.92	93.56	19.25
First Half FT	37.83 / 77.46	<u>69.44</u>	83.16	13.67	151.15 / 362.09	89.64	92.92	8.44	95.53 / 310.72	92.26	94.19	22.57
Second Half FT	37.83 / 77.46	<u>69.36</u>	82.78	14.51	151.15 / 362.09	89.40	92.31	9.81	95.53 / 310.72	92.39	95.57	15.71
Shallowest Two FT	6.30 / 77.46	68.88	82.46	10.36	25.19 / 362.09	89.03	92.07	8.35	27.29 / 310.72	92.33	94.74	14.68
Deepest Two FT	6.30 / 77.46	68.54	82.43	13.71	25.19 / 362.09	89.41	92.49	9.82	27.29 / 310.72	92.27	94.01	15.73
Lowest Two FT	6.30 / 77.46	68.28	82.19	9.53	25.19 / 362.09	89.68	93.01	<u>8.03</u>	27.29 / 310.72	92.30	94.84	12.29
Highest Two FT	6.30 / 77.46	69.06	83.01	12.37	25.19 / 362.09	89.71	<u>93.07</u>	9.96	27.29 / 310.72	<u>92.48</u>	95.27	14.62
CSP-FT (Ours)	6.30 / 77.46	69.89	<u>83.22</u>	<u>8.49</u>	25.19 / 362.09	<u>90.17</u>	94.15	7.01	27.29 / 310.72	92.57	95.47	<u>9.15</u>

nificantly alters its knowledge space, leading to more pronounced catastrophic forgetting. Furthermore, this experiment validates the effectiveness of using the average score (Eq. 3) of two task weights for layer selection, yielding results consistent with the previous two models.




Figure 5: Single-Layer fine-tuning performance and layer-wise characteristic-specific weights for emotion and speaker adaptation CosyVoice.

From the single-layer fine-tuning experiments of the three TTS models, we observe that the characteristic-specific weights revealed by weighted-sum fine-tuning strongly correlate with speaker and emotion adaptation performance. The layer with the highest fine-tuning weight typically achieves superior adaptation performance but comes with a moderate risk of catastrophic forgetting. In contrast, the layer with the lowest fine-tuning weights often delivers above-average adaptation performance while minimizing catastrophic forgetting.

4.3. Comparison with Reference Methods

From the experiments in Sections 4.1 and 4.2, we observe that the characteristic-specific weights identified through weighted-sum analysis provide a valuable reference for selecting param-

eters for emotion and speaker adaptation of codec language TTS model. In this section, we compare our proposed method with eight fine-tuning strategies: full fine-tuning, LoRA fine-tuning (with trainable parameters matched to our partial fine-tuning), fine-tuning the first half of the Transformer, fine-tuning the second half of the Transformer, fine-tuning the shallowest two layers of the Transformer, fine-tuning the deepest two layers of the Transformer, fine-tuning the two layers with the highest characteristic-specific weights, and fine-tuning the two layers with the lowest characteristic-specific weights. The experimental results are presented in Table 2.

4.3.1. Comparison with Full Fine-Tuning

The results in Table 2 indicate that full fine-tuning effectively adapts the three TTS models to the target data domain, resulting in significant improvements in SS and ERS scores. However, it also leads to noticeable catastrophic knowledge forgetting, as evidenced by the increased WER. In contrast, our proposed method achieves SS and ERS scores comparable to or even surpassing those of full fine-tuning while using a small number of trainable parameters and minimizing knowledge forgetting. This highlights the importance of analyzing the characteristics of each module within the model to determine its relevance for target adaptation. Such analysis is crucial for identifying which modules to fine-tune, enabling effective target-domain adaptation with reduced training costs, as demonstrated by the findings in Shen et al., 2021.

4.3.2. Comparison with LoRA Fine-Tuning

We configure the parameters of the inserted LoRA modules (applied across all Transformer layers) to match the parameter count of 1-layer, 2-layer, and 3-layer Transformers for comparison. The results in Table 2 show that as the LoRA parameters increase, the adapted TTS model exhibits improved speaker cloning and emotional expression capabilities, but this improvement comes at the cost of a higher WER. This occurs because LoRA indirectly updates the model via matrix decomposition, leaving the original parameters unchanged while aligning the

model’s intermediate feature space with the target dataset. However, this alignment can lead to challenges such as catastrophic forgetting or overfitting. In contrast, our method achieves superior performance without introducing additional parameters during fine-tuning, demonstrating that fully freezing pre-trained parameters and adding a small number of trainable parameters is not the optimal strategy. Instead, it is crucial to carefully select which parameters to fine-tune based on the specific demands of the target task or data adaptation.

4.3.3. Comparison with other Partial Fine-Tuning

To verify the effectiveness of characteristic-specific weights in guiding partial fine-tuning, we compared the performance of various partial fine-tuning strategies, as shown in Table 2. We began by fine-tuning different parts of the Transformer, including the first half, the second half, the two shallowest layers, and the two deepest layers. The results reveal that simply increasing the number of trainable parameters does not necessarily improve performance and may even reduce the effectiveness of fine-tuning. Furthermore, we compared fine-tuning the two layers with the highest weights and the two layers with the lowest weights. Our proposed approach, which combines fine-tuning the layers with the highest and lowest weights, proved to be more effective. Building on the analysis in Section 4.2, our CSP-FT jointly fine-tunes two layers: one with the best adaptation performance but moderate catastrophic forgetting (highest), and the other with minimal catastrophic forgetting but moderate adaptation performance (lowest). By complementing each other, fine-tuning these two layers enables the model to achieve excellent adaptation capability with minimal knowledge forgetting, resulting in outstanding overall performance.

4.4. Transferability of Characteristic-Specific Weights Across Datasets

In practice, obtaining emotion and speaker annotations for the target domain dataset is challenging, highlighting the need for our emotion-speaker characteristic-specific weights to be transferable across datasets. To verify this, we fine-tune TTS models to the Chinese emotional dataset using the layer-wise characteristic-specific weights learned from the English emotional dataset, as described in Section 3.1. The results, presented in Table 3, include LoRA fine-tuning configured with a parameter count equivalent to the 2-layer fine-tuning. The results show that GPT-SoVITS achieves higher character accuracy in Chinese compared to other models. After fine-tuning with our method, both SS and ERS improve significantly, with only a minimal increase in CER. For VALLE-X and CosyVoice, our method achieves the highest SS and ERS compared to full fine-tuning and LoRA fine-tuning, with WER slightly reduced for CosyVoice relative to its original model. These results confirm that the proposed layer-wise characteristic-specific weights are highly transferable and effective for cross-dataset adaptation in emotion and speaker control. Since the relationship between controlling utterance-level characteristics (emotional state and speaker) and the content is minimal, the characteristic-specific analysis only needs to be performed once on an open-source

annotated dataset. This analysis can then be applied to other datasets, enabling the model to adapt and generate speech with the target emotion and speaker characteristics.

Table 3: Cross-dataset evaluation of characteristic-specific weight for emotion and speaker adaptation.

Method	GPT-SoVITS			VALLE-X			CosyVoice		
	SS (%) \uparrow	ERS (%) \uparrow	CER (%) \downarrow	SS (%) \uparrow	ERS (%) \uparrow	CER (%) \downarrow	SS (%) \uparrow	ERS (%) \uparrow	CER (%) \downarrow
Origin	73.76	70.32	2.23	75.39	82.31	5.24	79.77	88.58	<u>2.54</u>
Full	75.35	80.87	3.29	<u>77.67</u>	<u>86.09</u>	7.60	<u>80.96</u>	<u>91.22</u>	2.97
LoRA	74.83	78.52	3.35	76.94	84.19	7.44	80.23	89.89	2.71
CSP-FT	<u>75.16</u>	80.99	<u>2.49</u>	78.01	87.00	<u>5.97</u>	81.17	91.89	2.51

4.5. Catastrophic Forgetting of Knowledge

To further investigate the performance of different fine-tuning strategies in addressing the catastrophic knowledge forgetting problem, we evaluate performance across epochs during fine-tuning on the English emotional dataset. The results are shown in Figure 6. To present various metrics within a single figure, we numerically normalize each metric S as:

$$S_{\text{norm}} = \frac{S - \min(S)}{\max(S) - \min(S)}. \quad (4)$$

From the first and second columns, which depict the results of full fine-tuning and LoRA fine-tuning for the three models, we observe that as the number of adaptation epochs increases, SS and ERS gradually rise and then plateau, while WER steadily increases. This degradation occurs because the content knowledge in the target domain is much smaller than that in the pre-training domain, leading to knowledge forgetting. In contrast, the last column shows that our method achieves improved SS and ERS while stabilizing WER earlier. Notably, for VALLE-X, WER exhibits some fluctuations before ultimately achieving better results than the original model. These findings demonstrate that our method enables the model to adapt effectively to generate speech with target emotion and speaker while minimizing the decline in word accuracy. In other words, it significantly alleviates the problem of catastrophic forgetting.




Figure 6: Performance trends of SS, ERS, and WER across fine-tuning epochs for different strategies.

4.6. Fine-Tuning Speed

We compare the time required for full fine-tuning, LoRA fine-tuning (with a parameter count equivalent to 2 layers), and our method over 100 fine-tuning steps, as shown in Figure 7. It is worth noting that since we have validated the cross-dataset applicability of the characteristic-specific weights, we can obtain the weights in advance based on the open-sourced dataset or even directly use the results from this paper. Therefore, the speed comparison only considers the target-domain fine-tuning time once the target-domain data is available. The results demonstrate that across all three models, our fine-tuning method is faster than both LoRA fine-tuning and full fine-tuning. Specifically, our method is 1.91 times faster than full fine-tuning on GPT-SoVITS, 2.62 times faster on VALLE-X, and 2.12 times faster on CosyVoice. Additionally, as shown in Table 2, the number of trainable parameters and total parameters in our method is lower than that of the other two approaches. From Figure 6, it is also evident that the convergence speed of our method is comparable to that of the reference methods. Overall, our approach effectively reduces GPU memory usage and significantly improves training speed.




Figure 7: Time consumption of fine-tuning 100 steps using different fine-tuning methods.

4.7. Ablation Study

4.7.1. Number of Selection

The previous sections highlight the importance of layer-wise characteristic-specific weights in selecting partial fine-tuning modules for emotion and speaker adaptation. Building on this foundation, this section investigates the relationship between the number of layers selected based on our method and overall model performance. We gradually increase the number of partial fine-tuning layers according to the layer-wise characteristic-specific weights. Specifically, we start with 2 layers (those with the highest and lowest weights) and then incrementally increase the number of layers by $\frac{1}{6}$. For example, for an N-layer codec language TTS model, “Ours+ $\frac{1}{6}$ ” indicates selecting the $(1 + \lfloor \frac{N}{12} \rfloor)$ highest and $(1 + \lfloor \frac{N}{12} \rfloor)$ lowest weighted layers for fine-tuning, resulting in a total of $(2 + \lfloor \frac{N}{6} \rfloor)$ layers, where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the flooring function. The results are presented in Table 4.

From Table 4, we observe that as the number of fine-tuning layers increases from 2 to full fine-tuning, performance first decreases slightly and then improves. Based on the results in Section 4.2, this trend reflects that while characteristic-specific weights are strongly correlated with final adaptation performance,

this correlation weakens for layers with weights that fall in the middle range. Since our method begins by selecting layers with the highest and lowest task weights, configurations such as Ours+ $\frac{3}{6}$ or Ours+ $\frac{4}{6}$, which include more middle-weighted layers, may not fully align with the model’s adaptation requirements. However, as the number of fine-tuned layers approaches full fine-tuning, performance shows a slight improvement. Overall, the Ours+ $\frac{1}{6}$ configuration achieves a gain in ERS on CosyVoice by adding the layer with the highest emotion weight into fine-tuning, but the resulting increase in trainable parameters compromises word accuracy. In contrast, our method consistently delivers the best overall performance with the fewest trainable parameters, demonstrating its effectiveness and efficiency.

Table 4: Performance comparison across different numbers of fine-tuned layers within our method.

Method	GPT-SoVITS			VALLE-X			CosyVoice		
	SS (%) \uparrow	ERS (%) \uparrow	WER (%) \downarrow	SS (%) \uparrow	ERS (%) \uparrow	WER (%) \downarrow	SS (%) \uparrow	ERS (%) \uparrow	WER (%) \downarrow
Origin	64.01	77.65	8.02	84.22	85.61	8.44	88.91	89.76	8.43
Full FT	69.37	83.89	10.25	90.18	92.95	9.53	92.46	95.55	25.10
Ours+ $\frac{5}{6}$	69.21	82.61	12.88	89.69	92.99	9.95	92.32	94.96	23.05
Ours+ $\frac{4}{6}$	68.91	82.34	13.07	89.01	92.54	9.14	91.53	94.49	25.68
Ours+ $\frac{3}{6}$	68.59	82.29	14.79	89.86	<u>94.03</u>	8.99	91.70	94.44	22.70
Ours+ $\frac{2}{6}$	<u>69.61</u>	83.18	12.91	89.73	93.51	8.61	92.31	94.82	17.78
Ours+ $\frac{1}{6}$	69.48	83.10	10.73	89.97	93.90	<u>7.97</u>	<u>92.54</u>	95.68	10.01
CSP-FT	69.89	<u>83.22</u>	<u>8.49</u>	<u>90.17</u>	94.15	7.01	92.57	95.47	<u>9.15</u>

4.7.2. Layer of Selection

As shown in the visualization in Section 4.2, some layers have weights close to the minimum value. To investigate this, we explore the effects of selecting the layers corresponding to the smallest, second smallest, or third smallest weights while keeping the layer with the highest weight fixed. Additionally, we test the effects of selecting the layers corresponding to the largest, second largest, or third largest weights, while fixing the layer with the smallest weight. The results are shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Ablation study on layer weight selection.

Method	GPT-SoVITS			VALLE-X			CosyVoice		
	SS (%) \uparrow	ERS (%) \uparrow	WER (%) \downarrow	SS (%) \uparrow	ERS (%) \uparrow	WER (%) \downarrow	SS (%) \uparrow	ERS (%) \uparrow	WER (%) \downarrow
Origin	64.01	77.65	8.02	84.22	85.61	8.44	88.91	89.76	8.43
Full FT	69.37	83.89	10.25	90.18	92.95	9.53	92.46	95.55	25.10
<i>Change the smallest selection</i>									
smallest	69.89	83.22	8.49	90.17	94.15	7.01	92.57	95.47	9.15
2nd	69.41	<u>83.11</u>	<u>8.54</u>	<u>90.04</u>	<u>93.78</u>	<u>8.04</u>	<u>92.34</u>	<u>94.96</u>	<u>10.33</u>
3rd	<u>69.44</u>	83.04	9.81	89.53	92.69	8.89	92.13	93.88	11.90
<i>Change the largest selection</i>									
largest	69.89	83.22	8.49	90.17	94.15	7.01	<u>92.57</u>	95.47	9.15
2nd	<u>68.37</u>	<u>82.43</u>	11.31	<u>89.70</u>	<u>93.11</u>	10.04	92.61	95.66	<u>9.24</u>
3rd	68.24	82.20	<u>10.28</u>	89.44	92.50	<u>9.66</u>	92.53	95.42	14.42

The results show that modifying the selection of the layer with the small weights negatively impacts performance across all three metrics. Although the small values in Figures 3, 4, and 5 appear close, the softmax function allocates most of the

probability scores to larger values, compressing the differences among small values. As a result, the relative differences between smaller weights, which were originally significant, become less distinguishable after compression, affecting fine-tuning performance. On the other hand, altering the selection of the layer with the large weights leads to a notable performance decline, especially in WER, on both GPT-SoVITS and VALLE-X. This happens because fine-tuning layers corresponding to the second or third largest weights fails to leverage the full learning potential of the best-performing layer, reducing fine-tuning effectiveness. Interestingly, for CosyVoice, selecting the second-largest weight layer improves SS and ERS scores, despite a slight WER increase. We attribute this to the uneven distribution of emotional and speaker-related weights in CosyVoice, where the averaged weight provides a smoother result, enabling suboptimal but stable fine-tuning performance.

5. Discussion

In this paper, we explore the domain adaptation of codec language TTS models for specific speakers and emotions, aiming to develop an efficient solution for adapting pre-trained general-purpose TTS models to generate speech with target-domain emotional expressions and speaker identities, while minimizing catastrophic forgetting. Previous studies in computer vision (Shen et al., 2021) have shown that analyzing the role of each model module in the target task and selecting partial parameters based on this analysis can significantly enhance partial fine-tuning performance. Building on this concept, we investigate how to assess the contribution of different Transformer layers in codec language TTS models to control the speaker and emotion information in the generated speech.

In the field of self-supervised pre-training, weighted-sum fine-tuning has been widely used to examine the layer-wise task characteristics of BERT-style speech encoders (Pasad et al., 2021). Since codec language TTS models are also Transformer-based, we are inspired to adopt a similar approach. In this study, we fine-tune codec language TTS models on speaker identification and speech emotion recognition tasks using a weighted-sum framework. We then analyze the layer-wise task characteristics of these models for the aforementioned tasks. Our findings show that codec language models not only exhibit layer-wise characteristics similar to BERT-style models but also achieve comparable performance in speaker identification and speech emotion recognition tasks, as demonstrated in Table 1.

Building on these insights, we propose a characteristic-specific partial fine-tuning strategy that selects the two Transformer layers with the highest and lowest characteristic-specific weights for adaptation. We first validate the effectiveness and efficiency of the proposed method in learning to generate speech with target-domain speaker and emotional expression using an English emotional dataset. Subsequently, we test the transferability of the characteristic-specific weights identified from the English dataset on a Chinese emotional dataset. Across all experiments, the results consistently reveal a broader observation: when the training data size is smaller than that used in pre-training, blindly increasing the number of fine-tuning param-

eters exacerbates knowledge forgetting. In contrast, analyzing the TTS model’s characteristics related to speaker and emotion control, and fine-tuning only a subset of parameters, effectively mitigates catastrophic forgetting while enabling the model to efficiently learn to generate speech with target-domain speaker and emotional expressions.

6. Conclusion

As many codec language TTS models are open-sourced, adapting these general-purpose models to generate speech with desired emotional expression and speaker identity, while minimizing catastrophic forgetting, has become increasingly important. In this paper, we propose a partial fine-tuning strategy guided by weighted-sum characteristic-specific analysis. Specifically, we first fine-tune the codec language TTS model using a weighted-sum framework for speaker identification and emotion classification. Then we select the Transformer layers with the highest and lowest characteristic-specific weights for adaptation. Experimental results show that our method achieves performance comparable to, and sometimes exceeding, full fine-tuning, while reducing fine-tuning time by approximately 50% and mitigating catastrophic forgetting. These findings highlight the effectiveness of leveraging layer-wise characteristic-specific analysis for efficient and high-quality TTS adaptation.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. U23B2053, 62176182, and 62302333) and Research Fund from Guangdong Laboratory of Artificial Intelligence and Digital Economy (SZ) (NO.GML-KF-24-16).

References

- An, K., Chen, Q., Deng, C., Du, Z., Gao, C., Gao, Z., Gu, Y., He, T., Hu, H., Hu, K., et al., 2024. FunAudioLLM: Voice understanding and generation foundation models for natural interaction between humans and llms. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.04051 .
- Boros, Z., Marinier, R., Vincent, D., Kharitonov, E., Pietquin, O., Sharifi, M., Roblek, D., Teboul, O., Grangier, D., Tagliasacchi, M., et al., 2023. AudioLM: a language modeling approach to audio generation. *IEEE/ACM transactions on audio, speech, and language processing* 31, 2523–2533.
- Casanova, E., Weber, J., Shulby, C.D., Junior, A.C., Gölge, E., Ponti, M.A., 2022. YourTTS: Towards zero-shot multi-speaker tts and zero-shot voice conversion for everyone, in: *International Conference on Machine Learning*, PMLR. pp. 2709–2720.
- Chen, H., Garner, P.N., 2024. Bayesian parameter-efficient fine-tuning for overcoming catastrophic forgetting. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.12220 .
- Chen, S., Wang, C., Chen, Z., Wu, Y., Liu, S., Chen, Z., Li, J., Kanda, N., Yoshioka, T., Xiao, X., et al., 2022. WavLM: Large-scale self-supervised pre-training for full stack speech processing. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing* 16, 1505–1518.
- Choi, S., Han, S., Kim, D., Ha, S., 2020. Attention: Few-shot text-to-speech utilizing attention-based variable-length embedding. arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.08484 .
- Cui, Y., Che, W., Liu, T., Qin, B., Wang, S., Hu, G., 2020. Revisiting pre-trained models for Chinese natural language processing, in: *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: Findings*, Association for Computational Linguistics, Online. pp. 657–668.

- Défossez, A., Copet, J., Synnaeve, G., Adi, Y., 2023. High fidelity neural audio compression. *Transactions on Machine Learning Research Featured Certification, Reproducibility Certification*.
- Desplanques, B., Thienpondt, J., Demuynck, K., 2020. ECAPA-TDNN: Emphasized channel attention, propagation and aggregation in tdn based speaker verification, in: *Interspeech 2020*, pp. 3830–3834. doi:10.21437/Interspeech.2020-2650.
- Du, Z., Chen, Q., Zhang, S., Hu, K., Lu, H., Yang, Y., Hu, H., Zheng, S., Gu, Y., Ma, Z., et al., 2024. CosyVoice: A scalable multilingual zero-shot text-to-speech synthesizer based on supervised semantic tokens. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.05407*.
- Du, Z., Sisman, B., Zhou, K., Li, H., 2022. Disentanglement of emotional style and speaker identity for expressive voice conversion, in: *Interspeech 2022*, pp. 2603–2607. doi:10.21437/Interspeech.2022-10249.
- Fan, Y., Qian, Y., Soong, F.K., He, L., 2015. Multi-speaker modeling and speaker adaptation for DNN-based TTS synthesis, in: *2015 IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech and signal processing (ICASSP)*, IEEE. pp. 4475–4479.
- French, R.M., 1999. Catastrophic forgetting in connectionist networks. *Trends in cognitive sciences* 3, 128–135.
- Goodfellow, I.J., Mirza, M., Xiao, D., Courville, A., Bengio, Y., 2013. An empirical investigation of catastrophic forgetting in gradient-based neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6211*.
- Gulati, A., Qin, J., Chiu, C.C., Parmar, N., Zhang, Y., Yu, J., Han, W., Wang, S., Zhang, Z., Wu, Y., et al., 2020. Conformer: Convolution-augmented transformer for speech recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.08100*.
- Houlsby, N., Giurgiu, A., Jastrzebski, S., Morrone, B., De Laroussilhe, Q., Gesmundo, A., Attariyan, M., Gelly, S., 2019. Parameter-efficient transfer learning for nlp, in: *International conference on machine learning*, PMLR. pp. 2790–2799.
- Hsu, W.N., Bolte, B., Tsai, Y.H.H., Lakhota, K., Salakhutdinov, R., Mohamed, A., 2021. HuBERT: Self-supervised speech representation learning by masked prediction of hidden units. *IEEE/ACM transactions on audio, speech, and language processing* 29, 3451–3460.
- Hu, E.J., yelong shen, Wallis, P., Allen-Zhu, Z., Li, Y., Wang, S., Wang, L., Chen, W., 2022. LoRA: Low-rank adaptation of large language models, in: *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Huang, R., Wang, Y., Hu, R., Xu, X., Hong, Z., Yang, D., Cheng, X., Wang, Z., Jiang, Z., Ye, Z., et al., 2024. VoiceTuner: Self-supervised pre-training and efficient fine-tuning for voice generation, in: *ACM Multimedia 2024*.
- Huang, S.F., Lin, C.J., Liu, D.R., Chen, Y.C., Lee, H.y., 2022. Meta-TTS: Meta-learning for few-shot speaker adaptive text-to-speech. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing* 30, 1558–1571.
- Inoue, K., Hara, S., Abe, M., 2020. Module comparison of transformer-tts for speaker adaptation based on fine-tuning, in: *2020 Asia-Pacific Signal and Information Processing Association Annual Summit and Conference (AP-SIPA ASC)*, IEEE. pp. 826–830.
- Jain, R., Yiwere, M.Y., Bigioi, D., Corcoran, P., Cucu, H., 2022. A text-to-speech pipeline, evaluation methodology, and initial fine-tuning results for child speech synthesis. *IEEE Access* 10, 47628–47642.
- Kim, J., Kong, J., Son, J., 2021. Conditional variational autoencoder with adversarial learning for end-to-end text-to-speech, in: *International Conference on Machine Learning*, PMLR. pp. 5530–5540.
- Kingma, D.P., 2014. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*.
- Lou, H., Paik, H., Hu, W., Yao, L., 2024. StyleSpeech: Parameter-efficient fine tuning for pre-trained controllable text-to-speech. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.14713*.
- Lyth, D., King, S., 2024. Natural language guidance of high-fidelity text-to-speech with synthetic annotations. *arXiv:2402.01912*.
- Ma, Z., Chen, M., Zhang, H., Zheng, Z., Chen, W., Li, X., Ye, J., Chen, X., Hain, T., 2024. EmoBox: Multilingual multi-corpus speech emotion recognition toolkit and benchmark, in: *Interspeech 2024*, pp. 1580–1584. doi:10.21437/Interspeech.2024-788.
- McCloskey, M., Cohen, N.J., 1989. Catastrophic interference in connectionist networks: The sequential learning problem, in: *Psychology of learning and motivation*. Elsevier. volume 24, pp. 109–165.
- Mehta, S., Tu, R., Beskow, J., Székely, É., Henter, G.E., 2024. Matcha-TTS: A fast tts architecture with conditional flow matching, in: *ICASSP 2024-2024 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, IEEE. pp. 11341–11345.
- Moss, H.B., Aggarwal, V., Prateek, N., González, J., Barra-Chicote, R., 2020. BOFFIN TTS: Few-shot speaker adaptation by bayesian optimization, in: *ICASSP 2020-2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, IEEE. pp. 7639–7643.
- Motiani, S., Piccirilli, M., Adjeroh, D.A., Doretto, G., 2017. Unified deep supervised domain adaptation and generalization, in: *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pp. 5715–5725.
- Neekhara, P., Li, J., Ginsburg, B., 2021. Adapting tts models for new speakers using transfer learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.05798*.
- Pasad, A., Chou, J.C., Livescu, K., 2021. Layer-wise analysis of a self-supervised speech representation model, in: *2021 IEEE Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding Workshop (ASRU)*, IEEE. pp. 914–921.
- Peng, P., Huang, P.Y., Mohamed, A., Harwath, D., 2024. VoiceCraft: Zero-shot speech editing and text-to-speech in the wild. *arXiv*.
- Qin, Z., Zhao, W., Yu, X., Sun, X., 2023. OpenVoice: Versatile instant voice cloning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.01479*.
- Radford, A., Kim, J.W., Xu, T., Brockman, G., McLeavey, C., Sutskever, I., 2023. Robust speech recognition via large-scale weak supervision, in: *International conference on machine learning*, PMLR. pp. 28492–28518.
- Rolland, T., Abad, A., 2024. Introduction to partial fine-tuning: A comprehensive evaluation of end-to-end children’s automatic speech recognition adaptation, in: *Interspeech 2024*, pp. 5178–5182. doi:10.21437/Interspeech.2024-1102.
- Shen, Z., Liu, Z., Qin, J., Savvides, M., Cheng, K.T., 2021. Partial is better than all: Revisiting fine-tuning strategy for few-shot learning, in: *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, pp. 9594–9602.
- Singhal, P., Walambe, R., Ramanna, S., Kotecha, K., 2023. Domain adaptation: challenges, methods, datasets, and applications. *IEEE access* 11, 6973–7020.
- Touvron, H., Cord, M., El-Nouby, A., Verbeek, J., Jégou, H., 2022. Three things everyone should know about vision transformers, in: *European Conference on Computer Vision*, Springer. pp. 497–515.
- Triantafyllopoulos, A., Schuller, B.W., İymen, G., Sezgin, M., He, X., Yang, Z., Tzirakis, P., Liu, S., Mertens, S., André, E., et al., 2023. An overview of affective speech synthesis and conversion in the deep learning era. *Proceedings of the IEEE* 111, 1355–1381.
- Wang, C., Chen, S., Wu, Y., Zhang, Z., Zhou, L., Liu, S., Chen, Z., Liu, Y., Wang, H., Li, J., He, L., Zhao, S., Wei, F., 2023a. Neural codec language models are zero-shot text to speech synthesizers. *arXiv:2301.02111*.
- Wang, T., Chen, X., Chen, Z., Yu, S., Zhu, W., 2023b. An adapter based multi-label pre-training for speech separation and enhancement, in: *ICASSP 2023-2023 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, IEEE. pp. 1–5.
- Wang, T., Zhou, L., Zhang, Z., Wu, Y., Liu, S., Gaur, Y., Chen, Z., Li, J., Wei, F., 2024. ViOLA: Conditional language models for speech recognition, synthesis, and translation. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing* 32, 3709–3716. doi:10.1109/TASLP.2024.3434425.
- Xin, D., Tan, X., Shen, K., Ju, Z., Yang, D., Wang, Y., Takamichi, S., Saruwatari, H., Liu, S., Li, J., et al., 2024. RALL-E: Robust codec language modeling with chain-of-thought prompting for text-to-speech synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.03204*.
- Yang, S., Chi, P.H., Chuang, Y.S., Lai, C.I.J., Lakhota, K., Lin, Y.Y., Liu, A.T., Shi, J., Chang, X., Lin, G.T., Huang, T.H., Tseng, W.C., tik Lee, K., Liu, D.R., Huang, Z., Dong, S., Li, S.W., Watanabe, S., Mohamed, A., yi Lee, H., 2021. SUPERB: Speech processing universal performance benchmark, in: *Proc. Interspeech 2021*, pp. 1194–1198. doi:10.21437/Interspeech.2021-1775.
- Ye, P., Huang, Y., Tu, C., Li, M., Chen, T., He, T., Ouyang, W., 2023. Partial Fine-Tuning: A successor to full fine-tuning for vision transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.15681*.
- Zhang, Z., Zhou, L., Wang, C., Chen, S., Wu, Y., Liu, S., Chen, Z., Liu, Y., Wang, H., Li, J., et al., 2023. Speak foreign languages with your own voice: Cross-lingual neural codec language modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.03926*.
- Zhou, K., Sisman, B., Liu, R., Li, H., 2021. Seen and unseen emotional style transfer for voice conversion with a new emotional speech dataset, in: *ICASSP 2021-2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, IEEE. pp. 920–924.