

A class of charged-Taub-NUT-scalar metrics via Harison and Ehlers Transformations

Mahnaz Tavakoli Kachi, Behrouz Mirza, Fatemeh Sadeghi

Department of Physics, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan 84156-83111, Iran

Abstract

We consider a class of axially symmetric solutions to Einstein's equations incorporating a θ -dependent scalar field and extend these solutions by introducing electric and magnetic charges via Harrison transformations. Subsequently, we enhance the charged metrics by incorporating the NUT parameter through Ehlers transformations, yielding a novel class of charged-Taub-NUT metrics that represent exact solutions to Einstein's equations. Finally, we investigate some of astrophysical aspects of the charged-Taub-NUT metrics, focusing on phenomena such as gravitational lensing and quasi-normal modes (QNMs).

Keywords: Taub-NUT Black holes, Scalar fields, Harison transformations, Ehlers transformations, Gravitational lensing.

1. Introduction

The gravitational collapse of stars can lead to different final states, most notably the formation of black holes [1–7] or naked singularities [8–15]. The characteristics of these final states, such as rotation parameters and electric charge, depend on the initial conditions of the collapsing star.

But how can charged final states form? Given the extreme gravitational fields, pressures, and densities within stars, charge distribution directly related to matter density can give rise to strong electric fields and substantial charges. The forces acting on charged particles within the star may eject these particles, disrupting equilibrium. Once the gravitational force surpasses the pressure of matter and the Coulomb force, collapse occurs, and a charged singularity is formed. For the resulting electric charge and field to visibly impact the surrounding environment, their magnitudes must be significant [16–21]. The simplest example of a charged black hole is the Reissner-Nordström (RN) black hole, characterized by a static and spherically symmetric spacetime.

A black hole can also have a gravomagnetic monopole, such a metric was first introduced in 1963 by Newman, Unti and Tamburino (NUT) [22, 23]. The published NUT metric preceded the discovery of the Kerr metric and also paved the way for its discovery [24, 25]. A solution to Einstein's vacuum equations, the NUT metric remains a compelling subject of study without requiring modifications to Einstein's action [26]. The NUT solution has found applications in higher-dimensional semi-classical theories of quantum gravity. Misner was among the first to provide a physical interpretation of the NUT metric, suggesting periodic time coordinates and closed timelike world lines for rest-frame observers [27, 28]. He intended to remove the singular regions from the metric and for this he considered periodic time coordinates and stated that every observer in the rest frame moves on the closed time like world line. In 1969, Bonnor proposed a simpler interpretation, describing the NUT metric as a symmetric spherical mass connected to a semi-infinite source of angular momentum along its symmetry axis [29]. This interpretation portrays the NUT metric as a finite static rod with positive mass, connected to counter-rotating semi-infinite sources with infinite angular momenta and negative masses [30].

Email addresses: m.tavakoli1399phy@gmail.com (Mahnaz Tavakoli Kachi), b.mirza@iut.ac.ir (Behrouz Mirza), fatemeh.sadeghi96@ph.iut.ac.ir (Fatemeh Sadeghi)

A particularly intriguing aspect of the Taub-NUT spacetime is its geodesic similarity to the trajectories of charged particles in a magnetic monopole field. [31]. Light rays passing near the origin not only bend but also twist due to the gravomagnetic force, making gravitational lensing a promising tool for detecting gravomagnetic masses [32, 33]. The absence of direct evidence for gravitomagnetic masses may stem from the limited sensitivity of current instruments [34]. However, advancements such as the proposed X-ray observational technique could pave the way for their detection, opening new frontiers in physics [35]. The Taub-NUT metric has also been explored in Euclidean gravity, where it could provide insights into quantum gravity [36–38]. Brill was the first to derive the charged-Taub-NUT metrics, which remain exact solutions to Einstein’s equations and hold significant physical interest [39].

Ernst demonstrated that the Einstein-Maxwell theory could be reformulated for stationary, axially symmetric spacetimes using two gravitational complex potentials, leading to transformations like those of Ehlers and Harrison [40, 41]. Ehlers transformations are vacuum symmetries. However one may use the Ehlers transformations for Einstein’s equations coupled with a scalar field [42, 43]. In this case, the field equations reduce to two Ernst’s complex equations and an independent equation for a massless scalar field [42]. These transformations have been used to generate novel solutions, including accelerating NUT black holes coupled to a scalar field [44] and rotating wormholes in the Barceló-Visser spacetime [45]. Moreover, the Ehlers transformation was applied to Kerr-Newman black holes. In this case since the seed metric contains an electromagnetic field, the vector potential also rotates due to Ehlers transformation [46].

The Zipoy-Voorhees (ZV) metrics are a class of exact solutions of Einstein’s equations with naked singularities [47–50]. Observations of the Sagittarius A* shadow are consistent and can be explained with assuming ZV metrics [51]. Gravitational waves and geodesics of the class of ZV metrics have been analyzed in [52]. The following articles investigate some other aspects of ZV metrics [53–57]. Another class of exact solutions of Einstein’s equations in the presence of a scalar field is Fisher-Janis-Newman-Winicour (FJNW) solutions [58–60]. Astrophysical properties such as gravitational lensing and shadows of FJNW metric was investigated in [61–63]. Quasi-normal modes of FJNW metric in the presence of a non-linear scalar field was obtained in [64]. Other properties of FJNW metric including nature of singularity [65] and geodesics [66] have been also studied. The study of FJNW metrics has similarly advanced our understanding of singularity formation. A recent work has introduced a three-parameter family of metrics [67], ZV and FJNW solutions. Also, the rotating form of these metrics derived in [68], that in certain limits of parameters represent rotating ZV metric [69, 70], Bogush-Gal’tsov (BG) metric [71], and rotating FJNW metric.

Motivated by these findings, we investigate a new two-parameter class of static metrics involving a θ -dependent scalar field with an event horizon [72]. Using Harrison [73] and Ehlers [74] transformations, we extend these metrics by introducing charge and the NUT parameter, respectively.

This work examines the astrophysical properties of charged-Taub-NUT metrics. Specifically, we explore light deflection due to gravitational lensing. There are various techniques to investigate gravitational lensing [75–79]. Another method to investigate the characteristics of the class of metrics is to study their corresponding QNMs. QNMs are related to waves that are propagated by disturbances created in the metric of black holes. These waves change over time and are damped [80–88]. Here, we use the light ring method in the eikonal limit to study QNMs [89–91]. By examining these phenomena, we aim to uncover deeper insights into the nature of the class of exact solutions.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. 2, we consider a class of two parameters static metrics in the presence of θ -dependent scalar field. Then, we add electric and magnetic charges to the metrics using Harrison’s transformations. In Sec. 3, we apply Ehlers transformations to incorporate the NUT parameter, obtaining new exact solutions of Einstein’s field equations. Sec. 4 is dedicated to analyzing gravitational lensing effects in the charged-Taub-NUT metrics. In Sec. 5, we investigate the QNMs of the metrics, using the eikonal limit. Finally, we summarize our findings in Sec. 6.

2. A charged metric in the presence of a θ -dependent scalar field

There have been an increasing motivation to obtain exact solutions of the Einstein’s equations in the presence of both electromagnetic and scalar fields. The Einstein-Hilbert action ($G = c = 1$) with an electromagnetic field and a massless scalar field ψ is in the following form

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} (R - F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \psi \partial_\nu \psi). \quad (1)$$

where, $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$. Varying the action, the field equations are given by

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \psi \partial_\nu \psi + T_{\mu\nu}^{EM}, \quad \square \psi = 0, \quad (2)$$

where, $T_{\mu\nu}^{EM}$ defined as follows

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{EM} = 2 F_\mu^\alpha F_{\nu\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} F_{\gamma\tau} F^{\gamma\tau}, \quad (3)$$

In the case that the electromagnetic energy-momentum tensor is zero i.e. $T_{\mu\nu}^{EM} = 0$, two classes of exact solutions can be obtained from Eq. (2) depending on whether a massless scalar field ψ is a function of r or θ . The general class of exact solutions in the presence of a massless scalar field $\psi(r)$ that recently found in [67] is in the following form

$$ds^2 = -f^\gamma dt^2 + f^\mu k^\nu \left(\frac{dr^2}{f} + r^2 d\theta^2 \right) + f^{1-\gamma} r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2, \quad (4)$$

where,

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2m}{r}, \quad k(r, \theta) = 1 - \frac{2m}{r} + \frac{m^2 \sin^2 \theta}{r^2}, \quad (5)$$

and

$$\mu + \nu = 1 - \gamma. \quad (6)$$

with the following scalar field

$$\psi(r) = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \gamma^2 - \nu}{2}} \ln\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right). \quad (7)$$

The three parameter metrics in Eq. (4) for $\nu = 0$ and $\mu = 1 - \gamma$ represent the FJNW metric. Moreover, Eq. (4) by choosing $\mu = \gamma^2 - \gamma$ and $\nu = 1 - \gamma^2$ represents the ZV metric. It is interesting that the static solution in Eq. (4) can be obtained by applying Buchdahl's transformation of the second kind to the metric of ZV spacetime [92]. The second class of solutions that satisfy the equations of motion with a massless θ -dependent scalar field $\psi(\theta)$ is in the following form [72]

$$ds^2 = -f(r) dt^2 + k(r, \theta)^\sigma \left(\frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2 d\theta^2 \right) + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2, \quad (8)$$

where,

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2m}{r}, \quad k(r, \theta) = \frac{m^2 \sin^2 \theta}{r^2 - 2mr + m^2 \sin^2 \theta}, \quad (9)$$

where, the corresponding scalar field is in the following form

$$\psi(\theta) = \sqrt{2} \sigma \ln\left(\tan \frac{\theta}{2}\right), \quad (10)$$

In the following sections, we investigate some properties of metric in Eq. (8). The class of metrics in Eq. (8) have an axial singularity at two points $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$. However an event horizon exists for other values of θ parameter. The singular points coincides with those of the Taub-NUT black holes. To derive the charged form of the metric, we use Harison transformation. We review the algorithm for obtaining the Ernst equations and Harison transformation. We will show that the field equations related to the scalar field decouples from the other equations and therefore in the presence of a scalar field the Harison and Ehlers transformations can be used in their original form, to generate new solutions, see [42] for further details. Consider the Lewis-Weyl-Papapetrou metric (LWP metric) in the following form

$$ds^2 = -f(dt - \omega d\phi)^2 + f^{-1}(e^{2\eta}(d\rho^2 + dz^2) + \rho^2 d\phi^2), \quad (11)$$

where, the ρ and z are functions of r and θ as follows

$$\rho = \sqrt{r^2 - 2mr} \sin \theta, \quad z = (r - m) \cos \theta. \quad (12)$$

The compatible vector potential according to the symmetry of LWP metric is defined as below

$$A = A_t dt + A_\phi d\phi. \quad (13)$$

By considering action in Eq. (1) and metric in Eq. (11), the gravitational equations of motion can be written as follows

$$f \nabla^2 f = (\nabla f)^2 - \rho^{-2} f^4 (\nabla \omega)^2 + 2f(\nabla A_t)^2 + 2\rho^{-2} f^3 (\nabla A_\phi + \omega \nabla A_t)^2, \quad (14)$$

$$\nabla \cdot [\rho^{-2} f^2 \nabla \omega + 4\rho^{-2} f A_t (\nabla A_\phi + \omega \nabla A_t)] = 0, \quad (15)$$

whereas the Maxwell and scalar equations are as follows

$$\nabla \cdot [-f^{-1} \nabla A_t + \rho^{-2} f \omega (\nabla A_\phi + \omega \nabla A_t)] = 0, \quad (16)$$

$$\nabla \cdot [\rho^{-2} f (\nabla A_\phi + \omega \nabla A_t)] = 0, \quad (17)$$

$$\square \psi = 0. \quad (18)$$

It is seen that the scalar field equation is an independent equation which is not coupled to the gravitational and the Maxwell field equations so Ernst's equations remain the same, more explanation can be found in [42]. Ernst proposed that the Einstein-Maxwell field equations i.e. Eqs. (14)-(17) can be written in the form of two simple complex equations [40, 41]. Eqs (14)-(18) can be written as the following two Ernst's complex equations in addition to a scalar field equation as follows

$$\begin{aligned} (Re(\mathcal{E}) + \Phi \Phi^*) \nabla^2 \mathcal{E} &= \nabla \mathcal{E} \cdot (\nabla \mathcal{E} + 2 \Phi^* \nabla \Phi), \\ (Re(\mathcal{E}) + \Phi \Phi^*) \nabla^2 \Phi &= \nabla \Phi \cdot (\nabla \mathcal{E} + 2 \Phi^* \nabla \Phi), \\ \square \psi &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where, $Re(\mathcal{E})$ is the real part of the complex gravitational potential and the gravitational potential \mathcal{E} can be defined as follows

$$\mathcal{E} := f - |\Phi|^2 + i\chi, \quad (20)$$

where, f is the metric coefficient. Also, other functions of Φ and χ are written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= A_t + i\tilde{A}_\phi, \\ \hat{\phi} \times \nabla \chi &= -\rho^{-1} f^2 \nabla \omega - 2\hat{\phi} \times Im(\Phi^* \nabla \Phi), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where, $Im(\Phi^* \nabla \Phi)$ is the imaginary part of the $\Phi^* \nabla \Phi$ and \tilde{A}_ϕ is a twisted potential. The components A_t and A_ϕ are the first and fourth component of the 4-vector potential respectively, and satisfy the following relation

$$\hat{\phi} \times \nabla \tilde{A}_\phi = \rho^{-1} f (\nabla A_\phi + \omega \nabla A_t), \quad (22)$$

Now, by using the coordinate transformation in Eq. (12), we find f, ω and $e^{2\eta}$ as follows

$$f(r) = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right), \quad \omega = 0, \quad (23)$$

$$e^{2\eta} = \frac{(r^2 - 2mr) m^{2\sigma} \sin^{2\sigma} \theta}{(r^2 - 2mr + m^2 \sin^2 \theta)^{\sigma+1}}. \quad (24)$$

The Harrison transformations are defined in the following form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}' &= \frac{\mathcal{E}}{1 - 2\alpha^* \Phi - |\alpha^2| \mathcal{E}}, \\ \Phi' &= \frac{\alpha \mathcal{E} + \Phi}{1 - 2\alpha^* \Phi - |\alpha^2| \mathcal{E}},\end{aligned}\quad (25)$$

where, α is a complex parameter. According to the metric in Eq. (8) and using Eq. (20), Eq. (21) and Eq. (23) we choose the following functions

$$\mathcal{E} = 1 - \frac{2m}{r}, \quad \Phi = 0, \quad \chi = 0. \quad (26)$$

Then, by inserting Eq. (26) in Eq. (25) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}' &= \frac{(r - 2m)}{r - |\alpha^2|(r - 2m)}, \\ \Phi' &= \frac{\alpha(r - 2m)}{r - |\alpha^2|(r - 2m)}.\end{aligned}\quad (27)$$

Therefore, using Eq. (20) and Eq. (27) we obtain f' in the following form

$$f' = \frac{r(r - 2m)}{(r - (r - 2m)|\alpha^2|)^2}, \quad \chi' = 0. \quad (28)$$

Under Harrison transformation the parameter η in Eq. (24) remain the same so $\eta = \eta'$. By considering α as a complex parameter $\alpha = \alpha_R + i\alpha_I$ and Eq. (27) and Eq. (13) we arrive at

$$A'_t = \frac{\alpha_R(r - 2m)}{r - |\alpha^2|(r - 2m)}, \quad \tilde{A}'_\phi = \frac{\alpha_I(r - 2m)}{r - |\alpha^2|(r - 2m)}, \quad (29)$$

where, \tilde{A}'_ϕ is a twisted potential. Now, to determine A'_ϕ we use Eq. (22). Since we are in the cylindrical coordinates (ρ, ϕ, z) , to use the spherical coordinate, we use the following transformation relation for gradient

$$\nabla F(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(r-m)^2 - m^2 \cos^2 \theta}} \left(\frac{\partial F(r, \theta)}{\partial r} \sqrt{r(r-2m)} \hat{r} + \frac{\partial F(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \hat{\theta} \right), \quad (30)$$

therefore, by using Eqs. (28), (29), and Eq. (30) in Eq. (22) we have

$$A'_\phi = 2m\alpha_I \cos \theta. \quad (31)$$

So the vector potential takes the following form

$$A'(r, \theta) = \frac{\alpha_R(r - 2m)}{r - |\alpha^2|(r - 2m)} dt + 2m\alpha_I \cos \theta d\phi. \quad (32)$$

By using Eqs. (11), (12) (24) and Eq. (28) the new form of metric in Eq. (8) in the presence of the electromagnetic field can be written as follows

$$\begin{aligned}ds'^2 &= -\frac{r(r - 2m)}{(r - |\alpha^2|(r - 2m))^2} dt^2 + \frac{(r - |\alpha^2|(r - 2m))^2}{r(r - 2m)} \left(\frac{m^2 \sin^2 \theta}{r^2 - 2mr + m^2 \sin^2 \theta} \right)^\sigma \\ &\quad \times (dr^2 + r(r - 2m) d\theta^2) + (r - |\alpha^2|(r - 2m))^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2.\end{aligned}\quad (33)$$

Now, we apply the following transformations on coordinates and parameters in Eq. (33)

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{r} &= r(1 - |\alpha^2|) + 2m|\alpha^2|, & \bar{t} &= \frac{t}{1 - |\alpha^2|}, \\ \bar{m} &= m(1 + |\alpha^2|), & q_e &= -2m\alpha_R, & q_m &= 2m\alpha_I,\end{aligned}\quad (34)$$

where q_e and q_m are electric and magnetic charges, respectively. Therefore, we arrive at the following charged metric, where for simplicity the parameters are rewritten as ($\bar{r} \rightarrow r$, $\bar{t} \rightarrow t$ and $\bar{m} \rightarrow m$)

$$ds^2 = -f(r) dt^2 + k(r, \theta)^\sigma \left(\frac{1}{f(r)} dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 \right) + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2, \quad (35)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}f(r) &= 1 - \frac{2m}{r} + \frac{q_e^2 + q_m^2}{r^2}, \\ k(r, \theta) &= \frac{(m^2 - q_e^2 - q_m^2) \sin^2 \theta}{\Delta + (m^2 - q_e^2 - q_m^2) \sin^2 \theta},\end{aligned}\quad (36)$$

and

$$\Delta = r^2 - 2mr + q_e^2 + q_m^2. \quad (37)$$

Also, the vector potential $A'(r, \theta)$ in Eq. (32) takes the following form

$$A'(r, \theta) = A_0(r) dt + q_m \cos \theta d\phi, \quad (38)$$

where,

$$A_0(r) = \frac{q_e}{r} - \frac{q_e}{m(1+p)}, \quad (39)$$

where the new parameter p is defined as

$$p = \sqrt{1 - \frac{q_e^2 + q_m^2}{m}}. \quad (40)$$

Also, it is possible to remove the constant term in Eq. (39) via a gauge transformation. Using $\square\psi(\theta) = 0$ and metric in Eq. (35) the massless scalar field can be found as

$$\psi(\theta) = \sqrt{2\sigma} \ln \left(\tan \frac{\theta}{2} \right). \quad (41)$$

3. A charged Taub–NUT metric in the presence of a θ -dependent scalar field

In this section, we aim to use Ehlers transformations and add NUT charge to the metric in Eq. (35). The electric ansatz of the metric in Eq. (35) is as follows ($q_m = 0$)

$$ds^2 = -f(dt - \omega d\phi)^2 + f^{-1}(e^{2\eta}(d\rho^2 + dz^2) + \rho^2 d\phi^2), \quad (42)$$

where,

$$\rho = \sqrt{r^2 - 2mr + q_e^2} \sin \theta, \quad z = (r - m) \cos \theta, \quad (43)$$

Here, using the coordinate transformations in Eq. (43) in the metric in Eq. (42) and consider the charged form of the metric which is given in Eq. (35), we arrive at

$$f = 1 - \frac{2m}{r} + \frac{q_e^2}{r^2}, \quad \omega = 0, \quad (44)$$

and

$$e^{2\eta} = \frac{\Delta (m^2 - q_e^2)^\sigma \sin^{2\sigma} \theta}{(\Delta + (m^2 - q_e^2) \sin^2 \theta)^{\sigma+1}},$$

$$\Delta = r^2 - 2mr + q_e^2. \quad (45)$$

The corresponding vector potential is defined by $A = \frac{q_e}{r} dt$. On the other hand, the Ehlers transformations are given by

$$\mathcal{E}' = \frac{\mathcal{E}}{1 + ic\mathcal{E}}, \quad \Phi' = \frac{\Phi}{1 + ic\mathcal{E}}, \quad (46)$$

where, c is a real parameter. According to metric in Eq. (35), we define the gravitational potential \mathcal{E} , the electromagnetic potential Φ and χ in the following forms ($q_m = 0$)

$$\mathcal{E} = 1 - \frac{2m}{r}, \quad \Phi = \frac{q_e}{r}, \quad \chi = 0. \quad (47)$$

Now, we use the Ehlers transformations in Eq. (46) and arrive at the following transformed Ernst potentials

$$\mathcal{E}' = \frac{r^2 - 2mr}{r^2 + c^2(r-2m)^2} - i \frac{c(r-2m)^2}{r^2 + c^2(r-2m)^2},$$

$$\Phi' = \frac{q_e r}{r^2 + c^2(r-2m)^2} - i \frac{c q_e (r-2m)}{r^2 + c^2(r-2m)^2}. \quad (48)$$

Therefore using Eq. (20) and Eq. (48) we have

$$f' = \frac{r^2 - 2mr + q_e^2}{r^2 + c^2(r-2m)^2}, \quad (49)$$

$$\chi' = -\frac{c(r-2m)^2}{r^2 + c^2(r-2m)^2}. \quad (50)$$

Now, we consider the following relation and obtain ω'

$$\hat{\phi} \times \nabla \chi' = -\rho^{-1} f'^2 \nabla \omega' - 2 \hat{\phi} \times \text{Im}(\Phi'^* \nabla \Phi'), \quad (51)$$

First, using the transformed potential in Eq. (48) we find the imaginary part of $\Phi'^* \nabla \Phi'$ as follows

$$\text{Im}(\Phi'^* \nabla \Phi') = -\frac{2cmq_e^2 \sqrt{\Delta}}{(r^2 + c^2(r-2m)^2)^2 \sqrt{\Delta + (m^2 - q_e^2) \sin^2 \theta}}, \quad (52)$$

where, Δ is defined in Eq. (45) and we have used the following transformation relation for gradient

$$\nabla B(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta + (m^2 - q_e^2) \sin^2 \theta}} \left(\frac{\partial B(r, \theta)}{\partial r} \sqrt{\Delta} \hat{r} + \frac{\partial B(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \hat{\theta} \right), \quad (53)$$

then substituting Eqs. (43), (49) (50), (52) and using the gradient of Eq. (53) in Eq. (51) we obtain

$$\omega'(\theta) = 4cm \cos \theta. \quad (54)$$

Now, using Eq. (48) we have

$$A'_t = \text{Re}(\Phi') = \frac{q_e r}{r^2 + c^2(r-2m)^2},$$

$$\tilde{A}'_\phi = \text{Im}(\Phi') = -\frac{c q_e (r-2m)}{r^2 + c^2(r-2m)^2}. \quad (55)$$

It is known that only using the Ehlers coordinate transformations is not enough to find the vector potential. Since Ehlers transformations rotates the electromagnetic field, we consider a duality rotation for Ernst potential as follows

$$\Phi \rightarrow \bar{\Phi} = \Phi \exp(i\beta), \quad (56)$$

where, β is a rotation parameter. Here, we rotate the components in Eq. (55) as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{A}'_t \\ \bar{A}'_\phi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\beta & -\sin\beta \\ \sin\beta & \cos\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A'_t \\ A'_\phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad (57)$$

where \bar{A}'_ϕ is a twisted potential. Then, using the rotated components in Eq. (57) we can find \bar{A}'_ϕ from Eq. (22). Therefore, we arrive at the components of the vector potential as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A}'_t(r) &= \frac{q_e (r \cos\beta + c(r-2m) \sin\beta)}{r^2 + c^2(r-2m)^2}, \\ \bar{A}'_\phi(r, \theta) &= q_e \cos\theta (c \cos\beta - \sin\beta) - \omega' \bar{A}'_t, \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

It should be noted that the Ehlers transformation does not change the function η therefore $\bar{\eta} = \eta'$. Then by using Eqs. (42), (43), (45), (49) and Eq. (54) the metric is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -\frac{\Delta}{r^2 + c^2(r-2m)^2} (dt - 4cm \cos\theta d\phi)^2 + \frac{r^2 + c^2(r-2m)^2}{\Delta} \left(\frac{(m^2 - q_e^2) \sin^2\theta}{\Delta + (m^2 - q_e^2) \sin^2\theta} \right)^\sigma \\ &\times (dr^2 + \Delta d\theta^2) + (r^2 + c^2(r-2m)^2) \sin^2\theta d\phi^2, \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

where Δ is defined in Eq. (45). Now, we use the following coordinate transformations and redefine the parameters in Eq. (58) and Eq. (59) in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{r} &= r \sqrt{1+c^2} - \frac{2mc^2}{\sqrt{1+c^2}}, & \tilde{t} &= \frac{t}{\sqrt{1+c^2}}, & \tilde{q} &= q_e \sqrt{1+c^2}, \\ m &= -\frac{n}{2c} \sqrt{1+c^2}, & c &= \frac{\tilde{m} - \sqrt{\tilde{m}^2 + n^2}}{n}, & \cos\beta &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+c^2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

where, n is the NUT charge. Now, we remove tilde from parameters ($\tilde{r} \rightarrow r$, $\tilde{t} \rightarrow t$, $\tilde{q} \rightarrow q$, $\tilde{m} \rightarrow m$) and derive the final form of the class of charged Taub-NUT metrics in the presence of a θ -dependent scalar field as follows

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -f(r) (dt + 2n \cos\theta d\phi)^2 + k(r, \theta)^\sigma \left(\frac{1}{f(r)} dr^2 + (r^2 + n^2) d\theta^2 \right) \\ &+ (r^2 + n^2) \sin^2\theta d\phi^2, \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} f(r) &= \frac{\Delta_n}{r^2 + n^2}, \\ k(r, \theta) &= \frac{(m^2 + n^2 - q^2) \sin^2\theta}{\Delta_n + (m^2 + n^2 - q^2) \sin^2\theta}, \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

and

$$\Delta_n = r^2 - 2mr + q^2 - n^2. \quad (63)$$

The specific value for β in Eq. (60) is chosen in a way that we arrive at $\bar{A}'_\phi = -\omega' \bar{A}'_t$ in Eq. (58). Therefore the new vector potential is as below

$$A'(r, \theta) = A'_t dt + A'_\phi d\phi = \frac{qr}{r^2 + n^2} (dt + 2n \cos\theta d\phi), \quad (64)$$

where, we have removed bars ($\bar{A}'_t \rightarrow A'_t$, $\bar{A}'_\phi \rightarrow A'_\phi$). Also, using $\square\psi(\theta) = 0$ and the charged Taub-NUT metric in Eq. (61), the massless scalar field can be found which is the same as Eq. (41). It should be noted that it is also possible to add NUT parameter to the seed metrics in Eq. (8) via Ehlers transformations and then obtain charged Taub-NUT metrics using Harrison's transformations. It should be noted that the commutativity of the Ehlers and Harrison transformation is established when those transformations applied to the same LWP metric. This subtle point was extensively discussed in [93].

The Taub-NUT metric which is given by Eq. (61) ($q = 0$) can be transformed to the following form of the LWP metric

$$ds^2 = -f(dt - \omega d\phi)^2 + f^{-1}(e^{2\eta}(d\rho^2 + dz^2) + \rho^2 d\phi^2), \quad (65)$$

by using Weyl's coordinates as follows

$$f = \frac{(R_+ + R_-)^2 - 4(m^2 + n^2)}{(R_+ + R_- + 2m)^2 + 4n^2}, \quad (66)$$

$$e^{2\eta} = \frac{(R_+ + R_-)^2 - 4(m^2 + n^2)}{4R_+R_-} \left(\frac{4(m^2 + n^2) - (R_+ - R_-)^2}{4R_+R_-} \right)^\sigma, \quad (67)$$

$$\omega = -\frac{n}{\sqrt{m^2 + n^2}}(R_+ - R_-), \quad (68)$$

$$R_\pm^2 = \rho^2 + (z \pm \sqrt{m^2 + n^2})^2, \quad (69)$$

and also the following relations are useful

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \sqrt{r^2 - 2mr - n^2} \sin \theta, & z &= (r - m) \cos \theta, \\ R_\pm &= r - m \pm \sqrt{m^2 + n^2} \cos \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

3.1. Curvature singularities

Here, we derive the singularities of the metric via the Ricci scalar. The Ricci scalar of the metric in Eq. (61) is given by

$$R = \frac{2\sigma}{(r^2 + n^2) \sin^2 \theta} \left(\frac{\Delta_n + (m^2 + n^2 - q^2) \sin^2 \theta}{(m^2 + n^2 - q^2) \sin^2 \theta} \right)^\sigma, \quad (71)$$

At singular points the Ricci scalar becomes infinite. According to Eq. (71) in order to avoid singular points at $r \rightarrow \infty$, the parameter σ should be $\sigma \leq 1$. For $\sigma < 0$, the singular points are as follows

$$r = m \pm \cos \theta \sqrt{m^2 + n^2 - q^2}, \quad (72)$$

Therefore, we choose $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ and singularities are located only at $\theta = 0, \pi$. The Taub-NUT metrics also have singularities at the points $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$ due to the change of metric signature at these points [29]. Therefore, the singularities of the generated charged-Taub-NUT metrics incorporating a θ -dependent scalar field are exactly coincided with the Taub-NUT black holes. The generated metric in Eq. (61) can be considered as a black hole with two axial singularities. It should be noted that the same singularities appears in pure Taub-NUT black holes.

4. Gravitational lensing

In this section, we will investigate the gravitational lensing for the class of metrics in Eq. (61). We calculate the deflection angle of light by using the approach of Gibbons and Werner which is based on the Gauss-Bonnet theorem [94]. The optical metric in the equatorial plane of space-time is given by

$$dt^2 = \tilde{g}_{rr} dr^2 + \tilde{g}_{\phi\phi} d\phi^2, \quad (73)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{g}_{rr} &= k(r, \theta)^\sigma f(r)^{-2}, \\ \tilde{g}_{\phi\phi} &= (r^2 + n^2) f(r)^{-1},\end{aligned}\tag{74}$$

and the definitions of $f(r)$ and $k(r, \theta)$ can be found in Eq. (62). It is known that the equation of Gaussian curvature is in the following form

$$\kappa = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{g}}} \left(\partial_r \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{g}_{rr}}} \partial_r \sqrt{\tilde{g}_{\phi\phi}} \right) + \partial_\phi \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{g}_{\phi\phi}}} \partial_r \sqrt{\tilde{g}_{rr}} \right) \right),\tag{75}$$

where \tilde{g} is the determinant of the metric. The deflection angle of gravitational lensing can be obtained by the following relation

$$\alpha = -\int_0^\pi \int_{r_0}^\infty \kappa \sqrt{\tilde{g}} dr d\phi,\tag{76}$$

where, r_0 denotes the minimum distance from the source and can be determined via the null geodesics equation. Now, using Eqs. (73), (74), and Eq. (75) in Eq. (76) we arrive at

$$\alpha = -\int_0^\pi \int_{r_0}^\infty \partial_r \left(f(r)^{\frac{1}{2}} k(r, \theta)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} (r^2 + n^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(r - \frac{(r^2 + n^2)}{2} \frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} \right) \right) dr d\phi.\tag{77}$$

To derive the deflection angle α , expanding the whole integrand up to the order of r^{-2} , ($0 < \sigma < 1$) we have

$$\alpha = -\int_0^\pi \left(\frac{m^2 + n^2 - q^2}{r_0^2} \right)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{m(\sigma + 2)}{r_0} + \frac{m^2(\sigma(\sigma + 3) - 3) + 3q^2 - 7n^2}{2r_0^2} \right) d\phi.\tag{78}$$

In order to obtain r_0 we consider the charged Taub-NUT metrics in Eq. (61) at $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ as follows

$$ds^2 = -f(r) dt^2 + \frac{k(r, \theta)^\sigma}{f(r)} dr^2 + (r^2 + n^2) d\phi^2.\tag{79}$$

Now assuming the three dimensional spacetime in Eq. (79), the geodesic Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} f(r) \dot{t}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{k(r, \theta)^\sigma}{f(r)} \dot{r}^2 + \frac{1}{2} (r^2 + n^2) \dot{\phi}^2,\tag{80}$$

where, dot denotes the $\frac{d}{d\lambda}$ and λ is the affine parameter which leads to the following equations of motion

$$f(r) \frac{dt}{d\lambda} = E = \text{const},\tag{81}$$

$$(r^2 + n^2) \frac{d\phi}{d\lambda} = L = \text{const}.\tag{82}$$

Therefore by using both Eq. (81) and Eq. (82) we arrive at

$$\frac{f(r)}{r^2 + n^2} \frac{dt}{d\phi} = \frac{E}{L} = \frac{1}{b},\tag{83}$$

where, b is a constant. Then, using Eq. (79), $(\frac{dr}{d\lambda})^2$ takes the following form

$$\frac{k(r, \theta)^\sigma}{f(r)} \left(\frac{dr}{d\lambda} \right)^2 = f(r) \left(\frac{dt}{d\lambda} \right)^2 - (r^2 + n^2) \left(\frac{d\phi}{d\lambda} \right)^2.\tag{84}$$

Now, using $d\lambda \rightarrow d\phi$ in Eq. (84) we have

$$\frac{k(r, \theta)^\sigma}{f(r)} \left(\frac{dr}{d\phi} \right)^2 = \frac{(r^2 + n^2)^2}{b^2 f(r)} - (r^2 + n^2),\tag{85}$$




Figure 1: The deflection angle α as a function of impact parameter b . (a): We have considered $\sigma = 0.02$, $n = 3.00$ and $q = 0.82$ with two different values of mass i.e. $m = 1.97$ (solid blue curve) and 1.49 (dashed red curve). (b): $\sigma = 0.02$, $m = 1.97$ and $n = 3.00$ for $q = 0.00$ (solid blue curve) and $q = 1.27$ (dashed red curve). (c): $\sigma = 0.02$, $m = 1.97$ and $q = 0.82$ for $n = 2.00$ (solid blue curve) and $n = 3.00$ (dashed red curve). (d): $m = 1.97$, $n = 3.00$ and $q = 0.82$ for $\sigma = 0.02$ (solid blue curve) and $\sigma = 0.05$ (dashed red curve).

where, we used Eq. (83). Then, we use $r = \frac{1}{u}$, therefore, Eq. (85) can be written as

$$\left(\frac{du}{d\phi}\right)^2 = \frac{f(r)(1+n^2u^2)}{k(r,\theta)^\sigma} \left(\frac{1+n^2u^2}{b^2 f(r)} - u^2\right). \quad (86)$$

Then, expanding Eq. (86) up to u^2 and the first order of σ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2u}{d\phi^2} + u = 3mu^2 + \frac{2n^2u}{b^2} + \sigma \left[-\frac{2n^2u \ln(u^2(m^2+n^2-q^2)) + 4n^2mu^2 + m}{b^2} - \frac{1}{b^2u} \right. \\ \left. - 3mu^2 \ln(u^2(m^2+n^2-q^2)) + u \ln(u^2(m^2+n^2-q^2)) + 2mu^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

Then, we solve Eq. (87) numerically, where its solutions determines r_0 ($u = \frac{1}{r_0}$). Now, we insert obtained values for r_0 in Eq. (78) and calculate the deflection angle α of light. The deflection angle α of light as a function of b is depicted in Fig. 1. Fig. 1 contains four graphs that show that as the impact parameter b increases the deflection angle α of light decreases. Also, Fig. 1(b) shows that variation of the electric charge q has little effect on behavior of deflection angle α .

5. Quasi normal modes

In the following, we are going to calculate the QNMs of metric in Eq. (61). For this purpose, we use the light ring method in the eikonal limit [89–91]. There is no need to separate wave equations in the light ring method. Particular QNMs can be obtained by using this method. We investigate the characteristics of unstable circular photon orbits in the equatorial plane. Therefore, according to light ring method, one must choose $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ in the metric in Eq. (61). Also, we expand the metric components up to the first order of σ , and the second orders of n and q , and omit the terms σn , σq , $n q^2$ and $n q$. We apply these approximations in the whole of the calculations. Therefore, after expanding components of metric in Eq. (61), we have

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & - \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} + \frac{q^2}{r^2} - \frac{2n^2}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{m}{r} \right) \right) dt^2 + \frac{1}{r(1-2m/r)} \\ & \times \left(r - \frac{q^2}{r(1-2m/r)} + \frac{2n^2}{r(1-2m/r)} \left(1 - \frac{m}{r} \right) + r\sigma \ln \left(\frac{m^2}{r^2 - 2mr + m^2} \right) \right) dr^2 \\ & + \left(r^2 + n^2 + r^2 \sigma \ln \left(\frac{m^2}{r^2 - 2mr + m^2} \right) \right) d\theta^2 + (r^2 + n^2) d\phi^2. \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

We consider the QNMs as $Q = \Omega + i\Gamma$. In the light ring method, Ω can be written as follows

$$\Omega = \pm j \Omega_{\pm}. \quad (89)$$

Also, we can represent massless wave perturbations as superpositions of the following eigenmodes

$$e^{i(\Omega t - \iota \phi)} S_{\Omega j \iota s}(r, \theta), \quad (90)$$

where, Ω is wave's frequency and S is the wave's spin. ι and j are angular momentum and the following condition exists between them

$$|\iota| \leq j. \quad (91)$$

Also, the following two conditions exist in the eikonal limit

$$\Omega \gg 1/M, \quad |\iota| = j \gg 1. \quad (92)$$

In the eikonal limit, the frequency of perturbations can be represented by the following equation

$$\Omega = \iota \frac{d\phi}{dt} = \pm j \Omega_{\pm}. \quad (93)$$

To calculate QNMs, we must first calculate the radius of unstable null circular orbits, for this we use the following two equations

$$g_{tt} + g_{\phi\phi} \left(\frac{d\phi}{dt} \right)^2 = 0, \quad (94)$$

and

$$\Gamma_{tt}^r + \Gamma_{\phi\phi}^r \left(\frac{d\phi}{dt} \right)^2 = 0. \quad (95)$$

Eq. (94) is written for each null path and Eq. (95) shows the radial component of the geodesic equation. By using Eq. (88), we can write Eq. (94) and Eq. (95) as below

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt} = \frac{r(2r^2 + q^2 - 3n^2) + 4m(n^2 - r^2)}{2r^4 \sqrt{(1-2m/r)}}, \quad (96)$$

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt} = \frac{r(2mr - q^2) + n^2(2r - 3m)}{2r^4 \sqrt{m/r}}. \quad (97)$$

We put r as $3m + \bar{\epsilon}$ in Eq. (96) and Eq. (97), where $3m$ represents the radius of the light ring of the Schwarzschild black hole and $\bar{\epsilon}$ is the perturbation parameter. Then, as the right side of Eq. (96) and Eq. (97) are equal, we have

$$\bar{\epsilon} = -\frac{12m(3q^2 - 4n^2)}{54m^2 - 39q^2 + 41n^2}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad r_0 = 3m - \frac{12m(3q^2 - 4n^2)}{54m^2 - 39q^2 + 41n^2}. \quad (98)$$

By replacing r_0 in Eq. (96) or Eq. (97), we arrive at

$$\Omega_{\pm} = \frac{d\phi}{dt} = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}m} \pm \frac{1}{18\sqrt{3}m^3} \left(q^2 - \frac{5n^2}{3} \right). \quad (99)$$

To calculate the term Γ in QNMs, we perturb the coordinates of the circular orbit $\bar{x}^\mu = (t, r, \theta, \phi) = (t, r_0, \frac{\pi}{2}, \Omega' t)$ as follows

$$r = r_0 [1 + \epsilon_0 \tau_r(t)], \quad \phi = \Omega_{\pm} [t + \epsilon_0 \tau_\phi(t)], \quad \ell = t + \epsilon_0 \tau_\ell(t), \quad (100)$$

where, ϵ_0 is a small perturbation and the conditions for $\tau_r(t)$ and $\tau_\ell(t)$ are as follows

$$\tau_r(0) = \tau_\ell(0) = 0. \quad (101)$$

Also, according to Eqs. (93) and Eq. (100), we have $\tau_\phi(t) = 0$. We consider the perturbed propagation vector as follows

$$K^\mu = \frac{dx^\mu}{d\ell} = \left(1 - \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \tau_\ell}{\partial t}, \epsilon_0 r_0 \frac{\partial \tau_r}{\partial t}, 0, \Omega_{\pm} \left(1 - \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \tau_\ell}{\partial t} \right) \right). \quad (102)$$

We can write the conservation law for the congruence of null rays as below

$$\nabla_\mu (\varrho K^\mu) = 0, \quad (103)$$

where ϱ is the density of null rays. Also, using Eq. (103), we have

$$\frac{1}{\varrho} \frac{d\varrho}{d\ell} = -\nabla_\mu K^\mu = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} (\sqrt{-g} K^\alpha), \quad (104)$$

Then, by using Eq. (102) we can write Eq. (104) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varrho} \frac{d\varrho}{d\ell} = & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\sqrt{-g} (1 - \epsilon_0 \tau'_\ell)) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\sqrt{-g} \epsilon_0 r_0 \tau'_r) \\ & - \frac{\Omega_{\pm}}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (\sqrt{-g} (1 - \epsilon_0 \tau'_\ell)), \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

where prime denotes the derivative with respect to the parameter t . Using the metric in Eq. (88) we have

$$\sqrt{-g} = r^2 + n^2 + \sigma r^2 \ln \left(\frac{m^2}{r^2 - 2mr + m^2} \right). \quad (106)$$

By using Eq. (98) and Eq. (102) $\sqrt{-g}$ is rewritten as follows

$$\sqrt{-g} = \frac{19n^2}{3} + 9m^2(1 + 2\epsilon_0 \tau_r - \sigma \ln 4) - 4q^2(1 + 2\epsilon_0 \tau_r). \quad (107)$$

Therefore, using Eq. (105) and Eq. (107) we arrive at

$$\frac{1}{\varrho} \frac{d\varrho}{d\ell} = -\epsilon_0 \left(\frac{\partial(2\tau_r - \tau'_\ell)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(r_0 \tau'_r)}{\partial r} + \Omega_{\pm} \frac{\partial(2\tau_r - \tau'_\ell)}{\partial \phi} \right). \quad (108)$$

Therefore by using Eq. (100) we have the following relation

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\varrho} \frac{d\varrho}{dt} &= \frac{d(\epsilon_0 r_0 \tau_r')}{dt} \frac{dt}{dr} + O(\epsilon_0) \\ &= -\frac{\tau_r''(t)}{\tau_r'(t)} + O(\epsilon_0),\end{aligned}\quad (109)$$

where we used Eq. (102) ($\frac{dt}{dt} = \epsilon_0 r_0 \tau_r'$). Now, we first derive the τ_r . By writing the radial component of the geodesic equation, one has

$$\frac{d^2 r}{d\ell^2} + \Gamma_{tt}^r \left(\frac{dt}{d\ell}\right)^2 + \Gamma_{\phi\phi}^r \left(\frac{d\phi}{d\ell}\right)^2 + \Gamma_{\theta\theta}^r \left(\frac{d\theta}{d\ell}\right)^2 = 0. \quad (110)$$

By using Eq.(88) and expanding Eq. (110) up to first order of ϵ_0 , we have

$$\frac{\epsilon_0}{81 m^3} \left(9 m^2 (27 m^2 - 6 q^2 + 8 n^2) \tau_r''(t) + (q^2 + n^2 - 9 m^2 (1 + \sigma \ln 4)) \tau_r(t)\right) = 0. \quad (111)$$

$\tau_r(t)$ can be written as below

$$\tau_r(t) = \sinh(\zeta t), \quad (112)$$

where ζ is given by

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{3 \sqrt{3} m} \left(1 + \frac{q^2}{18 m^2} - \frac{11 n^2}{54 m^2} + \sigma \ln 2\right). \quad (113)$$

According to Eqs. (109) and Eq. (112), $\varrho(t)$ can be written as follows

$$\varrho(t) = \varrho(0) \frac{1}{\cosh(\zeta t)} \simeq 2 \varrho(0) (e^{-\zeta t} - e^{-3\zeta t} + e^{-5\zeta t} - \dots). \quad (114)$$

Also, in the light ring method, we can represent Γ as below

$$\Gamma = \left(\mathcal{N} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \zeta. \quad (115)$$

Therefore, QNMs for metric Eq. (88) are equal to

$$\begin{aligned}Q &= \Omega + i \Gamma \\ &= j \left\{ \frac{1}{3 \sqrt{3} m} \pm \frac{1}{18 \sqrt{3} m^3} (q^2 - \frac{5 n^2}{3}) \right\} + i \left(\mathcal{N} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left\{ \frac{1}{3 \sqrt{3} m} \left(1 + \frac{q^2}{18 m^2} - \frac{11 n^2}{54 m^2} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \sigma \ln 2 \right) \right\}.\end{aligned}\quad (116)$$

Eq. (116) for $q = n = \sigma = 0$ represents the QNMs of Schwarzschild black holes. The presence of the scalar field adds a positive value 0.70σ to the imaginary part of QNMs.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we considered a class of axially symmetric metrics coupled with a θ -dependent scalar field and adding charge and NUT parameter via Harison and Ehlers transformations. The generated charged-Taub-NUT-scalar metrics represent exact solutions to Einstein's equations. Since the scalar field equation is decoupled from the gravitational and the Maxwell field equations, the Ernst scheme is utilized without any modifications. Then, we obtained the singularities of the curvature for generated charged-Taub-NUT-scalar metrics. The generated metrics have axial singularities at the points $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$. Therefore, the singularities of the generated metrics are coincided with the Taub-NUT metrics that have singularities at $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$ due to the change of metric signature at these points. We investigate the gravitational lensing of the class of metrics and obtained the deflection angle of light for the class of metrics. Finally, we derived QNMs of the metric via the light-ring method. We hope to derive the rotating form of the charged-Taub-NUT-scalar metrics and study other aspects of the class of metrics in the future.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Isfahan University of Technology for financial support that made available to us.

References

- [1] S. W. Hawking, Black holes in general relativity, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 25 (1972) 152–166.
- [2] I. D. Novikov, K. S. Thorne, *Astrophysics of black holes*, *Black holes (Les astres occlus)* 1 (1973) 343–450.
- [3] B. J. Carr, S. W. Hawking, Black holes in the early universe, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 168 (2) (1974) 399–415.
- [4] J. Preskill, Do black holes destroy information, in: *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Black Holes, Membranes, Wormholes and Superstrings*, S. Kalara and DV Nanopoulos, eds.(World Scientific, Singapore, 1993) pp, World Scientific, 1992, pp. 22–39.
- [5] E. F. Taylor, J. A. Wheeler, *Exploring black holes*, Vol. 98, Addison Wesley Longman San Francisco, 2000.
- [6] D. M. Alexander, R. C. Hickox, What drives the growth of black holes?, *New Astronomy Reviews* 56 (4) (2012) 93–121. [arXiv:1112.1949](#).
- [7] I. Novikov, V. Frolov, *Physics of black holes*, Vol. 27, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [8] R. Penrose, Naked singularities, *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 224 (1) (1973) 125–134.
- [9] S. L. Shapiro, S. A. Teukolsky, Formation of naked singularities: the violation of cosmic censorship, *Physical Review Letters* 66 (8) (1991) 994.
- [10] P. Joshi, I. Dwivedi, The structure of naked singularity in self-similar gravitational collapse, *Communications in mathematical physics* 146 (1992) 333–342. [arXiv:gr-qc/9302008](#).
- [11] D. Christodoulou, Examples of naked singularity formation in the gravitational collapse of a scalar field, *Annals of Mathematics* 140 (3) (1994) 607–653.
- [12] F. de Felice, Y. Yunqiang, Turning a black hole into a naked singularity, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 18 (7) (2001) 1235.
- [13] T. Harada, H. Iguchi, K.-i. Nakao, Physical processes in naked singularity formation, *Progress of Theoretical Physics* 107 (3) (2002) 449–524. [arXiv:gr-qc/0204008](#).
- [14] R. Goswami, P. S. Joshi, P. Singh, Quantum evaporation of a naked singularity, *Physical Review Letters* 96 (3) (2006) 031302. [arXiv:gr-qc/0506129](#).
- [15] P. S. Joshi, Naked singularities, *Scientific American* 300 (2) (2009) 36–43.
- [16] S. Hawking, Gravitationally collapsed objects of very low mass, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 152 (1) (1971) 75–78.
- [17] E. Sorkin, T. Piran, Formation and evaporation of charged black holes, *Physical Review D* 63 (12) (2001) 124024. [arXiv:gr-qc/0103090](#).
- [18] S. Ray, A. L. Espindola, M. Malheiro, J. P. Lemos, V. T. Zanchin, Electrically charged compact stars and formation of charged black holes, *Physical Review D* 68 (8) (2003) 084004. [arXiv:astro-ph/0307262](#).
- [19] H. J. M. Cuesta, A. Penna-Firme, A. Pérez-Lorenzana, Charge asymmetry in the brane world and the formation of charged black holes, *Physical Review D* 67 (8) (2003) 087702. [arXiv:hep-ph/0203010](#).
- [20] D.-I. Hwang, D.-H. Yeom, Internal structure of charged black holes, *Physical Review D* 84 (6) (2011) 064020. [arXiv:1010.2585](#).
- [21] D.-I. Hwang, H. Kim, D.-H. Yeom, Dynamical formation and evolution of $(2+1)$ -dimensional charged black holes, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 29 (5) (2012) 055003. [arXiv:1105.1371](#).
- [22] E. Newman, L. Tamburino, T. Unti, Empty-space generalization of the schwarzschild metric, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 4 (7) (1963) 915–923.
- [23] D. Kramer, H. Stephani, Exact solutions of Einstein’s field equations, *General Relativity and Gravitation* 1980 (1983) 75.
- [24] R. P. Kerr, Gravitational field of a spinning mass as an example of algebraically special metrics, *Physical review letters* 11 (5) (1963) 237.
- [25] E. T. Newman, T. Adamo, Kerr-Newman metric, *Scholarpedia* 9 (10) (2014) 31791.
- [26] S. Ramaswamy, A. Sen, Comment on” gravitomagnetic pole and mass quantization”, *Physical Review Letters* 57 (8) (1986) 1088.
- [27] C. W. Misner, The flatter regions of Newman, Unti, and Tamburino’s generalized Schwarzschild space, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 4 (7) (1963) 924–937.
- [28] C. Misner, Contribution to lectures in applied mathematics, vol. 8, in: *Am. Math. Soc*, 1967, p. 160.
- [29] W. B. Bonnor, A new interpretation of the NUT metric in general relativity, in: *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, Vol. 66, Cambridge University Press, 1969, pp. 145–151.
- [30] V. Manko, E. Ruiz, Physical interpretation of the NUT family of solutions, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 22 (17) (2005) 3555.
- [31] R. L. Zimmerman, B. Y. Shahir, Geodesics for the NUT metric and gravitational monopoles, *General relativity and gravitation* 21 (1989) 821–848.
- [32] D. Lynden-Bell, M. Nouri-Zonoz, Classical monopoles: Newton, NUT space, gravomagnetic lensing, and atomic spectra, *Reviews of Modern Physics* 70 (2) (1998) 427. [arXiv:gr-qc/9612049](#).
- [33] M. Nouri-Zonoz, D. Lynden-Bell, Gravomagnetic lensing by NUT space, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 292 (3) (1997) 714–722.
- [34] S. Rahvar, M. Nouri-Zonoz, Gravitational microlensing in NUT space, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 338 (4) (2003) 926–930. [arXiv:astro-ph/0204282](#).
- [35] C. Chakraborty, S. Bhattacharyya, Does the gravitomagnetic monopole exist? a clue from a black hole x-ray binary, *Physical Review D* 98 (4) (2018) 043021. [arXiv:1712.01156](#).
- [36] S. W. Hawking, Gravitational instantons, *Physics Letters A* 60 (2) (1977) 81–83.
- [37] E. Arratia, C. Corral, J. Figueroa, L. Sanhueza, Hairy Taub-NUT/bolt-AdS solutions in Horndeski theory, *Physical Review D* 103 (6) (2021) 064068. [arXiv:2010.02460](#).
- [38] J. Barrientos, A. Cisterna, C. Corral, M. Oyarzo, Gravitational instantons with conformally coupled scalar fields, *Journal of High Energy Physics* 2022 (5) (2022) 1–28. [arXiv:2202.13854](#).
- [39] D. R. Brill, Electromagnetic fields in a homogeneous, nonisotropic universe, *Physical Review* 133 (3B) (1964) B845.

- [40] F. J. Ernst, New formulation of the axially symmetric gravitational field problem, *Physical Review* 167 (5) (1968) 1175.
- [41] F. J. Ernst, New formulation of the axially symmetric gravitational field problem. ii, *Physical Review* 168 (5) (1968) 1415.
- [42] M. Astorino, Embedding hairy black holes in a magnetic universe, *Physical Review D* 87 (8) (2013) 084029. [arXiv:1301.6794](#).
- [43] M. Astorino, Stationary axisymmetric spacetimes with a conformally coupled scalar field, *Physical Review D* 91 (6) (2015) 064066. [arXiv:1412.3539](#).
- [44] J. Barrientos, A. Cisterna, Ehlers transformations as a tool for constructing accelerating nut black holes, *Physical Review D* 108 (2) (2023) 024059. [arXiv:2305.03765](#).
- [45] A. Cisterna, K. Müller, K. Pallikaris, A. Viganò, Exact rotating wormholes via Ehlers transformations, *Physical Review D* 108 (2) (2023) 024066. [arXiv:2306.14541](#).
- [46] M. Astorino, Enhanced Ehlers transformation and the Majumdar-Papapetrou-NUT spacetime, *Journal of High Energy Physics* 2020 (1) (2020) 1–38. [arXiv:1906.08228](#).
- [47] G. Darmon, *Mémoires des sciences mathématiques, Fascicule XXV* (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1927) (1927).
- [48] G. Erez, N. Rosen, The gravitational field of a particle possessing a multipole moment, Tech. rep., Israel Inst. of Tech., Haifa (1959).
- [49] D. M. Zipoy, Topology of some spheroidal metrics, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 7 (6) (1966) 1137–1143.
- [50] B. Voorhees, Static axially symmetric gravitational fields, *Physical Review D* 2 (10) (1970) 2119.
- [51] F. Lora-Clavijo, G. Prada-Méndez, L. Becerra, E. Becerra-Vergara, The q-metric naked singularity: a viable explanation for the nature of the central object in the milky way, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 40 (24) (2023) 245012. [arXiv:2311.06653](#).
- [52] K. Destounis, G. Huez, K. D. Kokkotas, Geodesics and gravitational waves in chaotic extreme-mass-ratio inspirals: the curious case of Zipoy-Voorhees black-hole mimickers, *General Relativity and Gravitation* 55 (6) (2023) 71. [arXiv:2301.11483](#).
- [53] L. Richterek, J. Novotný, J. Horský, Einstein-Maxwell fields generated from the γ -metric and their limits, *Czechoslovak journal of physics* 52 (2002) 1021–1040. [arXiv:gr-qc/0209094](#).
- [54] H. Chakrabarty, C. A. Benavides-Gallego, C. Bambi, L. Modesto, Unattainable extended spacetime regions in conformal gravity, *Journal of High Energy Physics* 2018 (3) (2018) 1–12. [arXiv:1711.07198](#).
- [55] B. Toshmatov, D. Malafarina, N. Dadhich, Harmonic oscillations of neutral particles in the γ metric, *Physical Review D* 100 (4) (2019) 044001. [arXiv:1905.01088](#).
- [56] A. Allahyari, H. Firouzjahi, B. Mashhoon, Quasinormal modes of a black hole with quadrupole moment, *Physical Review D* 99 (4) (2019) 044005. [arXiv:1812.03376](#).
- [57] H. Chakrabarty, D. Borah, A. Abdurjabbarov, D. Malafarina, B. Ahmedov, Effects of gravitational lensing on neutrino oscillation in γ -spacetime, *The European Physical Journal C* 82 (1) (2022) 24.
- [58] I. Fisher, Scalar mesostatic field with regard for gravitational effects, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz* 18 (1948) 636. [arXiv:gr-qc/9911008](#).
- [59] A. I. Janis, E. T. Newman, J. Winicour, Reality of the Schwarzschild singularity, *Physical Review Letters* 20 (16) (1968) 878.
- [60] M. Wyman, Static spherically symmetric scalar fields in general relativity, *Physical Review D* 24 (4) (1981) 839.
- [61] K. S. Virbhadra, G. F. Ellis, Gravitational lensing by naked singularities, *Physical Review D* 65 (10) (2002) 103004.
- [62] D. Chen, Y. Chen, P. Wang, T. Wu, H. Wu, Gravitational lensing by transparent Janis–Newman–Winicour naked singularities, *The European Physical Journal C* 84 (6) (2024) 584. [arXiv:2309.00905](#).
- [63] D. N. Solanki, P. Bambhaniya, D. Dey, P. S. Joshi, K. N. Pathak, Shadows and precession of orbits in rotating Janis–Newman–Winicour spacetime, *The European Physical Journal C* 82 (1) (2022) 77. [arXiv:2109.14937](#).
- [64] O. Stashko, O. Savchuk, V. Zhdanov, Quasinormal modes of naked singularities in presence of nonlinear scalar fields, *Physical Review D* 109 (2) (2024) 024012. [arXiv:2307.04295](#).
- [65] K. Virbhadra, S. Jhingan, P. Joshi, Nature of singularity in Einstein-massless scalar theory, *International Journal of Modern Physics D* 6 (03) (1997) 357–361. [arXiv:gr-qc/9512030](#).
- [66] A. N. Chowdhury, M. Patil, D. Malafarina, P. S. Joshi, Circular geodesics and accretion disks in Janis–Newman–Winicour and gamma metric, *Physical Review D* (2011). [arXiv:1112.2522](#).
- [67] A. Azizollahi, B. Mirza, A. Hajibarat, H. Anjomshoa, Three parameter metrics in the presence of a scalar field in four and higher dimensions, *Nuclear Physics B* 998 (2024) 116414. [arXiv:arXiv:2307.09328](#).
- [68] B. Mirza, P. K. Kangazi, F. Sadeghi, A class of rotating metrics in the presence of a scalar field, *The European Physical Journal C* 83 (12) (2023) 1–12. [arXiv:2307.13588](#).
- [69] S. Toktarbay, H. Quevedo, A stationary q-metric, *Gravit. Cosmol.* 20 (4) (2014) 252–254. [arXiv:1510.04155](#).
- [70] F. Frutos-Alfaro, M. Soffel, On relativistic multipole moments of stationary space-times, *R. Soc. Open Sci.* 5 (7) (2018) 180640. [arXiv:1606.07173](#).
- [71] I. Bogush, D. Gal'tsov, Generation of rotating solutions in Einstein-scalar gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* 102 (12) (2020) 124006. [arXiv:2001.02936](#).
- [72] S. H. Mazharimousavi, Nonspherically-symmetric black hole in Einstein-massless scalar theory, *The European Physical Journal C* 83 (2023) 1131. [arXiv:2309.06571](#).
- [73] B. K. Harrison, New solutions of the Einstein-Maxwell equations from old, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 9 (11) (1968) 1744–1752.
- [74] J. Ehlers, Konstruktionen und charakterisierungen von lösungen der Einsteinschen gravitationsfeldgleichungen, Ph.D. thesis, Hamburg Hamburg, Germany (1958).
- [75] P. Schneider, J. Ehlers, E. E. Falco, P. Schneider, J. Ehlers, E. E. Falco, Gravitational lenses as astrophysical tools, *Gravitational Lenses* (1992) 467–515.
- [76] J. Wambsganss, Gravitational lensing in astronomy, *Living Reviews in Relativity* 1 (1998) 1–74. [arXiv:astro-ph/9812021](#).
- [77] R. Narayan, M. Bartelmann, Gravitational lensing, *Formation of Structure in the Universe* (1999) 360. [arXiv:1010.3829](#).
- [78] P. Schneider, C. Kochanek, J. Wambsganss, Gravitational lensing: strong, weak and micro: Saas-Fee advanced course 33, Vol. 33, Springer Science & Business Media, 2006.
- [79] N. Straumann, *General relativity*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [80] H.-P. Nollert, About the significance of quasinormal modes of black holes, *Physical Review D* 53 (8) (1996) 4397. [arXiv:gr-qc/9602032](#).
- [81] H.-P. Nollert, Quasinormal modes: the characteristicsound' of black holes and neutron stars, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 16 (12) (1999)

R159.

- [82] K. D. Kokkotas, B. G. Schmidt, Quasi-normal modes of stars and black holes, *Living Reviews in Relativity* 2 (1999) 1–72. [arXiv:gr-qc/9909058](#).
- [83] O. Dreyer, Quasinormal modes, the area spectrum, and black hole entropy, *Physical Review Letters* 90 (8) (2003) 081301. [arXiv:gr-qc/0211076](#).
- [84] E. Berti, V. Cardoso, A. O. Starinets, Quasinormal modes of black holes and black branes, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 26 (16) (2009) 163001. [arXiv:0905.2975](#).
- [85] F. Denef, S. A. Hartnoll, S. Sachdev, Black hole determinants and quasinormal modes, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 27 (12) (2010) 125001. [arXiv:0908.2657](#).
- [86] R. Konoplya, A. Zhidenko, Quasinormal modes of black holes: From astrophysics to string theory, *Reviews of Modern Physics* 83 (3) (2011) 793. [arXiv:1102.4014](#).
- [87] A. Flachi, J. P. Lemos, Quasinormal modes of regular black holes, *Physical Review D* 87 (2) (2013) 024034. [arXiv:1211.6212](#).
- [88] J. Matyjasek, M. Opala, Quasinormal modes of black holes: The improved semianalytic approach, *Physical Review D* 96 (2) (2017) 024011. [arXiv:1704.00361](#).
- [89] V. Ferrari, B. Mashhoon, New approach to the quasinormal modes of a black hole, *Physical Review D* 30 (2) (1984) 295.
- [90] V. Ferrari, B. Mashhoon, Oscillations of a black hole, *Physical Review Letters* 52 (16) (1984) 1361.
- [91] B. Mashhoon, Stability of charged rotating black holes in the eikonal approximation, *Physical Review D* 31 (2) (1985) 290.
- [92] J. Barrientos, A. Cisterna, M. Hassaine, J. Oliva, Revisiting Buchdahl transformations: new static and rotating black holes in vacuum, double copy, and hairy extensions, *The European Physical Journal C* 84 (10) (2024) 1011.
- [93] J. Barrientos, A. Cisterna, I. Kolář, K. Müller, M. Oyarzo, K. Pallikaris, Mixing “Magnetic” and “Electric” Ehlers–Harrison transformations: the electromagnetic swirling spacetime and novel type I backgrounds, *The European Physical Journal C* 84 (7) (2024) 724.
- [94] G. Gibbons, M. Werner, Applications of the Gauss–Bonnet theorem to gravitational lensing, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 25 (23) (2008) 235009. [arXiv:0807.0854](#).