

# MIRROR SYMMETRIC GAMMA CONJECTURE FOR TORIC GIT QUOTIENTS VIA FOURIER TRANSFORM

KONSTANTIN ALESHKIN, BOHAN FANG, AND JUNXIAO WANG

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{X} = [(\mathbb{C}^r \setminus Z)/G]$  be a toric Fano orbifold. We compute the Fourier transform of the  $G$ -equivariant quantum cohomology central charge of any  $G$ -equivariant line bundle on  $\mathbb{C}^r$  with respect to certain choice of parameters. This gives the quantum cohomology central charge of the corresponding line bundle on  $\mathcal{X}$ , while in the oscillatory integral expression it becomes the oscillatory integral in the mirror Landau-Ginzburg mirror of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Moving these parameters to real numbers simultaneously deforms the integration cycle to the mirror Lagrangian cycle of that line bundle. This computation produces a new proof the mirror symmetric Gamma conjecture for  $\mathcal{X}$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Mirror symmetry relates Gromov-Witten invariants to more classical objects such as period integrals. For an  $n$ -dimensional toric Fano orbifold  $\mathcal{X}$ , its mirror is a Landau-Ginzburg model  $W^{\mathcal{X}} : (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , where the superpotential  $W^{\mathcal{X}}$  is a Laurent polynomial. The following oscillatory integral gives the *quantum cohomology central charge* [Iri09] of the a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$

$$\int_F e^{-\frac{W}{z}} \Omega = z^n (\tilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{L}) \tilde{\Gamma}(T\mathcal{X}), I_{\mathcal{X}})_{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (1.1)$$

Here  $\Omega$  is the standard Calabi-Yau form on the algebraic torus  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ , and the right side is the pairing in the Givental symplectic space. The  $I$ -function  $I^{\mathcal{X}}$  is an explicit hypergeometric function (see Definition 4.4), which under mirror symmetry encodes all genus 0 descendants Gromov-Witten invariants of  $\mathcal{X}$ , i.e. equal to the J-function. The class  $\tilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{L})$  is a modified Chern character. The Gamma class  $\tilde{\Gamma}(\mathcal{X})$  is a modified version of the square root of the Todd class of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

This result was proved by Iritani [Iri09] and later in [Fan20] the cycle  $F$  was explicitly shown to be the SYZ mirror dual to  $\mathcal{L}$ . The quantum cohomology central charge of a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  is also defined by Gromov-Witten invariants

$$(\tilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{L}) \tilde{\Gamma}(T\mathcal{X}), I^{\mathcal{X}})_{\mathcal{H}} = \left\langle \left\langle \frac{\tilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{L}) \tilde{\Gamma}(T\mathcal{X})}{z(z+\psi)} \right\rangle \right\rangle_{0,1}^{\mathcal{X}}.$$

The symbol  $\left\langle \left\langle \cdot \right\rangle \right\rangle_{0,1}^{\mathcal{X}}$  is the notation of genus-zero primary and descendant Gromov-Witten potential with 1 marked point. A key feature here is that the Gamma class  $\tilde{\Gamma}(T\mathcal{X})$  is needed to give correct integral structures mirror to the integral cycle  $F$  [Hos06, Iri09, KKP08].

The toric orbifold  $\mathcal{X}$  is given as a GIT quotient  $[\mathbb{C}^r //_{\eta} G]$  with respect to certain stability condition  $\eta$  where  $G \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^k \subset \mathbb{T} \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$ . The affine space  $\mathbb{C}^r$  together with the  $G$ -action has a “ $G$ -equivariant mirror” given by a  $G$ -equivariantly perturbed

superpotential  $W_G^{\mathbb{C}^r} : (\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^*})^r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , explicitly given as the following

$$W_G^{\mathbb{C}^r} = X_1 + \cdots + X_r - w_1 \log X_1 - w_r \log X_r.$$

Here  $w_i = w_i(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$  under the map  $H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\text{point}) \rightarrow H_G^2(\text{point})$ , where  $w_i$  and  $\lambda_i$  are bases.

In this paper, we directly compute the following oscillatory integral

$$\int_F e^{-\frac{w_G^{\mathbb{C}^r}}{z}} \Omega = \widetilde{\text{ch}}_G(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}) \widetilde{\Gamma}_G(T\mathbb{C}^r). \quad (1.2)$$

Here  $F = \mathbb{R}^r + (2\pi\sqrt{-1})(c_1, \dots, c_r)$  is the mirror Lagrangian cycle in  $(\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^*})^r \cong \mathbb{C}^r$  dual to  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{O}_\chi \in K_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{C}^r)$ , i.e. the structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}$  twisted by a character  $\chi = (c_1, \dots, c_r)$  in  $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{point})$ . This equality is just the  $G$ -equivariant version of Equation (1.1) for  $\mathbb{C}^r$ .

We consider the inverse Mellin transform (a Fourier type transform)

$$\prod_{a=1}^k \int_{u_a \in 1 + \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}} du_a e^{-u_a t_a}.$$

applied to both sides of (1.2) where  $u_a = \lambda_a/z$  and  $\text{Im}t_a$  are certain fixed numbers such that the transform makes sense. The left side gives oscillatory integral on  $F \cap \{\widetilde{H} = 0\}$  where  $\widetilde{H} = 0$  is a hypersurface in  $(\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^*})^r$ . This hypersurface is precisely the mirror Landau-Ginzburg model of the toric GIT quotient  $\mathcal{X}$ . While the inverse Mellin transform of the right side just gives the quantum cohomology central charge of sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  descending from  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ .

One can deform the complex parameters  $t_a$  to real numbers, and must simultaneously deform  $F$  such that it still lives in  $H_n((\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^*})^r, \text{Re}W^{\mathcal{X}} \gg 0)$ . When all  $t_a$  are real, the deformed  $F$  is precisely the SYZ mirror skeleton in the sense of coherent-constructible correspondence [FLTZ11]. Thus this computation gives a quick proof the mirror symmetric Gamma conjecture Equation (1.1) (Theorem 4.5 and 5.5), without resorting to partial differential equations (GKZ systems).

**Remark.** The relationship between the equivariant quantum cohomology of  $U$  and the quantum cohomology of  $U // G$  by Fourier transforming the equivariant parameters to Kähler parameters was conjectured by Teleman [Tel14]. Iritani proves an  $S^1$ -version of this conjecture in the study of quantum cohomology for blow-ups [Iri23a]. Essentially Proposition 4.3 is a toric version of this conjecture, implied by Givental and Lian-Liu-Yau's mirror theorems. The use of inverse Mellin transform was briefly discussed in [Iri23b, Section 8].

**1.1. Outline.** We fix the notion of toric orbifolds in Section 2, and recall the definition of the Landau-Ginzburg mirror of a toric Fano orbifold in Section 3. In Section 4 we compute the oscillatory integrals by inverse Mellin transforms. In Section 5 we study the behavior of the mirror integration cycle when complex parameters move to real numbers, and compare it with the mirror SYZ cycle.

**1.2. Acknowledgement.** The second author would like to thank Chiu-Chu Melissa Liu, Song Yu and Zhengyu Zong for valuable discussion. The third author wants to thank Nai-Chung Conan Leung and Hiroshi Iritani for helpful discussion and comments. BF and JW are supported in part by National Key R&D Program of China 2023YFA1009803 and NSFC 12125101.

## 2. TORIC NOTION

In this section, we follow the definitions in [Iri09, Section 3.1], with slightly different notation. We work over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**2.1. Definition.** Let  $N \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$  be a finitely generated free abelian group, and let  $N_{\mathbb{R}} = N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ . We consider complete toric *orbifolds* which have trivial generic stabilizers. A toric orbifold is given by the following data:

- vectors  $b_1, \dots, b_{r'} \in N$ . We require the subgroup  $\oplus_{i=1}^{r'} \mathbb{Z}b_i$  is of finite index in  $N$ .
- a complete simplicial fan  $\Sigma$  in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that the set of 1-cones is

$$\{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{r'}\},$$

where  $\rho_i = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}b_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r'$ .

The datum  $\Sigma = (\Sigma, (b_1, \dots, b_{r'}))$  is the *stacky fan* [BCS05]. We choose *additional* vectors  $b_{r'+1}, \dots, b_r$  such that  $b_1, \dots, b_r$  generate  $N$ . There is a surjective group homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \phi: \widetilde{N} := \oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}\widetilde{b}_i &\longrightarrow N, \\ \widetilde{b}_i &\mapsto b_i. \end{aligned}$$

Define  $\mathbb{L} := \text{Ker}(\phi) \cong \mathbb{Z}^k$ , where  $k := r - n$ . Then we have the following short exact sequence of finitely generated free abelian groups:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{L} \xrightarrow{\psi} \widetilde{N} \xrightarrow{\phi} N \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Applying  $-\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\text{Hom}(-, \mathbb{Z})$  to (2.1), we obtain two exact sequences of abelian groups:

$$1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \rightarrow 1, \quad (2.2)$$

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\phi^\vee} \widetilde{M} \xrightarrow{\psi^\vee} \mathbb{L}^\vee \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T} &= N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}^* \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^n, \quad \widetilde{\mathbb{T}} = \widetilde{N} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}^* \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^r, \quad G = \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}^* \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^k, \\ M &= \text{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}^*), \quad \widetilde{M} = \text{Hom}(\widetilde{N}, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}(\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{C}^*), \\ \mathbb{L}^\vee &= \text{Hom}(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^*). \end{aligned}$$

The action of  $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$  on itself extends to a  $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^r = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[Z_1, \dots, Z_r]$ . The group  $G$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}^r$  via the group homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$  in (2.2).

Define the set of “anti-cones”

$$\mathcal{A} = \{I \subset \{1, \dots, r\} : \sum_{i \notin I} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}b_i \text{ is a cone of } \Sigma\}.$$

Given  $I \in \mathcal{A}$ , let  $\mathbb{C}^I$  be the subvariety of  $\mathbb{C}^r$  defined by the ideal in  $\mathbb{C}[Z_1, \dots, Z_r]$  generated by  $\{Z_i \mid i \notin I\}$ . Define the toric orbifold  $\mathcal{X}$  as the stack quotient

$$\mathcal{X} := [U_{\mathcal{A}}/G],$$

where

$$U_{\mathcal{A}} := \mathbb{C}^r \setminus \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C}^I.$$

$\mathcal{X}$  contains the torus  $\mathbb{T} := \widetilde{\mathbb{T}}/G$  as a dense open subset, and the  $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$ -action on  $U_{\mathcal{A}}$  descends to a  $\mathbb{T}$ -action on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $\{D_i^{\mathbb{T}}\}$  be the basis of  $\widetilde{M}$  dual to  $\{\widetilde{b}_i\}$ , and  $D_i = \psi^{\vee}(D_i^{\mathbb{T}})$ .

Equivalently, one may start with a rank  $k$  algebraic torus  $G$ , with a choice of  $D_1, \dots, D_r \in \mathbb{L}^{\vee}$  where  $\mathbb{L} = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^*, G)$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{R}D_i = \mathbb{L}^{\vee} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ , and a stability vector  $\eta \in \mathbb{L}^{\vee} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ . The set of anticones are given by  $I = \{I \subset [r] : \eta \in \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}_{>0} D_i\}$ . The toric quotient  $\mathcal{X}$  is the stacky GIT quotient w.r.t. this stability vector, i.e.,  $\mathcal{X} = [\mathbb{C}^r //_{\eta} G]$ .

**2.2. Line bundles and divisors on  $\mathcal{X}$ .** Let  $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_i$  be the  $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$ -divisor in  $\mathbb{C}^r$  defined by  $Z_i = 0$ . Then  $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_i \cap U_{\mathcal{A}}$  descends to a  $\mathbb{T}$ -divisor  $\mathcal{D}_i$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ . We have

$$\widetilde{M} \cong \text{Pic}_{\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}}(\mathbb{C}^r) \cong H_{\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}}^2(\mathbb{C}^r; \mathbb{Z}),$$

where the second isomorphism is given by the  $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$ -equivariant first Chern class  $(c_1)_{\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}}$ . Define<sup>1</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}_i &= -(c_1)_{\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^r}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_i)) \in H_{\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}}^2(\mathbb{C}^r; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}}^2([\mathbb{C}^r/G]; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \widetilde{M}, \\ \bar{D}_i^{\mathbb{T}} &= (c_1)_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{D}_i)), \quad \bar{D}_i^{\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}} = (c_1)_{\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{D}_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\{-\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, -\mathbf{w}_r\}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $H_{\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}}^2(\mathbb{C}^r; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \widetilde{M}$  dual to the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis  $\{\widetilde{b}_1, \dots, \widetilde{b}_r\}$  of  $\widetilde{N}$ . Notice that  $\bar{D}_i^{\mathbb{T}} = 0$  for  $i = r' + 1, \dots, r$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{X}) &\cong H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \widetilde{M} / \oplus_{i=r'+1}^r \mathbb{Z} \mathbf{w}_i, \\ H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Z}) &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r'} \mathbb{Z} \bar{D}_i^{\mathbb{T}} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{r'}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have group isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{L}^{\vee} \cong \text{Pic}_G(\mathbb{C}^r) \cong H_G^2(\mathbb{C}^r; \mathbb{Z}),$$

where the second isomorphism is given by the  $G$ -equivariant first Chern class  $(c_1)_G$ . Notice that a character  $\chi \in \mathbb{L}^{\vee}$  defines a line bundle on  $\mathcal{X}$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\chi} = \{(z, t) \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathbb{C}/(z, t) \sim (g \cdot z, \chi(g) \cdot t), g \in G\},$$

where  $G$  acts on  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$  as a subgroup of  $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$ . There is a canonical  $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$ -action on  $\mathcal{L}_{\chi}$  which acts diagonally on  $\mathbb{C}^r$  and trivially on the fiber. Define

$$\begin{aligned} D_i &= (c_1)_G(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^r}(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_i)) \in H_G^2(\mathbb{C}^r; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{L}^{\vee}, \\ \bar{D}_i &= c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{D}_i)) \in H^2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}) \cong H^2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{L}^{\vee} / \oplus_{i=r'+1}^r \mathbb{Z} D_i.$$

The map

$$\bar{\psi}^{\vee} : \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{X}) \cong H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}) \cong H^2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Z})$$

is induced from  $\psi^{\vee} : \text{Pic}_{\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}}(\mathbb{C}^r; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_G(\mathbb{C}^r; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{L}^{\vee}$  and satisfies

$$\bar{\psi}^{\vee}(\bar{D}_i^{\mathbb{T}}) = \bar{D}_i \quad i = 1, \dots, r'.$$

<sup>1</sup>The minus sign in the definition of  $\mathbf{w}_i$  is a convention for the purpose of matching the B-model oscillatory integrals.

**2.3. Torus invariant subvarieties and their generic stabilizers.** Let  $\Sigma(d)$  be the set of  $d$ -dimensional cones. For each  $\sigma \in \Sigma(d)$ , define

$$I_\sigma = \{i \in \{1, \dots, r\} \mid \rho_i \not\subset \sigma\} \in \mathcal{A}, \quad I'_\sigma = \{1, \dots, r\} \setminus I_\sigma.$$

Let  $\widetilde{V}(\sigma) \subset U_{\mathcal{A}}$  be the closed subvariety defined by the ideal of  $\mathbb{C}[Z_1, \dots, Z_r]$  generated by

$$\{Z_i = 0 \mid \rho_i \subset \sigma\} = \{Z_i = 0 \mid i \in I'_\sigma\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{V}(\sigma) := [\widetilde{V}(\sigma)/G]$  is an  $(n-d)$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{T}$ -invariant closed subvariety of  $\mathcal{X} = [U_{\mathcal{A}}/G]$ .

Let

$$G_\sigma := \{g \in G \mid g \cdot z = z \text{ for all } z \in \widetilde{V}(\sigma)\}.$$

Then  $G_\sigma$  is the generic stabilizer of  $\mathcal{V}(\sigma)$ . It is a finite subgroup of  $G$ . If  $\tau \subset \sigma$  then  $I_\sigma \subset I_\tau$ , so  $G_\tau \subset G_\sigma$ . There are two special cases. If  $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$  where  $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{X}$ , then  $\mathfrak{p}_\sigma := \mathcal{V}(\sigma)$  is a  $\mathbb{T}$  fixed point in  $\mathcal{X}$ , and  $\mathfrak{p}_\sigma = BG_\sigma$ . If  $\sigma = \rho_i \in \Sigma(1)$  then  $\mathcal{V}(\sigma) = \mathcal{D}_i$ .

**2.4. The extended nef cone and the extended Mori cone.** In this paragraph,  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R},$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . Given a finitely generated free abelian group  $\Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z}^m$ , define  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{F}} = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F} \cong \mathbb{F}^m$ . We have the following short exact sequences of vector spaces ( $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}$  with Equation (2.1) and (2.3)):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow \widetilde{N}_{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\vee} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Given a maximal cone  $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$ , we define

$$\mathbb{K}_{\sigma}^{\vee} := \bigoplus_{i \in I_\sigma} \mathbb{Z}D_i.$$

Then  $\mathbb{K}_{\sigma}^{\vee}$  is a sublattice of  $\mathbb{L}^{\vee}$  of finite index. We define the *extended nef cone*  $\widetilde{\text{Nef}}_{\mathcal{X}}$  as below

$$\widetilde{\text{Nef}}_{\sigma} = \sum_{i \in I_\sigma} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} D_i, \quad \widetilde{\text{Nef}}_{\mathcal{X}} := \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Sigma(n)} \widetilde{\text{Nef}}_{\sigma}.$$

The *extended  $\sigma$ -Kähler cone*  $\widetilde{C}_{\sigma}$  is defined to be the interior of  $\widetilde{\text{Nef}}_{\sigma}$ ; the *extended Kähler cone* of  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $\widetilde{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$ , is defined to be the interior of the extended nef cone  $\widetilde{\text{Nef}}_{\mathcal{X}}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{K}_{\sigma}$  be the dual lattice of  $\mathbb{K}_{\sigma}^{\vee}$ ; it can be viewed as an additive subgroup of  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ :

$$\mathbb{K}_{\sigma} = \{\beta \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \langle D, \beta \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \ \forall D \in \mathbb{K}_{\sigma}^{\vee}\},$$

where  $\langle -, - \rangle$  is the natural pairing between  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\vee}$  and  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . We have  $\mathbb{K}_{\sigma}/\mathbb{L} \cong G_{\sigma}$ . Define

$$\mathbb{K} := \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma(n)} \mathbb{K}_{\sigma}.$$

Then  $\mathbb{K}$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and  $\mathbb{L} \subset \mathbb{K}$ .

We define the *extended Mori cone*  $\widetilde{\text{NE}}_{\mathcal{X}} \subset \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{R}}$  to be

$$\widetilde{\text{NE}}_{\mathcal{X}} := \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma(n)} \widetilde{\text{NE}}_{\sigma}, \quad \widetilde{\text{NE}}_{\sigma} = \{\beta \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle D, \beta \rangle \geq 0 \ \forall D \in \widetilde{\text{Nef}}_{\sigma}\}.$$

Finally, we define extended curve classes

$$\mathbb{K}_{\text{eff}, \sigma} := \mathbb{K}_{\sigma} \cap \widetilde{\text{NE}}_{\sigma}, \quad \mathbb{K}_{\text{eff}} := \mathbb{K} \cap \widetilde{\text{NE}}(\mathcal{X}) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma(n)} \mathbb{K}_{\text{eff}, \sigma}.$$

From now on, we make the following Fano assumption. Then the equivariant mirror theorem in [CCIT15, CCFK15] has an explicit mirror map.

**Assumption 2.1** (positive (Fano) condition). From now on, we assume that (1) the coarse moduli toric variety is Fano and (2) we may choose  $b_{r'+1}, \dots, b_r$  such that  $\hat{\rho} := D_1 + \dots + D_r$  is contained in the closure of the extended Kähler cone  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{X}}$ .

**2.5. The inertia stack and the Chen-Ruan cohomology.** Given  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , define

$$\text{Box}(\sigma) := \{v \in N : v = \sum_{i \in I'_\sigma} c_i b_i, \quad 0 \leq c_i < 1\}.$$

If  $\tau \subset \sigma$  then  $I'_\tau \subset I'_\sigma$ , so  $\text{Box}(\tau) \subset \text{Box}(\sigma)$ .

Given a real number  $x$ , let  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  be the greatest integer less than or equal to  $x$ ,  $\lceil x \rceil$  be the least integer greater than or equal to  $x$ , and then  $\{x\} = x - \lfloor x \rfloor$  is the fractional part of  $x$ . Define  $v : \mathbb{K}_\sigma \rightarrow N$  by

$$v(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^r [\langle D_i, \beta \rangle] b_i = \sum_{i \in I'_\sigma} \{-\langle D_i, \beta \rangle\} b_i, \quad (2.4)$$

so  $v(\beta) \in \text{Box}(\sigma)$ . Indeed,  $v$  induces a bijection  $\mathbb{K}_\sigma/\mathbb{L} = G_\sigma \cong \text{Box}(\sigma)$ .

For any  $\tau \in \Sigma$  there exists  $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$  such that  $\tau \subset \sigma$ . The bijection  $G_\sigma \rightarrow \text{Box}(\sigma)$  restricts to a bijection  $G_\tau \rightarrow \text{Box}(\tau)$ . Define

$$\text{Box}(\Sigma) := \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \text{Box}(\sigma) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma(n)} \text{Box}(\sigma).$$

There is a bijection  $\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{L} \rightarrow \text{Box}(\Sigma)$ .

Given  $v \in \text{Box}(\sigma)$ , where  $\sigma \in \Sigma(d)$ , define  $c_i(v) \in [0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$  by

$$v = \sum_{i \in I'_\sigma} c_i(v) b_i.$$

Suppose that  $k \in G_\sigma$  corresponds to  $v \in \text{Box}(\sigma)$  under the bijection  $G_\sigma \cong \text{Box}(\sigma)$ , then we have

$$\chi^{-w_i}(k) = \begin{cases} 1, & i \in I_\sigma, \\ e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}c_i(v)}, & i \in I'_\sigma. \end{cases}$$

Define

$$\text{age}(k) = \text{age}(v) = \sum_{i \notin I_\sigma} c_i(v).$$

Here  $-w_i$  are basis in  $\tilde{M} = \text{Hom}(\tilde{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{C}^*)$  dual to  $\tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{b}_r$  (see Section 2.2). Here  $-w_i$  are elements in the character lattice of  $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}$ , and  $\chi^{-w_i}(k)$  are their values for some  $k \in \tilde{\mathbb{T}}$ .

Let  $IU = \{(z, k) \in U_{\mathcal{A}} \times G \mid k \cdot z = z\}$ , and let  $G$  acts on  $IU$  by  $h \cdot (z, k) = (h \cdot z, k)$ . The inertia stack  $\mathcal{IX}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  is defined to be the quotient stack

$$\mathcal{IX} := [IU/G].$$

The inertial stack  $\mathcal{IX}$  comes with a projection map  $\text{pr} : \mathcal{IX} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ . Note that  $(z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_r), k) \in IU$  if and only if

$$k \in \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} G_\sigma \text{ and } Z_i = 0 \text{ whenever } \chi_i(k) \neq 1.$$

So

$$IU = \bigcup_{v \in \text{Box}(\Sigma)} U_v,$$

where

$$U_v := \{(Z_1, \dots, Z_r) \in U_{\mathcal{A}} : Z_i = 0 \text{ if } c_i(v) \neq 0\}.$$

The connected components of  $\mathcal{IX}$  are

$$\{\mathcal{X}_v := [U_v/G] : v \in \text{Box}(\Sigma)\}.$$

We introduce the notation for the natural inclusion map  $\iota_v : \mathcal{X}_v \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ . The involution  $IU \rightarrow IU$ ,  $(z, k) \mapsto (z, k^{-1})$  induces involutions  $\text{inv} : \mathcal{IX} \rightarrow \mathcal{IX}$  and  $\text{inv} : \text{Box}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{Box}(\Sigma)$  such that  $\text{inv}(\mathcal{X}_v) = \mathcal{X}_{\text{inv}(v)}$ . Define the  $\mathbb{T}$ -fixed point  $\mathfrak{p}_{\sigma, v} = \text{pr}^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}_{\sigma}) \cap \mathcal{X}_v$ .

In the remainder of this subsection, we consider rational cohomology, and write  $H^*(-)$  instead of  $H^*(-; \mathbb{Q})$ . The Chen-Ruan orbifold cohomology, as a vector space, is defined to be [Zas93, CR04]

$$H_{\text{CR}}^*(\mathcal{X}) = \bigoplus_{v \in \text{Box}(\Sigma)} H^*(\mathcal{X}_v)[2\text{age}(v)].$$

Denote  $\mathbf{1}_v$  to be the unit in  $H^*(\mathcal{X}_v)$ . Then  $\mathbf{1}_v \in H_{\text{CR}}^{2\text{age}(v)}(\mathcal{X})$ . In particular, we have

$$H_{\text{CR}}^0(\mathcal{X}) = \mathbb{Q}\mathbf{1}_0.$$

We have the following isomorphisms given by first Chern classes:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 : \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Z}), \\ c_1^{\mathbb{T}} : \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{X}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

By Assumption 2.1, since  $\mathcal{X}$  is proper, the orbifold Poincaré pairing on  $H_{\text{CR}}^*(\mathcal{X})$  is defined as

$$(\alpha, \beta) := \int_{\mathcal{IX}} \alpha \cup \text{inv}^*(\beta), \quad (2.5)$$

We also have an equivariant pairing on  $H_{\text{CR}, \mathbb{T}}^*(\mathcal{X})$ :

$$(\alpha, \beta)_{\mathbb{T}} := \int_{\mathcal{IX}_{\mathbb{T}}} \alpha \cup \text{inv}^*(\beta), \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$\int_{\mathcal{IX}_{\mathbb{T}}} : H_{\text{CR}, \mathbb{T}}^*(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{point}) = H^*(B\mathbb{T})$$

is the equivariant pushforward to a point. Here the dot (sometimes omitted) is the cup product in  $H^*(\mathcal{IX})$ . The product in the Chen-Ruan cohomology [CR04] (also see [Zas93] for many cases), does not explicitly appear in this paper except for the following one particular case. Namely, let  $\alpha \in H^*(\mathcal{X}) \subset H_{\text{CR}}^*(\mathcal{X})$  and  $\beta \in H^*(\mathcal{X}_v) \subset H_{\text{CR}}^*(\mathcal{X})$ . Then the Chen-Ruan product of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  can be described as  $\alpha \cdot \beta = \iota_v^*(\alpha) \cup \beta$ .

Consider Givental symplectic space  $\mathcal{H} := H_{\text{CR}}^*(\mathcal{X}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^*)$ , where the second factor denotes holomorphic functions in the loop variable  $z$ . We denote an element of  $\mathcal{H}$  by  $\alpha(z)$ . The Poincaré pairings extend  $z$ -linearly to the symplectic space. The latter is endowed with the skew-symmetric pairing:

$$(\alpha(z), \beta(z))_{\mathcal{H}} := (\alpha(z), \beta(-z)),$$

and symplectic form  $\Omega(\alpha(z), \beta(z)) := \text{Res}_{z=0}(\alpha(z), \beta(z))_{\mathcal{H}} dz$ .

One can also consider the  $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$ -equivariant cohomology. The notion  $(, )_{\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}}$  and  $\int_{\mathcal{IX}_{\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}}}$  are self-evident.

**2.6. Chern characters, Gamma classes and central charges.** In this subsection  $X$  is a general smooth DM stack with a linear action of a complex reductive group  $G$ .

**2.6.1. Orbifold Chern characters and twisted Chern characters.** Let  $K_G(X)$  be the Grothendieck group of (topological) vector bundles on  $X$ . We consider orbifold equivariant Chern character

$$\mathrm{ch}_G : K_G(X) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{CR},G}^*(X),$$

where  $H_{\mathrm{CR},G}^*(X)$  is the completion of  $H_{\mathrm{CR},G}^*(X)$  by the maximal homogeneous ideal. By the splitting principle it is enough to define the Chern character for K-classes of equivariant line bundles  $\mathcal{L}$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}X = \bigsqcup_{v \in \pi_0(\mathcal{I}X)} X_v$  and  $\iota_v : X_v \hookrightarrow X$  be the inclusion map.

$$\mathrm{ch}_G(\mathcal{L}) = \sum_{v \in \pi_0(\mathcal{I}X)} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathrm{age}_v(\mathcal{L})} e^{c_1^G(\iota_v^*\mathcal{L})} \mathbf{1}_v, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $\mathrm{age}_v(\mathcal{L})$  is a unique rational number in  $[0, 1)$  such that the stabilizer of  $X_v$  acts in each fiber of  $\iota_v^*\mathcal{L}$  by  $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathrm{age}_v(\mathcal{L})}$ .

In this paper we define the twisted Chern character as follows.

**Definition 2.2.**

$$\tilde{\mathrm{ch}}_G(\mathcal{L}) = \sum_{v \in \pi_0(\mathcal{I}X)} (\tilde{\mathrm{ch}}_G)_v(\mathcal{L}) \mathbf{1}_v = \sum_{v \in \pi_0(\mathcal{I}X)} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathrm{age}_v(\mathcal{L})} e^{-\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{z}c_1^G(\mathcal{L})} \mathbf{1}_v.$$

**2.6.2. Gamma classes and central charges.** Following Iritani, we define the orbifold Gamma class as a multiplicative characteristic class

$$\hat{\Gamma}_G : K_G(X) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{CR},G}^*(X),$$

such that for a  $G$ -equivariant line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X$  we have:

$$\hat{\Gamma}_G(\mathcal{L}) = \bigoplus_{v \in \pi_0(\mathcal{I}X)} \hat{\Gamma}(1 - \mathrm{age}_v(\mathcal{L}) + c_1^G(\mathcal{L})).$$

Correspondingly, we define the  $z$ -twisted Gamma class by

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_G(\mathcal{L}) = \bigoplus_{v \in \pi_0(\mathcal{I}X)} z^{-\mathrm{age}_v(\mathcal{L})} z^{c_1^G(\mathcal{L})/z} \Gamma(1 - \mathrm{age}_v(\mathcal{L}) + c_1^G(\mathcal{L})/z). \quad (2.8)$$

When  $G$  is trivial (in the non-equivariant setting), we drop the letter  $G$  and denote these classes as  $\tilde{\mathrm{ch}}$ ,  $\hat{\Gamma}$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ .

Let  $I(z) : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{CR},G}^*(X)$  be an analytic function of variable  $z$  with values in the orbifold cohomology. A central charge associated to  $I(z)$  of  $\mathcal{F} \in K_G(X)$  is defined to be

$$Z_A^{X,G}(\mathcal{F}; I) := \frac{z^{\dim X}}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{\dim X}} (\tilde{\Gamma}_G(TX) \tilde{\mathrm{ch}}_G(\mathcal{F}), I(z))_{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (2.9)$$

**Remark.** In the case where  $I(z) = L^*(\tau, -z) = J(\tau, z)$  is the Givental J-function in the notation of [Iri09], the central charge defined above corresponds to the central charge of [Iri09].



## 3. MIRROR LANDAU-GINZBURG MODEL

In this section, we define a Landau-Ginzburg model for the mirror of  $\mathcal{X}$ . For any algebraic torus  $H$ , we denote  $M^H = \text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{C}^*)$ , so  $\mathbb{L}^\vee = M^G$ ,  $M = M^{\mathbb{T}}$  and  $\widetilde{M} = M^{\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}}$ .

Apply the exact functor  $\text{Hom}(-, \mathbb{C}^*)$  to the short exact sequence (2.1) and we get

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N, \mathbb{C}^*) = M_{\mathbb{C}^*} \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^r = \widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{C}^*} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{M} = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{C}^*) \rightarrow 1.$$

We see that  $\mathcal{Y}_q = \mathbf{p}^{-1}(q) \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^k$  is a subtorus in  $\widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{C}^*}$ . We find integral basis  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathbb{L}^\vee \cap \widetilde{\text{Nef}}_{\mathcal{X}}$  such that  $p_{k'+1}, \dots, p_k \in \sum_{i=r'+1}^r \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} D_i$  where  $k' = k - (r - r')$ . As discussed in [Iri09, p1037], this choice is always possible. Define the *charge vectors*

$$l^{(a)} = (l_1^{(a)}, \dots, l_r^{(a)}) \in \mathbb{Z}^r, \quad \psi(e_a) = \sum_{i=1}^r l_i^{(a)} \widetilde{b}_i.$$

with  $\{e_a\}$  being the basis of  $\mathbb{L}$  dual to  $\{p_a\}$ . So

$$D_i = \psi^\vee(D_i^{\mathbb{T}}) = \sum_{a=1}^k l_i^{(a)} p_a, \quad i = 1, \dots, r.$$

Therefore,  $\mathcal{Y}_q$  could be written as the equations

$$\mathcal{Y}_q = \{(X_1, \dots, X_r) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^r \mid H_a := \prod_{i=1}^r X_i^{l_i^{(a)}} = q_a, a = 1, \dots, k\},$$

where  $q = (q_1, \dots, q_k)$  are coordinates on  $\mathcal{M}$ , as *complex parameters*. We have a flat family of algebraic torus  $\mathcal{Y} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^r \times \mathcal{M}$ . For any  $\beta \in \mathbb{K}$ , denote  $q^\beta = \prod_{a=1}^k q_a^{\langle \beta, p_a \rangle}$ . This notion may involve fractional powers as  $\beta \in \mathbb{K}$  in which  $\mathbb{L}$  is a sublattice.

Let  $\widetilde{M} = M_{\mathbb{C}}^G \cong \mathbb{C}^k$  be the universal cover of  $\mathcal{M}$ , and let  $X_i = e^{x_i}$ ,  $q_i = e^{t_i}$ . Denote the family

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathcal{Y} \times_{\widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{C}^*} \times \mathcal{M}} (\widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \widetilde{M}),$$

where  $\mathcal{Y} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{C}^*} \times \mathcal{M}$ , and the map  $\widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{C}^*} \times \mathcal{M}$  is given by  $x_i \mapsto e^{x_i}$ ,  $t_i \mapsto e^{t_i}$ . The fiber  $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_t$  is given by

$$\widetilde{H}_a := \sum_i l_i^{(a)} x_i = t_a.$$

There is a covering map  $p: \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{e^t}$ .

For any sub-torus  $K$  of  $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$ , a *K-equivariant framing* is a choice of group morphism  $f: M^K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Since the embedding  $K \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$  induces a linear map  $\widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{C}}^K$ ,  $f$  induces a linear map  $\widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , and we still denote it by  $f$ .

**Definition 3.1.** The  $H^2(\mathcal{X})$ -deformed superpotential on  $\mathcal{Y}_q$  is

$$W^{\mathcal{X}}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^r X_i.$$

The  $K$ -equivariant superpotential on  $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}$  is

$$\widetilde{W}_K^{\mathcal{X}} = W(e^x) - f(x).$$

**Example 3.2.** A  $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$ -equivariant framing is given by  $f(w_i) = w_i$ , and the  $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$ -equivariant superpotential is

$$\widetilde{W}_{\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}}^{\mathcal{X}} = X_1 + \dots + X_r - w_1 x_1 - \dots - w_r x_r$$

defined on  $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ .

**Example 3.3.** Let  $\mathcal{X} = [\mathbb{C}^r //_{\eta} G]$  be a toric GIT quotient stack. Then

$$\widetilde{W}_G^{\mathbb{C}^r} = X_1 + \cdots + X_r - \sum_{a=1}^k \lambda_a \sum_{i=1}^r l_i^{(a)} x_i \quad (3.1)$$

on  $\widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , while

$$W^{\mathcal{X}} = X_1 + \cdots + X_r$$

on  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

Let

$$\Omega_{\widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{C}^*}} = \frac{dX_1}{X_1} \cdots \frac{dX_r}{X_r}$$

be the Calabi-Yau form on  $\widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{C}^*}$ . So its pullback to  $\widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is  $\Omega_{\widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{C}}} = dx_1 \cdots dx_r$ . We define

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}_q} &= q_1 \cdots q_k \operatorname{Res}_{H-q=0} \frac{\Omega_{\widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{C}^*}}}{H-q}, \\ \Omega_{\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_t} &= \operatorname{Res}_{\widetilde{H}-t=0} \frac{\Omega_{\widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{C}}}}{\widetilde{H}-t}. \end{aligned}$$

We have  $p^* \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}_q} = \Omega_{\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_t}$ .

For certain  $t \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ , a  $K$ -equivariant framing and a locally complete submanifold  $F \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_t$ , we define the B-model equivariant quantum cohomology central charge

$$Z_B^{\mathcal{X}, K}(F) = \int_F e^{-\frac{\widetilde{w}_K^{\mathcal{X}}}{z}} \Omega_{\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_t}.$$

for  $F$ . We allow this  $F$  to be a non-compact manifold as long as the integral converges absolutely for  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_a > 0$  and  $z > 0$ .

Similarly the B-model non-equivariant central charge is given by

$$Z_B^{\mathcal{X}}(F) = \int_F e^{-\frac{w^{\mathcal{X}}}{z}} \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}_q}$$

for given  $q \in \mathcal{M}$  and the locally complete submanifold  $F \subset \mathcal{Y}_q$  if the integral converges absolutely for  $z > 0$ .

#### 4. B-MODEL OSCILLATORY INTEGRAL FOR TORIC GIT QUOTIENTS

For a toric Fano orbifold  $\mathcal{X}$  defined in Section 2, it is given as a GIT quotient  $\mathcal{X} = [\mathbb{C}^r //_{\eta} G]$ . The  $G$ -equivariant superpotential is given by Equation (3.1). We use a  $G$ -equivariant framing  $f : M^G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_a) = \operatorname{Re}(f(\lambda_a)) > 0$ . We also require  $z > 0$  in our computation.

For a real  $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$ -equivariant Picard element  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{O}(\sum_{i=1}^r c_i \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_i) \in \operatorname{Pic}_{\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}}(\widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , the mirror Lagrangian cycle is

$$F_{\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r (\mathbb{R} + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}c_i).$$

The B-model central charge is (noting the equivariant  $I$ -function is  $\mathbf{1}$ .)

$$\begin{aligned} Z_B^{\widetilde{M}_c, G}(F_{\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}}) &= \int_{F_{\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}}} e^{-W_G^{\mathbb{C}^r}/z} \Omega_{\widetilde{M}_c}, \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r e^{-\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}c_i \sum_{a=1}^k \lambda_a l_i^{(a)}}{z}} \int_{X_i > 0} e^{-\frac{X_i}{z}} X_i^{\frac{\sum_{a=1}^k \lambda_a l_i^{(a)}}{z} - 1} dX_i \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r e^{-\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}c_i \sum_{a=1}^k \lambda_a l_i^{(a)}}{z}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r z^{\frac{\sum_{a=1}^k \lambda_a l_i^{(a)}}{z}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\sum_{a=1}^k \lambda_a l_i^{(a)}}{z}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

$$= z^r \prod_{i=1}^r e^{-\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}c_i \sum_{a=1}^k \lambda_a l_i^{(a)}}{z}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r z^{\frac{\sum_{a=1}^k \lambda_a l_i^{(a)}}{z}} \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\sum_{a=1}^k \lambda_a l_i^{(a)}}{z}\right)}{\sum_{a=1}^k \lambda_a l_i^{(a)}} \quad (4.2)$$

$$= z^r \widetilde{\text{ch}}_G(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}) \frac{\widetilde{\Gamma}_G(T\mathbb{C}^r)}{e_G(T\mathbb{C}^r)} \Big|_{\lambda_a = \lambda_a} = (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^r Z_A^{\mathbb{C}^r, G}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}) \Big|_{\lambda_a = \lambda_a}.$$

Let  $\lambda_a/z = u_a$ . We require  $t = \{t_a\} \in \widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{R}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}} \subset \widetilde{M}$  to be *real compatible* with  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$  if

$$\text{Im}(t_a) = h_a = 2\pi \sum_{i=1}^r c_i l_i^{(a)}.$$

We recall the following facts about Fourier transform and delta function. Here we denote

$$\text{InvM}_u(f(u)) := (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-1} \int_{a-\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}}^{a+\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}} e^{ux} f(u) du,$$

where the real part of each pole of  $f(u)$  is less than some  $a$ . We also note that for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{-\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}}^{\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}} e^{ux} du = \delta(x).$$

Furthermore let  $H$  be a holomorphic function in  $\mathbb{C}^r$  (or  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^r$ ), and  $H(F) \subset \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_{F \cap \{H=0\}} f(X) \text{Res}_{H=0} \frac{\Omega}{H} = \int_F f(X) \delta(H) \Omega.$$

We compute the inverse Mellin transform

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{InvM}_u(Z_B^{\widetilde{M}_c, G}(F_{\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}})) \\ &= \prod_{a=1}^k (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-1} \int_{1+\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}} du_a e^{-u_a t_a} Z_B^{\widetilde{M}_c, G}(F_{\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}}) \\ &= \prod_{a=1}^k (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-1} \int_{1+\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}} du_a e^{-u_a t_a} \int_{F_{\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}}} e^{-\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_r}{z}} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^r l_i^{(a)} x_i u_a\right) \Omega_{\widetilde{M}_c} \\ &= \int_{F_{\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}}} \Omega_{\widetilde{M}_c} e^{-\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_r}{z}} \prod_{a=1}^k (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-1} \int_{1+\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(u_a \left(\sum_{i=1}^r l_i^{(a)} x_i - t_a\right)\right) du_a \quad (4.3) \\ &= \int_{F_{\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}}} \Omega_{\widetilde{M}_c} e^{-\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_r}{z}} \prod_{a=1}^k \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^r l_i^{(a)} x_i - t_a\right) \\ &= \int_{F_{\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}} \cap \bigcap_{a=1}^k \{\widetilde{H}_a - t_a = 0\}} e^{-\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_r}{z}} \text{Res}_{\widetilde{H}_a = t_a} \frac{\Omega_{\widetilde{M}_c}}{d\widetilde{H}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\widetilde{H}_k} \\ &= Z_B^{\mathcal{X}}(F_{\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}} \cap \bigcap_{a=1}^k \{\widetilde{H}_a = t_a\}). \end{aligned}$$

This is a holomorphic function defined on  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}}$ . Thus we have shown the following.

**Proposition 4.1.**

$$\text{InvM}_u(Z_B^{\widetilde{M}_{c,G}}(F_{\mathcal{L}})) = Z_B^{\mathcal{X}}(F_{\mathcal{L}} \cap \bigcap_{a=1}^k \{\widetilde{H}_a = t_a\}).$$

We also have an A-model counterpart of the last statement. The non-equivariant small I-function of  $\mathcal{X}$  is [Iri09]

$$I^{\mathcal{X}}(t, z) = e^{\sum_{a=1}^k t_a p_a / z} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{K}_{\text{eff}}} e^{\sum_{a=1}^k t_a \beta_a} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\prod_{\{c\}=\{D_i(\beta)\}, c \leq 0} (\bar{D}_i + cz)}{\prod_{\{c\}=\{D_i(\beta)\}, c \leq D_i(\beta)} (\bar{D}_i + cz)} \mathbf{1}_{v(\beta)} \quad (4.4)$$

The A-model central charge in this paper is the central charge associated with the I-function for any line bundle  $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$  as given by Equation (2.9)

$$Z_A^{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L}) := Z_A^{\mathcal{X}, G=\{1\}}(\mathcal{L}; I^{\mathcal{X}}).$$

**Lemma 4.2.** Explicitly, the A-model central charge is

$$\begin{aligned} Z_A^{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L}) &= (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-n} \sum_{v \in \text{Box}(\Sigma)} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{K}_{\text{eff}} \\ D_i(\beta) = v_i}} z^{\dim \mathcal{X}_v - k} \int_{\mathcal{X}_v} e^{-\sum_a t_a (p_a / z - \beta_a)} z^{\sum_{i=1}^r \bar{D}_i / z - D_i(\beta)} \times \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i, v_i=0} \bar{D}_i \prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(\bar{D}_i / z - D_i(\beta)) \widetilde{\text{ch}}_v(\mathcal{L}) \quad (4.5) \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* It is convenient to rewrite the I-function using the shift property of gamma functions  $\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x)$ :

$$I^{\mathcal{X}}(t, z) = e^{\sum_{a=1}^k t_a p_a / z} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{K}_{\text{eff}}} e^{\sum_{a=1}^k t_a \beta_a} z^{-\sum_i D_i(\beta) + \{-D_i(\beta)\}} \prod_{i, D_i(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\bar{D}_i}{z} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma(\bar{D}_i / z + \{D_i(\beta)\})}{\Gamma(1 + \bar{D}_i / z + D_i(\beta))} \mathbf{1}_{v(\beta)} \quad (4.6)$$

where curly braces denote the fractional part of a real number. We also use the reflection relation for gamma functions  $\Gamma(x)\Gamma(1-x)\sin(\pi x) = \pi$  to restate the last formula as

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\mathcal{X}}(t, z) &= e^{\sum_{a=1}^k t_a p_a / z} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{K}_{\text{eff}}} e^{\sum_{a=1}^k t_a \beta_a} z^{-\sum_i D_i(\beta) + \{-D_i(\beta)\}} \times \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i, D_i(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\bar{D}_i}{z} \prod_{i=1}^r (-1)^{1+D_i(\beta) - \{D_i(\beta)\}} \frac{\Gamma(-\bar{D}_i / z - D_i(\beta))}{\Gamma(1 - \{D_i(\beta)\} - \bar{D}_i / z)} \mathbf{1}_{v(\beta)}. \quad (4.7) \end{aligned}$$

We then compute  $I^{\mathcal{X}}(t, -z)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\mathcal{X}}(t, -z) &= e^{-\sum_{a=1}^k t_a p_a / z} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{K}_{\text{eff}}} e^{\sum_{a=1}^k t_a \beta_a} z^{-\sum_i D_i(\beta) + \{-D_i(\beta)\}} \times \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i, D_i(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\bar{D}_i}{z} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma(\bar{D}_i / z - D_i(\beta))}{\Gamma(1 - \{D_i(\beta)\} + \bar{D}_i / z)} \mathbf{1}_{v(\beta)}. \quad (4.8) \end{aligned}$$

We also compute

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}(T\mathcal{X}) \widetilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{L}) = \sum_{v \in \text{Box}(\Sigma)} z^{-\sum_{i=1}^r v_i} \prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(1 - v_i + \bar{D}_i / z) \widetilde{\text{ch}}_v(\mathcal{L}) \mathbf{1}_v.$$

Integrating the product of the two contributions above we get the claim.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.** There exists a nonempty open region  $U \subset (\mathbb{L}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{C}) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^*$  such that for  $(t, z) \in U$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  the inverse Mellin transform of  $Z_A^{\mathbb{C}^r, G}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})|_{\lambda_a = \lambda_a}$  is convergent and is equal to the non-equivariant central charge for  $\mathcal{X} = [\mathbb{C}^r //_\eta G]$  up to a normalization factor.

$$\text{InvM}_u(Z_A^{\mathbb{C}^r, G}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})|_{\lambda_a = \lambda_a}) = (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-k} Z_A^{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L}) = \frac{z^n}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^r} (\widetilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{L})\widetilde{\Gamma}(T\mathcal{X}), I^{\mathcal{X}}(t, z))_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  is an image of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$  under the map  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^\vee \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{L}^\vee / \sum_{j=r'+1}^r \mathbb{Z}D_j$ .

*Proof.* This statement is a modification of the theorem [AL23][5.6] called Higgs-Coulomb correspondence there. In order for the proof of the theorem to work, we need to take care of convergence issues and  $z$ -dependence.

**Lemma 4.4.** The integral

$$\text{InvM}_u(Z_A^{\mathbb{C}^r, G}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})|_{\lambda_a = \lambda_a}) = \prod_{a=1}^k (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-1} \int_{1+\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}} du_a e^{u_a t_a} Z_A^{\mathbb{C}^r, G}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})|_{\lambda_a = \lambda_a} \quad (4.9)$$

is absolutely convergent if the following grade restriction rule is satisfied

$$\left| \sum_{a=1}^k (\text{Im}(t_a) - h_a) \nu_a \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{i=1}^r |D_i(\nu)|, \quad \forall \nu \in \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}.$$

We note that the grade restriction rule is always satisfied if  $\text{Im}(t_a) = h_a$ .

*Proof.* This follows lemma [AL23][5.8] word by word. We used that  $z$  is real positive and the fact that the Calabi-Yau condition from [AL23] does not play a role in this statement.  $\square$

Let us explain how to modify the proof of the theorem [AL23][5.6] in our case. The main difference of this paper is that the coarse moduli space  $X$  is assumed to be Fano and proper and  $z$ -dependence in the central charges. The  $z$ -dependence can be taken care of by doing the following coordinate change  $u_a = \lambda_a/z$ ,  $\tilde{t}_a = t_a - \log z \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_a l_i^{(a)}$ . After this coordinate change the equivariant central charge becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{InvM}_\lambda(Z_A^{\mathbb{C}^r, G}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})|_{\lambda_a = \lambda_a})(t) \\ &= \frac{z^r}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^r} \prod_{a=1}^k (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-1} \int_{1+\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}} du_a e^{(t_a - \sqrt{-1}h_a)u_a} \prod_{i=1}^r z^{\sum_{a=1}^k u_a l_i^{(a)}} \Gamma\left(\sum_{a=1}^k u_a l_i^{(a)}\right) \\ &= \frac{z^r}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^r} \prod_{a=1}^k (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-1} \int_{1+\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}} du_a e^{(\tilde{t}_a - \sqrt{-1}h_a)u_a} \prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma\left(\sum_{a=1}^k u_a l_i^{(a)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We see that the  $z$ -dependence completely factors out of the computation. We also note that the integration contour chosen here satisfies the condition from the definition [AL23][5.2] with  $\alpha_i = 0$  by the choice of the basis.

The fact that  $\sum_i D_i \neq 0$  requires a little more careful analysis as it affects the convergence statements used in the proof. The first affected statement is the proposition [AL23][A.3] that regulates the convergence of the central charge  $Z_A^{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L})$ . The second statement that needs modification is corollary [AL23][5.12] that uses convergence proposition [AL23][A.5]. Essentially, Fano conditions makes the convergence even better than in the Calabi-Yau case.

Applying the non-equivariant limit of the modification of the theorem [AL23][5.6] and the non-equivariant limit of the theorem [AL23][4.20], and restoring the  $z$ -dependence we obtain the claimed formula:

$$\text{InvM}_\lambda(Z_A^{\mathbb{C}^r, G}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})|_{\lambda_a=\lambda_a})(t) = \frac{z^n}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^r} (\tilde{\Gamma}(T\mathcal{X})\tilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{L}), I(t, z))_{\mathcal{H}} \quad (4.10)$$

in the non-empty common domain of convergence of the LHS and the RHS. The prefactor comes from comparing the normalizations of the central charges.  $\square$

Combining Proposition 4.3 and 4.1, we obtain the following.

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $t \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbb{R}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  be the image of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  under  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^\vee \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Z})$ ,

$$Z_A^{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L}) = (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-n} Z_B^{\mathcal{X}}(F_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} \cap \bigcap_{a=1}^k \{\tilde{H}_a = t_a\}).$$

## 5. LOCAL SYSTEM OF THE MIRROR CYCLES

We list some facts from [Iri09, Section 3]:

- There is an open dense “good” part  $\mathcal{M}^\circ$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

$$R_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^\vee := H_n(\mathcal{Y}_q; \text{Re}(W^{\mathcal{X}}) \gg 0; \mathbb{Z})$$

for  $q \in \mathcal{M}^\circ$  form a local system  $\mathcal{R}^\vee$  of rank  $N$  [Iri09, Proposition 3.12].

- There exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\{0 < |q| < \epsilon\} \subset \mathcal{M}^\circ$  [Iri09, Lemma 3.8].

We denote  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}^\vee \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^\circ$  be the pullback. For a locally complete submanifold  $F \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , if it represents an  $[F] \in H_n(\mathcal{Y}_q; \text{Re}(W^{\mathcal{X}}) \gg 0)$ , then the integral the central charge  $Z_B^{\mathcal{X}}(F)$  exists and depends on the class  $[F]$  only.

Given a  $z > 0$ , there is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map  $Z_B^{\mathcal{X}} : R_q^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . It extends to a multi-valued holomorphic family of linear maps  $R_q^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  over  $q \in \mathcal{M}$ . Or one can regard it as a flat section of  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}^\vee$ .

In Section 4 we show that for  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{O}(\sum_{i=1}^r c_i \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_i)$  and  $t$  real compatible with  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ ,

$$Z_B^{\mathcal{X}, G}(F_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} \cap \bigcap_{a=1}^k \{\tilde{H}_a = t_a\}) = Z_A^{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L})(t).$$

In this section, we generalize this to the points  $t$  not real compatible with  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ .

Following [Iri09, Section 3.1.2] we define a splitting of the following exact sequence for the extended Picard group over  $\mathbb{Q}$

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=r'+1}^r \mathbb{Q}D_i \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}^\vee \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.1)$$

For each  $j \in \{r'+1, \dots, r\}$ , suppose  $b_j$  is contained in the cone generated by  $I_j \subset \{1, \dots, r'\}$ , i.e.,  $b_j = \sum_{i \in I_j} s_{ji} b_i$  for some  $s_{ji} > 0$ . We choose  $D_j^\vee \in \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$\langle D_i, D_j^\vee \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ -s_{ji} & i \in I_j \\ 0 & i \notin I_j \cup \{j\} \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\sum_{i \in I_j} s_{ji} \leq 1$  due to Assumption 2.1. We then call  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}^\vee = (\text{Ker}(D_{r'+1}^\vee, \dots, D_r^\vee)) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=r'+1}^r \mathbb{Q}D_i$  the canonical splitting of (5.1), and  $\iota : \text{Ker}(D_{r'+1}^\vee, \dots, D_r^\vee) \cong H^2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow$

$\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\vee}$  is canonically identified as a subspace of  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\vee}$ . This splitting induces a decomposition  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Kähler}} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{orb}}$  and  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{\text{Kähler}} \times \mathcal{M}_{\text{orb}}$ , where

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{Kähler}} = \text{Ker}(D_{r'+1}^{\vee}, \dots, D_r^{\vee}), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{orb}} = \bigoplus_{i=r'+1}^r \mathbb{C}D_i.$$

We choose a splitting  $\ell$  of the sequence (2.3) over  $\mathbb{Q}$

$$0 \longrightarrow M_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Under the splitting  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\vee} = \text{Ker}(D_{r'+1}^{\vee}, \dots, D_r^{\vee}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=r'+1}^r \mathbb{Q}D_i$  and  $\widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r'} \mathbb{Q}D_i^{\mathbb{T}} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=r'+1}^r \mathbb{Q}D_i^{\mathbb{T}}$ , we require

$$\ell(D_i) = D_i^{\mathbb{T}}, \quad i = r'+1, \dots, r.$$

We write  $\ell = \ell_1 \oplus \ell_2 : \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\vee} = \text{Ker}(D_{r'+1}^{\vee}, \dots, D_r^{\vee}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=r'+1}^r \mathbb{Q}D_i \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . In coordinates we denote

$$p_a = \sum_{i=1}^r \ell_{ia} D_i.$$

Given an equivariant line bundle  $\mathcal{L}^{\sharp} = \mathcal{O}(\sum_{i=1}^{r'} c_i \mathcal{D}_i)$ , it corresponds to a non-equivariant line bundle  $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{a=1}^{k'} h_a \bar{p}_a \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$  where  $\bar{p}_a$  are the images of  $p_a$  under  $\mathbb{L}^{\vee} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$  for  $1 < a < k'$ .

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & 0 & & 0 & \\
& & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
& & & \bigoplus_{i=r'+1}^r \mathbb{Q}D_i^{\mathbb{T}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \bigoplus_{i=r'+1}^r \mathbb{Q}D_i & \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\phi^{\vee}} & \widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow[\ell]{\psi^{\vee}} & \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0 & (5.2) \\
& & & \downarrow \cong & \downarrow \nu_{\tau} & & \downarrow \iota \uparrow \nu & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}^{\vee}} & H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}^{\vee}} & H^2(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
& & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

We start by constructing cycles in  $M_{\mathbb{R}} \times N_{\mathbb{R}}$ . For each  $c = (c_1, \dots, c_{r'}) \in \mathbb{R}^{r'}$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, r'\}$ , let  $H_i(c) = \{m \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle m, b_i \rangle = -c_i\}$  and  $V_i^{\pm}(c) = \{m \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle m, b_i \rangle \geq (\leq) -c_i\}$  be the hyperplanes and halfspaces in  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ . For each  $I, J \subset \{1, \dots, r'\}$ , set

$$H_I(c) = \bigcap_{i \in I} H_i(c), V_I(c)^{\pm} = \bigcap_{i \in I} V_i^{\pm}(c).$$

We set  $H_{\emptyset} = V_{\emptyset} = M_{\mathbb{R}}$ . For each  $I \subset \{1, \dots, r'\}$  and  $J \subset \{1, \dots, r'\}$  such that  $I \cap J = \emptyset$ , we call

$$\tau_{I,J}(c) = H_I(c) \cap V_J^+(c) \cap V_{\{1, \dots, r'\} \setminus (I \cup J)}^-(c)$$

a cell of  $H_I(c)$ . We say that  $I \subset \{1, \dots, r'\}$  generates a cone if  $\{b_s, s \in I\}$  generates a cone of  $\Sigma$  and denote the cone by  $\sigma_I$ . For each cell  $\tau_{I,J}(c)$ , set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_k(I, J) &= \{K \subset \{1, \dots, r'\} \mid |I| + |K| + k = n, \tau_{I,J} \subset V_K^+(c), \\ &\quad I \cap K = \emptyset, I \sqcup K \text{ generates a cone}\}, \\ d_k(I, J) &= |\mathfrak{D}_k(I, J)|. \end{aligned}$$

We call

$$m_{I,J} = \sum_{k=0}^{r'} (-1)^k d_k(I, J)$$

the *multiplicity* of  $\tau_{I,J}(c)$ .

**Definition 5.1.** For  $I, J \subset \{1, \dots, r'\}$ , we define

$$\tilde{S}(c) = \sum_{\{I \mid I \text{ generates a cone}\}, I \cap J = \emptyset} m_{I,J}(\tau_{I,J}(c) \times (-\sigma_I))$$

the chain in  $M_{\mathbb{R}} \times N_{\mathbb{R}}$  corresponds to  $c$ .

**Proposition 5.1.**  $\tilde{S}(c)$  is a cycle.

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that  $\partial \tilde{S}(c) = 0$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_k(I, J \sqcup \{i\}) &= \mathfrak{D}_k(I, J) \cup \{K \subset \{1, \dots, r'\} \mid i \in K, |I| + |K| + k = n, \\ &\quad \tau_{I, J \sqcup \{i\}} \subset V_K^+(c), I \sqcup K \text{ generates a cone}\}, \\ \mathfrak{D}_k(I \sqcup \{i\}, J) &= \{K \subset \{1, \dots, r'\} \mid 1 + |I| + |K| + k = n, \tau_{I \sqcup \{i\}, J} \subset V_K^+(c), \\ &\quad I \sqcup \{i\} \sqcup K \text{ generates a cone}\} \\ &= \{K \setminus \{i\} \mid K \in \mathfrak{D}_k(I, J \sqcup \{i\}) \setminus \mathfrak{D}_k(I, J)\}. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$m_{I, J \sqcup \{i\}} - m_{I, J} = m_{I \sqcup \{i\}, J}$$

for any  $I, J \subset \{1, \dots, r'\}$  with  $I \cap J = \emptyset$  and  $i \notin I \sqcup J$ . Since  $\Sigma$  is a simplicial fan, any  $I' \supset I$  does not generate a cone if  $I$  does not generate a cone, we have  $\mathfrak{D}_k(I, J) = \emptyset$  if  $I$  does not generate a cone. Now let  $\sigma_I$  be the cone generated by  $\{b_i \mid i \in I\}$  which is not necessarily a cone of  $\Sigma$ , then since  $m_{I, J} = 0$  when  $I$  does not generate a cone, we can rewrite  $\tilde{S}(c)$  as

$$\tilde{S}(c) = \sum_{I, J \subset [r'], I \cap J = \emptyset} m_{I, J}(\tau_{I, J}(c) \times (-\sigma_I)).$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \tilde{S}(c) &= \sum_{I, J} m_{I, J} (\partial \tau_{I, J} \times (-\sigma_I) + \tau_{I, J} \times (-\partial \sigma_I)) \\ &= \sum_{I, J} m_{I, J} \left( \left( \sum_{j \in J} \tau_{I \sqcup \{j\}, J \setminus \{j\}} - \sum_{j' \notin I \sqcup J} \tau_{I \sqcup \{j'\}, J} \right) \times (-\sigma_I) + \tau_{I, J} \times \left( \sum_{i \in I} \sigma_{I \setminus \{i\}} \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{I, J} \sum_{i \notin I \sqcup J} (m_{I, J} - m_{I, J \sqcup \{i\}} + m_{I \sqcup \{i\}, J}) (\tau_{I \sqcup \{i\}, J} \times \sigma_I) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

The following lemma shows  $\tilde{S}(c)$  is horizontally bounded.

**Lemma 5.2.**  $m_{I, J} = 0$  if  $\sigma_{I, J}$  is unbounded.



*Proof.* Let us first consider the case  $I = \emptyset$ . Let  $Q_{\emptyset, J} = (\sum_{j \in J} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot b_j) \setminus \{0\}$ . Then since  $\sigma_{\emptyset, J}$  is unbounded,  $Q_{\emptyset, J}$  has only one connected component which is not  $N_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \{0\}$ . We also have  $l \in J$  whenever  $b_l \in Q_{\emptyset, J}$  since  $\sigma_{\emptyset, J}$  is unbounded. So we have

$$m_{\emptyset, J} = (-1)^{n-1} e(Q_{\emptyset, J} \cap \partial P) + (-1)^n,$$

where  $e(Q_{\emptyset, J} \cap \partial P)$  is the Euler number of  $e(Q_{\emptyset, J} \cap \partial P)$ , which is equal to 1 since  $e(Q_{\emptyset, J} \cap \partial P)$  is contractible. For the case when  $I \neq \emptyset$ , we can choose any projection  $N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow N'_{\mathbb{R}}$  with kernel  $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{R} \cdot b_i$  and apply the induction on the dimension of  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.2.** Let  $\mathcal{L}^{\sharp} = \mathcal{O}(\sum_{i=1}^{r'} c_i \mathcal{D}_i)$  be a  $\mathbb{T}$ -equivariant line bundle on  $\mathcal{X}$  for  $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then the *coherent-constructible correspondence* [FLTZ11, Kuw20] (CCC) states that under the CCC quasi-equivalence dg functor  $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Sh}_c(M_{\mathbb{R}})$ , which takes all coherent sheaves to constructible sheaves on  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$  subject to certain singular support behavior,  $\mathcal{L}^{\sharp}$  corresponds to a constructible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ . The characteristic cycle  $\text{CC}(\mathcal{L}) = \tilde{S}(-c)$ . The previous lemma is also a direct consequence of this fact. We sometimes call such cycle  $\text{SYZ}(\mathcal{L}^{\sharp}) := \tilde{S}(-c)$  the SYZ mirror cycle of  $\mathcal{L}^{\sharp}$ , following the mirror symmetry principle established in [SYZ96].

We then construct a bijection  $\rho: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \text{Conv}\{b_i \mid i \in \{1, \dots, r'\}\} \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}, \\ \beta_i(m) &= \langle m, b_i \rangle, \\ U_I &= \{m \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \max_{i \in I} \beta_i(m) > \beta_j(m), \forall j \in \{1, \dots, r'\} \setminus I\} \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 5.3.** There exists a conical homeomorphism  $\rho: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that for any  $I \subset \{1, \dots, r'\}$  which generates a cone  $\sigma_I$ , we have

$$\rho(-\sigma_I) \subset U_I.$$

*Proof.* Since the fan  $\Sigma$  is Fano,  $F_I := \sigma_I \cap \partial P$  is a face of  $P$  whose vertices are  $\{b_i \mid i \in I\}$ , and the dual polytope is given by

$$P^{\vee} = \{m \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle m, n \rangle \leq 1, \forall n \in P\}.$$

Then  $F_I^{\vee} := \{m \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle m, n_i \rangle = 1, \forall i \in I\} \cap \partial P^{\vee}$  is a face of  $P^{\vee}$ .

Let  $\sigma_I^{\vee}$  be the cone over  $F_I^{\vee}$ , then

$$U_I = \left( \bigcup_{I' \subset I} \sigma_{I'}^{\vee} \right)^{\circ}.$$

Consider the barycentric subdivisions  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}^{\vee}$  of  $\partial P$ . Let  $b_I, b_I^{\vee}$  be the barycenters of  $F_I, F_I^{\vee}$ . For any  $\tilde{n} \in \partial P$ , let  $\Delta = \text{Conv}\{b_{I_1}, \dots, b_{I_s}\}$  be the minimal simplex of  $\mathfrak{B}$  which contains  $\tilde{n}$ . Then  $\tilde{n} = \sum_{j=1}^s \mu_j b_{I_j}$  for some  $\mu_j \in (0, 1)$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^s \mu_j = 1$ . Let us set

$$\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{n}) = \sum_{j=1}^s \mu_j b_{I_j}^{\vee} \in \partial P^{\vee}.$$

Then for any  $n \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$ , let  $\tilde{n} = \mathbb{R}_{>0} \cdot n \cap \partial P$  and  $n = l\tilde{n}$  for some  $l > 0$ . Let us set

$$\rho(n) = -l\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{n}).$$

Then we have  $\rho(-\sigma_I) \subset U_I$ .  $\square$

Now let us define the following isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_t : M_{\mathbb{R}} \times M_{\mathbb{R}} &= (\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^*})^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_t, \\ (\log Z_1, \dots, \log Z_n) &\mapsto \left( \sum_{a=1}^k t_a \ell_{1a} + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{1j} \log Z_j, \dots, \sum_{a=1}^k t_a \ell_{ra} + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{rj} \log Z_j \right) \end{aligned}$$

for  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_k) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ . Then we set

$$P_t : M_{\mathbb{R}} \times N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_t$$

to be  $P_t = \sigma_t \circ (\text{id}_M \times \rho)$ . Let  $\pi_M : M_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}/M$  is the quotient map.

For  $c = (c_1, \dots, c_{r'}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ , let  $\mathcal{L}^\sharp = \mathcal{O}(\sum_{i=1}^{r'} c_i \mathcal{D}_i)$  be a  $\mathbb{T}$ -equivariant line bundle on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $c_j = 0$  for  $j > r'$ , and let  $h_a = 2\pi \sum_{i=1}^r l_i^{(a)} c_i$ .

We construct the following cycle for  $t \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$

$$\mathfrak{P}_c(t) = P_t(\widetilde{S}(\ell'(\frac{\text{Im}(t)}{2\pi}) - c)),$$

where  $\ell' = \nu_{\mathbb{R}} \circ \ell$ .

**Proposition 5.4.** There is a small neighbourhood  $|q| < \epsilon$  in  $\mathcal{M}^\circ$  such that with  $q = e^t$ ,  $\mathfrak{P}_c(t)$  represents an element in  $H_n(\mathcal{Y}_{e^t}; \text{Re}(W^{\mathcal{X}}) \gg 0)$ .

*Proof.* We have  $P_t(m, n) = (\alpha_1^t(n) + \sqrt{-1}\beta_1^t(m), \dots, \alpha_r^t(n) + \sqrt{-1}\beta_r^t(m))$  with

$$\alpha_i^t(n) = \sum_{a=1}^k \text{Re}(t_a) \ell_{ia} + \langle \rho(n), b_i \rangle, \beta_i^t(m) = \sum_{a=1}^k \text{Im}(t_a) \ell_{ia} + 2\pi \langle m, b_i \rangle.$$

Recall that

$$\widetilde{S}(c) = \sum_{I, J \in [r'], \sigma_I \in \Sigma, I \cap J = \emptyset} m_{I, J}(\tau_{I, J}(c) \times (-\sigma_I)).$$

It is sufficient to show that

$$P_t \left( \tau_{I, J}(\ell'(\frac{\text{Im}(t)}{2\pi}) - c) \times (-\sigma_I) \right)$$

represents an cell in the relative chain group  $C_n(\mathcal{Y}_{e^t}; \text{Re}(W^{\mathcal{X}}) \gg 0)$  for any  $I, J$ .

For any  $i \in I$ , we have

$$\frac{\beta_i^t(m)}{2\pi} = c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

since  $m \in \tau_{I, J}(\ell'(\frac{\text{Im}(t)}{2\pi}) - c)$ .

$$W(P_t(m, n)) = \sum_{i \in I} e^{\alpha_i^t(n)} + \sum_{j \in \{1, \dots, r'\} \setminus I} e^{\alpha_j^t(n) + \sqrt{-1}\beta_j^t(m)} + \sum_{\{k \in \{r'+1, \dots, r\}\}} e^{\alpha_k^t(n) + \sqrt{-1}\beta_k^t(m)}$$

for  $(m, n) \in \tau_{I, J}(\ell'(\frac{\text{Im}(t)}{2\pi}) - c) \times (-\sigma_I)$ .

For  $k \in \{r'+1, \dots, r\}$ . Notice that

$$\alpha_k^t(n) = \text{Re}((t_{\text{orb}})_k) \left( \sum_{i \in I_k} s_{ki} \alpha_i^t(n) \right),$$

where  $0 < s_{ki} < 1$ ,  $\sum_{i \in I_k} s_{ki} \leq 1$  due to Assumption 2.1. The fact that  $\text{Re}(t_{\text{orb}})$  is sufficiently negative implies that for some  $C > 0$ ,

$$\max_{i \in [r']} \{\alpha_i^t(n)\} > \alpha_k^t(n) + C$$

for  $n \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$  outside of a compact subset. Furthermore, for any  $C > 0$  there exists a compact subset  $K \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{i \in I} \{\alpha_i^t(n)\} &> \alpha_j^t(n) + C, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, r'\} \setminus I, \\ \max_{i \in I} \{\alpha_i^t(n)\} &> \alpha_s^t(n) + C, \forall s \in \{r' + 1, \dots, r\} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $n \in -\sigma_I \setminus K$  due to Lemma 5.3. This implies  $\mathfrak{P}_c(t)$  represents an element in  $H_n(\mathcal{Y}_{e^t}; \text{Re}(W^{\mathcal{X}}) \gg 0)$ .  $\square$

When  $t$  is real,  $\mathfrak{P}_c(t)$  is the SYZ mirror cycle  $\sigma_t \circ (\text{id}_{M_{\mathbb{R}}} \times \rho)(\text{SYZ}(\mathcal{L}^{\sharp}))$  up to some rational shift in  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$  and the identification  $\rho$  between  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

**Theorem 5.5.** Suppose  $\mathcal{L}^{\sharp} = \mathcal{O}(\sum_{i=1}^{r'} c_i \mathcal{D}_i) \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{X})$ , it corresponds to a line bundle  $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$ . For any  $t \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  such that  $|e^t|$  is sufficiently small, we have

$$Z_A^{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L})(t) = (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-n} Z_B^{\mathcal{X}}(\mathfrak{P}_c(t)).$$

*Proof.* We construct a rational Picard group element  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{O}(\sum_{i=1}^r c_i \mathcal{D}_i) = \iota_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{L}^{\sharp}) \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{T}}(\widetilde{M}_C)$ . From the definition when  $\text{Im}t = 2\pi h$ , i.e., when  $t$  is real compatible with  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ ,  $\mathfrak{P}_c(t) = F_{\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}} \cap \bigcap_{a=1}^k \{\widetilde{H}_a = t_a\}$ .

Passing to  $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$ , and then this statement is just Theorem 4.5 for  $t$  real compatible with  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ . By the analyticity of both sides we know the theorem is true.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [AL23] Konstantin Aleshkin and Chiu-Chu Melissa Liu. Higgs-coulomb correspondence and wall-crossing for abelina glsm, 2023. [arXiv:2301.01266v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.01266v1).
- [BCS05] Lev A. Borisov, Linda Chen, and Gregory G. Smith. The orbifold Chow ring of toric Deligne-Mumford stacks. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 18(1):193–215, 2005. doi:10.1090/S0894-0347-04-00471-0.
- [CCFK15] Daewoong Cheong, Ionuț Ciocan-Fontanine, and Bumsig Kim. Orbifold quasimap theory. *Math. Ann.*, 363(3-4):777–816, 2015. doi:10.1007/s00208-015-1186-z.
- [CCIT15] Tom Coates, Alessio Corti, Hiroshi Iritani, and Hsian-Hua Tseng. A mirror theorem for toric stacks. *Compositio Mathematica*, 151(10):1878–1912, 2015.
- [CR04] Weimin Chen and Yongbin Ruan. A new cohomology theory of orbifold. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 248(1):1–31, 2004. doi:10.1007/s00220-004-1089-4.
- [Fan20] Bohan Fang. Central charges of T-dual branes for toric varieties. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 373(6):3829–3851, 2020. doi:10.1090/tran/7734.
- [FLTZ11] Bohan Fang, Chiu-Chu Melissa Liu, David Treumann, and Eric Zaslow. A categorification of morelli’s theorem. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 186(1):79–114, 2011.
- [Hos06] Shinobu Hosono. Central charges, symplectic forms, and hypergeometric series in local mirror symmetry. In *Mirror symmetry. V*, volume 38 of *AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math.*, pages 405–439. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006. doi:10.1090/amsip/038/18.

- [Iri09] Hiroshi Iritani. An integral structure in quantum cohomology and mirror symmetry for toric orbifolds. *Advances in Mathematics*, 222(3):1016–1079, 2009.
- [Iri23a] Hiroshi Iritani. Quantum cohomology of blowups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.13555*, 2023.
- [Iri23b] Hiroshi Iritani. Quantum d-modules of toric varieties and oscillatory integrals, 2023. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.15935>, arXiv:2307.15935.
- [KKP08] L. Katzarkov, M. Kontsevich, and T. Pantev. Hodge theoretic aspects of mirror symmetry. In *From Hodge theory to integrability and TQFT tt\*-geometry*, volume 78 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 87–174. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008. doi:10.1090/pspum/078/2483750.
- [Kuw20] Tatsuki Kuwagaki. The nonequivariant coherent-constructible correspondence for toric stacks. *Duke Math. J.*, 169(11):2125–2197, 2020. doi:10.1215/00127094-2020-0011.
- [SYZ96] Andrew Strominger, Shing-Tung Yau, and Eric Zaslow. Mirror symmetry is  $T$ -duality. *Nuclear Phys. B*, 479(1-2):243–259, 1996. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(96)00434-8.
- [Tel14] Constantin Teleman. Gauge theory and mirror symmetry. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians—Seoul 2014. Vol. II*, pages 1309–1332. Kyung Moon Sa, Seoul, 2014.
- [Zas93] Eric Zaslow. Topological orbifold models and quantum cohomology rings. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 156(2):301–331, 1993. URL: <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1104253629>.

KONSTANTIN ALESHKIN, KAVLI INSTITUTE FOR THE PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS OF THE UNIVERSE (WPI), THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO INSTITUTES FOR ADVANCED STUDY, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, KASHIWA, CHIBA 277-8583, JAPAN

*Email address:* [konstantin.aleshkin@ipmu.jp](mailto:konstantin.aleshkin@ipmu.jp)

BOHAN FANG, BEIJING INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH, PEKING UNIVERSITY, 5 YIHEYUAN ROAD, BEIJING 100871, CHINA

*Email address:* [bohanfang@gmail.com](mailto:bohanfang@gmail.com)

JUNXIAO WANG, BEIJING INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH, PEKING UNIVERSITY, 5 YIHEYUAN ROAD, BEIJING 100871, CHINA

*Email address:* [wangjunxiao@bicmr.pku.edu.cn](mailto:wangjunxiao@bicmr.pku.edu.cn)