

DETECTING FREE PRODUCTS IN THE MAPPING CLASS GROUP OF PUNCTURED DISKS VIA DYNNIKOV COORDINATES

ELIF MEDETOĞULLARI, ELIF DALYAN, AND S. ÖYKÜ YURTTAŞ

ABSTRACT. We prove that Dehn twists about certain k curves on an n -punctured disk generate either a free group of rank k or a free product of Abelian groups, depending on the configuration of the curves. Our approach refines previously known criteria, providing a different method for detecting freeness in the mapping class group of the punctured disk. Using Dynnikov coordinates, we further present an algorithm that checks whether Dehn twists about these k curves generate a free group or a free product of Abelian groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Σ be a compact, connected, orientable surface of genus g , possibly with finitely many punctures or boundary components. The mapping class group $\text{Mod}(\Sigma)$ of Σ is the group of isotopy classes of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of Σ . It is well known that $\text{Mod}(\Sigma)$ is generated by Dehn twists (see, for example, [2, 4, 8, 12] for some references).

The geometric intersection number $\iota(c_1, c_2)$ between two isotopy classes of simple closed curves c_1 and c_2 is the minimum number of intersection points between two representatives $\gamma_1 \in c_1$ and $\gamma_2 \in c_2$. A natural question regarding the algebraic structure of the mapping class group is to understand which subgroups of $\text{Mod}(\Sigma)$ are generated by Dehn twists along curves based on their geometric intersection number [5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11]. In particular, in [5, 9, 10] it is shown that if $\iota(c_1, c_2) \geq 2$ then the subgroup generated by the Dehn twists about c_1 and c_2 is isomorphic to a free group of rank two. Using Dynnikov coordinates [3] and so-called update rules [15, 17], a different proof for a particular case of this result is given in [1]. See also [4, 11] for the classification of groups generated by powers of two Dehn twists. The question of which groups can be generated by more than two Dehn twists has been studied only under some particular conditions [6, 7].

In this paper, we focus on subgroups of $\text{Mod}(D_n)$ generated by Dehn twists about a certain collection of k curves on n -punctured disk D_n and we prove that Dehn twists about these curves generate a free group of rank k or a free product of Abelian groups. We use a different approach in this paper: we introduce critical definitions *family of opposite curves* and *complete family of opposite curves* in Section 3 and we use Dynnikov coordinates in D_n which give a one-to-one correspondence between the set of multicurves in D_n and $\mathbb{Z}^{2n-4} \setminus \{0\}$, $n \geq 3$.

The main contribution of this paper is given in Theorem 4.1. By making use of Dynnikov coordinates, we refine the conditions on the set of curves previously established in Theorem 2.1 of [7] and Theorem 7.2 of [6] for the special case where the surface under consideration is D_n . These refinements streamline some of the existing results and introduce new conditions on the set of curves that differ from those in the earlier theorems.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 revisits the theory of Dynnikov coordinates and gives preliminary definitions. Section 3 introduces necessary auxiliary definitions, and establishes a key proposition that underpins the proof of our main theorem. Section 4 states and proves our principal result, Theorem 4.1, and includes illustrative examples of particular significance to the field. Lastly, Section 5 presents the Algorithm 5.1.

Date: January 1, 2004.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 57M50; Secondary 57M60.

Key words and phrases. Free group, mapping class group.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Here and in what follows a curve c in D_n means the isotopy class of an essential simple closed curve in D_n (i.e. c does not bound a puncture, or the boundary component). We denote by t_c the positive (right-handed) Dehn twist about the curve c .

2.1. The Dynnikov coordinate system. The Dynnikov coordinate system [3] gives, for each $n \geq 3$, a bijection $\rho: \mathcal{S}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2n-4} \setminus \{0\}$, where \mathcal{S}_n denotes the set of multicurves (collection of mutually disjoint essential simple closed curves up to isotopy) in D_n , which is defined as follows:

Construct *Dynnikov arcs* α_i ($1 \leq i \leq 2n-4$) and β_i ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) in D_n as depicted in Figure 1. Every multicurve $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{S}_n$, has a taut representative L of \mathcal{L} which intersects each α_i and β_i minimally. We also write α_i and β_i for the number of intersections of L with the arc α_i and β_i respectively.




FIGURE 1. The arcs α_i , β_i and the region Δ_i

The *Dynnikov coordinate function* $\rho: \mathcal{S}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2n-4} \setminus \{0\}$ is defined by

$$\rho(\mathcal{L}) = (a; b) = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}; b_1, \dots, b_{n-2}),$$

where

$$(2.1) \quad a_i = \frac{\alpha_{2i} - \alpha_{2i-1}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad b_i = \frac{\beta_i - \beta_{i+1}}{2}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

We would like to note that for a curve $c \in \mathcal{S}_n$ with $\rho(c) = (a; b) = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}; b_1, \dots, b_{n-2})$ we denote $b_i(c) = b_i$.

2.2. Auxiliary Definitions. In this subsection, we introduce the notions of a family of opposite curves and a complete family of opposite curves, both determined by the configurations of curves on D_n .

Let Δ_i be the region in D_n bounded by the arcs β_i and β_{i+1} (see Figure 1). We write $\Delta_{i,j} = \bigcup_{k=i}^j \Delta_k$ (see Figure 2).

Definition 2.1. (Loops) Let $c \in \mathcal{S}_n$. A *left loop* of $c \cap \Delta_i$ has both end points on β_{i+1} and a *right loop* of $c \cap \Delta_i$ has both end points on β_i . A *large left loop* of $c \cap \Delta_{i,j}$ ($j > i$) has both end points on β_{j+1} , and intersects the horizontal diameter of D_n only between the punctures i and $i+1$. Similarly, a *large right loop* of $c \cap \Delta_{i,j}$ ($j > i$) has both end points on β_i , and intersects the horizontal diameter of D_n only between the punctures $j+1$ and $j+2$. We write $L_{i,j}(c)$ and $R_{i,j}(c)$ to denote the large left and large right loops of $c \cap \Delta_{i,j}$ respectively (see

Figure 2). We also use the same symbols to denote the number of large and large loops of $c \cap \Delta_{i,j}$ for convenience .




FIGURE 2. Large left loop, $L_{i,j}(c)$ and large right loop, $R_{i,j}(c)$ of $c \cap \Delta_{i,j}$

Remark 2.2. For any $c \in \mathcal{S}_n$

- $b_{i-1}(c) < 0$ if and only if c has a left loop
- $b_{i-1}(c) > 0$ if and only if c has a right loop
- $b_{i-1}(c) = 0$, c has no loops

in Δ_i .

Definition 2.3. (Opposite Loops) Let $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{S}_n$. We say that c_1 and c_2 have *opposite loops* in Δ_i if $c_1 \cap \Delta_i$ is a right loop and $c_2 \cap \Delta_i$ is a left loop in Δ_i (or vice versa). Similarly, $c_1 \cap \Delta_{i,j}$ and $c_2 \cap \Delta_{i,j}$ have *opposite large loops* in $\Delta_{i,j}$ if c_1 has a large right loop and c_2 has a large left loop in $\Delta_{i,j}$ (or vice versa). See Figure 3.




FIGURE 3. Opposite large loops, $R_{i,j}(c_1)$ and $L_{i,j}(c_2)$ in $\Delta_{i,j}$

Let $(a; b) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n-4} \setminus \{0\}$ and $(a'; b') \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n-4} \setminus \{0\}$ be the Dynnikov coordinates of c_1 and c_2 respectively. Using Remark 2.2 we can tell whether or not c_1 and c_2 have opposite loops in Δ_i from their Dynnikov coordinates. More precisely, we observe that c_1 and c_2 have opposite loops in Δ_i if and only if $b_i b'_i < 0$ and also c_1 and c_2 have opposite large loops in $\Delta_{i,j}$ if and only if $R_{i,j}(c_1) \neq 0$ and $L_{i,j}(c_2) \neq 0$ (or vice versa).

Remark 2.4. Note that we can interpret the numbers $R_{i,j}$ and $L_{i,j}$ for the case where $i = j$ as the number of right and left loops of c in Δ_i . That is, $R_{i,i} \neq 0$ if and only if $b_i(c) > 0$ and $L_{i,i} \neq 0$ if and only if $b_i(c) < 0$.

3. TOOLS FOR THE MAIN THEOREM

3.1. Family of opposite curves. We begin by defining a well-known type of curves, called *relaxed curves*, which provide the foundation for our proof. We then extend these ideas to a newly introduced family of curves, referred to as the *family of opposite curves*.

Definition 3.1. (Relaxed Curves) A curve $c_{i,j} \in D_n (i < j)$ is relaxed if it is isotopic to a simple closed curve which bounds a disk containing the set of punctures $\{i, i+1, \dots, j\}$ intersecting the horizontal diameter of the disk exactly twice (Figure 4).




FIGURE 4. Relaxed curves $c_{1,l}$, $c_{k,n}$, $c_{m,o}$

Definition 3.2. (Opposite Curves) Let $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{S}_n$. We call c_1 and c_2 opposite curves if c_1 and c_2 have opposite loops in some $\Delta_{l,m}$.

Definition 3.3. (Family of Opposite Curves) We say that $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}$ is a family of opposite curves if the following condition holds: if $c_i, c_j \in \mathcal{C}$ then either $\iota(c_i, c_j) = 0$ or c_i and c_j are opposite curves. If the latter condition holds for each pair of curves in \mathcal{C} then \mathcal{C} is called a *complete family of opposite curves* (see Figure 7).

Note that relaxed curves are family of opposite curves by their definition.

3.2. A crucial result. The next proposition says that if c_1 and c_2 are two opposite curves that have opposite loops in some region $\Delta_{i,j}$ (or Δ_i if the loops aren't large) then twisting c_1 along c_2 p times, $p \neq 0$, creates a new curve $t_{c_2}^p(c_1)$ which has the same type of loop as c_2 in $\Delta_{i,j}$.

Proposition 3.4. Given $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{S}_n$, let $R_{i,j}(c_1) \neq 0$ and $L_{i,j}(c_2) \neq 0$. Then $R_{i,j}(t_{c_1}^p(c_2)) \neq 0$ and $L_{i,j}(t_{c_2}^p(c_1)) \neq 0$ for all $p \neq 0$.

Proof. Let $R_{i,j}(c_1) \neq 0$ and $L_{i,j}(c_2) \neq 0$. Then c_1 and c_2 have opposite loops in $\Delta_{i,j}$. Applying a positive (or negative) Dehn twist t_{c_1} on c_2 following the standard curve surgery illustrated in Figure 5 yields a curve c'_2 which has a large right loop in $\Delta_{i,j}$ as shown in Figure 6. Clearly, applying positive (negative) powers of t_{c_1} on c'_2 increases β_{i-1} and leaves β_j invariant (see $t_{c_1}^2(c_2)$ shown in Figure 6). Therefore, $t_{c_1}^p(c_2)$ has a large right loop in $\Delta_{i,j}$ that is $R_{i,j}(t_{c_1}^p(c_2)) \neq 0$. We prove $L_{i,j}(t_{c_2}^p(c_1)) \neq 0$ similarly. \square

3.3. Complete partition and lists of loops. In this subsection we give a definition of *complete partition* which is first introduced in [7] by Humphries. Then we make some lists of loops of a curve.

Definition 3.5. (Complete Partition) Let $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}$ be a set curves in D_n . Then the collection $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m\}$ (where each $P_i \subseteq \mathcal{C}$) is called a complete partition of \mathcal{C} , if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $\iota(c_i, c_j) = 0$ for all $c_i, c_j \in P_q$ ($1 \leq q \leq m$).
- (2) $\iota(c_i, c_j) \geq 2$ for all $c_i \in P_q$ and $c_j \in P_r$ ($q \neq r$, $1 \leq q, r \leq m$).
- (3) $\sum_{i=1}^m |P_i| = k$ where $|P_i|$ denotes the the cardinality of P_i .

We call each P_i a partition set of \mathcal{P} .




FIGURE 5. Curve surgery




FIGURE 6. The large left loop of c_2 in $\Delta_{i,j}$ is transformed to a large right loop in $\Delta_{i,j}$ under the action of t_{c_1}

Notation 1. Given $c \in \mathcal{S}_n$ we write $\text{List}(c)$ for the set of all right and left loops of $c \cap \Delta_{i,j}$ ($0 \leq i \leq j \leq n - 1$).

Definition 3.6. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}$ be a set of family of opposite curves in D_n and $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m\}$ be a complete partition of \mathcal{C} . Let c_1 and c_2 be two curves in D_n which belong to two different partition sets. Let

$$(3.1) \quad L_{c_2}(c_1) = \{L_{i,j} | L_{i,j} \in \text{List}(c_1) \text{ and } R_{i,j} \in \text{List}(c_2)\}$$

$$(3.2) \quad R_{c_2}(c_1) = \{R_{i,j} | R_{i,j} \in \text{List}(c_1) \text{ and } L_{i,j} \in \text{List}(c_2)\}$$

We write $\text{List}_{c_2}(c_1) = L_{c_2}(c_1) \cup R_{c_2}(c_1)$. That is, $\text{List}_{c_2}(c_1)$ consists of loops of c_1 , that are opposite with c_2 (see Figure 7 and Example 1).

3.4. Decisive set. In what follows, we take union of the *opposite loops* of a curve with respect to curves in partitions that do not contain the curve itself. By virtue of Proposition 3.4, these loops can indicate on which curve the last Dehn twist is applied when we apply Dehn twists along a family of opposite curves; hence, we refer to the resulting collection as a *Decisive set*.

Definition 3.7. (Decisive Set) Let $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}$ be a set of family of opposite curves in D_n and $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m\}$ be a complete partition of \mathcal{C} . Let $c_i \in P_k$. Then a decisive set

$\text{Dec}(c_i)$ for c_i is defined as

$$\text{Dec}(c_i) = \bigcup_{c_j \notin P_k} \text{List}_{c_j}(c_i) \text{ (see Example 1).}$$

3.5. The sets X_i 's. Next, for each partition P_i , we define the set X_i to be the collection of curves whose list of loops contains the decisive set of at least one curve in P_i . These sets X_i will play a crucial role in the proof of our main theorem, allowing us to invoke an argument reminiscent of the well-known Ping-Pong Lemma [13].

Definition 3.8. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}$ be a family of opposite curves in D_n and $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m\}$ be a complete partition of \mathcal{C} . In the following definition let $c = \alpha(c_1)$ for some freely reduced word $\alpha \in \langle t_{c_1}, \dots, t_{c_k} \rangle$. We define

$$X_i = \{c \mid \text{Dec}(c_r) \subseteq \text{List}(c) \text{ for some } c_r \in P_i\}$$

(see Example 1).




FIGURE 7. Complete family of opposite curves

Example 1. Let c_1, c_2, c_3 and c_4 be the colored curves depicted brown, purple, green and yellow in Figure 7. Then $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\}$ is a complete opposite family of curves by Definition 3.3. Also, the set $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4\}$ is a complete partition of \mathcal{C} where $P_1 = \{c_1\}$, $P_2 = \{c_2\}$, $P_3 = \{c_3\}$ and $P_4 = \{c_4\}$ from Definition 3.5. Following Definition 1 we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{List}(c_1) &= \{L_{0,1}, R_{2,2}, R_{3,4}, R_{4,4}\}, \\ \text{List}(c_2) &= \{L_{1,1}, R_{2,2}, L_{3,4}, R_{4,5}, R_{5,5}\}, \\ \text{List}(c_3) &= \{L_{2,2}, L_{3,3}, L_{3,4}, R_{4,5}, R_{5,5}\}, \\ \text{List}(c_4) &= \{L_{2,2}, L_{2,3}, R_{3,4}, R_{4,4}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then for c_1 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{c_2}(c_1) &= \emptyset, & R_{c_2}(c_1) &= \{R_{3,4}\}, & \text{List}_{c_2}(c_1) &= \{R_{3,4}\} \\ L_{c_3}(c_1) &= \emptyset, & R_{c_3}(c_1) &= \{R_{2,2}, R_{3,4}\}, & \text{List}_{c_3}(c_1) &= \{R_{2,2}, R_{3,4}\} \\ L_{c_4}(c_1) &= \emptyset, & R_{c_4}(c_1) &= \{R_{2,2}\}, & \text{List}_{c_4}(c_1) &= \{R_{2,2}\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Dec}(c_1) = \{R_{2,2}, R_{3,4}\}.$$

We similarly compute $\text{Dec}(c_2) = \{R_{2,2}, L_{3,4}\}$, $\text{Dec}(c_3) = \{L_{2,2}, L_{3,4}\}$ and $\text{Dec}(c_4) = \{L_{2,2}, R_{3,4}\}$.

Next we compute the sets X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 . Let $c = \alpha(c_1)$ for some freely reduced word $\alpha \in \langle t_{c_1}, t_{c_2}, t_{c_3}, t_{c_4} \rangle$. Then Definition 3.8 gives that

$$X_1 = \{c \mid \text{Dec}(c_1) = \{R_{2,2}, R_{3,4}\} \subset \text{List}(c)\},$$

$$X_2 = \{c \mid \text{Dec}(c_2) = \{R_{2,2}, L_{3,4}\} \subset \text{List}(c)\},$$

$$X_3 = \{c \mid \text{Dec}(c_3) = \{L_{2,2}, L_{3,4}\} \subset \text{List}(c)\},$$

$$X_4 = \{c \mid \text{Dec}(c_4) = \{L_{2,2}, R_{3,4}\} \subset \text{List}(c)\}.$$

4. MAIN THEOREM

In this section, we present our main theorem and provide its proof. We then state several corollaries that follow from the theorem. Next, in Example 2, we determine the isomorphism type of the group generated by Dehn twists about the curves introduced in Example 1. To the best of the authors' knowledge, this construction differs from those previously described in the literature. Finally, Example 3 refines the conditions given in [7] by allowing existence of a disc in the complement of the curves.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}$ be a family of opposite curves on D_n and P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m be a complete partition of \mathcal{C} where $|P_i| = n_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$). Then $\langle t_{c_1}, \dots, t_{c_k} \rangle$ is isomorphic to the free product $\mathbb{Z}^{n_1} * \mathbb{Z}^{n_2} * \dots * \mathbb{Z}^{n_m}$.*

Proof. Let $G = \langle t_{c_1}, \dots, t_{c_k} \rangle$. For $1 \leq i \leq m$, define

$$G_i = \langle t_{c_j} \mid c_j \in P_i \rangle \subseteq G,$$

where each P_i is one of the mutually disjoint partitions of the set $\{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$. Since $c_1 \in P_1$ by assumption and the curves in P_i are pairwise disjoint, each G_i is generated by commuting Dehn twists, implying

$$G_i \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n_i} \quad \text{where } n_i = |P_i|.$$

Next, let $\{X_j\}_{j=1}^m$ be the collection of mutually disjoint subsets of \mathcal{S}_n as described in Definition 3.8, so that $c_1 \in X_1$ and $X_1 \cap X_i = \emptyset$ for $i \neq 1$.

To prove that

$$G \cong G_1 * G_2 * \dots * G_m \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n_1} * \mathbb{Z}^{n_2} * \dots * \mathbb{Z}^{n_m},$$

we use a ping-pong argument. Suppose, for contradiction, there were a nontrivial reduced word

$$\Gamma = w_1 w_2 \dots w_s \in G$$

that represents the identity in G , subject to the following conditions:

- (i) Each w_j is nontrivial (i.e. $w_j \neq \text{id}$).
- (ii) Γ starts and ends with an element of some fixed G_i for some $i \neq 1$.
- (iii) No two adjacent letters of Γ lie in the same subgroup G_ℓ .

By assumption (ii) and Proposition 3.4, the action of Γ on the curve c_1 sends c_1 into X_i . However, c_1 originally lies in X_1 , and $X_1 \cap X_i = \emptyset$ for $i \neq 1$. Hence Γ moves c_1 to a distinct curve in X_i , and so Γ cannot be the identity transformation. This contradiction implies that no nontrivial reduced word in the subgroups G_i can represent the identity in G .

Finally, since any nontrivial element in G is conjugate to Γ , every such nontrivial element acts non-trivially by sending some curve in \mathcal{S}_n to a disjoint set under the above partition. This verifies the *ping-pong lemma* criteria, showing that

$$G = \langle t_{c_1}, \dots, t_{c_k} \rangle \cong G_1 * G_2 * \dots * G_m \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n_1} * \mathbb{Z}^{n_2} * \dots * \mathbb{Z}^{n_m}.$$

□

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}$ be a complete family of opposite curves on D_n . Then $\langle t_{c_1}, \dots, t_{c_k} \rangle$ is isomorphic to the free group of rank k , F_k .*

Example 2. Consider the curves $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\}$ in D_6 depicted in Figure 7. In this example we have a complete family of curves and $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4\}$ a complete partition of \mathcal{C} where $P_1 = \{c_1\}$, $P_2 = \{c_2\}$, $P_3 = \{c_3\}$ and $P_4 = \{c_4\}$. Let $G_1 = \langle t_{c_1} \rangle$, $G_2 = \langle t_{c_2} \rangle$, $G_3 = \langle t_{c_3} \rangle$ and $G_4 = \langle t_{c_4} \rangle$. Then by Proposition 3.4, $t_{c_i}^p(X_j) \subset X_i$ for all $t_{c_i} \in G_i$ for $p \neq 0$ and $i \neq j$. Therefore by Theorem 4.1, we get $\langle t_{c_1}, t_{c_2}, t_{c_3}, t_{c_4} \rangle = F_4$.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}$ be a set of relaxed curves with $\iota(c_i, c_j) \neq 0$, for all $i \neq j$ on D_n . Then $\langle t_{c_1}, \dots, t_{c_k} \rangle$ is isomorphic to the free group of rank k , F_k .*

Next, we illustrate Corollary 4.3 with Example 3.




FIGURE 8. $P_1 = \{c_1, c_3\}$ and $P_2 = \{c_2\}$ give a complete partition in D_5

Example 3. Consider the relaxed curves $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$ in D_5 depicted in Figure 8. In this example we have $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, P_2\}$ a complete partition of \mathcal{C} where $P_1 = \{c_1, c_3\}$ and $P_2 = \{c_2\}$. Using Definition 3.7 we obtain $\text{Dec}(c_1) = \{R_{1,2}\}$, $\text{Dec}(c_2) = \{L_{1,2}, R_{3,3}\}$, $\text{Dec}(c_3) = \{L_{3,3}\}$. Then, by Definition 3.8 we get:

$$X_1 = \{c \mid \text{Dec}(c_1) = \{R_{1,2}\} \subset \text{List}(c) \text{ or } \text{Dec}(c_3) = \{L_{3,3}\} \subset \text{List}(c) \},$$

$$X_2 = \{c \mid \text{Dec}(c_2) = \{L_{1,2}, R_{3,3}\} \subset \text{List}(c)\},$$

where $c = \alpha(c_1)$ for a freely reduced word α , written in the generating set $\{t_{c_1}, t_{c_2}, t_{c_3}\}$. Let $G_1 = \langle t_{c_1}, t_{c_3} \rangle$ and $G_2 = \langle t_{c_2} \rangle$. Then by Proposition 3.4, $t_{c_i}^p(X_j) \subset X_i$ for all $t_{c_i} \in G_i$ for $p \neq 0$ and $i \neq j$. Therefore by Corollary 4.3, we get $\langle t_{c_1}, t_{c_2}, t_{c_3} \rangle = \mathbb{Z}^2 * \mathbb{Z}$.

5. ALGORITHM

5.1. Detecting right and left loops. We can explicitly compute the number of large right and left loops of $c \cap \Delta_{i,j}$ [15]: Let $c \in \mathcal{S}_n$ with Dynnikov coordinates $(a; b)$ and intersection numbers $(\alpha; \beta)$. For each i with $1 \leq i \leq n$, we write,

$$A_i = \alpha_{2i-3} - |b_{i-1}| \quad \text{and} \quad B_i = \alpha_{2i-2} - |b_{i-1}|.$$

For each ℓ and m with $1 \leq \ell \leq m \leq n$, write

$$A_{\ell,m} = \min_{\ell \leq k \leq m} A_k \quad \text{and} \quad B_{\ell,m} = \min_{\ell \leq k \leq m} B_k,$$

Then,

$$R_{i,j} = \min(A_{i,j-1} - A_{i,j}, B_{i,j-1} - B_{i,j}, b_{j-1}^+), \quad \text{and} \\ L_{i,j} = \min(A_{i+1,j} - A_{i,j}, B_{i+1,j} - B_{i,j}, (-b_{i-1})^+),$$

where b_r^+ is the number of right loops in Δ_{r+1} .

Moreover, we can determine whether or not \mathcal{C} is a family of opposite curves or a complete family of opposite curves from Dynnikov coordinates.

5.2. Test for opposite curves. There is an algorithm to calculate the geometric intersection number of two arbitrary curves in D_n given their Dynnikov coordinates which is stated in Algorithm 14 of [15]. Therefore, we can check whether two curves c_1 and c_2 are disjoint or not using Dynnikov coordinates. Furthermore, in the case where c_1 and c_2 intersect we can determine if c_1 and c_2 are opposite curves by Subsection 5.1 as follows: If $L_{i,j}(c_1) \neq 0$ and $R_{i,j}(c_2) \neq 0$ (or vice versa) for some i, j then c_1 and c_2 are opposite curves.

5.3. Statement of the algorithm. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}$ be a family of opposite curves in D_n . Then Dehn twists about the curves in \mathcal{C} generate a free group of rank k or a free product of Abelian groups. Algorithm 5.1 computes which of these two groups t_{c_1}, \dots, t_{c_k} generate taking as input the Dynnikov coordinates of c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k .

Let $P_i^* = \{c_r \in \mathcal{C} : \iota(c_r, c_i) = 0\}$ and $P_i \subseteq P_i^*$ such that $\iota(c_m, c_n) = 0$ for all $c_m, c_n \in P_i$ ($1 \leq m, n \leq k$). Let $|P_i|$ be the cardinality of P_i . For $i \geq 2$, let $P_i^* = \{c_r \in \mathcal{C} : \iota(c_r, c) = 0, c \in \mathcal{C} \setminus (P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \dots \cup P_{i-1})\}$.

Algorithm 5.1. Let $(a^{(1)}; b^{(1)}), (a^{(2)}; b^{(2)}), \dots, (a^{(k)}; b^{(k)})$ be the Dynnikov coordinates of the opposite curves c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k in D_n respectively.

Step 1: Take $c_1 \in \mathcal{C}$ and construct P_1 for c_1 . Then construct P_i for $c \in \mathcal{C} \setminus (P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \dots \cup P_{i-1})$ for $1 < i \leq m$ where $\bigcup_{i=1}^m P_i = \mathcal{C}$ and input the constructed sets P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m to

Step 2.

Step 2: If $\sum_{i=1}^m |P_i| < k$ then P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m don't give a complete partition of \mathcal{C} .

Otherwise $G \cong \mathbb{Z}^{|P_1|} * \mathbb{Z}^{|P_2|} * \dots * \mathbb{Z}^{|P_m|}$.

Example 4. Let $\rho(c_1) = (0, 0, 0; 0, 1, 0)$; $\rho(c_2) = (0, 0, 0; -1, 0, 1)$ and $\rho(c_3) = (0, 0, 0; 0, 0, -1)$. We see that, $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$ is a set of relaxed curves and hence a family of opposite curves. We shall apply Algorithm 5.1 to check whether or not c_1, c_2, c_3 give a complete partition for \mathcal{C} and hence generate a free group of rank 3 or a free product of Abelian groups.

- **Step 1** We take $c_1 \in \mathcal{C}$ and construct that $P_1 = \{c_1, c_3\}$ since $\iota(c_1, c_3) = 0$ and $(c_1, c_2) \neq 0$. Now we construct P_2 for $c \in \mathcal{C} \setminus P_1$. Since $c = c_2$, $\iota(c_2, c_3) \neq 0$ and $\iota(c_2, c_1) \neq 0$ we get $P_2 = \{c_2\}$. Since $P_1 \cup P_2 = \mathcal{C}$ we input P_1, P_2 to Step 2.
- **Step 2** Since $|P_1| + |P_2| = 3$, we conclude that $G \cong \mathbb{Z}^{|P_1|} * \mathbb{Z}^{|P_2|} = \mathbb{Z}^2 * \mathbb{Z}$.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank Mustafa Korkmaz and Ferihe Atalan for their valuable discussions and important suggestions on the drafts of this paper. The work is supported by Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK), [grant number 123F221].

REFERENCES

- [1] Atalan, F., Dalyan, E., Medetoğulları, E., Yurttas, Ö. *Free group of rank two* Preprint.
- [2] Dehn, M.: Die gruppe der abbildungsklassen: Das arithmetische feld auf flächen. *Acta Mathematica* **69**(1), 135-206 (1938).
- [3] Dynnikov, I. A.: On a Yang-Baxter map and the Dehornoy ordering. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, **57**(3), 592 (2002).
- [4] Farb, B., Margalit, D.: *A primer on mapping class groups (pms-49) (Vol 41)*. Princeton University Press (2011).
- [5] Fathi, A., Laudenbach, F., Poénaru, V.: *Thurston's Work on Surfaces (MN-48) Vol.48*. Princeton University Press (2012).
- [6] Hamidi-Tehrani, H.: Groups generated by positive multi-twists and the fake lantern problem. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology* **2**(2), 1155–1178 (2002).
- [7] Humphries, S. P.: Free products in mapping class groups generated by Dehn twists. *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, **31**(2), 213–218 (1989).
- [8] Humphries, S. P.: Generators for the mapping class group. In *Topology of Low-Dimensional Manifolds: Proceedings of the Second Sussex Conference 1977*, pp 44-47. Springer Berlin (1979).
- [9] Ishida, A.: The structure of subgroup of mapping class groups generated by two Dehn twists. *Proceedings of the Japan Academy, Series A, Mathematical Sciences*, **72**(10), 240–241 (1996).

- [10] Ivanov, N.V., McCarthy, J.D.: On injective homomorphisms between Teichmüller modular groups i. *Inventiones mathematicae*, **135**(2), 425–486 (1999).
- [11] Kolay, S: Subgroups of the mapping class group of the torus generated by powers of Dehn twists. *arXiv:1909.07360*
- [12] Lickorish, W. B.: A finite set of generators for the homeotopy group of a 2-manifold. In *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* **60** No. 4, pp. 769–778. Cambridge University Press (1964).
- [13] Mangahas, J.: The Ping-Pong Lemma. In: Clay, M., Margalit, D. (eds.) *Office Hours with a Geometric Group Theorist*. Princeton University Press. (2017).
- [14] Yurttas, S. Ö.: Dynnikov and train track transition matrices of pseudo-Anosov braids. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems Series A*, **36**(1), 541–570 (2016).
- [15] Yurttas, S. Ö., Hall, T.: Intersections of multicurves from Dynnikov coordinates. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, **98**(1), 149–158 (2018).
- [16] Yurttas, S. Ö.: Geometric intersection of curves on punctured disks. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **65**(4), 1153–1168 (2013).
- [17] Yurttas, S. Ö.: Phd Thesis: Dynnikov coordinates and pseudo-Anosov braids (2011).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HITIT UNIVERSITY, 19030 CORUM, TURKEY
Email address: elifdalyan@hitit.edu.tr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCE EDUCATION, MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, TED UNIVERSITY, 06420 ANKARA, TURKEY
Email address: elif.medetogullari@tedu.edu.tr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DICLE UNIVERSITY, 21280 DIYARBAKIR, TURKEY
Email address: saadet.yurttas@dicle.edu.tr