

# Non-cobordant hyperbolic manifolds

Jacopo G. Chen\*

## Abstract

In all dimensions  $n \geq 4$  not of the form  $4m + 3$ , we show that there exists a closed hyperbolic  $n$ -manifold which is not the boundary of a compact  $(n + 1)$ -manifold. The proof relies on the relationship between the cobordism class and the fixed point set of an involution on the manifold, together with a geodesic embedding of Kolpakov, Reid and Slavich. We also outline a possible approach to cover the dimensions  $4m + 3 \neq 2^k - 1$ .

## 1 Introduction

The (unoriented) cobordism relation on smooth, closed  $n$ -manifolds gives rise to the *cobordism group*  $\mathcal{N}_n$ , whose elements are equivalence classes of cobordant manifolds, and whose group operation is induced by the disjoint union:  $[M] + [M'] := [M \sqcup M']$ . Moreover, the direct sum of all  $\mathcal{N}_n$  for  $n \geq 0$  can be given a graded ring structure, with multiplication induced by the Cartesian product of manifolds. The structure of the *cobordism ring*  $\mathcal{N}_*$  was described by Thom in his seminal paper [14]: it is a free polynomial ring over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$

$$\mathcal{N}_* \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2[x_2, x_4, x_5, x_6, \dots], \quad (1)$$

with one generator  $x_i$  for each  $i \neq 2^k - 1$ . Of course, this allows one to easily determine the individual groups  $\mathcal{N}_n$ .

It is also of interest to impose additional structure on manifolds and the cobordisms between them: for instance, we may study the *oriented cobordism* or *spin cobordism* groups. In the context of hyperbolic geometry, Long and Reid [9] introduced the concept of a manifold that *bounds geometrically*, that is, a hyperbolic manifold that is the geodesic boundary of another hyperbolic manifold.

Returning to unoriented cobordism, we may instead impose conditions on the Riemannian metric: it is a classical result of Hamrick and Roysted [6] that all flat closed manifolds are boundaries; on the other hand, for even  $n$ , the real projective spaces  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  are examples of non-cobordant spherical manifolds, since their Euler characteristic is 1. Moreover, by Ontaneda's Riemannian hyperbolization [10, Corollary 1], for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can realize *every* cobordism class with closed manifolds of pinched negative sectional curvature  $K \in [-1 - \varepsilon, -1]$ .

---

\*Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, Italy. Email: jacopo.chen@sns.it

Along this direction, in the present paper we will analyze the unoriented cobordism relation between closed hyperbolic manifolds. Specifically, we prove:

**Theorem 1.1.** *For each  $n \geq 4$ ,  $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , there exists a connected, non-cobordant closed hyperbolic  $n$ -manifold.*

Note that the two-dimensional case is realized by any hyperbolic surface diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{T}^2 \# \mathbb{R}P^2$ , while the case  $n = 4$  is realized by two manifolds of Euler characteristic 17, due to Ratcliffe and Tschantz [11]. We have not been able to find any other examples of non-cobordant closed hyperbolic manifolds in the literature.

By contrast, we may arrange for our examples to have even Euler characteristic, which implies that in dimension 4 they realize a different cobordism class from either Ratcliffe–Tschantz 4-manifold (see Section 5.3).

The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on describing the cobordism class of a manifold  $M$  in terms of *projective bundles* on the fixed submanifolds  $X$  of a nontrivial involution  $\tau: M \rightarrow M$ . By a formula of Thom, we can compute the value of a certain homomorphism  $\varphi^n: \mathcal{N}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  on such a projective bundle, discovering that it depends only on the Stiefel–Whitney classes of the normal bundle  $\nu X$  (and not on the tangent classes  $w_i(X)$ ). The formula, stated in Theorem 3.3, may be of independent interest. It takes the following form:

$$\varphi^n[M] = \sum_{d=0}^{n-1} \sum_{X \in F_d(\tau)} I_{n,d}(w_1(\nu X), \dots, w_d(\nu X)), \quad (2)$$

where  $F_d(\tau)$  is the set of all fixed submanifolds of  $\tau$  having dimension  $d$ , and  $I_{n,d}$  are certain polynomial expressions.

In Section 4, we build upon a result of Kolpakov, Reid and Slavich [8], which roughly gives a way to geodesically embed an arithmetic hyperbolic  $n$ -manifold  $M$  into an arithmetic hyperbolic  $(n + 1)$ -manifold  $M'$ ; specifically, we extend the result to the context of arithmetic manifolds with involutions. Moreover, we show that by cutting  $M'$  along  $M$  and reattaching with a twist given by the involution, we obtain another  $(n + 1)$ -manifold  $M''$  in which  $M$  also embeds geodesically.

The right hand side of (2) is a well-defined invariant  $I(n, M, \tau)$  for a manifold with involution  $(M, \tau)$  of any dimension, coinciding with  $\varphi^n[M]$  when  $\dim M = n$ : using this fact, we construct a non-cobordant  $n$ -manifold  $M^n$  by starting from a particular 3-manifold with  $I(n, M, \tau) = 1$ , and repeatedly applying the Kolpakov–Reid–Slavich embedding to increase the dimension. At each step, we may choose whether to perform the twist or not; it is exactly this freedom that allows us to ensure  $I(n, M, \tau) = 1$  at each step, until we reach dimension  $n$ .

Furthermore, for 3-manifolds, the expression  $I(n, M, \tau)$  is periodic in  $n$  of period 4, so we manage to cover all dimensions  $n \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod{4}$  with only three starting examples. The limitations of our method for the remaining case  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , which we discuss in Section 5.2, arise from a specific pattern in the expressions  $I_{n,d}$ . By choosing suitable

starting manifolds, we describe how one might construct non-cobordant hyperbolic manifolds in all dimensions  $n \neq 2^k - 1$ . Note that the cobordism groups  $\mathcal{N}_{2^k-1}$  are actually nontrivial for  $k \geq 3$ , but constructing non-cobordant hyperbolic manifolds in these dimensions requires a different approach.

Some questions remain open:

**Question 1.2.** Do there exist non-cobordant hyperbolic manifolds of dimension  $4m + 3$ ?

**Question 1.3.** Which cobordism classes can be realized by hyperbolic manifolds? What if the manifolds are required to be connected?

Additionally, since our method does not easily allow for the construction of orientable manifolds, we may ask:

**Question 1.4.** Do there exist non-cobordant orientable hyperbolic manifolds?

In dimensions 2 and 4 the answer is known to be negative. Indeed, an orientable surface bounds a handlebody; on the other hand, a closed orientable hyperbolic 4-manifold has zero signature by the Hirzebruch signature formula [9], thus it is cobordant.

Similar questions may of course be asked for the orientable cobordism group and its higher analogs.

Finally, a natural question arises regarding  $\text{spin}^c$  structures on hyperbolic manifolds. In a previous paper [2], the author showed that, in all dimensions  $\geq 5$ , there exists a closed orientable hyperbolic manifold  $M$  with  $w_3(M) \neq 0$ , which in turn implies that  $M$  does not admit a  $\text{spin}^c$  structure. The argument also relies on iterating the Kolpakov–Reid–Slavich embedding, but is simpler than the one in the present paper, in that it directly keeps track of nonzero Stiefel–Whitney classes at each step. Now note that, if a 5-manifold  $M$  is not cobordant, then its Stiefel–Whitney number  $w_2w_3$  is nontrivial, implying  $w_3(M) \neq 0$ . Hence, a stronger version of Theorem 1.1, giving orientable manifolds, would imply the main result of [2] in dimension 5. It would be interesting to generalize this argument to higher dimensions:

**Question 1.5.** Can the proof strategy of Theorem 1.1 be adapted to show the existence of non- $\text{spin}^c$  closed hyperbolic manifolds via the nonvanishing of Stiefel–Whitney numbers?

## Structure of the paper

In Section 2, we define the unoriented cobordism ring  $\mathcal{N}_*$ , its decomposable classes and the homomorphisms  $\varphi^n$ . Then, in Section 3, we relate the cobordism class of a manifold to the fixed point set of an involution defined on it, and we compute its image through  $\varphi^n$ . In Section 4, we introduce the Kolpakov–Reid–Slavich codimension-1 embedding, extend its definition to manifolds with involutions, and define an alternate, twisted embedding. Finally, in Section 5, we prove Theorem 1.1 and discuss the remaining case  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

## Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my advisor Bruno Martelli for bringing my attention to this problem and for his support during the writing of this paper.

## 2 The unoriented cobordism ring

In this section, we recall some properties of the unoriented cobordism (henceforth simply *cobordism*) relation on manifolds.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $M, M'$  be two closed  $n$ -manifolds. A *cobordism* between  $M$  and  $M'$  is a compact  $(n + 1)$ -manifold with boundary  $W$  such that  $\partial W \simeq M \sqcup M'$ . If such a  $W$  exists, we say that  $M$  and  $M'$  are *cobordant* and have the same *cobordism class*  $[M] = [M']$ . Finally, we say that  $M$  is *cobordant* if  $[M] = [\emptyset]$ .

Cobordism classes of  $n$ -manifolds form a group  $\mathcal{N}_n$  under disjoint union, with  $[\emptyset]$  as the identity; moreover, every class is its own inverse, because  $\partial(M \times [0, 1]) \simeq M \sqcup M$ , making  $\mathcal{N}_n$  into an abelian 2-group.

The Cartesian product of manifolds induces a well-defined multiplication of cobordism classes, and hence a graded ring structure on the direct sum of all cobordism groups

$$\mathcal{N}_* := \sum_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{N}_i. \quad (3)$$

The structure of the cobordism ring is that of a free polynomial algebra on  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , as proved by Thom:

**Theorem 2.2** ([14, Théorème IV.12]). *We have*

$$\mathcal{N}_* \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2[x_2, x_4, x_5, x_6, \dots], \quad (4)$$

with a generator  $x_i$  of degree  $i$  for each  $i \neq 2^k - 1$ .

Thom also showed [14, p. 80] that the real projective spaces  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^i$  can be taken as representatives for  $x_i$ ,  $i$  even, while Dold [4] introduced the manifolds  $P(m, n)$  as representatives for  $x_i$ ,  $i$  odd:

**Theorem 2.3** ([4, Satz 3]). *Representatives for each generator  $x_i$ ,  $i \neq 2^k - 1$ , can be chosen as follows. If  $i$  is even, then  $x_i = [\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^i]$ . If  $i$  is odd, write  $i = 2^r(2s + 1) - 1$ ; then  $x_i = [P(2^r - 1, s2^r)]$ , where*

$$P(m, n) := (S^m \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n) / \{(x, [y]) \sim (-x, [\bar{y}])\}. \quad (5)$$

### 2.1 Decomposable classes

In order to simplify the problem of constructing non-cobordant hyperbolic  $n$ -manifolds, we define nontrivial homomorphisms  $\varphi^n: \mathcal{N}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ , and then proceed to find a hyperbolic  $M^n$  such that  $\varphi^n[M^n] = 1$ .

**Definition 2.4.** A class  $y \in \mathcal{N}_*$  is *decomposable* if it is represented by a product of two manifolds of positive dimension.

Decomposable classes are sums of products of two or more generators. In every dimension  $n \neq 2^k - 1$ , the group  $\mathcal{N}_n$  is generated by decomposable classes together with  $x_n$ : hence, it is natural to define a map  $\varphi^n$  that sends  $x_n$  to 1 and vanishes on decomposable classes.

The value  $\varphi^n[M^n]$  can be elegantly computed, following [14] (see also the expository paper [7]). Indeed, given the total Stiefel–Whitney class

$$w(M^n) := 1 + w_1 + \cdots + w_n, \quad (6)$$

let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  be the formal roots of the polynomial  $p(t) := t^n + w_1 t^{n-1} + \cdots + w_n$ , obtained by homogenizing  $w(M^n)$  with a new degree-1 variable  $t$ . Then we have

$$\varphi^n[M^n] = \sum_i \lambda_i^n. \quad (7)$$

More rigorously, we observe that the sum of powers in (7) can be written in terms of elementary symmetric polynomials in the roots  $\lambda_i$ , which are simply the coefficients  $w_1, \dots, w_n$ . As expected,  $\varphi^n[M^n]$  is a polynomial expression of degree  $n$  in the Stiefel–Whitney classes or, in other words, a sum of Stiefel–Whitney numbers.

### 3 Projective bundles and involutions

Given a rank- $k$  vector bundle  $\xi$  over a closed  $n$ -manifold  $M$ , we can define the *projective bundle*  $\mathbb{P}(\xi)$  as a fiberwise quotient of the unit sphere bundle  $S(\xi)$  by the antipodal map. This construction results in a bundle over  $M$  with fiber  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{k-1}$ , whose total space, which we shall also denote by  $\mathbb{P}(\xi)$ , is a closed  $(n+k-1)$ -manifold.

The total Stiefel–Whitney class of  $\mathbb{P}(\xi)$  can be described in terms of the Stiefel–Whitney classes of  $M$  and  $\xi$ , as follows.

**Theorem 3.1** ([3, Theorem 23.3], [1, p. 517]). *Let  $\xi$  be a rank- $k$  vector bundle over a closed  $n$ -manifold  $M$  and let  $p: \mathbb{P}(\xi) \rightarrow M$  be the bundle map. Define the classes  $w_i := p^*(w_i(M))$ ,  $v_i := p^*(w_i(\xi))$ . Then we have*

$$H^*(\mathbb{P}(\xi)) \simeq H^*(M)[c]/(c^k + c^{k-1}v_1 + \cdots + v_k), \quad (8)$$

where  $c \in H^1(\mathbb{P}(\xi))$  is the characteristic class of the double cover  $S(\xi) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\xi)$ . In particular,  $H^*(\mathbb{P}(\xi))$  is a free  $H^*(M)$ -module with basis  $\{1, c, \dots, c^{k-1}\}$ .

Moreover, the total Stiefel–Whitney class of the manifold  $\mathbb{P}(\xi)$  is

$$w(\mathbb{P}(\xi)) = (1 + w_1 + \cdots + w_n) \cdot ((1 + c)^k + (1 + c)^{k-1}v_1 + \cdots + v_k). \quad (9)$$

As we will see in the following, the cobordism class of a manifold equipped with an involution can be written in terms of projective bundles over the fixed submanifolds of the involution.

### 3.1 Fixed points of involutions

Let  $M$  be a connected closed  $n$ -manifold equipped with a nontrivial involution  $\tau$ . Following [3, Section 24], the fixed point set  $\text{Fix}(\tau)$  is a disjoint union of closed submanifolds of  $M$ . Hence, we may define  $F(\tau)$  as the set of all fixed submanifolds, and  $F_i(\tau)$  as the set of all such submanifolds of dimension  $i$ , for  $i \geq 0$ ; note that  $F_i(\tau)$  is trivially empty for  $i > n$ , and  $F_n(\tau)$  is also empty since  $\tau$  is nontrivial and  $M$  is connected.

The following result is of fundamental importance to our discussion.

**Theorem 3.2** ([3, Theorem 24.2]). *Let  $M$  be a connected closed  $n$ -manifold and let  $\tau$  be a nontrivial involution on  $M$ . Then we have*

$$[M] = \sum_{X \in F(\tau)} [\mathbb{P}(\nu X \oplus \varepsilon^1)], \quad (10)$$

where  $\varepsilon^1$  denotes the trivial line bundle.

Note that the Stiefel–Whitney classes of  $\nu X \oplus \varepsilon^1$  are the same as those of  $\nu X$ . Hence, by Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, the cobordism class of  $M$  is determined by the Stiefel–Whitney classes of  $X$  and  $\nu X$  for all fixed submanifolds  $X$ .

### 3.2 Applying $\varphi^n$

Let us compute the value of  $\varphi^n$  applied to such projective bundles, using Theorem 3.1 and the method of Section 2.1.

Let  $X \in F_d(\tau)$  be a fixed submanifold of dimension  $d$  and let  $w_i := w_i(X)$ ,  $v_i := w_i(\nu X)$ . Then, by Theorem 3.1, the total Stiefel–Whitney class of  $[\mathbb{P}(\nu X \oplus \varepsilon^1)]$  is

$$(1 + w_1 + \cdots + w_d) \cdot ((1 + c)^{n-d+1} + (1 + c)^{n-d}v_1 + \cdots + (1 + c)^{n-2d+1}v_d). \quad (11)$$

After homogenizing, we obtain the polynomial

$$p(t) := (t^d + t^{d-1}w_1 + \cdots + w_d)((t + c)^{n-d+1} + (t + c)^{n-d}v_1 + \cdots + (t + c)^{n-2d+1}v_d), \quad (12)$$

with formal roots  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}$ . The number  $\varphi^n[\mathbb{P}(\nu X \oplus \varepsilon^1)]$  can then be computed as the formal sum  $\lambda_1^n + \cdots + \lambda_{n+1}^n$ .

Let  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d\}$  and  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-d+1}\}$  be, respectively, the formal roots of the two polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(t) &:= t^d + t^{d-1}w_1 + \cdots + w_d, \\ p_2(t) &:= t^{n-d+1} + t^{n-d}v_1 + \cdots + t^{n-2d+1}v_d. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Since  $p(t) = p_1(t) \cdot p_2(t + c)$ , we have

$$(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d, \beta_1 + c, \dots, \beta_{n-d+1} + c). \quad (14)$$

Now, note that  $\alpha_1^n + \cdots + \alpha_d^n$  is an expression of degree  $n$  in the classes  $w_i$ , which vanishes since  $d < n$ . Therefore

$$\varphi^n[\mathbb{P}(\nu X \oplus \varepsilon^1)] = \sum_{i=1}^{n-d+1} (\beta_i + c)^n \quad (15)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^d \binom{n}{j} c^{n-j} \sum_{i=1}^{n-d+1} \beta_i^j, \quad (16)$$

where we discard powers of  $\beta_i$  with exponent larger than  $d$ . For  $j \geq 1$ , the sum of  $j$ -th powers in (16) is a fixed polynomial expression, independent of the number of roots, involving the elementary symmetric polynomials in the  $\beta_i$  (that is, the classes  $v_1, \dots, v_d$ ): say  $p_j(v_1, \dots, v_d)$ . For  $j = 0$ , it takes the value  $n - d + 1$ . Hence, we have

$$\varphi^n[\mathbb{P}(\nu X \oplus \varepsilon^1)] = (n - d + 1)c^n + \sum_{j=1}^d \binom{n}{j} c^{n-j} p_j(v_1, \dots, v_d). \quad (17)$$

We can simplify the formula further by reducing the powers of  $c$  modulo the relation  $c^{n-d+1} + c^{n-d}v_1 + \cdots + c^{n-2d+1}v_d = 0$ . Define the *dual Stiefel–Whitney classes*  $\bar{v}_i$ , satisfying the formal relation

$$\left( \sum_{j \geq 0} v_j t^j \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{j \geq 0} \bar{v}_j t^j \right) = 1. \quad (18)$$

(Note that we can recursively express each  $\bar{v}_i$  as a polynomial over  $v_1, \dots, v_d$ .) We claim that  $c^{n-j}$  reduces to  $c^{n-d}\bar{v}_{d-j}$ , plus terms of lower degree in  $c$  (and higher degree in the  $v_i$ ), which can be discarded. This is obvious for  $j = d$ .

For  $j < d$ , by using little- $o$  notation  $o(c^k)$  for terms of  $c$ -degree less than  $k$ , we have:

$$0 = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{d-j-1} c^{d-j-1-k} \bar{v}_k \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^d c^{n-d+1-k} v_k \right) \quad (19)$$

$$= \left( \sum_{k=0}^{d-j} c^{n-j-k} \sum_{m=0}^k \bar{v}_m v_{k-m} \right) - c^{n-d} \bar{v}_{d-j} + o(c^{n-d}) \quad (20)$$

$$= c^{n-j} + c^{n-d} \bar{v}_{d-j} + o(c^{n-d}), \quad (21)$$

as desired. Hence, by reducing the powers of  $c$  in (17), we obtain

$$\varphi^n[\mathbb{P}(\nu X \oplus \varepsilon^1)] = c^{n-d} \left[ (n - d + 1) \bar{v}_d + \sum_{j=1}^d \binom{n}{j} \bar{v}_{d-j} p_j(v_1, \dots, v_d) \right]. \quad (22)$$

As an element of  $H^n(\mathbb{P}(\nu X \oplus \varepsilon^1)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ , this equals the expression in square brackets as an element of  $H^d(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ , since  $\{1, c, \dots, c^{n-d}\}$  is a basis. Thus, we have proved:

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $M$  be a connected closed  $n$ -manifold,  $n \neq 2^k - 1$ , and let  $\tau$  be a nontrivial involution on  $M$ . Then we have*

$$\varphi^n[M] = \sum_{d=0}^{n-1} \sum_{X \in F_d(\tau)} I_{n,d}(w_1(\nu X), \dots, w_d(\nu X)), \quad (23)$$

where  $I_{n,d}$  is a polynomial expression in the free variables  $v_1, \dots, v_d$ , defined for any  $n, d \geq 0$  and given by

$$I_{n,d}(v_1, \dots, v_d) := (n - d + 1)\bar{v}_d + \sum_{j=1}^d \binom{n}{j} \bar{v}_{d-j} p_j(v_1, \dots, v_d). \quad (24)$$

*Remark 3.4.* By applying the map  $\varphi^n$ , we have eliminated the dependence on the tangent Stiefel–Whitney classes  $w_i(X)$ . Moreover, the expression  $I_{n,d}$  depends on  $n$  only through the coefficients  $n - d + 1$  and  $\binom{n}{j}$ ,  $0 < j \leq d$ , whose parity is periodic in  $n$ ; a common period is the smallest power of two  $2^q$  such that  $q > 0$  and  $2^q > d$ .

Using the computer algebra system SageMath [12], we can easily determine a few values of  $I_{n,d}$  (Table 3.1).

A pattern emerges for  $n$  of the form  $2^k - 1$ , where exactly the first  $2^k$  terms of the sequence  $(I_{n,d})_{d \geq 0}$  are zero. Indeed, we can prove:

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $k, m > 0$  with  $m$  odd. We have*

- $I_{m2^k-1,d} = 0$  for all  $0 \leq d < 2^k$ ;
- $I_{m2^k-1,2^k} = v_1^{2^k}$ .

*Proof.* A common period of  $I_{n,0}, \dots, I_{n,2^k}$ , as functions of  $n$ , is  $2^{k+1}$ . Hence, without loss of generality, we can assume  $m = 1$ .

For  $0 \leq d \leq 2^k$ , we have, since  $n - d + 1 \equiv 2^k + d \equiv d \pmod{2}$ ,

$$I_{2^k-1,d} = d\bar{v}_d + \sum_{j=1}^d \binom{2^k-1}{j} \bar{v}_{d-j} p_j(v_1, \dots, v_d). \quad (25)$$

The graded polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Z}_2[v_1, v_2, \dots]$  is isomorphic to  $\Lambda^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ , the ring of symmetric functions over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , with the isomorphism sending  $v_i$  to the  $i$ -th elementary symmetric function. By identifying the two rings, we can interpret  $\bar{v}_j$  and  $p_j$  as symmetric functions and exploit some known identities. We refer the reader to [13].

The expressions  $\bar{v}_i = \bar{v}_i(v_1, \dots, v_i)$  satisfy the same recurrence

$$\bar{v}_i = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} v_{i-j} \bar{v}_j \quad (26)$$



$n \backslash d$	0	1	2	3	4
0	1	0	$v_1^2 + v_2$	0	$v_1^4 + v_1^2 v_2 + v_2^2 + v_4$
1	0	0	$v_1^2$	$v_1 v_2 + v_3$	$v_1^4 + v_1 v_3$
2	1	0	$v_2$	$v_1^3$	$v_2^2 + v_4$
3	0	0	0	0	$v_1^4$
4	1	0	$v_1^2 + v_2$	0	$v_1^2 v_2 + v_2^2 + v_4$
5	0	0	$v_1^2$	$v_1 v_2 + v_3$	$v_1 v_3$
6	1	0	$v_2$	$v_1^3$	$v_1^4 + v_2^2 + v_4$
7	0	0	0	0	0
8	1	0	$v_1^2 + v_2$	0	$v_1^4 + v_1^2 v_2 + v_2^2 + v_4$
9	0	0	$v_1^2$	$v_1 v_2 + v_3$	$v_1^4 + v_1 v_3$
10	1	0	$v_2$	$v_1^3$	$v_2^2 + v_4$
11	0	0	0	0	$v_1^4$
12	1	0	$v_1^2 + v_2$	0	$v_1^2 v_2 + v_2^2 + v_4$
13	0	0	$v_1^2$	$v_1 v_2 + v_3$	$v_1 v_3$
14	1	0	$v_2$	$v_1^3$	$v_1^4 + v_2^2 + v_4$
15	0	0	0	0	0
16	1	0	$v_1^2 + v_2$	0	$v_1^4 + v_1^2 v_2 + v_2^2 + v_4$

Table 3.1: Values of  $I_{n,d}$  for  $n \leq 16$ ,  $d \leq 4$ . Cells in gray correspond to invalid combinations ( $n \leq d$  or  $n = 2^k - 1$ ) for which  $I_{n,d}$  can still be defined. Note the periodicity of the columns.

as the complete homogeneous symmetric functions  $h_i$ , so we have  $\bar{v}_i(v_1, \dots, v_i) = h_i(v_1, \dots, v_i)$  as expressions in  $v_1, \dots, v_i$ . Moreover, by a well-known identity involving  $h_j$  and the power sum symmetric functions  $p_j$  we have, for each  $d \geq 0$ :

$$0 = dh_d + \sum_{j=1}^d h_{d-j} p_j = d\bar{v}_d + \sum_{j=1}^d \bar{v}_{d-j} p_j. \quad (27)$$

The right hand sides of (25) and (27) are almost the same: the binomial coefficient is always 1, except for the case  $j = d = 2^k$ . Hence, by subtracting, we have

$$I_{2^k-1,d} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d < 2^k, \\ \bar{v}_0 p_{2^k} & \text{if } d = 2^k. \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

Since  $\bar{v}_0 = 1$ , it remains to show that  $p_{2^k} = v_1^{2^k}$ . This is a consequence of the following identity in  $\Lambda^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$  as a subring of  $\mathbb{Z}_2[[x_1, x_2, \dots]]$ :

$$p_{2^k} = \sum_{i \geq 1} x_i^{2^k} = \left( \sum_{i \geq 1} x_i \right)^{2^k} = v_1^{2^k}. \quad (29) \quad \square$$

## 4 Geodesic embeddings

In this section, we introduce the Kolpakov–Reid–Slavich embedding of arithmetic manifolds, which we will use repeatedly to construct non-cobordant manifolds starting from low dimensions.

Let us fix a totally real number field  $k \neq \mathbb{Q}$ , and denote by  $R$  its ring of integers; in our construction we will have  $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$  and  $R = \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}\right]$ .

**Definition 4.1.** We say that a hyperbolic manifold  $M$  is *good* if it is connected, arithmetic of simplest type, defined over  $k$ , with admissible quadratic form  $f$ , and such that its fundamental group  $\pi_1(M) < \mathrm{O}(f)$  is contained in the group of  $k$ -points  $\mathrm{O}(f, k)$ . (Note that a good manifold is necessarily closed, since  $k \neq \mathbb{Q}$ .)

One form of the aforementioned embedding is as follows:

**Theorem 4.2** ([8], [2, Theorem 1.2]). *Let  $M$  be a good hyperbolic  $n$ -manifold with defining form  $f$ . Then  $M$  embeds geodesically into a good hyperbolic  $(n+1)$ -manifold  $M'$  with defining form  $f + y^2$ , such that the map  $i_*: \pi_1(M) \hookrightarrow \pi_1(M')$  is given, on matrices  $A \in \mathrm{O}(f)$ , by the direct sum  $i_*(A) := A \oplus [1]$ . In particular, the normal bundle of  $M$  in  $M'$  is trivial.*

Next, we shall extend this result to hyperbolic manifolds with involutions.

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $M$  be a hyperbolic manifold equipped with an involution  $\tau$ . We say that  $(M, \tau)$  is *good* if  $M$  is good and  $\tau$  is a nontrivial isometry *defined over  $k$* , that is, represented by an element of  $\mathrm{O}(f, k)$ .

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $(M, \tau)$  be a good hyperbolic  $n$ -manifold with involution. Then  $M$  embeds geodesically into a good hyperbolic  $(n+1)$ -manifold with involution  $(M', \tau')$ , such that:*

- (1)  $M \in F(\tau')$ ;
- (2)  $\nu_{M'}(M)$  is trivial;
- (3)  $\tau'$  acts on  $\nu_{M'}(M)$  by negation;
- (4)  $\tau$  extends to an involution  $\sigma$  of  $M'$  defined over  $k$ .

The proof requires a technical lemma:

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of  $\mathrm{O}(f, k)$  which is arithmetic of simplest type, i.e., commensurable with  $\mathrm{O}(f, R)$ , and let  $P \in \mathrm{O}(f, k)$ . Then  $\Gamma$  and  $P^{-1}\Gamma P$  are commensurable.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $\mathrm{O}(f, R)$  is commensurable with  $P^{-1}\mathrm{O}(f, R)P$ . Let  $P = A/a$ ,  $P^{-1} = B/b$ , with  $A, B \in \mathrm{M}(n, R)$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Consider the congruence subgroup  $\Gamma(ab) < \mathrm{O}(f, R)$  consisting of  $f$ -orthogonal matrices of the form  $I + abM$ , which is of finite index in  $\mathrm{O}(f, R)$ . We shall show that  $P$  conjugates it into a subgroup of  $\mathrm{O}(f, R)$ .

Indeed, given  $Q = I + abM \in \Gamma(ab)$ , we have

$$P^{-1}QP = I + P^{-1}abMP = I + AMB \in M(n, R). \quad (30)$$

Since  $P^{-1}QP \in O(f, k)$ , the claim follows.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 4.4.* We start by embedding  $M$  into a good manifold  $M'$  with Theorem 4.2. Let  $T \in O(f, k)$  represent the involution  $\tau$  and let  $S := T \oplus [1] \in O(f + y^2, k)$ . Finally, define  $T' := \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, -1) \in O(f + y^2, k)$ .

Note that  $T'$  and  $S$  commute, and that they both normalize  $\pi_1(M)$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\pi_1(M')$  is normalized by  $H := \langle T', S \rangle$ , by replacing it with the intersection of all its  $H$ -conjugates. The latter contains  $\pi_1(M)$ , and is of finite index in  $\pi_1(M')$  by Lemma 4.5. Hence,  $T'$  and  $S$  define involutions  $\tau'$  and  $\sigma$  on  $M'$ : the former fixes  $M$  and reverses its normal bundle, the latter extends  $\tau$ .  $\square$

#### 4.1 Twisting the embedding

Let  $(M, \tau) \hookrightarrow (M', \tau')$  be an embedding of good manifolds with involutions, as constructed in Proposition 4.4. There is a natural way to modify  $(M', \tau')$  by a cut-and-paste operation along  $M$ .

**Definition 4.6.** Given an embedding  $(M, \tau) \hookrightarrow (M', \tau')$  as in Proposition 4.4, we define the *twist*  $T(M', \tau', M, \tau) := (M'', \tau'')$ , where  $M''$  is obtained by cutting  $M'$  along  $M$  and re-gluing the boundary components with the isometry  $\tau$ . The involution  $\tau''$  is defined to agree with  $\tau'$  on  $M'' \setminus M \simeq M' \setminus M$ , and with  $\tau$  on  $M$ .

It is not hard to check that

$$F(\tau'') = F(\tau) \sqcup F(\tau') \setminus \{M\}. \quad (31)$$

As for the normal bundles, we have

$$\nu_{M''}(X) \simeq \begin{cases} \nu_M(X) \oplus \varepsilon^1 & \text{if } X \in F(\tau), \\ \nu_{M'}(X) & \text{if } X \in F(\tau') \setminus \{M\}. \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

This construction lets us control the value of the formula of Theorem 3.3 while recursively applying Proposition 4.4. In order to do so, we show that the twist is arithmetically well behaved.

**Lemma 4.7.** *The manifold  $T(M', \tau', M, \tau) := (M'', \tau'')$  is a good hyperbolic manifold with involution.*

*Proof.* We distinguish two cases. If  $M$  separates  $M'$ , then  $M''$  is isometric to  $M'$ . Up to this isometry,  $\tau'' = \sigma\tau'$ , where  $\sigma$  extends  $\tau$ . Hence, we only need to show that  $\tau''$  is defined over  $k$ , which is true as  $\sigma$  and  $\tau'$  are.

If  $M$  does not separate  $M'$ , then it defines a map  $\lambda: \pi_1(M') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  by counting intersections modulo 2. There is a double cover  $N \rightarrow M'$  corresponding to  $\ker \lambda$ , with deck automorphism  $s$ . Then  $\sigma$  lifts to an involution  $\sigma'$  of  $N$  defined over  $k$ , such that  $N/\langle s\sigma' \rangle \simeq M''$  (see Figure 4.1). Therefore,  $M''$  and  $M'$  are commensurable. Moreover,  $\sigma'$  is a lift of the involution  $\tau''$  of  $M''$ , which is hence defined over  $k$ . It follows that  $(M'', \tau'')$  is good.  $\square$




Figure 4.1: Construction of the twist  $T(M', \tau', M, \tau)$  when  $M$  does not separate  $M'$ . Clockwise from top left: the manifold  $M$  embedded in  $M'$ ; the double cover  $N$  with deck automorphism  $s$ ; the twisted quotient  $M''$ .

## 5 Non-cobordant hyperbolic manifolds

In this section we show how to construct a hyperbolic  $n$ -manifold  $M^n$  with  $\varphi^n[M^n] = 1$ , which is therefore non-cobordant, by starting from a simpler manifold and recursively passing to a (possibly twisted) embedding. We then proceed to apply the method for many values of  $n$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $(M, \tau)$  be a good hyperbolic  $k$ -manifold with involution, where  $k \leq n$ .*

Suppose that

$$I(n, M, \tau) := \sum_{d=0}^{n-1} \sum_{X \in F_d(\tau)} I_{n,d}(w_i(\nu_M X)) = 1. \quad (33)$$

Then there exists a good hyperbolic  $n$ -manifold with involution  $(\bar{M}, \bar{\tau})$  such that  $\varphi^n[\bar{M}] = 1$ . In particular,  $\bar{M}$  is non-cobordant.

*Proof.* We prove by induction that, for  $k \leq m \leq n$ , there exists a good manifold with involution  $(M^m, \tau^m)$  such that

$$I(n, M^m, \tau^m) = \sum_{d=0}^{n-1} \sum_{X \in F_d(\tau^m)} I_{n,d}(w_i(\nu_{M^m} X)) = 1. \quad (34)$$

(Note that all terms with  $d \geq m$  are zero, as  $F_d(\tau^m)$  is then empty.) The base case  $m = k$  is true by hypothesis. Now, suppose that (34) holds for  $(M^m, \tau^m)$ . By Proposition 4.4, we can embed  $M^m$  into a manifold with involution  $(M', \tau')$ . If  $I(n, M', \tau') = 1$ , we are done by choosing  $(M^{m+1}, \tau^{m+1}) := (M', \tau')$ .

Otherwise, let  $(M^{m+1}, \tau^{m+1}) := T(M', \tau', M^m, \tau^m)$ . Then, by (31) and (32), we have:

$$I(n, M^{m+1}, \tau^{m+1}) = I(n, M', \tau') + I(n, M^m, \tau^m) + I_{n,m}(w_i(\nu_{M'} M^m)) \quad (35)$$

$$= I(n, M^m, \tau^m) = 1, \quad (36)$$

where  $I_{n,m}(w_i(\nu_{M'} M^m)) = 0$ , since  $\nu_{M'} M^m$  is trivial and  $I_{n,m}$  is homogeneous of degree  $m > 0$ . (The case  $m = k = 0$  can be excluded, as the sum in  $I(n, M, \tau)$  would vanish.)

When  $m = n$ , we finally obtain a manifold with involution  $(\bar{M}, \bar{\tau}) := (M^n, \tau^n)$ , such that  $\varphi^n[\bar{M}] = I(n, \bar{M}, \bar{\tau}) = 1$ .  $\square$

## 5.1 A starting manifold

Let  $D$  be the first small cover of the hyperbolic right-angled dodecahedron in the left column of [5, Table 1]. Let us number the facets of the dodecahedron 1 to 12, as in [5, Figure 3]. The reflection in facet  $i$  induces an isometry  $\tau_i$  of  $D$ , with  $F(\tau_i)$  consisting of isolated points, circles, and surfaces of characteristic  $-1$  diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{T}^2 \# \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^2$ ; using SageMath, we classify these components in Table 5.1.

It is also well known that  $D$  covers the arithmetic Coxeter simplex [5, 3, 4], so it is good for  $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ , and that the isometries  $\tau_i$  are conjugate to a generator of the Coxeter group, so they are defined over  $k$ .

By comparing Tables 3.1 and 5.1 we can compute  $I(n, D, \tau_i)$  for arbitrary  $i = 1, \dots, 12$  and  $n \geq 4$ . As an example, let  $n = 4m \geq 4$ . By periodicity (Remark 3.4), we have

$$I_{n,0} = 1, \quad I_{n,1} = 0, \quad I_{n,2} = v_1^2 + v_2. \quad (37)$$

Facet $i$	Color	Number of fixed submanifolds of $\tau_i$			
		Points	Circles	Surfaces $X$	...with $w_1^2(\nu X) = 1$
1, 7, 10	(0, 0, 1)	3	1	3	1
2, 5	(0, 1, 0)	2	4	2	1
11	(0, 1, 1)	5	5	1	0
3, 4	(1, 0, 0)	2	4	2	2
12	(1, 0, 1)	1	7	1	1
6	(1, 1, 0)	1	7	1	0
8, 9	(1, 1, 1)	6	2	2	1

Table 5.1: Fixed submanifolds for each isometry  $\tau_i$  of  $D$ .

The involution  $\tau_{11}$  has 5 isolated fixed points and 0 fixed surfaces with nontrivial  $v_1^2$ . Hence,  $I(4m, D, \tau_{11}) = 1$  for all  $m \geq 1$ . Similarly, we can check that  $I(4m + 1, D, \tau_1) = I(4m + 2, D, \tau_1) = 1$  for all  $m \geq 1$ . Hence, we have proved Theorem 1.1, which we restate here:

**Theorem 1.1.** *For each  $n \geq 4$ ,  $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , there exists a connected, non-cobordant closed hyperbolic  $n$ -manifold.*

## 5.2 The remaining dimensions

Note that the remaining case  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  cannot be handled by starting with a 3-manifold, since by Proposition 3.5, we have  $I_{n,0} = I_{n,1} = I_{n,2} = 0$  in that case.

Let us outline a possible general approach to the construction of non-cobordant hyperbolic manifolds in every dimension  $n \neq 2^i - 1$ , using Proposition 3.5. Since even dimensions are included in Theorem 1.1, assume that  $n \neq 2^i - 1$  is odd; then we can write  $n = 2^k(2m+1) - 1$  for  $k, m \geq 1$ . By Proposition 3.5, the first nonzero term in  $I(n, M, \tau)$  is  $I_{n,2^k} = v_1^{2^k}$ . Because of this, we would have to start at the very least from a manifold with involution  $(M_{(k)}, \tau_{(k)})$  of dimension  $2^k + 1$ , such that

$$\sum_{X \in F_{2^k}(\tau_{(k)})} w_1^{2^k}(\nu X) = 1. \quad (38)$$

Given such a manifold, by Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 5.1, we would have a connected, non-cobordant closed hyperbolic  $n$ -manifold.

Note that the case  $k = 1$  corresponds to  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and to the manifold with involution  $(D, \tau_1)$  described above. In general, the cases  $k = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$  correspond to the sets  $\{4m + 1\}, \{8m + 3\}, \{16m + 7\}, \{32m + 15\}, \dots$  ( $m \geq 1$ ), which partition the odd numbers not of the form  $2^i - 1$ .

As a consequence, finding manifolds with involutions  $(M_{(1)}, \tau_{(1)}), \dots, (M_{(k)}, \tau_{(k)})$  as above implies the existence of connected, non-cobordant closed hyperbolic  $n$ -manifolds for all

$n \not\equiv -1 \pmod{2^{k+1}}$ ,  $n \notin \{1, 3, 7, \dots, 2^k - 1\}$ : a set of dimensions of asymptotic density  $1 - 2^{-k-1}$ .

### 5.3 Even dimensions

Recall that, for a manifold of dimension  $n$ , the Stiefel–Whitney number  $w_n$  is the Euler characteristic modulo 2. Hence, in any even dimension  $n = 2k$  there is an alternate method for the construction of non-cobordant hyperbolic manifolds: searching for manifolds of odd characteristic. In the literature, the only such closed manifolds we could find are connected sums  $\Sigma_g \# \mathbb{R}P^2$  for  $g \geq 1$  (where  $\Sigma_g$  denotes an orientable genus  $g$  surface), of characteristic  $1 - 2g$ , and two 4-manifolds of Euler characteristic 17, constructed by Ratcliffe and Tschantz [11, p. 9] by gluing two copies of the right-angled hyperbolic 120-cell.

On the other hand, we can always ensure that the non-cobordant manifolds from our method have even characteristic, by manipulating the Kolpakov–Reid–Slavich embedding. Indeed, since the twist operation preserves the Euler characteristic, it suffices to arrange for the embedding  $(M, \tau) \hookrightarrow (M', \tau')$  of Proposition 4.4 to satisfy  $\chi(M') \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ .

If  $M$  separates  $M'$ , then the two halves of  $M'$  are isometric via  $\tau'$ . It follows that  $M'$  is a double, so  $\chi(M')$  is even. If instead  $M$  does not separate  $M'$ , then it defines a double cover of  $M'$ , associated to the map  $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  sending  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma \cdot D$ . In the proof of Proposition 4.4, we can then replace  $M'$  by this double cover after applying Theorem 4.2, ultimately obtaining a manifold with even Euler characteristic.

If  $|\mathcal{N}_n| = 4$ , the two conditions  $\varphi^n[M] = 1$  and  $w_n(M) = 0$  determine the cobordism class of  $M$ . More precisely, we can state:

**Proposition 5.2.** *There exists a connected, closed hyperbolic 4-manifold  $M$  of even Euler characteristic, such that  $[M] = [\mathbb{R}P^4 \sqcup (\mathbb{R}P^2 \times \mathbb{R}P^2)] \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* The cobordism group  $\mathcal{N}_4$  is generated by  $x_4$  and  $x_2^2$ . By Theorem 1.1, there exists a connected, closed hyperbolic 4-manifold  $M$  with  $\varphi^4[M] = 1$ . Hence,  $[M]$  is either  $[\mathbb{R}P^4]$  or  $[\mathbb{R}P^4 \sqcup (\mathbb{R}P^2 \times \mathbb{R}P^2)]$ . From the above discussion, we may also assume that  $\chi(M)$  is even, which implies  $[M] = [\mathbb{R}P^4 \sqcup (\mathbb{R}P^2 \times \mathbb{R}P^2)]$ .  $\square$

*Remark 5.3.* In higher even dimensions  $n$ , there are at least three linearly independent generators of  $\mathcal{N}_n$ , that is,  $x_n$ ,  $x_{n-2}x_2$  and  $x_2^{n/2}$ . Thus,  $\varphi^n$  and  $w_n$  do not suffice to determine the cobordism class.

## References

- [1] A. Borel and F. Hirzebruch. “Characteristic Classes and Homogeneous Spaces, I”. In: *American Journal of Mathematics* 80.2 (1958), pp. 458–538.
- [2] J. G. Chen. *Closed hyperbolic manifolds without  $spin^c$  structures*. 2025. arXiv: 2501.07796 [math.GT].
- [3] P. E. Conner and E. E. Floyd. “Differentiable periodic maps”. In: *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* 68.2 (1962), pp. 76–86.
- [4] A. Dold. “Erzeugende der Thomschen Algebra  $\mathfrak{N}$ ”. (In German). In: *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 65.1 (Dec. 1956), pp. 25–35.
- [5] A. Garrison and R. Scott. “Small covers of the dodecahedron and the 120-cell”. In: *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 131 (2003), pp. 963–971.
- [6] G. C. Hamrick and D. C. Royster. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 66.3 (1982), pp. 405–413.
- [7] J. Huang. *On the generators of the real cobordism ring*. <http://math.uchicago.edu/~may/REU2021/REUPapers/Huang,Junzhi.pdf>. (Visited 2024-12-19). 2021.
- [8] A. Kolpakov, A. W. Reid, and L. Slavich. “Embedding arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds”. In: *Math. Res. Lett.* 25 (4 2018), pp. 1305–1328.
- [9] D. D. Long and A. W. Reid. “On the geometric boundaries of hyperbolic 4-manifolds”. In: *Geometry & Topology* 4.1 (2000), pp. 171–178.
- [10] P. Ontaneda. “Riemannian hyperbolization”. In: *Publications mathématiques de l’IHÉS* 131.1 (2020), pp. 1–72.
- [11] J. G. Ratcliffe and S. T. Tschantz. “Gravitational instantons of constant curvature”. In: *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 15.9 (Sept. 1998), p. 2613.
- [12] The Sage Developers. *SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 10.4)*. 2024. URL: <https://www.sagemath.org>.
- [13] R. P. Stanley and S. Fomin. “Symmetric Functions”. In: *Enumerative Combinatorics*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 1999, pp. 286–560.
- [14] R. Thom. “Quelques propriétés globales des variétés différentiables”. (In French). In: *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici* 28.1 (Dec. 1954), pp. 17–86.