

# Large Deviations in Switching Diffusion: from Free Cumulants to Dynamical Transitions

Mathis Guéneau,<sup>1</sup> Satya N. Majumdar,<sup>2</sup> and Grégory Schehr<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Sorbonne Université, Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Hautes Energies, CNRS UMR 7589, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France*

<sup>2</sup>*LPTMS, CNRS, Univ. Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, 91405 Orsay, France*

We study the diffusion of a particle with a time-dependent diffusion constant  $D(t)$  that switches between random values drawn from a distribution  $W(D)$  at a fixed rate  $r$ . Using a renewal approach, we compute exactly the moments of the position of the particle  $\langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle$  at any finite time  $t$ , and for any  $W(D)$  with finite moments  $\langle D^n \rangle$ . For  $t \gg 1$ , we demonstrate that the cumulants  $\langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle_c$  grow linearly with  $t$  and are proportional to the free cumulants of a random variable distributed according to  $W(D)$ . For specific forms of  $W(D)$ , we compute the large deviations of the position of the particle, uncovering rich behaviors and dynamical transitions of the rate function  $I(y = x/t)$ . Our analytical predictions are validated numerically with high precision, achieving accuracy up to  $10^{-2000}$ .

*Introduction.* Anomalous diffusion processes have attracted significant interest across diverse scientific fields, including complex and disordered systems [1, 2], soft materials such as colloids [3], movement ecology [4], or financial markets [5]. Typically, anomalous diffusion refers to deviations from standard Brownian scaling, where the mean squared displacement (MSD) of the particle position  $x(t)$  behaves with time  $t$  as  $\text{MSD}[x(t)] \propto t^{2\alpha}$  with  $\alpha \neq 1/2$ . However, recent studies have revealed numerous cases displaying standard Brownian scaling ( $\alpha = 1/2$ ) accompanied by distinctly non-Gaussian fluctuations [6], contradicting the standard kinetic theory of normal diffusion. For instance, experiments on colloids [7] or in living cells [8] have demonstrated a crossover in the position distribution from Gaussian behavior at short distances to an exponential tail at larger distances.

To theoretically capture and describe these “diffusive yet non-Brownian” behaviors, a broad spectrum of models has been proposed, including continuous time random walks and variants of it [9–12] as well as random diffusivity models [13–15]. In the latter models, a key feature is the incorporation of stochasticity or randomness into the time evolution of the diffusion constant  $D(t)$ . In the context of disordered systems, this random diffusion constant effectively accounts for the spatial heterogeneities present in the system [1]. For such models in the simple one dimensional setting, the MSD, which is the second cumulant (or variance) of the particle position, typically behaves as  $\text{MSD}[x(t)] = \text{Var}[x(t)] \approx 2 D_{\text{eff}} t$ , where  $D_{\text{eff}}$  is an effective diffusion constant that has been computed for various models. The non-Gaussian fluctuations of  $x(t)$  are usually captured by the higher-order cumulants of  $x(t)$ , like the skewness and kurtosis (respectively the third and fourth cumulants). Understanding these higher-order cumulants is thus crucial for characterizing non-Gaussianities of  $x(t)$ . Cumulants are also interesting because they carry information on the large deviations of  $x(t)$  that characterizes its atypical large fluctuations.

However, calculating higher-order cumulants is often




FIG. 1. Trajectory of a switching diffusion process in one-dimension. During each time interval  $\tau_i$ , the particle performs an independent Brownian motion with a diffusion constant  $D_i$ . In the model studied here, the  $\tau_i$ 's are independent exponential random variables, while the  $D_i$ 's, which are also independent, are drawn from an arbitrary distribution  $W(D)$ .

quite challenging, as it requires evaluating higher-order correlation functions of  $x(t)$ . Consequently, there are very few results in the literature concerning these cumulants or the large deviations of the position distribution in random diffusivity models. The aim of this paper is to present a detailed analytical study of these important observables for a broad class of such models, specifically focusing on stochastically switching diffusion models.

In this Letter, we consider a model in which a particle, starting from the origin, performs a standard one-dimensional Brownian motion with a diffusion constant  $D_1$  over a time  $\tau_1$ . Both  $D_1$  and  $\tau_1$  are random variables drawn from a joint distribution  $P_{\text{joint}}(D, \tau)$ . After this time  $\tau_1$ , the particle resumes its motion from its current position, now performing a new Brownian motion with diffusion constant  $D_2$  for a duration  $\tau_2$ , which are drawn independently from the same distribution  $P_{\text{joint}}(D, \tau)$  as  $D_1$  and  $\tau_1$ . This process continues iteratively for a fixed period of time  $t$  (see Fig. 1 for an illustration of this process). Such models have been used to model recent experiments on cytoplasmic membranes (which control the movement of substances in and out of a cell) showing

patches of strongly varying diffusivity [16–19]. Here we will mainly consider a simpler version of this model where  $D_i$ 's and  $\tau_i$ 's are independent, that is,  $P_{\text{joint}}(D, \tau) = W(D)p(\tau)$ . More specifically, we will study the case where the  $\tau_i$ 's are exponential random variables with a rate  $r$ , i.e.,  $p(\tau) = r e^{-r\tau}$ , while  $W(D)$  is an arbitrary probability distribution function (PDF). A well-known example is the case where  $W(D)$  is a superposition of Dirac delta peaks, i.e.  $W(D) = \sum_{i=1}^N p_i \delta(D - D_i)$ , with  $D_1 > D_2 > \dots > D_N$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^N p_i = 1$ . This model, sometimes called ‘‘composite Markov process’’ [20], has been studied in various contexts ranging from disordered systems [21], biophysics [22–25], nuclear magnetic resonance [26], finance [27] or movement ecology [28, 29]. In the latter, mixtures of random walks with switching dynamics between them are widely used to model intermittent searches where an animal/a particle can employ different motion modes [28, 30]. In the case  $N = 2$  (referred to as the two-state model), the mode with  $D = D_2 < D_1$  would then model local search, while the one with  $D = D_1$  corresponds to an exploratory motion with larger displacements. Incidentally, this model with  $N = 2$  recently appeared in the context of stochastic resetting with two resetting points [31]. Besides the case of discrete diffusion modes, various studies, both theoretical [14, 25, 32, 33] and experimental [13, 34, 35], have considered a continuous distribution for  $W(D)$  including exponential and gamma distribution [14, 32, 33, 35] but also distribution with a finite support [13, 25].

*Summary of our main results.* It is useful to summarize our main results. First, for this class of models illustrated in Fig. 1, we have obtained an exact analytical expression for the moments of the positions  $\langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle$  of arbitrary order  $n$  at any finite time  $t$  and for any distribution  $W(D)$  which we assume to have all its moments well defined (note that the odd moments of  $x(t)$  vanish by symmetry  $x \rightarrow -x$ ). Here the notation  $\langle \dots \rangle$  means a simultaneous average over all the sources of randomness on the same footing (i.e., in the language of disordered systems, we consider here an ‘‘annealed’’ average). They read

$$\langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{r^n} \sum_{m=1}^n a_{n,m}(rt) \hat{B}_{n,m}(\langle D \rangle, \dots, \langle D^{n-m+1} \rangle),$$

$$a_{n,m}(x) = (2n)! \frac{x^{m+n-1}}{(m+n-1)!} M(n-1, m+n, -x), \quad (1)$$

where  $\hat{B}_{n,m}$  is the ordinary bell polynomial of  $n - m$  variables and homogeneous degree  $m$  which enters the relation between the cumulants and the moments of a random variable [36] – see also (23). We provide the first moments in Appendix A. In Eq. (1), the function  $M(a, b, x)$  denotes the Kummer's function. Of course the  $2n$ -th cumulant, denoted here as  $\langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle_c$ , can be formally obtained from (1). However, their large time behavior is more conveniently extracted from the cumulant generating function, which, as shown below, can be

computed explicitly. One finds that their asymptotic behaviors at small and large time read

$$\langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle_c \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{(2n)!}{n!} \langle D^n \rangle_c t^n & , \quad rt \ll 1, \\ \frac{(2n)!}{r^{n-1}} \kappa_n(D) t & , \quad rt \gg 1. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

In the first line,  $\langle D^n \rangle_c$  denotes the (standard) cumulant of  $D$ , while the coefficients  $\kappa_n(D) \neq \langle D^n \rangle_c$  also depend in a nontrivial way on the moments of  $D$ . This result (2) clearly shows that, even at large times  $t$ , the higher cumulants of  $x(t)$  grow linearly with time, revealing the presence of non-Gaussian fluctuations in this model. But what are these nontrivial coefficients  $\kappa_n(D)$  that characterize this linear growth? As we will show, it turns out that they are none other than the *free cumulants* of  $D$ , a class of combinatorial objects central to the field of free probability theory, which was originally developed to study non-commuting random variables [37] – see Appendix B. Free probability has become crucial in random matrix theory (RMT) [37–40], with applications that have sparked significant interest in both mathematics [41–44] and physics [45], in particular in quantum mechanics [46, 47]. While such free cumulants appeared before in more complicated classical models of *interacting* particles [48, 49], their appearance in such a simple *single* particle model here is highly surprising and intriguing. Although conventional cumulants  $\langle D^n \rangle_c$  are related to the moments  $\langle D^p \rangle$ , with  $p = 1, \dots, n$  via Bell polynomials (23), free cumulants have a fairly explicit expression in terms of these moments (29). This enables us to compute them explicitly for various distributions of interest [50]. For instance, for the two-state model  $W(D) = p\delta(D - D_1) + (1 - p)\delta(D)$  with  $0 \leq p \leq 1$ , one has  $\langle x^2(t) \rangle_c \sim 2(pD_1 + (1 - p)D_2)t$  while the higher cumulants behave for large time as (for  $n \geq 2$ )

$$\langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle_c \approx -(\Delta D)^n \frac{(2n)!}{r^{n-1}} \frac{\sqrt{p(1-p)}}{n(n-1)} P_{n-1}^1(1-2p)t, \quad (3)$$

where  $\Delta D = (D_1 - D_2)$  and  $P_n^m(z)$  denotes the associated Legendre polynomial of degree  $n$  and parameter  $m$ . It is also interesting to study the case where  $W(D)$  is a continuous PDF with a finite support, as discussed e.g. in [13, 25]. For example, we consider the case where  $W(D)$  is given by the Wigner semi-circle on  $[0, D_{\text{max}}]$ , i.e.,

$$W(D) = \frac{8}{\pi D_{\text{max}}^2} \sqrt{D(D_{\text{max}} - D)}, \quad (4)$$

for which it is well known, from RMT, that the corresponding free cumulants are quite simple [50], i.e.,  $\kappa_n(D) = 0$  for  $n \geq 3$ . In this case one finds

$$\langle x^2(t) \rangle_c \approx D_{\text{max}} t \quad , \quad \langle x^4(t) \rangle_c \approx \frac{3}{2} \frac{D_{\text{max}}^2}{r} t, \quad (5)$$

while higher order cumulants vanish to leading order in  $t$  [see Eq. (2)]. In fact, for  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle_c = O(1)$  can

also be computed [50]. For such a distribution, the non-Gaussianities are somewhat weaker since only the kurtosis is growing with time, while higher order cumulants are simply constant.

What about the full distribution of  $x(t)$ , both at short and large times? At short time  $rt \ll 1$ , the particle does not have enough time to switch states and hence diffuses freely with a propagator  $e^{-x^2/(4D_1\tau)}/\sqrt{4\pi D_1\tau}$ . Averaging over  $D_1$  leads to

$$p_r(x, t) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} f\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right), \quad f(u) = \int \frac{e^{-\frac{u^2}{4D}}}{\sqrt{4\pi D}} W(D) dD. \quad (6)$$

Not surprisingly, this PDF (6) has exactly the form found for diffusing diffusivity model [6, 13]. On the other hand, at large time  $rt \gg 1$ , one finds that the PDF of the position takes a large deviation form

$$p_r(x, t) \approx e^{-tI(y=x/t)}, \quad (7)$$

where  $I(y)$  is a large deviation function (LDF), whose precise shape depends on  $W(D)$ . However, its asymptotic behaviors for small and large arguments are universal and are given by

$$I(y) \approx \begin{cases} \frac{y^2}{4\langle D \rangle} & , \quad y \rightarrow 0, \\ r + \frac{y^2}{4D_{\max}} & , \quad y \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Here,  $D_{\max}$  denotes the right edge of the support of  $W(D)$  [59]. These two asymptotic behaviors can be physically understood as follows. When  $y \rightarrow 0$ , i.e.  $x \ll t$ , the Gaussian behavior near the center of the PDF picks up the average  $\langle D \rangle$  (since there are many switchings, the particle samples the average of  $D$ ). On the other hand, for  $y \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e.,  $x \gg t$ , this behavior is due to very rare trajectories where the particle diffuses with the largest diffusion constant  $D_{\max}$  without undergoing any switch, which occurs with a probability  $e^{-rt}$ . Hence,  $x \sim O(t)$  acts like a separatrix between typical and atypical trajectories. A similar scenario also occurs in the case of the resetting Brownian motion [60, 61] or for Brownian particle with a nonzero death rate [62]. As we show below, for some distributions  $W(D)$ , these two asymptotic behaviors (8) are separated by a transition point where the function  $I(y)$  displays a singularity, signaling the presence of a dynamical transition. In some cases, like in the two-state model, there may even be two transitions (see Fig. 2).

*Renewal approach.* Our approach is based on a renewal argument which allows us to write an exact equation for  $p_r(x, t)$  which denotes the PDF of the position of the particle at time  $t$ . It reads [50]

$$p_r(x, t) = e^{-rt} \int_0^\infty dD W(D) \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{4Dt}}}{\sqrt{4\pi Dt}} \quad (9) \\ + r \int_0^t d\tau \int_0^\infty dD e^{-r\tau} W(D) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz p_r(z, t-\tau) \frac{e^{-\frac{(x-z)^2}{4D\tau}}}{\sqrt{4\pi D\tau}}.$$




FIG. 2. Plot of  $\log(\hat{p}_r(q, t))/t$  vs  $q$  (left panel) and of  $-\log(p_r(x, t))/t$  vs  $y = x/t$  (right panel) for the two-state model. The symbols correspond to numerical results (see [50] for details). **Left:** the solid line shows the exact analytical result  $\Psi(q)$  – see Eq. (40). **Right:** the solid line shows the rate function  $I(y)$  given in Eq. (41), displaying two transition points indicated by the dotted lines. The corresponding values of the probabilities are as small as  $10^{-2000}$ . Here we used  $r = 1$ ,  $p = 10^{-10}$ ,  $D_2 = 1$ ,  $D_1 = 2$  and  $t = 1000$ .

In Eq. (9), the first term represents trajectories where no switching of the diffusion constant occurs. The second term corresponds to the case where there is at least one switching event in  $[0, t]$ . Suppose that the last switching before  $t$  takes place at  $t - \tau$ , and let  $z$  be the position of the walker just before this last switching. Then  $p_r(z, t - \tau)$  is the propagator until  $t - \tau$ . After a switching to a new diffusion constant  $D$  drawn from  $W(D)$  at  $t - \tau$ , the particle propagates freely during the interval  $[t - \tau, t]$  with a Gaussian propagator  $e^{-(x-z)^2/(4D\tau)}/\sqrt{4\pi D\tau}$ . Multiplying these two propagators over  $[0, t - \tau]$  and  $[t - \tau, t]$ , integrating over  $z$  and averaging over  $D$  drawn from  $W(D)$ , gives the second term in Eq. (9). In the following, we restrict our analysis to the case where  $W(D)$  has a finite support  $[0, D_{\max}]$  and refer to [50] for more details when the support extends over the full real axis.

This renewal equation (9) has a convolution structure, both in time and space variables. It is thus natural to introduce the generating function of  $x$  together with its Laplace transform (with respect to  $t$ )

$$\hat{p}_r(q, t) = \langle e^{qx} \rangle, \quad \tilde{p}_r(q, s) = \int_0^\infty dt e^{-st} \hat{p}_r(q, t), \quad (10)$$

where  $\langle e^{qx} \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx e^{qx} p_r(x, t)$ . Using the aforementioned convolution structure of (9),  $\tilde{p}_r(q, s)$  can be computed explicitly, leading to the exact expression

$$\tilde{p}_r(q, s) = \frac{J_r(q, s)}{1 - r J_r(q, s)}, \quad J_r(q, s) = \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{r + s - Dq^2}. \quad (11)$$

Note that these functions in the  $(q, s)$ -plane are defined in the region  $r + s > D_{\max}q^2$ , such that the integrand defining  $J_r(s, q)$  in (11) is free of any singularity. These formulae (10)-(11) provide an exact representation of the generating function, allowing the computation of the moments given in Eq. (1) – see [50]. However, explicitly carrying out the double inversion with respect to  $q$  and  $s$  to recover  $p_r(x, t)$  remains a formidable challenge. De-

spite this difficulty, analytical progress can be made to extract the large-time behavior of  $p_r(x, t)$ . In this regime, the behavior of  $\tilde{p}_r(q, t)$  is governed by the singularities of  $\tilde{p}_r(q, s)$  in the complex  $s$ -plane. Indeed, we show that, for large  $t$ , the generating function  $\tilde{p}_r(q, t)$  reads

$$\hat{p}(q, t) \approx e^{t\Psi(q)}, \quad t \rightarrow \infty, \quad (12)$$

where  $\Psi(q) = s^*(q)$  is the singularity of  $\tilde{p}_r(q, s)$  with the largest real part in the complex  $s$ -plane. The function  $\Psi(q)$  is a central object since this is the scaled cumulant generating function (SCGF). Indeed, this form in (12) already shows that all the cumulants of  $x(t)$  are a priori of order  $O(t)$  for large  $t$  and given by the behaviors of  $\Psi(q)$  near  $q = 0$ , namely  $\langle x^n(t) \rangle_c \approx t \partial_q^n \Psi(q)|_{q=0}$ .

Since  $\Psi(q)$  is symmetric, we only study it for  $q \geq 0$ . For sufficiently small  $q$ , the leading singularity of  $\tilde{p}_r(q, s)$  in the complex  $s$ -plane that determines  $\Psi(q)$  is a pole, namely a root of the denominator in Eq. (11) [50]. Hence, for  $q$  small enough,  $\Psi(q) = s^*(q)$  is given implicitly by the root with the largest real part of the equation

$$1 = r \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{r + \Psi(q) - Dq^2}. \quad (13)$$

Of course, for general  $W(D)$ , it seems complicated to compute the derivatives of  $\Psi(q)$  explicitly, and get the cumulants from the implicit relation (13). Remarkably, Eq. (13) has a quite familiar structure which is well known in the context of *free probability* and its application to RMT [37–40, 63]. More precisely the SCGF  $\Psi(q)$  is given by (at least in a neighborhood of  $q = 0$ )

$$\Psi(q) = q^2 R\left(\frac{q^2}{r}\right), \quad (14)$$

where  $R(z)$  is the so-called *R-transform* of  $W(D)$ . Given the PDF  $W(D)$ , its *R-transform* is the generating function of the free cumulants  $R(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} z^{n-1} \kappa_n(D)$  and it can be obtained from the Cauchy-Stieltjes transform of  $W(D)$  (see Eq. (36) of Appendix C). This result (14) thus leads to the second line of Eq. (2). Besides, the  $O(1)$  correction to the cumulants can also be computed explicitly [see Eq. (38) and Appendix D].

For any discrete or continuous distribution  $W(D)$  with a finite support on  $[0, D_{\max}]$ , the asymptotic behaviors of the SCGF are [50]

$$\Psi(q) = \begin{cases} \langle D \rangle q^2 & , \quad q \rightarrow 0, \\ D_{\max} q^2 - r & , \quad q \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

What happens between these two limits depends essentially on the behavior of  $W(D)$  near  $D_{\max}$ , as in the extreme value statistics in the Weibull universal class [64]. Let us assume that  $W(D)$  behaves as  $W(D) \sim (D_{\max} - D)^\nu$  when  $D \rightarrow D_{\max}$  with  $\nu > -1$ . For  $-1 < \nu \leq 0$ ,  $\Psi(q)$  is given by Eq. (14) for all  $q$  and

it is an analytic function of all  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ . Instead, for  $\nu > 0$ , (14) only holds for small  $q$ , i.e.,

$$\Psi(q) = \begin{cases} q^2 R\left(\frac{q^2}{r}\right) & , \quad q < q_c, \\ D_{\max} q^2 - r & , \quad q > q_c, \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

where the SCGF undergoes a transition at  $q = q_c$ , with  $q_c^2 = rg(D_{\max})$ ,  $g(x)$  being the Cauchy-Stieltjes transform of  $W(D)$  – see Eq. (35). While  $\Psi(q)$  is continuous, its higher derivatives display singularities at  $q = q_c$  (see [50] for details). In particular, for  $\nu > 1$  (as well as for the two-state model in the limit  $p \rightarrow 0^+$ ), the first derivative of  $\Psi(q)$  is discontinuous – see the left panel of Fig. 2. Interestingly, a very similar transition occurs in the study of Harish-Chandra-Itzykson-Zuber matrix integrals (or spherical integrals) in large dimensions [63] although these two problems are seemingly unrelated.

*The LDF  $I(y = x/t)$ .* From the standard theory of large deviations [65, 66], namely the Gärtner-Ellis theorem, the exponential form of the SCGF in (12) implies the large deviation form of  $p_r(x, t)$  in Eq. (7) where the LDF  $I(y)$  is given by the Legendre transform of  $\Psi(q)$ , namely

$$I(y) = \max_{q \in \mathbb{R}} (qy - \Psi(q)). \quad (17)$$

Using this formula and the asymptotics of  $\Psi(q)$  from Eq. (15), we find that  $I(y)$  behaves as in Eq. (8). Since  $I(y)$  is symmetric, we study it only for  $y \geq 0$ .

For a distribution  $W(D)$  with a finite support  $[0, D_{\max}]$  as discussed above with  $-1 < \nu \leq 0$ , the LDF  $I(y)$  is regular and crosses over smoothly between these two asymptotic behaviors in (8). This is, for instance, the case of a uniform distribution [50]. However, for  $0 < \nu < 1$ , the LDF exhibits a dynamical transition of the form

$$I(y) = \begin{cases} \phi_\nu(y) & , \quad y \leq y_c = 2D_{\max}q_c, \\ r + \frac{y^2}{4D_{\max}} & , \quad y \geq y_c, \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

where  $\phi_\nu(y)$  is the Legendre transform of Eq. (14) – which we can compute explicitly in the case of the Wigner semicircle law ( $\nu = 1/2$ ) [50]. Finally, when  $\nu > 1$ , the LDF  $I(y)$  exhibits two singular points between which its behavior is linear in  $y$ , namely

$$I(y) = \begin{cases} \phi_\nu(y) & , \quad 0 < y < 2D_{\text{eff}}q_c, \\ q_c y - \gamma & , \quad 2D_{\text{eff}}q_c < y < y_c, \\ r + \frac{y^2}{4D_{\max}} & , \quad y > y_c, \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

where  $D_{\text{eff}} < D_{\max}$  and  $\gamma = D_{\max}q_c^2 - r > 0$  can be computed explicitly [50]. In [50], we show that the two-state model exhibits the same transitions in the limit  $p \rightarrow 0^+$  – see Appendix E and the right panel of Fig. 2. While  $I(y)$  as well as its first derivative is continuous across the two transitions, the second derivative of  $I(y)$  is generically discontinuous at these two points – and similarly at  $y = y_c$  in Eq. (18) (see [50] for more details).

The intermediate linear regime, i.e., the second line of (19) corresponds to a pure exponential decay of the form  $p_r(x, t) \sim e^{-q_c x + \gamma t}$ . Note that a similar behavior was also found for the large deviation behavior of the PDF of the position of a CTRW [9–12]. It also bears some similarities with the one found in the context of resetting Brownian motion [61, 67, 68].

*Conclusion.* We have investigated the dynamics of a Brownian particle with a switching diffusion constant, obtaining the exact expression of the moments at any finite time  $t$  and for any  $W(D)$  with finite moments. At large times, our analysis of the cumulants and the large deviation function reveals significant deviations from Gaussian behavior in the position distribution of the particle, with intermediate exponential decay emerging in certain cases (19). Remarkably, we uncovered a surprising connection between switching diffusion and free probability theory, an unexpected link in such a classical single particle diffusion model. The origin of this connection remains a challenging and intriguing question for further investigation.

Our work opens several natural extensions. A key question is the generalization to  $N$  particles subjected to simultaneous switching dynamics. This direction could build upon recent studies in the context of simultaneous resetting [69, 70]. Similar questions were recently studied for  $N$  particles in a harmonic trap in the presence of switching stiffnesses [71] and switching centers [72, 73].

*Acknowledgments.* We thank O. Arizmendi, A. Guionnet, A. Hartmann, M. Maida, R. Speicher, H. Touchette, L. Touzo and J. B. Zuber for useful discussions. We also acknowledge support from ANR Grant No. ANR-23-CE30-0020-01 EDIPS.

- 
- [1] J. P. Bouchaud, A. Georges, *Anomalous diffusion in disordered media: statistical mechanisms, models and physical applications*, Phys. Rep. **195**, 127 (1990).
- [2] R. Metzler, J. Klafter, *The random walk's guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach*, Phys. Rep. **339**, 1 (2000).
- [3] R. Golestanian, *Anomalous diffusion of symmetric and asymmetric active colloids*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 188305 (2009).
- [4] G. M. Viswanathan, V. Afanasyev, S. V. Buldyrev, E. J. Murphy, P. A. Prince, H. E. Stanley, *Lévy flight search patterns of wandering albatrosses*, Nature **381**, 413 (1996).
- [5] R. N. Mantegna, H. E. Stanley, *Introduction to econophysics: correlations and complexity in finance*, Cambridge University Press (1999).
- [6] B. Wang, J. Kuo, S. C. Bae, S. Granick, *When Brownian diffusion is not Gaussian*, Nat. Mater. **11**, 481 (2012).
- [7] B. Wang, S. M. Anthony, S. C. Bae, S. Granick, *Anomalous yet Brownian*, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **106**, 15160 (2009).
- [8] P. Witzel, M. Götz, Y. Lanoiselée, T. Franosch, D. S. Grebenkov, D. Heinrich, *Heterogeneities shape passive intracellular transport*, Biophys. J. **117**, 203 (2019).
- [9] E. Barkai, S. Burov, *Packets of diffusing particles exhibit universal exponential tails*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 060603 (2020).
- [10] A. Pacheco-Pozo, I. M. Sokolov, *Large deviations in continuous-time random walks*, Phys. Rev. E **103**, 042116 (2021).
- [11] O. Hamdi, S. Burov, E. Barkai, *Laplace's first law of errors applied to diffusive motion*, Eur. Phys. J. B **97**, 1 (2024).
- [12] R. K. Singh, S. Burov, *The Emergence of Laplace Universality in Correlated Processes*, preprint [arXiv:2410.23112](https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.23112) (2024).
- [13] M. V. Chubynsky, G. W. Slater, *Diffusing diffusivity: a model for anomalous, yet Brownian, diffusion*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 098302 (2014).
- [14] V. Sposini, A. V. Chechkin, F. Seno, G. Pagnini, R. Metzler, *Random diffusivity from stochastic equations: comparison of two models for Brownian yet non-Gaussian diffusion*, New J. Phys. **20**, 043044 (2018).
- [15] V. Sposini, D. S. Grebenkov, R. Metzler, G. Oshanin, F. Seno, *Universal spectral features of different classes of random-diffusivity processes*, New J. Phys. **22**, 063056 (2020).
- [16] A. Sergé, N. Bertaux, H. Rigneault, D. Marguet, *Dynamic multiple-target tracing to probe spatiotemporal cartography of cell membranes*, Nat. methods **5**, 687 (2008).
- [17] B. P. English, V. Haurlyuk, A. Sanamrad, S. Tankov, N. H. Dekker, J. Elf, *Single-molecule investigations of the stringent response machinery in living bacterial cells*, PNAS **108**, E365-E373 (2011).
- [18] J. B. Masson, P. Dionne, C. Salvatico, M. Renner, C. G. Specht, A. Triller, M. Dahan, *Mapping the energy and diffusion landscapes of membrane proteins at the cell surface using high-density single-molecule imaging and Bayesian inference: application to the multiscale dynamics of glycine receptors in the neuronal membrane*, Biophys. J. **106**, 74 (2014).
- [19] A. Weron, K. Burnecki, E. J. Akin, L. Solé, M. Balcererek, M. M. Tamkun, D. Krapf, *Ergodicity breaking on the neuronal surface emerges from random switching between diffusive states*, Sci. Rep. **7**, 5404 (2017).
- [20] N. G. Van Kampen, *Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry*, North-Holland Publishing Co (1992).
- [21] J. Luczka, *On randomly interrupted diffusion*, Acta Phys. Pol. B **4**, 717 (1993).
- [22] J. Reingruber, D. Holcman, *Gated narrow escape time for molecular signaling*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 148102 (2009).
- [23] P. C. Bressloff, *Stochastic switching in biology: From genotype to phenotype*, J. Phys. A **50**, 133001 (2017).
- [24] T. Sungkaworn, M. L. Jobin, K. Burnecki, A. Weron, M. J. Lohse, D. Calebiro *Single-molecule imaging reveals receptor-G protein interactions at cell surface hot spots*, Nature **550**, 543 (2017).
- [25] K. Sakamoto, T. Akimoto, M. Muramatsu, M. S. Sansom, R. Metzler, E. Yamamoto, *Heterogeneous biological membranes regulate protein partitioning via fluctuating diffusivity*, PNAS Nexus **2**, 1 (2023).
- [26] J. Kärger, *NMR self-diffusion studies in heterogeneous systems*, Adv. Colloid Interfac. **23**, 129 (1985).
- [27] V. Naik, *Option valuation and hedging strategies with*

- jumps in the volatility of asset returns*, J. Financ. **48**, 1969 (1993).
- [28] J. M. Morales, D. T. Haydon, J. Frair, K. E. Holsinger, J. M. Fryxell, *Extracting more out of relocation data: building movement models as mixtures of random walks*, Ecology **85**, 2436 (2004).
- [29] W. F. Fagan, T. Hoffman, D. Dahiya, E. Gurarie, R. S. Cantrell, C. Cosner, *Improved foraging by switching between diffusion and advection: benefits from movement that depends on spatial context*, Theor. Ecol. **13**, 127 (2020).
- [30] O. Bénichou, C. Loverdo, M. Moreau, R. Voituriez, *Intermittent search strategies*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 81 (2011).
- [31] P. Julián-Salgado, L. Dagdug, D. Boyer, *Diffusion with two resetting points*, Phys. Rev. E **109**, 024134 (2024).
- [32] P. Massignan, C. Manzo, J. A. Torreno-Pina, M. F. García-Parajo, M. Lewenstein, G. J. Lapeyre Jr, *Nonergodic subdiffusion from Brownian motion in an inhomogeneous medium*. Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 150603 (2014).
- [33] C. Manzo, J. A. Torreno-Pina, P. Massignan, G. J. Lapeyre Jr, M. Lewenstein, M. F. Garcia Parajo, *Weak ergodicity breaking of receptor motion in living cells stemming from random diffusivity*, Phys. Rev. X **5**, 011021 (2015).
- [34] T. Kühn, T. O. Ihalainen, J. Hyväluoma, N. Dross, S. F. Willman, J. Langowski, M. Vihinen-Ranta, J. Timonen, *Protein diffusion in mammalian cell cytoplasm*, PloS One **6**, e22962 (2011).
- [35] I. Chakraborty, Y. Roichman, *Disorder-induced Fickian, yet non-Gaussian diffusion in heterogeneous media*, Phys. Rev. Research **2**, 022020 (2020).
- [36] J. Riordan, *An introduction to combinatorial analysis*, (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1958).
- [37] D. V. Voiculescu, K. J. Dykema, A. Nica, *Free random variables*, (No. 1). American Mathematical Soc. (1992).
- [38] A. Guionnet, *Free Probability in Stochastic Processes and Random Matrices*, Lecture Notes of the Les Houches Summer School: Volume **104**, July 2015
- [39] G. Livan, M. Novaes, P. Vivo, *Introduction to random matrices theory and practice*, Springer International Publishing (2018).
- [40] M. Potters, J. P. Bouchaud, *A first course in random matrix theory: for physicists, engineers and data scientists*, Cambridge University Press (2020).
- [41] P. Biane, *Free probability for probabilists*, Quantum Probability Communications: QP-PQ (Volumes **XI**) (pp. 55) (2003).
- [42] A. Nica, R. Speicher, *Lectures on the combinatorics of free probability*, Cambridge University Press (2006).
- [43] R. Speicher, *Free probability theory in The Oxford handbook of random matrix theory*, Eds. G. Akemann, J. Baik, P. Di Francesco, Oxford University Press (2011).
- [44] P. Biane, *Combinatorics of the quantum symmetric simple exclusion process, associahedra and free cumulants*, Ann. I. H. Poincaré D, (2023).
- [45] Z. Burda, *Free products of large random matrices—a short review of recent developments*, J. Phy. Conf. Ser. (Vol. **473**, No. 1, p. 012002), IOP Publishing (2013).
- [46] S. Pappalardi, L. Foini, J. Kurchan, *Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis and free probability*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **129**, 170603 (2022).
- [47] L. Hruza, D. Bernard, *Coherent fluctuations in noisy mesoscopic systems, the open quantum ssep, and free probability*, Phys. Rev. X **13**, 011045 (2023).
- [48] M. Bauer, D. Bernard, P. Biane, L. Hruza, *Bernoulli variables, classical exclusion processes and free probability*, In Annales Henri Poincaré (Vol. **25**, No. 1, pp. 125). Cham: Springer International Publishing (2024).
- [49] P. Bousseyroux, J. P. Bouchaud, *An Interacting Particle System Interpretation of Free Convolution*, preprint [arXiv:2412.03696](https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.03696) (2024).
- [50] M. Guéneau, S. N. Majumdar, G. Schehr, Supplementary Material which also cites [51–58].
- [51] J. Luczka, M. Niemiec, P. Hänggi, *First-passage time for randomly flashing diffusion*, Phys. Rev. E **52**, 5810 (1995).
- [52] P. K. Ghosh, S. Nayak, J. Liu, Y. Li, F. Marchesoni, *Autonomous ratcheting by stochastic resetting*, J. Chem. Phys. **159**, 031101 (2023).
- [53] P. C. Bressloff, *Switching diffusions and stochastic resetting*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **53**, 275003 (2020).
- [54] I. Santra, U. Basu, S. Sabhapandit, *Effect of stochastic resetting on Brownian motion with stochastic diffusion coefficient*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **55**, 414002 (2022).
- [55] D. S. Grebenkov, *Time-averaged mean square displacement for switching diffusion*, Phys. Rev. E **99**, 032133 (2019).
- [56] T. Banica, *Methods of free probability*, preprint [arXiv:2208.07515](https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.07515) (2022).
- [57] A. K. Hartmann, S. Majumdar, G. Schehr, *The distribution of the maximum of independent resetting Brownian motions*, In Target Search Problems (pp. 357). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland (2024).
- [58] L. N. G. Filon, *On a quadrature formula for trigonometric integrals*, P. Roy. Soc. Edinb. A. **49**, 38 (1930).
- [59] If the support of  $W(D)$  is unbounded, then  $D_{\max}$  is infinite.
- [60] S. N. Majumdar, S. Sabhapandit, G. Schehr, *Dynamical transition in the temporal relaxation of stochastic processes under resetting*. Phys. Rev. E **91**, 052131 (2015).
- [61] M. R. Evans, S. N. Majumdar, G. Schehr, *Stochastic resetting and applications*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **53**, 193001 (2020).
- [62] Y. R. Yerrababu, S. N. Majumdar, T. Sadhu, *Dynamical phase transitions in certain non-ergodic stochastic processes*, preprint [arXiv:2412.19516](https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.19516) (2024).
- [63] A. Guionnet, M. Maïda, *A Fourier view on the R-transform and related asymptotics of spherical integrals*, J. Funct. Anal. **222**, 435 (2005).
- [64] S. N. Majumdar, G. Schehr, *Statistics of Extremes and Records in Random Sequences*, Oxford University Press (2024).
- [65] H. Touchette, *The large deviation approach to statistical mechanics*, Phys. Rep. **478**, 1-69 (2009).
- [66] S. N. Majumdar, G. Schehr, *Large deviations*, preprint [arXiv:1711.07571](https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.07571) (2017).
- [67] M. R. Evans, S. N. Majumdar, *Diffusion with stochastic resetting*, Phys. Rev. Lett **106**, 160601 (2011).
- [68] D. Gupta, A. Pal, A. Kundu *Resetting with stochastic return through linear confining potential*, J. Stat. Mech. 043202 (2021).
- [69] M. Biroli, H. Larralde, S. N. Majumdar, G. Schehr, *Extreme statistics and spacing distribution in a Brownian gas correlated by resetting*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **130**, 207101 (2023).
- [70] M. Biroli, M. Kulkarni, S. N. Majumdar, G. Schehr, *Dynamically emergent correlations between particles in a switching harmonic trap*, Phys. Rev. E **109**, L032106

- (2024).
- [71] M. Biroli, H. Larralde, S. N. Majumdar, G. Schehr, *Exact extreme, order, and sum statistics in a class of strongly correlated systems*, Phys. Rev. E **109**, 014101 (2024).
- [72] S. Sabhapandit, S. N. Majumdar, *Noninteracting particles in a harmonic trap with a stochastically driven center*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **57**, 335003 (2024).
- [73] M. Kulkarni, S. N. Majumdar, S. Sabhapandit, *Dynamically emergent correlations in bosons via quantum resetting*, preprint [arXiv:2407.20342](https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.20342) (2024).
- [74] I. W. Mottelson, *Introduction to non-commutative probability*, [https://web.math.ku.dk/~musat/Free%20probability%20project\\_final.pdf](https://web.math.ku.dk/~musat/Free%20probability%20project_final.pdf) (2012).
- [75] J. Pielaszkiewicz, D. von Rosen, M. Singull, *Cumulant-moment relation in free probability theory*, ACUTM **18**, 265 (2014).
- [76] J. A. Mingo, R. Speicher, *Free probability and random matrices* (Vol. **35**), New York: Springer (2017).

## End Matter

### Appendix A: Exact expressions of the first three moments

We present here the first three non-zero moments of the position of the switching diffusion process. These moments are computed directly from the exact expression provided in Eq. (1). They are as follows:

$$\langle x^2(t) \rangle = 2\langle D \rangle t, \quad (20)$$

$$\langle x^4(t) \rangle = \frac{e^{-rt}}{r^2} [12(-2 + e^{rt}(2 + rt(-2 + rt)))\langle D \rangle^2 + 24(1 + e^{rt}(-1 + rt))\langle D^2 \rangle], \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^6(t) \rangle = & \frac{120 e^{-rt}}{r^3} \{ [6(4 + rt) + e^{rt}(-24 + rt(18 + rt(-6 + rt)))] \langle D \rangle^3 \\ & + 6[-2(3 + rt) + e^{rt}(6 + rt(-4 + rt))] \langle D \rangle \langle D^2 \rangle + 6(2 + rt + e^{rt}(-2 + rt)) \langle D^3 \rangle \}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

These expressions are valid for any distribution  $W(D)$  for which the first three moments are well defined.

### Appendix B: Cumulants and free cumulants

For a random variable  $D$  with distribution  $W(D)$ , the classical cumulants are related to the moments via the following explicit formula

$$\langle D^n \rangle_c = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} (k-1)! B_{n,k}(\langle D \rangle, \dots, \langle D^{n-k+1} \rangle), \quad (23)$$

where  $B_{n,k}$  are the partial exponential Bell polynomials. We give below the first few classical cumulants

$$\langle D \rangle_c = \langle D \rangle, \quad (24)$$

$$\langle D^2 \rangle_c = \langle D^2 \rangle - \langle D \rangle^2, \quad (25)$$

$$\langle D^3 \rangle_c = \langle D^3 \rangle - 3\langle D^2 \rangle \langle D \rangle + 2\langle D \rangle^3, \quad (26)$$

$$\langle D^4 \rangle_c = \langle D^4 \rangle - 4\langle D^3 \rangle \langle D \rangle - 3\langle D^2 \rangle^2 + 12\langle D^2 \rangle \langle D \rangle^2 - 6\langle D \rangle^4, \quad (27)$$

$$\langle D^5 \rangle_c = \langle D^5 \rangle - 5\langle D^4 \rangle \langle D \rangle - 10\langle D^3 \rangle \langle D^2 \rangle + 20\langle D^3 \rangle \langle D \rangle^2 + 30\langle D^2 \rangle^2 \langle D \rangle - 60\langle D^2 \rangle \langle D \rangle^3 + 24\langle D \rangle^5. \quad (28)$$

The free cumulants, on the other hand, can be computed using the following explicit formula in terms of the moments of  $D$ , which reads [74, 75]

$$\kappa_n(D) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{j} \binom{n+j-2}{j-1} \widetilde{\sum}_{\vec{q}} \prod_{k=1}^j \langle D^{q_k} \rangle, \quad (29)$$

where  $\widetilde{\sum}_{\vec{q}}$ , with  $\vec{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_j)$  denotes a constrained sum such that  $q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_j = n$  with integers  $q_k \geq 1$ . Note that we have corrected a typo compared to [74, 75], where instead  $q_k \geq 0$ . When specified to the two-state model,

for which  $\langle D^q \rangle = pD_1^q + (1-p)D_2^q$  one obtains, after some manipulations, the formula given in (3). The first few free cumulants are given by

$$\kappa_1(D) = \langle D \rangle, \quad (30)$$

$$\kappa_2(D) = \langle D^2 \rangle - \langle D \rangle^2, \quad (31)$$

$$\kappa_3(D) = \langle D^3 \rangle - 3\langle D^2 \rangle \langle D \rangle + 2\langle D \rangle^3, \quad (32)$$

$$\kappa_4(D) = \langle D^4 \rangle - 4\langle D^3 \rangle \langle D \rangle - 2\langle D^2 \rangle^2 + 10\langle D^2 \rangle \langle D \rangle^2 - 5\langle D \rangle^4, \quad (33)$$

$$\kappa_5(D) = \langle D^5 \rangle - 5\langle D^4 \rangle \langle D \rangle - 5\langle D^3 \rangle \langle D^2 \rangle + 15\langle D^3 \rangle \langle D \rangle^2 + 15\langle D^2 \rangle^2 \langle D \rangle - 35\langle D^2 \rangle \langle D \rangle^3 + 14\langle D \rangle^5. \quad (34)$$

The expressions for classical and free cumulants differ starting from  $n > 3$ . This is because the computation of the classical cumulant  $\langle D^n \rangle_c$  usually involves a sum over all possible partitions of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , including both crossing and non-crossing partitions. In contrast, free cumulants are computed using only non-crossing partitions of the indices [42].

For  $n = 1, 2$ , and  $3$ , the possible partitions of the sets  $\{1\}$ ,  $\{1, 2\}$ , and  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  do not include any crossing partitions. Consequently, for  $n \leq 3$ , the set of all partitions coincides with the set of *non-crossing partitions* [42], meaning the first three free cumulants are identical to the corresponding classical cumulants.

### Appendix C: The SCGF $\Psi(q)$ in terms of the $R$ -transform of $W(D)$

For small values of  $q$ , we argued that the large time behavior of the generating function of  $x$  is  $e^{t\Psi(q)}$  where  $\Psi(q)$  is solution of the Eq. (13). This equation can also be written in terms of the Cauchy-Stieltjes transform  $g(x)$  as

$$\frac{q^2}{r} = g\left(\frac{r + \Psi(q)}{q^2}\right) \quad \text{where} \quad g(x) = \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{x - D}. \quad (35)$$

For a real probability measure  $W(D)$ , the following relation holds

$$g\left(R(z) + \frac{1}{z}\right) = z, \quad (36)$$

where  $R(z)$  is the  $R$ -transform of the PDF  $W(D)$  [37, 38, 42, 43, 76]. We recall that it can be written, at small  $z$ , as an expansion where the coefficients are the free cumulants of  $W(D)$ , namely  $R(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} z^{n-1} \kappa_n(D)$ . Therefore, by identifying terms in Eq. (35), we obtain the crucial relation

$$\Psi(q) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \hat{p}_r(q, t)}{t} = q^2 R\left(\frac{q^2}{r}\right) = r \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{q^2}{r}\right)^n \kappa_n(D), \quad (37)$$

where  $\kappa_n(D)$  are the free cumulants of a random variable distributed according to  $W(D)$ .

### Appendix D: Expansion of the cumulants at large time up to order $O(1)$

It is possible to derive the  $O(1)$  term in the large-time expansion of the cumulants of the position of the particle. As demonstrated in [50], this term can be expressed as a sum involving Bell polynomials, whose arguments are the free cumulants of the random variable  $D$ , which is distributed according to  $W(D)$ . The resulting expression is given by

$$\langle x^n(t) \rangle_c \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\approx} \frac{(2n)!}{r^{n-1}} \kappa_n(D) t - \frac{(2n)!}{r^n} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{m} \hat{B}_{n,m}(\tilde{\kappa}_1(D), \dots, \tilde{\kappa}_{n-m+1}(D)) + O(e^{-rt}), \quad \tilde{\kappa}_n(D) = (n-1)\kappa_n(D). \quad (38)$$

### Appendix E: The SCGF $\Psi(q)$ and LDF $I(y)$ for the two-state model

For the two-state model, the SCGF  $\Psi(q)$  can be computed explicitly, leading

$$\Psi(q) = \frac{1}{2} \left( (D_1 + D_2)q^2 - r + \Delta(q) \right) \quad , \quad \Delta(q) = \sqrt{((D_2 - D_1)q^2 + r)^2 + 4(D_1 - D_2)prq^2}. \quad (39)$$



Interestingly, in the limit  $p \rightarrow 0^+$ ,  $\Psi(q)$  exhibits a transition as  $q$  crosses some value  $q_c = \sqrt{r/(D_1 - D_2)}$ , namely

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow 0^+} \Psi(q) = \begin{cases} D_2 q^2 & , \quad q < q_c , \\ D_1 q^2 - r & , \quad q > q_c . \end{cases} \quad (40)$$

One also finds that  $I(y)$  has a nontrivial limit  $p \rightarrow 0^+$  where it takes the form (see [50] for details)

$$I(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{y^2}{4D_2} & , \quad |y| \leq 2D_2q_c , \\ q_c |y| - D_2 q_c^2 & , \quad 2D_2q_c \leq |y| \leq 2D_1q_c , \\ r + \frac{y^2}{4D_1} & , \quad |y| \geq 2D_1q_c . \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

Interestingly, although  $I(y)$  as well as its first derivative  $I'(y)$  are continuous at  $y = 2D_2q_c$  and  $y = 2D_1q_c$ , the second derivative  $I''(y)$  is discontinuous, signaling second order dynamical transitions at these two points.

# Large Deviations in Switching Diffusion: from Free Cumulants to Dynamical Transitions: *Supplementary Material*

Mathis Guéneau,<sup>1</sup> Satya N. Majumdar,<sup>2</sup> and Grégory Schehr<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Sorbonne Université, Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Hautes Energies,  
CNRS UMR 7589, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France*

<sup>2</sup>*LPTMS, CNRS, Univ. Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, 91405 Orsay, France*

We give the principal details of the calculations described in the main text of the Letter.

## CONTENTS

I. Definition of the model	3
II. Renewal equation and explicit solution	3
III. Explicit formula for the moments	5
IV. The two state model $W(D) = p\delta(D - D_1) + (1 - p)\delta(D - D_2)$	8
A. The cumulants and the scaled cumulant generating function $\Psi(q)$	9
B. The rate function $I(y)$	11
C. Fokker-Planck approach and connection to resetting Brownian motion in the limit $p \rightarrow 0$	13
V. The case where $W(D)$ has a finite support $[0, D_{\max}]$	15
A. The scaled cumulant generating function $\Psi(q)$	16
1. The case $-1 < \nu \leq 0$	17
2. The case $\nu > 0$	18
B. The rate function $I(y)$	20
VI. The case where $W(D)$ has an infinite support $[0, +\infty)$	21
VII. Calculation of the pre-exponential factor of $\hat{p}_r(q, t)$ and the $O(1)$ corrections to the cumulants	23
VIII. Two specific examples of $W(D)$ with a finite support	23
A. Uniform distribution - Case $\nu = 0$	24
B. Wigner semi-circle distribution - $\nu = 1/2$	24
1. Correction of order $O(1)$ to the cumulants	25
IX. Numerical method	26
A. Numerical evaluation of $\Psi(q)$	26
B. Numerical evaluation of $I(y)$	27
References	27




FIG. 1. Schematic description of a typical time evolution of  $x(t)$  and  $D(t)$ . In the model studied here, the  $\tau_i$ 's are independent exponential random variables, while the  $D_i$ 's, which are also independent, are drawn from an arbitrary distribution  $W(D)$ . As considered in the renewal equation (2), two situations are considered. The first one is when there is no switch, which happens with probability  $e^{-rt}$ , and we do not represent it on the figure. Here, we show the second situation where the last switch happens at time  $t - \tau$ . At this specific time, the particle is at position  $z$ , and right before the switch, its diffusion constant is  $D'$ . At time  $t$ , the particle is at position  $x$  with diffusion constant  $D$ .

## I. DEFINITION OF THE MODEL

We consider a stochastic switching diffusion model whose dynamics is given by the following Langevin equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = \sqrt{2D(t)} \eta(t) \quad , \quad x(0) = x_0 \quad , \quad (1)$$

where  $\eta(t)$  is a Gaussian white noise with zero mean  $\langle \eta(t) \rangle = 0$  and unit variance  $\langle \eta(t)\eta(t') \rangle = \delta(t - t')$ . For a time  $\tau_1$ , the process  $x(t)$  diffuses with a diffusion constant  $D_1$ , where  $(D_1, \tau_1)$  are drawn from a joint distribution  $P_{\text{joint}}(D, \tau)$ . After the duration  $\tau_1$ , a new pair  $(D_2, \tau_2)$  is drawn independently from  $P_{\text{joint}}$ , and this selection process is repeated at each subsequent renewal time. In our case, we assume that the renewal times and diffusion constants are independently selected, such that  $P_{\text{joint}}(D, \tau) = W(D)p(\tau)$ , where  $W(D)$  is an arbitrary distribution with finite moments, and the renewal times are exponentially distributed as  $p(\tau) = r e^{-r\tau}$ . As a result, all values  $D_i$ 's and  $\tau_i$ 's are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables. In the following section, we derive a renewal equation for the distribution of the position of the particle. For simplicity, we set the initial position to  $x_0 = 0$ , and we will eventually average over the initial diffusion constant  $D_1$ .

## II. RENEWAL EQUATION AND EXPLICIT SOLUTION

We first derive a renewal equation for the joint distribution  $p_r(x, D, t|D_1)$  of the position  $x(t)$  and the diffusing coefficient  $D(t)$  at time  $t$  conditioned on the first diffusion constant value (see Fig. 1 for an illustration)

$$p_r(x, D, t|D_1) = e^{-rt} \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{4D_1 t}}}{\sqrt{4\pi D_1 t}} \delta(D - D_1) + \int_0^t d\tau r e^{-r\tau} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz \int_0^{+\infty} dD' p_r(z, D', t - \tau|D_1) W(D) \frac{e^{-\frac{(x-z)^2}{4D\tau}}}{\sqrt{4\pi D\tau}}. \quad (2)$$

The first contribution comes from the event, that occurs with probability  $e^{-rt}$ , where there is no reset up to time  $t$  and the dynamics follow a simple Brownian motion with diffusion constant  $D_1$ . The second term accounts for the event where the last reset occurred at time  $t - \tau$ , at which point the particle was at position  $z$  with diffusion constant  $D'$ . The probability that no reset occurred between  $t - \tau$  and  $t$  is  $e^{-r\tau}$ , while the probability of a reset occurring within the small time interval  $[t - \tau, t - \tau + d\tau]$  is  $r d\tau$ . To account for all possible reset times, we integrate over  $\tau$ . Next, we integrate over  $z$  and  $D'$ , taking into account the propagator  $p_r(z, D', t - \tau)$  that describes the paths from the origin  $x = 0$  at  $t = 0$  to position  $z$  at time  $t - \tau$ . We also include the Gaussian propagator that governs the motion from  $z$  at time  $t - \tau$  to  $x$  at time  $t$ . Finally, we need to account for the transition probability of the diffusion constant changing from  $D'$  (the value just before the reset at time  $t - \tau$ ) to  $D$  (the value immediately after the reset). Since the diffusion constants are i.i.d., this is simply given by the distribution  $W(D)$ . If we integrate the renewal equation (2) over all values of  $D$  and perform the integral over  $D'$  in (2), we obtain an integral equation for the propagator of  $x(t)$

conditioned on the value  $D_1$ . This is given by

$$p_r(x, t | D_1) = e^{-rt} \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{4D_1 t}}}{\sqrt{4\pi D_1 t}} + \int_0^t d\tau r e^{-r\tau} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz p_r(z, t - \tau | D_1) \int_0^{+\infty} dD W(D) \frac{e^{-\frac{(x-z)^2}{4D\tau}}}{\sqrt{4\pi D\tau}}. \quad (3)$$

A more symmetrized expression can be obtained by averaging over the values of  $D_1$ , resulting in

$$p_r(x, t) = e^{-rt} \int_0^{+\infty} dD W(D) \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{4Dt}}}{\sqrt{4\pi Dt}} + \int_0^t d\tau r e^{-r\tau} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz p_r(z, t - \tau) \int_0^{+\infty} dD W(D) \frac{e^{-\frac{(x-z)^2}{4D\tau}}}{\sqrt{4\pi D\tau}}. \quad (4)$$

We now assume in the remainder of this section that  $W(D)$  has a finite support on  $[0, D_{\max}]$ , while the case where  $W(D)$  has support on  $[0, +\infty)$  is discussed separately in section VI. We first note that the second term exhibits a convolution structure in space. To leverage this property, instead of transitioning to Fourier space (which is used for  $W(D)$  with infinite support instead – see section VI), we introduce the generating function of  $x$  which is defined as the bilateral Laplace transform (BLT) of  $p_r(x, t)$ . This approach is better suited for the study of large deviations that will follow. It is given by

$$\hat{p}_r(q, t) = \langle e^{qx} \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx e^{qx} p_r(x, t). \quad (5)$$

Note that the normalisation of the PDF of  $p_r(x, t)$  implies

$$\hat{p}_r(q = 0, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx p_r(x, t) = 1, \quad \text{for all } t. \quad (6)$$

We also recall that the inversion formula is given by

$$p_r(x, t) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} dq e^{-qx} \hat{p}_r(q, t), \quad (7)$$

where the integral runs over the Bromwich contour which, in this case of a bilateral Laplace transform, lies within the region of convergence of  $\hat{p}_r(q, t)$  in the complex  $q$ -plane. More precisely, the real  $\gamma$  in (7) is such that  $\gamma \in ]s_0, s_1[$  where  $]s_0, s_1[$  is the maximal real interval such that  $\hat{p}_r(q, t)$  is an analytic function in the vertical strip delimited by  $]s_0, s_1[$  in the complex  $q$ -plane. Taking the BLT of Eq. (4) yields

$$\hat{p}_r(q, t) = e^{-rt} \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD W(D) e^{Dq^2 t} + \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD W(D) \int_0^t d\tau r e^{-r\tau} e^{Dq^2 \tau} \hat{p}_r(q, t - \tau). \quad (8)$$

Similarly, the convolution structure in time can be exploited to obtain a closed equation via the Laplace transformation with respect to the time variable  $t$ . It is thus useful to introduce the Laplace transform defined as

$$\tilde{p}_r(q, s) = \int_0^{+\infty} dt e^{-st} \hat{p}_r(q, t). \quad (9)$$

Taking the Laplace transform of Eq. (8) yields

$$\tilde{p}_r(q, s) = \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{r + s - Dq^2} + r \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{r + s - Dq^2} \tilde{p}_r(q, s). \quad (10)$$

Ultimately, the explicit solution takes the form

$$\tilde{p}_r(q, s) = \frac{J_r(q, s)}{1 - r J_r(q, s)}, \quad J_r(q, s) = \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{r + s - Dq^2}. \quad (11)$$

When  $q = 0$ , one has  $J_r(0, s) = 1/(r + s)$  and we easily check from (46) that  $\tilde{p}_r(0, s) = \frac{1}{s}$ , which is consistent with the normalisation condition (6).

### III. EXPLICIT FORMULA FOR THE MOMENTS

In this section we derive an explicit formula for the moments  $\langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle$  of the distribution  $p_r(x, t)$  at any finite time  $t$ . Since the distribution  $p_r(x, t)$  is symmetric, i.e.,  $p_r(x, t) = p_r(-x, t)$ , all odd moments vanish. Here,  $\langle \dots \rangle$  denotes an average over all sources of randomness present in the system, which are treated here on the same footing. To begin, we derive a recursive relation for the moments of the probability distribution function (PDF)  $p_r(x, t)$ . This relation can be obtained by expanding  $\hat{p}_r(q, t)$  within Eq. (8), using the series expansion

$$\hat{p}_r(q, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{q^{2n}}{(2n)!} \langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle. \quad (12)$$

First, we substitute this power series expansion in Eq. (8)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{q^{2n}}{(2n)!} \langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle = e^{-rt} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \langle D^n \rangle \frac{q^{2n} t^n}{n!} + \int_0^t d\tau r e^{-r\tau} \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} \langle D^p \rangle \frac{q^{2p} \tau^p}{p!} \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \frac{q^{2l}}{(2l)!} \langle x^{2l}(t-\tau) \rangle, \quad (13)$$

and we select the term of order  $q^{2n}$  on both sides of to get

$$\langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle = e^{-rt} \langle D^n \rangle t^n \frac{(2n)!}{n!} + \int_0^t d\tau r e^{-r\tau} \sum_{\substack{p=1, l=0 \\ p+l=n}}^{+\infty} \langle D^p \rangle \tau^p \frac{(2n)!}{p!(2l)!} \langle x^{2l}(t-\tau) \rangle. \quad (14)$$

In order to write a recursion relation for  $\langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle$ , we extract the term corresponding to  $p = 0$  and  $l = n$  in the sum on the right-hand side. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle - \int_0^t d\tau r e^{-r\tau} \langle x^{2n}(t-\tau) \rangle \\ &= e^{-rt} \langle D^n \rangle t^n \frac{(2n)!}{n!} + \int_0^t d\tau r e^{-r\tau} \sum_{\substack{p=1, l=0 \\ p+l=n}} \langle D^p \rangle \tau^p \frac{(2n)!}{p!(2l)!} \langle x^{2l}(t-\tau) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Here, to treat the integrals over  $\tau$  on both sides, it is convenient to use the convolution structure when taking the Laplace transform with respect to the time variable  $t$ . The equation simplifies to

$$\frac{s}{r+s} \mathcal{L}_{t \rightarrow s} [\langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle] = \langle D^n \rangle \frac{(2n)!}{(r+s)^{1+n}} + \sum_{\substack{p=1, l=0 \\ p+l=n}} \frac{(2n)!}{p!(2l)!} \langle D^p \rangle \mathcal{L}_{t \rightarrow s} [r e^{-rt} t^p] \mathcal{L}_{t \rightarrow s} [\langle x^{2l}(t) \rangle], \quad (16)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{t \rightarrow s} [f(t)]$  denotes the Laplace transform of  $f(t)$ . When performing the first Laplace transform on the right hand-side, the expression simplifies to

$$s \mathcal{L}_{t \rightarrow s} [\langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle] = \langle D^n \rangle \frac{(2n)!}{(r+s)^n} + \sum_{\substack{p=1, l=0 \\ p+l=n}} \frac{(2n)!}{(2l)!} \langle D^p \rangle \frac{r}{(r+s)^p} \mathcal{L}_{t \rightarrow s} [\langle x^{2l}(t) \rangle]. \quad (17)$$

By re-writing the constraint ( $p = 1, l = 0, p + l = n$ ) to  $l \in [0, n-1]$  with  $p = n-l$ , the expression now reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{t \rightarrow s} [\langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle] = \langle D^n \rangle \frac{(2n)!}{s(r+s)^n} + \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \frac{(2n)!}{(2l)!} \langle D^{n-l} \rangle \frac{r}{s(r+s)^{n-l}} \mathcal{L}_{t \rightarrow s} [\langle x^{2l}(t) \rangle]. \quad (18)$$

Finally, we re-organize the two sides of the equation such that

$$\frac{(r+s)^n}{(2n)!} \mathcal{L}_{t \rightarrow s} [\langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle] = \frac{1}{s} \left[ \langle D^n \rangle + \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} r \langle D^{n-l} \rangle \left( \frac{(r+s)^l}{(2l)!} \mathcal{L}_{t \rightarrow s} [\langle x^{2l}(t) \rangle] \right) \right], \quad (19)$$

where we now identify a recursive sequence  $u_n$  defined as

$$u_n = \frac{\langle D^n \rangle}{s} + \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \frac{r}{s} \langle D^{n-l} \rangle u_l. \quad (20)$$

$$u_n = \frac{(r+s)^n}{(2n)!} \mathcal{L}_{t \rightarrow s} [\langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle], \quad u_0 = \frac{1}{s}. \quad (21)$$

Our goal is to find the explicit expression of  $u_n$  and then deduce from it  $\langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle$  from (21). For this purpose, we can write the first terms of the sequence and try to see if a pattern emerges,

$$u_1 = \frac{(r+s)\langle D \rangle}{s^2}, \quad (22)$$

$$u_2 = \frac{(r+s)(r\langle D \rangle^2 + s\langle D^2 \rangle)}{s^3}, \quad (23)$$

$$u_3 = \frac{(r+s)(r^2\langle D \rangle^3 + 2rs\langle D \rangle\langle D^2 \rangle + s^2\langle D^3 \rangle)}{s^4}, \quad (24)$$

$$u_4 = \frac{(r+s)(r^3\langle D \rangle^4 + 3r^2s\langle D \rangle^2\langle D^2 \rangle + 2rs^2\langle D \rangle\langle D^3 \rangle + rs^2\langle D^2 \rangle^2 + s^3\langle D^4 \rangle)}{s^5}. \quad (25)$$

After inspection, for  $n \geq 1$ , we realize that  $u_n$  can be written as (see also [1])

$$u_n = \frac{r+s}{rs^{n+1}} [t^n] \left[ \frac{1}{1 - \frac{r}{s} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^i \langle D^i \rangle t^i} \right], \quad (26)$$

where  $[t^n][f(t)]$  denotes the coefficient at order  $t^n$  of the series expansion of  $f(t)$  with respect to  $t$ . As we will see, we can find a more explicit expression for  $u_n$  by first expanding the fraction in squared-brackets. This leads to

$$u_n = \frac{r+s}{rs^{n+1}} [t^n] \left[ \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^m \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^i \langle D^i \rangle t^i \right]^m \right]. \quad (27)$$

It turns out that this can be re-written in terms of partial exponential Bell polynomials  $B_{n,k}$  [2, 3]. These polynomials are defined as

$$B_{n,k}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-k+1}) = \sum_{\vec{j}} \frac{n!}{j_1! j_2! \dots j_{n-k+1}!} \left(\frac{x_1}{1!}\right)^{j_1} \left(\frac{x_2}{2!}\right)^{j_2} \dots \left(\frac{x_{n-k+1}}{(n-k+1)!}\right)^{j_{n-k+1}}, \quad (28)$$

where the summation  $\sum_{\vec{j}}$  over  $\vec{j} = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{n-k+1})$  denotes a sum over all non-negative integers  $j_i$  subject to the following constraints

$$j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_{n-k+1} = k, \quad (29)$$

$$j_1 + 2j_2 + 3j_3 + \dots + (n-k+1)j_{n-k+1} = n. \quad (30)$$

We have in particular the following identities

$$\left[ \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \langle D^i \rangle z^i \right]^m = m! \sum_{p=m}^{+\infty} B_{p,m}(a_1, \dots, a_{p-m+1}) \frac{z^p}{p!}, \quad a_i = i! \langle D^i \rangle, \quad (31)$$

$$= \sum_{p=m}^{+\infty} \hat{B}_{p,m}(\langle D \rangle, \dots, \langle D^{p-m+1} \rangle) z^p, \quad (32)$$

where  $\hat{B}_{p,m}$  is the ordinary Bell polynomial defined as [3]

$$\hat{B}_{p,m}(\langle D \rangle, \dots, \langle D^{p-m+1} \rangle) = \frac{m!}{p!} B_{p,m}(\langle D \rangle, 2!\langle D^2 \rangle, \dots, (p-m+1)!\langle D^{p-m+1} \rangle). \quad (33)$$

Therefore, we can use the identity (32) with  $z = st$  inside the expression for  $u_n$  in Eq. (27), and it yields

$$u_n = \frac{r+s}{rs^{n+1}} [t^n] \left[ \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^m \sum_{p=m}^{+\infty} s^p \hat{B}_{p,m}(\langle D \rangle, \dots, \langle D^{p-m+1} \rangle) t^p \right]. \quad (34)$$

To select the term of order  $t^n$  we invert the order of the sums such that it gives

$$u_n = \frac{r+s}{r s^{n+1}} [t^n] \left[ \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{m=0}^p \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^m s^p \hat{B}_{p,m} (\langle D \rangle, \dots, \langle D^{p-m+1} \rangle) t^p \right]. \quad (35)$$

For  $n \geq 1$ , it is now possible to write the explicit solution of the sequence

$$u_n = \frac{r+s}{r s} \sum_{m=1}^n \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^m \hat{B}_{n,m} (\langle D \rangle, \dots, \langle D^{n-m+1} \rangle). \quad (36)$$

Using the definition (21) of  $u_n$ , we can deduce the Laplace transform of the moments of the distribution  $p_r(x, t)$ , and they read

$$\mathcal{L}_{t \rightarrow s} [\langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle] = \frac{(2n)!}{r s (r+s)^{n-1}} \sum_{m=1}^n \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^m \hat{B}_{n,m} (\langle D \rangle, \dots, \langle D^{n-m+1} \rangle). \quad (37)$$

Note that we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{s \rightarrow t}^{-1} \left[ s^{-(m+1)} (r+s)^{-(n-1)} \right] = t^{m+n-1} \frac{M(n-1, m+n, -rt)}{(m+n-1)!}, \quad (38)$$

where  $M(a, b, x)$  denotes the Kummer's function. Taking the inverse Laplace transform of Eq. (37) using Eq. (38) then leads to the final result

$$\langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle = \frac{(2n)!}{r^n} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{(rt)^{m+n-1}}{(m+n-1)!} M(n-1, m+n, -rt) \hat{B}_{n,m} (\langle D \rangle, \dots, \langle D^{n-m+1} \rangle). \quad (39)$$

From this formula, and using Eq. (23) of the End matters, it is possible to compute the cumulants for specific distributions  $W(D)$  – see Fig. 2 for a numerical check in the case of the two-state model (left panel), and for the Wigner semi-circle distribution (right panel).

**Remark.** It is possible to perform a consistency check and obtain back the expression of  $\tilde{p}_r(q, s)$  given in Eq. (46) when summing the Laplace transform of the moments as

$$\tilde{p}_r(q, s) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{q^{2n}}{(2n)!} \mathcal{L}_{t \rightarrow s} [\langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle] = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{q^{2n}}{(r+s)^n} u_n, \quad (40)$$

where  $u_n$  is defined in Eq. (21). To proceed, we use the equivalence

$$a_n = [t^n] \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{r}{s} \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} s^i \langle D^i \rangle t^i} \right) \iff \frac{1}{1 - \frac{r}{s} \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} s^i \langle D^i \rangle t^i} = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n t^n + 1. \quad (41)$$

Using (26), we have that

$$u_n = \frac{r+s}{r s} \frac{a_n}{s^n}. \quad (42)$$

Hence, the generating function of  $u_n$ 's is given by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n z^n = u_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \left(\frac{z}{s}\right)^n = u_0 + \frac{r+s}{r s} \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{r}{s} \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \langle D^i \rangle z^i} - 1 \right). \quad (43)$$

Using this relation with  $z = q^2/(r+s)$  in Eq. (40), and using also  $u_0 = 1/s$ , we find

$$\tilde{p}_r(q, s) = \frac{r+s}{r s} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{r}{s} \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \left[ \frac{q^2}{r+s} \right]^i \langle D^i \rangle} - \frac{1}{r}, \quad (44)$$






FIG. 2. Using the explicit formulas for the moments given in Eq (39), we calculate and plot the cumulants (solid lines) and compare them with numerical simulations (dots). The left panel shows results for  $W(D)$  for the two-state model – i.e.,  $W(D) = p\delta(D - D_1) + (1 - p)\delta(D - D_2)$ , while the right panel corresponds to the Wigner semi-circle law – i.e.,  $W(D) = 8/(\pi D_{\max}^2)\sqrt{D(D_{\max} - D)}$ . The black dotted lines represent the asymptotic predictions given in Eq (2) of the Letter. Our theoretical predictions show excellent agreement with the simulations for both models. The parameters for the two-state model are:  $r = 1$ ,  $p = 1/3$ ,  $D_2 = 1$ ,  $D_1 = 2$ . For the Wigner semi-circle law, the parameters are:  $r = 1$ ,  $D_{\max} = 1$ .

that we can re-write as

$$\tilde{p}_r(q, s) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{q^2}{r+s}\right]^i \langle D^i \rangle}{r + s - r \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{q^2}{r+s}\right]^i \langle D^i \rangle} = \frac{J_r(q, s)}{1 - r J_r(q, s)}, \quad (45)$$

where  $J_r(q, s)$  is defined in Eq. (46), and the last equality comes from the small  $q$  expansion of  $J_r(q, s)$ . We have indeed

$$J_r(q, s) = \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{r + s - Dq^2} = \frac{1}{r + s} \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{1 - \frac{Dq^2}{r+s}} = \frac{1}{r + s} \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{q^2}{r+s}\right)^i \langle D^i \rangle. \quad (46)$$

#### IV. THE TWO STATE MODEL $W(D) = p\delta(D - D_1) + (1 - p)\delta(D - D_2)$

In this section, we provide the details of the study of the scaled cumulant generating function (SCGF)  $\Psi(q)$  and the rate function  $I(y)$  for the two date model corresponding to  $W(D) = p\delta(D - D_1) + (1 - p)\delta(D - D_2)$ . In this case the function  $J_r(q, s)$  in Eq. (46) reads

$$J_r(q, s) = \frac{p}{r + s - D_1 q^2} + \frac{1 - p}{r + s - D_2 q^2}, \quad (47)$$

from which it follows that  $\tilde{p}_r(q, s)$  is given by

$$\tilde{p}_r(q, s) = \frac{r + s_+ - q^2(pD_2 + (1 - p)D_1)}{s_+ - s_-} \frac{1}{s - s_+} + \frac{q^2(pD_2 + (1 - p)D_1) - r - s_-}{s_+ - s_-} \frac{1}{s - s_-}. \quad (48)$$

where

$$s_{\pm} \equiv s_{\pm}(q) = \frac{1}{2} \left( (D_1 + D_2)q^2 - r \pm \Delta(q) \right), \quad \Delta(q) = \sqrt{((D_2 - D_1)q^2 + r)^2 + 4(D_1 - D_2)prq^2}. \quad (49)$$

It is easy to perform the inverse Laplace transform to obtain  $\hat{p}_r(q, t)$ , since  $\tilde{p}_r(q, s)$  has two simple pole at  $s = s_{\pm}$  in the complex  $s$ -plane. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p}_r(q, t) &= \int_{\Gamma} \frac{ds}{2i\pi} \tilde{p}_r(q, s) e^{st} \\ &= \frac{r + s_+ - q^2(pD_2 + (1 - p)D_1)}{s_+ - s_-} e^{s_+ t} + \frac{q^2(pD_2 + (1 - p)D_1) - r - s_-}{s_+ - s_-} e^{s_- t} \\ &= \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}((D_1 + D_2)q^2 - r + \Delta(q))t}}{2\Delta(q)} \left[ (D_1 - D_2)(2p - 1)q^2 + r + \Delta(q) + e^{-\Delta(q)t} (\Delta(q) - (D_1 - D_2)(2p - 1)q^2 - r) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

At large time, the leading behavior is given by

$$\hat{p}_r(q, t) = B(q)e^{ts_+(q)} + O(e^{-rt}) \quad , \quad B(q) = \left( \frac{(D_1 - D_2)(2p - 1)q^2 + r + \Delta(q)}{2\Delta(q)} \right) \quad (51)$$

where we have used that  $\Delta(q) \geq r$  for all  $q$  such that the remainder term in (50) is indeed of order  $O(e^{-rt})$ .

### A. The cumulants and the scaled cumulant generating function $\Psi(q)$

From this exact expression (50) we can extract all the information about the cumulants. Indeed, the cumulant generating function is given, to leading order for large  $t$  by,

$$\chi_r(q, t) = \ln \hat{p}_r(q, t) = t s_+(q) + \ln \left( \frac{(D_1 - D_2)(2p - 1)q^2 + r + \Delta(q)}{2\Delta(q)} \right) + O(e^{-rt}) \quad , \quad (52)$$

where we have used that  $\Delta(q) \geq r$  for all  $q$ . From this result (52) one can then extract the leading behaviors of the cumulants. We recall indeed that the cumulants  $\langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle_c$  are defined as

$$\chi_r(q, t) = \ln \hat{p}_r(q, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n}}{(2n)!} \langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle_c \quad . \quad (53)$$

Therefore, in principle, the cumulants  $\langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle_c$  can be obtained by expanding the expression (52) in powers of  $q$ . However, given the rather complicated expression of  $\Delta(q)$  in (49), performing the small  $q$  expansion to arbitrary order is a challenging task. Alternatively, the cumulants, to leading order for large  $t$  can be computed from the connection to the free cumulants associated to the distribution  $W(D) = p\delta(D - D_1) + (1 - p)\delta(D - D_2)$  – see the second line of Eq. (2) in the main text – and compute them using the formula (18), again given in the main text.

*Cumulants in the case  $D_1 = D$ ,  $D_2 = 0$ .* We first start by analysing the case  $D_1 = D$  and  $D_2 = 0$  which is sometimes called randomly flashing diffusion in the literature [4]. In this case one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^{(2n)}(t) \rangle_c &= \frac{t}{r^{n-1}} (2n)! \kappa_n(D) + O(1) \quad , \\ \kappa_n(D) &= \langle D^n \rangle + \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{j} \binom{n+j-2}{j-1} \sum_{q_1+q_2+\dots+q_j=n, q_k \geq 1} \langle D^{q_1} \rangle \dots \langle D^{q_j} \rangle \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

The multiple sum over the  $q_j$ 's in the second term can also be written as

$$a_{j,n} = \sum_{q_1 \geq 1} \dots \sum_{q_j \geq 1} \langle D^{q_1} \rangle \dots \langle D^{q_j} \rangle \delta_{q_1+\dots+q_j, n} \quad , \quad n \geq j \quad (55)$$

$$= p^j D^n \sum_{q_1 \geq 1} \dots \sum_{q_j \geq 1} \delta_{q_1+\dots+q_j, n} \quad , \quad n \geq j \quad . \quad (56)$$

Let us compute the generating function of the sequence  $a_{j,n}$ , with  $n \geq j$

$$\sum_{n=j}^{+\infty} z^n a_{j,n} = p^j \sum_{q_1 \geq 1} \dots \sum_{q_j \geq 1} (zD)^n \delta_{q_1+\dots+q_j, n} \quad (57)$$

$$= p^j \left( \sum_{q=1}^{+\infty} (zD)^q \right)^j = p^j \frac{(zD)^j}{(1-zD)^j} = p^j \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\Gamma(j+k)}{k!(j-1)!} (zD)^{k+j} \quad (58)$$

$$= p^j \sum_{k'=j}^{+\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k')}{(k'-j)!(j-1)!} (zD)^{k'} \quad , \quad (59)$$

Therefore,  $a_{j,n} = p^j D^n \binom{n-1}{j-1}$  such that

$$\kappa_n(D) = D^n \sum_{j=1}^n p^j \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{j} \binom{n+j-2}{j-1} \binom{n-1}{j-1} \quad . \quad (60)$$

In fact, for  $n \geq 2$  this sum over  $j$  can be expressed in terms of an associated Legendre function, leading to the result

$$\kappa_n(D) = \begin{cases} pD, & n = 1, \\ -D^n \sqrt{p(1-p)} \frac{1}{n(n-1)} P_{n-1}^1(1-2p), & n \geq 2, \end{cases} \quad (61)$$

where  $P_k^1(x)$  is the associated Legendre function of index 1 and degree  $k$ . For instance  $P_1^1(x) = -\sqrt{1-x^2}$ ,  $P_2^1(x) = -3x\sqrt{1-x^2}$ . Finally, for in this case  $D_1 = D$ ,  $D_2 = 0$ , the scaled cumulant generating function can thus be written as

$$\Psi(q) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\chi_r(q, t)}{t} = \frac{1}{2} (Dq^2 - r + \Delta(q)) \quad (62)$$

$$= pq^2D - \sqrt{p(1-p)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n}}{r^{n-1}} \frac{D^n}{n(n-1)} P_{n-1}^1(1-2p). \quad (63)$$

*Cumulants for arbitrary  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ .* The case of arbitrary  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  can then easily be deduced from this formula (62). Indeed, in this case the scaled cumulant generating function  $\Psi(q)$  is given by

$$\Psi(q) = \frac{1}{2} ((D_1 + D_2)q^2 - r + \Delta(q)) \quad , \quad \Delta(q) = \sqrt{((D_2 - D_1)q^2 + r)^2 - 4(D_2 - D_1)prq^2}. \quad (64)$$

Hence, we see that, except for the second cumulant, the higher order cumulants are only a function of  $D_1 - D_2$ . Therefore we can use the result derived above for  $D_1 = D$  and  $D_2 = 0$  in Eq. (62) to expand  $\Psi(q)$  as

$$\Psi(q) = q^2(pD_1 + (1-p)D_2) - \sqrt{p(1-p)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n}}{r^{n-1}} \frac{(D_1 - D_2)^n}{n(n-1)} P_{n-1}^1(1-2p), \quad (65)$$

from which one can read the cumulants, as given in Eq. (3) in the main text.

*The scaled cumulant generating function in the limit  $p \rightarrow 0^+$ .* Interestingly, one finds from the exact expression in (64) that the scaled generating function becomes singular in the limit  $p \rightarrow 0^+$ . In this limit, one has indeed  $\Delta(q) = |(D_2 - D_1)q^2 + r|$ , which leads to the singular behavior of  $\Psi(q)$  given in Eq. (40) of the End matter, namely

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow 0^+} \Psi(q) = \begin{cases} D_2 q^2, & q < q_c, \\ D_1 q^2 - r, & q > q_c. \end{cases} \quad , \quad q_c = \sqrt{\frac{r}{D_1 - D_2}}. \quad (66)$$

One can easily check that  $\Psi(q)$  is continuous at  $q = q_c$ , i.e.,

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow q_c^-} \lim_{p \rightarrow 0^+} \Psi(q) = \lim_{q \rightarrow q_c^+} \lim_{p \rightarrow 0^+} \Psi(q) = \frac{D_2}{D_1 - D_2} r. \quad (67)$$

However, the first derivative is discontinuous at  $q = q_c$ , since one has

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow q_c^-} \lim_{p \rightarrow 0^+} \Psi'(q) = 2D_2 \sqrt{\frac{r}{D_1 - D_2}} \quad , \quad \lim_{q \rightarrow q_c^+} \lim_{p \rightarrow 0^+} \Psi'(q) = 2D_1 \sqrt{\frac{r}{D_1 - D_2}} \quad (68)$$

For small but finite  $p$ , this transition at  $q_c$  is smoothed out over a scale of size  $\sqrt{p}$  where  $\Psi(q)$  takes the scaling form

$$\Psi(q) - \frac{D_2}{D_1 - D_2} r \approx \sqrt{p} F\left(\frac{q - q_c}{\sqrt{p}}\right) \quad , \quad q \rightarrow q_c, \quad (69)$$

where  $\Psi(q_c) = rD_2/(D_1 - D_2)$  the scaling function  $F(\tilde{q})$  is given by

$$F(\tilde{q}) = q_c \left( \tilde{q}(D_1 + D_2) + (D_1 - D_2) \sqrt{\tilde{q}^2 + q_c^2} \right) - r. \quad (70)$$

One can easily check that this scaling form (69) interpolates smoothly between the two behaviors in (66).




FIG. 3. We show here a plot of the rate function in the two-state model for the parameters  $D_1 = 2$ ,  $D_2 = 1$ ,  $r = 1$ ,  $p = 0.5$ . The solid line  $I(y = x/t)$  has been computed by solving numerically the maximization problem in Eq. (72). The dots correspond to the numerical resolution of the renewal equation as explained in Section IX for  $t = 1000$ .

### B. The rate function $I(y)$

Inverting the exact expression for  $\hat{p}_r(q, t)$  in Eq. (50) with respect to  $q$  for any finite time  $t$  seems quite difficult. However, this inversion can be performed in the limit of large time, using a saddle point computation. Indeed,  $p_r(x, t)$  can be formally written as the following contour integral [see Eq. (7)]

$$p_r(x, t) = \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} \frac{dq}{2\pi i} \hat{p}_r(q, t) e^{-qx}. \quad (71)$$

From the expression of  $\hat{p}_r(q, t)$ , one sees that it has a branch cut on the imaginary axis, which we do not specify further, since it will not be useful here. Therefore we can choose some value  $\gamma > 0$  to define the Bromwich contour in Eq. (71). Given the form of  $\hat{p}_r(q, t)$  at large time in Eq. (51), this Bromwich integral (71) can be evaluated at large time by a saddle-point method, leading to

$$p_r(x = yt, t) = \frac{B(q^*)}{\sqrt{2\pi|\Psi''(q^*)|t}} e^{-tI(y)} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right), \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} I(y) = \max_{q \in \mathbb{R}} (qy - \Psi(q)) \\ q^* = \operatorname{argmax}_{q \in \mathbb{R}} (qy - \Psi(q)) \end{cases}, \quad (72)$$

while the function  $B(q)$  is given in Eq. (50). Note that the maximum in Eq. (72) comes from the fact that a minimum along the vertical Bromwich axis becomes a maximum in the horizontal direction along the real axis. Hence, as expected, we see that the rate function  $I(y)$  is the Legendre transform of  $\Psi(q)$ . In principle one can compute  $I(y)$  in terms of  $q^*$  as

$$I(y) = q^*y - \Psi(q^*) \quad , \quad y = \Psi'(q^*). \quad (73)$$

Except in some special cases, it is difficult to compute explicitly  $q^*$  as a function of  $y$ . However, this form (73) gives an interesting parametric representation of the function  $I(y) - q^*$  being the parameter – which can be used to evaluate numerically the function  $I(y)$ . Alternatively, the function  $I(y)$  can also be evaluated by solving numerically the maximization problem. In Fig. 3, we plot the function  $I(y)$  evaluated by this second method for  $p = 0.5$ ,  $r = 1$ ,  $D_1 = 2$  and  $D_2 = 1$ .

We note also the following interesting relation

$$I'(y) = q^*, \quad (74)$$

which can be obtained by taking the derivative of the first relation (73) with respect to  $y$  and then using the second relation in (73). Furthermore, by taking a derivative of the second relation in (73) with respect to  $y$ , and using (74), one finds the well known identity

$$\Psi''(q^*) = \frac{1}{I''(y)}, \quad (75)$$




FIG. 4. Here, we show a plot of the derivative of  $\Psi(q)$  (see Eq. (66)) which has a discontinuity at  $q_c$  in the limit  $p \rightarrow 0^+$ . Indeed, for  $q < q_c$ ,  $\Psi'(q) = 2D_2q$ , while for  $q > q_c$ ,  $\Psi'(q) = 2D_1q$ .

with  $q^* \equiv q^*(y) = \operatorname{argmax}_{q \in \mathbb{R}}(qy - \Psi(q))$ .

The rate function  $I(y)$  in the limit  $p \rightarrow 0$ . Since the rate function  $I(y)$  is an even function of  $y$ , we only consider the range  $y \geq 0$ . In this case, given the expression of  $\Psi(q)$  in Eq. (66), one can solve explicitly the equation  $y = \Psi'(q)$  in the two regions  $0 \leq y \leq 2D_2q_c$  and  $y \geq 2D_1q_c$  since  $\Psi'(q)$  has a discontinuity at  $q_c = \sqrt{r/(D_1 - D_2)}$  – see Fig. 4. One finds

$$q^* = \begin{cases} \frac{y}{2D_2}, & 0 \leq y \leq 2D_2q_c, \\ \frac{y}{2D_1}, & y \geq 2D_1q_c. \end{cases} \quad (76)$$

On the other hand, for  $2D_2q_c < y < 2D_1q_c$  one can show, using (69) that

$$q^* = q_c + O(\sqrt{p}), \quad (77)$$

where the correction of  $O(\sqrt{p})$  can in principle be computed explicitly in terms of the function  $F(\tilde{q})$  in Eq. (70). Using the expression of  $q^*$  in the various regimes of  $y$  from Eqs. (76) and (77) one finds the expression of the function  $I(y)$  given in Eq. (41) of the End matter, i.e.,

$$I(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{y^2}{4D_2} & , \quad |y| \leq v_1 = 2D_2\alpha \\ \alpha|y| - D_2\alpha^2 & , \quad v_1 \leq |y| \leq v_2 \\ r + \frac{y^2}{4D_1} & , \quad |y| \geq v_2 = 2D_1\alpha. \end{cases} \quad (78)$$

where  $\alpha = \sqrt{r/(D_1 - D_2)}$ .

The pre-exponential factor in the limit  $p \rightarrow 0$ . We end up this section by evaluating the pre-exponential factor in Eq. (72) in the limit  $p \rightarrow 0$ .

- For  $0 \leq y \leq 2D_2q_c$ , this prefactor is quite easy since  $B(q^*) = 1$  while  $\Psi''(q^*) = 2D_2$ , leading to

$$p_r(x = yt, t) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi D_2 t}} e^{-t \frac{y^2}{4D_2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi D_2 t}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4D_2 t}} \quad , \quad 0 \leq y \leq 2D_2q_c. \quad (79)$$

- For  $y \geq 2D_1q_c$ , one has  $\Psi''(q^*) = 2D_1$  but  $B(q^*) = 0$  to leading order in  $p$  and therefore a more careful analysis is required. We first obtain the small  $p$  expansion of  $q^*$  as

$$q^* = \frac{y}{2D_1} + p(D_1 - D_2)r^2 \frac{y}{2D_1^2} \frac{1}{\left((D_1 - D_2)\frac{y^2}{4D_1^2} - r\right)^2} + O(p^2) \quad , \quad y \geq 2D_1q_c, \quad (80)$$

from which we obtain

$$B(q^*) = p \frac{y^4 (D_1 - D_2)^2}{(4D_1^2 r + (D_2 - D_1)y^2)^2} \quad , \quad y \geq 2D_1 q_c . \quad (81)$$

Note that it has the following asymptotic behaviors

$$B(q^*) \approx \begin{cases} p , & y \rightarrow \infty \\ p \frac{D_1^2 r}{(D_1 - D_2)} \frac{1}{(y - v_2)^2} , & y \rightarrow v_2 = 2D_1 \alpha . \end{cases} \quad (82)$$

Hence in this range we get

$$p_r(x, t) \approx p \frac{y^4 (D_1 - D_2)^2}{(4D_1^2 r + (D_2 - D_1)y^2)^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi D_1 t}} e^{-t(r + \frac{y^2}{4D_1 t})} \quad (83)$$

• For  $2D_2 q_c \leq y \leq 2D_1 q_c$ , the analysis is a bit more complicated and relies on the precise behavior of  $\Psi(q)$  around  $q_c$  described in Eq. (69). We find that  $p_r(x, t)$  takes the following form (for large  $t$  and  $p \rightarrow 0$ )

$$p_r(x = yt, t) \approx p^{1/4} \frac{h(y)}{\sqrt{t}} e^{-t(\alpha y - D_2 \alpha^2)} \quad , \quad 2D_2 \alpha < y < 2D_1 \alpha , \quad (84)$$

where  $\alpha = \sqrt{r/(D_1 - D_2)}$  while  $h(y)$  is a rather complicated function that can be computed explicitly from  $F(\bar{q})$  in Eq. (70) and its derivatives.

### C. Fokker-Planck approach and connection to resetting Brownian motion in the limit $p \rightarrow 0$

In this section, we take a different route and provide a Fokker-Planck approach to the two-state model. In this case, there are two states with diffusion constants  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ , with associated distributions  $p(x, t, D_1) \equiv p_1(x, t)\delta(D - D_1)$  and  $p(x, t, D_2) \equiv p_2(x, t)\delta(D - D_2)$ .

To derive the Fokker-Planck equation corresponding to  $p_1(x, t)$ , we first consider that the state at time  $t + dt$  is  $D_1$ . We need to enumerate all possible probability flows from a given state at time  $t$  to the state  $D_1$  at time  $t + dt$ . This yields

$$p_1(x, t + dt) = p r dt [p_2(x, t) + p_1(x, t)] + (1 - r dt) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} D\xi p_1(x - \xi \sqrt{2D_1 dt}, t) , \quad (85)$$

where  $\xi \sim N(0, 1)$ . The first term on the right-hand side corresponds to the flow of probability arising from a reset in  $[t, t + dt]$  with probability  $r dt$ . There are two possibilities. First, going from  $D_2$  at time  $t$ , reset with probability  $r dt$ , and going back to  $D_1$  with probability  $p$ , thus the first term inside the brackets. Or, the particle was in state  $D_1$  at time  $t$ , resets with probability  $r dt$ , and staying at state  $D_1$  with probability  $p$ , hence the second term inside the brackets. The second contribution on the left-hand side simply corresponds to the diffusion when there is no reset with probability  $(1 - r dt)$ . The same reasoning for  $p_2(x, t + dt)$  leads to

$$p_2(x, t + dt) = (1 - p) r dt [p_2(x, t) + p_1(x, t)] + (1 - r dt) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} D\xi p_2(x - \xi \sqrt{2D_2 dt}, t) . \quad (86)$$

The Taylor expansion at order  $dt$  gives the Fokker-Planck equations for the distributions of both states  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ ,

$$\frac{\partial p_1(x, t)}{\partial t} = D_1 \frac{\partial^2 p_1(x, t)}{\partial x^2} + r p p_2(x, t) - r(1 - p) p_1(x, t) , \quad (87)$$

$$\frac{\partial p_2(x, t)}{\partial t} = D_2 \frac{\partial^2 p_2(x, t)}{\partial x^2} - r p p_2(x, t) + r(1 - p) p_1(x, t) . \quad (88)$$

These equations have to be supplemented by the initial conditions

$$p_1(x, t = 0) = p \delta(x) \quad , \quad p_2(x, t = 0) = (1 - p) \delta(x) . \quad (89)$$

The Fokker-Planck equations show that this model is in fact equivalent to a two-state model with different switching rates  $k_{12} = r(1-p)$  to switch from the diffusion constant  $D_1$  to  $D_2$  and  $k_{21} = rp$  to switch from  $D_2$  to  $D_1$ , as studied in [5]. In principle, this system of coupled differential equations can be solved exactly via the use of Laplace transform in time and bi-lateral Laplace transform in space (as done in the paper). However, here, to unveil an interesting connection to resetting Brownian motion, we study instead directly the limit  $p \rightarrow 0$  of this system (87)-(88).

In the limit  $p \rightarrow 0$ , it is natural to expect  $p_2(x, t) = O(1)$ , while  $p_1(x, t) = O(p)$  – see Eqs. (87)-(89). In this limit, we thus look for a solution of the form

$$p_2(x, t) = p_{2,0}(x, t) + O(p) \quad , \quad p_1(x, t) = p p_{1,1}(x, t) + O(p^2) \quad , \quad (90)$$

together with the initial conditions  $p_{2,0}(x, 0) = p_{1,1}(x, 0) = \delta(x)$ . By injecting the expansions (90) in Eqs. (87) and (88) one finds

$$\frac{\partial p_{1,1}(x, t)}{\partial t} = D_1 \frac{\partial^2 p_{1,1}(x, t)}{\partial x^2} - r p_{1,1}(x, t) + r p_{2,0}(x, t), \quad (91)$$

$$\frac{\partial p_{2,0}(x, t)}{\partial t} = D_2 \frac{\partial^2 p_{2,0}(x, t)}{\partial x^2}. \quad (92)$$

The equation for  $p_{2,0}(x, t)$  can easily be solved leading to

$$p_{2,0}(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi D_2 t}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4D_2 t}}. \quad (93)$$

Injecting this expression (93) into Eq. (91) leads to

$$\frac{\partial p_{1,1}(x, t)}{\partial t} = D_1 \frac{\partial^2 p_{1,1}(x, t)}{\partial x^2} - r p_{1,1}(x, t) + \frac{r}{\sqrt{4\pi D_2 t}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4D_2 t}}. \quad (94)$$

Interestingly, this last equation for  $p_{1,1}(x, t)$  has a structure which is very similar to the one found for Brownian motion in the presence of stochastic resetting [6, 7]. Indeed for the latter, the source term is simply  $r\delta(x - X_r)$  (where  $X_r$  is the resetting position), instead of the Gaussian in Eq. (94). In fact, in the limit  $D_2 \rightarrow 0$ , this Gaussian term reduces exactly to  $r\delta(x)$  – hence similar to resetting at  $X_r = 0$ . For  $D_2 > 0$ , this delta-function has a certain width  $\propto \sqrt{t}$ , as described by this Gaussian term in Eq. (94). It is thus not surprising that the solution found for the two-state model in the limit  $p \rightarrow 0$  bears some similarity with the resetting Brownian motion. It is also very similar to the model studied in Ref. [8] where the particle is subjected to a non-instantaneous resetting in the presence of an external linear confining potential. Note that another connection between resetting and a two-state model was also noticed in the context of “autonomous ratcheting by stochastic resetting” [9]. Finally, the interplay between random diffusion models and stochastic resetting was recently studied in [10? ].

Under this form (94) it is easy to obtain  $p_{1,1}(x, t)$  as a convolution of the source term – the Gaussian in this case – and the heat kernel with diffusion constant  $D_1$ . This yields

$$p_{1,1}(x, t) = e^{-rt} \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{4D_1 t}}}{\sqrt{4\pi D_1 t}} + r \int_0^t d\tau \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy e^{-r(t-\tau)} \frac{e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4D_1(t-\tau)}}}{\sqrt{4\pi D_1(t-\tau)}} \frac{e^{-\frac{y^2}{4D_2 \tau}}}{\sqrt{4\pi D_2 \tau}}. \quad (95)$$

Note that this equation can also be directly obtained from the small  $p$  expansion of the renewal equation (2). The integral over  $y$  can be performed explicitly, leading to (after the change of variable  $\tau \rightarrow t - \tau$ )

$$p_{1,1}(x, t) = e^{-rt} \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{4D_1 t}}}{\sqrt{4\pi D_1 t}} + r \int_0^t d\tau e^{-r\tau} \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{4D_2(t-\tau)} + \frac{x^2}{4D_1 \tau}}}{\sqrt{4\pi(D_2(t-\tau) + D_1 \tau)}}. \quad (96)$$

This exact expression (95) can now be analysed along the lines of the case of RBM [12] as follows. We first rewrite (96) in terms of  $y = x/t$  and perform the change of variable  $\tau = ut$  in the integral. This gives

$$p_{1,1}(x = yt, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi D_1 t}} e^{-t\Phi(y)} + r\sqrt{t} \int_0^1 du \frac{e^{-t\varphi(u, y)}}{\sqrt{4\pi(D_2(1-u) + D_1 u)}} \quad (97)$$

where we have introduced the two functions

$$\Phi(y) = r + \frac{y^2}{4D_1} \quad , \quad \varphi(u, y) = ru + \frac{y^2}{4D_2(1-u) + 4D_1 u}. \quad (98)$$

For large time, the integral in (97) can be estimated by a saddle point. As a function of  $u$ , the function  $\phi(u, y)$  admits a single minimum at  $u^*$  given by

$$u^* = \frac{1}{D_1 - D_2} \left( \frac{y}{2} \sqrt{\frac{D_1 - D_2}{r}} - D_2 \right). \quad (99)$$

This saddle point  $u^*$  occurs within the interval of integration if and only if

$$0 < u^* < 1 \iff 2D_2 \sqrt{\frac{r}{D_1 - D_2}} \leq y \leq 2D_1 \sqrt{\frac{r}{D_1 - D_2}}. \quad (100)$$

In this case, evaluating  $\varphi(u^*, t)$ , one finds

$$\varphi(u^*, y) = -r \frac{D_2}{D_1 - D_2} + \sqrt{\frac{r}{D_1 - D_2}} y. \quad (101)$$

Instead, if  $u^* < 0$  the minimum of  $\varphi(u, y)$  is reached at  $u = 0$ , where  $\varphi(0, y) = r + \frac{y^2}{4D_2}$  while for  $u^* > 1$  the minimum is reached at  $u^* = 1$  where  $\varphi(1, y) = r + \frac{y^2}{4D_1}$ . Therefore, this analysis can be summarized as follows

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln p_{1,1}(x = yt, y)}{t} = \begin{cases} r + \frac{y^2}{4D_1}, & 0 \leq y \leq 2D_2 q_c, \\ -r \frac{D_2}{D_1 - D_2} + \sqrt{\frac{r}{D_1 - D_2}} y, & 2D_2 q_c \leq y \leq 2D_1 q_c, \\ r + \frac{y^2}{4D_1}, & y \geq 2D_1 q_c. \end{cases} \quad (102)$$

Note that in the first regime  $0 \leq y \leq 2D_2 q_c$ , the first term in Eq. (97) is larger than the integral (since  $D_1 > D_2$ ), which explains the first line of Eq. (105).

To analyse  $p(x, t, D) = p_1(x, t)\delta(D - D_1) + p_2(x, t)\delta(D - D_2)$  in the small  $p$  limit, one has to analyse  $p_2(x, t)$  up to order  $O(p)$ , i.e., write  $p_2(x, t) = p_{2,0}(x, t) + p p_{2,1}(x, t) + O(p^2)$ . From Eq. (88), one finds that  $p_{2,1}(x, t)$  satisfies

$$\frac{\partial p_{2,1}(x, t)}{\partial t} = D_2 \frac{\partial^2 p_{2,1}(x, t)}{\partial x^2} - r p_{2,0}(x, t) + r p_{1,1}(x, t), \quad (103)$$

together with the initial condition  $p_{2,1}(x, 0) = -\delta(x)$ . Its solution reads

$$p_{2,1}(x, t) = -\frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{4D_2 t}}}{\sqrt{4\pi D_2 t}} + r \int_0^t d\tau \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \frac{e^{-\frac{y^2}{4D_2 \tau}}}{\sqrt{4\pi D_2 \tau}} (p_{1,1}(y - x, t - \tau) - p_{2,0}(y - x, t - \tau)). \quad (104)$$

If one takes the BLT one can easily show that  $p_{2,1}(x, t)$  behaves, at leading (exponential) order for large  $t$ , as  $p_{1,1}(x, t)$ .

Finally, combining these results for  $p_{1,1}(x, t)$  and  $p_{2,1}(x, t)$  both given by (105) together with the one for  $p_{2,0}(x, t)$  in Eq. (93) one finds that the total probability  $p(x, t) = p_{2,0}(x, t) + p(p_{1,1}(x, t) + p_{2,1}(x, t))$  is given by

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{\ln p(x = yt, y)}{t} = \begin{cases} \frac{y^2}{4D_2} & , \quad 0 \leq y \leq 2D_2 q_c, \\ -r \frac{D_2}{D_1 - D_2} + \sqrt{\frac{r}{D_1 - D_2}} y & , \quad 2D_2 q_c \leq y \leq 2D_1 q_c, \\ r + \frac{y^2}{4D_1} & , \quad y \geq 2D_1 q_c. \end{cases} \quad (105)$$

Note that the leading term in the region  $0 \leq y \leq 2D_2 q_c$  is actually given by  $p_{2,0}(x, t)$  in Eq. (93) which is actually dominating over  $p_{1,1}(x, t) + p_{2,1}(x, t)$  – see the first line of Eq. (105) – since  $y^2/(4D_2) \leq r + y^2/(4D_1)$  in this regime. Therefore, we recover the result given in Eq. (41) in the End matter, using a different method which also allows to establish a connection with resetting Brownian motion. Note however that this small  $p$  expansion (which assumes that the exact  $p(x, t)$  is an analytic function of  $p$ ) does not allow to recover correctly the  $\propto p^{1/4}$  behavior of the pre-exponential factor (see Eq. (84)).

## V. THE CASE WHERE $W(D)$ HAS A FINITE SUPPORT $[0, D_{\max}]$

In this section, we provide the details of the study of the scaled cumulant generating function (SCGF)  $\Psi(q)$  and the rate function  $I(y)$  in the case where  $W(D)$  has a finite support  $[0, D_{\max}]$  such that  $W(D) \sim (D_{\max} - D)^\nu$  when  $D \rightarrow D_{\max}$ ,  $\nu > -1$ . This includes the case where  $W(D)$  is given by a semi-circular distribution (corresponding to  $\nu = 1/2$ ), or the case of a uniform distribution (for which  $\nu = 0$ ), both studied in Section VIII.






FIG. 5. We illustrate here the two situations described in Eqs. (112) and (113). For a distribution  $W(D) \sim (D_{\max} - D)^\nu$ , the Cauchy-Stieltjes transform of  $W(D)$ , denoted by  $g(x)$  for  $x \in (D_{\max}, +\infty)$ , exhibits two different behaviors for  $x \rightarrow D_{\max}$ . If  $\nu < 0$  (left panel),  $g(x \rightarrow D_{\max}) \sim (D_{\max} - x)^\nu$  diverges as  $x \rightarrow D_{\max}$ , while if  $\nu > 0$  (right panel),  $g(x \rightarrow D_{\max}) = g_c$  which is finite.

### A. The scaled cumulant generating function $\Psi(q)$

We recall that  $\Psi(q)$  is defined as

$$\Psi(q) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \hat{p}_r(q, t)}{t}, \quad \hat{p}_r(q, t) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{ds}{2i\pi} \tilde{p}_r(q, s) e^{st}, \quad (106)$$

where we recall that  $\tilde{p}_r(q, s)$  is given by

$$\tilde{p}_r(q, s) = \frac{J_r(q, s)}{1 - r J_r(q, s)} \quad \text{where} \quad J_r(q, s) = \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{r + s - Dq^2}. \quad (107)$$

In Eq. (106),  $\Gamma$  is a Bromwich contour passing to the right of all the singularities of  $\tilde{p}_r(q, s)$  defined in (107) in the complex  $s$ -plane. In general,  $\tilde{p}_r(q, s)$  admits two types of singularities: (a) the one arising from  $J_r(q, s)$  itself – which is a branch cut – that exists for all values of  $\nu > -1$  and poles – which are the roots of the denominator in  $\tilde{p}_r(q, s)$  – which exists only for certain values of  $\nu$  and  $q$  (see below).

**(a) The branch cut:** Since the integral over  $D$  defining  $J_r(q, s)$  in Eq. (107) has a non-integrable singularity for  $D = (r + s)/q^2$ , the function  $J_r(q, s)$ , and hence  $\tilde{p}_r(q, s)$  has a branch-cut on the real axis  $[-r, -r + D_{\max}q^2]$  (see Fig. 6). More precisely,  $J_r(q, s)$  can be written as,

$$J_r(q, s) = \frac{1}{q^2} g\left(\tilde{s} = \frac{r + s}{q^2}\right), \quad g(z) = \int_0^{D_{\max}} \frac{W(D)}{z - D}, \quad z \notin [0, D_{\max}], \quad (108)$$

where  $g(z)$  is the Cauchy-Stieltjes transform of  $W(D)$ . In particular, for a continuous distribution  $W(D)$ ,  $g(z)$  is an analytic function of  $z$  which admits a branch cut on  $[0, D_{\max}]$ , i.e.,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} [g(D - i\epsilon) - g(D + i\epsilon)] = 2i\pi W(D), \quad D \in [0, D_{\max}]. \quad (109)$$

This means that  $J_r(q, s)$  is an analytic function of  $s$  with a branch cut on the segment  $[-r, -r + D_{\max}q^2]$  such that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} [J_r(q, s - i\epsilon) - J_r(q, s + i\epsilon)] = \frac{2i\pi}{q^2} W\left(\tilde{s} = \frac{r + s}{q^2}\right), \quad s \in [-r, -r + D_{\max}q^2]. \quad (110)$$

**(b) The pole.** To find the pole of  $\tilde{p}_r(q, s)$  in the complex  $s$ -plane, we have to solve (for  $s$ ) the equation

$$1 - r J_r(q, s) = 0 \iff 1 = r \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{r + s - Dq^2} \iff \frac{q^2}{r} = g\left(\tilde{s} = \frac{r + s}{q^2}\right), \quad (111)$$




FIG. 6. Illustration of the singularities of the function  $\tilde{p}_q(q, s)$  in the complex  $s$ -plane. The left panel shows the situation corresponding to  $-1 < \nu < 0$  for all values of  $q$  and  $\nu > 0$  for  $q \leq q_c$  which shows a branch cut on  $[-r, -r + D_{\max} q^2]$  as well as a pole at  $s^* \equiv s^*(q) \geq -r + D_{\max} q^2$ . The right panel shows the situation for  $\nu > 0$  and  $q \geq q_c$  for which there is no pole anymore and the remaining singularity is the branch cut on  $[-r, -r + D_{\max} q^2]$ . In both figures, the contour  $\Gamma$  is the Bromwich contour used in the computation of the generating function  $\hat{p}_r(q, t)$  in Eq. (106).

where  $g(x)$  is defined in (108). Note that the discussion of a similar equation appeared in the study of spherical integrals (i.e., Harish Chandra/Itzykson-Zuber integrals) in random matrix theory [13].

To proceed, we analyse the behavior of this function  $g(x)$  for real  $x \in (D_{\max}, +\infty)$ . Note that as  $g'(x) < 0$ , it is a decreasing function of  $x$ , and we have  $g(x) \sim 1/x$  when  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . To investigate the behavior of  $g(x)$  when  $x \rightarrow D_{\max}$ , we need to distinguish two cases (see Fig. 5):

$$(i) \quad \nu > 0, \quad g(x \rightarrow D_{\max}) = g_c = \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{D_{\max} - D}, \quad (112)$$

$$(ii) \quad \nu < 0, \quad g(x \rightarrow D_{\max}) \underset{D \rightarrow D_{\max}}{\propto} (D_{\max} - x)^\nu. \quad (113)$$

While, for  $\nu < 0$  the function  $g(x)$  is bounded from above (since  $g_c$  is finite), in the case  $\nu > 0$ , the function  $g(x)$  diverges as  $x \rightarrow D_{\max}$  (see Fig. 5). In the following, we analyse the two situations  $-1 < \nu \leq 0$  and  $\nu > 0$  separately.

### 1. The case $-1 < \nu \leq 0$

Hence, for  $\nu < 0$ , the equation in (111) admits a solution  $s = s^*$  for any  $q$  and therefore,  $\tilde{p}_r(q, s)$  has a pole for any  $q$  at this value  $s = s^*$  (see Fig. 6). Note in addition that  $s^* \geq q^2 D_{\max} - r$  and in this case the large time behavior of  $\hat{p}_r(q, t)$  in Eq. (106) is dominated by this pole at  $s = s^*$ . Therefore, we have

$$\hat{p}_r(q, t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\approx} \text{Res} \left( \frac{J_r(s, q)}{1 - r J_r(s, q)}, s^*(q) \right) e^{ts^*(q)}. \quad (114)$$

To compute the residue, we write the expansion of  $J_r(s, q)$  in the vicinity of  $s^*(q)$

$$J_r(s, q) = J_r(s^*(q), q) + \partial_s J_r(s, q)(s - s^*(q)) + O((s - s^*(q))^2), \quad (115)$$

and by definition of  $s^*$ , we have  $1 - r J_r(s^*(q), q) = 0$  such that  $J_r(s^*(q), q) = 1/r$ . Thus, we obtain

$$\hat{p}_r(q, t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\approx} \frac{1}{r^2 |\partial_s J_r(s^*(q), q)|} e^{ts^*(q)}, \quad (116)$$

where we have used that  $-\partial_s J_r(s^*(q), q) = |\partial_s J_r(s^*(q), q)|$ . In Section VII, we give a more explicit expression for the residue and we deduce from it the  $O(1)$  corrections for the cumulants for all  $W(D)$  with finite moments.

Hence, the scaled cumulant generating function  $\Psi(q)$ , as defined in Eq. (106), is given by

$$\Psi(q) = s^*(q) \quad , \quad 1 = r \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{r + s^*(q) - Dq^2} \quad , \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (117)$$

As discussed in the Letter, this relation shows that  $s^*(q)$  can be written in terms of the  $R$ -transform of  $W(D)$  namely

$$\Psi(q) = q^2 R\left(\frac{q^2}{r}\right) = r \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{q^2}{r}\right)^n \kappa_n(D), \quad (118)$$

where  $\kappa_n(D)$  is the  $n$ -th free cumulant of  $D$ . In particular, for small  $q$ , the leading term is  $\Psi(q) \sim \kappa_1(D) q^2 = \langle D \rangle q^2$ .

To study the behavior of  $\Psi(q) = s^*(q)$  as  $q \rightarrow \infty$ , it is convenient to rewrite the equation satisfied by  $s^*(q)$  as

$$\frac{q^2}{r} = \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{\tilde{s}^*(q) - D}, \quad \tilde{s}^*(q) = \frac{r + s^*(q)}{q^2}. \quad (119)$$

In the large  $q$  limit the left hand side is diverging as  $q^2/r$  and hence the right hand side must also diverge, implying  $\tilde{s}^*(q) \rightarrow D_{\max}$ . Therefore, to summarize, for  $\nu \leq 0$

$$\Psi(q) = s^*(q) = \begin{cases} \langle D \rangle q^2, & q \rightarrow 0, \\ D_{\max} q^2 - r, & q \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases} \quad (120)$$

Furthermore, it is easy to check from Eq. (117) that  $\Psi(q)$  and its derivatives are continuous functions of  $q$  for all real  $q$ . Below, in Section VIII A, we compute  $\Psi(q)$  explicitly in the case where  $W(D)$  is the uniform distribution over  $[0, D_{\max}]$ , corresponding to  $\nu = 0$ .

## 2. The case $\nu > 0$

This case turns out to be more interesting. Indeed, for  $\nu > 0$ , the function  $\tilde{p}_r(q, s)$ , as a function of  $s$ , exhibits a pole only for  $q^2 \leq q_c^2 = r g_c$  where  $g_c$  is given in Eq. (112) while there is no pole for  $q^2 > q_c^2 = r g_c$  and in that case the large  $t$  limit is instead dominated by the branch point at  $s_b = -r + D_{\max} q^2$  (see Fig. 6). This implies the following behavior of  $\Psi(q)$  in this case  $\nu > 0$

$$\Psi(q) = \begin{cases} s^*(q), & q < q_c, \\ D_{\max} q^2 - r, & q > q_c, \end{cases} \quad (121)$$

where  $s^*(q)$  is the solution of the implicit equation (117) and  $q_c$  is given by

$$q_c^2 = r \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{D_{\max} - D}. \quad (122)$$

Let us analyse the properties of  $\Psi(q)$  around  $q_c$ . We first notice that  $\Psi(q)$  is continuous at  $q = q_c$ , which follows straightforwardly from the definition of  $q_c$  in (122). To analyse its first derivatives, we need to compute  $s^{*'}(q)$  as well as  $s^{*''}(q)$ , which can be done by taking derivatives of Eq. (117) with respect to  $q$ . This leads to

$$s^{*'}(q) = 2q \frac{\int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{D W(D)}{(s^*(q) + r - D q^2)^2}}{\int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{(s^*(q) + r - D q^2)^2}}, \quad q \leq q_c. \quad (123)$$

Since  $s^*(q) \rightarrow D_{\max} q_c^2 - r$  as  $q \rightarrow q_c$ , we see that if  $0 < \nu < 1$ , both the numerator and the denominator are diverging and it is easy to obtain

$$s^{*'}(q) \rightarrow 2q_c D_{\max} \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow q_c, \quad 0 < \nu < 1. \quad (124)$$

On the other hand, for  $\nu > 1$  these integrals are converging and  $s^{*'}(q)$  converges to a non-trivial value given by

$$s^{*'}(q) \rightarrow 2q_c D_{\text{eff}}, \quad D_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{D W(D)}{(D_{\max} - D)^2}}{\int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{(D_{\max} - D)^2}} \leq D_{\max} \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow q_c, \quad \nu > 1. \quad (125)$$

Using Eq. (178) together with (124) and (125), we thus see that  $\Psi'(q)$  is continuous at  $q = q_c$  for  $0 < \nu < 1$  while it is discontinuous for  $\nu > 1$ .

The analysis of  $s^*(q)$  near  $q_c$  beyond the leading (linear) order requires to analyse the two cases  $\nu > 1$  and  $0 < \nu < 1$  separately.

*The case  $\nu > 1$ .* In this case it is useful to compute  $s^{*''}(q)$  from Eq. (117). It reads

$$s^{*''}(q) = \frac{2}{\int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{(s^*(q) + r - Dq^2)^2}} \left( \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)(s^{*'}(q) - 2Dq)^2}{(s^*(q) + r - Dq^2)^3} + \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{DW(D)}{(s^*(q) + r - Dq^2)^2} \right). \quad (126)$$

On this expression, we see that the behavior of  $s^{*''}(q)$  as  $q \rightarrow q_c$  is different for  $\nu > 2$  or  $\nu < 2$ . For  $\nu > 2$ , one can easily see that  $s^{*''}(q)$  remains finite as  $q \rightarrow q_c$  with the result

$$s^{*''}(q_c) = 2B_1 = \frac{2}{\int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{(D_{\max} - D)^2}} \left( 4 \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)(D_{\text{eff}} - D)^2}{(D_{\max} - D)^3} + \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{DW(D)}{(D_{\max} - D)^2} \right), \quad \nu > 2. \quad (127)$$

However, if  $\nu < 2$ , we see that the first integral in the numerator of (128) is diverging, which indicates a singular behavior of  $s^{*''}(q)$  as  $q \rightarrow q_c$ . Indeed, in that case one finds

$$s^{*''}(q) \approx \nu(\nu - 1)B_2(q_c - q)^{\nu-2}, \quad B_2 = \frac{2\pi A(D_{\text{eff}} - D_{\max})^2}{\int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{(D_{\max} - D)^2}} \frac{1}{|\sin(\nu\pi)|}, \quad (128)$$

where the amplitude  $A$  is such that  $W(D) \approx A(D_{\max} - D)^\nu$  as  $D \rightarrow D_{\max}$ .

*The case  $0 < \nu < 1$ .* In this case, to analyse the behavior of  $s^*(q)$  near  $q_c$  beyond the leading order we combine Eqs. (119) and (122) to write

$$\frac{q_c^2 - q^2}{r} = (\tilde{s}^*(q) - D_{\max}) \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{(D_{\max} - D)(\tilde{s}^*(q) - D)}. \quad (129)$$

Performing the change of variable  $u = D_{\max} - D$  one finds that Eq. (129) can be re-written as

$$\frac{q_c^2 - q^2}{r} = S(q) \int_0^{D_{\max}} du \frac{W(D_{\max} - u)}{u(S(q) + u)}, \quad S(q) = \tilde{s}^*(q) - D_{\max}. \quad (130)$$

As  $q \rightarrow q_c$ ,  $S(q) \rightarrow 0$ , say as  $S(q) \approx B(q_c - q)^\beta$  where  $B$  and  $\beta$  are yet to be determined. In this limit, the integral over  $u$  is thus dominated by its small  $u$  behavior, where we can replace  $W(D_{\max} - u) \approx Au^\nu$ . To leading order as  $q \rightarrow q_c$  this equation (130) becomes

$$\frac{q_c^2 - q^2}{r} = AS^\nu \int_0^{D_{\max}/S} dv \frac{v^\nu}{v(1+v)} \quad (131)$$

For  $0 < \nu < 1$  the upper bound of the integral over  $v$  can be sent to  $+\infty$  and the integral can be computed explicitly. We find

$$S(q) \approx C(q_c - q)^{1/\nu}, \quad C = \left( 2q_c \frac{\sin(\nu\pi)}{\pi Ar} \right)^{1/\nu}. \quad (132)$$

This leads to the behavior of  $s^*(q)$  near  $q_c$

$$s^*(q) = D_{\max}q_c^2 - r + 2D_{\max}q_c(q - q_c) + D_{\max}(q - q_c)^2 + Cq_c^2(q_c - q)^{1/\nu}(1 + o(1)), \quad q \rightarrow q_c. \quad (133)$$

Thus we see that, for generic  $0 < \nu < 1$ , while the function  $\Psi'(q)$  is continuous at  $q = q_c$ , the function  $s^*(q)$  is non-analytic as  $q \rightarrow q_c$ .

Hence, the leading behavior of  $\Psi(q) = s^*(q)$  near  $q = q_c$  (with  $q < q_c$ ) can be summarized as follows (see Fig. 7)

$$\Psi(q) - \Psi(q_c) = s^*(q) - s^*(q_c) \approx \begin{cases} 2D_{\text{eff}}q_c(q - q_c) + B_1(q_c - q)^2 & , \quad 2 < \nu \\ 2D_{\text{eff}}q_c(q - q_c) + B_2(q_c - q)^\nu & , \quad 1 < \nu < 2 \\ 2D_{\max}q_c(q - q_c) + D_{\max}(q - q_c)^2 + Cq_c^2(q_c - q)^{1/\nu} & , \quad 0 < \nu < 1. \end{cases} \quad (134)$$

On the other hand for  $q > q_c$ , one has from the second line of (178)

$$\Psi(q) - \Psi(q_c) = 2D_{\max}q_c(q - q_c) + D_{\max}(q - q_c)^2. \quad (135)$$




FIG. 7. We show here theoretical predictions (solid lines) against numerical results (dots) for  $\Psi(q)$  when  $W(D) \sim (D_{\max} - D)^\nu$  on  $[0, 1]$  with  $r = 1$  and  $t = 1000$ . **Left:**  $\nu = 0$ . Uniform distribution (no transition). **Middle:**  $\nu = 1/2$ . Wigner distribution (second order transition). The blue dotted line corresponds to the first line of Eq. (178) to emphasize the change of behavior at  $q_c$ . **Right:**  $\nu = 4$ . Beta distribution such that  $W(D) \sim (1 - D)^4$  (first order transition). For  $q < q_c$ , the linear behavior close to  $q_c$  is given in Eq. (134). For  $q > q_c$ , we have  $\Psi(q) = D_{\max} q^2 - r$ .

### B. The rate function $I(y)$

In this section, we study the behavior of the rate function  $I(y)$  which is given by the Legendre transform of  $\Psi(q)$ , namely

$$I(y) = \max_{q \in \mathbb{R}} (qy - \Psi(q)) . \quad (136)$$

Below we study separately the different cases: (i)  $-1 < \nu < 0$ , (ii)  $0 < \nu < 1$  and (iii)  $\nu > 1$ .

*The case  $-1 < \nu \leq 0$ :* In this case the function  $\Psi(q) = s^*(q)$  is regular and its asymptotic behaviors are given in Eq. (120). In this case, the Legendre inversion (136) can be written as

$$I(y) = q_{\max} y - s^*(q_{\max}) , \quad (137)$$

where  $q_{\max} \equiv q_{\max}(y)$  is solution of

$$y = s^{*'}(q_{\max}) . \quad (138)$$

Since  $s^{*'}(q)$  is a continuous and smooth function of  $q$ , its inverse function  $q_{\max}$  is also a smooth and continuous function of  $y$ . Hence  $I(y)$  is a smooth and continuous function of  $y$  on the whole real axis. From the asymptotic behaviors of  $s^*(q)$  given in (120) one immediately gets the asymptotic behaviors of  $I(y)$  for small and large arguments as given in the text..

*The case  $0 < \nu < 1$ :* In this case, the rate function has a singularity at  $y = y_c$  given by

$$y_c = 2D_{\max} q_c , \quad (139)$$

and to characterize the singularity of  $I(y)$ , we need to distinguish  $0 < \nu < 1/2$  and  $1/2 < \nu < 1$ :

For  $0 < \nu < 1/2$  one finds that when  $y \rightarrow y_c$  from below, i.e.  $y < y_c$ , the rate function behaves, to leading order as,

$$I(y) - I(y_c) = q_c(y - y_c) + \frac{1}{4D_{\max}}(y - y_c)^2 + o(y - y_c)^2 . \quad (140)$$

On the other hand for  $\nu > 1/2$  one finds

$$I(y) - I(y_c) = q_c(y - y_c) + \left( \frac{\nu}{C q_c^2} \right)^{\frac{1-\nu}{\nu}} (1 - \nu) |y - y_c|^{\frac{1}{1-\nu}} . \quad (141)$$

Finally, in the special case  $\nu = 1/2$  one finds

$$I(y) - I(y_c) = q_c(y - y_c) + \frac{1}{4(D_{\max} + C q_c^2)}(y - y_c)^2 + o(y - y_c)^2 . \quad (142)$$




FIG. 8. Plot of  $-\log(p_r(x, t))/t$  vs  $y = x/t$  when  $\nu = 4$ , i.e.  $W(D \rightarrow D_{\max}) \sim (D_{\max} - D)^4$ . This is a check of the exponential regime given by the second line of Eq. (144) when  $y_1 < y < y_2$ . The dashed solid line corresponds to the analytic prediction, while the dots are numerical results for different values of  $t$ . As expected, as  $t$  increases, the numerical prediction converges toward  $I(y_1 < y < y_2)$ , although the convergence is seemingly slow. The corresponding values of the probabilities are as small as  $10^{-300}$ . The parameters are  $D_{\max} = 1$  and  $r = 1$ .

The case  $1 < \nu$ . Here, the rate function  $I(y)$  has two singular points at  $y = y_1$  and  $y = y_2 = y_c$ , namely

$$y_1 = 2D_{\text{eff}}q_c \quad , \quad y_2 = 2D_{\text{max}}q_c \quad . \quad (143)$$

On the different intervals  $I(y)$  is given for  $y \geq 0$  – note that  $I(-y) = I(y)$  by

$$I(y) = \begin{cases} q_{\text{max}}y - s^*(q_{\text{max}}) , & 0 < y < y_1 , \\ r + q_c(y - D_{\text{max}}q_c) , & y_1 < y < y_2 , \\ r + \frac{y^2}{4D_{\text{max}}} , & y > y_2 . \end{cases} \quad (144)$$

It is easy to see that, at  $y = y_2$ , the first derivative of  $I(y)$  is continuous while the second is not. However, at  $y_1$  the situation is a bit more complicated and depends on  $1 < \nu < 2$  or  $\nu > 2$ :

For  $1 < \nu < 2$  one finds

$$I(y) - I(y_1) = q_c(y - y_1) - \frac{\nu - 1}{\nu} \left( \frac{y - y_1}{\nu B_1} \right)^{\frac{\nu}{\nu-1}} , \quad y \rightarrow y_1 \quad \text{with} \quad y < y_1 \quad . \quad (145)$$

In this case we see that the second derivative is vanishing to the left of  $y_1$  and the second derivative is thus continuous.

On the other hand for  $\nu > 2$  one finds

$$I(y) - I(y_1) = q_c(y - y_1) + \frac{1}{2s''(q_c)}(y - y_1)^2 , \quad y \rightarrow y_1 \quad \text{with} \quad y < y_1 \quad . \quad (146)$$

In this case we see that the second derivative is finite but discontinuous at  $y = y_1$ .

## VI. THE CASE WHERE $W(D)$ HAS AN INFINITE SUPPORT $[0, +\infty)$

In this case, it is more convenient to define  $\hat{p}_r(k, t)$  as the Fourier transform  $\hat{p}_r(k, t) = \langle e^{ikx} \rangle$  instead of the generating function (or bilateral Laplace transform)  $\hat{p}_r(k, t) = \langle e^{kx} \rangle$ . This is because, when  $W(D)$  has an infinite support, the integrals in (8) might diverge. In particular, if  $W(D) = e^{-D}$  for  $D \geq 0$ , then the integral

$$\int_0^{+\infty} dD W(D) e^{Dq^2t} = \int_0^{+\infty} dD e^{D(q^2t-1)} \quad (147)$$

is diverging beyond a certain time  $t$  (for fixed  $q$ ). By working in Fourier space, a global minus sign appears inside the exponential, ensuring the convergence of the integrals. From Eq. (4), we obtain in Fourier space

$$\hat{p}_r(k, t) = e^{-rt} \int_0^{+\infty} dD W(D) e^{-Dk^2 t} + \int_0^{+\infty} dD W(D) \int_0^t d\tau r e^{-r\tau} e^{-Dk^2 \tau} \hat{p}_r(k, t - \tau), \quad (148)$$

$$\hat{p}_r(k, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx e^{ikx} p_r(x, t). \quad (149)$$

Again, the convolution structure in time can be used to derive an explicit solution via Laplace transformation

$$\tilde{p}_r(k, s) = \int_0^{+\infty} dD \frac{W(D)}{Dk^2 + r + s} + r \int_0^{+\infty} dD \frac{W(D)}{Dk^2 + r + s} \tilde{p}_r(k, s), \quad (150)$$

$$\tilde{p}_r(k, s) = \int_0^{+\infty} dt e^{-st} \hat{p}_r(k, t). \quad (151)$$

Eventually, the explicit solution is given by

$$\tilde{p}_r(k, s) = \frac{\int_0^{+\infty} dD \frac{W(D)}{Dk^2 + r + s}}{1 - r \int_0^{+\infty} dD \frac{W(D)}{Dk^2 + r + s}}. \quad (152)$$

The large time behavior of the Fourier transform can be obtained by taking the inverse Laplace transform as follows

$$\hat{p}_r(k, t) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} ds e^{st} \tilde{p}_r(k, s) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} ds e^{st} \frac{\int_0^{+\infty} dD \frac{W(D)}{Dk^2 + r + s}}{1 - r \int_0^{+\infty} dD \frac{W(D)}{Dk^2 + r + s}} \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\approx} e^{t\tilde{\Psi}(k)}, \quad (153)$$

where  $\gamma$  is a real chosen such that all the singularities of  $\tilde{p}_r(k, s)$  in the complex  $q$ -plane are to the left of the Bromwich contour ( $\gamma - i\infty, \gamma + i\infty$ ), and  $\tilde{\Psi}(k)$  is the singularity of  $\tilde{p}_r(k, s)$  with the largest real part. The numerator of  $\tilde{p}_r(k, s)$  has a branch cut for  $s \in ]-\infty, -r]$  (since here  $D_{\max} = +\infty$ ). Under certain conditions (specified below), the denominator may have a pole  $s^* > -r$  located to the right of the branch cut. If such a pole exists, it is the singularity with the largest real part and  $\tilde{\Psi}(k)$  is therefore determined by

$$1 = r \int_0^{+\infty} dD \frac{W(D)}{Dk^2 + r + \tilde{\Psi}(k)}, \quad (154)$$

which can also be written in terms of the Cauchy-Stieltjes transform  $g(x)$  as

$$\frac{-k^2}{r} = g\left(-\frac{r + \tilde{\Psi}(k)}{k^2}\right) \quad \text{where} \quad g(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} dD \frac{W(D)}{x - D}, \quad (155)$$

with  $x \in ]-\infty, 0[$ . The function  $g(x)$  is a strictly decreasing function of  $x$  with  $g(x \rightarrow -\infty) = 0$ . Whether Eq. (155) has a solution or not depend on the behavior of the Cauchy-Stieltjes transform  $g(x)$  when  $x \rightarrow 0^-$ . As  $W(D)$  is a normalizable probability density function, the second integral on the right-hand is well defined (one can indeed set the upper bound of the integral to  $+\infty$ ). To determine the behavior of the integrand of the first integral when  $D \rightarrow 0$ , and when  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , let us assume that  $W(D \rightarrow 0) \approx \alpha D^\nu$ , with  $\alpha > 0$ , and  $\nu > -1$ . It is easy to see that

$$g(x) \underset{x \rightarrow 0^-}{\approx} \begin{cases} \text{const.} < 0 & , \quad \nu > 0, \\ -\infty & , \quad -1 < \nu \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (156)$$

Thus, for sufficiently small values of  $k$ ,  $\tilde{\Psi}(k)$  is given by the solution of Eq. (154) (which exists for all  $\nu > -1$ ).

When  $\tilde{\Psi}(k)$  is given by Eq. (154), using the fact that for a real probability measure  $W(D)$ , we have the following relation between the  $R$ -transform and the Cauchy-Stieltjes transform (see e.g. Theorem 9.23 of [14])

$$g\left[R(\xi) + \frac{1}{\xi}\right] = \xi, \quad (157)$$

then, it is easy to show that

$$\tilde{\Psi}(k) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \hat{p}_r(k, t)}{t} = -k^2 R\left(-\frac{k^2}{r}\right). \quad (158)$$

## VII. CALCULATION OF THE PRE-EXPONENTIAL FACTOR OF $\hat{p}_r(q, t)$ AND THE $O(1)$ CORRECTIONS TO THE CUMULANTS

We have shown in Eq. (116) that the large time behavior of  $\hat{p}_r(q, t)$  is given by

$$\hat{p}_r(q, t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\approx} \frac{1}{r^2 |\partial_s J_r(s^*(q), q)|} e^{ts^*(q)} \quad \text{where} \quad J_r(q, s) = \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{r + s - Dq^2}. \quad (159)$$

We will first compute  $\partial_s J_r(s^*(q), q)$ , and then deduce from Eq. (159) the  $O(1)$  corrections to the cumulants.

By taking a derivative of Eq. (117) with respect to  $q$ , one finds

$$0 = -s^{*'}(q) \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{(r + s^*(q) - Dq^2)^2} + 2q \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{D W(D)}{(r + s^*(q) - Dq^2)^2}. \quad (160)$$

One can then rewrite the second term as

$$\begin{aligned} 2q \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{D W(D)}{(r + s^*(q) - Dq^2)^2} &= -\frac{2}{q} \int_0^{D_{\max}} \frac{W(D)}{(r + s^*(q) - Dq^2)} + \frac{2(r + s^*(q))}{q} \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{(r + s^*(q) - Dq^2)^2} \\ &= -\frac{2}{qr} + \frac{2(r + s^*(q))}{q} \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{(r + s^*(q) - Dq^2)^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (161)$$

where we used the fact that  $J_r(q, s^*(q)) = 1/r$ . Finally, using this identity, together with the relation

$$\partial_s J_r(s^*(q), q) = - \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{W(D)}{(r + s^*(q) - Dq^2)^2}, \quad (162)$$

in Eq. (160) one finds the following identity

$$\frac{1}{r^2 |\partial_s J_r(s^*(q), q)|} = 1 + \frac{1}{r} \left( s^*(q) - \frac{1}{2} q s^{*'}(q) \right). \quad (163)$$

We can now inject this identity in Eq. (116) and use the fact that  $s^*(q) = q^2 R(q^2/r)$  to write  $\hat{p}_r(q, t)$  at leading order as

$$\hat{p}_r(q, t) \approx \left( 1 - \frac{q^4}{r^2} R' \left( \frac{q^2}{r} \right) \right) e^{tq^2 R \left( \frac{q^2}{r} \right)}. \quad (164)$$

This result allows us to calculate the  $O(1)$  corrections to the cumulants. To proceed, we write, using (118)

$$\frac{q^4}{r^2} R' \left( \frac{q^2}{r} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{q^2}{r} \right)^n (n-1) \kappa_n(D). \quad (165)$$

To compute the cumulants, we need to expand the logarithm of  $\hat{p}_r(q, t)$  in Eq. (164). For that purpose, we use the identities

$$\ln \left( 1 - \frac{q^4}{r^2} R' \left( \frac{q^2}{r} \right) \right) = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left( \frac{q^4}{r^2} R' \left( \frac{q^2}{r} \right) \right)^m = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{q^2}{r} \right)^n (n-1) \kappa_n(D) \right]^m. \quad (166)$$

We can then finally use the property in (32) to obtain

$$\langle x^{2n}(t) \rangle_c \approx \frac{(2n)!}{r^{n-1}} \kappa_n(D) t - \frac{(2n)!}{r^n} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{m} \hat{B}_{n,m}(\tilde{\kappa}_1(D), \dots, \tilde{\kappa}_{n-m+1}(D)) + O(e^{-rt}), \quad \tilde{\kappa}_n(D) = (n-1) \kappa_n(D), \quad (167)$$

where  $\kappa_n(D)$  is the  $n$ -th free cumulant of  $D$ . Note that the same relation can be derived for  $W(D)$  with an infinite support in the framework of the Fourier transform (as done in Section VI).

## VIII. TWO SPECIFIC EXAMPLES OF $W(D)$ WITH A FINITE SUPPORT

In this section, we derive explicit results for the scaled cumulant generating function (SCGF)  $\Psi(q)$ , and the rate function  $I(y)$ , in two specific cases: (i) when  $W(D)$  is a uniform distribution ( $\nu = 0$ ), and (ii) when it is a semi-circle distribution ( $\nu = 1/2$ ).



### A. Uniform distribution - Case $\nu = 0$

Let us consider the case where the diffusion constants are uniformly distributed such as

$$W(D) = \frac{1}{D_{\max}}, \quad \text{for } D \in [0, D_{\max}]. \quad (168)$$

To compute the SCGF, it suffices to determine the  $R$ -transform associated to the distribution  $W(D)$  and use the relation  $\Psi(q) = q^2 R\left(\frac{q^2}{r}\right)$ . To proceed, we first calculate the Cauchy-Stieltjes transform of  $W(D)$  which is given by

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{D_{\max}} \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD \frac{1}{z-D} = -\frac{1}{D_{\max}} \log\left(1 - \frac{D_{\max}}{z}\right), \quad (169)$$

and we have

$$g(z) = w \iff z = \frac{D_{\max}}{1 - e^{-D_{\max}w}}. \quad (170)$$

We can then use the identity  $R(g(z)) + \frac{1}{g(z)} = z$  to find that the  $R$ -transform of a uniform distribution is given by

$$R(w) = \frac{D_{\max}}{1 - e^{-D_{\max}w}} - \frac{1}{w}. \quad (171)$$

Hence,

$$\Psi(q) = \frac{D_{\max}q^2}{1 - e^{-\frac{D_{\max}q^2}{r}}} - r. \quad (172)$$

To obtain the rate function, we need to solve the maximization problem

$$I(y) = \max_{q \in \mathbb{R}} (qy - \Psi(q)) = q^*y - \Psi(q^*), \quad (173)$$

where  $q^* \equiv q^*(y)$  is a function of  $y$  which is implicitly defined as the solution of

$$y = -\frac{q^* D_{\max} \left( r - e^{\frac{q^{*2} D_{\max}}{r}} r + q^{*2} D_{\max} \right)}{r \left( -1 + \cosh\left(\frac{q^{*2} D_{\max}}{r}\right) \right)}. \quad (174)$$

Unfortunately, we cannot solve this equation but we can extract the asymptotic behavior of  $q^*(y)$ . As the right-hand side is a monotonically increasing function of  $q^*$ , we can extract the small (resp. large)  $y$  behavior of  $q^*(y)$  by expanding the right-hand side at small (resp. large)  $q^*$  values. Doing so leads to

$$I(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{y^2}{2D_{\max}} + o(y^2) & , \quad y \rightarrow 0 \\ \frac{y^2}{4D_{\max}} + r + o(y^2) & , \quad y \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}. \quad (175)$$

The behavior  $y \rightarrow 0$  in (175) simply corresponds to the typical fluctuations of the Gaussian where  $I(y) = \frac{y^2}{4\langle D \rangle t}$ , with  $\langle D \rangle = D_{\max}/2$ . On the other hand, the  $y \rightarrow \infty$  limit corresponds to trajectories that have not experienced any switches (with probability  $e^{-rt}$ ), and have diffused with the maximum diffusion constant  $D_{\max}$ . As predicted in Section VB, for  $-1 < \nu \leq 0$ , the function  $I(y)$  interpolates smoothly between the two regimes. Note that the result in Eq. (175) obtained for the uniform distribution is in perfect agreement with the general result given in Eq. (8) of the Letter which is valid for any distribution  $W(D)$  with a finite support.

### B. Wigner semi-circle distribution - $\nu = 1/2$

The Wigner distribution corresponds to the case  $W(D) \sim (D_{\max} - D)^\nu$ , with  $\nu = 1/2$ . The PDF is indeed given by

$$W(D) = \frac{8}{\pi D_{\max}^2} \sqrt{D(D_{\max} - D)} \quad , \quad 0 \leq D \leq D_{\max} \quad (176)$$

As demonstrated in section [V A 2](#), when  $\nu = 1/2$ , the SCGF has a transition at  $q_c$  and it is given by

$$\Psi(q) = \begin{cases} q^2 R\left(\frac{q^2}{r}\right) = r \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{q^2}{r}\right)^n \kappa_n(D), & q < q_c, \\ D_{\max} q^2 - r, & q > q_c, \end{cases} \quad (177)$$

where  $q_c = \sqrt{4r/D_{\max}}$  is determined by Eq. (122). It is well known that in free probability theory, the Wigner semi-circle distribution plays the same role as the Gaussian distribution in classical probability theory in the sense that all its free cumulants  $\kappa_n(D)$  vanish for  $n > 2$ . It is easy to compute the first free cumulants, for instance using the formulae given in the End Matter of the letter. They are given by  $\kappa_1(D) = D_{\max}/2$  and  $\kappa_2(D) = D_{\max}^2/16$  such that

$$R(z) = \frac{D_{\max}}{2} + z \frac{D_{\max}^2}{16} \implies \Psi(q) = \begin{cases} \frac{D_{\max}}{2} q^2 + \frac{D_{\max}^2}{16} \frac{q^4}{r}, & q < q_c, \\ D_{\max} q^2 - r, & q > q_c. \end{cases} \quad (178)$$

In the middle panel of Fig. 7, we have checked the equation above numerically. As shown in Section [V B](#) for  $\nu = 1/2$ , the rate function  $I(y)$  exhibits a second order transition at  $y_c = 2D_{\max}q_c = 4\sqrt{rD_{\max}}$ . We have

$$I(y) = \begin{cases} \phi_{1/2}(y) & , \quad y \leq y_c \\ r + \frac{y^2}{4D_{\max}} & , \quad y \geq y_c, \end{cases} \quad (179)$$

where

$$\phi_{1/2}(y) = \max_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \left( qy - \frac{D_{\max}}{2} q^2 - \frac{D_{\max}^2}{16} \frac{q^4}{r} \right). \quad (180)$$

Hence, one needs to solve the following equation for  $q^*$

$$y = D_{\max}q + \frac{D_{\max}^2}{4} \frac{q^{*3}}{r}. \quad (181)$$

This equation has two complex roots and one real root. The large deviation function is real only for the real root, which is given by

$$q^*(y) = \frac{2 \cdot 6^{\frac{2}{3}} D_{\max}^3 r - 6^{\frac{1}{3}} (r D_{\max}^4 \Delta)^{\frac{2}{3}}}{3 D_{\max}^2 (r D_{\max}^4 \Delta)^{\frac{1}{3}}}, \quad \Delta = -9y + \sqrt{48D_{\max}r + 81y^2}. \quad (182)$$

such that we obtain

$$\phi_{1/2}(y) = \frac{r^{\frac{1}{3}} \left( -2 \cdot 6^{\frac{2}{3}} \cdot (D_{\max}r)^{\frac{1}{3}} + 6^{\frac{1}{3}} \Delta^{\frac{2}{3}} \right)}{36 \cdot D_{\max}^{\frac{2}{3}} \Delta^{\frac{4}{3}}} \times \left[ 81y^2 - 9y \sqrt{48D_{\max}r + 81y^2} + 9 \cdot 6^{\frac{1}{3}} y (D_{\max}r \Delta)^{\frac{1}{3}} - 2^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot 3^{\frac{5}{6}} \sqrt{16D_{\max}r + 27y^2} (D_{\max}r \Delta)^{\frac{1}{3}} + 2 \cdot 6^{\frac{2}{3}} (D_{\max}r \Delta)^{\frac{2}{3}} \right]. \quad (183)$$

As expected, one can check that at small argument, we retrieve the Gaussian fluctuations  $\phi_{1/2}(y) = \frac{y^2}{4\langle D \rangle} + o(y^2)$ , where  $\langle D \rangle = D_{\max}/2$ . In Fig. 9, we numerically verify our prediction for the rate function in Eq. (179) with an accuracy up to  $10^{-200}$ . From these explicit expressions one can check that the rate function  $I(y)$  as well as its derivative are continuous at  $y = y_c$ . However,  $\phi_{1/2}''(y_c) = 1/(4D_{\max})$  while  $I(y \rightarrow y_c^-) = 1/(2D_{\max})$ , hence clearly the second derivative is discontinuous at  $y_c$ . This is consistent with the the relation  $I''(y_c) = 1/\Psi''(q_c)$  where  $\Psi''(q_c) = 4D_{\max}$  can be computed using the third line of Eq. (134).

### 1. Correction of order $O(1)$ to the cumulants

We can use the formula (164) to compute the  $O(1)$  corrections to the cumulants in the large time limit. Indeed using that the  $R$ -transform of the Wigner distribution on  $[0, D_{\max}]$  is given by

$$R(z) = \frac{D_{\max}}{2} + z \frac{D_{\max}^2}{16}, \quad (184)$$




FIG. 9. Plot of  $-\log(p_r(x, t))/t$  vs  $y = x/t$  when  $W(D)$  is the Wigner semi-circle defined in Eq. (176). For  $y < y_c = 4\sqrt{rD_{\max}}$ , the rate function is given by  $\phi_{1/2}(y)$  – see Eq. (183). For  $y > y_c$ , it is simply given by  $r + y^2/(4D_{\max})$ . The solid line corresponds to the analytic prediction, while the dots are numerical results. The agreement with numerics is very good. The corresponding values of the probabilities are as small as  $10^{-200}$ . The parameters are  $D_{\max} = 1$ ,  $r = 1$ , and  $t = 50$ .

one obtains from (164)

$$\tilde{p}_r(q, t) \approx \left(1 - \frac{q^4 D_{\max}^2}{r^2 16}\right) e^{t\left(q^2 \frac{D_{\max}}{2} + q^4 \frac{D_{\max}^2}{16r}\right)}. \quad (185)$$

This allows us to compute the cumulants up to order  $O(1)$  in the large  $t$  limit, leading to

$$\langle x^2(t) \rangle_c = D_{\max} t \quad , \quad \langle x^{4n}(t) \rangle_c \approx \begin{cases} \frac{3}{2} \frac{D_{\max}^2}{r} (t - 1/r) + O(e^{-rt}), & n = 1 \\ -\frac{(4n)!}{n} \left(\frac{D_{\max}^2}{16r^2}\right)^n + O(e^{-rt}), & n > 1 \end{cases} \quad (186)$$

while the other cumulants are exponentially small, i.e., of order  $O(e^{-rt})$  or smaller.

## IX. NUMERICAL METHOD

In this section, we explain the algorithm used to compute numerically the scaled cumulant generating function  $\Psi(q)$  and the large deviation function  $I(y)$ . To obtain high numerical accuracy, we implemented the code in `Julia`, utilizing the `BigFloat()` type for arbitrary precision arithmetic.

### A. Numerical evaluation of $\Psi(q)$

Recall that the scaled cumulant generating function (SCGF) is defined as  $\Psi(q) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \ln \hat{p}_r(q, t)/t$ . We will directly compute numerically  $\hat{p}_r(q, t)$ . As shown in Eq. (8),  $\hat{p}_r(q, t)$  satisfies an integral equation, which we solve numerically. The equation is given by

$$\hat{p}_r(q, t) = e^{-rt} \hat{G}_0(q, t) + \int_0^t d\tau r e^{-r\tau} \hat{G}_0(q, \tau) \hat{p}_r(q, t - \tau) \quad , \quad \hat{G}_0(q, t) = \int_0^{D_{\max}} dD W(D) e^{Dq^2 t}, \quad (187)$$

$$\hat{p}_r(q, t) = \langle e^{qx} \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx e^{qx} p_r(x, t) \quad , \quad \hat{p}_r(0, t) = 1, \quad (188)$$

where  $\hat{p}_r(0, t) = 1$  is just the normalization. Here,  $\hat{G}_0(q, t)$  represents the bilateral Laplace transform of the Brownian motion propagator with diffusion constant  $D$ , averaged over the distribution  $W(D)$ . Interestingly, this integral equation resembles that of resetting Brownian motion (rBM) [6, 7]. Indeed, the cumulative distribution  $Q_r(M, t)$  of the maximum of a rBM starting at the origin up to time  $t$  obeys the same integral equation (with the identification  $q \rightarrow M$ ), but with a different function  $\hat{G}_0(q, t)$ . We solve this equation numerically using a recursive method, discretizing time into small intervals  $\Delta t$ , as explained in Section IV of [15].

## B. Numerical evaluation of $I(y)$

The rate function is defined as  $I(y = x/t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} -\ln p_r(x, t)/t$ . To compare with our analytical prediction, we will compute the Fourier transform of the distribution of the position of the particle  $\hat{p}_r(k, t)$  defined in Eq. (149), and then invert it. We choose to work in Fourier space because it is easier to numerically invert than the bilateral Laplace transform. We first compute numerically  $\hat{p}_r(k, t)$  as explained in the previous section by replacing  $q^2 \rightarrow -k^2$ . The inverse Fourier transform is approximated as

$$p_r(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk e^{-ikx} \hat{p}_r(k, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} dk \cos(kx) \hat{p}_r(k, t) \approx \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{k_{\text{Max}}} dk \cos(kx) \hat{p}_r(k, t), \quad (189)$$

where the second equality we have used the fact that  $p_r(x, t)$  is symmetric and real. The last approximation comes from the fact that we cannot integrate up to  $+\infty$  as we evaluate  $\hat{p}_r(k, t)$  numerically. Therefore, we need to specify an upper bound for the integral which we call  $k_{\text{Max}}$ . We choose the value  $k_{\text{Max}}$  to achieve the desired precision in our evaluation. As we have a prediction for the tail of  $I(y)$  (see Eq. (10) of the letter), we can estimate the precision required to compute  $p_r(x = yt, t)$  for a given  $x$  as

$$p_r(x = yt, t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\approx} \exp\left(-\frac{y}{4D_{\text{max}}}\right). \quad (190)$$

The value of  $k_{\text{Max}}$  is then chosen such that  $\hat{p}_r(k_{\text{Max}}, t)$  is of the same order as the right hand side of Eq. (190) in order to estimate the integral  $\int_0^{k_{\text{Max}}} dk \cos(kx) \hat{p}_r(k, t)$  with the required precision. To probe the large deviations, one needs to go at high values of  $t$  and  $x$  (typically,  $x$  is of the order of  $10^3$  to  $10^4$ ). Therefore, the cosine in the integral has a really small period and the integrand highly oscillates. To numerically compute the integral in Eq. (189), we employ Filon's method [16], which is effective for oscillatory integrals.

- 
- [1] OEIS Foundation, A263633: <https://oeis.org/A263633>
  - [2] L. Comtet, *Advanced Combinatorics: The art of finite and infinite expansions*, Springer Science & Business Media (2012)
  - [3] [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bell\\_polynomials](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bell_polynomials)
  - [4] J. Luczka, M. Niemiec, P. Hänggi, *First-passage time for randomly flashing diffusion*, Phys. Rev. E **52**, 5810 (1995).
  - [5] D. S. Grebenkov, *Time-averaged mean square displacement for switching diffusion*, Phys. Rev. E **99**, 032133 (2019).
  - [6] M. R. Evans, S. N. Majumdar, *Diffusion with stochastic resetting*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 160601 (2011).
  - [7] M. R. Evans, S. N. Majumdar, G. Schehr, *Stochastic resetting and applications*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **53**, 193001 (2020).
  - [8] D. Gupta, A. Pal, A. Kundu *Resetting with stochastic return through linear confining potential*, J. Stat. Mech. 043202 (2021).
  - [9] P. K. Ghosh, S. Nayak, J. Liu, Y. Li, F. Marchesoni, *Autonomous ratcheting by stochastic resetting*, J. Chem. Phys. **159**, 031101 (2023).
  - [10] P. C. Bressloff, *Switching diffusions and stochastic resetting*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **53**, 275003 (2020).
  - [11] I. Santra, U. Basu, S. Sabhapandit, *Effect of stochastic resetting on Brownian motion with stochastic diffusion coefficient*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **55**, 414002 (2022).
  - [12] S. N. Majumdar, S. Sabhapandit, G. Schehr, *Dynamical transition in the temporal relaxation of stochastic processes under resetting*, Phys. Rev. E **91**, 052131 (2015).
  - [13] A. Guionnet, M. Maïda *A Fourier view on the R-transform and related asymptotics of spherical integrals*. J. Funct. Anal., **222**, 435 (2005).
  - [14] T. Banica, *Methods of free probability*, preprint [arXiv:2208.07515](https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.07515) (2022).
  - [15] A. K. Hartmann, S. Majumdar, G. Schehr, *The distribution of the maximum of independent resetting Brownian motions*, In Target Search Problems (pp. 357-389). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland (2024).
  - [16] L. N. G. Filon, *On a quadrature formula for trigonometric integrals*, P. Roy. Soc. Edinb. A. **49**, 38 (1930).