

# Stability of travelling wave solutions to reaction-diffusion equations driven by additive noise with Hölder continuous paths

Amjad Saef<sup>1</sup> and Wilhelm Stannat<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institute of Mathematics, TU Berlin, 10623 Berlin, Germany*  
e-mail: saef@math.tu-berlin.de, stannat@math.tu-berlin.de

In this paper we investigate stability of travelling wave solutions to a class of reaction-diffusion equations perturbed by infinite-dimensional additive noise with Hölder continuous paths, covering in particular fractional Wiener processes with general Hurst parameter. In the latter example, we obtain explicit error bounds on the maximal distance from the solution of the stochastic reaction-diffusion equation to the orbit of travelling wave fronts in terms of the Hurst parameter and the spatial regularity for small noise amplitude. Our bounds can be optimised for short times in terms of the Hurst parameter and for large times in terms of the spatial regularity of the noise covariance of the driving fractional Wiener process.

**Keywords.** travelling waves, stochastic partial differential equations, nonlinear stability

**MSC (2020).** 35K57, 60G22, 60H15, 92C20

## 1 Introduction

In this work, we study the effect of additive noise with Hölder continuous paths on stability of travelling wave solutions to scalar reaction-diffusion equations of the form

$$\partial_t u(t, x) = Au(t, x) + f(u(t, x)), \quad (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathcal{O}. \quad (1.1)$$

We focus on the case where  $A$  is some (usually partial differential) operator and  $f$  is the superposition of an odd-order polynomial with negative leading-order coefficient and a globally Lipschitz function. Finally,  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is an open domain that is translation invariant in the direction of some unit vector  $\nu$ . In this context, a travelling wave solution is a solution  $v^{TW}$  of (1.1) such that

$$v^{TW}(t) = \hat{v}(\cdot - ct\nu), t \geq 0$$

for some  $\hat{v} \in C^2(\mathcal{O})$  and  $c > 0$ , the so-called wavespeed. It is known that if  $\mathcal{O}$  is the real line or a cylindric domain, this equation admits a travelling wave solution if for example  $A$  is a Laplacian and  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a cubic nonlinearity ([10],[36] or [7]).

Of particular interest is the stability of travelling wave fronts under perturbations, as this property is a necessary feature of models that describe invading fronts that can be observed in experiments, for example spike propagation in nerve axons (cf. [27]). The aim of this work is to demonstrate path-dependent stability properties of travelling wave solutions to reaction-diffusion equations perturbed by infinite-dimensional noise with Hölder continuous paths. More specifically, we consider evolution equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} dV(t) = (AV(t) + f(V(t))) dt + \varepsilon dN(t) \\ V(0) = \hat{v} \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

for operators  $A$  and reaction terms  $f$  as in equation (1.1) and some Hölder continuous path  $N$  with values in a suitable function space. In particular, we investigate the effect of infinite-dimensional fractional Brownian noise on the stability of travelling wave solutions.

Stochastic (partial) differential equations driven by processes like fractional Brownian motion (fBm), a generalisation of Brownian motion that does not generally satisfy the semimartingale property, require specialised techniques for integration as the classical Itô calculus framework may no longer apply. Theories extending the probabilistic approach of Itô calculus, based for example on the Skorokhod integral (cf. [1]) or Russo-Vallois type integrals (cf. [31]), have been successfully adapted to general Gaussian and other non-standard noises. Alternatively, more analytically flavoured approaches which extend Young's pathwise integration theory have proven to be particularly successful in recent decades. Notably, rough path theory (cf. [23]) has been shown to provide a robust framework for handling differential equations driven by irregular signals, including those with low regularity, by encoding higher-order information about the signals' paths. In the scope of this paper, due to the smoothing properties of the semigroups generated by the operators  $A$  that we consider, we can apply a simpler theory of Young integration against Hölder continuous paths developed in [8] to obtain a solution concept that is appropriate in our setting. A strength of this approach is that it generalises the  $L^2$ -theory of integration applied e.g. in [29] and yields pathwise bounds.

In the deterministic setting, the orbit  $\Gamma = \{\hat{v}(\cdot - t\nu) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  of the translates of the travelling wave profile has been shown to be stable for diverse instances of equations which fit the framework of equation (1.1). Here, we say that  $\Gamma$  is stable in a suitable Banach space  $\mathcal{B}$  if for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} d(u(t), \Gamma) := \sup_{t \geq 0} \inf_{\phi \in \mathbb{R}} \|u(t) - \hat{v}(\cdot - \phi\nu)\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq \delta$$

for any solution  $u$  of equation (1.1) with  $\|u_0 - \hat{v}\|$  small enough. These results were primarily obtained by analysis of the spectral properties of the linearisation of (1.1) around translates of the travelling wave front (cf. for example [5], [13] and [16]) or maximum principles and comparison theorems, as pioneered in Fife and McLeod [6]. While the latter methods cannot be transferred to the stochastic setting without unnatural monotonicity conditions on the noise term, the first approach can generally be applied to the stochastic case. However,

these qualitative perturbation results on the spectrum of the linearisation generally yield limited quantitative information. For this reason, we follow e.g. [18] and [21] and make use of functional inequalities of Poincaré type to gain tighter estimates on the decay of perturbations orthogonal to the tangential direction of  $\Gamma$ .

Demonstrating stability in stochastic settings additionally requires adapted definitions of what constitutes a travelling wave front and how to verify its stability under successive perturbations. In particular, the phase of the stochastically perturbed wave front is not necessarily uniquely specified. In the last decade, several articles (cf. [32], [18], [15], [12] and [4]) on stability of travelling waves under stochastic forcing tackled this problem by introducing (stochastic) ordinary differential equations whose solutions approximate the phase of the travelling wave front  $\tilde{v} \in \Gamma$  with minimal distance (in e.g.  $\mathcal{B} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$  or  $\mathcal{B} = H^1(\mathbb{R})$ ) to the observed stochastic process. Subsequently, these works showed that the distance of the stochastic travelling wave to the specific wavefront remains small.

In this work, we follow the method first implemented in [32], that consists of introducing a time-dependent phase correction  $C(t)$  following gradient descent dynamics minimizing the  $L^2$ -distance between the solution  $V$  to equation (1.2) and  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\tilde{v}^{TW}(t) := \tilde{v}(\cdot - C(t))\nu$  and  $\tilde{U}(t) := V(t) - \tilde{v}^{TW}(t)$ . As in [18] and [4], this phase correction is designed so that  $\tilde{U}(t)$  can be decomposed as

$$\tilde{U}(t) = \varepsilon Z_\varepsilon(t) + y_\varepsilon(t), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $Z_\varepsilon$  denotes an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type process which satisfies

$$dZ_\varepsilon(t) = A(t)Z_\varepsilon(t)dt + \varepsilon dN(t) \quad (1.4)$$

for a family of dissipative operators  $(A(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$ , and  $y_\varepsilon(t)$  denotes the residual nonlinear part. An analysis of this decomposition then yields that  $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\tilde{U}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}$  remains small for small noise amplitudes  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Evidently, it then follows that  $d(V(t), \Gamma)$  remains small for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

A novelty of our analysis is that we propose a notion of a mild solution to equation (1.4) for general Hölder continuous drivers  $N$ , and, as a byproduct show the existence of such solutions. Pathwise mild approaches to non-autonomous evolution equations perturbed by an irregular path have previously been developed for (possibly multiplicative) Wiener noise by [30] and applied to prove existence of random attractors of dynamical systems generated by SPDEs with additive noise and quasi-linear drift in [19].

To reach the desired stability result, we exploit dissipativity of the operator  $A(t)$  and show that the squared norm of the residual  $y_\varepsilon$  satisfies a differential inequality which implies a bound of the form

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} \in o\left(\varepsilon \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}}\right)$$

for initial conditions  $\tilde{U}(0) = y_\varepsilon(0) = 0$  and  $\varepsilon$  small enough. Here  $r$  denotes the degree of the odd-order polynomial nonlinearity. Thereby, we find that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} d(V(t), \Gamma) \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\tilde{U}(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} + o\left(\varepsilon \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}}\right) \quad (1.5)$$

for any small enough  $\varepsilon > 0$ . To make the dependence on the path of the driver  $N$  explicit, we derive  $\varepsilon$ -independent bounds on  $\|Z_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty([0,T];L^2)}$  and  $\|Z_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty([0,T];L^{r+1})}$ , respectively, in terms of the time  $T$  and the Hölder norm on  $[0, T]$  of the driver  $N$ . To reach these estimates, we extend an integration by parts formula for stochastic convolutions against fractional Brownian motion (cf. [25]) to general Hölder continuous paths. Applying these bounds to inequality (1.5), we obtain the main results of the pathwise stability analysis, Propositions 4.15 and 4.19.

The main theorem of this article, Theorem 5.9, states that for fractional Brownian driving noise with Hurst parameter  $H$  and spatial covariance  $Q$ , the probability that  $\tilde{U}$  satisfies the short- and long time asymptotics

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\tilde{U}(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon^{1-\eta} T^H + o(\varepsilon^{1-\eta} T^H)$$

and

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\tilde{U}(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon^{1-\eta} T^\eta + o(\varepsilon^{1-\eta} T^\eta),$$

approaches 1 as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Here,  $0 < \eta < 1/2 \wedge H$  is some parameter which depends on the decay of the eigenvalues of  $Q$ . We achieve this by combining the previously derived pathwise bounds on  $y_\varepsilon(t)$  with estimates on the probability that

$$\varepsilon \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}}$$

is smaller than some deterministic threshold, while simultaneously the estimates

$$\varepsilon \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}} \leq \varepsilon^{1-\eta} T^H$$

and

$$\varepsilon \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}} \leq \varepsilon^{1-\eta} T^\eta$$

hold. These results rely on small ball probability estimates in Hölder spaces of function space valued fractional Brownian motion which extend the one-dimensional results derived in [20].

The article is organised as follows: In Section 2, we provide an overview of the mathematical setting and assumptions we work with, including examples of reaction-diffusion equations that satisfy the stated assumptions. Then, in Section 3, we introduce the relevant notions of solutions to equations perturbed by noise with Hölder continuous paths and prove pathwise existence and uniqueness in the setting we specified in the previous section. Section 4 derives decomposition (1.3) and provides estimates on the residual  $y_\varepsilon(t)$  of the type

$$\|y_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty([0,T];L^2(\mathcal{O}))} \in o\left(\varepsilon \|Z_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty([0,T];L^2(\mathcal{O}) \cap L^{r+1}(\mathcal{O}))}\right).$$

This effectively shows that  $Z_\varepsilon$  is a first-order approximation of  $\tilde{U}$ . We finish Section 4 by deriving explicit bounds on  $Z_\varepsilon$  in terms of the Hölder norm of the driver  $N$  and the time  $T$ . In the final Section 5, we apply these results to the case of fractional Brownian motion as driving noise and combine the pathwise results with small ball probabilities for  $L^2(\mathcal{O}) \cap L^{r+1}(\mathcal{O})$ -valued fractional Brownian motion to derive long- and short term asymptotics on the  $L^2$ -distance of stochastic travelling waves to the orbit of travelling wave fronts.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Setting

In this section, we present the fundamental assumptions and notation relevant to this article. Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be some open domain  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  that is invariant under translation in the direction of some vector  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . To cover the wide range of functions that (fractional powers of) differential operators can be applied to, we at first consider a linear operator  $A$  defined on some subspace  $D(A)$  of the vector space of measurable functions  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O})$ . We place the following assumptions on the operator  $A$ .

**Assumption 1.** To ensure that  $A$  generates an analytic semigroup when restricted to  $L^p$ -spaces, we assume that for all  $1 < p < \infty$  the operator

$$A_p := A|_{D_p(A)}: D_p(A) \subset L^p(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{O})$$

is injective and sectorial on  $L^p(\mathcal{O})$ , where

$$D_p(A) := \{f \in L^p(\mathcal{O}) \cap D(A) \mid Af \in L^p(\mathcal{O})\}$$

is assumed to be dense in  $L^p(\mathcal{O})$ .

Note that in particular, this implies that the spectrum of  $-A_p$  does not intersect with  $(-\infty, 0)$ . Additionally, we obtain a scale of Banach spaces  $(\mathcal{B}_\gamma^p)_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}}$  defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_\gamma^p = \text{dom}((-A_p)^\gamma)$$

for  $\gamma \geq 0$ , while for  $\gamma < 0$ , we define

$$\mathcal{B}_\gamma^p = \overline{L^p(\mathcal{O})}^{\|(-A)^\gamma \cdot\|_{L^p(\mathcal{O})}},$$

i.e. the closure of  $L^p(\mathcal{O})$  under the norm  $\|(-A)^\gamma \cdot\|_{L^p(\mathcal{O})}$ .

**Assumption 2.** As an operator

$$A_2: D_2(A) \subset L^2(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{O}),$$

the generator  $A$  is self-adjoint. Equipped with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\alpha := \langle f, g \rangle_{L^2} + \langle (-A)^\alpha f, (-A)^\alpha g \rangle_{L^2}$$

induced by  $(-A)^\alpha$ , we find that the spaces  $\mathcal{B}_\gamma^2$  are in fact Hilbert spaces for  $\gamma \leq 0$ .

**Assumption 3.** In order to establish the stability properties in Section 4, we need a type of Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality given by

$$\|u\|_{L^p} \leq C_p \left\| (-A_2)^{1/2} u \right\|_{L^2}^{\theta_p} \|u\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta_p} \quad (2.1)$$

for  $u \in \mathcal{B}_{1/2}^2 = \text{dom}((-A_2)^{1/2})$  and  $1 \leq p \leq r + 1$ . Here  $r$  denotes the growth exponent of the polynomial nonlinearity  $f_0$  and  $C_p, \theta_p$  depend on the exponent  $p$ . We additionally assume that

$$\theta_p < 2/p$$

holds for  $1 \leq p \leq r$  (but not necessarily for  $p = r + 1$ ).

**Remark 2.1.** In particular examples, the condition  $\theta_r < \frac{2}{r}$  is the most restrictive condition on the growth of the reaction-term. Let  $A = \Delta$  be the Laplacian on  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{R}^d$ . If  $d = 1$ , this condition is fulfilled for  $r \leq 5$ , whereas for  $d = 2$ , this condition is satisfied for  $r = 3$ .

**Example 2.2.** Let  $\Delta: H^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  denote the Laplace operator on  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then, for all  $s > 0$ ,  $A = -(-\Delta)^s$  satisfies Assumptions 1 and 2 (cf. [17], Thm 10.5). We now specify conditions for Assumption 3 to hold. For  $p = q = 2$ , the fractional Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality [26] states that for  $2 \leq r < \infty$  and  $s \geq (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r})d > 0$ , it holds that

$$\|u\|_{L^r} \leq C_r \left\| (-\Delta)^{s/2} u \right\|_{L^2}^{\theta_r} \|u\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta_r} \quad (2.2)$$

with

$$\theta_r = \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \frac{d}{s}.$$

Thus, for  $d = 3$ , one would need  $s \geq \frac{3}{4}$  to obtain the interpolation inequality (2.1) in the case  $r = 3$ . To additionally ensure that  $\frac{3}{4s} = \theta_r < \frac{2}{r+1} = 1/2$ , it must hold that  $s > 3/2$ .

**Example 2.3.** Consider again the Laplacian on  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{R}^d$  for some  $d > 0$ . We now set

$$A := -(-\Delta)^\alpha - (-\Delta)^\beta$$

for  $\alpha > \beta > 0$ . It is quickly shown (cf. [11], Exercise 4.35) that  $A$  is self-adjoint on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and generates an analytic semigroup on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ . Since

$$\left\| \left( (-\Delta)^\alpha + (-\Delta)^\beta \right)^{1/2} u \right\|_{L^2} \geq \left\| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u \right\|_{L^2}$$

can be shown using nonnegativity of  $(-\Delta)^\beta$ , Assumption (3) follows for  $A$  if it holds for  $(-\Delta)^\alpha$ , with identical parameters  $C_p$  and  $\theta_p$ .

**Example 2.4.** Consider a cylindrical domain  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times V$ , where  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$  bounded open domain with smooth boundary and  $d_1, d_2 > 0$ . Let  $\Delta_D$  denote the Dirichlet Laplacian on  $\mathcal{O}$ . It is known [28] that  $-\Delta_D$  is injective and satisfies the maximal  $L^p$ -regularity property for all  $1 < p < \infty$ , whence  $-\Delta_D$  generates an analytic semigroup on  $L^p(\mathcal{O})$  for  $1 < p < \infty$  and additionally, fractional powers of  $-\Delta_D$  are well-defined. Further, as  $\mathcal{O}$  is a Sobolev extension domain, any function  $H_0^1(\mathcal{O})$  embeds continuously into  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2})$ . The usual

Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality now applies. For  $u \in H_0^1(\mathcal{O})$ , let  $\tilde{u}$  with  $\tilde{u}|_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2} \setminus \mathcal{O}} \equiv 0$  denote its extension. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^r(\mathcal{O})} &= \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2})} \leq C \|\nabla \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2})}^\theta \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2})}^{1-\theta} \\ &= C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^\theta \|u\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^{1-\theta} \\ &= C \|(-\Delta_D)^{1/2} u\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^\theta \|u\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^{1-\theta} \end{aligned}$$

for suitable  $r \geq 1, 0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ .

## 2.2 Travelling wave solutions

Now, let a Nemytskii operator  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of the form  $f = f_0 + f_1$  be given, where  $f_0$  is a polynomial of odd degree  $\deg(f_0) = r > 1$  with negative leading order coefficient and  $f_1 \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  is Lipschitz continuous. The central object of study of this article is a travelling wave profile

$$v_0^{TW} \in C^1(\mathcal{O}) \cap L^\infty(\mathcal{O}).$$

To simplify notation, let  $v_{c_0}^{TW} := v_0^{TW}(\cdot - c_0 \nu)$ , where  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^n$  denotes the direction of wave propagation. For simplicity, we assume that the vector  $\nu$  is of unit length in the Euclidean norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Assumption 4.** Let  $c$  denote the wavespeed. We assume that the travelling wave front  $t \mapsto v_{ct}^{TW}$  is a strong solution of equation (1.1), i.e.

$$\partial_t v_{ct}^{TW} = -c\nu \cdot \nabla v_{ct}^{TW} = Av_{ct}^{TW} + f(v_{ct}^{TW}) \quad (2.3)$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$

**Assumption 5.** We assume that the travelling wave front's directional derivative in the direction of propagation is square integrable, i.e.

$$\nu \cdot \nabla v_0^{TW} \in L^2(\mathcal{O}).$$

Additionally, if  $c = 0$  so that we have a standing wave solution, we assume that  $v_0^{TW}$  is twice differentiable and

$$\nu \cdot H(v_0^{TW}) \cdot \nu \in L^2(\mathcal{O}),$$

where  $H(v_0^{TW}) = (\partial_{ij} v_0^{TW})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  denotes the Hessian matrix.

**Remark 2.5.** The purpose of Assumption 5 is mainly technical. In the scope of this paper, we avoid treating  $A$  as an operator on, for example, the space of bounded continuous functions. The intended effect is that the space in which equation (2.3) lives remains unspecified. However,  $L^2$ -integrability of the spatial derivative combined with boundedness of  $v_c^{TW}$  implies that

$$A(v_{ct_1}^{TW} - v_{ct_2}^{TW}) = -c\nu \cdot \nabla(v_{ct_1}^{TW} - v_{ct_2}^{TW}) - (f(v_{ct_1}^{TW}) - f(v_{ct_2}^{TW})) \in L^2(\mathcal{O}).$$

It follows that  $v_{ct_1}^{TW} - v_{ct_2}^{TW} \in D_2(A) \subset L^2(\mathcal{O})$ , and we can instead work with the properties of  $A$  as a sectorial operator on  $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ .

We give now give examples of domains  $\mathcal{O}$  together with operators  $A$  that satisfy Assumptions 1 to 3 and reaction potentials  $f$  such that travelling wave solutions which satisfy Assumption 5 exist.

**Example 2.6.** Consider the deterministic bistable reaction-diffusion equation

$$\partial_t v(t, x) = \nu \partial_x x v(t, x) + f(v(t, x)), \quad v(0, x) = v_0(x)$$

for  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ . As  $A = \nu \Delta = \nu \partial_{xx}$  is the usual Laplace operator on  $\mathbb{R}$ , Assumptions 1 to 3 are satisfied. In particular, Assumption 3 holds for  $2 \leq r \leq 5$ . For such equations, travelling wave solutions are assured to exist if  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuously differentiable function satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) = f(a) = f(1) = 0 & \quad \text{for some } a \in (0, 1) \\ f(x) < 0 & \quad \text{for } x \in (0, a), f(x) > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (a, 1) \\ f'(x) < 0, f'(a) > 0, f'(1) < 0 \end{aligned}$$

Under these conditions, one can verify [10] existence of a monotone increasing travelling wave front  $\hat{v}$  connecting the stable fixed points 0 and 1 of the reaction term. It then actually holds [33] that  $\partial_x \hat{v}, \partial_{xx} \hat{v} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , so that Assumption 5 is satisfied.

**Example 2.7.** Now consider the operator  $A = -\gamma \partial_{xxxx} + \alpha \partial_{xx}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . In Example 2.3, we noted that this operator satisfies Assumptions 1 to 3. If the conditions described in Example 2.6 hold, in addition to our stated assumptions on  $f = f_0 + f_1$ , then existence of a travelling wave solution which satisfies Assumption 5 was shown in [14].

**Example 2.8.** Let  $A = -(\Delta)^s$  denote the fractional Laplacian on  $\mathbb{R}$  for  $1/2 < s < 1$ . From Example 2.2, we know that this operator satisfies Assumptions 1 to 3. For  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ , the conditions specified in Example 2.6 are sufficient (but not necessary) to ensure existence of a travelling wave solution [9]. Further, it was shown [3] that the first and second derivative of this travelling wave solution are square-integrable.

**Example 2.9.** Let  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{R} \times [0, L]$  be a cylindrical domain. Further, let  $\Delta_D$  denote the Dirichlet Laplacian on  $\mathcal{O}$ . Then if  $f(x) \equiv x(1-x)(x-a)$  with  $0 < a < 1/2$ , there exists a constant  $L_0$  dependent on  $a$  such that for all  $L > L_0$ , a travelling wave solution  $\hat{v}$  of equation (1.1) exists [7]. From the condition on  $f$ , it further follows that  $c \neq 0$ , and it is known (cf. [35]) that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^L \partial_x \hat{v}^2(x, y) dy dx < \infty,$$

so Assumption 5 is satisfied.

The following assumption will be crucial to our stability analysis. This type of inequality ensures exponential decay of perturbations orthogonal to the tangential direction of the manifold. Thus, we can verify stability of the manifold of travelling wave fronts. In this

case, the function  $\nu \cdot \nabla v_x^{TW}$  is heuristically assumed to be a tangential vector to a point  $v_x^{TW} \in \Gamma$ . This assumption can be supported for example by noting that

$$v_{ct}^{TW} - v_{cs}^{TW} = -c \int_s^t \nu \cdot \nabla v_{cr}^{TW} dr,$$

so we can see that perturbations in the direction of  $\nu \cdot \nabla v_x^{TW}$  do not generally decay.

**Assumption 6.** We assume that the  $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ -linearisation  $\mathcal{L}_{TW}u := Au + f'(v_{c_0}^{TW})u$  around any translate  $v_{c_0}^{TW}$  of the travelling wave front exhibits a spectral gap inequality of the form

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_{TW}u, u \rangle_{L^2} \leq -\kappa_* \|u\|_{\mathcal{B}_{1/2}^2}^2 + C_* \langle \nu \cdot \nabla v_{c_0}^{TW}, u \rangle_{L^2}^2, \quad (2.4)$$

for some  $\kappa_*, C_* > 0$  independent of the phase  $c_0$  and any  $u \in \mathcal{B}_{1/2}^2$ .

**Example 2.10.** Consider again the setting of Example 2.6. Let  $a \in (0, 1)$  be the unique zero of  $f$  in the interval  $(0, 1)$ . Under the additional assumption that there exists some  $v_* \in (a, 1)$  such that  $f''(v) > 0$  on  $(0, v_*)$  and  $f''(v) < 0$  on  $(v_*, 1)$ , it was shown in [33] that Assumption 6 is fulfilled. Note that we do not assume any growth conditions on the nonlinearity  $f$ .

### 3 Existence of Solutions

Before we can define and demonstrate our notion of stability, we need to specify the concept of solution of the evolution equation

$$\begin{cases} dV(t) = (AV(t) + f(V(t))) dt + \varepsilon dN(t) \\ V(0) = V_0 \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

perturbed by some  $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ -valued Hölder continuous path  $N$ . To simplify notation, let

$$v^{TW}(t) := v_{ct}^{TW}$$

denote the travelling wave solution of equation (1.1) with initial condition  $v^{TW}(0) = v_0^{TW}$ . To circumvent technical difficulties, we formally decompose

$$V(t) = v^{TW}(t) + U(t) = v^{TW}(t) + (V(t) - v^{TW}(t))$$

and instead solve the semilinear equation

$$\begin{cases} dU(t) = (AU(t) + f(U(t) + v^{TW}(t)) - f(v^{TW}(t))) dt + \varepsilon dN(t) \\ U(0) = U_0 \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

on  $[0, T] \times L^2(\mathcal{O})$  where  $U_0 = V_0 - v_0^{TW}$ . Let  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  denote the semigroup generated by the operator  $A$ . Then any prospective mild solution of equation (3.2) should satisfy the identity

$$U(t) = S(t)U_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s) (f(U(t) + v^{TW}(t)) - f(v^{TW}(t))) ds + \varepsilon \int_0^t S(t-s) dN(s)$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . For this to be well-defined, we first need to define the process

$$N_A(t) := \int_0^t S(t-s) dN(s)$$

for a given Hölder continuous path  $N$ .

To this end, we follow Gubinelli et al. [8] to introduce a pathwise notion of convolution of an analytic semigroup against a Hölder continuous path. Let  $L$  be some sectorial operator on a Banach space  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $X$  be a Hölder continuous path. Naturally, for initial condition equal to 0, it should hold that a mild solution of the Cauchy problem

$$dY(t) = LY(t)dt + dX(t)$$

satisfies

$$Y(t) := \int_0^t P(t-s) dX(s),$$

where  $(P(t))_{t \geq 0}$  denotes the analytic semigroup generated by  $L$ . We define this process by means of a Riemann-Stieltjes integral against  $N$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Banach space and  $(P(t))_{t \geq 0}$  be a strongly continuous semigroup on  $\mathcal{B}$ . For a function  $X: [0, t] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , we define the convolution of  $X$  against the semigroup generated by  $A$  as the limit

$$\int_0^t P(t-s) dX(s) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{t_k^n \in \pi_n, t_k^n < t} P(t-t_k^n)(X(t_{k+1}^n) - X(t_k^n)), \quad (3.3)$$

whenever this limit exists uniquely for any sequence of partitions  $\pi_n = \{t_0^n, \dots, t_{k_n}^n\}$  of  $[0, t]$  such that  $|\pi_n| \rightarrow 0$ .

**Proposition 3.2** ([8]). *Suppose that  $L: D(L) \subset \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is an injective sectorial operator. Let  $(\mathcal{B}_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}}$  be the induced scale of Banach spaces (cf. Assumption 1) and  $(P(t))_{t \geq 0}$  be the analytic semigroup generated by  $L$ . Let  $X \in C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma})$  with  $\gamma < \alpha$ . Then, given  $\delta \in [0, \alpha - \gamma]$  and  $\kappa \in (0, \min(1, \alpha - \gamma - \delta))$ , the limit (3.3) exists in  $\mathcal{B}_\delta$  and in particular,*

$$Y(\cdot) := \int_0^\cdot P(\cdot - s) dX(s) \in C^\kappa([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_\delta).$$

Further, for all  $T > 0$ , there exist constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  only dependent on  $\alpha, \gamma, \delta$  and  $\kappa$  such that

$$\|Y\|_{C^\kappa([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_\delta)} \leq C_1 \|X\|_{C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma})}$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|Y(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\delta} \leq C_2 T^{\alpha - \gamma - \delta} \|X\|_{C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma})}.$$

**Remark 3.3.** Tracing through the proof of Proposition 3.2, we observe that for

$$X \in C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^2 \cap \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^{r+1}),$$

it holds that for suitable  $\delta, \kappa$ ,

$$Y \in C^\kappa([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_\delta^2 \cap \mathcal{B}_\delta^{r+1}) \subset C^\kappa([0, T]; L^2(\mathcal{O}) \cap L^{r+1}(\mathcal{O})).$$

Note that as the completion of  $L^2(\mathcal{O}) \cap L^{r+1}(\mathcal{O})$  under the norm

$$\|(-A)^{-\gamma} \cdot\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O}) \cap L^{r+1}(\mathcal{O})} := \|(-A)^{-\gamma} \cdot\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} + \|(-A)^{-\gamma} \cdot\|_{L^{r+1}(\mathcal{O})}$$

canonically embeds into  $B_{-\gamma}^2 \cap \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^{r+1}$ , this intersection is generally strictly larger than  $L^2(\mathcal{O}) \cap L^{r+1}(\mathcal{O})$ .

### 3.1 Stochastic Nagumo equation driven by Hölder noise

Let  $N$  be a Hölder continuous path in  $C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^2 \cap \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^{r+1})$  for  $\gamma < \alpha$ , where the Banach spaces  $B_\delta^p$  are defined as in Assumption 1.

In what follows, we omit the dependence of the properties of the operator  $A$  on the domains  $D_p(A)$  (cf. Assumption 1) when it is evident from the context. Further, we set  $L^p := L^p(\mathcal{O})$  and let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be the dual pairing on  $L^p$ .

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  denote the strongly continuous semigroup generated by the operator  $A$ . A process  $V$  with

$$V - v^{TW} \in C([0, T]; L^2 \cap L^{r+1})$$

is a mild solution of equation (3.1) if

$$V(t) - v^{TW}(t) = S(t)(V_0 - v_0^{TW}) + \int_0^t S(t-s)(f(V(s)) - f(v^{TW}(s))) ds + \int_0^t S(t-s) dN(s)$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

**Remark 3.5.** This last identity is indeed well-defined as the conditions on  $f$  ensure that  $f(V(s)) - f(v^{TW}(s))$  can be decomposed into a sum of a number of elements of  $L^p$ -spaces with differing exponents  $1 < p < \infty$ , so that application of the semigroup is well-defined.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $v_0 \in v_0^{TW} + L^2$  be given. Suppose that the operator  $A$  satisfies Assumptions 1 and 2 and let the Nemytskii operator  $f = f_0 + f_1$  be defined as in Section 2.2. Then there exists a mild solution to equation (3.2). This solution satisfies

$$V - v^{TW} \in C([0, T]; L^2) \cap L^{r+1}([0, T]; L^{r+1}) \cap L^2([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_\delta^2)$$

for any  $0 \leq \delta < \alpha - \gamma$  and is the unique mild solution  $V$  such that

$$V - v^{TW} \in C([0, T]; L^2) \cap L^{r+1}([0, T]; L^{r+1}),$$

where  $r$  denotes the degree of the polynomial nonlinearity  $f_0$ .

The proof of Theorem 3.6 proceeds in several steps. Let  $N_A \in C^\kappa([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_\delta^2 \cap \mathcal{B}_\delta^{r+1})$  denote the convolution obtained from Proposition 3.2. By subtracting the convolution  $N_A$  from candidate solutions of equation (3.1), we reduce this problem to a partial differential equation. In order to solve (3.1), we show that there exists a unique mild and variational solution

$$w \in C([0, T]; L^2) \cap L^2([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{1/2}^2) \cap L^{r+1}([0, T]; L^{r+1})$$

to the partial differential equation

$$\partial_t w(t) = Aw(t) + f(w(t) + N_A(t) + v^{TW}(t)) - f(v^{TW}(t)) \quad (3.4)$$

The proof of existence via a Faedo-Galerkin approximation follows similar arguments as the proof sketched in Ch. 3 of [34] (Thm. 1.1). For the sake of completeness, we include an overview of the proof in our particular case.

We aim to verify the conditions of Theorem 5.1.3 in Liu and Röckner [22] in the deterministic case to prove existence of variational solutions with respect to the Gelfand triple

$$B_{1/2}^2 \hookrightarrow L^2 \hookrightarrow (B_{1/2}^2)^*.$$

For  $X: [0, T] \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  define

$$F_X(t, u) := f(u + X(t) + v^{TW}(t)) - f(v^{TW}(t)), t \in [0, T], u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

We note the following useful properties of the operator  $F$ , which can be verified by direct calculation.

**Lemma 3.7.** *There exist some generic constants  $K, C > 0$  such that for any  $X: [0, T] \rightarrow L^2 \cap L^{r+1}$  and arbitrary  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $u, v \in \mathcal{B}_{1/2}$ ,*

- (1)  $\langle F_X(t, u) - F_X(t, v), u - v \rangle \leq \text{Lip}_f \|u - v\|_{L^2}$ .
- (2)  $\langle F_X(t, u), u \rangle \leq -K \|u\|_{L^{r+1}}^{r+1} + C \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|X(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|X(t)\|_{L^{r+1}}^{r+1}$ ,

where  $\text{Lip}_f$  denotes the one-sided Lipschitz constant of  $f$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** *Suppose that  $X \in L^2([0, T]; L^2) \cap L^{r+1}([0, T]; L^{r+1})$ . Then there exists a unique variational solution*

$$w \in C([0, T]; L^2) \cap L^{r+1}([0, T]; L^{r+1}) \cap L^2([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{1/2}^2)$$

of the equation

$$\partial_t w(t) = Aw(t) + F_X(t, w(t))$$

with respect to the Gelfand triple  $B_{1/2}^2 \hookrightarrow L^2 \hookrightarrow (B_{1/2}^2)^*$ . In particular, there exists a unique variational solution of equation (3.4).

*Proof.* Even though identities (1) and (2) from Lemma 3.7 entail the necessary hemicontinuity, monotonicity and coercivity conditions (H2) and (H3) of e.g. Theorem 5.1.3 in [22], we cannot apply that theorem directly, since for  $f(x) \asymp -x^r$  with  $r > 3$ , the growth condition (H4') is not satisfied.

However, by Thm. 3.1.1. in [22], these properties suffice to obtain the existence of finite dimensional Galerkin approximations  $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with respect to some orthonormal basis of  $L^2$ . Further, by inequality 3.7.(2), there exists a constant  $C$  only dependent on

$$\int_0^T \|X(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|X(t)\|_{L^{r+1}}^{r+1} dt$$

such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|w_n(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|w_n(t)\|_{L^{r+1}}^{r+1} dt + \int_0^T \|(-A)^{1/2} w_n(t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C.$$

With this stronger a priori inequality, we can compensate for the lack of direct bounds on the nonlinearity  $F_X$ . We observe that the bound

$$\|F_X(-, w_n(-))\|_{(L^{r+1}([0, T]; L^{r+1}))^*} = \|F_X(-, w_n(-))\|_{L^{\frac{r+1}{r}}([0, T]; L^{\frac{r+1}{r}})} \leq K$$

follows for some  $K$  independent of  $n$ . Hence we can extract weakly convergent subsequences and, after minor modifications of the Lions-Magenes lemma (Lemmas 4.2.5. and 4.2.6 in [22]), we can imitate the proof of Thm. 4.2.4 in [22] to show that the weak limit is in fact a variational solution of equation (3.4).

Uniqueness follows by a Grönwall argument after utilising the monotonicity property of the nonlinearity  $F_X$ .  $\square$

*Existence of mild solutions of equation (3.1).* The obtained variational solutions to equation (3.4) are in fact mild solutions; the verification is standard (cf. [11], Ch. 5) and we omit it for the sake of brevity. Therefore we find that almost surely,

$$w(t) = S(t)U_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)(F_{N_A}(s, w(s))) ds.$$

Let

$$V_0 \in v_0^{TW} + L^2$$

be given and  $w(t)$  be the mild solution of equation (3.4). Summing up, we see that  $V(t) := w(t) + v^{TW}(t) + \varepsilon N_A(t)$  satisfies the equation

$$V(t) - v^{TW}(t) = S(t)V_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)(f(V(s)) - f(v^{TW}(s))) ds + \varepsilon \int_0^t S(t-s) dN(s).$$

Therefore, the process  $V(t)$  is a mild solution (cf. Definition 3.4) of equation (3.1). As  $V - v^{TW} = w + \varepsilon N_A$ , the regularities of  $w$  and  $N_A$  imply that

$$V - v^{TW} \in C([0, T]; L^2) \cap L^{r+1}([0, T]; L^{r+1}) \cap L^2([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_\delta^2)$$

for any  $0 < \delta < \alpha - \gamma$ . Uniqueness of the solution which satisfies

$$V - v^{TW} \in C([0, T]; L^2) \cap L^{r+1}([0, T]; L^{r+1})$$

follows by Lemma 3.8, as

$$w := V - v^{TW} - \varepsilon N_A$$

can then be shown to be a variational solution of equation (3.4) for  $X = \varepsilon N_A$ .  $\square$

#### 4 Pathwise stability for small perturbations

In this section, we aim to prove pathwise stability results for travelling waves perturbed by Hölder continuous noise. In this context, stability is measured by distance to some spatial translate of the travelling wave shape  $v_0^{TW}$ . Let  $\Gamma := \{v_0^{TW}(\cdot + \phi\nu) \mid \phi \in \mathbb{R}\}$  denote the set of travelling wave fronts and  $V$  be a mild solution of equation (3.1) with  $V(0) = v_0^{TW}$ , i.e. the initial condition is a wave front. For  $u \in v_0^{TW} + L^2$ , define

$$d(u, \Gamma) = \inf_{\phi \in \mathbb{R}} \|u - v_\phi^{TW}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} < \infty$$

**Definition 4.1.** The travelling wave solution  $v^{TW}$  is stable under the influence of small noise amplitudes if, given any  $\delta > 0$ , the solution  $V$  of equation (3.1) with  $V(0) = v_0^{TW}$  satisfies

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} d(V(t), \Gamma) < \delta$$

for any small enough noise amplitude  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

##### 4.1 Deterministic phase adaptation

We follow [32] and [18] and introduce a gradient-descent type ODE into the direction of local minima of

$$C \mapsto \|V(t) - v_C^{TW}\|_{L^2}^2,$$

where  $\nu$  denotes the direction of wave propagation. This means that we update our estimates  $C_n$  via

$$C_{n+1} - C_n = m(t_{i+1} - t_i) \langle V(t_i) - v_{C_n}^{TW}, \nu \cdot \nabla v_{C_n}^{TW} \rangle.$$

If we take the limit  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$  and account for the wave speed  $c$ , we arrive at the ordinary differential equation

$$C'(t) = c + m \langle V(t) - v_{C(t)}^{TW}, \nu \cdot \nabla v_{C(t)}^{TW} \rangle \quad (4.1)$$

with  $C(0) = 0$  and  $m > 0$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** For every solution  $V(t)$  of equation (3.1) that satisfies

$$V - v^{TW} \in C([0, T]; L^2) \cap L^{r+1}([0, T]; L^{r+1}) \cap L^2([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_\delta^2)$$

for some  $\delta > 0$ , there exists a unique solution  $C: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of equation (4.1).

*Proof.* The proof proceeds by means of a Picard iteration for successive short enough subintervals of  $[0, T]$ , i.e. one shows that the map  $F: C([t_1, t_2]) \rightarrow C([t_1, t_2])$  with

$$F(h) = C_0 + \int_{t_1}^{\cdot} c + m \left\langle V(t) - v_{h(s)}^{TW}, \nu \cdot \nabla v_{h(s)}^{TW} \right\rangle ds$$

is a strict contraction on  $C([t_1, t_2])$  for arbitrary  $C_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $|t_2 - t_1|$  small enough. Observe that by translation invariance,

$$\left\langle v_{cs}^{TW} - v_{h(s)}^{TW}, \nu \cdot \nabla v_{h(s)}^{TW} \right\rangle = \left\langle v_{cs+(cs-h(s))}^{TW} - v_{cs}^{TW}, \nu \cdot \nabla v_{cs}^{TW} \right\rangle,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} F(h)(t) - F(g)(t) &= -m \int_{t_1}^t \left\langle V(s) - v_{cs}^{TW}, \nu \cdot \nabla (v_{h(s)}^{TW} - v_{g(s)}^{TW}) \right\rangle ds \\ &\quad - m \int_{t_1}^t \left\langle v_{cs+(cs-h(s))}^{TW} - v_{cs+(cs-g(s))}^{TW}, \nu \cdot \nabla v_{cs}^{TW} \right\rangle ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using

$$\left\| v_{2cs-h(s)}^{TW} - v_{2cs-g(s)}^{TW} \right\|_{L^2} \leq \left\| \nu \cdot \nabla v_0^{TW} \right\|_{L^2} |h(s) - g(s)|$$

and Assumption 5 on  $\nu \cdot \nabla v_0^{TW}$  we obtain global Lipschitz continuity of the second term.

To obtain the Lipschitz continuity of the first term we can similarly estimate

$$\left\| \nu \cdot \nabla (v_{2cs-g(s)}^{TW} - v_{2cs-h(s)}^{TW}) \right\|_{L^2} \leq \left\| \nu \cdot H(v_0^{TW}) \cdot \nu \right\|_{L^2} |g(s) - h(s)|$$

using the Hessian of  $v_0^{TW}$  in the case  $c = 0$ .

In the case where  $c \neq 0$  we can drop the assumption on the Hessian, using that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle V(s) - v_{cs}^{TW}, \nu \cdot \nabla (v_{h(s)}^{TW} - v_{g(s)}^{TW}) \right\rangle &= -\frac{1}{c} \left\langle V(s) - v_{cs}^{TW}, A(v_{h(s)}^{TW} - v_{g(s)}^{TW}) + (f(v_{h(s)}^{TW}) - f(v_{g(s)}^{TW})) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{c} \left\langle (-A)^\delta (V(s) - v_{cs}^{TW}), (-A)^{1-\delta} (v_{h(s)}^{TW} - v_{g(s)}^{TW}) \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{c} \left\langle V(s) - v_{cs}^{TW}, f(v_{h(s)}^{TW}) - f(v_{g(s)}^{TW}) \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

for  $0 < \delta < \alpha - \gamma$ . Now, again by Assumption 5, we get the Lipschitz estimate

$$\left\| f(v_{h(s)}^{TW}) - f(v_{g(s)}^{TW}) \right\| \leq \left\| f'(v_0^{TW}) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})} \left\| \nu \cdot \nabla v_0^{TW} \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} |h(s) - g(s)|.$$

It remains to find a Lipschitz estimate on

$$\left\| (-A)^{1-\delta} (v_{h(s)}^{TW} - v_{g(s)}^{TW}) \right\|_{L^2}.$$

Then, since  $V - v^{TW} \in L^2([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_\delta^2)$  for any  $0 < \delta < \alpha - \gamma$ , we can prove that  $F$  in fact defines a strict contraction on  $C([0, T])$ . By definition of a travelling wave solution,

$$\partial_t v_{x+ct}^{TW} = A v_{x+ct}^{TW} + f(v_{x+ct}^{TW})$$

for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . This in turn implies that for arbitrary  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , the difference  $v_{x_1+ct}^{TW} - v_{x_2+ct}^{TW}$  satisfies the partial differential equation

$$\partial_t(v_{x_1+ct}^{TW} - v_{x_2+ct}^{TW}) = A(v_{x_1+ct}^{TW} - v_{x_2+ct}^{TW}) + f(v_{x_1+ct}^{TW}) - f(v_{x_2+ct}^{TW}).$$

Using Assumption 1, we can therefore find the mild representation

$$v_{x_1+ct}^{TW} - v_{x_2+ct}^{TW} = S(t)(v_{x_1}^{TW} - v_{x_2}^{TW}) + \int_0^t S(t-s)(f(v_{x_1+cs}^{TW}) - f(v_{x_2+cs}^{TW})) ds$$

and in particular,

$$v_{x_1}^{TW} - v_{x_2}^{TW} = S(1)(v_{x_1-c}^{TW} - v_{x_2-c}^{TW}) + \int_0^1 S(1-s)(f(v_{x_1-c(1-s)}^{TW}) - f(v_{x_2-c(1-s)}^{TW})) ds.$$

Now Bochner's inequality and the generic semigroup estimate

$$\|(-A)^s S(t)u\|_{L^2} \leq M \frac{\|u\|_{L^2}}{t^s}, \quad u \in L^2$$

imply that

$$\|(-A)^{1-\delta}(v_{x_1}^{TW} - v_{x_2}^{TW})\|_{L^2} \leq M \|\nu \cdot \nabla v_0^{TW}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \left(1 + \frac{\|f'(v_0^{TW})\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}}{\delta}\right) |x_1 - x_2|.$$

Inserting  $x_1 = h(s)$  and  $x_2 = g(s)$  and choosing  $|t_1 - t_2|$  small enough now finishes the proof.  $\square$

## 4.2 Decomposing the error term

In the following, let  $C(t)$  denote the unique solution of the phase-adaptation ODE (4.1). For  $\lambda \geq 0$ , we introduce the auxilliary process

$$N_{A-\lambda}(t) := \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} S(t-s) dN(s).$$

Now set  $\tilde{v}^{TW}(t) = v_{C(t)}^{TW}$  and consider  $\tilde{U}(t) := V(t) - \tilde{v}^{TW}(t)$ . In order to quantify the norm of the error  $\tilde{U}(t) = V(t) - \tilde{v}^{TW}$ , we first show that  $\tilde{U}$  can be decomposed into a sum

$$\tilde{U} = \tilde{w}_\lambda + N_{A-\lambda}.$$

We then show that  $\tilde{w}_\lambda$  solves the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \tilde{w}_\lambda(t) &= A(t)\tilde{w}_\lambda(t) + \varepsilon(\tilde{P}(t) + f'(\tilde{v}^{TW}(t)) + \lambda) N_{A-\lambda}(s) \\ &\quad + \tilde{R}(t, \tilde{w}_\lambda(t) + \varepsilon N_{A-\lambda}(t)) \end{aligned}$$

in the variational sense with respect to the Gelfand triple  $\mathcal{B}_{1/2}^2 \hookrightarrow L^2 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1/2}^2$ . Here, the operators  $A(t): D(A) \subset L^2(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{O})$  are defined by

$$A(t)u := Au + f'(\tilde{v}^{TW}(t))u - \tilde{P}(t)u,$$

where

$$\tilde{P}(t)u := m\langle u, \nu \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}^{TW}(t) \rangle \nu \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}^{TW}(t)$$

denotes the scaled projection onto  $\nu \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}^{TW}(t)$  for some arbitrary  $m \geq C_*$  and

$$\tilde{R}(t, u) := f(u + \tilde{v}^{TW}(t)) - f(\tilde{v}^{TW}(t)) - f'(\tilde{v}^{TW}(t))u$$

is a nonlinear residual.

Finally, we show that  $(A(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$  generates an exponentially decaying evolution system  $E$  on  $L^2$  and we establish a decomposition of the process  $\tilde{w}_\lambda(t)$  into a sum  $\tilde{w}_\lambda = \tilde{v}_\lambda + y_\varepsilon$  of a convolution against an evolution system  $\tilde{v}_\lambda$  with a nonlinear residual  $y_\varepsilon$ . With this representation, we can leverage the exponential decay properties of  $E$ , enabling us to derive upper bounds on the norm of  $\tilde{U}(t) = \tilde{w}_\lambda(t) + \varepsilon N_{A-\lambda}(t)$ .

We now collect some results on the auxiliary processes  $N_{A-\lambda}$ . In Section 4.4, we will study how the error term  $\tilde{U}(t)$  grows in relation to the fluctuations of  $N_{A-\lambda}$ . To achieve this, we need the following integration by parts formula, first shown to hold almost surely for all  $t \in [0, T]$  in the case of  $Q$ -fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter  $H > \frac{1}{2}$  in [25], and later extended by Maslowski and Pospíšil [24] to all  $H \in (0, 1)$ . We defer the proof to the appendix.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $S(t)$  be an analytic semigroup on a Banach space  $\mathcal{B}$  with injective generator  $A$ , and  $N \in C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma})$  for  $\gamma < \alpha$ , where  $\mathcal{B}_\rho = D((-A)^\rho)$ . Then the  $C^\kappa([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_\delta)$ -valued convolution  $N_A$  satisfies the identity*

$$\int_0^t S(t-s) dN(s) = \int_0^t AS(t-s)(N(s) - N(t)) ds + S(t)N(t), \quad (4.2)$$

where  $0 < \delta < \alpha - \gamma$  and  $0 < \kappa < \min(\gamma - \alpha - \delta, 1)$ .

By application of this integration by parts formula to the the convolution  $N_{A-\lambda}$  together with Fubini's theorem and standard semigroup manipulations, we can derive the following identity. We use this identity to show that  $\tilde{w}_\lambda$  satisfies the previously specified variational equation.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $N, A$  be chosen as in Proposition 4.3 and  $\lambda \geq 0$  be arbitrary. For any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,*

$$N_{A-\lambda}(t) = N_A(t) - \lambda \int_0^t S(t-s) N_{A-\lambda}(s) ds.$$

Using Proposition 4.4, we can subtract the terms with unbounded variation from  $\tilde{U}(t)$  by subtracting the process  $\varepsilon N_{A-\lambda}$  from  $\tilde{U}(t)$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** *The process*

$$\tilde{w}_\lambda(t) := V(t) - \tilde{v}^{TW}(t) - \varepsilon N_{A-\lambda}(t) = \tilde{U}(t) - \varepsilon N_{A-\lambda}(t)$$

satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \tilde{w}_\lambda(t) &= A(t)\tilde{w}_\lambda(t) + \varepsilon \left( \tilde{P}(t) + f'(\tilde{v}^{TW}(t)) + \lambda \right) N_{A-\lambda}(t) \\ &\quad + \tilde{R}(t, \tilde{w}_\lambda(t) + \varepsilon N_{A-\lambda}(t))\end{aligned}$$

with respect to the Gelfand triple  $\mathcal{B}_{1/2}^2 \hookrightarrow L^2 \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{B}_{1/2}^2)^*$ , where  $w_\lambda(0) = u_0 = 0$ .

*Proof.* Using the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{w}_\lambda(t) = \tilde{U}(t) - \varepsilon N_{A-\lambda}(t) &= \underbrace{V(t) - v^{TW}(t) - \varepsilon N_A(t)}_{=w(t)} \\ &\quad + v^{TW}(t) - \tilde{v}^{TW}(t) \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_0^t S(t-s) N_{A-\lambda}(s) ds\end{aligned}$$

the claim can be verified by direct calculations by means of the partial differential equations satisfied by each term.  $\square$

**Definition 4.6** (Evolution system). Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a separable Banach space. An evolution system on  $[0, T]$  is defined as a map  $P: \Delta^2 \rightarrow L(\mathcal{B})$  such that

- $P(t, t) = I$ .
- $P(t, s)P(s, r) = P(t, r)$ .
- $(t, s) \mapsto P(t, s)$  is strongly continuous.

**Proposition 4.7** (Existence in linear perturbation case [13]). Let  $L$  be a sectorial operator on  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $R \in L^\infty([0, T]; L(\mathcal{B}_\alpha, \mathcal{B}))$  with  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ . Then the operators  $L(t) := L + R(t)$  generate an evolution system  $P$  such that  $x(t) := P(t, \tau)x$  solves the nonautonomous evolution equation

$$\partial_t x(t) = (L + R(t))x(t)$$

with  $x(\tau) = x$ .

**Proposition 4.8.** The family of operators  $(A(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$  generates an exponentially decaying evolution system  $E$ .

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is sectorial and  $f'(\tilde{v}^{TW}(t))$  and  $\tilde{P}(t)$  are bounded as operators mapping  $L^2$  into itself, we see that the family  $(A(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$  satisfies the conditions of Proposition 4.7.

Importantly, the operators  $A(t)$  are dissipative. This can be seen through the Poincaré-type inequality

$$\langle Au + f'(\tilde{v}^{TW})u, u \rangle \leq -\kappa_* \|u\|_{\mathcal{B}_{1/2}^2}^2 + C_* \langle u, \nu \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}^{TW}(t) \rangle^2,$$

which holds by Assumption 6. Rearranging this inequality yields that

$$\langle Au + f'(\tilde{v}^{TW})u - C_* \langle u, \nu \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}^{TW} \rangle \nu \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}^{TW}, u \rangle \leq -\kappa_* \|u\|_{1/2}^2,$$

implying in particular that  $A(t)$  is dissipative for  $m \geq C_*$ . It follows that  $E$  is exponentially decaying.  $\square$

We now aim to decompose  $\tilde{w}_\lambda$  into a first order perturbation and higher order residuals. Let

$$\tilde{v}_\lambda(t) := \varepsilon \int_0^t E(t, s) (\tilde{P}(s) + f'(v^{TW}(s)) + \lambda) N_{A-\lambda}(s) ds,$$

which by the integrability properties of  $N_{A-\lambda}$  is a variational solution of the equation

$$\partial_t \tilde{v}_\lambda(t) = A(t) \tilde{v}_\lambda(t) + (\tilde{P}(t) + f'(\tilde{v}^{TW}(t)) + \lambda) N_{A-\lambda}(t)$$

with respect to the Gelfand triple  $\mathcal{B}_{1/2}^2 \hookrightarrow L^2 \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{B}_{1/2}^2)^*$ . Now, let  $y_\varepsilon = \tilde{w}_\lambda - \tilde{v}_\lambda$  denote the variational solution of the equation

$$\partial_t y_\varepsilon(t) = A(t) y_\varepsilon(t) + R(t, y_\varepsilon(t) + \tilde{v}_\lambda(t) + \varepsilon N_{A-\lambda}(t)).$$

By definition, we thus find the decomposition

$$\tilde{w}_\lambda(t) = y_\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon \int_0^t E(t, s) (\tilde{P}(s) + f'(v^{TW}(s)) + \lambda) N_{A-\lambda}(s) ds.$$

Therefore, we also obtain a decomposition of  $\tilde{U}(t) = V(t) - \tilde{v}^{TW}(t)$ , which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U}(t) &= \tilde{w}_\lambda(t) + \varepsilon N_{A-\lambda}(t) \\ &= y_\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon \int_0^t E(t, s) (\tilde{P}(s) + f'(v^{TW}(s)) + \lambda) N_{A-\lambda}(s) ds + \varepsilon N_{A-\lambda}(t). \end{aligned}$$

### 4.3 Stability for small noise amplitudes

In the remainder of Section 4, we assume that  $V(0) = v_0^{TW}$ , or equivalently,  $u_0 = 0$ . To demonstrate that the fluctuations of the paths of the driver  $N$  dominate the dynamics of the error term  $\tilde{U}(t) = V(t) - \tilde{v}^{TW}(t)$  for small noise amplitudes, we decompose the error into the terms

$$\tilde{U}(t) = \varepsilon Z_\varepsilon(t) + y_\varepsilon(t).$$

Here, heuristically,  $Z_\varepsilon$  denotes an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process which approximates  $\tilde{U}$  to the first order, and  $y_\varepsilon$  denotes the nonlinear residual. Specifically,  $Z_\varepsilon$  and  $y_\varepsilon$  are given by the expressions

$$Z_\varepsilon(t) := \int_0^t E(t, s) (\tilde{P}(s) + f'(v^{TW}(s)) + \lambda) N_{A-\lambda}(s) ds + N_{A-\lambda}(t)$$

and

$$y_\varepsilon(t) := \tilde{w}_\lambda - \tilde{v}_\lambda,$$

where we remind the reader that  $y_\varepsilon$  solves

$$\partial_t y_\varepsilon(t) = A(t) y_\varepsilon(t) + R(t, y_\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon Z_\varepsilon(t))$$

in the variational sense with  $y_\varepsilon(0) = 0$ .

Note that the above expression for  $Z_\varepsilon(t)$  contains a pathwise notion of convolution of evolution systems generated by bounded perturbations of sectorial operators against Hölder continuous paths. For the specific class of evolution systems that we consider, this can be considered as a simple extension of both the framework of Pronk and Veraar [30], where Wiener noise is considered, and Gubinelli et al. [8], where the generator  $A$  is assumed to be constant.

**Definition 4.9.** Let  $L$  be an injective sectorial operator on some Banach space  $\mathcal{B}$  and

$$X \in C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma})$$

be some Hölder continuous path with  $\alpha > \gamma$ . Given  $R \in L^\infty([0, T]; L(\mathcal{B}_\delta, \mathcal{B}))$  for some  $\delta \in [0, \alpha - \gamma)$ , let  $P(t, s)$  denote the evolution system generated by  $L(t) := L + R(t)$ . We then define

$$\int_0^t P(t, s) dX(s) := \int_0^t P(t, s) R(s) X_L(s) ds + X_L(t).$$

**Remark 4.10.** In our specific case,  $A(t)$  is the propagating family of operators and  $A - \lambda$  is a sectorial operator such that  $B_\lambda(t) := A(t) - (A - \lambda)$  is uniformly bounded in  $t$  as an operator on  $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ . Then we can define

$$\int_0^t E(t, s) dN(s) := \int_0^t E(t, s) B_\lambda(s) N_{A-\lambda}(s) ds + N_{A-\lambda}(t).$$

Note that this definition is independent of  $\lambda \geq 0$ .

The main result of this subsection is an upper bound of the form

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} \in o\left(\varepsilon \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}}\right)$$

on the nonlinear residual  $y_\varepsilon(t)$  for sufficiently small noise amplitudes  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Proposition 4.11.** *Let the constant  $C_y > 0$  be as in Lemma 4.12 and  $\kappa_* > 0$  be the dissipativity constant of  $A(t)$  given by (2.4). Then there exists a constant  $z_* > 0$  independent of  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that whenever*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}} \leq \frac{z_*}{\varepsilon},$$

then

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{2C_y}{\kappa_*} \sum_{k=3}^{r+1} \varepsilon^k \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}}^k.$$

The proof of Proposition 4.11 hinges on the following differential inequality.

**Lemma 4.12.** *There are constants  $K_y, C_y > 0$  independent of  $\varepsilon > 0$  and exponents  $2 < p_3 < \dots < p_r$  such that the  $L^2$ -norm of the remainder  $y_\varepsilon(t)$  satisfies the differential inequality*

$$\partial_t \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq -\kappa_* \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + K_y \sum_{k=3}^r \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^{p_k} + C_y \sum_{k=3}^{r+1} \varepsilon^k \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}}^k$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

*Proof.* We rely on the fact that the process  $y_\varepsilon$  is a variational solution of the partial differential equation

$$\partial_t y_\varepsilon(t) = A(t)y_\varepsilon(t) + \tilde{R}(t, y_\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon Z_\varepsilon(t)).$$

Here,

$$\tilde{R}(t, u) = \sum_{k=2}^r f_0^{(k)}(\tilde{v}^{TW}(t))u^k + f_1(u + \tilde{v}^{TW}(t)) - f_1(\tilde{v}^{TW}(t)) - f'(\tilde{v}^{TW}(t))u,$$

where  $f_0$  is an odd-order polynomial with negative leading order coefficient and  $f_1$  is twice differentiable with bounded first and second derivative. Note that by Taylor's theorem there exists a measurable function  $\xi: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with

$$\begin{aligned} & f_1(y_\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon Z_\varepsilon(t) + \tilde{v}^{TW}(t)) - f_1(\tilde{v}^{TW}(t)) - f'_1(\tilde{v}^{TW}(t))(y_\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon Z_\varepsilon(t)) \\ &= f''_1(\xi(t))(y_\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon Z_\varepsilon(t))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Further, as  $f_0$  has a negative leading coefficient,  $f_0^{(r)} \equiv -a$  for some  $a > 0$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(t, y_\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon Z_\varepsilon(t)) &= -a(y_\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon Z_\varepsilon(t))^r + (f''_1(\xi(t)))(y_\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon Z_\varepsilon(t))^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} f_0^{(k)}(\tilde{v}^{TW}(t))(y_\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon Z_\varepsilon(t))^k \end{aligned}$$

The integrability properties  $y_\varepsilon$  inherits from the solution  $V$  of the equation (3.1) ensure that the Lions-Magenes lemma is applicable to this process. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &= -\kappa_* \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_{1/2}^2}^2 + \langle \tilde{R}(t, y_\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon Z_\varepsilon(t)), y_\varepsilon(t) \rangle \\ &= -\kappa_* \left( \|(-A)^{1/2} y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + \langle \tilde{R}(t, y_\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon Z_\varepsilon(t)), y_\varepsilon(t) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

To arrive at the desired bound, we expand the polynomial terms and apply Young's inequality for products and the interpolation equality (2.1) to the residual

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \tilde{R}(t, y_\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon Z_\varepsilon(t)), y_\varepsilon(t) \rangle| &\leq -a \int (y_\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon Z_\varepsilon(t))^r y_\varepsilon(t) dx \\ &\quad + \int |f''_1(\xi(t))| (|y_\varepsilon(t)| + \varepsilon |Z_\varepsilon(t)|)^2 |y_\varepsilon(t)| dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^r \int |f_0^{(k)}(\tilde{v}^{TW}(t))| (|y_\varepsilon(t)| + \varepsilon |Z_\varepsilon(t)|)^k |y_\varepsilon(t)| dx \\ &= I + II + III, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

By boundedness of  $f''_1$  and  $f^{(k)}(\tilde{v}^{TW}(t))$  combined with convexity of  $x^p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} II + III &\leq C \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^{k+1}}^{k+1} + \langle \varepsilon^k |Z_\varepsilon(t)|^k, |y_\varepsilon(t)| \rangle \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=2}^{r-1} \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^{k+1}}^{k+1} + \varepsilon^{k+1} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^{k+1}}^{k+1} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=3}^r \left\| (-A)^{1/2} y_\varepsilon(t) \right\|_{L^2}^{k\theta_k} \|y_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{k(1-\theta_k)} + C \sum_{k=3}^r \varepsilon^k \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^k}^k. \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $C$  denotes a constant that changes from line to line. Now, by Assumption 2.2,  $k\theta_k < 2$  for  $3 \leq k \leq r$ . Therefore, we can again apply Young's inequality with  $p = \frac{2}{k\theta_k}$  and  $q = \frac{2}{2-k\theta_k}$  and see that for suitably chosen  $0 < \eta < \kappa$  and  $K_y > 0$  dependent on  $\kappa$  and  $r$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} II + III &\leq \eta \left\| (-A)^{1/2} y_\varepsilon(t) \right\|_{L^2}^2 + K_y \sum_{k=3}^r \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^{k(1-\theta_k)\frac{2}{2-k\theta_k}} \\ &\quad + C \sum_{k=3}^r \varepsilon^k \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^k}^k. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if  $k\theta_k < 2$ , then  $k(1-\theta_k)\frac{2}{2-k\theta_k} > 2$  if and only if  $k > 2$ , so that we can be assured that all exponents of  $\|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}$  are larger than 2. It is left to finish the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} I &= -a \int (y_\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon Z_\varepsilon(t))^r y_\varepsilon(t) \, dx \\ &\leq -a \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^{r+1}}^{r+1} + Ca \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \langle \varepsilon^{2k-1} |Z_\varepsilon(t)|^{2k-1}, |y_\varepsilon(t)|^{r+1-(2k-1)} \rangle \\ &\leq -(a-\eta) \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^{r+1}}^{r+1} + C\varepsilon^{r+1} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^{r+1}}^{r+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Altogether, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq -\kappa_* \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_{1/2}^2}^2 + I + II + III \\ &\leq -\kappa_* \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 - (\kappa_* - \eta) \left\| (-A)^{1/2} y_\varepsilon(t) \right\|_{L^2}^2 - (a-\eta) \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^{r+1}}^{r+1} \\ &\quad + K_y \sum_{k=3}^r \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^{k(1-\theta_k)\frac{2}{2-k\theta_k}} + C_y \sum_{k=3}^{r+1} \varepsilon^k \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^k}^k \\ &\leq -\kappa_* \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + K_y \sum_{k=3}^r \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^{p_k} + C_y \sum_{k=3}^{r+1} \varepsilon^k \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}}^k. \end{aligned}$$

for  $p_k = k(1-\theta_k)\frac{2}{2-k\theta_k} > 2$ , where we applied Hölder's inequality to obtain the last line.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 4.11.* By the preceding lemma, we know that  $y_\varepsilon(t) = \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2$  satisfies the differential inequality

$$\partial_t y \leq -\kappa_* y + K_y \sum_{k=3}^r y^{p_k/2} + C_y \sum_{k=3}^{r+1} \varepsilon^k \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}}^k,$$

for  $p_k/2 > 1$  and constants  $C_y, K_y > 0$ . Now, for  $y \in [0, 1]$ ,  $p \rightarrow y^p$  is a decreasing function and hence for  $p = \max\{p_3/2, \dots, p_r/2\} > 1$  and  $0 \leq y \leq 1$ ,

$$\partial_t y \leq -\kappa_* y + (r-2)K_y y^p + C_y \sum_{k=3}^{r+1} \varepsilon^k \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}}^k.$$

To demonstrate the claimed bound, note that for

$$y \leq \left( \frac{\kappa_*}{2(r-2)K_y} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \tag{4.3}$$

it holds that

$$-\kappa_* y + (r-2)K_y y^p = y((r-2)K_y y^{p-1} - \kappa_*) \leq -\frac{\kappa_*}{2} y.$$

Therefore, direct calculation yields that if

$$\frac{2C_y}{\kappa_*} \sum_{k=3}^{r+1} \varepsilon^k \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}}^k \leq y \leq \left( \frac{\kappa_*}{2(r-2)K_y} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad (4.4)$$

then

$$-\kappa_* y + (r-2)K_y y^p + C_y \sum_{k=3}^{r+1} \varepsilon^k \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}}^k \leq 0.$$

Hence, by standard comparison theorems for first-order ordinary differential equations, it follows that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} y \leq \frac{2C_y}{\kappa_*} \sum_{k=3}^{r+1} \varepsilon^k \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}}^k \vee \underbrace{y_0}_{=0}.$$

Now, it remains to make the dependence on the the supremum of  $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}}$  explicit. To this end, consider the function

$$\ell(z) := \frac{2C_y}{\kappa_*} (z^3 + \dots + z^{r+1}).$$

Evidently, this map is increasing and hence invertible, so that condition (4.4) is equivalent to

$$\varepsilon \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}} < z_* := \ell^{-1} \left( \left( \frac{\kappa_*}{2(r-2)K_y} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right),$$

which finalises the proof.  $\square$

As  $d(V(t), \Gamma) \leq \|\tilde{U}(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} + \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}$ , we obtain the following corollary from the previous results.

**Corollary 4.13.** *Let  $z_*, C_y, \kappa_* > 0$  be as in Proposition 4.11. Then, if*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}} \leq \frac{z_*}{\varepsilon},$$

*it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} d(V(t), \Gamma) &\leq \varepsilon \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{2C_y}{\kappa_*}} \sum_{k=3}^{r+1} \varepsilon^{k/2} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}}^{k/2}. \end{aligned}$$

#### 4.4 Growth estimates

By virtue of the bounds we derived in the preceding section (cf. Corollary 4.13), it already follows that as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\tilde{U}(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \varepsilon \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\| + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0.$$

However, these upper bounds are quite crude and the dependence on the driver  $N$  is unclear. In this section, we make this relationship more explicit by deriving bounds on the supremum of the norm of  $Z_\varepsilon(t)$  dependent on the Hölder norm of the path  $N$ .

**Proposition 4.14.** *There exists a constant  $C_Z$  dependent on  $\|\nu \cdot \nabla v_0^{TW}\|_{L^2}$ ,  $\|f'(v_0^{TW})\|_{L^\infty}$  and  $\kappa_* > 0$  such that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}} \leq C_Z(1 + \lambda) \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|N_{A-\lambda}(t)\|_{L^2} + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|N_{A-\lambda}(t)\|_{L^{r+1}} \right). \quad (4.5)$$

*Proof.* We show the bound for the individual terms which constitute

$$\|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}} := \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} + \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^{r+1}}.$$

Let  $p \in \{2, r+1\}$ . We first apply Bochner's inequality to find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^p \mathcal{O}} \\ & \leq \int_0^t \|E(t, s) (\tilde{P}(s) + f'(v^{TW}(s)) + \lambda) N_{A-\lambda}(s)\|_{L^p} ds + \|N_{A-\lambda}(s)\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

The second term on the right hand side trivially satisfies

$$\|N_{A-\lambda}(t)\|_{L^p} \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|N_{A-\lambda}(t)\|_{L^p}.$$

Now, to find a bound on

$$\int_0^t \|E(t, s) (\tilde{P}(s) + f'(v^{TW}(s)) + \lambda) N_{A-\lambda}(s)\|_{L^p} ds,$$

we can apply the interpolation inequality (2.1) to obtain

$$\|u\|_{L^p} \leq C \left\| (-A)^{1/2} u \right\|_{L^2}^{\theta_p} \|u\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta_p}$$

and control the  $L^p$ -norm:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \|E(t, s) (\tilde{P}(s) + f'(v^{TW}(s)) + \lambda) N_{A-\lambda}(s)\|_{L^{r+1}} ds \\ & \leq C \int_0^t \left\| (-A)^{1/2} E(t, s) (\tilde{P}(s) + f'(v^{TW}(s)) + \lambda) N_{A-\lambda}(s) \right\|_{L^2}^{\theta_p} \\ & \quad \times \|E(t, s) (\tilde{P}(s) + f'(v^{TW}(s)) + \lambda) N_{A-\lambda}(s)\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta_p} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Standard semigroup estimates yield that there exists a constant  $M$  independent of  $T$  such that

$$\|(-A)^{1/2}S(t)x\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{M}{\sqrt{t}}\|x\|_{L^2}.$$

Combining this with the trivial estimate

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\tilde{P}(s) + f'(v^{TW}(s)) + \lambda\| \leq C(1 + \lambda)$$

for some constants  $C, M$  independent of  $T$ , the exponential decay of  $E(t, s)$  combined with Theorem 7.1.3 in [13] implies that

$$\|(-A)^{1/2}E(t, s)x\|_{L^2} \leq MC(1 + \lambda) \frac{e^{-\kappa(t-s)}}{(t-s)^{1/2}}\|x\|_{L^2}.$$

Therefore, we arrive at the conclusion that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \|E(t, s)(\tilde{P}(s) + f'(v^{TW}(s)) + \lambda)N_{A-\lambda}(s)\|_{L^p} ds \\ & \leq CM(1 + \lambda) \int_0^t e^{-\kappa(t-s)} \frac{\|N_{A-\lambda}(s)\|_{L^2}}{(t-s)^{\theta_p/2}} ds \\ & \leq CM(1 + \lambda) \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\kappa s}}{s^{\theta_p/2}} ds \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|N_{A-\lambda}(t)\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C_Z(1 + \lambda) \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|N_{A-\lambda}(t)\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $C_Z := CM\kappa^{-(1-\theta_p/2)}\Gamma(1-\theta_p/2)$ , which is well-defined since  $\theta_p \leq 1$ . The desired inequality now follows.  $\square$

We now formulate and demonstrate the claimed pathwise stability results, Propositions 4.15 and 4.19. These results state that for small times  $T$ , noise amplitudes  $\varepsilon$  and drivers  $N$  with small Hölder norm,

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} d(V(t), \Gamma)$$

remains small. We improve on the statement of Corollary 4.13 using Proposition 4.14 and estimates on the growth of the norm of  $N_{A-\lambda}$  in terms of the Hölder norm of the driver  $N$  and the time  $T$ . We distinguish between the cases  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , and find respective estimates of the right hand side of (4.5), i.e.

$$(1 + \lambda) \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|N_{A-\lambda}(t)\|_{L^2}.$$

**Proposition 4.15** ( $\lambda = 0$ ). *Let  $z_*, C_y, \kappa_* > 0$  be as in Proposition 4.11. There exists a constant  $C_3 > 0$  dependent on  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  but independent of  $T > 0$  such that whenever*

$$C_3 T^{\alpha-\gamma} \|N\|_{C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^2 \cap \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^{r+1})} < \frac{z_*}{\varepsilon},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\tilde{U}(t)\|_{L^2} & \leq \varepsilon C_3 T^{\alpha-\gamma} \|N\|_{C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^2 \cap \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^{r+1})} \\ & + \sqrt{\frac{2C_y}{\kappa_*}} \sum_{k=3}^{r+1} \varepsilon^{k/2} (C_3 T^{\alpha-\gamma} \|N\|_{C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^2 \cap \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^{r+1})})^{k/2}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We aim to show existence of a constant  $C_3$  such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}} \leq C_3 T^{\alpha-\gamma} \|N\|_{C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^2 \cap \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^{r+1})}. \quad (4.6)$$

Assuming that (4.6) holds, then we would find that

$$C_3 T^{\alpha-\gamma} \|N\|_{C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^2 \cap \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^{r+1})} < \frac{z_*}{\varepsilon}$$

implies

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}} < \frac{z_*}{\varepsilon}.$$

Under these conditions, propositions 4.11 and 4.14 then imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \frac{2C_y}{\kappa_*} \sum_{k=3}^{r+1} \varepsilon^k \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}}^k \\ &\leq \frac{2C_y}{\kappa_*} \sum_{k=3}^{r+1} \varepsilon^k (C_3 T^{\alpha-\gamma} \|N\|_{C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^2 \cap \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^{r+1})})^k. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, repeated application of the inequality  $\sqrt{x+y} \leq \sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y}$  to

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} &= \sqrt{\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{2C_y}{\kappa_*} \sum_{k=3}^{r+1} \varepsilon^k (C_3 T^{\alpha-\gamma} \|N\|_{C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^2 \cap \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^{r+1})})^k} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{2C_y}{\kappa_*} \sum_{k=3}^{r+1} \varepsilon^{k/2} (C_3 T^{\alpha-\gamma} \|N\|_{C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^2 \cap \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^{r+1})})^{k/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

yields the desired estimate, as

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\tilde{U}(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|y_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}. \quad \square$$

It remains to show that (4.6) holds for some  $C_3 > 0$ . We first apply (4.5) in the case  $\lambda = 0$  and find that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}} \leq C_Z \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|N_A(t)\|_{L^2} + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|N_A(t)\|_{L^{r+1}} \right).$$

It is left to find a bound on the norm of the process  $N_A$  in  $L^\infty([0, T]; L^2) \cap L^\infty([0, T]; L^{r+1})$ . Since the operator  $A$  is not assumed to be dissipative, we cannot exploit any exponential decay property of the semigroup generated by the operators  $A_p$ . Instead, we apply the the maximal inequality

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|N_{A_p}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\delta^p} \leq C_2 T^{\alpha-\gamma-\delta} \|N\|_{C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^p)} \quad (4.7)$$

given by Proposition 3.2 for some  $C_2 > 0$  independent of  $T > 0$ . For  $\delta = 0$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{B}_\delta^p = L^p(\mathcal{O})$ , this gives

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|N_A(t)\|_{L^2} + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|N_A(t)\|_{L^{r+1}} \leq 2C_2 T^{\alpha-\gamma} \|N\|_{C^\alpha([0,T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^2 \cap \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^{r+1})}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}} \leq \underbrace{2C_Z C_2}_{=: C_3} T^{\alpha-\gamma} \|N\|_{C^\alpha([0,T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^2 \cap \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^{r+1})}.$$

**Remark 4.16.** The coefficient  $C_3$  in the preceding statement depends on  $\alpha - \gamma$  with

$$C_3 \gtrsim \int_0^1 \frac{1}{t^{1-(\alpha-\gamma)}} dt,$$

which means that  $C_3 \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ .

If, in the preceding proof, we apply the estimate (4.5) for  $\lambda > 0$ , we can utilise the following stronger bound on the maximal norm of the convolution and thereby reach an upper bound in terms of a multiple of the Hölder norm of  $N$  only.

**Lemma 4.17.** *Let  $0 \leq \gamma < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . For  $p \in \{2, r+1\}$ , the convolution  $N_{A-\lambda}$  satisfies*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|N_{A-\lambda}(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \leq \lambda^{-(\alpha-\gamma)} K(\alpha-\gamma) \|N\|_{C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^p)}$$

for

$$K(\alpha-\gamma) = \Gamma(\alpha-\gamma) + \Gamma(1+\alpha-\gamma) + (\alpha-\gamma)^{-(\alpha-\gamma)}.$$

As a direct consequence of Lemma 4.17, we can refine (4.5) and estimate the norm of  $Z_\varepsilon$  in terms of the Hölder norm of the driver  $N$ .

**Corollary 4.18.** *Let  $0 \leq \gamma < \alpha \leq 1$ . Then*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}} \leq 2C_Z \tilde{K}(\alpha-\gamma) \|N\|_{C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^2 \cap \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^{r+1})}, \quad (4.8)$$

with

$$\tilde{K}(\alpha-\gamma) := K(\alpha-\gamma) \frac{(\alpha-\gamma)^{\alpha-\gamma}}{(1-(\alpha-\gamma))^{1-(\alpha-\gamma)}},$$

where  $C_Z$  and  $K(\alpha-\gamma)$  are the constants given by Proposition 4.14 and Lemma 4.17, respectively.

*Proof.* Given any  $\lambda > 0$ , we first apply Proposition 4.14 and then Lemma 4.17 to arrive at the upper bound

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}} \leq 2C_Z K(\alpha-\gamma) \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{\alpha-\gamma}} + \lambda^{1-(\alpha-\gamma)} \right) \|N\|_{C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^2 \cap \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^{r+1})}.$$

Plugging in  $\lambda = \frac{\alpha-\gamma}{1-(\alpha-\gamma)}$  minimises

$$\lambda \mapsto \frac{1}{\lambda^{\alpha-\gamma}} + \lambda^{1-(\alpha-\gamma)}$$

and yields the claimed estimate.  $\square$

Using the same approach as in the proof of Proposition 4.15, the upper bound (4.8) on

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^{r+1}}$$

translates to an upper bound on

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\tilde{U}(t)\|_{L^2}$$

in terms of the  $\alpha$ -Hölder norm of  $N$ .

**Proposition 4.19** ( $\lambda > 0$ ). *Let  $z_*, C > 0$  be as in Proposition 4.12. There exists a constant  $C_4 > 0$  independent of  $T > 0$  such that whenever*

$$C_4 \|N\|_{C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^2 \cap \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^{r+1})} < \frac{z_*}{\varepsilon},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\tilde{U}(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq C_4 \|N\|_{C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^2 \cap \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^{r+1})} \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{2C_y}{\kappa_*}} \sum_{k=3}^{r+1} C_4 \varepsilon)^{k/2} \|N\|_{C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^2 \cap \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^{r+1})}^{k/2}. \end{aligned}$$

## 5 Application to fractional Brownian Motion

Using the results of the preceding section, we can now obtain pathwise Hurst parameter dependent growth estimates for small noise intensities for fractional Brownian driving noise.

### 5.1 The fractional Brownian Motion

We first introduce the fractional Brownian motion (fBm) in Hilbert spaces.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space,  $Q: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be a nonnegative operator and  $H \in (0, 1]$ . An  $\mathcal{H}$ -valued fractional Brownian motion (fBm) with covariance  $Q$  of Hurst parameter  $H$  is a centred Gaussian process  $(B^H(t))_{t \geq 0}$  on some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  such that  $B^H(0) \equiv 0$  and, for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[\langle B^H(t), x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \langle B^H(s), y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}] = (t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}) \langle Qx, y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

For  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}$ , existence of the one-dimensional fractional Brownian motion  $(\beta^H(t))_{t \geq 0}$  as a stochastic process follows by the Kolmogorov extension theorem. The covariance structure further implies the following properties:

1. (Self-similarity) For  $a \geq 0$ ,

$$(\beta^H(at))_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} (a^H \beta^H(t))_{t \geq 0}$$

2. (Stationary increments) For all  $h > 0$ ,

$$(\beta^H(t+h) - \beta^H(h))_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} (\beta^H(t))_{t \geq 0}$$

3. (Regularity of sample paths) By Kolmogorov's continuity theorem, there exists a modification of  $(\beta^H(t))_{t \geq 0}$  such that

$$(\beta^H(t))_{t \in [0, T]} \in C^\alpha([0, T])$$

almost surely for all  $\alpha < H$ .

The construction of an  $\mathcal{H}$ -valued fBm with trace class covariance operator  $Q: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is analogous to the construction of the standard  $Q$ -Wiener process with values in some Hilbert space. Let  $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be an orthonormal eigenbasis to the eigenvalues  $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of  $Q$  and  $(\beta_n^H)_{n \geq 1}$  be an independent family of fractional Brownian motions on the interval  $[0, T]$ . Then

$$B^H(t) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \sqrt{\lambda_n} \beta_n^H(t) e_n$$

defines an  $H$ -valued fractional Brownian motion  $B^H$  on  $[0, T]$ . Note that self-similarity, stationarity of increments and regularity of sample paths transfer to the infinite dimensional setting and can be directly verified using the above construction. In particular, for  $\alpha < H$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|B^H\|_{C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{H})}^2 \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq s < t \leq T} \frac{\sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n (\beta_n^H(t) - \beta_n^H(s))^2}{(t-s)^{2\alpha}} \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n \|\beta_n^H\|_{C^\alpha([0, T])}^2 \right] \\ &= \text{tr } Q \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[ \|\beta^H\|_{C^\alpha([0, T])}^2 \right] \\ &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

for some fixed one-dimensional fBm  $\beta^H$ . The following observation provides the guiding intuition for the growth estimates on the order  $\mathcal{O}(T^H)$  which we aim to show using the results of the previous section.

**Remark 5.2.** Let  $W^H$  be an  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ -valued fBm with Hurst parameter  $H \in (0, 1)$  and trace class covariance operator  $Q$ , and let  $W_\Delta^H$  denote the stochastic convolution against the heat semigroup on  $L^2$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{B}_0 = L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . By Proposition 3.2, we find that for  $0 < \eta < H$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|W_\Delta^H(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\delta} \right] \leq CT^{H-\eta} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|W^H\|_{C^{H-\eta}([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \right].$$

Now, by self-similarity of the fBm, the right hand side can be calculated more precisely as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|W^H\|_{C^{H-\eta}([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t, s \in [0, T]} \frac{\|W^H(t) - W^H(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}}{(t-s)^{H-\eta}} \right] \\ &= T^\eta \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t, s \in [0, 1]} \frac{\|W^H(t) - W^H(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}}{(t-s)^{H-\eta}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

and so we can conclude

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|W_{\Delta}^H(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\delta} \right] \leq CT^H \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t, s \in [0, 1]} \frac{\|W^H(t) - W^H(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}}{(t-s)^{H-\eta}} \right].$$

Therefore, we find that the growth of the expected supremum of the stochastic convolution driven by  $W^H$  is of order at most  $T^H$ . We aim to show that such a bound holds with high probability in a pathwise sense.

The following analysis crucially depends on a small ball probability estimate for Hölder norms of one-dimensional real fBm, derived in a work by Kuelbs et al. [20].

**Proposition 5.3** ([20]). *Let  $\beta^H$  be a one-dimensional real fBm with Hurst parameter  $H \in (0, 1)$ . For fixed  $0 < \eta < H$  there exists a constant  $K_\eta^H > 0$ , dependent on  $H$  and  $\eta$ , such that for any  $b \geq 0$ ,*

$$\log \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s, t \leq 1} \frac{|\beta^H(t) - \beta^H(s)|}{|t-s|^{H-\eta}} \leq b^\eta \right) \geq -\frac{K_\eta^H}{b}$$

Our proof that this inequality extends to the infinite-dimensional case relies on additional, stronger spectral decay properties of the covariance operator  $Q$ . Specifically, we assume that

**Assumption 7.** The eigenvalues  $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of  $Q$  satisfy

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n^{1-2\eta_0} < \infty$$

for some  $0 < \eta_0 < 1/2 \wedge H$ , and hence

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n^{1-2\eta} < \infty$$

for any  $0 < \eta \leq \eta_0$ .

**Proposition 5.4.** *Suppose that Assumption 7 holds for  $Q: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  and  $0 < \eta_0 < 1/2 \wedge H$ . Let  $B^H$  be an  $\mathcal{H}$ -valued  $Q$ -fBm and  $0 < \eta \leq \eta_0$  be arbitrary. Then*

$$\log \mathbb{P} \left( \|B^H\|_{C^{H-\eta}([0, 1]; \mathcal{H})} \leq b^\eta \right) \geq -\text{tr } Q \cdot \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n^{1-2\eta} \right)^{1/2\eta} \cdot \frac{K_\eta^H}{b},$$

where the constant  $K_\eta^H$  is chosen as in Proposition 5.3.

*Proof.* The previous result implies that for an independent collection of one-dimensional fractional Brownian motions  $(\beta_n^H)_{n \geq 1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left( \forall n: \sup_{0 \leq s, t \leq 1} \frac{|\beta_n^H(t) - \beta_n^H(s)|}{|t-s|^{H-\eta}} \leq \left( \frac{b}{\lambda_n} \right)^\eta \right) \\ &= \prod_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s, t \leq 1} \frac{|\beta_n^H(t) - \beta_n^H(s)|}{|t-s|^{H-\eta}} \leq \left( \frac{b}{\lambda_n} \right)^\eta \right) \\ &\geq e^{-\text{tr } Q \cdot \frac{K_\eta^H}{b}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we found a nontrivial lower bound for the probability that the Hölder norms of the sequence of fBm's does not diverge too quickly. Our aim is to exploit that  $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$  decays more quickly than  $(\lambda_n^{2\eta})_{n \geq 1}$  to show that this control on the deviations of the Hölder norms translates into a control of the Hölder norm of the  $\mathcal{H}$ -valued process. Now, as

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\forall n: \sup_{0 \leq s, t \leq 1} \frac{|\beta_n^H(t) - \beta_n^H(s)|^2}{|t - s|^{2(H-\eta)}} \leq \left(\frac{b}{\lambda_n}\right)^{2\eta}\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\forall n: \lambda_n \sup_{0 \leq s, t \leq 1} \frac{|\beta_n^H(t) - \beta_n^H(s)|^2}{|t - s|^{2(H-\eta)}} \leq \lambda_n^{1-2\eta} b^{2\eta}\right),$$

we find that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\forall n: \lambda_n \|\beta_n^H\|_{C^{H-\eta}([0, T])}^2 \leq \lambda_n^{1-2\eta} b^{2\eta}\right) \geq e^{-\text{tr} Q \frac{K_\eta^H}{b}}.$$

This estimate essentially already implies the result, as the statement

$$\forall n: \lambda_n \|\beta_n^H\|_{C^{H-\eta}([0, T])}^2 \leq \lambda_n^{1-2\eta} b^{2\eta}$$

implies the inequality

$$\sum_n \lambda_n \|\beta_n^H\|_{C^{H-\eta}([0, 1])}^2 \leq \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n^{1-2\eta}\right) b^{2\eta}. \quad (5.1)$$

It follows that

$$\|B^H\|_{C^{H-\eta}([0, 1]; \mathcal{H})}^2 \leq \sum_n \lambda_n \|\beta_n^H\|_{C^{H-\eta}([0, 1])}^2 \stackrel{5.1}{\leq} \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n^{1-2\eta}\right) b^{2\eta}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mathbb{P}\left(\|B^H\|_{C^{H-\eta}([0, 1]; \mathcal{H})} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n^{1-2\eta} \cdot b^\eta}\right) &\geq \log \mathbb{P}\left(\forall n: \lambda_n \|\beta_n^H\|_{C^{H-\eta}([0, 1])}^2 \leq \lambda_n^{1-2\eta} b^{2\eta}\right) \\ &\geq -\text{tr} Q \cdot \frac{K_\eta^H}{b}. \end{aligned}$$

By substituting  $\frac{b}{(\sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n^{1-2\eta})^{1/2\eta}}$  into the preceding inequality, we arrive at the desired expression.  $\square$

By the scaling properties of the Hölder norm of fBm as observed in Remark 5.2, we can infer the following bounds in probability, which will turn out to be directly applicable to the pathwise result of the previous section.

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let  $B^H$  be an  $\mathcal{H}$ -valued  $Q$ -fbm with Hurst index  $H \in (0, 1)$ . Choose  $0 < \eta \leq \eta_0$  as in Assumption 7. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\log \mathbb{P}\left(\varepsilon C T^{H-\eta} \|B^H\|_{C^{H-\eta}([0, T]; \mathcal{H})} \leq \varepsilon^{1-\eta} T^H\right) \\ &= \log \mathbb{P}\left(\varepsilon C \|B^H\|_{C^{H-\eta}([0, T]; \mathcal{H})} \leq \varepsilon^{1-\eta} T^\eta\right) \\ &\geq -\text{tr} Q \cdot \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n^{1-2\eta}\right)^{1/2\eta} K_\eta^H C^{1/\eta} \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for  $K_\eta^H > 0$  as in Proposition 5.3 and any constants  $\varepsilon, C, b > 0$  and time  $T > 0$ .

## 5.2 Stability of travelling waves for fractional Brownian noise

We now consider mild solutions  $V^H$  on  $[0, T]$  (cf. Definition 3.4) of the equation

$$\begin{cases} dV(t) = (AV(t) + f(V(t))) dt + \varepsilon dB^H(t) \\ V(0) = v_0^{TW} \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

where  $A, f$  and  $v_0^{TW}$  satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 3.6. To demonstrate the estimates that constitute our main result, we will need an assumption on the eigenvectors  $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$  and eigenvalues  $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of the spatial covariance  $Q$ . Note that this assumption additionally ensures that

$$B^H \in C^\alpha([0, T]; L^{r+1}(\mathcal{O})),$$

which is necessary to apply the results of Section 4.

**Assumption 8.** The eigenbasis  $(e_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset L^2(\mathcal{O})$  of  $Q$  is a subset of  $L^{r+1}(\mathcal{O})$  and

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n^{1/2 - \eta_0} \|e_n\|_{L^{r+1}(\mathcal{O})}^{1/2 - \eta_0} < \infty$$

for some  $0 < \eta_0 < 1/2 \wedge H$  chosen as in Assumption 7.

**Example 5.6.** Let  $(\psi_n)_{n \geq 0}$  denote the sequence of Hermite functions, which constitute a basis of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . In [2], it was shown that  $\|\psi_n\|_{L^4} \in O(n^{-1/8} \log n)$  and, for  $p > 4$ ,  $\|\psi_n\|_{L^p} \in O(n^{-1/12})$ . Therefore, if  $\eta_0$  is small enough and  $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is the sequence of Hermite functions, then Assumption 8 is weaker than assuming that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n^{1/2} < \infty.$$

**Remark 5.7.** Note that by this assumption, we readily obtain that  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost surely,

$$B^H \in C^\alpha([0, T]; L^2(\mathcal{O}) \cap L^{r+1}(\mathcal{O}))$$

for  $\alpha < H$ , since

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \frac{\|B^H(t) - B^H(s)\|_{L^p(\mathcal{O})}}{|t - s|^\alpha} \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \frac{|\beta^H(t) - \beta^H(s)|}{|t - s|^\alpha} \right] \sum_{n \geq 1} \sqrt{\lambda_n} \|e_n\|_{L^p(\mathcal{O})} < \infty,$$

where  $\beta^H$  is any fractional Brownian motion defined on the given probability space and  $\alpha < H$ .

To derive the estimates of the main result of this section, we need to adapt Proposition 5.4 to the setting of an  $L^2 \cap L^{r+1}$ -valued fBm. The proof is analogous and now hinges on Assumption 8.

**Proposition 5.8.** *Suppose that Assumptions 7 and 8 hold. Then, for any  $\varepsilon, C > 0$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \log \mathbb{P} \left( \varepsilon C T^{H-\eta} \|B^H\|_{C^{H-\eta}([0,T];L^2(\mathcal{O}) \cap L^{r+1}(\mathcal{O}))} \leq \varepsilon^{1-\eta} T^H \right) \\ &= \log \mathbb{P} \left( \varepsilon C \|B^H\|_{C^{H-\eta}([0,T];L^2(\mathcal{O}) \cap L^{r+1}(\mathcal{O}))} \leq \varepsilon^{1-\eta} T^\eta \right) \\ &\geq -C^{1/\eta} K_\eta^Q K_\eta^H \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 < \eta \leq \eta_0$  is given by Assumptions 7 and 8,  $K_\eta^H$  is chosen as in Proposition 5.3 and

$$K_\eta^Q := \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n + \sqrt{\lambda_n} \|e_n\|_{L^{r+1}} \right) \cdot \left( \sqrt{\sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n^{1-2\eta}} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n^{1/2-\eta} \|e_n\|_{L^{r+1}}^{1/2-\eta} \right)^{1/\eta}$$

is a constant dependent on  $Q$  and  $\eta$ .

We now state and demonstrate the main theorem of this manuscript. As described in the introduction, this result considers two scenarios, yielding short- and long time asymptotics on

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} d(V(t), \Gamma)$$

for small enough noise amplitudes  $\varepsilon$ .

**Theorem 5.9.** *Suppose that Assumptions 4, 5 and 6 hold and let  $A, f$  satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 3.6, where in particular,  $f = f_0 + f_1$  for some odd-order polynomial  $f_0$  with  $\deg(f_0) = r$  and globally Lipschitz function  $f_1 \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Further, let  $B^H$  be an  $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ -valued  $Q$ -fBm on some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  such that  $Q$  satisfies Assumptions 7 and 8 for some  $0 < \eta_0 < \frac{1}{2} \wedge H$ , i.e.*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n^{1-2\eta_0} + \lambda_n^{1/2-\eta_0} \|e_n\|_{L^{r+1}}^{1/2-\eta_0} < \infty,$$

where  $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$  denotes the eigenvalues to the orthonormal eigenbasis  $(e_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset L^2(\mathcal{O})$  of  $Q$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $V$  denote the pathwise defined solution of equation (5.2) with  $v_0 = v_0^{TW}$  given by Theorem 3.6. Then there exist constants  $\rho, C_3, C_4 > 0$  and  $z_* > 0$  independent of  $Q, \eta, T$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $V$  satisfies

$$\log \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} d(V(t), \Gamma) \leq \varepsilon^{1-\eta} T^H + \rho \sum_{k=3}^{r+1} \varepsilon^{\frac{(1-\eta)k}{2}} T^{\frac{kH}{2}} \right) \geq -K_\eta^H K_\eta^Q C_3^{1/\eta} \left( \varepsilon \vee (\varepsilon T^H / z_*)^{1/\eta} \right)$$

and

$$\log \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} d(V(t), \Gamma) \leq \varepsilon^{1-\eta} T^\eta + \rho \sum_{k=3}^{r+1} \varepsilon^{\frac{(1-\eta)k}{2}} T^{\frac{k\eta}{2}} \right) \geq -K_\eta^H K_\eta^Q C_4^{1/\eta} \left( \varepsilon \vee (\varepsilon T^\eta / z_*)^{1/\eta} \right)$$

for any  $0 < \eta \leq \eta_0$  and constants  $K_\eta^H, K_\eta^Q > 0$  as in Proposition 5.8.

*Proof.* We only prove the lower bound for the probability that an upper bound of order  $\mathcal{O}(T^H)$  holds; the second estimate follows analogously by means of Proposition 4.19 instead of using Proposition 4.15.

For  $0 < \eta \leq \eta_0 < \frac{1}{2} \wedge H$ , let  $B^H \in C^{H-\eta}([0, T]; L^2(\mathcal{O}) \cap L^{r+1}(\mathcal{O}))$  denote a fixed realisation of a path of the given fBm, and let  $V$  be the corresponding solution of equation (5.2) with initial condition  $V(0) = v_0^{TW}$ .

Let  $C(t)$  denote the corresponding solution of equation (4.1) for initial value 0 and consider the process  $\tilde{U}(t) := V(t) - v_{C(t)}^{TW}$  introduced in Section 4. Note that in particular,  $\tilde{U}(0) = 0$ . By definition,

$$d(V(t), \Gamma) = \inf_{\phi \in \mathbb{R}} \|V(t) - v_{\phi}^{TW}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \leq \|\tilde{U}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})},$$

so it suffices to show that

$$\log \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\tilde{U}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \leq \varepsilon^{1-\eta} T^H + \rho \sum_{k=3}^{r+1} \varepsilon^{\frac{(1-\eta)k}{2}} T^{\frac{kH}{2}} \right) \geq -K_{\eta}^H K_{\eta}^Q C_3^{1/\eta} \left( \varepsilon \vee (\varepsilon T^H / z_0)^{1/\eta} \right).$$

By Proposition 4.15, we know that there exist constants  $z_*, C_y, C_3 > 0$  independent of  $\eta, \varepsilon > 0$  such that whenever

$$C_3 T^{H-\eta} \|B^H\|_{C^{H-\eta}([0, T]; L^2(\mathcal{O}) \cap L^{r+1}(\mathcal{O}))} \leq \frac{z_*}{\varepsilon},$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\tilde{U}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} &\leq \varepsilon C_3 T^{\alpha-\gamma} \|N\|_{C^{\alpha}([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^2 \cap \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^{r+1})} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\frac{2C_y}{\kappa_*}} \sum_{k=3}^{r+1} \varepsilon^{k/2} (C_3 T^{(\alpha-\gamma)} \|N\|_{C^{\alpha}([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^2 \cap \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^{r+1})})^{k/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if

$$\varepsilon C_3 T^{H-\eta} \|B^H\|_{C^{H-\eta}([0, T]; L^2(\mathcal{O}) \cap L^{r+1}(\mathcal{O}))} \leq z_* \wedge \varepsilon^{1-\eta} T^H,$$

it holds that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\tilde{U}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \leq \varepsilon^{1-\eta} T^H + \rho \sum_{k=3}^{r+1} \varepsilon^{\frac{(1-\eta)k}{2}} T^{\frac{kH}{2}}$$

with  $\rho := \sqrt{\frac{2C_y}{\kappa_*}}$ . We now apply Proposition 5.8 and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\log \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\tilde{U}(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon^{1-\eta} T^H + \rho \sum_{k=3}^{r+1} \varepsilon^{\frac{(1-\eta)k}{2}} T^{\frac{kH}{2}} \right) \\ &\geq \log \mathbb{P} \left( \varepsilon C_3 T^{H-\eta} \|B^H\|_{C^{H-\eta}([0, T]; L^2(\mathcal{O}) \cap L^{r+1}(\mathcal{O}))} \leq z_* \wedge \varepsilon^{1-\eta} T^H \right) \\ &\geq \log \mathbb{P} \left( \|B^H\|_{C^{H-\eta}([0, 1]; L^2(\mathcal{O}) \cap L^{r+1}(\mathcal{O}))} \leq \frac{1}{C_3} \left( \frac{z_*}{\varepsilon T^H} \wedge \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\eta}} \right) \right) \\ &\geq -K_{\eta}^H K_{\eta}^Q C_3^{1/\eta} \left( \varepsilon \vee (\varepsilon T^H / z_*)^{1/\eta} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof.  $\square$

## 6 Appendix

*Proof of Proposition 4.3.* As in Gubinelli et al. [8], we consider

$$\mathfrak{S}^n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} S(t-t_k^n)(N(t_{k+1}^n) - N(t_k^n)),$$

where  $t_k^n = \frac{2^n t}{k}$ . In [8], it was shown that  $\mathfrak{S}^n(t)$  converges in  $\mathcal{B}_\delta$  for each  $t \in [0, T]$ , and that the resulting process is Hölder continuous. To prove the desired identity, it suffices to show that  $\mathfrak{S}^n$  converges pointwise to the expression on the right hand side of (4.2). By rearranging the Riemann sum, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}^n(t) &= S(1/2^n)N(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-2} (S(t-t_{k+1}^n) - S(t-t_k^n))N(t_{k+1}^n) \\ &= S(t)N(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-2} (S(t-t_{k+1}^n) - S(t-t_k^n))(N(t_{k+1}^n) - N(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Since, for  $s < s' < t$  and  $x \in \mathcal{B}$ ,

$$S(t-s')x - S(t-s)x = - \int_s^{s'} AS(t-r)x \, dr,$$

it follows that

$$\mathfrak{S}^n(t) = S(t)N(t) + \int_0^t AS(t-r)(\Delta_n N)(r) \, dr,$$

where

$$(\Delta_n N)(r) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-2} \mathbf{1}_{[t_k^n, t_{k+1}^n)}(r)(N(t_{k+1}^n) - N(t)).$$

To show convergence in  $\mathcal{B}_\delta$ , it remains to prove that

$$\int_0^t (-A)^\delta AS(t-r)(\Delta_n N)(r) \, dr \rightarrow \int_0^t (-A)^\delta AS(t-r)(N(r) - N(t)) \, dr.$$

By Hölder continuity of  $N$  and closedness of  $A$ , we see that for  $r < t$ ,

$$AS(t-r)(\Delta_n N)(r) \rightarrow AS(t-r)(N(r) - N(t)).$$

To prove the claim using the dominated convergence theorem for Bochner integrals, it remains to show existence of an integrable majorant. To this end, first note that  $(\Delta_n N)(r) \equiv 0$  on  $[t - 1/2^n, t]$ . Henceforth, fix  $r < t - 1/2^n$ . It then holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-A)^\delta AS(t-r)(\Delta_n N)(r)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\delta} &\leq M \frac{1}{(t-r)^{1+\delta+\gamma}} \|N(\lceil 2^n r \rceil / 2^n) - N(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}} \\ &\leq M \frac{(t - \lceil 2^n r \rceil / 2^n)^\alpha}{(t-r)^{1+\delta+\gamma}} \|N\|_{C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma})}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since  $r \leq \lceil 2^n r \rceil / 2^n$ , we find that  $t - \lceil 2^n r \rceil / 2^n \leq t - r$  and thus

$$\frac{(t - \lceil 2^n r \rceil / 2^n)^\alpha}{(t-r)^{1+\delta+\gamma}} \leq \frac{1}{(t-r)^{1-(\alpha-\delta-\gamma)}}.$$

As  $1 - (\alpha - \delta - \gamma) < 1$ ,

$$\|AS(t - \cdot)(\Delta N)^n(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\delta} \leq M \frac{\|N\|_{C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma})}}{(t - \cdot)^{1 - (\alpha - \delta - \gamma)}} \in L^1([0, t])$$

uniformly in  $n$ , which finishes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 4.4.* The proof again relies on the integration by parts formula

$$N_{A-\lambda}(t) = \int_0^t (A - \lambda)e^{-\lambda(t-s)} S(t-s) (N(s) - N(t)) \, ds + e^{-\lambda t} S(t) N(t).$$

Analyticity of the semigroup  $e^{-\lambda t} S(t)$  now implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|N_{A-\lambda}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^p} &\leq \int_0^t \left\| (A - \lambda)e^{-\lambda(t-s)} S(t-s) (N(s) - N(t)) \right\|_{L^p(\mathcal{O})} \, ds \\ &\quad + \|e^{-\lambda t} S(t) N(t)\|_{L^p(\mathcal{O})} \\ &\leq \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \left( (t-s)^{-(1+\gamma)} + \lambda(t-s)^{-\gamma} \right) \|N(s) - N(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^p} \, ds \\ &\quad + t^{-\gamma} e^{-\lambda t} \|N(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^p} \end{aligned}$$

Note that as  $N \in C^\alpha([0, T]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^p)$ ,

$$\|N(s) - N(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^p} \leq \|N\|_{C^\alpha([s, t]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^p)} (t-s)^\alpha$$

for  $0 < \alpha < H$  and  $s, t \in [0, T]$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \|N_{A-\lambda}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^p} &\leq \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \left( (t-s)^{-1+(\alpha-\gamma)} + \lambda(t-s)^{\alpha-\gamma} \right) \|N(\cdot)\|_{C^\alpha([s, t]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^p)} \, ds \\ &\quad + e^{-\lambda t} t^{\alpha-\gamma} \|N\|_{C^\alpha([0, t]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^p)} \\ &\leq \left( \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} (t-s)^{-1+(\alpha-\gamma)} \, ds + \int_0^t \lambda e^{-\lambda(t-s)} (t-s)^{\alpha-\gamma} \, ds + e^{-\lambda t} t^{\alpha-\gamma} \right) \\ &\quad \times \|N\|_{C^\alpha([0, t]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^p)} \\ &\leq \lambda^{-(\alpha-\gamma)} \left( \Gamma(\alpha - \gamma) + \Gamma(1 + \alpha - \gamma) + (\alpha - \gamma)^{-(\alpha-\gamma)} \right) \|N\|_{C^\alpha([0, t]; \mathcal{B}_{-\gamma}^p)}, \end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows.  $\square$

## Acknowledgement

AS is supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy - The Berlin Mathematics Research Center MATH+ (EXC-2046/1, project ID: 390685689). WS acknowledges seed support for DFG CRC/TRR 388 "Rough Analysis, Stochastic Dynamics and Related Topics".

## References

- [1] E. Alòs, O. Mazet, and D. Nualart. Stochastic Calculus with Respect to Gaussian Processes. *The Annals of Probability*, 29(2):766 – 801, 2001. doi: 10.1214/aop/1008956692. URL <https://doi.org/10.1214/aop/1008956692>.
- [2] A. Aptekarev, J. Dehesa, P. Sánchez-Moreno, and D. Tulyakov. Asymptotics of  $l_p$ -norms of hermite polynomials and rényi entropy of rydberg oscillator states. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 578:19–29, 01 2012. doi: 10.1090/conm/578/11469.
- [3] H. Chan and J. Wei. Traveling wave solutions for bistable fractional allen–cahn equations with a pyramidal front. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 262(9):4567–4609, 2017. ISSN 0022-0396. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2016.12.010>. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022039616304752>.
- [4] K. Eichinger, M. Gnann, and C. Kuehn. Multiscale analysis for traveling-pulse solutions to the stochastic fitzhugh–nagumo equations. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 32, 10 2022. doi: 10.1214/21-AAP1759.
- [5] J. Evans. Nerve axon equations: Iii stability of the nerve impulse. *Indiana Univ. Math. Jour.*, 22:577–593, 01 1972. doi: 10.1512/iumj.1972.22.22048.
- [6] P. C. Fife and J. B. McLeod. The approach of solutions of nonlinear diffusion equations to travelling front solutions. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 65:335–361, 1977. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:10307450>.
- [7] R. A. Gardner. Existence of multidimensional travelling wave solutions of an initial-boundary value problem. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 61:335–379, 1986. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:122891739>.
- [8] M. Gubinelli, A. Lejay, and S. Tindel. Young integrals and spdes. *Potential Analysis*, 25:307–326, 2004. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:14290006>.
- [9] C. Gui and M. Zhao. Traveling wave solutions of allen–cahn equation with a fractional laplacian. *Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré (C) Non Linear Analysis*, 32, 05 2014. doi: 10.1016/j.anihpc.2014.03.005.
- [10] K. P. Hadeler and F. Rothe. Travelling fronts in nonlinear diffusion equations. *Journal of Mathematical Biology*, 2:251–263, 1975. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:59372322>.
- [11] M. Hairer. An introduction to stochastic pdes, 2023. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/0907.4178>.
- [12] C. H. S. Hamster and H. J. Hupkes. Stability of traveling waves on exponentially long timescales in stochastic reaction-diffusion equations. *SIAM Journal on Applied Dynamical Systems*, 19(4):2469–2499, 2020. doi: 10.1137/20M1323539. URL <https://doi.org/10.1137/20M1323539>.
- [13] D. B. Henry. *Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations*, volume 840 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 1981.

- [14] J. Hulshof, R. Vandervorst, and J. Berg. Travelling waves for fourth order parabolic equations. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 32:1342–1374, 08 2001. doi: 10.1137/S0036141099358300.
- [15] J. Inglis and J. MacLaurin. A general framework for stochastic traveling waves and patterns, with application to neural field equations. *SIAM Journal on Applied Dynamical Systems*, 15(1):195–234, 2016. doi: 10.1137/15M102856X. URL <https://doi.org/10.1137/15M102856X>.
- [16] T. Kapitula. Multidimensional stability of planar travelling waves. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 349(1):257–269, 1997. ISSN 00029947. URL <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2155313>.
- [17] H. Komatsu. Fractional powers of operators. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 19: 285–346, 1966. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:120202551>.
- [18] J. Krüger and W. Stannat. Front propagation in stochastic neural fields: A rigorous mathematical framework. *SIAM Journal on Applied Dynamical Systems*, 13(3):1293–1310, 2014. doi: 10.1137/13095094X. URL <https://doi.org/10.1137/13095094X>.
- [19] C. Kuehn, A. Blessing, and S. Sonner. Random attractors via pathwise mild solutions for stochastic parabolic evolution equations. *Journal of Evolution Equations*, 21:2631–2663, 2020. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:219303436>.
- [20] J. Kuelbs, W. V. Li, and Q.-m. Shao. Small ball probabilities for gaussian processes with stationary increments under hölder norms. *Journal of Theoretical Probability*, 8: 361–386, 1995.
- [21] E. Lang and W. Stannat. L2-stability of traveling wave solutions to non-local evolution equations. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 261(8):4275–4297, 2016. ISSN 0022-0396. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2016.06.021>. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022039616301577>.
- [22] W. Liu and M. Röckner. *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations: An Introduction*. Universitext, Springer. ISBN 978-3-319-22353-7.
- [23] T. J. Lyons. Differential equations driven by rough signals. *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*, 14(2):215–310, 1998. URL <http://eudml.org/doc/39555>.
- [24] B. Maslowski and J. Pospíšil. Ergodicity and parameter estimates for infinite-dimensional fractional ornstein-uhlenbeck process. *Applied Mathematics and Optimization*, 57:401–429, 01 2008. doi: 10.1007/s00245-007-9028-3.
- [25] B. Maslowski and B. Schmalfuss. Random dynamical systems and stationary solutions of differential equations driven by the fractional brownian motion. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, 22(6):1577–1607, 2004. doi: 10.1081/SAP-200029498. URL <https://doi.org/10.1081/SAP-200029498>.
- [26] C. Morosi and L. Pizzocchero. On the constants for some fractional gliaguardo-nirenberg and sobolev inequalities. *Expositiones Mathematicae*, 36, 11 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.exmath.2017.08.007.

- [27] J. Nagumo, S. Arimoto, and S. Yoshizawa. An active pulse transmission line simulating nerve axon. *Proceedings of the IRE*, 50(10):2061–2070, 1962. doi: 10.1109/JRPROC.1962.288235.
- [28] T. Nau and J. Saal. *R-sectoriality of Cylindrical Boundary Value Problems*, pages 479–505. Springer Basel, Basel, 2011. ISBN 978-3-0348-0075-4. doi: 10.1007/978-3-0348-0075-4\_25. URL [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-0075-4\\_25](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-0075-4_25).
- [29] B. Pasik-Duncan, T. E. Duncan, and B. Maslowski. *Linear Stochastic Equations in a Hilbert Space with a Fractional Brownian Motion*, page 201–221. Springer US, Boston, MA, 2006. ISBN 978-0-387-33815-6. doi: 10.1007/0-387-33815-2\_11. URL [https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-33815-2\\_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-33815-2_11).
- [30] M. Pronk and M. Veraar. A new approach to stochastic evolution equations with adapted drift. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 256, 12 2013. doi: 10.1016/j.jde.2014.02.014.
- [31] F. Russo and P. Vallois. Forward, backward and symmetric stochastic integration. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 97:403–421, 09 1993. doi: 10.1007/BF01195073.
- [32] W. Stannat. Stability of travelling waves in stochastic nagumo equations. *Arxiv preprint*, 01 2013. url: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.6378> [Online; accessed 13. January 2025].
- [33] W. Stannat. Stability of travelling waves in stochastic bistable reaction-diffusion equations. *Arxiv preprint*, 04 2014. url: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1404.3853> [Online; accessed 13. January 2025].
- [34] R. Temam. *Attractors of the Dissipative Evolution Equation of the First Order in Time: Reaction—Diffusion Equations. Fluid Mechanics and Pattern Formation Equations*, page 82–178. Springer New York, New York, NY, 1997. ISBN 978-1-4612-0645-3. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4612-0645-3\_4. URL [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0645-3\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0645-3_4).
- [35] J. M. Vega. Travelling wavefronts of reaction-diffusion equations in cylindrical domains. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 18(3-4):505–531, 1993. doi: 10.1080/03605309308820939. URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/03605309308820939>.
- [36] A. Volpert, V. Volpert, and V. A. Volpert. Traveling wave solutions of parabolic systems. *American Mathematical Society*, 1994. doi: 10.1090/MMONO/140.