

Highlights

Interaction Dataset of Autonomous Vehicles with Traffic Lights and Signs

Zheng Li,Zhipeng Bao,Haoming Meng,Haotian Shi,Qianwen Li,Handong Yao,Xiaopeng Li

- The study presents a dataset derived from the Waymo Motion dataset, focusing on interactions between autonomous vehicles (AVs) and traffic control devices, such as traffic lights and stop signs. It includes over 37,000 segments for traffic light interactions and more than 44,000 for stop sign interactions, filling a critical gap in the literature that lacks datasets capturing how AVs interpret and respond to traffic control devices in real-world scenarios.
- The research establishes a comprehensive framework for constructing datasets on AV interactions with traffic control devices, including data extraction, trajectory organization, and quality assessment. It integrates a wavelet-based denoising technique to reduce noise and anomalies, significantly enhancing the quality of data for real-world AV behavior modeling.
- By providing detailed classifications of AV interactions and making the high-quality dataset publicly available, this study supports the development of accurate AV decision-making models and advanced traffic simulation tools.

Interaction Dataset of Autonomous Vehicles with Traffic Lights and Signs

Zheng Li^a, Zhipeng Bao^b, Haoming Meng^c, Haotian Shi^{a,*}, Qianwen Li^{b,*}, Handong Yao^b and Xiaopeng Li^a

^aDepartment of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706, United States

^bSchool of Environmental, Civil, Agricultural and Mechanical Engineering, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, United States

^cDepartment of Computer Science, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

autonomous vehicles
traffic lights
stop signs
interaction data
Waymo Motion dataset

ABSTRACT

This paper presents the development of a comprehensive dataset capturing interactions between Autonomous Vehicles (AVs) and traffic control devices, specifically traffic lights and stop signs. Derived from the Waymo Motion dataset, our work addresses a critical gap in the existing literature by providing real-world trajectory data on how AVs navigate these traffic control devices. We propose a methodology for identifying and extracting relevant interaction trajectory data from the Waymo Motion dataset, incorporating over 37,000 instances with traffic lights and 44,000 with stop signs. Our methodology includes defining rules to identify various interaction types, extracting trajectory data, and applying a wavelet-based denoising method to smooth the acceleration and speed profiles and eliminate anomalous values, thereby enhancing the trajectory quality. Quality assessment metrics indicate that trajectories obtained in this study have anomaly proportions in acceleration and jerk profiles reduced to near-zero levels across all interaction categories. By making this dataset publicly available, we aim to address the current gap in datasets containing AV interaction behaviors with traffic lights and signs. Based on the organized and published dataset, we can gain a more in-depth understanding of AVs' behavior when interacting with traffic lights and signs. This will facilitate research on AV integration into existing transportation infrastructures and networks, supporting the development of more accurate behavioral models and simulation tools.


1. Introduction

The rapid advancement of autonomous vehicles (AVs), including advanced driver assistance systems (ADAS) and fully autonomous driving systems (ADS) technology, is driving a revolution in transportation engineering. This revolution can potentially transform the planning and management of transportation infrastructure. To effectively adapt to these changes, it is essential to understand the impacts of AVs on existing transportation ecosystems.

Currently, researchers have developed various models to analyze and understand the behavior of AVs in different operational scenarios (Kuang, Liu, Wang, Wu and Wei (2024)), including vehicle platooning (Li and Li (2022); Li, Chen and Li (2022a)), intersection navigation (Soleimaniamiri, Li, Yao, Ghiasi, Vadakpat, Bujanovic, Lochrane, Stark, Racha, Hale et al. (2022)), lane change maneuvers (Li, Li, Huang, Halkias, McHale and James (2022b); Wang, Zhao, Xu, Li and Qu (2021)), and so on. However, these models' evaluations have relied on simulations with idealized assumptions, which often diverge significantly from real-world driving conditions. This discrepancy between the simulated and real-world environments introduces potential errors in assessing the impact of AVs on traffic dynamics and operations. To bridge this gap, it is crucial to develop calibrated driving behavior models for AVs (Huang, Hale, Shladover, Lu, Liu, Li, Li, Mahmassani, Talebpour, Hosseini et al. (2021)). Collecting a substantial amount of trajectory data describing AV performance in real-world traffic environments is essential to developing and calibrating such models. These models, grounded in robust empirical evidence, have the potential to accurately reflect the diverse and dynamic behaviors of AVs in real-world scenarios (Li et al. (2022a)).

To improve the calibration of the driving behavior of the AV, real-world data play a pivotal role. In recent years, several autonomous driving companies have collected and published extensive data on AV performance in real-world

*Corresponding authors: Haotian Shi, Qianwen Li

 zli2674@wisc.edu (Z. Li); zb28097@uga.edu (Z. Bao); hmeng29@wisc.edu (H. Meng); hshi84@wisc.edu (H. Shi); cami.li@uga.edu (Q. Li); Handong.Yao@uga.edu (H. Yao); xli2485@wisc.edu (X. Li)
ORCID(s): 0000-0001-5732-5605 (Z. Li)

traffic environments (Makridis, Mattas, Anesiadou and Ciuffo (2021); Shi and Li (2021); Houston, Zuidhof, Bergamini, Ye, Chen, Jain, Omari, Igloukov and Ondruska (2021); Sun, Kretzschmar, Dotiwalla, Chouard, Patnaik, Tsui, Guo, Zhou, Chai, Caine et al. (2020)). These datasets capture AV behaviors mainly focusing on classic maneuvers, such as car-following (Shi and Li (2021); Li, Li, Yao, Liang and Xie (2023b)) and lane-changing maneuvers (Li et al. (2022b); Wang et al. (2021)), thus great efforts have been made to process the AV trajectory data on these driving behaviors based on public datasets (Li, Jiao, Knoop, Calvert and Van Lint (2023a); Hu, Zheng, Chen, Zhang and Sun (2022); Zhou, Ma, Liang, Li and Qu (2024)). However, there is a notable gap in the literature regarding the characterization of AV interactions with traffic control devices, such as traffic signals and signs, with real-world data. Understanding how AVs interpret and respond to traffic signals and signs is crucial for ensuring safe operations in complex urban environments, optimizing traffic flow, guiding future infrastructure development, and developing appropriate regulations (Soleimaniamiri et al. (2022)). Given these critical roles, there is a pressing need for studies that collect and process sufficient and accurate AV trajectory data to model AV behavior in response to traffic signals and signs.

Based on the identified gaps, this paper presents the development of a dataset derived from the Waymo Motion dataset, which captures a wide range of interactions between AVs and traffic lights and signs. We have designed and implemented methods to extract trajectories that involve these interactions from the Waymo Motion Dataset. These extracted trajectory data have been further processed and refined to facilitate future research on AV behavior modeling in response to these traffic control devices. To the best of our knowledge, this represents the first dataset directly focused on AV interactions with traffic lights and signs. By making this dataset available to the research community, we aim to accelerate progress in understanding and optimizing AV behaviors in the interactions with traffic lights and signs. The dataset is available at <https://uwmadison.box.com/s/dbysk2j115w0j56hd02rfaosuhvx3zu0>.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. Section 2 reviews common AV performance datasets and introduces the Waymo Motion dataset used in this study. Section 3 presents our methodology for extracting AV-traffic control device interaction trajectories from the Waymo Motion dataset, along with methods for assessing and enhancing the data quality. Section 4 presents the extracted dataset and discusses the results of our quality assessment and enhancement process. Finally, Section 5 concludes the paper and suggests directions for future research.

2. Waymo Motion dataset

This study aims to extract and organize AV interaction trajectory data with traffic lights and signs from existing large-scale AV datasets. There are several similar available AV datasets, such as OpenACC (Makridis et al. (2021)), nuScenes (Caesar, Bankiti, Lang, Vora, Liong, Xu, Krishnan, Pan, Baldan and Beijbom (2020)), Central Ohio (Makridis et al. (2021)), and Waymo (Sun et al. (2020)). However, some of these datasets contain limited scenarios, such as OpenACC and Central Ohio, focusing on car-following behavior. After a comprehensive comparison, we selected the Waymo Open dataset released by Waymo to extract trajectories describing the interaction behaviors of AVs with traffic lights and signs.

The Waymo Open dataset is a comprehensive collection of high-fidelity sensor data gathered by AVs across various U.S. cities, including Phoenix, Mountain View, and others. This dataset stands out for its diverse driving conditions, including various road types, weather conditions, and times of day. A wide variety of scenarios are contained in the dataset, including car-following, lane-changing, gap acceptance, interactions with pedestrians, interactions with non-motorized vehicles, and interactions with traffic lights and signs. The dataset was collected using a sophisticated sensor array, including five LiDAR units (one mid-range and four short-range) and five cameras (covering front and side views) (Hu et al. (2022)). The Waymo dataset's scale, diversity, and quality of the Waymo dataset make it a useful resource for AV behavior modeling (Hu et al. (2022)).

The Waymo Open dataset is categorized into two subsets: the Perception dataset and the Motion dataset. This study focuses on the Motion dataset, specifically filtering segments that include information about interactions between AVs and traffic lights or signs. Owing to Waymo's ongoing data collection and processing efforts, the number of segments in the Motion dataset continues to grow. In our analysis of V1.2.1 of the Motion dataset, we identified a total of 526,731 segments. The information in the Motion dataset includes environmental context, timestamps, AV trajectory data, background human-driven vehicles (HVs) trajectory data, road background information, traffic sign positions, and traffic light positions as well as their colors (i.e., red, yellow, green) and shapes (i.e., arrow, circle).




Figure 1: Roadmap of the AV interaction with traffic lights and stop signs segments selection, trajectory organization, assessment and enhancement.

3. Data processing methodology

3.1. Framework

To ensure clarity and avoid ambiguity, it is important to define two key terms used to describe the data first: segment and trajectory. A segment refers to each 9.1-second clip at 0.1-second intervals of raw data extracted from the Waymo Motion dataset. Each segment contains comprehensive information (i.e., environmental context, timestamps, AV trajectory, HVs trajectories, road background information, traffic control devices states) recorded during this 9.1-second period. A trajectory refers to the time-series data extracted and processed from a segment that can be directly used to study AV interactions with lights and signs. A trajectory includes the AV's positions, speeds, and accelerations at each time step, along with traffic light states (colors and shapes) and the positions of traffic lights and signs.

Figure 1 illustrates the roadmap of this work in detail. First, we define rules and procedures to identify segments of AV interactions with traffic lights and signs in the Waymo Motion dataset. Then, the entire Waymo Motion dataset is traversed, and the segments that meet our defined rules are selected. The data structure of the selected segments is reorganized from the original 'tfrecord' format used in the Waymo Motion dataset into a CSV format, where each column represents a time series (i.e., the variables shown in Table 1), and each row represents a time step. In this way, the trajectories of AVs in these selected segments are extracted. Variables, such as the acceleration of the AV, the distance between the AV and traffic lights, and stop signs, are calculated. Next, we conduct an initial assessment of the obtained AV trajectories. In this work, three commonly used metrics in vehicle trajectory data evaluation are utilized to assess the quality of obtained AV trajectories, with a particular focus on identifying noise and anomalies in the trajectory data. Subsequently, we apply a trajectory denoising method to reduce the jerk and noises from the obtained AV trajectories and enhance their quality. Finally, we reassess the quality of the enhanced AV trajectories using the three same metrics.

3.2. Interaction with traffic light segments selection

The interaction behaviors between AV and traffic lights are divided into four categories in this work (as shown in Figure 2): stops at traffic light, left turns at traffic light, right turns at traffic light, and straight proceeds at traffic light.

Table 1

Data structure for organized trajectories

Notation	Explanation
$P_i(x_i, y_i), \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq 91$	the position coordinates of the AV at the timestep i , and its x and y components
$v_i, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq 91$	the speed of the AV at the timestep i
$a_i, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq 91$	the acceleration of the AV at the timestep i
$L(x_l, y_l)$	the position coordinates of the traffic light that influenced the AV, and its x and y components
$S_\mu(x_{s,\mu}, y_{s,\mu})$	the position coordinates of the initial nearest stop sign, which is the nearest stop sign to the AV's initial position in the segment, and its x and y components
u	the state of the traffic light that influenced the AV is coded as: unknown (0), arrow red (1), arrow yellow (2), arrow green (3), circle red (4), circle yellow (5), circle green (6), flashing red (7), and flashing yellow (8)



Figure 2: Four categories of AV interactions with traffic lights.

Figure 3 presents the flowchart of rules for selecting AV and traffic light interaction segments, organized into three main decision stages. Each stage addresses a specific aspect of the interaction: whether the AV interacts with a traffic light (R1.1-R1.3), whether it stops at the traffic light (R1.4-R1.6), and whether it enters the intersection (R1.7-R1.9).

The segments where interactions occur between AV and traffic lights are identified initially. A segment is considered to involve an interaction between an AV and a traffic light if the traffic light affects the AV's behavior while it navigates through the intersection. Based on this definition, we established three rules to filter the relevant segments from the Waymo Motion dataset:

- R1.1: Traffic lights must be present within the segment.
- R1.2: The AV must not be stationary during the entire segment.




Figure 3: Rules to select AV and traffic light interactions segments.

- R1.3: The AV's trajectory must intersect with the traffic light.

R1.1 requires that the segment must include at least one traffic light. Since not all segments in the Waymo Motion dataset contain traffic lights, their presence is a fundamental criterion for determining whether a segment can be analyzed for AV-traffic light interactions.

R1.2 specifies that the AV's speed must not remain zero throughout its entire journey within the segment. If the AV's speed remains consistently zero, it indicates that the vehicle is completely stationary and the traffic light has not influenced the AV's decision-making process. In such cases, no interaction between the AV and the traffic light is considered to have occurred. Conversely, any instance of non-zero speed—whether the AV maintains a constant non-zero speed throughout the segment, decelerates to zero when approaching the traffic light, or accelerates from zero—demonstrates that the traffic light has influenced the AV's decisions and states. These scenarios are considered as interactions between the AV and the traffic light.

R1.2 is implemented through Equation 1. To ensure that the AV is not stationary throughout the segment, we require that the cumulative time during which the speed exceeds the speed value v_{stop}^{light} when the vehicle is viewed as stopped before the traffic light should be greater than l_{move} . l_{move} is the parameter describing the minimum cumulative movement duration in the AV trajectory. $\mathbb{1}$ is the indicator function that equals 1 if the following condition is satisfied; otherwise, its value will be 0.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{91} \mathbb{1}_{v_i > v_{stop}^{light}} \geq 10l_{move} \quad (1)$$

R1.3 requires that the AV's trajectory, or its forward extension, passes through the traffic light. To implement this, we fit a d_{poly} -th-degree polynomial to the AV's trajectory over the entire segment and determine whether this fitted




Figure 4: Examples of fitting and extending the trajectory of an AV to identify whether it passes through the traffic lights. The original trajectory of the AV in the Waymo Motion dataset (blue points in the figure) is fitted with a polynomial (red solid line in the figure) and extended in the direction of its travel (green dashed line in the figure).

trajectory intersects with the traffic light (as shown in Figure 4). d_{poly} is the parameter describing the degree of the polynomial to the AV's trajectory. In such cases, we consider an interaction between the AV and the traffic light to have occurred.

A special case arises when the traffic light is red, causing the AV to decelerate and stop before reaching the light. In this situation, although the AV's actual trajectory may not pass through the traffic light, the light has influenced the AV's behavior. To account for this scenario, we extend the fitted AV trajectory by p_{extend} of its original length in the direction of travel. p_{extend} is the parameter describing the forward extension percentage of the fitted AV trajectory. If the extended trajectory intersects with the traffic light, this is also regarded as an interaction between the AV and the traffic light.

R1.3 is implemented through Equation 2. The original AV positions are represented as $P_i(x_i, y_i), \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq 91$, and the extended positions are represented as $P_j(x_j, y_j), \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, j \geq 92$. To ensure that the AV trajectory passes through the traffic light, we require that there exists at least one point on the AV's trajectory that is within d_{pass} of the traffic light's location. d_{pass} is the parameter describing the minimum distance threshold used to determine if an AV trajectory passes through a traffic light.

$$\exists i \in \{1, \dots, 91\}, \exists j \geq 92, \sqrt{(x_i - x_l)^2 + (y_i - y_l)^2} < 0.1 \vee \sqrt{(x_j - x_l)^2 + (y_j - y_l)^2} < d_{pass} \quad (2)$$

Subsequently, we select the segments that contain interaction behaviors of the AV stopping at traffic lights and the AV passing through traffic lights to enter intersections.

Three rules are further devised to identify the first scenario, AV stopping at traffic lights:

- R1.4: The AV must not be stopped at the beginning of the segment.
- R1.5: The AV must be stopped at the end of the segment.
- R1.6: The AV must stop near the traffic light at the segment's end.

R1.4 is implemented through Equation 3, requiring that the AV's speed in the first l_{begin} second of the segment must exceed v_{stop}^{light} , indicating a significant initial speed within the beginning of the segment. l_{begin} is the parameter describing the segment length threshold to split the beginning of the segment.

$$\forall i \in [0, 10l_{begin}], v_i > v_{stop}^{light} \quad (3)$$

R1.5 is implemented through Equation 4, requiring that the AV's speed in the last l_{end} second of the segment be less than v_{stop}^{light} . l_{end} is the parameter describing segment length threshold to split the ending of the segment. This rule ensures that the AV comes to a complete stop before the traffic light by the end of the segment. R1.4 and R1.5 collectively ensure that segments are captured where an AV approaches a traffic light with substantial speed and subsequently comes to a stop, indicating a clear influence of the traffic light on the AV's behavior.

$$\forall i \in [10l_{end}, 91], v_i < v_{stop}^{light} \quad (4)$$

R1.6 stipulates that at the end of the segment, the distance between the AV and the nearest traffic light must be less than d_{stop} (Equation 5). d_{stop} is the parameter describing the distance threshold for determining whether the vehicle is stopped before the traffic light. This criterion ensures that the AV's stopping position is directly in front of the traffic light. In scenarios where multiple vehicles are queued at the intersection waiting for a red light, R1.6 guarantees that the AV is positioned at the front of the entire queue. The rationale for this rule is that if other vehicles were present in front of the AV while waiting at a red light, the behavior of the preceding vehicle would directly influence the AV's decision-making process rather than the traffic light itself. By implementing R1.6, we isolate cases where the traffic light is the primary influencer of the AV's actions.

$$\sqrt{(x_{91} - x_l)^2 + (y_{91} - y_l)^2} < d_{stop} \quad (5)$$

For the second scenario, where AVs traverse the traffic light and enter the intersection, we developed three rules to filter the relevant segments:

- R1.7: The AV must pass the traffic light.
- R1.8: The AV must resume motion after passing the traffic light.
- R1.9: AV must have left turning, right turning or straight proceeding behaviors after the passing traffic light.

For R1.7, to identify segments where AV passes the traffic lights, it is necessary to ensure that the AV's trajectory includes movement both approaching and departing from the traffic light. R1.7 is developed using two approaches, R1.7.1 and R1.7.2, specifically designed to detect this scenario. The R1.7.1 and R1.7.2 have the same purposes but approach them from different perspectives and are used together to identify relevant segments as effectively as possible.

- R1.7.1: The vectors cross product rule.
- R1.7.2: The distance rule.

The vectors cross product rule in R1.7.1 utilizes the cross product between two vectors shown in Equation 6 to identify segments in which AV passes through traffic lights. $\overline{P_{i-1}L}$, $\overline{LP_i}$, $\overline{P_iL}$, and $\overline{LP_{i+1}}$ represent the vectors between the AV's position and the traffic light's position at different time steps. The cross products between these vectors indicate the relative positional relationship between the AV and the traffic light. Equation 6 demonstrates that if there exists a time i when the signs of the two vector cross products are opposite, it implies that the AV is moving towards the traffic light before time i and then away from it after time i . This change in direction suggests that the AV has passed through the traffic light at approximately time i .

$$\forall i \in \{2, \dots, 90\}, (\overline{P_{i-1}L} \times \overline{LP_i}) \times (\overline{P_iL} \times \overline{LP_{i+1}}) < 0 \quad (6)$$

Similar to R1.7.1, R1.7.2 employs a distance-based approach to identify AV trajectories that pass through traffic lights. This rule posits that if the distance between the AV and the traffic light first decreases and then increases, it indicates that the AV initially approaches the traffic light and subsequently moves away from it. Such a pattern of distance change suggests that the AV's trajectory has traversed the traffic light.

R1.8 is implemented by requiring that the AV's trajectory extends for more than l_{extend} seconds after passing through the traffic light, indicating that the traffic light's influence on the AV's behavior has completely ended. l_{extend} is the parameter that describes the segment length threshold to determine whether the AV travels a significant distance beyond the traffic light. Additionally, this provides sufficient evidence to determine whether the AV turned left, turned right, or proceeded straight through the intersection after passing the traffic light.

R1.9 determines whether the AV turns left, right, or proceeds straight after passing the traffic light using the vector cross product shown in Equation 7. In this equation, $\overline{P_1L}$ and $\overline{LP_{91}}$ are vectors connecting the AV's start and end positions to the traffic light, respectively. The cross product of $\overline{P_1L}$ and $\overline{LP_{91}}$ reflects the relative positions of the AV's start, end, and traffic light. If the cross product is positive, P_{91} is to the left of $\overline{P_1L}$; if negative, P_{91} is to the right; if zero, P_{91} is collinear with $\overline{P_1L}$. To eliminate the influence of vector length on the cross product result, we use the unit vectors $\frac{\overline{P_1L}}{|\overline{P_1L}|}$ and $\frac{\overline{LP_{91}}}{|\overline{LP_{91}}|}$ for the calculation. We stipulate that if the cross product result $\eta^{light} > \eta_{left}^{light}$, the AV turns left after passing the traffic light; if $\eta^{light} < \eta_{right}^{light}$, the AV turns right; if $\eta_{through,1}^{light} < \alpha < \eta_{through,2}^{light}$, the AV proceeds straight. η_{left}^{light} , η_{right}^{light} , $\eta_{through,1}^{light}$, and $\eta_{through,2}^{light}$ are parameters describing the cross product threshold to determine whether AV turns left, turns right or proceeds straight after passing the traffic light.

$$\eta^{light} = \frac{\overline{P_1L}}{\|\overline{P_1L}\|} \times \frac{\overline{LP_{91}}}{\|\overline{LP_{91}}\|} \quad (7)$$

In summary, for any segment in the Waymo Motion dataset, sequentially evaluating rules R1.1, R1.2, R1.3, R1.4, R1.5, and R1.6 determines whether the segment contains an interaction where the AV stops before a traffic light. Similarly, evaluating rules R1.1, R1.2, R1.3, R1.7, R1.8, and R1.9 in order determines if the segment includes an interaction where the AV turns left, turns right, or proceeds straight after passing through a traffic light.

3.3. Interaction with stop sign segment selection

The interaction behaviors between the AV and the stop signs are divided into four categories (as shown in Figure 5): four-way stops, right turns at the stop sign, one-step left turns at the stop sign, and two-step left turns at the stop sign.

Four-way stops: These occur at intersections where minor roads meet, with all approaches controlled by stop signs. As illustrated in Figure 5(a), vehicles from each direction, including the AV, must come to a complete stop before entering the intersection. In this scenario, the AV approaches from one of the minor roads.

Right turns at stop signs: These situations arise at junctions between major and minor roads. As shown in Figure 5(b), vehicles on the minor road, including the AV, must come to a full stop before executing a right turn, regardless of the traffic conditions on the major road. The AV then merges into the main traffic flow on the major road.

One-step left turns at stop signs: This maneuver is necessary when the major road lacks a center turning lane. As depicted in Figure 5(c), the AV must cross both directions of traffic in a single continuous movement to complete the left turn from the minor road onto the major road.

Two-step left turns at stop signs: This type of turn is executed when a center turning lane is present on the major road. As illustrated in Figure 5(d), the AV performs the turn in two distinct phases: first, it enters the center lane, and then it merges into the desired traffic flow on the major road.




Figure 5: Four categories that AV interactions with stop sign.

Figure 6 presents a flowchart of rules for selecting AV and stop sign interaction segments, organized into three main decision stages. Each stage addresses a specific aspect of the interaction: whether the AV is interacting with a stop sign (R2.1-R2.3), whether it's a four-way stop interaction (R2.4-R2.5), and whether the segment contains turning maneuvers at stop signs (R2.6-R2.7).

To categorize the four types of AV-stop sign interactions, we first isolate segments from the Waymo Motion dataset that exhibit such interactions. An AV-stop sign interaction segment is defined as a segment where the AV decelerates and comes to a complete stop in close proximity to a stop sign. Following three rules are developed to identify these interactions.

- R2.1: Stop signs must be present within the segment.
- R2.2: The AV must slow down before the initial nearest stop sign.
- R2.3: The AV must stop at the initial nearest stop sign.




Figure 6: Rules to select AV and stop sign interactions segments.

R2.1 requires the presence of one or more stop signs within a segment. Since not all segments in the Waymo Motion dataset include stop signs, the presence of stop signs is a basic criterion for determining whether a segment can be analyzed for AV-stop sign interactions.

R2.2 requires that the AV's trajectory must slow down when approaching the initial nearest stop sign. Since a segment may contain multiple stop signs, the stop sign closest to the AV's initial position within the segment is defined as the initial nearest stop sign, with its coordinates denoted as $S_{\mu}(x_{s,\mu}, y_{s,\mu})$. If the AV's speed does not decrease during this process, it is inferred that the AV might not have successfully detected the stop sign or is not traveling in a lane controlled by the stop sign. Consequently, such a segment cannot be considered as having an AV-stop sign interaction.

R2.2 is implemented using Equation 8, which specifies that there must exist two time points, i and j , where i occurs before j and satisfies two conditions. The first condition is that the distance between AV and the initial nearest stop sign decreases from time i to j . The second condition is the AV's speed decreases from time i to j .

$$\exists i, j \in \{1, \dots, 91\}, i < j, [\sqrt{(x_i - x_{s,\mu})^2 + (y_i - y_{s,\mu})^2} > \sqrt{(x_j - x_{s,\mu})^2 + (y_j - y_{s,\mu})^2}] \wedge [v_i > v_j] \quad (8)$$

R2.3 mandates that following deceleration, the AV must come to a complete or near-complete stop at the initial nearest stop sign. This rule is implemented on two key aspects: the stop area and the AV's speed within this area. We designate the stop area as a circular region with a r_{stop} radius, centered on the AV's location nearest to and before the initial nearest stop sign. Regarding speed, it is required that the cumulative time during which the speed is less than the value v_{stop}^{sign} and the AV is within the stop area should be greater than l_{stop} . l_{stop} is the parameter describing the minimum cumulative stopping duration in the AV trajectory.

R2.3 is implemented through Equation 9, where $\mathbb{1}$ is the indicator function equal to 1 if the following condition is satisfied; otherwise, its value will be 0.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{91} \mathbb{1}_{v_i^{sign} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\sqrt{(x_i-x_{s,\mu})^2+(y_i-y_{s,\mu})^2} < r_{stop}}} \geq 10l_{stop} \quad (9)$$

Following rules are defined to select segments containing the four-way stop AV and stop sign interaction:

- R2.4: The segment must have at least four stop signs.
- R2.5: The segment must contain the four-way stop intersection.

R2.4 stipulates that the segment must contain four stop signs. This rule is based on the assumption that fewer than four stop signs would be insufficient to form a four-way stop interaction.

R2.5 mandates the presence a four-way stop intersection within the segment, which is implemented by evaluating whether four stop signs configure a convex quadrilateral. Such geometric evaluation accommodates various intersection layouts beyond the standard cross shape, including rectangles, parallelograms, and trapezoids. To determine whether the stop signs form a convex quadrilateral, we employ the following procedure.

If the segment has four stop signs, other than the initial nearest stop sign $S_\mu(x_{s,\mu}, y_{s,\mu})$ defined in the Table 1, three more notations are introduced to represent the remaining stop signs: $S_1(x_{s,1}, y_{s,1})$, $S_2(x_{s,2}, y_{s,2})$, $S_3(x_{s,3}, y_{s,3})$. The lowest point on the left is determined first as the reference stop sign $S_\nu(x_\nu, y_\nu)$ shown in Equation 10.

$$v = \operatorname{argmin}_{j \in \{1,2,3,\mu\}} \{(x_{s,j}, y_{s,j})\} \quad (10)$$

The polar angle θ_j ($j \in \{1,2,3,\mu\}$, and $j \neq v$) of each remaining stop sign S_j relative the reference stop sign $S_\nu(x_\nu, y_\nu)$ is calculated then using Equation 11. This angle is measured between the horizontal axis and the line connecting S_ν to S_j .

$$\theta_j = \operatorname{arctan2}(y_{s,j} - y_{s,\nu}, x_{s,j} - x_{s,\nu}), j \in \{1,2,3,\mu\}, \text{ and } j \neq v \quad (11)$$

Three stop signs S_j ($j \in \{1,2,3,\mu\}$, and $j \neq v$) are sorted in ascending order of their polar angles θ_j as $S_\alpha(x_{s,\alpha}, y_{s,\alpha})$, $S_\beta(x_{s,\beta}, y_{s,\beta})$, $S_\gamma(x_{s,\gamma}, y_{s,\gamma})$. Four cross products are computed as shown in Equations 12-15.

$$\eta_{\mu,\beta} = \overrightarrow{S_\mu S_\alpha} \times \overrightarrow{S_\alpha S_\beta} \quad (12)$$

$$\eta_{\alpha,\gamma} = \overrightarrow{S_\alpha S_\beta} \times \overrightarrow{S_\beta S_\gamma} \quad (13)$$

$$\eta_{\beta,\mu} = \overrightarrow{S_\beta S_\gamma} \times \overrightarrow{S_\gamma S_\mu} \quad (14)$$

$$\eta_{\gamma,\alpha} = \overrightarrow{S_\gamma S_\mu} \times \overrightarrow{S_\mu S_\alpha} \quad (15)$$

If $\eta_{\mu,\beta}$, $\eta_{\alpha,\gamma}$, $\eta_{\beta,\mu}$, and $\eta_{\gamma,\alpha}$ are uniformly positive or negative, it is concluded that these four stop signs form a convex quadrilateral, thus identifying a four-way stop intersection.

When the number of stop signs in a segment exceeds 4, it suggests the possibility of multiple four-way stop intersections or a complex intersection with additional stop signs. To identify these configurations, we employ the Density-Based Spatial Clustering of Applications with Noise (DBSCAN) (Ester, Kriegel, Sander, Xu et al. (1996)) algorithm to group the stop signs into distinct clusters. For each resulting cluster, we evaluate the number of stop signs.

If there are four stop signs in each cluster, the previously described convex quadrilateral identification procedure is applied to determine whether a four-way stop intersection can be formed. For clusters where the number of stop signs is larger than 4, the DBSCAN is applied again to refine the grouping further. Clusters containing fewer than four stop signs are disregarded in this analysis.

To identify scenarios involving right turns, one-step left turns, and two-step left turns at stop signs, we further establish two rules to capture the specific characteristics of each turning maneuver:

- R2.6: AV must have left or right turning behaviors after passing through the initial nearest stop sign.
- R2.7: AV must have one-step or two-step left turning behaviors at the initial nearest stop signs for the left turns segment.

R2.6 employs a vector cross-product method, as formulated in Equation 16, to determine the direction of the AV's turn at the initial nearest stop sign. This mathematical approach allows for a precise classification of right and left turns. The vectors $\overrightarrow{P_1 S_\mu}$ and $\overrightarrow{S_\mu P_{91}}$ connect the AV's start and end positions to the stop sign position, respectively. The cross product of these vectors, $\overrightarrow{P_1 S_\mu} \times \overrightarrow{S_\mu P_{91}}$, elucidates the relative spatial configuration of the AV's start position, stop sign position, and AV's end position. A positive cross product indicates that P_{91} lies to the left of $\overrightarrow{P_1 S_\mu}$, while a negative result places P_{91} to the right. We establish a threshold criterion for turn classification: if the normalized vector cross product $\eta^{sign} > \eta_{left}^{sign}$, we classify the maneuver as a left turn; conversely, if $\eta^{sign} < \eta_{right}^{sign}$, we categorize it as a right turn. η_{left}^{sign} and η_{right}^{sign} are the parameters describing the cross product threshold to determine whether the AV turns left or right after passing the stop sign.

$$\eta^{sign} = \frac{\overrightarrow{P_1 S_\mu}}{\| \overrightarrow{P_1 S_\mu} \|} \times \frac{\overrightarrow{S_\mu P_{91}}}{\| \overrightarrow{S_\mu P_{91}} \|} \quad (16)$$

R2.7 examines the AV's speed profile to distinguish between one-step and two-step left turns at stop signs. Unlike one-step left turns, AVs executing two-step left turns must come to a full stop twice: once at the initial nearest stop sign and again in the center turning lane. This behavior is reflected in the speed profile, which will show two instances where the speed falls below v_{stop}^{sign} , separated by a certain time interval. Consequently, we classify segments as two-step left turns when the AV's speed profile exhibits two occurrences of speeds below v_{stop}^{sign} with an interval exceeding Δt_{stop} between them. The remaining segments are categorized as one-step left turns at stop signs.

For any segment in the Waymo Motion dataset, sequentially evaluating rules R2.1, R2.2, R2.3, R2.4, and R2.5 determines whether the segment contains an AV and the four-way stop sign interaction. Similarly, assessing rules R2.1, R2.2, R2.3, R2.6, R2.7 in order determines if the segment includes an AV and stop sign interaction where the AV performs right turning, one step left turning or two turns left turning after passing the stop sign.

3.4. Trajectories organization

The data structure of the selected segments is converted from the original 'tfrecord' format used in the Waymo Motion dataset into a CSV format. In this format, each column represents a time series (i.e., the variables listed in Table 1), and each row corresponds to a time step. The AV trajectories are extracted from these segments, including the AV's position coordinates, speed values, and acceleration values calculated through speed differentiation. Additionally, the corresponding positions of traffic lights and stop signs are also extracted. The traffic lights state information is recorded, i.e., the color (e.g., red, yellow, and green) and the shape (e.g., arrow and circle) of the light. The distances between the AV and these traffic control devices are calculated. Consistent with the original trajectory data in the Waymo Motion dataset, our organized trajectories all have a duration of 9.1 seconds, with data points recorded at 0.1-second intervals.

3.5. Trajectories assessment metrics

The quality of the AV trajectories obtained interacting with traffic lights and signs is evaluated using three metrics: Anomaly Acceleration (%), Anomaly Jerk (%), and Anomaly Jerk Sign Inversion (%). These metrics provide a

quantitative assessment of deviations from expected behavior in terms of acceleration, jerk, and jerk severity index (Li et al. (2023a)).

Anomaly Acceleration (%) measures the percentage of acceleration a values that are considered anomalous. An acceleration value is deemed anomalous if it exceeds a predefined threshold. The normal range of acceleration is $a \in [-8ms^{-2}, 5ms^{-2}]$ (Punzo, Borzacchiello and Ciuffo (2011)).

Anomaly Jerk (%) quantifies the percentage of jerk data that are identified as anomalous. Jerk (j) is the rate of change in acceleration over time, as shown in Equation 17. Similar to acceleration, a jerk value is considered anomalous if it exceeds a specified threshold. The normal range of acceleration is $j \in [-15ms^{-3}, 15ms^{-3}]$ (Punzo et al. (2011)).

$$j = \frac{da}{dt} \quad (17)$$

Anomaly Jerk Sign Inversion measures the number of times the sign of the jerk changes within a specified time window. Frequent sign changes indicate erratic behavior or instability in motion. The jerk sign cannot be inverted more than once in 1s (Li et al. (2023a)). This study documents the proportion of 1s windows that exhibit more than one sign inversion of the jerk.

3.6. Trajectories enhancement methods

It is found that due to the precision of data collection and storage devices, Waymo's trajectory data contains various issues, such as noise and outliers. When using this trajectory data for modeling, these issues could potentially affect the accuracy of the models. Similar problems have also been reported in other studies processing Waymo data (Hu et al. (2022)). To enhance the quality of the trajectory data obtained in this study to investigate the interaction behaviors of AV and traffic lights and signs, a wavelet-based denoising approach is adopted to reduce noise within the trajectory data. This method leverages the Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT), known for its efficacy in signal processing applications, particularly where signals contain nonstationary power at various frequencies (Hu et al. (2022)).

The process begins with the selection of an appropriate wavelet type. For our purposes, the Daubechies 6 (db6) wavelet is chosen after trial and error, due to its capability to handle data with sharp transitions—a common characteristic in vehicle dynamics. The db6 wavelet provides a good balance between data smoothness and the ability to preserve essential features, such as edges and peaks in the speed profile.

Using the selected wavelet, the noisy trajectory data is decomposed into multiple levels of detail and approximation coefficients through wavelet decomposition. This decomposition splits the signal into a series of finer-scale detail coefficients that capture high-frequency components (generally noise and fine details) and approximation coefficients that represent the low-frequency components (the underlying trends).

After decomposition, a naïve thresholding approach is applied, where all detail coefficients at each level of decomposition are set to zero. This approach is based on the assumption that for the short-duration and high-resolution data typical of vehicle trajectories, most of the significant noise can be attributed to these high-frequency components. By setting these coefficients to zero, we remove a substantial portion of the noise, simplifying the signal while retaining the overall structural integrity of the vehicle's movement.

Finally, the denoised trajectory is reconstructed using the inverse wavelet transform. This step uses the modified coefficients, now devoid of the smaller-scale noise components, to synthesize a cleaner version of the original signal. The approximation coefficients ensure that the essential characteristics of the original trajectory are preserved.

4. Interaction dataset

4.1. Interactions with traffic lights

The rules established in Section 3.2 are used to select segments containing traffic light and AV interactions. The detailed parameter values adopted during the selection of the AV and traffic light interaction segment are shown in Table 2, where most of the values are chosen based on trial-and-error. All segments in the Waymo Motion dataset are iteratively examined. Segments that satisfy the established rules are recorded. The data structure of these selected trajectory segments is reorganized. The trajectories of AV and the position, as well as the state information of the related traffic light in these selected segments, are extracted. Then, the quality of the trajectory of AV is evaluated and enhanced.

Table 2

Detailed parameter values used to select segments containing AV and traffic light interactions.

Notation	Explanation	Value
l_{move}	minimum cumulative movement duration in the AV trajectory	1s
d_{pass}	minimum distance threshold used to determine if an AV trajectory passes through a traffic light	0.1m
d_{poly}	degree of the polynomial to the AV's trajectory	6
p_{extend}	forward extension of the fitted AV trajectory	20%
v_{stop}^{light}	speed threshold for determining whether the vehicle is stopped when interacting with the traffic light	1m/s
l_{begin}	segment length threshold to split the beginning of the segment	1s
l_{end}	segment length threshold to split the ending of the segment	1s
d_{stop}	distance threshold for determining whether the vehicle is stopped before the traffic light	10m
l_{extend}	segment length threshold to determine whether AV travels a significant distance beyond the traffic light	2s
η_{left}^{light}	cross product threshold to determine whether AV turns left after passing the traffic light	0.3
η_{right}^{light}	cross product threshold to determine whether AV turns right after passing the traffic light	-0.3
$\eta_{through,1}^{light}, \eta_{through,2}^{light}$	cross product thresholds to determine whether AV proceeds straight after passing the traffic light	0.1, -0.1

Table 3 provides a summary of AV and the traffic light interaction trajectories organized in this study. The trajectories are classified into four categories: stops at traffic light (13,397 trajectories), left turns at traffic light (4,730 trajectories), right turns at traffic light (3,071 trajectories), and straight proceeds at traffic light (16,379 trajectories). Figure 8 presents four categories of AV-traffic light interactions. The straight proceeds category represents the largest portion of the data, covering 1,321.73 kilometers over 41.40 hours, followed by the stops category with 245.77 kilometers over 33.86 hours. The quality assessment of these trajectories before enhancement reveals that turning maneuvers (both left and right) exhibit higher anomalies in acceleration (around 0.23%) and jerk (8-9%) compared to stopping and proceeding straight scenarios. The Anomaly Jerk Sign Inversion proportions are notably high (97-99%) across all categories before enhancement. After applying the enhancement process, both Anomaly Acceleration and Jerk proportions are reduced to 0% across all categories, while the Anomaly Jerk Sign Inversion proportions show significant improvement, decreasing to around 63-73%, indicating substantially smoother trajectories suitable for further analysis.

Figure 7 demonstrates the effectiveness of the wavelet-based denoising approach through two examples. Each example shows the speed (upper) and acceleration (lower) profiles, where red dashed lines represent original data and green solid lines show denoised results. In both cases, the denoising approach effectively eliminates anomalies while maintaining the essential characteristics of the AV's motion.

4.2. Interactions with stop signs

The rules established in Section 3.3 are utilized to select segments containing AV and stop-sign interactions. The detailed parameter values adopted during the selection of the AV and stop sign interaction segment are shown in Table 4. The radius for the circular stop area is set considering typical urban lane widths in the United States, and other values are chosen based on trial-and-error. We iteratively examine the Waymo Motion dataset, select segments meeting our established rules, extract AV trajectories and stop sign information, and enhance the trajectory quality through our processing pipeline.

Table 5 provides a summary of AV and stop sign interaction trajectories organized in this study. Trajectories are classified into four categories: four-way stops (29,682 trajectories), right turns at stop sign (9,670 trajectories), one-step left turns at stop sign (5,189 trajectories), and two-step left turns at stop sign (14 trajectories). Figure 9 presents these four categories of AV-stop sign interactions. The four-way stop category represents the largest portion of the data, covering 580.67 kilometers over 74.20 hours, followed by the right turn category with 367.93 kilometers over 24.17 hours. The quality assessment of these trajectories before enhancement reveals that turning maneuvers exhibit higher

Table 3

Summary of AV and traffic light interaction trajectories.

Category	Segments quantity	Distance (km)	Duration (h)	Anomaly Acceleration proportion (%)	Anomaly Jerk proportion (%)	Anomaly Jerk Sign Inversion proportion (%)
Stops at traffic light	13,397	245.77	33.86	0.01 / 0.00	0.22 / 0.00	99.16 / 73.44
Left turns at traffic light	4,730	206.19	11.96	0.23 / 0.00	9.26 / 0.00	98.23 / 63.91
Right turns at traffic light	3,071	140.26	7.76	0.24 / 0.00	8.72 / 0.00	98.48 / 73.44
Straight proceeds at traffic light	16,379	1,321.73	41.40	0.17 / 0.00	0.73 / 0.00	97.94 / 63.44

Note: The numbers before and after the slash represent the trajectory data quality assessment results before and after enhancement, respectively. For example, "0.01 / 0.00" means that the trajectory data quality assessment result is 0.01 before enhancement and 0.00 after enhancement.

Table 4

Detailed parameter values used to select segments containing AV and stop sign interactions.

Notation	Explanation	Value
r_{stop}	radius for the circular stop area	5m
l_{stop}	minimum cumulative stopping duration in the AV trajectory	0.5s
η_{left}^{sign}	cross product threshold to determine whether AV turns left after passing the stop sign	0.3
η_{right}^{sign}	cross product threshold to determine whether AV turns right after passing the stop sign	-0.3
v_{stop}^{sign}	maximum speed for determining whether the vehicle is stopped when interacting with the stop sign	4m/s
Δt_{stop}	time interval threshold for distinguishing between one-step left turn and two-step left turn	1s

anomalies in acceleration (around 0.16-0.17%) and jerk (5-9%) compared to four-way stop scenarios. The Anomaly Jerk Sign Inversion proportions are notably high (96-98%) across all categories before enhancement. After applying the enhancement process, the Anomaly Acceleration and Jerk proportions are reduced to 0% in all categories, while the Anomaly Jerk Sign Inversion proportions show a significant improvement, decreasing to around 59-61%, indicating substantially smoother trajectories suitable for further analysis.

5. Conclusion

This paper presents the development of a large dataset that captures interactions between AVs and traffic control devices, specifically traffic lights and stop signs. Derived from the Waymo Motion Dataset, our work addresses a critical gap in the existing literature by providing real-world data on how AVs navigate these crucial elements of traffic infrastructure.

Key contributions of this work include:

1. The establishment of a systematic methodology to identify and extract relevant AV interaction segments from an existing AV dataset.
2. The development of detailed classification rules for various types of AV interactions with traffic lights and stop signs, including stopping, turning, and proceeding through intersections.
3. The creation of a high-quality dataset that can support further research into AV behavior at intersections with control devices.

The resulting dataset, which includes over 37,000 segments of AV interactions with traffic lights and more than 44,000 segments of interactions with stop signs, provides a rich resource for the research community. This data can

Interaction Dataset of Autonomous Vehicles with Traffic Lights and Signs




Figure 7: Two examples illustrating the differences in AV trajectories before and after applying the wavelet-based denoising approach.

be instrumental in developing more accurate models of AV decision-making processes, improving traffic simulation tools, and informing the design of future intelligent transportation systems.

Future work could extend this approach to include interactions with other types of traffic control devices, such as yield signs and speed limit signs, as well as more complex traffic scenarios, such as simultaneously interacting with traffic control devices and other HVs, pedestrians, and bicycles. Additionally, the methodology developed in this work could be applied to other large-scale AV datasets to create a more comprehensive understanding of AV interaction behaviors with traffic control devices.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) under Broad Agency Announcement (BAA) Award Number 693JJ324C000003. We gratefully acknowledge the support provided by the FHWA. Additionally, we acknowledge the support from the Center for High Throughput Computing (2006) at the University of Wisconsin-Madison for data processing and storage.




Figure 8: Four different categories of AV and traffic light interactions found in the Waymo Motion dataset. The red line represents the AV trajectory, the colored circles (red, yellow, and green) represent the traffic lights and their corresponding states, and the colored rectangles represent background HVs.

References

- Caesar, H., Bankiti, V., Lang, A.H., Vora, S., Liong, V.E., Xu, Q., Krishnan, A., Pan, Y., Baldan, G., Beijbom, O., 2020. nuscenes: A multimodal dataset for autonomous driving, in: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 11621–11631.
- Center for High Throughput Computing, 2006. Center for high throughput computing. URL: <https://chtc.cs.wisc.edu/>, doi:10.21231/GNT1-HW21.
- Ester, M., Kriegel, H.P., Sander, J., Xu, X., et al., 1996. A density-based algorithm for discovering clusters in large spatial databases with noise, in: kdd, pp. 226–231.
- Houston, J., Zuidhof, G., Bergamini, L., Ye, Y., Chen, L., Jain, A., Omari, S., Iglovikov, V., Ondruska, P., 2021. One thousand and one hours: Self-driving motion prediction dataset, in: Conference on Robot Learning, PMLR. pp. 409–418.
- Hu, X., Zheng, Z., Chen, D., Zhang, X., Sun, J., 2022. Processing, assessing, and enhancing the waymo autonomous vehicle open dataset for driving behavior research. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies 134, 103490.
- Huang, Z., Hale, D.K., Shladover, S.E., Lu, X.Y., Liu, H., Li, Q., Li, X., Mahmassani, H., Talebpour, A., Hosseini, M., et al., 2021. Developing Analysis, Modeling, and Simulation Tools for Connected and Automated Vehicle Applications. Technical Report. United States. Federal Highway Administration. Office of Operations Research

Table 5

Summary of AV and stop sign interaction trajectories.

Category	Segments quantity	Distance (km)	Duration (h)	Anomaly Acceleration proportion (%)	Anomaly Jerk proportion (%)	Anomaly Jerk Sign Inversion proportion (%)
Four-way stops	29,682	580.67	74.20	0.06 / 0.00	2.79 / 0.00	98.60 / 59.62
Right turns at stop sign	9,670	367.93	24.17	0.17 / 0.00	5.76 / 0.00	98.71 / 59.58
One-step left turns at stop sign	5,189	188.74	12.97	0.16 / 0.00	9.05 / 0.00	98.65 / 61.03
Two-step left turns at stop sign	14	0.43	0.04	0.17 / 0.00	7.34 / 0.00	96.52 / 61.65

Note: The numbers before and after the slash represent the trajectory data quality assessment results before and after enhancement, respectively. For example, "0.06 / 0.00" means that the trajectory data quality assessment result is 0.06 before enhancement and 0.00 after enhancement.

- Kuang, S., Liu, Y., Wang, X., Wu, X., Wei, Y., 2024. Harnessing multimodal large language models for traffic knowledge graph generation and decision-making. *Communications in Transportation Research* 4, 100146. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2772424724000295>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commtr.2024.100146>.
- Li, G., Jiao, Y., Knoop, V.L., Calvert, S.C., Van Lint, J., 2023a. Large car-following data based on lyft level-5 open dataset: Following autonomous vehicles vs. human-driven vehicles, in: *2023 IEEE 26th International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, IEEE. pp. 5818–5823.
- Li, Q., Chen, Z., Li, X., 2022a. A review of connected and automated vehicle platoon merging and splitting operations. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems* 23, 22790–22806.
- Li, Q., Li, X., 2022. Trajectory planning for autonomous modular vehicle docking and autonomous vehicle platooning operations. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review* 166, 102886.
- Li, Q., Li, X., Huang, Z., Halkias, J., McHale, G., James, R., 2022b. Simulation of mixed traffic with cooperative lane changes. *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering* 37, 1978–1996.
- Li, Q., Li, X., Yao, H., Liang, Z., Xie, W., 2023b. Automated vehicle identification based on car-following data with machine learning. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems* .
- Makridis, M., Mattas, K., Anesiadou, A., Ciuffo, B., 2021. Openacc. an open database of car-following experiments to study the properties of commercial acc systems. *Transportation research part C: emerging technologies* 125, 103047.
- Punzo, V., Borzacchiello, M.T., Ciuffo, B., 2011. On the assessment of vehicle trajectory data accuracy and application to the next generation simulation (ngsim) program data. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies* 19, 1243–1262.
- Shi, X., Li, X., 2021. Empirical study on car-following characteristics of commercial automated vehicles with different headway settings. *Transportation research part C: emerging technologies* 128, 103134.
- Soleimaniamiri, S., Li, X.S., Yao, H., Ghiasi, A., Vadakpat, G., Bujanovic, P., Lochrane, T., Stark, J., Racha, S., Hale, D., et al., 2022. FHWA Cooperative Automation Research: CARMA Proof-of-Concept Transportation System Management and Operations Use Case 3–Traffic Signal Optimization with CDA at Signalized Intersections. Technical Report. United States. Federal Highway Administration.
- Sun, P., Kretzschmar, H., Dotiwalla, X., Chouard, A., Patnaik, V., Tsui, P., Guo, J., Zhou, Y., Chai, Y., Caine, B., et al., 2020. Scalability in perception for autonomous driving: Waymo open dataset, in: *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 2446–2454.
- Wang, Z., Zhao, X., Xu, Z., Li, X., Qu, X., 2021. Modeling and field experiments on autonomous vehicle lane changing with surrounding human-driven vehicles. *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering* 36, 877–889.
- Zhou, H., Ma, K., Liang, S., Li, X., Qu, X., 2024. Ultra-av: A unified longitudinal trajectory dataset for automated vehicle. arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.00009 .




Figure 9: Four different categories of AV and stop sign interactions found in the Waymo Motion dataset. The red line represents the AV trajectory, the red triangles are stop signs, and the colored rectangles represent background HVs.