

The Open Relativistic Two-body Problem

A.V.Koshelkin

*National Research Nuclear University MEPhI,
Kashirskoye shosse, 31, 115409 Moscow,
Russia*

E-mail: and.kosh59@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: The open relativistic two-body problem, when two interacting particles also are in external potentials, is considered in terms of the principle of the least action. Based on the consistent modification of the relativistic version Newton's third law in external fields, the exact covariant operator equations, which govern dynamics of either a scalar particle or each of the components of the 16 component spinor of spin-1/2 fermions, depending on the particle type, are derived in the center-mass and relative motion variables, beyond the consideration in the Breit frame only. The class of external fields and interaction potentials, when the two-body problem can be covariantly reformulated in (3+1) phase space of relative motion variables, uncoupled from the center-mass motion of such a system, is outlined. In the case of fermions the new γ -matrix basis generating the Dirac-like equation for the 16 component spinors in the (3+1) phase space is found. The chosen basis allows us to decouple the derived Dirac-like equation into four independent equations governing the dynamics of the four-component spinors. The developed approach is examined in studying the low-energy positronium states in magnetic fields.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Two interacting spinless particles in external fields	4
2.1	Free particles	5
2.2	Interacting particles	7
2.3	The two-body problem in the absence of external fields	8
2.4	The two-body problem in external fields	9
3	Two spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ particles in external fields	10
3.1	Lagrangian and current of fermions in the center-mass and relative motion variables	12
4	A positronium in a strong magnetic field	12
4.1	Decay of the positronium ground state in a strong magnetic field	15
5	Conclusion	16
A	The transformation relation for Γ- matrices	16

1 Introduction

The relativistic two-body problem, which has actively studied for more than forty years, beginning from 80th [3–12, 16–29], is of great interest now in connection primarily with the indirect searches of the dark matter[30–34]. It also concerns researches when strong magnetic fields play an important role in forming signals from both the astrophysics objects[35–38] and the strong interacting matter, arising in non-central collisions of high energy particles[39–41]. The value of the magnetic field strength in the latter case is estimated to be about $B \sim 10^{18} - 10^{19}G$ at RHIC and LHC energies[39–41] what is of the order and more than the squared pion mass. Besides that, magnetic fields play a key role in forming signals from the interior area of neutron stars[37, 38] where the magnetic field strength turns out to be of the same order of magnitude.

The first attempt to describe the relativistic two-body system was made by summing two free Dirac equations, adding to them interaction potential [1, 2], that resulted in the relativistic non-invariant Breit equation. On other hand, the manifestly covariant Bethe-Salpeter equation[42], which is suitable for solving such kind problems, was found to have[43] the negative normalized solutions in the case of two one half spin particles. The lack of the approaches mentioned above can be eliminated with Dirac’s Hamiltonian constraint technique[33, 44], decreasing a number of the Hamiltonian variables by means of establishing

relations between them. It is achieved due to the Poincare invariant functions containing interaction terms $\Phi_i(p_1, m_1; p_2, m_2, q_{12} \dots)$

$$p_i^2 - m_i^2 = \Phi_i(p_1, m_1; p_2, m_2, q_{12}, \dots), \quad (1.1)$$

where $i = 1, 2$ numerates particles, whereas the functions Φ_i depends on the particle momenta p_i and on the parameters q_{12} characterizing interaction between particles.

On the other hand, in non-relativistic physics the III-rd Newton law restricts a set of the interaction and external fields which allow to reduce the two-body problem to the single particle dynamics. The similar restrictions dictated by the relativistic version of the III-rd Newton law to external and interaction fields should obviously take place in the relativistic case. The relativistic version of this law is given by a formula[20–23]

$$Pp = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where P and p are the total and relative momenta of particles. Such a restriction turns out to be the necessary condition of the compatibility of equation in studying of the two-body problem in the constraint dynamics approach[33, 44].

Based on the constraint dynamics ideas[33, 44] the classical relativistic two-particle system has been studied [5–8] for the first time, where a consistent and covariant approach has been developed for the relativistic canonical Hamiltonian mechanics. For more than forty years, beginning from 80th, the constraint dynamic approach have been actively and successfully developed in studying the quantum two-body systems, and applied for describing real compound systems in QED and QCD [16, 17, 19–24, 26]. Following the constraint dynamics the two interacting particle systems, involving spin-0 bosons and/or spin-(1/2) fermions, is studied [16, 17]. The obtained results and is applied to the description of the quarkonium states [17]. In the framework of the constraint dynamics approach the 4×4 matrix wave function satisfying an equation of the Pauli-Schrodinger type is constructed[18]. Later, the developed approach was generalized to the case of the N interaction particle[19].

Along with researches[16, 17, 19], by developing previous results[4, 7], the quantum consideration of two spinless[20] and spin-1/2[20] particles under vector and scalar interaction, have been developed, using the constraint mechanics for the two-body Klein-Gordon and Dirac equations. The hyperbolic interaction structure of these equations, established earlier[20, 21], allows[22] us to include the pseudoscalar, pseudovector, and tensor interactions in the co responding motion equations. The Hamiltonian formulation of the relativistic N-body problem in a separable two-body basis, in which the particles interact pair-wise through scalar and vector interactions, is developed in Ref.[23]. The worked out approaches[20–23] have been further applied to researches in a meson spectroscopy [25, 26], and in nuclear-nuclear collisions[24]. The two-body states in the case of QED interaction[27] and when the interaction is realized due to a neutral boson[28], has been studied in terms of the action integral in the coordinate representation that leads to the non-covariant Breit-like equation in the coordinate space-time.

The dynamics of the two-body systems is studied in the Lagrange formalism in the case when such a system consists of two classical relativistic particles[11, 12]. The singular-Lagrangian approach to the two-body problem is derived therein[11, 12].

The relativistic two-particle systems in external fields which consist of scalar[13, 15] and fermion[14] particles are considered in the context of the covariant separation of the relative and mutual motion such systems. Having been mainly concentrated on the mutual motion and on constraint relations concerning external field[13–15], the reconstruction of the relative motion spectrum as well as the spin mixing effect turn out beyond the consideration mentioned above[13–15].

Despite the active use of the relativistic version of the third Newton law[20–23], the requirements for the interaction potentials that allow to say about the two-body problem in the relativistic case, as well as the modification of the third Newton law in external field potentials, have still remained to be undiscussable. The same concerns arising the special phase space for the two-body system evolution as well as relations between the seed constituent and effective phenomenological potential what are beyond previous considerations[16, 17, 19–24, 26]. Besides that, even in the absence of external field, the Dirac equation derived in earlier[16, 17, 19–24, 26] turn out to be very complicate for analyzing the dynamics of fields in real physical situations. Therefore, the question whether it is possible to find some new relevant γ -matrix basis which allows to simplify the Dirac equation structure in the two-body problem, has remained unsolved.

In the present paper the two-body problem in the external vector fields is studied in the Lagrange formalism beyond the constraint Hamiltonian dynamics. The exact covariant operator equations governing scalar particles and spin-1/2 fermions have been derived. The obtained equation are formulated in the standard space-time variables of the relative and center mass motion of the two-body system, beyond the consideration in the Breit frame of reference only[16, 17, 19–24, 26]. The class of interaction and external field potentials when the two-body problem can be covariantly reformulated in (3+1) phase space of the relative motion variables, which are uncoupled from the center mass variables, is pointed out.

Provided that Newton’s third law occurs, the Klein-Gordon equation, which governs either dynamics of a scalar particle or describes each of a single component of the 16 components spinor of the spin-1/2 fermion, depending on the particle type, has been derived in the (3+1) phase space. In the case of 1/2-spin particles we find a new γ -matrix basis which allows us to go to the Dirac-like equation which is decoupled in four independent equations for four different components of the initial 16 component spinor. In terms of the derived Dirac-like equations the Lagrangian governing the dynamics of two spin-1/2 fermions in an external field has been found. This Lagrangian generates the conservative fermion current.

In the case of pure QED the developed approach is applied to studying the low energy states of a positronium in a magnetic field, taking into account spin-mixing effect. It is shown that strong magnetic fields result in essentially reconstructing the spectrum of positronium states and decreasing the life-time of the positronium ground state.

The paper is organized as follows. The system consisting of two interacting scalar particles in external fields is studied in Section 2. Two interacting particles with spin-1/2

in external fields are considered in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to studying the low energy states of a positronium in a strong uniform magnetic field. The last section is the conclusion. The details of some calculations are given in an appendix.

2 Two interacting spinless particles in external fields

We consider two scalar particles with masses m_1 and m_2 which interact by means of vector $A_{int}^\nu(x)$ and scalar $S_{int}(x)$ potentials, and which also are in an external vector $A_{ext}^\nu(x)$ and scalar $S_{ext}(x)$ potentials. Such external potentials transform the standard confined two-body problem into the open one. The action integral of such a system of particles consists of two terms

$$\mathfrak{A} = \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\lambda_i}{2} \int dx_i \left(|\pi_i^\mu \Phi_i(x_i)|^2 - \Phi_i^*(x_i) (m_i + \mathcal{S}_i(x_i))^2 \Phi_i(x_i) \right) \quad (2.1a)$$

$$\pi_i^\nu \equiv p_i^\nu + \mathcal{A}_i^\nu(x_i) = i\partial_i^\nu + \mathcal{A}_i^\nu(x_i), \quad (2.1b)$$

where $i = 1, 2$ enumerates particles, $\Phi_i(x_i)$ denotes a scalar field, $p_i^\nu = i\partial_i^\nu = (i\partial^0, -i\nabla)$ is a particle momentum; $g_{\nu\mu}$ is the metric tensor which diagonal is $diag = (1, -1, -1, -1)$, whereas $x = (x^0, \mathbf{x})$. The parameters λ_i are the Lagrange multipliers; $\mathcal{A}_i^\nu(x_i)$ and $\mathcal{S}_i(x_i)$ are the vector and scalar potentials acting on the field $\Phi_i(x_i)$, respectively. These potentials consist of two terms which describe interaction between particles inside the two-body and an external fields acting on each particle independently. For brevity, the coupling constants are not written explicitly, and are included into the potential $\mathcal{A}_i^\nu(x_i)$ and $\mathcal{S}_i(x_i)$.

Further consideration requires to discuss the structure of the interaction terms in Eq.(2.1a). The fields governing interaction inside the confined two-body problem have to obviously take into account the states of both interaction particle, whereas any external field acting on the each of the considered particles is the same, differing by the coupling constant in the interaction term. Then, we write the interaction potentials as follows

$$\mathcal{A}_i^\nu(x_i) = A_i^\nu(x_i) + \frac{\int dx_j \Phi_j^*(x_j) A_{ij}^\nu(x_i - x_j) \Phi_j(x_j)}{\int dx_j \Phi_j^*(x_j) \Phi_j(x_j)}, \quad (2.2a)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_i(x_i) = S_i(x_i) + \frac{\int dx_j \Phi_j^*(x_j) S_{ij}(x_i - x_j) \Phi_j(x_j)}{\int dx_j \Phi_j^*(x_j) \Phi_j(x_j)}. \quad (2.2b)$$

Here A_i^ν and S_j denote the potentials affecting the i -th particle by an external field, whereas A_{ij}^ν and S_{ij} supplied by a double subscribe notate the potentials acting by the j -th particle on the i -th one, inside the two-body system ($i, j = 1, 2, i \neq j$). All of A_{ij}^ν depends, in general, on the fields $\Phi_j(x_j)$. However, we ignore such a dependence, restricting by consideration of the so-called mean field approximation for the two-particle interaction.

As for the external potentials, to eliminate interaction between external and interaction potential we demand them orthogonality which means the following in the case of the vector potentials

$$A_{ij}^\nu(A_\nu)_k = 0, \quad i, j, k = 1, 2. \quad (2.3)$$

The restrictions to the external scalar potentials will be discussed below in Sec.2.4.

2.1 Free particles

Let us consider the two-body problem in case of free particle to clarify selection of the Lagrange multiplier $\lambda_{1,2}$.

We firstly take the Lagrange multipliers to be

$$\lambda_1 = \left(\int dx_2 \Phi_2^*(x_2) \Phi_2(x_2) \right), \quad \lambda_2 = \left(\int dx_1 \Phi_1^*(x_1) \Phi_1(x_1) \right). \quad (2.4)$$

Then, we introduce the canonically conjugation variables¹

$$P = p_1 + p_2, \quad p = \mu_1 p_2 - \mu_2 p_1, \quad (2.5a)$$

$$p_1 = \mu_1 P - p, \quad p_2 = \mu_2 P + p, \quad (2.5b)$$

$$X = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2), \quad x = x_2 - x_1. \quad (2.5c)$$

where

$$\mu_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{M^2} \right), \quad \mu_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{M^2} \right), \quad (2.6a)$$

$$\mu_1 + \mu_2 = 1, \quad M = |p_1 + p_2| = |P|. \quad (2.6b)$$

In Eq. (2.5a) P and p are the total and relative momentum of the two-body system, respectively, while $M = |P| = |p_1 + p_2|$ is its invariant mass. The momenta P, p , and coordinates X, x satisfy the following commutative relations

$$\begin{aligned} [P_j, x_k] &= [p_j, X_k] = 0, \\ [P_j, X_k] &= -i\delta_{jk}, \quad [p_j, x_k] = -i\delta_{jk}, \quad j, k = 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

In terms of such new variables the action integral becomes to be equal to

$$\mathfrak{A}_+ = \int dX dx \int dX dx \Phi^*(X, x) \left(p^2 + E_w^2 - m_w^2 - \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{M^2} Pp \right) \Phi(X, x), \quad (2.8)$$

where we introduce an effective energy and an effective mass of the two-body system[4, 7, 20, 21],

¹The same momenta are used earlier[3, 4]

$$E_w = \frac{1}{2M}(M^2 - (m_1^2 + m_2^2)), \quad m_w = \frac{m_1 m_2}{M}, \quad (2.9)$$

and the two-body field $\Phi(X, x)$

$$\Phi(X, x) = \Phi_1(X - x/2)\Phi_2(X + x/2). \quad (2.10)$$

Varying with respect to the field $\Phi^*(X, x)$ we obtain the motion equation

$$\left(E_w^2 + p^2 - m_w^2 - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)Pp\right)\Phi(X, x) = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Let us take the Lagrange multiplier as follows

$$\lambda_1 = \left(\int dx \Phi_2^*(x)\Phi_2(x)\right), \lambda_2 = -\left(\int dx \Phi_1^*(x)\Phi_1(x)\right). \quad (2.12)$$

Then, we get the action integral \mathfrak{A}_-

$$\mathfrak{A}_- = \int dX dx \int dX dx \Phi^*(X, x)(Pp)\Phi(X, x). \quad (2.13)$$

Such an action integral generates the motion equation

$$(Pp)\Phi(X, x) = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

This motion equation corresponds to the relativistic version of the third Newton's law[20, 21] and is in entire relation to Eq.(19)[21]. Such a results is physically understandable since the Lagrange multipliers selection dictated by Eq.(2.12) keeps the particle interaction only, eliminating their kinematics.

Provided that Eq.(2.14) the motion equation takes a form

$$\left(E_w^2 + p^2 - m_w^2\right)\Phi(X, x) = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

This equation is covariant in $\mathfrak{R}_1^3(X) \otimes \mathfrak{R}_1^3(x)$ space corresponding to the both center-mass and relative motion. Such types of motion can be obviously decoupled looking for the solution of Eq.(2.15) as

$$\Phi(X, x) = \chi(X)\varphi(x), \quad (2.16)$$

where $\chi(X)$ is the eigenfunction of an equation

$$E_w^2 \chi(X) = E_w^2(P) \chi(X). \quad (2.17)$$

As a result, we go to the Eq.(2.18) for the function $\phi(x)$ where $E_w^2(P)$ has already been a C -function.

$$\left(E_w^2(P) + p^2 - m_w^2 \right) \phi(x) = 0. \quad (2.18)$$

Although this equation is covariant in the $\mathfrak{R}_1^3(x)$ but it cannot be reduced to the standard Klein-Gordon equation.

We look for the solution of Eq.(2.18) as follows

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \exp(-i\tau p^0). \quad (2.19)$$

We breaking the $\mathfrak{R}_1^3(x)$ covariance in favor of keeping the Klein-Gordon form of the motion equation, that turns out to be reasonable under studying two interaction particles, and introduce ε_w and θ_w

$$E_w(P) = \varepsilon_w \cos \theta_w \quad (2.20a)$$

$$p^0 = \varepsilon_w \sin \theta_w. \quad (2.20b)$$

As a result, we get

$$\left(\varepsilon_w^2 + \mathbf{p}^2 - m_w^2 \right) \varphi(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad (2.21)$$

the obtained equation is the Klein-Gordon equation which is covariant in the $\mathfrak{R}_1^3(\varepsilon_w, \mathbf{x})$ phase space[3–12, 16–26] rather than the standard coordinate $\mathfrak{R}_1^3(x)$ space-time.

2.2 Interacting particles

In the case of interacting particles we construct the action integrals \mathfrak{A}_+ and \mathfrak{A}_- by taking the Lagrange multipliers $\lambda_{1,2}$ according to Eqs.(2.4), (2.12) as it has been done above for the free particles. Such action integrals generate the motion equations after going to the center mass and relative motion variables (see Eq.(2.5)).

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} & 2(p^2 + E_w^2 - m_w^2 - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)Pp) + \mathcal{A}_1^2(X - x/2) + 2\mathcal{A}_1(X - x/2)(\mu_2 P - p) + \\ & [(\mu_2 P - p); \mathcal{A}_1(X - x/2)] + \mathcal{A}_2^2(X + x/2) + 2\mathcal{A}_2(X + x/2)(\mu_1 P + p) + \\ & [(\mu_1 P + p); \mathcal{A}_2(X + x/2)] - 2m_1 \mathcal{S}_1(X - x/2) - \mathcal{S}_1^2(X - x/2) + \\ & - 2m_2 \mathcal{S}_2(X + x/2) - \mathcal{S}_2^2(X + x/2) \end{aligned} \right\} \Phi(X, x) = 0, \quad (2.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
-2Pp \Phi(X, x) = & \left\{ \mathcal{A}_1^2(X - x/2) + 2\mathcal{A}_1(X - x/2)(\mu_2 P - p) + [(\mu_2 P - p); \mathcal{A}_1(X - x/2)] - \right. \\
& \mathcal{A}_2^2(X + x/2) - 2\mathcal{A}_2(X + x/2)(\mu_1 P + p) + [(\mu_1 P + p); \mathcal{A}_2(X + x/2)] - \\
& \left. 2m_1 \mathcal{S}_1(X - x/2) - \mathcal{S}_1^2(X - x/2) - 2m_2 \mathcal{S}_2(X + x/2) - \mathcal{S}_2^2(X + x/2) \right\} \Phi(X, x), \quad (2.23)
\end{aligned}$$

where the square brackets denote, as usual, a commutator.

Obtained above Eq.(2.23) governs breaking the 3-rd Newton law which naturally takes place when there is no restriction to interaction potentials.

Substitution Eq.(2.22) into Eq.(2.23) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{ (E_w^2 + p^2 - m_w^2) + \mathcal{A}_1^2(X - x/2)\mu_1 + 2\mathcal{A}_1(X - x/2)(\mu_2 P - p)\mu_1 + \right. \\
& [(\mu_2 P - p); \mathcal{A}_1(X - x/2)]\mu_1 + \mathcal{A}_2^2(X + x/2)\mu_2 + 2\mathcal{A}_2(X + x/2)(\mu_1 P + p)\mu_2 + \\
& [(\mu_1 P + p); \mathcal{A}_2(X + x/2)]\mu_2 - 2m_1 \mathcal{S}_1(X - x/2)\mu_1 - \mathcal{S}_1^2(X - x/2)\mu_1 + \\
& \left. - 2m_2 \mathcal{S}_2(X + x/2)\mu_2 - \mathcal{S}_2^2(X + x/2)\mu_2 \right\} \Phi(X, x) = 0. \quad (2.24)
\end{aligned}$$

Note, that Eq.(2.24) can be also derived by summing and subtracting the motion equation for each of the particles consisting of the two-body system.

2.3 The two-body problem in the absence of external fields

The center-mass and relative motion variables turn out to be uncoupled in Eq.(2.24) due external potentials since these potentials depend on the coordinate each a particle independently (see Eqs.(2.2a), (2.2a)). We firstly consider the situation when there is no external potentials acting on the two-body system. We also assume that the potentials $\mathcal{A}_i^\mu = (\mathcal{A}_1^0, \mathbf{A}_i) = \mathcal{A}_i^\mu(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathcal{S}_i = \mathcal{S}_i(\mathbf{x})$, where $i = 1, 2$ numerates particles, depend only on the spatial relative coordinate \mathbf{x} . Moreover, let the following symmetry relation be

$$\mathbf{A}_1^\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{A}_2^\mu(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \mathbf{A}^\mu(\mathbf{x}), \quad (2.25a)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_i^0(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{A}_i^0(-\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) = -\mathbf{A}(-\mathbf{x}) \quad (2.25b)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_i(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{S}_i(-\mathbf{x}). \quad (2.25c)$$

Then, we separate the center-mass and relative motion variables, following Eqs.(2.16), (2.17). As a result, in terms of ε_w and θ_w given by Eq.(2.20) we obtain from Eq.(2.24)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{ (\varepsilon_w^2 + (\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}))^2 - m_w^2) + \mu_1 (\mathcal{A}_1^0)^2(\mathbf{x}) + \mu_2 (\mathcal{A}_2^0)^2(\mathbf{x}) + 2\mu_1 \mu_2 P^0 (\mathcal{A}_1^0(\mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{A}_2^0(\mathbf{x})) \right. \\
& \left. + 2p^0 (\mu_2 \mathcal{A}_2^0(\mathbf{x}) - \mu_1 \mathcal{A}_1^0(\mathbf{x})) - \mu_1 \mathcal{S}_1^2 - \mu_2 \mathcal{S}_2^2(\mathbf{x}) - 2(m_1 \mu_1 \mathcal{S}_1(\mathbf{x}) + m_2 \mu_2 \mathcal{S}_2(\mathbf{x})) \right\} \varphi(\mathbf{x}) = 0. \quad (2.26)
\end{aligned}$$

We write the potentials $\mathcal{A}_i^0(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathcal{S}_1(\mathbf{x})$ as follows

$$\mathcal{A}_1^0(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_1}} \mathcal{A}^0(\mathbf{x}) \cos \theta_A, \quad \mathcal{A}_2^0(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_2}} \mathcal{A}^0(\mathbf{x}) \sin \theta_A, \quad (2.27a)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_1(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_1}} \mathcal{S}^0(\mathbf{x}) \cos \theta_S, \quad \mathcal{S}_2(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_2}} \mathcal{S}^0(\mathbf{x}) \sin \theta_S. \quad (2.27b)$$

Substituting Eq.(2.27) into Eq.(2.26) we derive

$$\left\{ \varepsilon_w^2 + (\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}))^2 - m_w^2 + (\mathcal{A}^0)^2(\mathbf{x}) + 2\mathcal{A}^0(\mathbf{x})P^0 \cos(\theta_A - \psi_A) - 2\mathcal{A}^0(\mathbf{x})p^0 \cos(\theta_A + \psi_A) - \mathcal{S}^2(\mathbf{x}) - 2\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x})(m_1\sqrt{\mu_1} \cos \theta_S + m_2\sqrt{\mu_2} \sin \theta_S) \right\} \varphi(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad (2.28)$$

where $\tan \psi_A = \sqrt{\mu_2/\mu_1}$.

We take θ_A and θ_S so that the following equations takes place

$$P^0 \cos(\theta_A - \psi_A) - p^0 \cos(\theta_A + \psi_A) = \varepsilon_w \quad (2.29)$$

$$m_1\sqrt{\mu_1} \cos \theta_S + m_2\sqrt{\mu_2} \sin \theta_S = m_w. \quad (2.30)$$

Then, the motion equation takes a form

$$\left\{ (\varepsilon_w + \mathcal{A}^0(\mathbf{x}))^2 + (\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}))^2 - (m_w + \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}))^2 \right\} \varphi(\mathbf{x}) = 0. \quad (2.31)$$

If the solution of Eq.(2.31) is known the general solution of the problem can be written using Eqs.(2.17), (2.19), provided that Eq.(2.25) also takes place.

In the Breit frame, when $\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 = 0$ the obtained equation Eq.(2.31) coincides with the motion equations have derived earlier[20, 21].

2.4 The two-body problem in external fields

We consider the open two-body problem when the two-particle interaction system is also in external fields. The possibility to factorize the center-mass and relative motion variables, as it has been done above in the absence of external potentials, is entirely determined on the structure of the first terms in Eq.(2.2a), (2.2b).

In order to the center-mass and relative motion variables could be separated the sums of external potentials $A_1^v(X-x/2)+A_2^v(X+x/2)$ and $S_1(X-x/2)+S_2(X+x/2)$ should depend only on either X or x . Since such a demand is impossible for the scalar field we exclude the external scalar field from our consideration below, setting $S_1(X-x/2) = S_2(X+x/2) = 0$. As for the external vector fields the center-mass and relative motion variables are decoupled when the vector potentials are a linear function of coordinates

$$A_1^\nu(X - x/2) + A_2^\nu(X + x/2) = e^{\nu\mu\alpha\beta} F_{\mu\alpha}(x_1 \pm x_2)_\beta, \quad (2.32)$$

where $F_{\mu\alpha}$ is some 4-tensor being independent on coordinates. When the plus sign occurs the external potential affects the center mass motion, while in the opposite case the external fields influence on the relative motion.

We note that the demand given by Eq.(2.32) takes place particularly provided that $F_{\mu\alpha}$ is the tensor of homogeneous electromagnetic fields.

3 Two spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles in external fields

We consider spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles with masses m_1 and m_2 which interact each other by means of vector and scalar fields, which are $A_{int}^\nu(x)$ and $S_{int}(x)$, respectively. These particles also are in the external vector $A_{ext}^\nu(x)$. Such a two-body system is described by the 16-component spinor which each component $\varphi_i(\varepsilon_w, \mathbf{r})$ is governed by the Klein-Gordon equation (2.24). Provided that the interaction and external potentials satisfy Eqs.(2.25) (2.32), respectively, these equation is simplified, and $\varphi_i(\varepsilon_w, \mathbf{r})$ are governed by Eq.(2.31)

$$\left\{ (\varepsilon_w + \mathcal{A}^0(\mathbf{x}))^2 + (\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}))^2 - (m_w + \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}))^2 \right\} \varphi_i(\varepsilon_w, \mathbf{x}) = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Following the standard way we rewrite this equation in a form

$$\hat{p}_\nu g^{\nu\mu} \hat{p}_\mu \varphi_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where we introduce $\hat{p}_\nu = \left(\sqrt{(\varepsilon_w + \mathcal{A}^0(\mathbf{x}))^2 - (m_w + \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}))^2}, -(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})) \right)$; and the metric tensor $g^{\nu\mu}$ which non-equal to zeroth diagonal componenets are $\text{diag} = (1, -1, -1, -1)$.

To obtain the motion equation in the Dirac-like form we express the metric tensor in terms of the γ -matrices of the (16×16) dimension. The relations (2.5) which introduce new variables imply going to a new basis in the γ -matrix space so that the new and old γ -matrices are related to each other by means of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Gamma} &= \mu_1 \overset{(1)}{\gamma} \otimes \overset{(2)}{I} + \mu_2 \overset{(2)}{\gamma} \otimes \overset{(1)}{I}, \\ \hat{\gamma} &= \overset{(2)}{\gamma} \otimes \overset{(1)}{I} - \overset{(1)}{\gamma} \otimes \overset{(2)}{I} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where the hatted $\hat{\gamma}$ -matrices form a new basis, the numbers in brackets which are over a symbol means that this matrix acts on the variables of the corresponding particle, $\overset{(1,2)}{I}$ is the unit matrix in the space of the first or second particle, respectively. These matrices satisfy the following transformation relations (see Appendix B)

$$\hat{\Gamma}^0 \hat{\Gamma}^0 + \hat{\Gamma}^0 \hat{\Gamma}^0 = 2 \begin{matrix} (1+2) \\ I \end{matrix}; \quad (3.4)$$

$$\hat{\Gamma}^0 \hat{\gamma}^a + \hat{\gamma}^a \hat{\Gamma}^0 = 0, \quad a = 1, 2, 3; \quad (3.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\gamma}^a \hat{\gamma}^b + \hat{\gamma}^b \hat{\gamma}^a &= -4\delta^{ab} \begin{matrix} (1+2) \\ I \end{matrix} + \\ (\sigma_1^a \sigma_2^b + \sigma_2^b \sigma_1^a + \sigma_2^a \sigma_1^b + \sigma_1^b \sigma_2^a) &\begin{matrix} (1+2) \\ I \end{matrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where \mathbf{s}_1 and \mathbf{s}_2 denote particle spins, δ^{ab} is the Kronecker symbol, $\begin{matrix} (1+2) \\ I \end{matrix}$ is the 16×16 unit matrix. Such transformation relations allow us to write down the metric tensor in terms of new γ - matrices as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^\nu \Gamma^\mu + \Gamma^\nu \Gamma^\mu &= 2g^{\nu\mu} + \\ (\sigma_1^a \sigma_2^b + \sigma_2^b \sigma_1^a + \sigma_2^a \sigma_1^b + \sigma_1^b \sigma_2^a + 4\delta^{ab}) &\begin{matrix} (1+2)/2 \\ I \end{matrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

$$\Gamma^\nu = \left(\hat{\Gamma}^0, \frac{\hat{\gamma}^a}{2} \right) \equiv (\Gamma^0, \mathbf{\Gamma}) \quad (3.8)$$

Taking $g^{\nu\mu}$ from Eq.(3.7) and substituting it into Eq.(3.2), we obtain the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\Gamma^0 [(\varepsilon_w + \mathcal{A}^0(\mathbf{x}))^2 - (m_w + \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}))^2]^{1/2} \right)^2 - \\ (\mathbf{\Gamma} \hat{\mathbf{p}})^2 \Psi(E_w, \mathbf{r}) &= \left[\frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2) \hat{\mathbf{p}} \right]^2 \Psi(\varepsilon_w, \mathbf{x}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where $\Psi(\varepsilon_w, \mathbf{r})$ is the 16-component spinor mentioned above in the current section. Eq.(3.9) leads to the Dirac-like form of the motion equations for this spinor

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_0 [(\varepsilon_w + \mathcal{A}^0(\mathbf{x}))^2 - (m_w + \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}))^2]^{1/2} \Psi(\varepsilon_w, \mathbf{x}) = \\ (\mathbf{\Gamma} + \mathbf{S}) \hat{\mathbf{p}} \Psi(\varepsilon_w, \mathbf{x}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_0 [(\varepsilon_w + \mathcal{A}^0(\mathbf{x}))^2 - (m_w + \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}))^2]^{1/2} \Psi(\varepsilon_w, \mathbf{x}) = \\ (\mathbf{\Gamma} - \mathbf{S}) \hat{\mathbf{p}} \Psi(\varepsilon_w, \mathbf{x}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where $\mathbf{p} = -i\nabla$; $\hat{\mathbf{p}} = \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{S} = (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2)/2$ in Eqs.(3.10), (3.11) is assumed to be multiplied by $\begin{matrix} (1+2) \\ I \end{matrix}$. It is very important to mention that such a structure of the γ^μ matrices defined by Eq.(3.3) leads to decoupling (see, also Appendix B) the motion equation (3.9) in four independent equation as compared with the previous researches[16, 17, 19–24, 26].

Assuming the Eq.(3.10) is the leading, let us establish the physical sense of Eqs.(3.11). To clarify it we change the numbers of particles in the two-body system. According to Eq.(3.3) this procedure consists in changing $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow -\mathbf{p}$, $\mathbf{\Gamma} \rightarrow -\mathbf{\Gamma}$. Making the changes mentioned

above in Eq.(3.10), we obtain the equation which coincides with Eq.(3.11). Thus, choosing the leading equation among Eqs.(3.10) and Eq.(3.11) means fixation of particle numbering.

Provided that $\Psi(\varepsilon_w, \mathbf{x})$ is governed by Eq.(3.10), the direct calculations give that the Dirac conjugated field $\bar{\Psi}(\varepsilon_w, \mathbf{x}) = \Psi^\dagger(\varepsilon_w, \mathbf{x})\Gamma^0$ satisfies an equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{\Psi}(\varepsilon_w, \mathbf{x})\Gamma_0[(\varepsilon_w + \mathcal{A}^0(\mathbf{x}))^2 - (m_w + \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}))^2]^{1/2} = \\ & -\bar{\Psi}(\varepsilon_w, \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{\Gamma} + \mathbf{S})\overleftarrow{\mathbf{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

3.1 Lagrangian and current of fermions in the center-mass and relative motion variables

Eqs.(3.10), (3.12) allow us to derive the Lagrangian governing the dynamics of the two-body system in an external field which is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L} = & \frac{1}{2}\bar{\Psi}(\varepsilon_w, \mathbf{x}) \left\{ \left(\Gamma_0[(\varepsilon_w + \mathcal{A}^0(\mathbf{x}))^2 - (m_w + \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}))^2]^{1/2} - \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. (\mathbf{\Gamma} + \mathbf{S})\hat{\mathbf{p}} \right) + \left(\Gamma_0[(\varepsilon_w + \mathcal{A}^0(\mathbf{x}))^2 - (m_w + \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}))^2]^{1/2} + \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. (\mathbf{\Gamma} + \mathbf{S})\overleftarrow{\mathbf{p}} \right) \right\} \Psi(\varepsilon_w, \mathbf{x}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Such a Lagrangian generates the current

$$\mathbf{J}(E_w, \mathbf{r}) = \bar{\Psi}(\varepsilon_w, \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{\Gamma} + \mathbf{S})\Psi(\varepsilon_w, \mathbf{x}), \quad (3.14)$$

whose conservation can be expressed in terms of the continuity equation.

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}(\varepsilon_w, \mathbf{x}) = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

4 A positronium in a strong magnetic field

We apply the obtained result to a study of observable physical phenomena, by considering a positronium in a strong uniform magnetic field. Such a problem is important in the astrophysics when the electromagnetic signals coming from the astrophysical objects such as pulsars or neutron stars[35, 36], whose energy is about $0.511MeV$, likely correspond to the electromagnetic decay of the positronium ground state into two photons.

We study positronium states in a magnetic field in the Breit frame. Then, $\varepsilon_w = E_w$ in left-hand side of Eq.(3.10). After that we take the scalar potential $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ therein, and direct the magnetic field \mathbf{B} along the OZ axis. Further, we go to the second order equitation, by acting on Eq.(3.10) with the left-hand side operator of Eq.(3.11). As a result, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\Delta + \frac{2(4\pi\alpha)E_w}{r} + \frac{(4\pi\alpha)^2}{r^2} + i\sqrt{\pi\alpha}\nabla(\mathbf{B} \times \boldsymbol{\rho}) - \right. \\
& \left. 4\pi\alpha B^2 \rho^2 - m_w^2 + E_w^2 \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Psi(E_w, \mathbf{r}) \\
& = -\sqrt{\pi\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{z,1} + \sigma_{z,2} & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{z,1} + \sigma_{z,2} \end{pmatrix} \Psi(E_w, \mathbf{r}), \tag{4.1}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = e^2/4\pi$ is the fine structure constant; $\sigma_{z(1,2)}$ are the Pauli matrices acting on the spin variables of the first (an electron) and the second (a positron) particle. In obtaining the latest equation we have taken

$$A_{int}^\mu = (A_{int}^0, 0) = \left(-\frac{4\pi\alpha}{r}, 0\right); \quad \mathcal{A}_{ext} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{r}). \tag{4.2}$$

Eq.(4.1) contains two diagonal matrices that allows us to go to two-component functions $\Psi(E_w, \mathbf{r})$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\Delta + \frac{2(4\pi\alpha)E_w}{r} + \frac{(4\pi\alpha)^2}{r^2} + i\sqrt{\pi\alpha}\nabla(\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{r}) - \right. \\
& \left. 4\pi\alpha B^2 \rho^2 - m_w^2 + E_w^2 \right) \Psi(E_w, \mathbf{r}) \\
& = -\sqrt{\pi\alpha}(\sigma_{z,1} + \sigma_{z,2})\Psi(E_w, \mathbf{r}). \tag{4.3}
\end{aligned}$$

We study the low energy states ($S = S_z = 0$) of a positronium, which are differed by the spin number S , in a strong magnetic field \mathbf{B} when the magnetic length $a = (2\sqrt{\pi\alpha}B)^{-1/2}$ is much smaller than the positronium Bohr radius $a_B = 1/2\pi\alpha m_e$

$$a \ll a_B, \tag{4.4}$$

where m_e is the electron mass.

However, the ground state ($S = S_z = 0$) turn out to be mixed[46] with the triplet spin state ($S = 1, S_z = 0$) due to the right-hand side terms in Eq.(4.3). Therefore, we look for solution of this equation as follows

$$\Psi(E_w, \mathbf{r}) = \frac{\psi_{m=0,n=0}(\boldsymbol{\rho})}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{S=0}^1 \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_2 + (-1)^{S+1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_2 \right) \psi_S(z), \tag{4.5}$$

where the indexes mean the number of a particle; $\psi_{m=0,n=0}(\boldsymbol{\rho})$ is the wave function governing the transverse motion of a particle in the ground state in a magnetic field[47], which is equal to

$$\psi_{m=0,n=0}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = \frac{1}{a\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2}{4a^2}\right). \quad (4.6)$$

Here the cylindrical coordinates $\mathbf{r} = \boldsymbol{\rho} + \mathbf{e}_z z$ are introduced, m is the projection of an angular momentum along the \mathbf{B} direction, n is a radial quantum number. Substituting $\Psi(E_w, \mathbf{r})$ given by Eq.(4.5) into Eq.(4.3), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} + 2^{1/2} \sqrt{\pi} (4\pi\alpha) E_w a e^{\xi^2/2} \operatorname{erfc}(|\xi|/\sqrt{2}) + \right. \\ & \left. \frac{(4\pi\alpha)^2 e^{\xi^2/2}}{2} E_1(\xi^2/2) - \varepsilon_S^2 \right) \psi_S(\xi) = -2\sqrt{\pi\alpha} \psi_{1-S}(\xi), \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where the introduced $\operatorname{erfc}(x)$ is the complementary error function, $E_1(x)$ is the integral exponent[48]. We have also denoted in Eq.(4.7)

$$z/a \equiv \xi, \quad (m_w^2 - E_w^2)a^2 + 1 + (-1)^{S+1} \equiv \varepsilon_S^2. \quad (4.8)$$

Since the magnetic field is strong the particle² is weakly bound with respect to a motion along the OZ axis as compared with the transverse motion. Besides that, the term

$$\begin{aligned} -U(\xi) \equiv & \left(2^{1/2} \sqrt{\pi} (4\pi\alpha) E_w a e^{\xi^2/2} \operatorname{erfc}(|\xi|/\sqrt{2}) + \right. \\ & \left. \frac{(4\pi\alpha)^2 e^{\xi^2/2}}{2} E_1(\xi^2/2) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

is weakly singular since $U(\xi) \propto -1/\sqrt{\xi}$ at small $\xi \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, we change the exact potential $U(\xi)$ by its approximate form

$$U(\xi) \simeq \delta(\xi) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi_S^*(\xi') U(\xi') \psi_S(\xi') d\xi', \quad (4.10)$$

where $\psi_S(\xi)$ satisfies an equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} - \delta(\xi) \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi_S^*(\xi') U(\xi') \psi_S(\xi') d\xi' \right) - \varepsilon_S^2 \right) \psi_S(\xi) = \\ & -2\sqrt{\pi\alpha} \psi_{1-S}(\xi), \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

²The compound particle - a positronium - is meant here.

We substitute $\psi_S(\xi)$, given by the formula

$$\psi_S(\xi) = \sqrt{k_S} \exp(-k_S|\xi|), \quad (4.12)$$

into Eq.(4.11), where $k_S = \sqrt{\varepsilon_S}$ is a positive constant. As a result, we derive the equation to obtain k_S

$$\begin{aligned} k_S = & (4\pi\alpha)^2 \int_0^\infty d\xi \exp(\xi^2/2 - 2k_S\xi) E_1(\xi^2/2) + \\ & 2^{3/2} \sqrt{\pi} (4\pi\alpha) E_w a \int_0^\infty d\xi \exp(\xi^2/2 - 2k_S\xi) \operatorname{erfc}(\xi/\sqrt{2}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

The validity limit of the derived equation is $k_S \ll 1$. At such k_S the second integral is approximately $\pi^{3/2}/\sqrt{2}$ [48], whereas the first integral can be approximately taken to be equal to $\ln(a_B/a)/\sqrt{\pi}$ [47] after the dimensional regularization.

As a result, we find

$$k_S = 2^{3/2} (4\pi\alpha) E_w a \ln(a_B/a) + (4\pi\alpha)^2 \frac{\pi^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (4.14)$$

In the non-relativistic case $m_e a \gg \alpha$ we obtain $k_S = 2^{3/2} (4\pi\alpha/3) m_e a \ln(a_B/a)$. Taking into account of Eq.(4.14), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} E_w = & \frac{m_e}{2} \left(1 + \frac{2(1 + (-1)^{S+1})}{(m_e a)^2} - \right. \\ & \left. 2(4\pi\alpha/3)^2 \ln^2(a_B/a) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

that relates to the results obtained earlier[49].

In the opposite limiting situation $m_e a \ll \alpha$, but provided that the vacuum polarization effects in a strong magnetic field are absent[46], we get $k_S = 9(2\pi)^{7/2} \alpha^2$, that gives

$$E_w \simeq \frac{1}{a^2} ((1 + (-1)^{S+1}) - (2\pi)^7 \alpha^4 / 162). \quad (4.16)$$

The obtained E_w sufficiently differs from the results has been derived above in the non-relativistic case. Eqs.(4.15), (4.16) show the stronger a magnetic field, the less the positronium level depth under the bottom of the main Landau zone.

4.1 Decay of the positronium ground state in a strong magnetic field

The decay width Γ of a particle is proportional to[51]

$$\Gamma \simeq \frac{|\psi(0)|^2}{M^2}, \quad (4.17)$$

where $\psi(0)$ and M are the wave function and mass of the decaying particle, respectively.

According to Eqs.(4.6), (4.12) the squared wave function module $|\psi(0)|^2$, depending on the absence or presence of a magnetic field, is of the order of

$$|\psi_{B=0}(0)|^2 \sim a_B^{-3} \quad |\psi_{B \neq 0}(0)|^2 \sim \frac{1}{a_B a^2}. \quad (4.18)$$

The estimations given by Eq.(4.18) show that a strong magnetic field leads to sufficient increasing, in $(a_B/a)^2 \gg 1$ times, the decay width as compared with the case $B = 0$. Such a behavior of the decay width in a magnetic field is a result of the additional compressing the electron-positron bound state by a magnetic field in the plane which is perpendicular to the \mathbf{B} -direction.

5 Conclusion

In terms of the principle of least action we study the open relativistic two-body problem, when two interacting particles also undergo effects of external potentials. Starting from the single particle action integral for a spinless particle we derive the Lagrangian governing the dynamics of both the two-body system of scalar particles and each of the 16 components of a spinor determining the two-fermion system in external fields, beyond the consideration in the Breit frame. The motion equations, generated such a Lagrangian, take into account the modification of Newton's third law due to in external fields, and have, in the general case, the operator structure in the variables of the relative momentum and the momentum of the center mass of the two-body system. Provided that Newton's third law takes place the derived equations are simplified, and turn out in a good relation to the equations have been obtained earlier in the constraint dynamic approach [20–24, 26] in the absence of external fields. Following the standard way, based on using the γ -matrix basis, the Klein-Gordon equation governing the evolution of each of the 16 components of the relativistic spinor is reduced to the Dirac-like equations. The new γ -matrix basis, when the derived Dirac-like equations turn out to be decoupled in four independent coupled equations which determine the dynamics of four-component spinors, is found. Based on the derived Dirac-like equations we obtain the Lagrangian which generates the conserving fermion current. In the case of the pure QED the developed approach has been applied to studying a positronium in a strong magnetic field. The positronium low energy states are studied, taking into account spin-mixing effect[46]. It is shown that strong magnetic fields result in essentially reconstructing the spectrum of the positronium states and decreasing the life-time of the positronium ground state.

A The transformation relation for Γ - matrices

According to Eqs.(3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Gamma} &= \mu_1 \overset{(1)}{\gamma} \otimes \overset{(2)}{I} + \mu_2 \overset{(2)}{\gamma} \otimes \overset{(1)}{I}, \\ \hat{\gamma} &= \overset{(2)}{\gamma} \otimes \overset{(1)}{I} - \overset{(1)}{\gamma} \otimes \overset{(2)}{I}. \end{aligned} \quad (A.1)$$

The matrices $\hat{\Gamma}$ and $\hat{\gamma}$ are explicitly given by formulas

$$\hat{\Gamma}^0 = (\mu_1 \overset{(1)}{\gamma^0} \otimes \overset{(2)}{I} + \mu_2 \overset{(2)}{\gamma^0} \otimes \overset{(1)}{I}) = \mu_1 \begin{pmatrix} \overset{(1)}{\gamma^0} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma^0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma^0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma^0} \end{pmatrix} + \mu_2 \begin{pmatrix} \overset{(2)}{\gamma^0} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma^0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma^0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma^0} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$\hat{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} \overset{(2)}{\gamma} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \overset{(1)}{\gamma} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where a number in the brackets which is over γ means that this matrix acts on the variable of the corresponding particle, $\overset{(a)}{I}$ is the unit matrix in the space of the a particle. Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{\Gamma}^0 \hat{\Gamma}_0 + \hat{\Gamma}_0 \hat{\Gamma}^0) &= 2\hat{\Gamma}^0 \hat{\Gamma}_0 = 2(\mu_1 \overset{(1)}{\gamma^0} \otimes \overset{(2)}{I} + \mu_2 \overset{(2)}{\gamma^0} \otimes \overset{(1)}{I}) \times \\ &(\mu_1 \overset{(1)}{\gamma^0} \otimes \overset{(2)}{I} + \mu_2 \overset{(2)}{\gamma^0} \otimes \overset{(1)}{I}) = 2(\mu_1^2 \overset{(1+2)}{I} + \mu_2^2 \overset{(1+2)}{I} + \\ &\mu_1 \mu_2 (\overset{(2)}{\gamma^0} \otimes \overset{(1)}{I} \cdot \overset{(1)}{\gamma^0} \otimes \overset{(2)}{I} + \overset{(1)}{\gamma^0} \otimes \overset{(2)}{I} \cdot \overset{(2)}{\gamma^0} \otimes \overset{(1)}{I})) \\ &= 2(\mu_1^2 \overset{(1+2)}{I} + \mu_2^2 \overset{(1+2)}{I} + 2\mu_1 \mu_2 (\overset{(1+2)}{\gamma^0} \cdot \overset{(1+2)}{\gamma^0})) = 2 \overset{(1+2)}{I}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where $\overset{(1+2)}{I}$ is the (16×16) unit matrix.

Calculation of the anti-commutator $[\hat{\Gamma}^0, \hat{\gamma}]_+$ leads to the following results

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\Gamma}^0 \hat{\gamma} + \hat{\gamma} \hat{\Gamma}^0 = & \left(\mu_1 \begin{pmatrix} \overset{(1)}{\gamma^0} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma^0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma^0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma^0} \end{pmatrix} + \mu_2 \begin{pmatrix} \overset{(2)}{\gamma^0} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma^0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma^0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma^0} \end{pmatrix} \right) \times \\
& \left(\begin{pmatrix} \overset{(2)}{\gamma} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \overset{(1)}{\gamma} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} \right) + \left(\begin{pmatrix} \overset{(2)}{\gamma} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \overset{(1)}{\gamma} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} \right) \times \\
& \left(\mu_1 \begin{pmatrix} \overset{(1)}{\gamma^0} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma^0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma^0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma^0} \end{pmatrix} + \mu_2 \begin{pmatrix} \overset{(2)}{\gamma^0} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma^0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma^0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma^0} \end{pmatrix} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\Gamma}^0 \hat{\gamma} + \hat{\gamma} \hat{\Gamma}^0 = \\
& \mu_1 \left(\begin{pmatrix} \gamma^0 \gamma^{(1)(2)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma^0 \gamma^{(1)(2)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma^0 \gamma^{(1)(2)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma^0 \gamma^{(1)(2)} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^0 \gamma^{(1)(1)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma^0 \gamma^{(1)(1)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma^0 \gamma^{(1)(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma^0 \gamma^{(1)(1)} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \gamma^0^{(2)(1)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma \gamma^0^{(2)(1)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma \gamma^0^{(2)(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma \gamma^0^{(2)(1)} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
& - \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \gamma^0^{(1)(1)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma \gamma^0^{(1)(1)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma \gamma^0^{(1)(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma \gamma^0^{(1)(1)} \end{pmatrix} + \mu_2 \left(\begin{pmatrix} \gamma^0 \gamma^{(2)(2)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma^0 \gamma^{(2)(2)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma^0 \gamma^{(2)(2)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma^0 \gamma^{(2)(2)} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^0 \gamma^{(2)(1)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma^0 \gamma^{(2)(1)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma^0 \gamma^{(2)(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma^0 \gamma^{(2)(1)} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
& + \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \gamma^0^{(2)(2)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma \gamma^0^{(2)(2)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma \gamma^0^{(2)(2)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma \gamma^0^{(2)(2)} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \gamma^0^{(1)(2)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma \gamma^0^{(1)(2)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma \gamma^0^{(1)(2)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma \gamma^0^{(1)(2)} \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

Then, we finally derive

$$\hat{\Gamma}^0 \hat{\gamma} + \hat{\gamma} \hat{\Gamma}^0 = 0. \tag{A.7}$$

Let us go to the anti-commutator $[\hat{\gamma}^a, \hat{\gamma}^b]_+$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\hat{\gamma}^a \hat{\gamma}^b + \hat{\gamma}^b \hat{\gamma}^a) = (\gamma^a \otimes I - \gamma^a \otimes I) (\gamma^b \otimes I - \gamma^b \otimes I) \\
& + (\gamma^b \otimes I - \gamma^b \otimes I) (\gamma^a \otimes I - \gamma^a \otimes I).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

Substituting the explicit form of $\hat{\gamma}^a$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(\hat{\gamma}^a \hat{\gamma}^b + \hat{\gamma}^b \hat{\gamma}^a) = & \left(\begin{pmatrix} \overset{(2)}{\gamma^a} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma^a} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma^a} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma^a} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \overset{(1)}{\gamma^a} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma^a} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma^a} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma^a} \end{pmatrix} \right) \times \left(\begin{pmatrix} \overset{(2)}{\gamma^b} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma^b} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma^b} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma^b} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \overset{(1)}{\gamma^b} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma^b} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma^b} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma^b} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
+ & \left(\begin{pmatrix} \overset{(2)}{\gamma^b} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma^b} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma^b} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma^b} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \overset{(1)}{\gamma^b} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma^b} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma^b} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma^b} \end{pmatrix} \right) \times \left(\begin{pmatrix} \overset{(2)}{\gamma^a} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma^a} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma^a} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \overset{(2)}{\gamma^a} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \overset{(1)}{\gamma^a} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma^a} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma^a} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \overset{(1)}{\gamma^a} \end{pmatrix} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

Making obvious transformation we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
(\hat{\gamma}^a \hat{\gamma}^b + \hat{\gamma}^b \hat{\gamma}^a) &= 4g^{ab} \frac{(1+2)^{1/2}}{I} + (\sigma_1^a \sigma_2^b + \sigma_2^b \sigma_1^a + \sigma_2^a \sigma_1^b + \sigma_1^b \sigma_2^a) \frac{(1+2)^{1/2}}{I} \\
&= -4\delta^{ab} \frac{(1+2)}{I} + (\sigma_1^a \sigma_2^b + \sigma_2^b \sigma_1^a + \sigma_2^a \sigma_1^b + \sigma_1^b \sigma_2^a) \frac{(1+2)^{1/2}}{I}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

References

- [1] G.Breit, *The Effect of Retardation on the Interaction of Two Electrons*, Phys. Rev. **34** 553 (1929).
- [2] G.Breit, *The Fine Structure of HE as a Test of the Spin Interactions of Two Electrons*, Phys. Rev.. **36** 383 (1930) ; *Dirac's Equation and the Spin-Spin Interactions of Two Electrons*, Phys. Rev.. **39** 553 (1932).
- [3] I.T.Todorov, *Quasipotential Equation Corresponding to the Relativistic Eikonal Approximation*, Phys.Rev. **D3** 2351 (1971) .
- [4] I.T.Todorov, *Dynamics of Relativistic Point Particles as a Problem with Constraints*, Dubna Joint Institute for Nuclear Researches, Reprint, E2-10125, (1976).
- [5] Ph. Droz-Vincent, *Hamiltonian systems of relativistic particles*, Reports on Math Phys. **B 8** 79(1975) .
- [6] M. Kalb and P. van Alstine, *Invariant Singular Actions for the two body Relativistic Problem: A Hamiltonian Formulation*, Yale Reports, C00-3075-146; C00-3075-156 (1976).
- [7] I.T.Todorov, 1978, *Sur la quantification d' un systeme mecanique avec des contraintes de deuxieme classe* , Annals of the Institute of H. Poincare A28, **207**.
- [8] A.Komar, *Constraint formalism of classical mechanics*, Phys. Rev.**D 18** 1881 (1978); *Interacting relativistic particles*, Phys. Rev.**D 18** 1887 (1978).

- [9] R. Arens, *Classical Lorentz Invariant Particles.*, J.Math.Phys. **12**, 2415 (1971).
- [10] A.A. Kirillov. *Element of the Theory of Representations*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg,1976
- [11] D. Dominici, J. Gomis, G. Longhi, *A Lagrangian for two interacting relativistic particles* , Nuovo Cim. , **B 48**, 152 (1978); *Nuovo Cim.A Lagrangian for two interacting relativistic particles: Canonical formulation* , **A 48**, 257 (1978).
- [12] D. Dominici, J. Gomis, G. Longhi, *A Lagrangian approach to the no-interaction theorem* Nuovo Cim., bf A 56, 263 (1980).
- [13] Ph.Droz-Vincent, *Two-Body Mass-Shell Constraints in a Constant Magnetic Field (Neutral Case)*, Int. J. Theor. Phys., **39**, 389 (2000).
- [14] J. Bijtebier, *A cluster-separable Born approximation for the 3D reduction of the three-fermion Bethe-Salpeter equation* , J. Phys., **G 26**, 871 (2000).
- [15] Ph.Droz-Vincent, *Relativistic Two-Boson System in Presence of Electromagnetic Plane Wave*, Int.J. Theor. Phys. , **48**, 2177 (2009).
- [16] H.Sazdjian, *it Relativistic wave equations for the dynamics of two interacting particles* Phys. Rev. **D33** 3401 (1986) .
- [17] H.Sazdjian, *Light fermion bound states in two-particle relativistic quantum mechanics*, Phys. Rev. **D33** 3435 (1986) .
- [18] J.Mourad, H.Sazdjian, *The two-fermion relativistic wave equations of Constraint Theory in the Pauli-Schrödinger form*, J.Math.Phys. **35** 6379 (1994).
- [19] H.Sazdjian, *N-body bound state relativistic wave equations* , Ann. of Phys.(Elsevier) **191** 52 (1989).
- [20] Crater, H.W., VanAlstine, P., *Two-body Dirac equations*. Annals of Physics. **148** 57 (1983)/
- [21] Crater, H.W., VanAlstine, P., *Two-body Dirac equations for particles interacting through world scalar and vector potentials*, Phys. Rev. **D36**, 3007 (1987).
- [22] H.W.Crater, P. Van Alstine, *Extension of two-body Dirac equations to general covariant interactions through a hyperbolic transformation* , J. Math. Phys. **31** 1998 (1990).
- [23] C.Y.Wong, H.W.Crater, *RelativisticN-body problem in a separable two-body basis*, Phys. Rev. **C63** 044907 (2001).
- [24] B.Liu, H.W.Crater, *Two-body Dirac equations for nucleon-nucleon scattering*, Phys. Rev. **C67** 024001 (2003).
- [25] Crater, H.W., Wong, C.Y, van Alstine, P., *Two Gamma Quarkonium and Positronium Decays with Two-Body Dirac Equations of Constraint Dynamics*, Phys. Rev. **D74** 054028 (2006).
- [26] Crater, H.W., Schiermeyer, *Relativistic two-body calculation of $b\bar{b}$ -mesons radiative decays*, Phys. Rev. **D82** 094020 (2010) .
- [27] A.O.Barut, N. Unal, *A new approach to bound state quantum electrodynamics. 1. Theory*, Physica **142A** 467 (1987) .
- [28] A.O.Barut , *The covariant many-body problem in quantumelectrodynamics*, J.Math.Phys **32** 1091 (1991).

- [29] A.A.Logunov and A. N.Tavkhelidze, *Quasi-optical approach in quantum field theory*, Nuovo Cimento **29** 380 (1963).
- [30] Aguilar, M.; et al. , (AMS Collaboration), *First Result from the Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer on the International Space Station: Precision Measurement of the Positron Fraction in Primary Cosmic Rays of 0.5-350 GeV* , Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 141102 (2013) .
- [31] J.Perez-Rios, S. T.Love, *Searching for light dark matter through Positronium decay*, Euro. Phys. Journal **D72** , 44 (2018).
- [32] Jung, D-W., Lee,K-Y., Yu, C., *Positronium decays with a dark Z and fermionic dark matter* , Phys. Rev. **D105** 095023 (2022).
- [33] G. Bertone, D. Hooper and J. Silk, *Particle Dark Matter: Evidence, Candidates and Constraints*, Phys. Rep. **405** 2005
- [34] M. Geller,a S. Iwamoto,b,c G. Lee,b,d,e Y. Shadmib and O. Telemd, *Dark quarkonium formation in the early universe*, JHEP **06** , 135 (2018).
- [35] B.L.Brown, *Astrophys. Journal, Part 2 - Letters to the Editor, A possible galactic positron annihilation medium - Neutral hydrogen*, **292**, L68 (1985) .
- [36] R.W.Bussard , R.Ramaty, R. J.Drachman, *The annihilation of galactic positrons*, *Astrophys. Journal*, **228**, 928 (1979).
- [37] R. C.Duncan, C.Thompson, *Formation of Very Strongly Magnetized Neutron Stars*, *Astrophys Journal Lett*, **392**, L9 (1992).
- [38] A.K.Harding, L. Dong,*Physics of Strongly Magnetized Neutron Stars*, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **9** 2631 (2006) .
- [39] K.Fukushima, D. E.Kharzeev , H.Warringa , *Testing the Chiral Magnetic and Chiral Vortical Effects in Heavy Ion Collisions*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.*,**104** , 212001 (2010).
- [40] V.Voronyuk , V.Toneev, W.Cassing, E.Bratkovskaya, V.Konchakovski et al., *Electromagnetic field evolution in relativistic heavy-ion collisions*, *Phys.Rev.C*, **C83**,054911 (2012).
- [41] K.Tuchin, *Electromagnetic field evolution in relativistic heavy-ion collisions*, *Adv.High Energy Phys.* **2103**, 490495 (2013) .
- [42] H.A.Bethe, E.E Salpeter , *Quantum mechanics of one- and two-electron atoms (Dover ed.)*. Mineola, N.Y.: Dover Publications, 2008.
- [43] N. Nakanishi, *Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement. Oxford University Press (OUP), General Survey of the Theory of the Bethe-Salpeter Equation*, **43**, 1 (1969) .
- [44] P.A.M. Dirac, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics*,Yeshiva University, New York, 1964.
- [45] J.L.Anderson, P.G. Bergmann,*Constraints in Covariant Field Theories*,, *Phys. Rev.* **83**, 1018 (1951) .
- [46] L.D.Landau and E.M.Lifshitz, *Quantum Electrodynamics. Second edition. Course of Theoretical Physics*, Volume 4, p. 575. Pergamon Press, 1982.
- [47] L.D.Landau and E.M.Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics. Non-relativistic Theory. Second edition, revised and enlarged. Course of Theoretical Physics*, Volume 3, p. 426. Pergamon Press, 1965.
- [48] I.S.GradshTEyn and I.M.Ryzhik. *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*. Seventh Edition. Elsevier, 2007.

- [49] R.J.Elliott, R.Loudon, *Theory of the absorption edge in semiconductors in a high magnetic field*, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, **15**, 196 (1960).
- [50] Alford, J., Strickland, M., *Charmonia and bottomonia in a magnetic field*, Phys. Rev.**D88**, 105017 (2013) .
- [51] D.Green. *Lectures in particle physics, p.102.*, World scientific, 1994.