Coexistence of Kondo Coherence and Localized Magnetic Moments in the Normal State of Molten Salt-Flux Grown UTe₂

N. Azari, M. Yakovlev, S. R. Dunsiger, D. P. Uzoh, E. Mun, B. M. Huddart, S. J. Blundell, M. M. Bordelon, S. M. Thomas, J. D. Thompson, Pr. F. S. Rosa, and J. E. Sonier Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia V5A 1S6, Canada Centre for Molecular and Materials Science, TRIUMF, Vancouver, British Columbia V6T 2A3, Canada Clarendon Laboratory, Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3PU, United Kingdom Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545, USA (Dated: January 24, 2025)

The development of Kondo lattice coherence in UTe₂ leads to the formation of a heavy Fermi liquid state from which superconductivity emerges at lower temperature. In Kondo lattice systems, the nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and muon (μ^+) Knight shift have proven to be particularly sensitive to the properties of the developing heavy-electron fluid. Here we report μ^+ Knight shift measurements on high-quality UTe₂ single crystals grown by a molten salt-flux method. Together with previous data from a single crystal grown by a chemical-vapor transport method, our results show the contribution of the heavy-electron liquid to the μ^+ Knight shift increases below a crossover temperature $T^* \sim 30$ K in accord with a universal scaling function of T/T^* for heavy-fermion materials. An observed departure from this universal scaling below a temperature $T_r \sim 12$ K at certain μ^+ stopping sites signifies a reversal of the Kondo hybridization and a relocalization of U 5f moments with an antiferromagnetic coupling. The preservation of universal scaling at a different μ^+ site demonstrates a coexistence of itinerant and localized 5felectron states preceding the superconducting phase transition.

I. INTRODUCTION

After nearly five years of extensive investigation, UTe₂ remains a strong candidate for a rare odd-parity superconductor. At present, the identity of the superconducting pairing state and the source of the effective attractive interaction responsible for electron pairing are unsettled issues. In general, the normal state is key to establishing the origin of the superconducting state that emerges at lower temperature. In UTe₂ the normal state immediately above the superconducting transition temperature (T_c) exhibits a T^2 resistivity and T linear electronic specific heat with values of the corresponding coefficients characteristic of a moderate heavy Fermi liquid [1, 2]. Further evidence of a heavy Fermi-liquid state is a constant value of the ¹²⁵Te NMR spin-lattice relaxation rate divided by temperature $(1/T_1T)$ immediately above T_c for applied magnetic fields $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{c}$ [3–5]. The heavy nature of the itinerant electrons is ascribed to the Kondo effect, where the conduction electron sea gradually screens and hybridizes with the lattice of U 5f local moments, with the screening becoming coherent through the lattice below a characteristic temperature T^* .

In Kondo lattice systems such as UTe₂, there is an expected linear scaling of the Knight shift (K) measured by NMR or muon spin rotation (μSR) with the bulk magnetic susceptibility (χ) for temperatures $T > T^*$, wherein χ is dominated by the local moments. However, in many heavy-electron materials a breakdown in this scaling is observed below T^* (commonly referred to as a Knight shift or K- χ anomaly) that is well described within the framework of a phenomenological two-fluid model [6, 7]. In the two-fluid description of the Kondo lattice, a fluid of heavy electrons coexisting with partially screened lo-

cal moments gradually develops below T^* . The loss of scaling arises because of the sensitivity of K to the susceptibility of the heavy-electron fluid (χ_{HF}), which exhibits a temperature dependence that is different from the susceptibility of the remaining localized moments [8]. Yet a significant deviation of the NMR Knight shift from $\chi(T)$ is not observed in UTe₂ below T^* [3, 4]. By contrast, a clear breakdown in linear scaling of the μ^+ Knight shift (K_{μ}) with χ has been observed in UTe₂ below $T^* \sim 30$ K [9], indicating an enhanced sensitivity of the positive muon to $\chi_{\rm HF}$. Furthermore, below a temperature $T_{\rm r} \sim 12$ K there is a gradual return to linear scaling prior to the onset of superconductivity at T_c , indicating a relocalization of the local moments. Nevertheless, a shortcoming of this previous study of K_{μ} in UTe₂ is that it was performed on a large single crystal grown by a chemical vapour transport (CVT) method. CVT-grown single crystals exhibit significant differences in T_c and normal state properties due to variations in uranium deficiency [10] or atomic displacement parameters [11, 12]. Moreover, they have been shown to contain magnetic clusters [13]. Consequently, it is unclear whether relocalization is an intrinsic physical property of UTe_2 .

Here we report on a μ^+ Knight shift study of higher quality UTe₂ single crystals grown by a molten-salt flux (MSF) method. Single crystals grown in this way are characterized by a high residual resistivity ratio, lower residual specific heat, and the presence of quantum oscillations [14, 15].

II. METHODS

Transverse-field (TF) μ SR measurements were performed on the same MSF-grown single crystals ($T_c=1.96~\mathrm{K}$) investigated in a recent zero-field (ZF) μ SR study [16], which found no evidence for magnetic clusters and did not detect electronic moments fluctuating slow enough to be observable in the μ SR time window. Using the same experimental setup as in Ref. [9], a mosaic of five of the MSF-grown single crystals was attached to a pure silver (Ag) backing plate and TF- μ SR spectra recorded with the external magnetic field applied parallel to the crystallographic c axis ($\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{c}$). Further details of the experimental apparatus and procedure are described in the Supplemental Material [17].

The recorded TF- μ SR asymmetry spectrum is a measure of the time evolution of the muon spin polarization $P_{\mu}(t)$, which was fitted to the function

$$A(t) = a_0 P_{\mu}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i e^{-\sigma_i^2 t^2} \cos(2\pi \nu_i + \phi_i), \quad (1)$$

where a_i , σ_i , ν_i and ϕ_i are the amplitudes, depolarization rates, precession frequencies and phase angles of the n components, respectively. The μ^+ Knight shift for each component is calculated from the precession frequency as follows

$$K_i = \frac{\nu_i - \nu_{\text{ext}}}{\nu_{\text{ext}}} + 4\pi \left(\frac{1}{3} - N\right) \rho_{\text{mol}} \chi_c , \qquad (2)$$

where $\nu_{\rm ext} = (\gamma_{\mu}/2\pi) B_{\rm ext}$ $(\gamma_{\mu}/2\pi = 135.54 \ {\rm MHz/T})$ is the muon precession frequency in the external magnetic field. The second term in Eq. (2) is a correction for bulk demagnetization and Lorentz fields, where N is the demagnetization factor, $\rho_{\rm mol} = 0.0186 \ {\rm mol/cm^3}$ is the molar density of UTe₂ and χ_c is the bulk molar susceptibility for $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{c}$. The μ^+ Knight shift is caused by the effective dipolar field at the μ^+ site originating from localized U 5f moments induced by the applied field and contact hyperfine fields at the μ^+ site from spin polarization of the conduction electrons directly by the applied field and indirectly via a Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) interaction with the field-induced moments on the localized U 5f electrons.

A. Muon Knight shift

Figure 1 shows a comparison of the Fourier transforms of a TF- μ SR asymmetry spectrum in the previously studied CVT-grown single crystal and the MSF-grown single crystal mosaic recorded at a temperature well above T_c and for a field H=20 kOe applied parallel to the c axis. Although two peaks are observed in the Fourier transform for the CVT-grown single crystal, fits in the time domain reveal that the left peak is comprised of two closely spaced frequencies (denoted 1 and 2) [9]. In




FIG. 1. Fourier transforms of TF- μ SR asymmetry spectra recorded for (a) CVT-grown [9] and (b) MSF-grown single crystals in a magnetic field of H=20 kOe applied parallel to the c axis. In (b) there is a contribution to the left peak from the Ag backing plate. The numeric labels are chosen for consistency with Ref. [9].

the MSF-grown single crystals these two frequencies are visually split. Moreover, due to spacing between the single crystals there is a signal contributing to the leftmost peak from muons that stopped in the Ag backing plate, which is well resolved in the time domain. There is also an additional frequency [labelled 4 in Fig. 1(b)] not observed in the CVT-grown single crystal. This frequency is also not observed in TF- μ SR spectra recorded for one of the five MSF-grown single crystals (see Supplemental Material [17]) and hence is presumably due to a misalignment of one or more of the five single crystals in the mosaic with the applied field. The amplitudes of the different components of the TF- μ SR asymmetry spectrum are shown in Table I along with those for the previously studied CVT-grown single crystal and for a few measurements of one of the MSF-grown single crystals.

While initial density functional theory (DFT) calculations identified a single muon stopping site in UTe₂ based solely on the minima of the electrostatic potential [13], the different components of the TF- μ SR spectrum indicate three distinct muon sites. Note that a_2+a_4 for the MSF-grown single crystal mosaic is equivalent to a_2 for the other two samples in Table I, suggesting that the fourth component originates from the same muon stop-




FIG. 2. (a)-(d) Temperature dependence of the four μ^+ Knight shift components in the mosaic of MSF-grown UTe₂ single crystals for a magnetic field applied parallel to the c axis. (e)-(h) The four μ^+ Knight shift components for $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{c}$ versus the bulk magnetic susceptibility. (e) K_1 , (f) K_2 and (h) K_4 are plotted versus the bulk susceptibility for $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{c}$, whereas (g) K_3 is plotted versus the bulk susceptibility for $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{a}$.

TABLE I. The amplitudes of the individual components of Eq. (1) for one of the MSF-grown single crystals, the MSF-grown single crystal mosaic, and the CVT-grown single crystal of Ref. [9].

	MSF-grown	MSF-grown	CVT-grown
	single crystal	mosaic	single crystal
a_1	34.1(2) %	31(1) %	27(2) %
a_2	54.7(3) %	44(2) %	55(2) %
a_3	11.1(1) %	14(1) %	18(1) %
a_4	<u>-</u>	11(1) %	

ping site as that associated with a_2 and that this site is most influenced by a misalignment with respect to the applied field. DFT calculations taking into account distortions of the local environment by the muon identify five candidate muon sites (see Supplemental Material [17]). Two of these are of significantly lower energy and lie between nearest-neighbor U atoms within the two-leg ladder substructure formed by the U atoms. One is a stable site midway between U atoms forming the ladder rungs along the c axis, while the other is midway between nearest-neighbor U atoms in the ladder legs parallel to the a axis. Inelastic neutron scattering (INS) [31] and NMR [3, 4] measurements on CVT-grown samples indi-

cate ferromagnetic (FM) coupling of the U atoms within the ladders and antiferromagnetic (AFM) coupling between the U-ladders. Muon sites within the U-ladders may explain why an initial ZF- μ SR study of CVT-grown UTe₂ found evidence for FM fluctuations [32]. The muon may also stop in any of the three higher-energy sites found by DFT. However, the population ratio of the three muon sites inferred by TF- μ SR ($a_1:a_2:a_3$) does not match any combination of the DFT-computed candidate sites, and is incompatible with the multiplicities of positions with the *Immm* space group, suggesting that the muon is not equally likely to stop in any given muon site.

Figure 2(a)-(d) shows the temperature dependence of the four components of the μ^+ Knight shift in the MSF-grown UTe₂ sample. As shown in Fig. 2(e)-(h), three of the components exhibit linear scaling with the bulk magnetic susceptibility for $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{c} \ (\chi_c)$ above $T \sim 30$ K, whereas K_3 instead tracks the bulk susceptibility for $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{a} \ (\chi_a)$ down to $T \sim 12$ K. This strange behavior of K_3 was also observed in the CVT-grown sample [see Fig. 3(c)]. While we previously speculated that this originates from muons stopping in magnetic clusters, we now know that these clusters are not present in the MSF-grown single crystals. The large size of K_3 suggests this component originates from muons experiencing large hyperfine fields at sites




FIG. 3. Comparison of the μ^+ Knight shift components for the MSF-grown UTe₂ single-crystal mosaic with those of the CVT-grown single crystal of Ref. [9]. (a) K_1 versus χ_c , (b) K_2 versus χ_c , and (c) K_3 versus χ_a for both samples with temperature as an implicit parameter. (d) A comparison of K_4 versus χ_c for the MSF-grown sample with K_2 versus χ_c for the CVT-grown sample. The solid line through the data points in each panel is a guide to the eye. Note the vertical scales in (a), (c) and (d) are different for the two samples.

close to the U atoms. Calculations based on a crystal electric field (CEF) Hamiltonian calculated by considering the Coulomb repulsion between the central U^{4+} ion and the surrounding ligands, which are treated as point charges, show that in principle the local susceptibility sensed by a muon near U may closely resemble χ_a due to muon-induced local lattice distortions and a greater effect of the muon charge on the CEF levels of U (see Supplemental Material [17]). Unfortunately, the CEF parameters obtained from this highly approximate point-charge model bear little resemblance to those actually required to describe the temperature dependence of χ_a , χ_b and χ_c [11].

The data presented in Fig. 2 supports the earlier assertion that K_4 is associated with the same muon stopping site as K_2 , but appears to be somewhat influenced by a misalignment with the applied field that introduces some component of χ_a . Since $K_3 \gg K_2$, this explains the

larger muon Knight shift for K_4 , but a broader linewidth is also expected. Indeed, the depolarization rate σ_4 is significantly greater than σ_2 and closer to σ_3 (see Supplemental Material [17]).

B. Comparison between MSF and CVT-grown single crystals

The behaviors of K_2 and K_4 are similar to that of K_1 and K_2 in the CVT-grown sample (see Fig. 3) in displaying a breakdown in linear scaling with χ_c below the temperature $T^* \sim 30$ K and a gradual return to tracking $\chi_c(T)$ as the temperature is lowered below $T_r \sim 12$ K. The loss of scaling below $T^* \sim 30$ K was previously attributed to the change that occurs in the contact hyperfine field at the muon site due to the interaction of the muon spin with the unpaired spin density of the conduction elec-

trons upon the onset of Kondo coherence [9]. The sensitivity of the muon Knight shift to the onset of Kondo coherence has also been demonstrated through the observation of a Knight shift anomaly in the 4f-electron Kondo insulator SmB₆ [33]. In contrast, K_1 in the MSF-grown sample does not return to tracking χ_c as the temperature is lowered below $T_{\rm r}$. This behavior and the peak in the plot of K_1 versus T [see Fig. 2(a)] were not observed in the CVT-grown single crystal [9]. We suggest this is due to the presence of the magnetic clusters in the CVT-grown sample, which gives rise to a low-field upturn in the bulk magnetic susceptibility below $T \sim 10 \text{ K}$ [9] that is not observed in the MSF-grown single crystals [16]. As we have previously argued, the magnetic clusters presumably are the result of local disorder/defect induced disruptions of long-range correlations along the U-ladders and this may in turn disrupt the Kondo coherence sensed by the muon at the site associated with K_1 . As shown in Fig. 3(a), it is below $T \sim 12$ K where the temperature dependence of K_1 in the two samples deviates.

C. Heavy-electron contribution to the muon Knight shift

In a wide range of materials the heavy-electron contribution to the NMR or μ^+ Knight shift anomaly obeys a universal scaling behavior predicted by the two-fluid model [6]

$$K_{\rm HF}(T) = K_{\rm HF}^0 (1 - T/T^*)^{3/2} [1 + \log(T/T^*)],$$
 (3)

where K_{HF}^0 and T^* are material dependent quantities. The temperature dependence of the emergent heavyelectron fluid for the individual components of the μ^+ Knight shift in UTe₂ is determined by subtracting a linear extrapolation of the data for $K_i(T)$ versus $\chi_c(T)$ above T^* in the range 30 K < T < 150 K. Figure 4 shows a plot of $K_{\rm HF}/K_{\rm HF}^0$ versus T/T^* for the μ^+ Knight shift components of the MSF-grown single crystal mosaic and the CVT-grown single crystal of Ref. [9] assuming $T^* = 30$ K. The plot excludes K_3 , which accounts for 14.4% and 18% of the TF- μ SR signal for the MSF-grown and CVT-grown samples, respectively. While the heavyelectron contribution to K_1 of the MSF-grown sample follows Eq. (3) down to the onset of superconductivity, the contribution of $K_{\rm HF}$ to K_2 and K_4 deviates from the scaling equation below the temperature $T_{\rm r} \sim 12$ K, as previously observed in CVT-grown UTe₂. Anomalies have been observed in various physical quantities near $T \simeq 12$ K, including a minimum in the electronic contribution to the c-axis thermal expansion, and broad peaks in the electronic specific heat, temperature derivative of the a-axis electrical resistivity, and the c-axis resistivity [2, 34, 35].

The downturn in $K_{\rm HF}(T)$ below Eq. (3) that commences at $T_{\rm r} < T^*$ signifies a reversal of the hybridization of the conduction electrons with the local U 5f-electron




FIG. 4. Plot of the normalized heavy-electron fluid contribution to the individual components of the μ^+ Knight shift in the MSF-grown single crystal mosaic and the CVT-grown single crystal of Ref. [9] assuming $T^*=30$ K. All data are for H=40 kOe applied parallel to the c axis. The solid curve is Eq. (3). The label $T/T_{\rm r}$ corresponds to $T_{\rm r}=12$ K.

moments and a gradual relocalization of the local moments as a precursor to a magnetically-ordered ground state [8]. To date this behavior has only been observed in materials that order antiferromagnetically. In CeRhIn₅ [8] and $CePt_2In_7$ [36], K_{HF} determined by NMR deviates from Eq. (3) below a temperature T_r at which AFM correlations begin to develop between the partially screened Ce 4f local moments prior to the onset of an AFM phase at lower temperature. By analogy it appears that shortrange AFM interactions between relocalizing U 5f local moments in UTe₂ commence at $T_{\rm r} \sim 12$ K. Near this temperature the AFM fluctuations observed by INS in CVT-grown samples saturate [31, 37, 38]. It has been suggested that this temperature marks a crossover from the development of quasi-1D FM fluctuations from noninteracting U-ladders to quasi-2D magnetic fluctuations driven by AFM interactions between the U-ladders [35]. In this scenario, the Knight shift components indicating relocalization presumably correspond to sites where the μ^+ is sensitive to interladder AFM interactions.

Relocalization of itinerant f electrons leading up to an AFM phase transition has also been observed by angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) in the 4f-electron compounds $CePt_2In_7$ [39] and $CeCoGe_3$ [40], and recently in the 5f-electron compound UPd_2Al_3 [41]. In UPd_2Al_3 the transition to long-range AFM order is followed by a superconducting transition at much lower temperature. While UTe_2 does not undergo a magnetic phase transition [32], an AFM phase is induced by pressure [42], which has recently been shown to have an incommensurate magnetic wavevector close to that of the AFM fluctuations at ambient pressure [43]. This suggests

that the Kondo coherence is suppressed by pressure and UTe₂ is close to an AFM instability at ambient pressure. Such a scenario has been proposed for the Kondo insulator $CeRu_4Sn_6$, wherein relocalization of the $Ce\ 4f$ electrons has been observed by ARPES, despite no evidence for magnetic order [44].

It is apparent from the behavior of $K_{\rm HF}(T)$ derived from K_1 in the MSF-grown UTe₂ sample that itinerant heavy electrons from Kondo hybridization coexist with AFM fluctuations associated with interacting relocalized U 5f moments that contribute to other components of the μ^+ Knight shift. This coexistence appears to be a consequence of the dual itinerant and localized nature of the 5f electrons. Coexisting Kondo hybridization and magnetic order through 5f-electronic orbital selectivity has been observed in a few U-based compounds. Scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) and ARPES studies of the AFM materials USb₂ and UAs₂ show that Kondo hybridization occurs deep inside the AFM state, with the antiferromagnetism and itinerant heavy electrons residing on predominantly different 5f-orbitals that give rise to two distinct flat bands [45–47]. Kondo hybridization coexisting with itinerant 5f-electron ferromagnetism has also been observed in an STM study of UGe₂ [48].

In the case of UTe₂, an unsettled issue has been whether the itinerant U³⁺ (5f³) or localized U⁴⁺ (5f²) state dominates the mixed valence at ambient pressure. Recent high-resolution resonant inelastic x-ray scattering measurements provide a fingerprint that the 5f² valence state is the dominant multiplet configuration [49]. Nonetheless, in a mixed valence metal such as UTe₂, the effective valence will likely be $5f^{2+\delta}$, wherein extra 5f electrons hybridize with conduction electrons. Hence, our simultaneous observation of relocalization and heavy-electron fluid behavior in the μ^+ Knight shift appears to arise from having both $5f^2$ local orbitals and additional delocalized 5f electrons due to strong hybridization with ligand orbitals. Theoretically it has been shown that the Kondo effect in UTe₂ is orbital selective and anisotropic,

resulting from hybridization of certain U 5f orbitals with U 6d conduction electrons in the ab-plane and hybridization of U 5f orbitals with Te 5p conduction electrons along the c axis at much lower temperature [50]. Because of this anisotropy, the change in the contact hyperfine field due to the interaction of the muon spin with the conduction electrons that arises upon formation of the heavy-electron fluid may be different at different muon sites. In particular, K_1 in MSF-grown UTe₂ may be attributed to a stopping site where the muon senses U 5f orbitals that remain delocalized through the Kondo interaction as the temperature is lowered towards T_c , whereas K_2 (and K_4) appears to originate from a site where the muon senses U 5f orbitals that are driven through a relocalization transition.

III. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have shown through the behavior of the μ^+ Knight shift in high quality single crystals that relocalization of the U 5f electrons prior to the onset of superconductivity is an intrinsic physical property of UTe₂. Our findings reveal a dual localized and itinerant nature of the 5f electrons at ambient pressure that manifests as a coexistence of Kondo hybridization and AFM interactions between localized moments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

J.E.S., S.R.D. and E. Mun acknowledge support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. Work at Los Alamos National Laboratory by S.M.T., J.D.T. and P.F.S.R. was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Materials Science and Engineering. Work at the University of Oxford by B.M.H. and S.J.B. was funded by UK Research and Innovation (UKRI) under the UK government's Horizon Europe guarantee funding (Grant No. EP/X025861/1).

S. Ran, C. Eckberg, Q.-P. Ding, Y. Furukawa, T. Metz, S. R. Saha, I.-L. Liu, M. Zic, H. Kim, J. Paglione, and N. P. Butch, Newly ferromagnetic spin-triplet superconductivity, Science 365, 684-687 (2019).

^[2] Y. S. Eo, S. Liu, S. R. Saha, H. Kim, S. Ran, J. A. Horn, H. Hodovanets, J. Collini, T. Metz, W. T. Fuhrman et al., c-axis transport in UTe₂: Evidence of three-dimensional conductivity component, Phys. Rev. B 106, L060505 (2022).

^[3] Y. Tokunaga, H. Sakai, S. Kambe, T. Hattori, N. Higa, G. Nakamine, S. Kitagawa, K. Ishida, A. Nakamura, Y. Shimizu, Y. Homma, D. Li, F. Honda, and D. Aoki, ¹²⁵Te NMR study on a single crystal of heavy fermion superconductor UTe₂, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 88, 073701 (2019).

^[4] D. V. Ambika, Q.-P. Ding, K. Rana, C. E. Frank, E. L. Green, S. Ran, N. P. Butch, and Y. Furukawa, Possible

coexistence of antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic spin fluctuations in the spin-triplet superconductor UTe_2 revealed by 125Te NMR under pressure, Phys. Rev. B **105**, L220403 (2022).

^[5] K. Kinjo, H. Fujibayashi, G. Nakamine, S. Kitagawa, K. Ishida, Y. Tokunaga, H. Sakai, S. Kambe, A. Nakamura, Y. Shimizu et al., Drastic change in magnetic anisotropy of UTe2 under pressure revealed by ¹²⁵Te-NMR, Phys. Rev. B 105, L140502 (2022).

^[6] Y.-F. Yang, Z. Fisk, H.-O. Lee, J. D. Thompson, and D. Pines, Scaling the Kondo lattice, Nature 454, 611–613 (2008).

^[7] Y.-F. Yang and D. Pines, Emergent states in heavy-electron materials, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 109, E3060–E3066 (2012).

- [8] K. R. Shirer, A. C. Shockley, A. P. Dioguardi, J. Crocker, C. H. Lin, N. apRoberts-Warren, D. M. Nisson, P. Klavins, J. C. Cooley, Y.-f. Yang, and N. J. Curro, Long range order and two-fluid behavior in heavy electron materials Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 109 E3067-73 (2012).
- [9] N. Azari, M. R. Goeks, M. Yakovlev, M. Abedi, S. R. Dunsiger, S. M.d Thomas, J. D. Thompson, P. F. S. Rosa, and J. E. Sonier, μ^+ Knight shift in UTe₂: Evidence for relocalization in a Kondo lattice, Phys. Rev. B 108, L081103 (2023).
- [10] Y. Haga, P. Opletal, Y. Tokiwa, E. Yamamoto, Y. Tokunaga, S. Kambe, and H Sakai, Effect of uranium deficiency on normal and superconducting properties in unconventional superconductor UTe₂, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **34**, 175601 (2022).
- [11] P. F. S. Rosa, A. Weiland, S. S. Fender, B. L. Scott, F. Ronning, J. D. Thompson, E. D. Bauer, and S. M. Thomas, Single thermodynamic transition at 2 K in superconducting UTe₂ single crystals, Commun. Mater. 3, 33 (2022).
- [12] A. Weiland, S. M. Thomas, and P. F. S. Rosa, J. Phys. Mater. 5, 044001 (2022).
- [13] S. Sundar, N. Azari, M. R. Goeks, S. Gheidi, M. Abedi, M. Yakovlev, S. R. Dunsiger, J. M. Wilkinson, S. J. Blundell, T. E. Metz et al., Ubiquitous spin freezing in the superconducting state of UTe2, Commun. Phys. 6, 24
- [14] H. Sakai, P. Opletal, Y. Tokiwa, E. Yamamoto, Y. Tokunaga, S. Kambe, and Y. Haga, Single crystal growth of superconducting UTe₂ by molten salt flux method, Phys. Rev. Mat. 6, 073401 (2022).
- [15] D. Aoki, H. Sakai, P. Opletal, Y. Tokiwa, J. Ishizuka, Y. Yanase, H. Harima, A. Nakamura, D. Li, Y. Homma et al., First Observation of the de Haas-van Alphen Effect and Fermi Surfaces in the Unconventional Superconductor UTe₂, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 91, 083704 (2022).
- [16] N. Azari, M. Yakovlev, N. Rye, S. R. Dunsiger, S. Sundar, M. M. Bordelon, S. M. Thomas, J. D. Thompson, P. F. S. Rosa, and J. E. Sonier, Absence of Spontaneous Magnetic Fields due to Time-Reversal Symmetry Breaking in Bulk Superconducting UTe₂, Phys. Rev. Lett. 131, 226504 (2023).
- Supplemental Material for a description of the μ^+ Knight shift apparatus, details of the MSF-grown sample, representative TF- μ SR asymmetry spectrum, the temperature dependence of the muon depolarization rates, Fourier transform of the TF- μ SR signal for one of the MSF-grown single crystals, DFT calculations of the muon sites, and consideration of the effects of the muon on the CEF levels of the U ions. It also contains Refs. [9, 11, 13, 18-30, 33].
- [18] S. J. Clark, M. D. Segall, C. J. Pickard, P. J. Hasnip, M. I. J. Probert, K. Refson, and M. C. Payne, First principles methods using CASTEP, Z. Kristallogr. Cryst. Mater. **220**, 567 (2005).
- [19] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3865 (1996).
- [20] V. Hutanu, H. Deng, S. Ran, W. T. Fuhrman, H. Thoma, and N. P. Butch, Low-temperature crystal structure of the unconventional spin-triplet superconductor UTe₂ from single-crystal neutron diffraction, Acta Crystallogr. B 76, 137 (2020).

- [21] H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations, Phys. Rev. B 13, 5188
- [22] H. Maeter, H. Luetkens, Y. G. Pashkevich, A. Kwadrin, R. Khasanov, A. Amato, A. A. Gusev, K. V. Lamonova, D. A. Chervinskii, R. Klingeler, C. Hess, G. Behr, B. Büchner, and H. H. Klauss, Interplay of rare earth and iron magnetism in RFeAsO (R = La, Ce, Pr, and Sm): Muon-spin relaxation study and symmetry analysis, Phys. Rev. B 80, 94524 (2009).
- [23] R. De Renzi, P. Bonfà, M. Mazzani, S. Sanna, G. Prando, P. Carretta, R. Khasanov, A. Amato, H. Luetkens, M. Bendele, F. Bernardini, S. Massidda, A. Palenzona, M. Tropeano, M. Vignolo, Effect of external pressure on the magnetic properties of LnFeAsO (Ln = La, Ce, Pr, Sm), Supercond. Sci. Technol. 25, 84009 (2012).
- [24] G. Lamura, T. Shiroka, P. Bonfà, S. Sanna, F. Bernardini, R. De Renzi, R. Viennois, E. Giannini, A. Piriou, N. Emery, M. R. Cimberle, and M Putti, A magnetic glassy phase in $Fe_{1+y}Se_xTe_{1-x}$ single crystals, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 25, 156004 (2013).
- [25] S. Sturniolo and L. Liborio, Computational prediction of muon stopping sites: A novel take on the unperturbed electrostatic potential method, J. Chem. Phys. **153**, 044111 (2020).
- [26] B. M. Huddart, A. Hernández-Melián, T. J. Hicken, M. Gomilšek, Z. Hawkhead, S. J. Clark, F. L. Pratt, and T. Lancaster, MuFinder: A program to determine and analyse muon stopping sites, Comput. Phys. Commun. **280**, 108488 (2022).
- [27] J. S. Möller, P. Bonfà, D. Ceresoli, F. Bernardini, S. J. Blundell, T. Lancaster, R. De Renzi, N. Marzari, I. Watanabe, S. Sulaiman, and M. I. Mohamed-Ibrahim, Phys. Scr. 88, 068510 (2013).
- [28] N. Govind, M. Petersen, G. Fitzgerald, D. King-Smith, and J. Andzelm, A generalized synchronous transit method for transition state location, Comput. Mater. Sci. 28, 250 (2003), Proceedings of the Symposium on Software Development for Process and Materials Design.
- [29] A. Scheie, PyCrystalField: software for calculation, analysis and fitting of crystal electric field Hamiltonians, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 54, 356 (2021).
- [30] F. R. Foronda, F. Lang, J. S. Möller, T. Lancaster, A. T. http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevB.111.014513 Boothroyd, F. L. Pratt, S. R. Giblin, D. Prabhakaran, and S. J. Blundell, Anisotropic Local Modification of Crystal Field Levels in Pr-Based Pyrochlores: A Muon-Induced Effect Modeled Using Density Functional Theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 017602 (2015).
 - [31] W. Knafo, G. Knebel, P. Steffens, K. Kaneko, A. Rosuel, J.-P. Brison, J. Flouquet, D. Aoki, G. Lapertot, and S. Raymond, Low-dimensional antiferromagnetic fluctuations in the heavy-fermion paramagnetic ladder compound UTe_2 , Phys. Rev. B **104**, L100409 (2021).
 - [32] S. Sundar, S. Gheidi, K. Akintola, A. M. Côté, S. R. Dunsiger, S. Ran, N. P. Butch, S. R. Saha, J. Paglione, and J. E. Sonier, Coexistence of ferromagnetic fluctuations and superconductivity in the actinide superconductor UTe₂, Phys. Rev. B **100**, 140502(R) (2019).
 - [33] K. Akintola, A. Pal, S. R. Dunsiger, A. C. Y. Fang, M. Potma, S. R. Saha, X. F. Wang, J. Paglione, and J. E. Sonier, Freezing out of a low-energy bulk spin exciton in SmB₆, npj Quantum Materials 3, 36 (2018).
 - [34] K. Willa, F. Hardy, D. Aoki, D. Li, P. Wiecki, G. Lapertot, and C. Meingast, Thermodynamic signatures

- of short-range magnetic correlations in UTe_2 , Phys. Rev. B 104, 205107 (2021).
- [35] T. Thebault, M. Vališka, G. Lapertot, A. Pourret, D. Aoki, G. Knebel, D. Braithwaite, and W. Knafo, Anisotropic signatures of electronic correlations in the electrical resistivity of UTe₂, Phys. Rev. B 106, 144406 (2022).
- [36] N. apRoberts-Warren, A. P. Dioguardi, A. C. Shockley, C. H. Lin, J. Crocker, P. Klavins, D. Pines, Y.-F. Yang, and N. J. Curro, Kondo liquid emergence and relocalization in the approach to antiferromagnetic ordering in CePt₂In₇, Phys. Rev. B 83, 060408(R) (2011).
- [37] C. Duan, K. Sasmal, M. B. Maple, A. Podlesnyak, J.-X. Zhu, Q. Si, and P. Dai, Incommensurate Spin Fluctuations in the Spin-Triplet Superconductor Candidate UTe₂, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 237003 (2020).
- [38] N. P. Butch, S. Ran, S. R. Saha, P. M. Neves, M. P. Zic, J. Paglione, S. Gladchenko, Q. Ye, and J. A. Rodriguez-Rivera, Symmetry of magnetic correlations in spin-triplet superconductor UTe₂, npj Quantum Mater. 7, 39 (2022).
- [39] Y. Luo, C. Zhang, Q.-Y. Wu, F.-Y. Wu, J.-J. Song, W. Xia, Y. Guo, J. Rusz, P. M. Oppeneer, T. Durakiewicz et al., Three-dimensional and temperaturedependent electronic structure of the heavy-fermion compound CePt₂In₇ studied by angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. B 101, 115129 (2020).
- [40] P. Li, H. Ye, Y. Hu, Y. Fang, Z. Xiao, Z. Wu, Z. Shan, R. P. Singh, G. Balakrishnan, D. Shen et al., Photoemission signature of the competition between magnetic order and Kondo effect in CeCoGe₃, Phys. Rev. B 107, L201104 (2023).
- [41] J.-J. Song, C. Zhang, Q.-Y. Wu, Y.-Z. Zhao, J. Rusz, J. J. Joyce, K. S. Graham, P. S. Riseborough, C. G. Olson, H. Liu et al., Relocalization of Uranium 5f Electrons in Antiferromagnetic Heavy Fermion Superconductor UPd₂Al₃: Insights from Angle-Resolved Photoemission Spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. B 109, 205114 (2024).
- [42] S. M. Thomas, F. B. Santos, M. H. Christensen, T. Asaba, F. Ronning, J. D. Thompson, E. D. Bauer, R. M. Fernandes, G. Fabbris, and P. F. S. Rosa, Evidence for a pressure-induced antiferromagnetic quantum critical point in intermediate-valence UTe₂, Sci. Adv. 6,

- eabc8709 (2020).
- [43] W. Knafo, T. Thebault, P. Manuel, D. D. Khalyavin, F. Orlandi, E. Ressouche, K. Beauvois, G. Lapertot, K. Kaneko, D. Aoki et al., Incommensurate antiferromagnetism in UTe₂ under pressure, arXiv:2311.05455.
- [44] F. Y. Wu, Q.-Y. Wu, C. Zhang, Y. Luo, X. Q. Liu, Y.-F. Xu, D.-H. Lu, M. Hashimoto, H. Liu, Y.-Z. Zhao, J.-J. Song, Y.-H. Yuan, H.-Y. Liu, J. He, Y.-X. Duan, Y.-F. Guo, and J.-Q. Meng, Itinerant to relocalized transition of f electrons in the Kondo insulator CeRu₄Sn₆, Frontiers of Physics 18, 53304 (2023).
- [45] I. Giannakis, J. Leshen, M. Kavai, S. Ran, C.-J. Kang, S. R. Saha, Y. Zhao, Z. Xu, J. Lynn, and L. Miao, Orbital-selective Kondo lattice and enigmatic f electrons emerging from inside the antiferromagnetic phase of a heavy fermion, Sci. Adv. bf 5, eaaw9061 (2019).
- [46] Q. Y. Chen, X. B. Luo, D. H. Xie, M. L. Li, X. Y. Ji, R. Zhou, Y. B. Huang, W. Zhang, W. Feng, Y. Zhang et al., Orbital-Selective Kondo Entanglement and Antiferromagnetic Order in USb₂, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 106402 (2019).
- [47] X. Ji, X. Luo, Q. Chen, W. Feng, Q. Hao, Q. Liu, Y. Zhang, Y. Liu, X. Wang, S. Tan, and X. Lai, Direct observation of coexisting Kondo hybridization and antiferromagnetic state in UAs₂, Phys. Rev. B 106, 125120 (2022).
- [48] I. Giannakis, D. Sar, J. Friedman, C.-J. Kang, M. Janoschek, P. Das, E. D. Bauer, G. Kotliar, and P. Aynajian, Coexisting Kondo hybridization and itinerant f-electron ferromagnetism in UGe₂, Phys. Rev. Research 4, L022030 (2022).
- [49] D. S. Christovam, M. Sundermann, A. Marino, D. Takegami, J. Falke, P. Dolmantas, M. Harder, H. Gretarsson, B. Keimer, A. Gloskovskii *et al.*, Stabilization of U $5f^2$ configuration in UTe₂ through U 6d dimers in the presence of Te₂ chains, Phys. Rev. Research **6**, 033299 (2024).
- [50] B. Kang, S. Choi, and H. Kim, Orbital selective Kondo effect in heavy fermion superconductor UTe₂, npj Quantum Materials 7, 64 (2022).