

On The Ideals of Γ -Semigroup

Abin Sam Tharakan^{1†} and G. Sheeja^{1*†}

¹Department of Mathematics, SRM Institute of Science and Technology,
Kattankulathur, Chennai, 603203, Tamil Nadu, India.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): sheejag@srmist.edu.in;

Contributing authors: at7105@srmist.edu.in;

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

The concept of Γ -semigroups was introduced by M. K Sen in 1981. This study aims to investigate several intriguing properties of Γ -semigroups and to provide the concepts of simple Γ -semigroups, 0-simple Γ -semigroups, and completely 0-simple Γ -semigroups. We prove that non-zero elements of the completely 0-simple Γ -semigroups form a \mathcal{D} -class and are regular. Fundamental elements of these structures are explored, and we provide concrete results that characterize them using various ideals of Γ -semigroups and establish the necessary and sufficient condition for a Γ -semigroups to be completely 0-simple. This study further introduce Γ -prime ideals and gave some condition in which a Γ -2-sided ideal to be a Γ -prime. In addition, we establish a condition for a commutative Γ semigroup to be Γ -prime. we have established how union and intersection of Γ -prime ideals become Γ -prime.

Keywords: Γ -semigroups, Γ -ideal, Simple Γ -semigroups, 0-least simple Γ -semigroup, completely 0-2-sided simple Γ -semigroup.

1 Introduction

In 1981, M. K. Sen introduced the concept of a Γ -semigroup, which extends the ideas of both binary and ternary semigroups[1]. Subsequent studies by M. K. Sen and N. K. Saha[2] have explored the fundamental properties and characteristics of Γ -semigroups. F. M. Sioson's work on the ideal theory in ternary semigroups, conducted in 1965, significantly contributed to and enriched the field[3]. Further, prime ideals detailed study was done by S. Kar and B. K. Maity [4]. Green's relations, originally studied

by J. A. Green[5], are foundational to semigroup theory and have been adapted for Γ -semigroups by Ronnason Chinram and P. Siammai[6]. The theoretical groundwork for ternary semigroups was laid by M. L. Santiago and Sribala[7], who detailed its complexities and mathematical structure, building on the early concepts introduced by Lehmer in 1932[8]. Simple, minimal ideals and completely simple semigroups are studied in ternary semigroups by Sribala and G. Sheeja in 2013[9].

In this study, we explore the concept of Γ -semigroups. Section 2 presents fundamental definitions essential for this work. In section 3, we further studied the concept of Γ -simple semigroups, outlining their fundamental properties and defining Γ -0-simple semigroups, providing characterizations and connected results.

Section 4 delves into the concept of 0-least Γ -ideals, presenting theorems related to Γ -Left Ideals. Section 5 explores the concept of completely 0-simple Γ -semigroups, demonstrating that such a semigroup is its own \mathcal{D} -class and is regular. We further investigate the necessary and sufficient conditions that guarantee a 0-simple semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) possesses a completely 0-simple structure. Through rigorous analysis, we establish that the presence of at least one 0-least Γ -left ideal (0-least Γ -LId) and one 0-least Γ -right ideal (0-least Γ -RId) is both a necessary and sufficient condition for this classification. In section 6, we introduce the concept of Γ -prime ideals and provide conditions under which a Γ -2-sided ideal becomes a Γ -prime ideal. To illustrate these concepts, we present specific examples that highlight the properties and behavior of Γ -prime ideals. Furthermore, we establish a sufficient condition for a commutative Γ -semigroup to be Γ -prime. Additionally, counterexamples are provided to demonstrate that the union and intersection of Γ -prime ideals do not necessarily result in a Γ -prime ideal. To address this, we also derive conditions under which the union and intersection of Γ -prime ideals retain the Γ -prime property.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [1] Consider $\mathcal{T} \neq \phi$, $\Gamma \neq \phi$ as a two sets. Then (\mathcal{T}, Γ) is called a Γ -semigroup if there is a mapping from $\mathcal{T} \times \Gamma \times \mathcal{T}$ to \mathcal{T} such that $(e\alpha f)\beta g = e\alpha(f\beta g)$ for each $e, f, g \in \mathcal{T}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Example 2.1. Let \mathcal{I} = Collection of every integer, Γ = Collection of every even integer. Consider $\mathcal{I} \times \Gamma \times \mathcal{I}$ as the usual multiplication. Then (\mathcal{I}, Γ) is a Γ -semigroup.

Definition 2.2. [10] $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ in a Γ -semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) is known as

- i. Γ -left ideal(Γ -LId) if $[\Gamma\mathcal{B}] \subseteq \mathcal{B}$.
- ii. Γ -right ideal(Γ -RId) if $[\mathcal{B}\Gamma] \subseteq \mathcal{B}$.
- iii. Γ - 2-sided ideal(Γ -2-Id) if \mathcal{B} is Γ -LId, Γ -RId.

Example 2.2. Consider \mathcal{T} as the collection of every 2×3 matrices whose entries are from integers. Define Γ as the collection of every 3×2 matrices whose entries are from integers.

Consider \mathcal{I} as the collection of every 2×3 matrices whose entries are from positive even integers. Then \mathcal{I} is an Γ -2-Id.

Definition 2.3. [2] $\mathcal{B} \neq \phi \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ is known as Γ -subsemigroup in a Γ -semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) when $[\mathcal{B}\Gamma\mathcal{B}] \subseteq \mathcal{B}$.

Definition 2.4. [2] Consider $\mathcal{B} \neq \phi$ as the subset in a Γ -semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) . Then,

1. Γ -LId generated by $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B} \cup [\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{B}]$.
2. Γ -RId generated by $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B} \cup [\mathcal{B}\Gamma\mathcal{T}]$.
3. Γ -2-sided ideal generated by $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B} \cup [\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{B}] \cup [\mathcal{B}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] \cup [\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{B}\Gamma\mathcal{T}]$.

Definition 2.5. [2] $e \in \mathcal{T}$ is called a Γ -idempotent in a Γ -semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) when for any $a \in \Gamma$, $[eae] = e$.

Definition 2.6. [10] An element a is defined to be the regular member in a Γ -semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) when there is an $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $[a\alpha a] = a$.

Definition 2.7. [5] Let $e, f \in \mathcal{T}$ of a Γ -semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) . Then, Green's relation is defined as.

1. $e\mathcal{L}f$ implies and is implied by $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma e] \cup \{e\} = [\mathcal{T}\Gamma f] \cup \{f\}$.
2. $e\mathcal{R}f$ implies and is implied by $[e\Gamma\mathcal{T}] \cup \{e\} = [f\Gamma\mathcal{T}] \cup \{f\}$.
3. $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$.

Definition 2.8. [2] A Γ -semigroup is termed Γ -right(left) simple when it has no proper Γ -RId(LId). A Γ -semigroup is considered a Γ -2-sided simple if it has no proper Γ -2-Id.

Theorem 2.1. [5] e as a regular member in a Γ -semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) implies each member of \mathcal{D}_a is also regular.

3 Simple Γ -Semigroup

Lemma 3.1. The statements below are equivalent.

1. A Γ -semigroup is left simple.
2. For every element e in \mathcal{T} , the set $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma e]$ is equal to \mathcal{T} .

Corollary 3.1. The necessary and sufficient condition for a Γ -semigroup to be left Γ -simple is for any elements $e, f \in \mathcal{T}$, there is $g \in \Gamma, h \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $[egh] = f$.

Proposition 3.1. A Γ -semigroup is a simple Γ -semigroup that implies and is implied by for every $e \in \mathcal{T}$ $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma e\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = \mathcal{T}$.

Proof. Assume Γ - semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) is a simple Γ -semigroup. Since (\mathcal{T}, Γ) is Γ -LId, $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma e] = \mathcal{T}$, so $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma e]\Gamma\mathcal{T} = [\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T}]$. Since $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ and $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T}]$ is a Γ -2-sided ideal. So, $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = \mathcal{T}$. Therefore, $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma e\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = \mathcal{T}$. The opposite part is obvious. \square

Corollary 3.2. Consider a Γ - semigroup as a simple Γ -semigroup. Then, $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma e] = \mathcal{T}$, $[e\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = \mathcal{T}$ for each $e \in \mathcal{T}$.

Example 3.1. Consider $\mathcal{T} = \{i, -i, 1, -1\}$ and $\Gamma = \{i, -i\}$. (\mathcal{T}, Γ) under usual multiplication is a simple Γ -semigroup.

Definition 3.1. $u \in \mathcal{T}$ is a zero member denoted by 0 when $[efu] = [ufe] = u$ for all $e \in \mathcal{T}$ and $f \in \Gamma$.

If a Γ - semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) doesn't contain 0, Then we can adjoin 0 with (\mathcal{T}, Γ) by $[efg] = 0$ if any of e, g is zero members, $f \in \Gamma$ and $[0f0] = 0$ for all $f \in \Gamma$. Clearly, $\{0\}$ is a Γ -2 sided ideal of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) .

Definition 3.2. A Γ -semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) that includes zero is known as right(left) 0-simple if $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] \neq 0$ and has no proper Γ -RId(LId). A Γ - semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) is a 0-simple if it possesses no proper Γ - 2-Id and $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] \neq 0$.

Theorem 3.2. The following statements are equivalent for a Γ -semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) :

- i. (\mathcal{T}, Γ) is a 0-simple Γ -semigroup.
- ii. For every non-zero $e \in \mathcal{T}$, $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma e\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = \mathcal{T}$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Suppose (\mathcal{T}, Γ) is a 0-simple Γ -semigroup. By definition, $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] \neq 0$. Since $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T}]$ is a Γ -2-sided ideal, it follows that

$$\mathcal{T} = [\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = [\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T}].$$

Now consider any non-zero element $e \in \mathcal{T}$. Since $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma e]$ is a Γ -left ideal and $[e\Gamma\mathcal{T}]$ is a Γ -right ideal, we have two possibilities:

- 1. $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = 0$, or
- 2. $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = \mathcal{T}$.

If $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = 0$, consider the set

$$\mathcal{H} = \{h \in \Gamma : [\mathcal{T}h\mathcal{T}] = 0\}.$$

Since $e \neq 0$, $\mathcal{H} \neq 0$ and forms a Γ -2-sided ideal. By the simplicity of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) , this implies $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{T}$.

However, this would lead to $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = 0$, which contradicts the assumption that (\mathcal{T}, Γ) is 0-simple. Therefore,

$$[\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = \mathcal{T} \quad \text{for all non-zero } e \in \mathcal{T}.$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Conversely, suppose $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma e\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = \mathcal{T}$ for all non-zero $e \in \mathcal{T}$. This implies that any 2-sided ideal generated by a non-zero element is equal to \mathcal{T} .

Thus, (\mathcal{T}, Γ) is a 0-simple Γ -semigroup. □

Proposition 3.3. The Γ -semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) is a 0-simple Γ -semigroup implies for every $e \neq 0 \in \Gamma$, $[\mathcal{T}e\mathcal{T}] = \mathcal{T}$.

Proof. Assume Γ -semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) is a 0-simple Γ -semigroup. Then, $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma e] \cup [e\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = \mathcal{T}$ for all non-zero $e \in \mathcal{T}$. Clearly, $[\mathcal{T}e\mathcal{T}] \subseteq \mathcal{T}$. Let $x \in \mathcal{T}$. Then $x = [x_1 a y_1]$.

This implies $x \in [\mathcal{T}e\mathcal{T}]$.

So, $[\mathcal{T}e\mathcal{T}] = \mathcal{T}$ for all $e \neq 0 \in \Gamma$. □

Theorem 3.4. Let (\mathcal{T}, Γ) be a Γ -semigroup. A subset $\mathcal{E} \neq \phi$ of \mathcal{T} is said to be Γ -2-Id if and only if $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{E}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] \subseteq \mathcal{E}$.

Proof. Assume \mathcal{E} is Γ -2-Id. Then, $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{E}] \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ and $[\mathcal{E}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] \subseteq \mathcal{E}$.

$$[\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{E}] \subseteq \mathcal{E}$$

$$[\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{E}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] \subseteq [\mathcal{E}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] \subseteq \mathcal{E}$$

Conversly, suppose that $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{E}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] \subseteq \mathcal{E}$. Let $y \in [\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{E}]$.

$$y = t_1\alpha_1e_1$$

$$y\alpha_2t_2 = t_1\alpha_1e_1\alpha_2t_2 \in [\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{E}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] \subseteq \mathcal{E}$$

$$t_3\alpha_3y\alpha_2t_2\alpha_4t_4 \in [\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{E}\Gamma\mathcal{T}]$$

$$t_3\alpha_3y\alpha_2t_5 \in [\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{E}\Gamma\mathcal{T}]$$

This implies

$$y \in \mathcal{E}$$

So,

$$[\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{E}] \subseteq \mathcal{E}$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$[\mathcal{E}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] \subseteq \mathcal{E}$$

□

Example 3.2. Consider $\mathcal{T} = \{e, f, g, h, i\}$ and the binary operation is given in the below table. $\{e, h\}$, $\{e, f, h\}$, $\{e, g, h\}$ and $\{e, f, g, h\}$ are Γ -2-Id.

	e	f	g	h	i
e	e	e	e	e	e
f	e	e	h	e	f
g	e	e	h	e	g
h	e	e	h	e	h
i	e	f	g	h	i

Table 1 Operation table

4 0-Least Γ -Ideal

Definition 4.1. A nonzero Γ -left ideal (LId) \mathcal{B} of a Γ -semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) is called a 0-least Γ -left ideal if \mathcal{B} contains only one Γ -left ideal, namely (0).

Similarly, we can define a 0-least Γ -right ideal (RId).

A nonzero Γ -two-sided ideal \mathcal{B} in a Γ -semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) is called a 0-least Γ -two-sided ideal if \mathcal{B} contains only one Γ -two-sided ideal, namely (0).

Lemma 4.1. If \mathcal{H} is the least Γ -LId of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) , and $e \in \Gamma, f \in \mathcal{T}$, then $[\mathcal{H}ef]$ is the least Γ -LId of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) .

Proof. We know that $[\mathcal{H}ef]$ is a Γ -LId of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) .

Let \mathcal{A} be a Γ -LId of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) included in $[\mathcal{H}ef]$.

Define $\mathcal{M} = \{m \in \mathcal{H} : [mef] \in \mathcal{A}\}$.

Thus, $[\mathcal{M}ef] = \mathcal{A}$.

Let $n_1 \in \mathcal{T}, n_2 \in \Gamma$, and $m \in \mathcal{M}$.

Then,

$$[n_1n_2m]ef = n_1n_2[mef] \in \mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}.$$

Therefore, \mathcal{M} is a Γ -LId of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) contained in \mathcal{H} .

Hence, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}$.

This implies $\mathcal{A} = [\mathcal{H}ef]$, and thus $[\mathcal{H}ef]$ is the least Γ -LId. \square

Theorem 4.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be the least Γ -2-Id of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) . Then \mathcal{A} is a simple Γ -semigroup.*

Proof. We know that $[\mathcal{A}\Gamma\mathcal{A}]$ is a Γ -2-Id of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) and $[\mathcal{A}\Gamma\mathcal{A}] \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.

Thus, $[\mathcal{A}\Gamma\mathcal{A}] = \mathcal{A}$.

For any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we have:

$$(a) = \{a\} \cup [\mathcal{T}\Gamma a] \cup [a\Gamma\mathcal{T}] \cup [\mathcal{T}\Gamma a\Gamma\mathcal{T}].$$

So, $(a) = \mathcal{A}$.

Now:

$$\mathcal{A} = [\mathcal{A}\Gamma\mathcal{A}] = [\mathcal{A}\Gamma\mathcal{A}\Gamma\mathcal{A}] = [\mathcal{A}\Gamma(a)\Gamma\mathcal{A}],$$

where:

$$(a) = \{a\} \cup [\mathcal{T}\Gamma a] \cup [a\Gamma\mathcal{T}] \cup [\mathcal{T}\Gamma a\Gamma\mathcal{T}].$$

Substituting, we get:

$$\mathcal{A} = [\mathcal{A}\Gamma\{a\} \cup [\mathcal{T}\Gamma a] \cup [a\Gamma\mathcal{T}] \cup [\mathcal{T}\Gamma a\Gamma\mathcal{T}]\Gamma\mathcal{A}] = [\mathcal{A}\Gamma(a)\Gamma\mathcal{A}].$$

This implies:

$$[\mathcal{A}\Gamma\mathcal{A}\Gamma\mathcal{A}] = \mathcal{A}.$$

Therefore, \mathcal{A} is a simple Γ -semigroup by **Proposition 3.1**. \square

Example 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{T} = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\Gamma = \{\alpha\}$. Operation is $a\alpha b = ab$ for every $a, b \in \mathcal{T}$ and the operation table is given below.*

	a	b	c	d
a	a	a	d	d
b	a	a	d	d
c	d	d	a	a
d	d	d	a	a

Table 2 Operation table

Here, $\{a, d\}$ is Γ -2-Id and $\{a, d\}$ is simple.

Lemma 4.2. *\mathcal{C} is the intersection of every Γ -2-Id implies \mathcal{C} is a 2-sided simple Γ -semigroup.*

Proof. For any $c \in \mathcal{C}$, $(c) = \{c\} \cup [\mathcal{T}\Gamma c] \cup [c\Gamma\mathcal{T}] \cup [\mathcal{T}\Gamma c\Gamma\mathcal{T}]$ is a Γ 2-Id contained in \mathcal{C} . $(c) = \mathcal{C}$ for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$.

Therefore, \mathcal{C} is the unique least 2-Id of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) .

So, \mathcal{C} is a 2-sided simple Γ -semigroup by **Theorem 4.1**. \square

Lemma 4.3. Consider \mathcal{H} as the 0-least Γ -LId of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) with zero and $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{H}] \neq 0$. Then for any non zero element $e \in \mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{H} = [\mathcal{T}\Gamma e]$.

Proof. Let $e \neq 0 \in \mathcal{H}$.

Then, $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma e]$ is a Γ -LId of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) included in \mathcal{H} .

Assume $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma e] = 0$.

Then, $[a\Gamma a = 0]$, $\{0, a\}$ is a non zero LId of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) included in \mathcal{H} .

Then, $\{0, a\} = \mathcal{H}$, $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{H}] = 0$, which is a contradiction.

So, $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma e] \neq 0$ and $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma e] = \mathcal{H}$. □

Lemma 4.4. \mathcal{H} is a 0-least Γ -LId of a Γ -semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) including zero and $e \in \Gamma, f \in \mathcal{T}$ implies $[\mathcal{H}ef]$ is either zero or 0-least Γ -LId of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) .

Proof. Let $[\mathcal{H}ef] \neq 0$.

So, $[\mathcal{H}ef]$ is a Γ -LId of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) .

Consider \mathcal{N} as the Γ -LId of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) included in $[\mathcal{H}ef]$.

Take $\mathcal{M} = \{m \in \mathcal{H} : [mef] \in \mathcal{N}\}$.

Then, $[\mathcal{M}ef] = \mathcal{N}$.

By **lemma4.1**, we can show that \mathcal{M} is a Γ -LId of a Γ -semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) .

So, \mathcal{M} is zero or $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}$.

Then, $\mathcal{N} = 0$ or $\mathcal{N} = [\mathcal{H}ef]$.

Therefore, $[\mathcal{H}ef]$ is a 0-least Γ -LId of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) . □

Theorem 4.2. \mathcal{H} is a 0-least Γ -2-Id of Γ -semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) including zero implies either $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{H}] = 0$ or \mathcal{H} is simple Γ -semigroup.

Proof. $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{H}]$ is a Γ -2-sided ideal of Γ -semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) and $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{H}] \subseteq \mathcal{H}$.

So, either $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{H}] = 0$ or $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{H}] = \mathcal{H}$.

Let $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{H}] \neq 0$.

So, $\mathcal{H} = [\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{H}] = [\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{H}]$.

From **Theorem3.2**, we can show that for all non zero $e \in \mathcal{H}$, $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma e\Gamma\mathcal{H}]$.

Thus, \mathcal{H} is 0-2-sided simple Γ -semigroup. □

Theorem 4.3. \mathcal{H} be a 0-least Γ -2-Id of a Γ -semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) contains atleast one 0-least Γ -LId of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) implies \mathcal{H} is the union of every 0-least Γ -LIIds of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) included in \mathcal{H} .

Proof. Consider \mathcal{M} represent the union of every 0-least Γ -LIIds of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) that are included within \mathcal{H} .

\mathcal{M} is a LId of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) .

It demonstrates that \mathcal{M} is a Γ -RIId. Consider $h \in \mathcal{M}$, let $i \in \mathcal{T}$ and $j \in \Gamma$.

From the definition, $h \in \mathcal{M}$ for some 0-least Γ -LId \mathcal{N} of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) included in \mathcal{H} .

From **lemma4.1**, $[\mathcal{N}ij] = 0$ or $[\mathcal{N}ij]$ is a 0-least Γ -LId of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) .

Also, $[\mathcal{N}ij] \subseteq [\mathcal{H}ij] \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ and hence $[\mathcal{N}ij] \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.

So, for all $h \in \mathcal{L}$, $[\mathcal{L}ij] \subseteq \mathcal{L}$.

Hence, $\mathcal{L} \neq 0$ since it includes minimum 1 0-least Γ -LId of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) .

So, $\mathcal{L} \neq \phi$ is a Γ -2-Id of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) included in \mathcal{H} .

Hence, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M}$. □

Lemma 4.5. *If \mathcal{H} be a 0-least Γ -2-sided ideal of Γ -semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) includes zero and $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{H}] \neq 0$. Then, for any non-zero Γ -LId \mathcal{M} of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) included in \mathcal{H} , $[\mathcal{M}\Gamma\mathcal{M}] \neq 0$.*

Proof. Here, either $[\mathcal{M}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = \mathcal{M}$ or $[\mathcal{M}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = 0$ as $[\mathcal{M}\Gamma\mathcal{T}]$ is a Γ -2-Id of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) included in \mathcal{H} .

Suppose $[\mathcal{M}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = 0$.

So, \mathcal{M} is a Γ -2-Id and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}$.

So, $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{H}] = [\mathcal{M}\Gamma\mathcal{H}] \subseteq [\mathcal{M}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Hence, $[\mathcal{M}\Gamma\mathcal{H}] = \mathcal{H}$.

So, $\mathcal{H} = [\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{H}] = [\mathcal{M}\Gamma\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{M}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] \subseteq [\mathcal{M}\Gamma\mathcal{M}]\Gamma\mathcal{T}$.

Therefore, $[\mathcal{M}\Gamma\mathcal{M}] \neq 0$. □

Theorem 4.4. *If \mathcal{H} be a 0-least Γ -2-sided ideal of Γ -semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) includes zero and $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{H}] \neq 0$ and suppose that \mathcal{H} contains atleast one 0-least Γ -LId of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) . Then, each Γ -LId of \mathcal{H} is a Γ -LId of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) .*

Proof. Consider \mathcal{M} as the non zero Γ -LId of \mathcal{M} , a non zero element $a \in \mathcal{M}$.

Then, \mathcal{H} is a 0-2-sided simple by **Theorem 4.2**.

$\mathcal{H} = [\mathcal{H}\Gamma a\Gamma\mathcal{H}]$.

Hence, $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma a] \neq 0$.

There is a 0-least Γ -LId \mathcal{H}_1 of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) such that $a \in \mathcal{H}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ by **Theorem 4.2**.

Since, $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma a]$ is a non zero Γ -LId of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) included in \mathcal{H}_1 .

Then, $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma a] = \mathcal{H}_1$.

Therefore, $a \in [\mathcal{H}\Gamma a]$.

Thus, $\mathcal{M} = \bigcup\{[\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}a] : a \in \mathcal{M}\}$ becomes Γ -LId of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) . □

Similar way we can prove the results for Γ -RIDs.

5 Completely 0-Simple Γ -Semigroup

Definition 5.1. *Consider e, f as the idempotents in a Γ -Semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) . Then, a partial order \leq can be defined as*

$e \leq f$ if there exist $x, y \in \Gamma$, $[exf] = [fye] = e$.

An idempotent is said to be primitive if it is nonzero and is minimal in the set of non-zero idempotents (With the above partial order).

A 0-simple Γ -semigroup is called complete when it contains a primitive idempotent.

Lemma 5.1. *Let \mathcal{H} be 0-least Γ -LId of Γ -semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) implies $\mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$ is a \mathcal{L} class of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) .*

Proof. $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma e]$ is a Γ -LId of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) included in \mathcal{H} for all $e \in \mathcal{H}$.

So, $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma e] = 0$ or $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma e] = \mathcal{H}$.

Assume that $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma e] = \mathcal{H}$ for every $e \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$.

Then, $e \cup [\mathcal{T}\Gamma e] = \mathcal{H} = f \cup [\mathcal{T}\Gamma f]$ for all $e, f \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$.

So, $\mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$ is included in the \mathcal{L} -class \mathcal{L}_e .

$f \in \mathcal{L}_e$ implies $f \in e \cup [\mathcal{T}\Gamma e] = \mathcal{H}$.

So, that $\mathcal{L}_e \subseteq \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$.

So, $\mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$ is a \mathcal{L} class.

Assume some $e \in \mathcal{H}$, $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma e] = 0$.

Thus, $\{0, e\}$ as the nonzero Γ -LId of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) included in \mathcal{H} .

This implies $\{0, e\} = \mathcal{H}$.

Thus $e \cup [\mathcal{T}\Gamma e] = \mathcal{H}$ and $e\mathcal{L}f \implies e = f$.

Therefore, $\mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$ is a \mathcal{L} class of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) . \square

Similar way, we can show that for the Γ -RId.

Theorem 5.1. *If (\mathcal{T}, Γ) is a completely 0-simple Γ -semigroup. Then, non zero members in (\mathcal{T}, Γ) forms \mathcal{D} class and (\mathcal{T}, Γ) is Γ -regular.*

Proof. Consider (\mathcal{T}, Γ) as a completely 0-2-sided simple Γ -semigroup.

Take nonzero element $e, f \in \mathcal{T}$.

Then, e is in some 0-least Γ -LId \mathcal{H} , f is in some 0-least Γ -RId \mathcal{I} .

So, $\mathcal{H} = [\mathcal{T}\Gamma e]$ and $\mathcal{I} = [f\Gamma\mathcal{T}]$.

By **Lemma 5.1**, $\mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$ is a \mathcal{L} class of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) containing e and $\mathcal{I} \setminus \{0\}$ is a \mathcal{R} class of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) containing f .

Thus, $[f\Gamma e] \subseteq \mathcal{H}_e \cap \mathcal{I}_f$ and $[f\Gamma e] \neq 0$.

Let $c \in \mathcal{H}_e \cap \mathcal{I}_f$. then $e\mathcal{L}c, c\mathcal{R}f$ which implies $e\mathcal{D}f$.

Since, (\mathcal{T}, Γ) contains primitive idempotent say $a \neq 0$ which is in \mathcal{D} .

So, a is a regular element.

Since, $\mathcal{T} \setminus \{0\}$ is a \mathcal{D} -class. By **Theorem 2.1**, $\mathcal{T} \setminus \{0\}$ is Γ -regular.

Therefore, we shown (\mathcal{T}, Γ) as a Γ -regular. \square

Example 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{T} = \{i, -i, 1, -1\}$ and $\Gamma = \{i\}$. (\mathcal{T}, Γ) under usual multiplication is completely 0-simple Γ -semigroup and is Γ -regular.*

Lemma 5.2. *If (\mathcal{T}, Γ) is a 0-2-sided simple Γ -semigroup containing a 0-least Γ -LId and a 0-least Γ -RId. Then, for each 0-least Γ -LId \mathcal{H} of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) , there is a 0-least Γ -RId \mathcal{I} , $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{I}] \neq 0$ and $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{I}] = \mathcal{T}$.*

Proof. $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{T}]$ is a 2-Id of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) . Then, $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = 0$ or $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = \mathcal{H}$.

Suppose $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = 0$. Then, $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{H}] = 0$ and \mathcal{H} is a 2-Id of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) .

So, $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{H}$. Therefore, $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = [\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = 0$ which is a contradiction to our assumption.

So, $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = \mathcal{H}$.

$[\mathcal{H}\Gamma x] \neq 0$ for some $x \in \mathcal{T}$. By **Theorem 4.3**, \mathcal{T} is the union of all 0-least Γ -RId of \mathcal{T} .

So, $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{I}] \neq 0$.

$[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{I}]$ is the 2-Id of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) .

Therefore, $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{I}] = \mathcal{T}$. \square

Lemma 5.3. *Consider \mathcal{H} as a 0-least LId of a 0-simple Γ -semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) and $g \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$. Then, $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma g] = \mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. Since, $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma g]$ is a LId of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) included in \mathcal{H} . So, $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma g] = 0$ or $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma g] = \mathcal{H}$.

Since, \mathcal{T} is 0-simple, by **Proposition 3.1**, $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}\Gamma g\mathcal{T}$.

Therefore, $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma g] \neq 0$.

Thus, $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma g] = \mathcal{H}$. \square

Lemma 5.4. *If (\mathcal{T}, Γ) is a 0-2-sided simple Γ -semigroup. Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{I} be a 0-least Γ -LId and a 0-least Γ -RId respectively with $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{I}] \neq 0$. Then,*

1. $[\mathcal{I}\Gamma\mathcal{H}]$ is a Γ -group with zero.

2. $[\mathcal{I}\Gamma\mathcal{H}] = \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. 1. Since, (\mathcal{T}, Γ) is a completely 0-2-sided simple. By **Proposition 3.1**, $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}\Gamma g\Gamma\mathcal{T}$.

This implies $[g\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = \mathcal{I}$ and $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma g] = \mathcal{H}$.

By **Lemma 5.2**, $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{I}] = \mathcal{T}$.

$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{H}\Gamma g\Gamma\mathcal{T}$. So, $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma g] \neq 0$ and $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma g] = \mathcal{H}$.

Therefore, $[\mathcal{I}\Gamma\mathcal{H}]\Gamma g = [\mathcal{I}\Gamma\mathcal{H}]$.

Thus, $[\mathcal{I}\Gamma\mathcal{H}]$ is Γ -left simple.

Similarly, we can show that $[\mathcal{I}\Gamma\mathcal{H}]$ is Γ -right simple.

So, $[\mathcal{I}\Gamma\mathcal{H}]$ is a Γ -group with zero by **Theorem 2.1** in [2].

2. $[(\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{H})\Gamma g] = [\mathcal{I}\Gamma g] \cap [\mathcal{H}\Gamma g] = (\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{H})$.

So, $(\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{H})$ is Γ -left simple.

Similarly, we can show that $(\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{H})$ is Γ -right simple.

So, $(\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{H})$ is a Γ -group with zero.

Let $g \in (\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{H})$. Then, $g = g\Gamma e \in \mathcal{I}\Gamma\mathcal{H}$. So, $(\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{H}) \cap [\mathcal{I}\Gamma\mathcal{H}]$.

Clearly, $[\mathcal{I}\Gamma\mathcal{H}] \cap (\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{H})$,

Therefore, $[\mathcal{I}\Gamma\mathcal{H}] = (\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{H})$

□

Lemma 5.5. *If (\mathcal{T}, Γ) is a 0-2-sided simple Γ -semigroup. Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{I} be a 0-least Γ -LId and a 0-least Γ -RId respectively with $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{I}] \neq 0$. Let e be the identity element of \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{I} . Then,*

1. $\mathcal{I} = [e\Gamma\mathcal{T}]$, $\mathcal{H} = [\mathcal{T}\Gamma e]$ and $[\mathcal{I}\Gamma\mathcal{H}] = [e\Gamma\mathcal{T}\Gamma e]$.

2. e is the primitive idempotent of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) .

Proof. 1. $e \in \mathcal{H}\{0\}$.

By **Lemma 5.3**, $[\mathcal{T}\Gamma e] = \mathcal{H}$.

Similarly, $[e\Gamma\mathcal{T}] = \mathcal{I}$.

$[\mathcal{I}\Gamma\mathcal{H}] = [e\Gamma\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T}\Gamma e] = [e\Gamma\mathcal{T}\Gamma e]$.

2. Let f be an idempotent of \mathcal{T} such that $f \geq e$.

$[fxe] = [eyf] = e$ for all $x, y \in \Gamma$.

So, $f \in [e\Gamma\mathcal{T}\Gamma e]$.

But, $[e\Gamma\mathcal{T}\Gamma e] = [\mathcal{I}\Gamma\mathcal{H}]$.

$[\mathcal{I}\Gamma\mathcal{H}]$ is a Γ -group with zero.

The only idempotents in a group are identity and zero. So, $f = e$ and $f = 0$. Hence, e is primitive.

□

Lemma 5.6. *If (\mathcal{T}, Γ) is a completely 0-2-sided simple and e is a primitive idempotent of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) . Then, $\mathcal{H} = [\mathcal{T}\Gamma e]$ and $\mathcal{I} = e\Gamma\mathcal{T}$ are 0-least Γ -LIds and 0-least Γ -RIds respectively and $[\mathcal{I}\Gamma\mathcal{H}]$ is a Γ -group with zero with e as the identity.*

Proof. We have to show that $\mathcal{I} = [e\Gamma\mathcal{T}]$ are 0-least Γ -RId.

$\mathcal{I} \neq 0$ since, $e \in \mathcal{I}$. Assume \mathcal{M} be a RId which is in \mathcal{I} .

Let $m \in \mathcal{M} \{0\}$.

Then, $m \in [e\Gamma\mathcal{T}]$, there is a $t \in \Gamma$ such that

$$[etm] = m \quad (1)$$

Since, \mathcal{T} is 0-simple and $m \neq 0$, by **Proposition 3.1**, $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}\Gamma m\Gamma\mathcal{T}$.

Then, there exist $x', y' \in \mathcal{T}, p', q' \in \Gamma, x'p'mq'y' = e$.

e is an idempotent and so, there exist $t_1 \in \mathcal{T}$ such that

$$et_1e = e \quad (2)$$

Let $x = et_1x'p'e$ and $y = y't_1e$.

Then,

$$x'tm'q'y = e. \quad (3)$$

Take $f = m'q'y't_1xte$.

$$\begin{aligned} et_1x &= et_1et_1x'p'e \\ &= et_1x'p'e \\ &= x \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} ftf &= (m'q'y't_1xte)t(m'q'y't_1xte) \\ &= m'q'y't_1(xtetm'q'y)t_1xte \text{ [By eq.(3)]} \\ &= m'q'y't_1et_1xte \text{ [By eq.(4)]} \\ &= m'q'y't_1xte \\ &= f. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} etf &= etm'q'y't_1xte \\ &= m'q'y't_1xte \text{ [By eq.(1)]} \\ &= f. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} ft_1e &= m'q'y't_1xte \text{ [By eq.(2)]} \\ &= f. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} e &= et_1e \text{ [By eq.(2)]} \\ &= x'tm'q'y't_1xte \text{ [By eq.(3)]} \\ &= x'tm'q'y't_1xte \text{ [By eq.(1)]} \end{aligned}$$

$$= xftmq'y$$

This implies $f \neq 0$.

Thus, f is a non zero idempotent.

e is an idempotent implies $f = e$.

$$e = mq'yt_1xte \in m\Gamma\mathcal{T}.$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{I} = e\Gamma\mathcal{T} \subseteq m\Gamma\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T} = m\Gamma\mathcal{T}$.

Thus, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{I}$ and \mathcal{I} is a 0-least Γ -RId.

Similarly, we can show that \mathcal{H} is a 0-least Γ -LId.

By the **Lemma5.4**, $[\mathcal{I}\Gamma\mathcal{H} = [e\Gamma\mathcal{T}\Gamma e]$ is a Γ -group with zero.

$e \in [e\Gamma\mathcal{T}\Gamma e] = [\mathcal{I}\Gamma\mathcal{H}]$ and $e \neq 0$.

So, e is the identity element of $[\mathcal{I}\Gamma\mathcal{H}]$. □

Theorem 5.2. *Let (\mathcal{T}, Γ) is a 0-2-sided simple. Then, the necessary and sufficient conditions for (\mathcal{T}, Γ) to be completely 0-2-sided simple is it contains atleast one 0-least Γ -LId and one 0-least Γ -RId.*

Proof. Assume (\mathcal{T}, Γ) to be completely 0-2-sided simple.

It contains primitive idempotent e .

By **Lemma5.6**, $\mathcal{H} = [\mathcal{T}\Gamma e]$ and $\mathcal{I} = e\Gamma\mathcal{T}$ are 0-least Γ -LIds and 0-least Γ -RIds respectively.

Conversly assume (\mathcal{T}, Γ) contains atleast one 0-least Γ -LId and one 0-least Γ -RId.

Let \mathcal{H} be a 0-least Γ -LId of (\mathcal{T}, Γ) .

By **Lemma5.2**, there is a 0-least Γ -RId \mathcal{I} , $[\mathcal{H}\Gamma\mathcal{I}] \neq 0$.

By **Lemma5.5**, (\mathcal{T}, Γ) contains a primitive idempotent.

Therefore, (\mathcal{T}, Γ) is a completely 0-2-sided simple. □

Corollary 5.1. *A complete 0-simple Γ -semigroup is the union of its 0-least Γ -LIds(RIds).*

Proof. The proof is immediate from **Theorem4.3** and **Theorem5.2**. □

6 Γ -Prime Ideals

Definition 6.1. *Let (\mathcal{T}, Γ) be a Γ -Semigroup. A Γ -2-Id \mathcal{Q} is said to be Γ -prime if for any Γ -2-Id $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{T}$, $[\mathcal{E}\Gamma\mathcal{F}] \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ implies $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ or $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$.*

Example 6.1. *Consider*

$$\mathcal{T} = \{\text{Set of all integers}\}$$

$$\Gamma = \{\text{Set of all integers of the form } 2n + 1 \text{ where } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

. Then,

$$\mathcal{E} = \{\text{Set of all integers of the form } 2n \text{ where } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a Γ -prime ideal.

The following gives the characterization of Γ -prime ideals.

Theorem 6.1. *Let (\mathcal{T}, Γ) be a Γ -Semigroup. Then, a Γ -2-Id \mathcal{Q} is Γ -prime if and only if for any $e, f \in \mathcal{T}$, $\{e\}_2\Gamma\{f\}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ implies $e \in \mathcal{Q}$ or $f \in \mathcal{Q}$ where, $\{e\}_2, \{f\}_2$ are the Γ -2-Id generated by e, f respectively.*

The theorem below gives the useful result for a commutative Γ -semigroup to be Γ -prime.

Theorem 6.2. *Let (\mathcal{T}, Γ) be a commutative Γ -Semigroup. Then, a Γ -2-Id \mathcal{Q} is Γ -prime if and only if for any $e, f \in \mathcal{T}$, $e\Gamma f \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ implies $e \in \mathcal{Q}$ or $f \in \mathcal{Q}$*

Proof. Assume \mathcal{Q} is Γ -prime. Let $e, f \in \mathcal{T}$ and $e\Gamma f \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$. If \mathcal{Q} is Γ -prime, then we have for any $e, f \in \mathcal{T}$, $\{e\}_2\Gamma\{f\}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ implies $e \in \mathcal{Q}$ or $f \in \mathcal{Q}$ where, $\{e\}_2, \{f\}_2$ are the Γ -2-Id generated by e, f respectively by **Theorem 6.1**.

$$\begin{aligned} \{e\}_2\Gamma\{f\}_2 &= ([\mathcal{T}\Gamma e\Gamma\mathcal{T}] \cup \{e\})\Gamma([\mathcal{T}\Gamma f\Gamma\mathcal{T}] \cup \{f\}) \\ &= [\mathcal{T}\Gamma e\Gamma\mathcal{T}]\Gamma[\mathcal{T}\Gamma f\Gamma\mathcal{T}] \cup [e\Gamma f] \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{T}\Gamma e\Gamma\mathcal{T}\Gamma f\Gamma\mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{Q} \\ &\subseteq e\Gamma\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T}\Gamma\mathcal{T}\Gamma f \cup \mathcal{Q} \\ &\subseteq e\Gamma f\Gamma\mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{Q} \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{Q}\Gamma\mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{Q} \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{Q} \end{aligned}$$

This implies $e \in \mathcal{Q}$ or $f \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Conversly, suppose that for any $e, f \in \mathcal{T}$, $e\Gamma f \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ implies $e \in \mathcal{Q}$ or $f \in \mathcal{Q}$. Suppose for any $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{E}\Gamma\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{F} \not\subseteq \mathcal{Q}$. Then, there exist a $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $f \notin \mathcal{Q}$. For any $e \in \mathcal{E}$, $e\Gamma f \subseteq \mathcal{E}\Gamma\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$. Hence, $e \in \mathcal{Q}$. Therefore, \mathcal{Q} is a Γ -prime. \square

Example 6.2. *Consider*

$$\mathcal{T} = \{\text{Set of all negative integers}\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= \{\text{Set of all negative integers of the form } 2n+1 \text{ where, } n \in \mathbf{N}\} \\ \mathcal{Q}_1 &= \{2p : p \in \mathcal{T}\} \end{aligned}$$

is Γ -prime.

$$\begin{aligned} e\Gamma f \in \mathcal{Q}_1 \quad (e, f \in \mathcal{T}) \\ \iff e\Gamma f \text{ is divisible by 3} \\ \iff e \text{ is divisible by 3 or } f \text{ is divisible by 3} \\ \iff e \in \mathcal{Q}_1 \text{ or } f \in \mathcal{Q}_1. \end{aligned}$$

But,

$$\mathcal{Q}_2 = \{20p : p \in \mathcal{T}\}$$

is not Γ -prime. Since, $-2 \times -5 \times -2 \in \mathcal{Q}_2$ but, $-2 \notin \mathcal{Q}_2$.

Theorem 6.3. *If \mathcal{H} is a Γ -2-Id of Γ -semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) and \mathcal{Q} is a Γ -prime ideal, then $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{Q}$ is a Γ -prime ideal.*

Proof. Let $e, f \in \mathcal{H}$.

$$\{e\}_2\Gamma\{f\}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$$

From **Theorem 6.1**, $e \in \mathcal{Q}$ or $f \in \mathcal{Q}$. This implies $e \in \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{Q}$ or $f \in \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{Q}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{Q}$ is a Γ -2-Id of \mathcal{H} . Therefore, from **Theorem 6.1**, $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{Q}$ is a Γ -prime ideal of \mathcal{H} . \square

Example 6.3. Consider

$$\mathcal{T} = \{\text{Set of all negative integers}\}$$

$$\Gamma = \{\text{Set of all negative integers of the form } 2n + 1 \text{ where, } n \in \mathbf{N} \}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_1 = \{2p : p \in \mathcal{T}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{Q}_2 = \{3p : p \in \mathcal{T}\}$$

are Γ -prime. But, $\mathcal{Q}_1 \cup \mathcal{Q}_2$ is not Γ -prime.

Note: Union and intersection of Γ -prime ideals need not be Γ -prime.

Theorem 6.4. Let \mathcal{Q}_i be a collection of Γ -prime ideals of a Γ -semigroup (\mathcal{T}, Γ) such that \mathcal{Q}_i forms a dcc or ACC. Then, $\bigcup \mathcal{Q}_i$ and $\bigcap \mathcal{Q}_i$ are Γ -prime.

Proof. From proposition, $\bigcup \mathcal{Q}_i$ is a Γ -2-Id. Let $\mathcal{E}\Gamma\mathcal{F} \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{Q}_i$ for any Γ -2-Id \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} . We have to show that $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{Q}_i$ or $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{Q}_i$.

If there is an i such that $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_i$ or $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_i$, then $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{Q}_i$ or $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{Q}_i$.

Otherwise, Suppose $\mathcal{E}\Gamma\mathcal{F} \not\subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{Q}_i$. Then, there exist i, j such that $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_i$ or $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_j$. Since, \mathcal{Q}_i satisfies ACC or dcc, Take $\mathcal{Q}_i \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_j$. This implies $\mathcal{F} \not\subseteq \mathcal{Q}_i$. Since, $\mathcal{E}\Gamma\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_i$ and \mathcal{Q}_i is a Γ -prime. Then, $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_i$ or $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_i$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_i$ or $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_i$, then $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{Q}_i$ or $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{Q}_i$. Therefore, $\bigcup \mathcal{Q}_i$ is a Γ -prime.

Similarly, $\bigcap \mathcal{Q}_i$ can be proved. \square

7 Conclusion

We have explored the concept of Γ -semigroups in depth. Section 2 provided the fundamental definitions essential for understanding the subsequent sections. In Section 3, we introduced the notion of Γ -simple semigroups, outlined their fundamental properties, and defined Γ -o-simple semigroups, offering characterizations and related results.

Section 4 delved into the concept of o-least Γ -ideals, presenting key theorems concerning Γ -LIIds. In Section 5, we investigated the concept of completely 0-2-sided least simple Γ -semigroups, demonstrating that such a semigroup is its own \mathcal{D} -class and exhibits regularity. Also, we established that the presence of at least one 0-least Γ -left ideal (0-least Γ -LIId) and one 0-least Γ -right ideal (0-least Γ -RId) is both a necessary and sufficient condition for a (\mathcal{T}, Γ) to be completely 0-simple. In this work, we explored the concept of Γ -prime ideals within the framework of Γ -semigroups. We identified conditions under which a Γ -2-sided ideal can be classified as a Γ -prime ideal, providing concrete examples to illustrate these results. For commutative Γ -semigroups, we established a sufficient condition to ensure the Γ -prime property, contributing to the understanding of their structural characteristics.

Counterexamples demonstrated that the union and intersection of Γ -prime ideals are not necessarily Γ -prime, highlighting potential complexities in their behavior. However, we formulated and proved conditions under which the union and intersection of Γ -prime ideals becomes Γ -prime.

Acknowledgements

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to our institution for giving support, which was invaluable in the completion of this study.

References

- [1] M.K. Sen, On Γ -Semigroup, Proceeding of International Conference on Algebra and its Applications, 1981.
- [2] M.K. Sen, N.K. Saha, On Γ -semigroup I, Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society, Vol.78, No.3, 180–186, 1986.
- [3] F.M. Sioson, Ideal theory in ternary semigroups, Math. Japon, Vol.10, No.84, 63, 1965.
- [4] S. Kar, B. K. Maity, Some ideals of ternary semigroups, Annals of the Alexandru Ioan Cuza University-Mathematics, vol.57, No.2, 247-258, 2011.
- [5] James Alexander Green, On the structure of semigroups, Annals of Mathematics, Vol.54, No.1, 163–172, 1951.
- [6] Chinram, Ronnason, P. Siammai, On Green's Relations for Γ -semigroups and Reductive Γ -semigroups, International Journal of Algebra, Vol.2, 187-295, 2008.
- [7] M.L. Santiago, S. Sri Bala, Ternary semigroups, Semigroup Forum, Vol.81, 380–388, 2010.
- [8] D.H. Lehmer, A ternary analogue of abelian groups, American Journal of Mathematics, Vol.54, No.2, 329–338, 1932.
- [9] G. Sheeja, S.SriBala, Simple ternary semigroups, Quasigroups and Related Systems, Vol.21, No.1, 103–116, 2013.
- [10] T.K. Dutta, N.C. Adhikari, On Γ -semigroup with the right and left unities, Soochow J. Math, Vol.19, No.4, 461–474, 1993.

[11] John M Howie, Fundamentals of semigroup theory, Oxford University Press, 1995.



