# Multiply iterated Poisson processes and their applications in ruin theory

Dongdong Hu<sup>a</sup>, Svetlozar T. Rachev<sup>b</sup>, Hasanjan Sayit<sup>c</sup>, Hailiang Yang<sup>c</sup>, Yildiray Yildirim<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Yiwu Industrial & Commercial College, Yiwu, China

 $b$ Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX, USA

<sup>c</sup>Department of Financial and Actuarial Mathematics, Xi'an Jiaotong Liverpool University, Suzhou, China

<sup>d</sup>Zicklin School of Business, Baruch College, The City University of New York (CUNY), New York, NY, USA

Abstract: This paper studies the properties of the Multiply Iterated Poisson Process (MIPP), a stochastic process constructed by repeatedly time-changing a Poisson process, and its applications in ruin theory. Like standard Poisson processes, MIPPs have exponentially distributed sojourn times (waiting times between jumps). We explicitly derive the probabilities of all possible jump sizes at the first jump and obtain the Laplace transform of the joint distribution of the first jump time and its corresponding jump size. In ruin theory, the classical Cramér-Lundberg model assumes claims arrive independently according to a Poisson process. In contrast, our model employs MIPP to allow for clustered arrivals, reflecting real-world scenarios, such as catastrophic events. Under this new framework, we derive the corresponding scale function in closed form, facilitating accurate ruin probability calculations in the presence of clustered claims. These results improve the modeling of extreme risks and have practical implications for insurance solvency assessments, reinsurance pricing, and capital reserve estimation.

Keywords: Multiple subordination; Poisson process; Martingale; Jump time; Ruin theory; Scale function

## 1 Introduction

The Poisson process is one of the most widely used stochastic models in areas such as insurance, finance, and engineering because of its simplicity and its ability to model random events occurring over time. Its popularity arises from its mathematical tractability and the assumption that events occur independently at a constant rate. However, in many real-world scenarios, the classical Poisson process proves inadequate because it assumes that events occur one at a time and independently. In practice, events often arrive in clusters, especially under extreme conditions such as natural

disasters or financial crises, where multiple claims or defaults can occur almost simultaneously. Such clustering cannot be captured by traditional Poisson models, which, therefore, underestimate risk in these situations.

To address these limitations, various extensions of the Poisson process have been introduced. The compound Poisson process, where jumps represent aggregated events, is commonly employed in actuarial science and queueing theory (Klüppelberg et al., 2004,  $[12]$ ). Another significant line of development involves time-changing the Poisson process by a subordinator, resulting in more flexible event arrival dynamics (Lee & Whitmore, 1993, [\[13\]](#page-18-1); Crescenzo et al., 2015, [\[6\]](#page-18-2); Orsingher & Polito, 2012a,  $[18]$ ). Such time-changed (or subordinated) processes have also been referred to as time-changing stochastic processes (Volkonski, 1958,  $[23]$ ; Itô & McKean, 1965,  $[11]$ ) and have found applications in finance and other areas (Clark, 1973, [\[3\]](#page-18-4); Geman, 2005, [\[9\]](#page-18-5); McKean, 2002, [\[15\]](#page-18-6)). Moreover, processes with fractional or Bernstein intertimes, as investigated by Meerschaert et al.,  $(2011), [16]$  $(2011), [16]$  and Orsingher & Toaldo (2015), [\[20\]](#page-19-3), and space-fractional Poisson processes (Orsingher & Polito, 2012b, [\[19\]](#page-19-4); Orsingher, 2013, [\[17\]](#page-19-5)), have been developed to incorporate heavy-tailed waiting times and memory effects. Furthermore, Poisson processes time-changed by compound Poisson-Gamma subordinators have been studied by Buchak & Sakhno (2017), [\[2\]](#page-18-7), and compound Poisson processes with Poisson subordinators have been examined by Crescenzo et al. (2015), [\[6\]](#page-18-2). Despite these advances, many existing models still lack an explicit mechanism to model the clustered nature of events that arise during catastrophic or systemic episodes.

This paper introduces the Multiply Iterated Poisson Process (MIPP), a novel stochastic model constructed by iterating Poisson processes over each other. The MIPP not only captures the randomness of arrivals, but also explicitly models clustering, thereby providing a more realistic framework for scenarios where events occur in bursts rather than in isolation. This approach is particularly relevant in contexts such as ruin theory, where clustered claims can drastically affect an insurer's surplus, and in systemic credit risk modeling, where correlated defaults can occur in waves. Previous work has examined the case  $n = 2$ , often referred to as an iterated Poisson process, with properties such as crossing and hitting times studied under various boundary conditions (see Crescenzo & Martinucci, 2009, [\[7\]](#page-18-8); Orsingher & Toaldo, 2015, [\[20\]](#page-19-3); Buchak & Sakhno, 2017, [\[2\]](#page-18-7) for related results). The MIPP generalizes this construction to any  $n$ , enabling even richer clustering behavior.

The practical implications of the MIPP are substantial in insurance and finance. Ruin theory, a cornerstone of actuarial science, dates back more than a century to Lundberg (1903), [\[14\]](#page-18-9), and has been further developed by Cramér (1969), [\[5\]](#page-18-10). Classical models, such as the Cramér-Lundberg framework, assume claims arrive independently over time (Gerber, 1979, [\[10\]](#page-18-11)). However, they cannot adequately represent catastrophic risks, where claims arrive in clusters following extreme events such as hurricanes or earthquakes. Underestimating this clustering leads to insufficient capital reserves and increased risk of insolvency. By integrating MIPP into ruin theory and deriving the corresponding scale function, this paper offers tools for a more accurate assessment of ruin probabilities, complementing standard references in risk theory (Rolski et al., 1999, [\[22\]](#page-19-6); Asmussen & Albrecher,  $2010, [1]$  $2010, [1]$ .

In financial markets, defaults often occur in bursts during periods of stress. Systemic risks and correlated defaults challenge standard models. The MIPP provides a way to incorporate default clustering into credit risk assessments, improving pricing, risk management, and regulatory compliance in markets where instruments like Collateralized Debt Obligations (CDOs) and Credit Default Swaps (CDS) are sensitive to simultaneous defaults. Beyond insurance and finance, the MIPP can also be applied to operations research problems, such as queueing systems and network traffic modeling, where sudden bursts of arrivals can overwhelm systems designed for more stable conditions.

This paper advances the theory by extending the classical Poisson process through multiple independent Poisson processes, producing the MIPP. We derive key properties of the MIPP, including the distribution of sojourn times, probability mass functions of jump sizes, and the Laplace transform of the joint distribution of the first jump time and size. These theoretical results provide a foundation for applying the MIPP in various risk models. The focus is on applying the MIPP to ruin theory, where deriving the scale function is central for calculating ruin probabilities in the presence of clustered arrivals.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 defines the MIPP and explores its fundamental properties, including sojourn times and jump distributions. Section 3 focuses on the application of the MIPP to ruin theory, presenting the derivation of the scale function. An appendix calculates high moments of MIPP.

## 2 Definition of MIPP and its properties

We start with *n* independent Poisson processes  $N_t^1, N_t^2, \cdots, N_t^n$ , with the same intensity pa $t: \mathbf{v}_t: \cdots, \mathbf{v}_t$ rameter  $\lambda$ . We denote  $U_t^{(1)} = N_t^1, U_t^{(2)} = N_t^2, \cdots, U_t^{(n)} = N_t^n$ . For any positive integers  $1 \leq k \leq$  $m \leq n$  we define  $V_t^{(k,k)} = U_t^{(k)}$  $t_t^{(k)}, V_t^{(k,k+1)} = U^{(k+1)}(V_t^{(k,k)})$  $U_t^{(k,k)}), V_t^{(k,k+2)} = U^{(k+2)}(V_t^{(k,k+1)})$  $(t_k^{(k, k+1)}), \cdots, V_t^{(k,m)} =$  $U^{(m)}(V^{(k,m-1)}_t$  $(t_k^{(k,m-1)})$ . From this definition it is clear that  $V_t^{(k,m)}$  $t^{(k,m)}$  is multiple iteration of the Poisson processes  $N_t^k, N_t^{k+1}, \cdots, N_t^m$ . We call the processes  $V_t^{(k,m)}$  multiply iterated Poisson process and we use the acronym MIPP for these processes. For notational simplicity we denote

$$
V_t^{(m)} =: V_t^{(1,m)}, \forall \ m \ge 2.
$$

Our goal in this section is to study some properties of  $V_t^{(n)}$  $\frac{r(n)}{t}$ .

Remark 2.1. The above definition shows that we have

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
V_t^{(n)} = N_{V_t^{(n-1)}}, \quad V_t^{(n)} = V_{N_t^1}^{(2,n)}.
$$
\n
$$
(1)
$$

Therefore the process  $V_t^{(n)}$  $t_t^{(n)}$  is a special case of the models considered in the paper [\[20\]](#page-19-3). Namely the  $\displaystyle{process~V^{(n)}_t}$  $V_t^{(n)}$  corresponds to  $N^f(t)$  in their paper with  $H^f(t)$  being the subordinator  $V_t^{(n-1)}$  $t^{(n-1)}$ . Observe here that  $V_t^{(2,n)}$  has the same distribution as  $V_t^{(n-1)}$  $t^{(n-1)}$ .

By conditioning on the time change  $V_t^{(n-1)}$  $t_t^{(n-1)}$  in [\(1\)](#page-2-0), it is clear that the probability mess function of  $V_t^{(n)}$  $t^{(n)}$  satisfies:

$$
P(V_t^{(n)} = k) = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} j^k e^{-\lambda j} P(V_t^{(n-1)} = j), \ k \ge 0.
$$
 (2)

<span id="page-3-1"></span>From Theorem 2.1 of [\[20\]](#page-19-3), it follows that the difference-differential equations governing the state probabilities of  $V_t^{(n)}$  $t_t^{(n)}$  satisfies the following relation:

$$
\frac{d}{dt}P(V_t^{(n)} = k) = -f_n(\lambda)P(V_t^{(n)} = k) + \sum_{m=1}^k \frac{\lambda^m}{m!}P(V_t^{(n)} = k - m) \int_0^\infty e^{-s\lambda} s^m \nu_{n-1}(ds)
$$

$$
= -\sum_{j=0}^\infty \lambda (1 - e^{-\lambda j}) P(V_1^{(n-2)} = j) P(V_t^{(n)} = k)
$$

$$
+ \sum_{m=1}^k \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{\lambda^{m+1} e^{-\lambda j}}{m!} j^m P(V_1^{(n-2)} = j) P(V_t^{(n)} = k - m),
$$
\n(3)

where

$$
f_n(u) = \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-us}) \nu_{n-1}(ds) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \lambda (1 - e^{-uj}) P(V_1^{(n-2)} = j)
$$

and

$$
\int_0^{\infty} e^{-s\lambda} s^m \nu_{n-1}(ds) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda e^{-\lambda j} j^m P(V_1^{(n-2)} = j).
$$

Note here that  $\nu_{n-1}(j) = \lambda P(V_1^{(n-2)} = j)$  is the Lévy measure of  $V_t^{(n-1)}$  $t_t^{(n-1)}$  (see Proposition [2.2](#page-4-0) below for this). In the following subsection we study some properties of MIPP.

#### 2.1 Martingales associated with MIPP

Clearly the process  $V_t^{(n)}$  $t_t^{(n)}$  is a subordinator, a non-negative Lévy process with increasing sample paths. We denote  $\ell_1(\theta) =: \lambda(e^{\theta} - 1)$  which is the characteristic exponent of the Poisson process. We also denote by  $\ell_n(\theta), n \geq 1$ , the characteristic exponents of  $V_t^{(n)}$  $t_t^{(n)}, n \geq 1$ . The following relation can easily be verified

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\ell_n(\theta) = \lambda e^{\ell_{n-1}(\theta)} - \lambda, \ \ n \ge 2. \tag{4}
$$

To see this observe that

$$
e^{t\ell_n(\theta)} = E e^{\theta V_t^{(n)}} = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} E e^{\theta V_k^{(2,n)}} P(N_t^1 = k) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (E e^{\theta V_1^{(n-1)}})^k P(N_t^1 = k)
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (e^{k\ell_{n-1}(\theta)} P(N_t^1 = k) = e^{t(\lambda e^{\ell_{n-1}(\theta)} - \lambda)},
$$
 (5)

and the relation [\(4\)](#page-3-0) follows from this.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Proposition 2.2. We have the following

- (a) We have  $EV_t^{(n)} = \lambda^n t$  and  $M_t =: V_t^{(n)} \lambda^n t$  is a martingale process.
- (b) The process  $e^{\theta V_t^{(n)} t\ell_n(\theta)}$  is a martingale for any  $\theta$ . Define an equivalent probability measure as  $\frac{dP^{\theta}}{dP} = e^{\theta V_t^{(n)} - t\ell_n(\theta)}$  for each  $\theta$ . Then under the measure  $P^{\theta}$ , the process  $V_t^{(n)}$  has the following characteristic exponent

$$
\ell_n^{\theta}(z) =: \ell_n(z + \theta) - \ell_n(\theta).
$$

- (c) The process  $M_t^2 \frac{1-\lambda^n}{1-\lambda}$  $\frac{1-\lambda^n}{1-\lambda}\lambda^nt$  is a martingale.
- (d) We have  $V_t^{(n)}$  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(n)} \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^{N_t^1} Y_i$ , where  $\{Y_i\}$  are i.i.d random variables that are independent from  $N_t^1$ and with distribution  $Y_i \stackrel{d}{=} V_1^{(n-1)}$  $U_1^{(n-1)}$ . The Lévy measure of  $V_t^{(n)}$  $t^{(n)}$ , which we denote by  $\nu_n(\cdot)$ , has support on the non-negative integers and it is given by  $\nu_n(k) = \lambda P(V_1^{(n-1)} = k), k \ge 0$ . Under the measure  $P^{\theta}$ , the Lévy measure of  $V_t^{(n)}$  $v_t^{(n)}$  is  $\nu_n^{\theta}(k) = \lambda e^{k\theta} P(V_1^{(n-1)} = k), k \ge 0.$
- (e) We have

$$
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{V_t^{(n)}}{t} = \lambda^n.
$$

Proof. The proofs are straightforward.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>Remark 2.3. The following processes

$$
M_t^{(n)} = e^{\alpha t + \beta V_t^{(n)}} + (-\alpha + \lambda - \lambda E e^{\beta V_1^{(n-1)}}) \int_0^t e^{\alpha s + \beta V_s^{(n)}} ds \tag{6}
$$

are also martingales for all  $\alpha, \beta \in R$ . This follows from Proposition 3.1 (see example 4.1 of the same paper) of the paper [\[8\]](#page-18-13). If we pick  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in such a way that

$$
\alpha + \lambda - \lambda E e^{\beta V_1^{(n-1)}} = 0,
$$

 $\Box$ 

then the corresponding process  $M_t^{(n)} = e^{-\alpha t + \beta V_t^{(n)}}$  is a martingale. Note here that the paper [\[8\]](#page-18-13) requires  $\alpha$  to be positive. However, in our case we can easily check that  $EM_t^{(n)} = 1$  for all real numbers  $\alpha, \beta$ , which shows  $M_t^{(n)}$  $t_t^{(n)}$  is a martingale as it is a Lévy process. In fact we have

$$
EM_t^{(n)} = e^{\alpha t} e^{t\ell_n(\beta)} + (-\alpha + \lambda - \lambda e^{\ell_{n-1}(\beta)}) \int_0^t e^{[\alpha + \ell_n(\beta)]s} ds
$$
  
=  $e^{(\alpha + \ell_n(\beta))t} - (\alpha + \ell_n(\beta)) \frac{1}{\alpha + \ell_n(\beta)} [e^{(\alpha + \ell_n(\beta))t} - 1]$  (7)  
= 1.

Note that in the above equality two we have used the property  $(4)$ .

Remark 2.4. (The governing equation) The governing equation can be written as follows also.

$$
\frac{d}{dt}P(V_t^{(n)} = k) = -\lambda P(V_t^{(n)} = k) + \lambda P(V_{N_t^{1}+1}^{(2,n)} = k)
$$
\n
$$
= -\lambda P(V_t^{(n)} = k) + \lambda \sum_{j=0}^k P(V_t^{(n)} = j)P(V_1^{(n-1)} = k - j)
$$
\n
$$
= -\lambda P(V_t^{(n)} = k) + \lambda \sum_{j=0}^k P(V_t^{(n)} = k - j)P(V_1^{(n-1)} = j)
$$
\n
$$
= -\lambda P(V_t^{(n)} = k) + \int_0^k P(V_t^{(n)} = k - s)\nu_n(ds),
$$
\n(8)

where  $\nu_n(\cdot)$  is the Lévy measure of  $V_t^{(n)}$  $t^{(n)}$ .

#### 2.2 Jump times of MIPP

We define the jump times  $J_0^{(n)}$  $J_0^{(n)}, J_1^{(n)}, \cdots$ , of  $V_t^{(n)}$  as follows

$$
J_0^{(n)} = 0, J_{k+1}^{(n)} = \inf\{t \ge J_k^{(n)} : V_t^{(n)} \ne V_{J_k^{(n)}}^{(n)}\}, k \ge 0,
$$

with the convension  $inf \emptyset = \infty$ . The holding times, also called sojourn times, are defined for all  $k \geq 1$  as

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
S_k^{(n)} = \begin{cases} J_k^{(n)} - J_{k-1}^{(n)} & \text{if } J_{k-1}^{(n)} < \infty, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$
(9)

The right-continuity of  $V_t^{(n)}$  $t_t^{(n)}$  implies that  $S_k^{(n)} > 0$  almost surely for all  $k \geq 1$ . Our main result in this section is as follows. In this Theorem we use the compound Poisson nature (see part (d) of Proposition [2.2](#page-4-0) above) of  $V_t^{(n)}$  $t^{(n)}$ . The main idea that we have used in the proof of this Theorem is that the first jump time  $J_1^{(n)}$  $I_1^{(n)}$  of  $V_t^{(n)}$  $t_t^{(n)}$  equals to the first jump time of the Poisson process  $N_t^1$  on the event  $\{Y_1 > 0\}$ , and equals to the second jump time of  $N_t^1$  on the event  $\{Y_1 = 0\} \cap \{Y_2 > 0\}$ , and so on.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Proposition 2.5.** For each fixed  $n > 2$ , the sojourn times defined in [\(9\)](#page-5-0) are i.i.d exponential random variables. More specifically we have

$$
S_k^{(n)} \sim Exp(\lambda q_{n-1}), \forall k \ge 1,
$$

where  $q_{n-1} = P(V_1^{(n-1)} > 0)$ . The sequence  $q_j, j \geq 1$ , satisfy the following recursive relation

$$
q_j = 1 - e^{-\lambda q_{j-1}}, \ j \ge 2,
$$

with

$$
q_1 = 1 - e^{-\lambda}.
$$

*Proof.* From part (d) of Proposition [2.2](#page-4-0) we have  $V_t^{(n)}$  $\mathcal{Y}_t^{(n)} \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{N_t^1} Y_k$ , where  $Y_k$  are i.i.d. random variables with  $Y_i \stackrel{d}{=} V_1^{(n-1)}$  $\tau_1^{(n-1)}$ . Let  $\tau_k^{(1)}$ <sup>(1)</sup> denote the jump times of  $N_t^1$ . We clearly have  $P(J_1^{(n)} = \tau_k^{(1)}$  $k^{(1)}$ ,  $k \ge 1$ ) = 1 as the first jump time of  $V_t^{(n)}$  happens only in one of the jump times of  $N_t^1$ . Also observe that  $J_1^{(n)} = \tau_k^{(1)}$  $k_k^{(1)}$  on the event  ${Y_1 = 0} ∩ {Y_2 = 0} ⋯ {Y_{k-1} = 0} ∩ {Y_k > 0}$  for each  $k ≥ 1$ . Therefore we have

$$
J_1^{(n)} = \tau_1^{(1)} 1_{\{Y_1 > 0\}} + \tau_2^{(1)} 1_{\{Y_1 = 0\} \cap \{Y_2 > 0\}} + \tau_3^{(1)} 1_{\{Y_1 = 0\} \cap \{Y_2 = 0\} \cap \{Y_3 > 0\}} + \cdots
$$

Then, since  $q_{n-1} = P(V_1^{(n-1)} > 0)$  the moment generating function of  $J_1^{(n)}$  $\mathbf{I}^{(n)}$  is

$$
E[e^{\theta J_1^{(n)}}] = E[e^{\tau_1^{(1)}}]P(Y_1 > 0) + E[e^{\tau_2^{(1)}}]P(Y_1 = 0)P(Y_2 > 0)
$$
  
+ 
$$
E[e^{\tau_3^{(1)}}]P(Y_1 = 0)P(Y_2 = 0)P(Y_3 > 0) + \cdots
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \theta}q_{n-1} + (\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \theta})^2(1 - q_{n-1})q_{n-1} + (\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \theta})^3(1 - q_{n-1})^2q_{n-1} + \cdots
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \theta}q_{n-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\frac{\lambda(1 - q_{n-1})}{\lambda - \theta})^n
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{\lambda q_{n-1}}{\lambda q_{n-1} - \theta}.
$$

The last equation assumes the parameter  $\theta$  is such that  $\frac{\lambda(1-q_{n-1})}{\lambda-\theta}$  $\frac{-q_{n-1}}{\lambda-\theta}|$  < 1. This result shows that  $\tau_1^{(n)}$ 1 is a exponential random variable with parameter  $\lambda q_{n-1}$ , i.e., the sojourn times satisfy

$$
S_k^{(n)} \sim Exp(\lambda q_{n-1}), \forall \quad k \ge 1.
$$

Next, note that

$$
\{V_t^{(n)} = 0\} = \{\tau_1^{(1)} > t\} \cup \{\tau_1^{(1)} \le t < \tau_2^{(1)} \text{ and } Y_1 = 0\} \cup \{\tau_2^{(1)} \le t < \tau_3^{(1)} \text{ and } Y_1 = 0, Y_2 = 0\} \cup \cdots
$$

Therefore we have

$$
P(V_t^{(n)} = 0)
$$
  
=  $P(\tau_1^{(1)} > t) + P(\tau_1^{(1)} \le t < \tau_2^{(1)})P(Y_1 = 0) + P(\tau_2^{(1)} \le t < \tau_3^{(1)})P(Y_1 = 0)P(Y_2 = 0) + \cdots$   
=  $P(\tau_1^{(1)} > t) + P(\tau_1^{(1)} \le t, S_1^{(1)} > t - \tau_1^{(1)})P(Y_1 = 0)$   
+  $P(\tau_2^{(1)} \le t, S_2^{(1)} > t - \tau_2^{(1)})P(Y_1 = 0)P(Y_2 = 0) + \cdots$   
=  $\int_t^{\infty} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx + (1 - q_{n-1}) \int_0^t \int_{t-x}^{\infty} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \lambda e^{-\lambda y} dy dx + (1 - q_{n-1})^2 \int_0^t \int_{t-x}^{\infty} \lambda^2 x e^{-\lambda x} \lambda e^{-\lambda y} dy dx + \cdots,$ 

where the third equation is because  $J_k^{(n)}$  $f_k^{(n)}$  follows the Erlang distribution with parameters  $\lambda$  and k and  $S_k^{(1)}$  $\lambda_k^{(1)}$  follows the exponential distribution with parameter  $\lambda$ . Thus we have

$$
1 - q_n = e^{-\lambda t} + (1 - q_{n-1})\lambda t e^{-\lambda t} + \frac{1}{2}(1 - q_{n-1})^2 \lambda^2 t^2 e^{-\lambda t} + \frac{1}{6}(1 - q_{n-1})^3 \lambda^3 t^3 e^{-\lambda t} + \cdots
$$

By letting  $t = 1$ , we can get the iteration formula

$$
1 - q_n = e^{-\lambda} + (1 - q_{n-1})\lambda e^{-\delta} + \frac{1}{2}(1 - q_{n-1})^2 \lambda^2 e^{-\delta} + \frac{1}{6}(1 - q_{n-1})^3 \lambda^3 e^{-\delta} + \cdots
$$
  
=  $e^{-\lambda} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{((1 - q_{n-1})\lambda)^n}{n!} = e^{-\lambda q_{n-1}}.$ 

Last it is clear that  $q_1 = 1 - e^{-\lambda}$  as this case corresponds to standard Poisson.

Recall that in the case of standard Poisson process  $N_t$  we have  $N_{\tau_1} = 1$ , where  $\tau_1$  is the first jump time of  $N_t$ . We would like to find out the distribution of  $V_{J_1}^{(n)}$  $U_{J_1}^{(n)}$ . We have the following result.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Proposition 2.6.** For each integer  $k \ge 1$  and for any  $n \ge 2$  we have

$$
P(V_{J_1^{(n)}}^{(n)} = k) = \frac{P(V_1^{(n-1)} = k)}{P(V_1^{(n-1)} \ge 1)},
$$
\n(10)

 $\Box$ 

where  $J_1^{(n)}$  $\hat{I}_1^{(n)}$  is the first jump time of  $V_t^{(n)}$  $\frac{r(n)}{t}$ . *Proof.* For any given twice continuously differentiable function  $f$  (in fact continuous functions are enough as  $V_t^{(n)}$  has paths of bounded variation) the following process

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
M_t^f =: f(V_t^{(n)}) - f(0) - \int_0^t \mathcal{A}f(V_s^{(n)})ds \tag{11}
$$

is a martingale, where  $A$  is the infinitesimal generator of  $V_t^{(n)}$  and it is given by

$$
\mathcal{A}f(x) = \int [f(x+y) - f(x)]d\nu_n(y)
$$

$$
= \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} [f(x+i) - f(x)]\nu_n(i).
$$
 (12)

Here  $\nu_n$  is the Lévy measure of  $V_t^{(n)}$  $t_t^{(n)}$ . We have  $EM^{f}_{J_1^{(n)}} = 0$  as  $M_t^{f}$  $t_t^f$  is a martingale. Then from  $(11)$ we obtain

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
Ef(V_{J_1^{(n)}}^{(n)}) - f(0) = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} E \int_0^{J_1^{(n)}} [f(V_{s-}^{(n)} + i) - f(V_{s-}^{(n)})] ds \nu_n(i).
$$
 (13)

Since  $J_1^{(n)}$  $V_1^{(n)}$  is the first jump time of  $V_t^{(n)}$  we have  $V_{s-}^{(0)} = 0$  on  $[0, J_1^{(n)})$ . Note that when  $i = 0$  we have  $[f(V_{s-}^{(n)}+i)-f(V_{s-}^{(n)})]=0.$  Therefore [\(13\)](#page-8-1) reduces to

$$
Ef(V_{J_1^{(n)}}^{(n)}) - f(0) = [E J_1^{(n)}] \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} [f(i) - f(0)] \nu^{(n)}(i)
$$
  

$$
= [E J_1^{(n)}] \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} f(i) \nu^{(n)}(i) - f(0) [E J_1^{(n)}] \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \nu^{(n)}(i).
$$
 (14)

<span id="page-8-2"></span>Now, in Proposition [2.5](#page-6-0) we have shown that  $J_1^{(n)} \sim Exp(\lambda q_{n-1})$ . Therefore  $E J_1^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\lambda q_n}$  $\frac{1}{\lambda q_{n-1}}$  = 1  $\frac{1}{\lambda P(V_1^{(n-1)}\geq 1)}$ . Also since  $V_{J_1^{(n)}}^{(n)}$  $U^{(n)}_{J_1^{(n)}}$  takes only positive integers we have  $Ef(V^{(n)}_{J_1^{(n)}})$  $J_1^{(n)}$ ) =  $\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} f(i) P(V_{J_1^{(n)}}^{(n)})$  $J_1^{(n)}=i$ ). Hence [\(14\)](#page-8-2) becomes

<span id="page-8-3"></span>
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} f(i)P(V_{J_1^{(n)}}^{(n)} = i) = \frac{1}{\lambda P(V_1^{(n-1)} \ge 1)} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} f(i)\nu^{(n)}(i) - f(0) \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \nu^{(n)}(i) \right].
$$
 (15)

The relation [\(15\)](#page-8-3) is true for any twice continuously differentiable function on  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ . Then for each fixed  $i \geq 1$  we can pick f in such a way that  $f(i) \neq 0$  and  $f(k) = 0$  for all other positive integer k. With such  $f$  and  $(15)$  we obtain

$$
f(i)P(V_{J_1^{(n)}}^{(n)} = i) = \frac{f(i)\nu_n(i)}{\lambda P(V_1^{(n-1)} > 0)}
$$

which gives

$$
P(V_{J_1^{(n)}}^{(n)} = i) = \frac{\nu_n(i)}{\lambda P(V_1^{(n-1)} \ge 1)}.
$$

From part (d) of Proposition [\(2.2\)](#page-4-0) we have  $\nu_n(i) = \lambda P(V_1^{(n-1)} = i)$  and this ends the proof.  $\Box$ 

Corollary 2.7. When  $n = 2$  we have  $q_1 = P(N_1 > 0) = 1 - e^{-\lambda}$ . Hence  $S_k^{(2)} \sim Exp[\lambda(1 - e^{-\lambda})]$ . We also have  $\sqrt{k}$  $-\lambda$ 

$$
P(V_{J_1^{(2)}}^{(2)} = k) = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{1 - e^{-\lambda}},
$$

for all integers  $k \geq 1$ .

Proof. This follows easily from Proposition [2.6](#page-7-0) above.

**Proposition 2.8.** The Joint Laplace transformation of  $Q_n =: (J_1^{(n)})$  $f_1^{(n)},V_{J_1^{(n)}}^{(n)})$  is given by

$$
\mathcal{L}_{Q_n}(s) = 1 - (s_1 + \ell_n(s_2)) \frac{1}{(\lambda q_{n-1} + s_1)},
$$

for all  $s = (s_1, s_2)$  with  $s_1 \neq 0$ . Here  $q_{n-1}$  is given in Proposition [2.5](#page-6-0) above.

*Proof.* Note that  $M_t^{(n)}$  $t_t^{(n)}$  in Remark [2.3](#page-4-1) is a martingale with  $EM_t^{(n)} = 1$ . Therefore we have  $EM_{J_1^{(n)}} = 1$ . This implies that we have

$$
1 = E e^{\alpha J_1^{(n)} + \beta V_{J_1}^{(n)}} - (\alpha + \ell_n(\beta)) E \int_0^{J_1^{(n)}} e^{\alpha s + \beta V_s^{(n)}} ds.
$$

<span id="page-9-0"></span>Since  $V_s^{(n)} = 0$  in the interval  $[0, J_1^{(n)})$  we have

$$
1 = E e^{\alpha J_1^{(n)} + \beta V_{J_1}^{(n)}} - (\alpha + \ell_n(\beta)) E \int_0^{J_1^{(n)}} e^{\alpha s} ds
$$
  
=  $E e^{\alpha J_1^{(n)} + \beta V_{J_1}^{(n)}} - (\alpha + \ell_n(\beta)) \frac{1}{\alpha} (E e^{\alpha J_1^{(n)}} - 1).$  (16)

Note here that  $Ee^{\alpha J_1^{(n)}}$  is the Laplace transformation of the exponential random variable  $J_1^{(n)} \sim$  $exp(\lambda q_{n-1})$ . Therefore  $Ee^{\alpha J_1^{(n)}} = \frac{\lambda q_{n-1}}{\lambda q_{n-1}+1}$  $\frac{\lambda q_{n-1}}{\lambda q_{n-1}+\alpha}$ . Then from [\(16\)](#page-9-0) we obtain

$$
E e^{\alpha J_1^{(n)} + \beta V_{J_1}^{(n)}} = 1 - \frac{\alpha + \ell_n(\beta)}{\lambda q_{n-1} + \alpha}.
$$

We replace  $\alpha, \beta$  by Laplace parameters  $s_1 =: \alpha, s_2 = \beta$  and obtain the expression for  $\mathcal{L}_{Q_n}(s)$ .  $\Box$ 

 $\Box$ 

### 3 Applications in Ruin theory

A Lévy process  $X_t$  is said spectrally negative if the corresponding Lévy measure  $\Pi$  has support on  $(-\infty, 0)$  which means  $\Pi$  assigns measure zero on  $[0, +\infty)$ . This means that the jumps of the Lévy process  $X_t$  are all negative. For a spectrally negative Lévy process  $X_t$ , the random variables  $e^{\lambda X_t}$  are integrable at least for all  $\lambda \geq 0$  (this is due to the fact that it does not have positive jumps) and its Laplace exponent  $\psi(\lambda)$ , which is defined by the relation

$$
E[e^{\lambda X_t}] = e^{\psi(\lambda)t},
$$

takes the following form

$$
\psi(\lambda) = -\mu\lambda + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\lambda^2 + \int_{(-\infty,0)} (e^{\lambda x} - 1 - \lambda x \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| < 1\}}) \Pi(dx),
$$

where  $\mu, \sigma \in R$ , and  $\Pi$  is a measure on  $(-\infty, 0)$  with  $\int_{-1}^{0} x^2 \Pi(dx) < \infty$ . If  $\Pi$  satisfies  $\int_{-1}^{0} |x| \Pi(dx)| <$  $\infty$  then the jump part of  $X_t$  is a process with finite variation. It is well known that (see [\[4\]](#page-18-14)),  $\psi(\lambda)$ is strictly convex on  $[0, +\infty)$  and  $\lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} \psi(\lambda) = +\infty$ . Also if  $\psi'(0^+) > 0$  then  $\lim_{t \to +\infty} X_t = +\infty$ while if  $\psi'(0^+) < 0$  one has  $\lim_{t \to +\infty} X_t = -\infty$ . In comparison,  $\psi'(0^+) = 0$  implies  $\limsup_{t \to +\infty} X_t =$  $-\liminf_{t\to+\infty} X_t = +\infty$ , which means that the paths of  $X_t$  oscillates. For any  $q\geq 0$  define

$$
\Phi(q) = \sup\{\lambda \ge 0 : \psi(\lambda) = q\},\tag{17}
$$

to be the largest root of the equation  $\psi(\lambda) = q$ . We write down the following definition of the scale function, which is definition 1.1 in [\[4\]](#page-18-14).

<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Definition 3.1.** For any spectrally negative Lévy process X with Laplace exponent  $\psi$  and for any real number  $q \geq 0$  the scale function  $W^{(q)}(x)$  (the q-scale function) is defined to be  $W^{(q)}(x) = 0$ on  $(-\infty, 0)$  and on  $[0, +\infty)$  it is defined to be the unique right-continuous function with Laplace transformation

$$
\int_0^\infty e^{-\beta x} W^{(q)}(x) dx = \frac{1}{\psi(\beta) - q}
$$

for all  $\beta > \Phi(q)$ .

As in [\[4\]](#page-18-14), we denote the scale function  $W^{(0)}(x)$  by  $W(x)$  and call it scale function (instead of 0−scale function). The following Theorem, which is Theorem 1.2 in [\[4\]](#page-18-14), explains the importance of the scale functions.

**Theorem 3.2.** (Theorem 1.2 in  $[4]$ ) Define

$$
\tau_a^+ = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t > a\},\
$$
  

$$
\tau_0^- = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t < 0\}.
$$

For all  $q \geq 0, a > 0,$  and  $x < a$ . Then one has

$$
E_x[e^{-q\tau_a^+}\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_a^+<\tau_0^-\}}] = \frac{W^{(q)}(x)}{W^{(q)}(a)}.
$$

In this section we consider the following spectraly negative Lévy process and compute the corresponding scale function analytically:

$$
R_t = ct - \sum_{i=0}^{V_t^{(2)}} \xi_i + \sigma W_t,
$$
\n(18)

<span id="page-11-0"></span>In this model  $\xi_i$  are i.i.d exponentially distributed random variables with density  $g(x) = \delta e^{-\delta x}$ .  $1_{[0,+\infty)}(x)$ . We use the notation  $P_x$  to denote the Law of the process  $x + R_t$  for any constant x. The corresponding expectation value is denoted by  $E_x$ . When  $x = 0$  we use P instead of  $P_0$  for the law of  $R_t$  and the corresponding expected value is denoted by  $E$ .

In this section we calculate the scale function of  $R_t$  analytically.

Remark 3.3. We have

$$
E_x R_1 = x + c - E[\sum_{i=0}^{V_1^{(2)}} \xi_i] = c - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} E[\sum_{i=0}^{N_k^2} \xi_i] \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} = x + c - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (E[\xi])^j \frac{(\lambda k)^j}{j!} e^{-\lambda k} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}
$$
  
=  $x + c - e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda k} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda k)^j}{j!} (E[\xi])^j = c - e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda k} e^{\lambda k E[\xi]}$   
=  $x + c - e^{-\lambda + \lambda e^{-\lambda + \frac{\lambda}{\delta}}},$ 

**Remark 3.4.** Let  $Y_k$  be i.i.d random variables with

$$
Y_k \sim \sum_{i=0}^{N_1^{(2)}} \xi_i, \ \forall k \ge 1.
$$

Then we have

$$
R_t \stackrel{d}{=} x + ct - \sum_{k=0}^{N_t^1} Y_k + \sigma W_t,
$$

where  $N_t^1$  is standard Poisson process with intensity  $\lambda$ . Hence the standard results on risk theory applies to the case of  $R_t$ . In this section we obtain the probability of ruin by applying direct approach instead of applying the traditional results to the case of  $R_t$ . As the distribution of  $Y_k$  is complex, traditional results would not lead into analytical expression for the scale function for R. But in our direct approach we are able to obtain the scale function analytically.

The characteristic exponent of this process  $R_t$  is given by

$$
\psi_R(\theta) = c\theta - \lambda + \lambda e^{-\lambda + \lambda \frac{\delta}{\delta + \theta}} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \theta^2.
$$

The first order derivative is

$$
\psi'_R(\theta) = c + \sigma^2 \theta - \lambda^2 e^{-\lambda + \lambda \frac{\delta}{\delta + \theta}} \frac{\delta}{(\delta + \theta)^2},
$$

and we have

$$
\lim_{\theta \to 0} \psi'_R(\theta) = c - \frac{\lambda^2}{\delta}.
$$

Therefore the condition  $c\delta > \lambda^2$  guarantees that  $\lim_{\theta \to 0} \psi'_R(0) > 0$ . We introduce the following assumption on the model [\(18\)](#page-11-0).

**Assumption 1:** The model [\(18\)](#page-11-0) satisfies  $c\delta > \lambda^2$ .

As stated in the paragraph before definition [3.1](#page-10-0) above, the condition in Assumption 1 above guaranties that  $\lim_{t\to+\infty} R_t = +\infty$ . We denote  $\overline{R}_t = \sup_{s\in[0,t]} R_s$  and  $\underline{R}_t = \inf_{s\in[0,t]} R_s$ . Also we denote by

$$
\psi_x = P_x(\underline{R}_\infty \ge 0),\tag{19}
$$

the probability that the risk process  $R_t$  never goes below the zero during its life time. From the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [\[4\]](#page-18-14) we have the following relation.

$$
\psi_x = W_R(x)\psi'_R(0) = (c - \frac{\lambda^2}{\delta})W_R(x),\tag{20}
$$

where  $W_R(x)$  is the scale function of R. In the following result we calculate the scale functions of R explicitly.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Proposition 3.5.** Consider the model  $R_t$  with  $c > 0$ . Define

$$
F(z) = \frac{\lambda(1 - e^{-\lambda}) + q}{c}\pi(z) - \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda}}{c}(\pi * G)(z),
$$

where  $G(x) = \frac{e^{-\delta x} \sqrt{\delta \lambda} \cdot I_1(2\sqrt{\delta \lambda x})}{\sqrt{x}}, I_1(2\sqrt{-\delta \lambda x})$  is the modified Bessel function of the first kind with parameters 1 and 2 √  $(-\delta \lambda x, \text{ and } \pi(x) = 1 \text{ on } [0, +\infty) \text{ and zero otherwise. The scale function of } R_t$ is given by

$$
W_R^{(q)}(x) = \pi * \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F^{*n} * F_{n+1}(x),
$$

where  $F_{n+1}(x) = \frac{(\frac{2c}{\sigma^2})^{n+1}x^n}{n!}$  $\frac{n+1}{n!}e^{-\frac{2c}{\sigma^2}x}$  is the probability density function of  $Erlang(n+1, 2c/\sigma^2)$ . Proof.

$$
E[e^{\theta(R_t - x)}] = e^{t\psi_R(\theta)},
$$

where

$$
\psi_R(\theta) = c\theta - \lambda + \lambda e^{-\lambda + \lambda \frac{\delta}{\delta + \theta}} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \theta^2.
$$

Then, we have

$$
\int_0^\infty e^{-\theta x} W^{(q)}(x) dx = \frac{1}{\psi_R(\theta) - q} = \frac{1}{\theta} \frac{1}{c - \frac{\lambda(1 - e^{-\lambda + \lambda \frac{\delta}{\delta + \theta}}) + q}{\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \theta}
$$
  

$$
= \frac{1}{c\theta} \frac{c/(c + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \theta)}{1 - \frac{\lambda(1 - e^{-\lambda + \lambda \frac{\delta}{\delta + \theta}}) + q}{\sigma^2} \frac{c}{c + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \theta}}
$$
  

$$
= \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{n=0}^\infty (\frac{\lambda(1 - e^{-\lambda}) + q}{c} \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda}}{c} \frac{1}{\theta} (e^{\frac{\lambda \delta}{\delta + \theta}} - 1))^n (\frac{c}{c + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \theta})^{n+1},
$$

as long as

$$
\left| \frac{\lambda(1 - e^{-\lambda + \lambda \frac{\delta}{\delta + \theta}}) + q}{c\theta} \frac{c}{c + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \theta} \right| < 1.
$$

The convolution theorem of Laplace transform $[21]$  states that the Laplace transform of two functions equal to the Laplace transform of the convolution of these two functions. Applying this theorem and utilizing  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(\frac{1}{\theta})$  $\left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right) = 1, \, \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\left(\frac{c}{c + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \theta}\right)^{n+1}\right) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\left(\frac{2c/\sigma^2}{2c/\sigma^2 + \theta}\right)^{n+1}\right) = \frac{\left(\frac{2c}{\sigma^2}\right)^{n+1} x^n}{n!}$  $\frac{n+1}{n!}e^{-\frac{2c}{\sigma^2}x}$ , which is the density function of Erlang distribution with parameters of  $(n+1, 2c/\sigma^2)$ , and

$$
\mathcal{L}^{-1}(e^{\frac{\lambda\delta}{\delta+\theta}}-1) = \frac{e^{-\delta x}\sqrt{\delta\lambda} \cdot I_1(2\sqrt{\delta\lambda x})}{\sqrt{x}},\tag{21}
$$

<span id="page-13-1"></span><span id="page-13-0"></span>we have

$$
W^{(q)}(x) = \pi * \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\lambda (1 - e^{-\lambda}) + q}{c} \pi - \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda}}{c} \pi * G \right)^{n} * F_{n+1}(x)
$$
  
=  $\pi * \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F^{*n} * F_{n+1}(x).$  (22)



Remark 3.6. Consider the model

$$
\hat{R}_t = x + ct - \sum_{i=0}^{V_t^{(2)}} \xi_i.
$$

From the calculations in the proof of Proposition [3.5](#page-12-0) above, it is easy to see that the scale function for this model is

$$
\hat{W}_{\hat{R}}^{(q)}(x) = \pi * \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F^{*n}(x).
$$

This scale function can be obtained as a limit of the scale function  $W_R^{(q)}$  $\chi_R^{(q)}(x)$  when  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ , i.e.,  $\hat{W}^{(q)}_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}$  $\lim_{\hat{R}}(q)(x) = \lim_{\sigma \to 0} W_R^{(q)}$  $\Gamma_R^{(q)}(x)$ . To see this observe that

$$
\lim_{\sigma \to 0} F_{n+1}(x) = \begin{cases} +\infty, & x = 0 \\ 0, & otherwise, \end{cases}
$$

and hence  $F_{n+1}(x)$  converges to the Dirac delta function:  $D(x) = +\infty$  when  $x = 0$  and  $D(x) = 0$ when  $x \neq 0$ . Since for any continuous function f one has  $f * D = f$ , by taking limit to the expression [\(22\)](#page-13-0) one obtains the claim.

The key observation that made the analytical calculation of the scale function for  $R_t$  possible was the relation  $(21)$ . Below we use this relation to derive the scale function for a more complex risk process.To this end, suppose our risk process is given by

$$
\tilde{R}_t = u + ct - \sum_{i=0}^{V_t^{(2)}} \eta_i + \sigma W_t,
$$

where  $\eta_i$  are i.i.d with density functions  $\eta_i(x) \sim \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j \delta_j e^{-\delta_j x}$  (here  $\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j = 1$ ) for all  $i \ge 1$ (mixture of Exponential random variables). For risk process  $\tilde{R}_t$  we can calculate the scale function analytically also. First we need its Laplace exponent.

$$
E[e^{\theta(\tilde{R}_t - u)}] = e^{t\tilde{\psi}_R(\theta)},
$$

where

$$
\tilde{\psi}_R(\theta) = c\theta - \lambda + \lambda e^{-\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda \frac{\alpha_j \delta_j}{\delta_j + \theta}} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \theta^2.
$$

**Proposition 3.7.** The scale function  $\tilde{W}_{\tilde{P}}^{(q)}$  $\tilde{R}^{(q)}(\vec{x})$  of the risk process  $\tilde{R}$  is given by

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
\tilde{W}_{\tilde{R}}^{(q)}(x) = \pi * \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\lambda (1 - e^{-\lambda}) + q}{c} \pi - \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda}}{c} \pi * G \right)^{*n} * F_{n+1}(x),\tag{23}
$$

where

$$
G(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} G_i(x) + \sum_{i \neq j}^{N} G_i(x) * G_j(x) + \cdots + G_1(x) * \cdots * G_N(x).
$$

with  $G_i(x) \sim \frac{e^{-\delta x \sqrt{\lambda \alpha_i \delta_i} \cdot I_1(2\sqrt{\lambda \alpha_i \delta_i x})}}{\sqrt{x}}$ . In [\(23\)](#page-14-0),  $F_{n+1}(x)$  and  $\pi(x)$  are defined as in Proposition [3.5](#page-12-0) above. Proof. Then, we have

$$
\int_0^\infty e^{-\theta x} W^{(q)}(x) dx = \frac{1}{\psi_R(\theta) - q}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\theta} \frac{1}{c - \frac{\lambda(1 - e^{-\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda \frac{\alpha_j \delta_j}{\delta_j + \theta}}) + q}{\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \theta} = \frac{1}{\theta} \frac{c/(c + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \theta)}{1 - \frac{\lambda(1 - e^{-\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda \frac{\alpha_j \delta_j}{\delta_j + \theta}}) + q}{\sigma \theta} \frac{c}{c + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \theta}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left( \frac{\lambda(1 - e^{-\lambda}) + q}{c} \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda}}{c} \frac{1}{\theta} \right)
$$
\n
$$
\times \left( \sum_{i=1}^N (e^{\frac{\lambda \alpha_i \delta_i}{\delta_i + \theta}} - 1) + \sum_{i \neq j}^N (e^{\frac{\lambda \alpha_i \delta_i}{\delta_i + \theta}} - 1)(e^{\frac{\lambda \alpha_j \delta_j}{\delta_j + \theta}} - 1) + \dots + \prod_{i=1}^N (e^{\frac{\lambda \alpha_i \delta_i}{\delta_i + \theta}} - 1) \right)^n \left( \frac{c}{c + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \theta} \right)^{n+1}.
$$

Since  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(\frac{1}{\theta})$  $\left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right) = 1, \, \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left((\frac{c}{c + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\theta})^{n+1}\right) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left((\frac{2c/\sigma^2}{2c/\sigma^2 + \theta})^{n+1}\right) = \frac{(\frac{2c}{\sigma^2})^{n+1}x^n}{n!}$  $\frac{n+1}{n!}e^{-\frac{2c}{\sigma^2}x}$  is the probability density function of Erlang distribution with parameters of  $(n+1, 2c/\sigma^2)$  and

$$
\mathcal{L}^{-1}(e^{\frac{\lambda \alpha_i \delta_i}{\delta_i + \theta}} - 1) = \frac{e^{-\delta_i x} \sqrt{\lambda \alpha_i \delta_i} \cdot I_1(2\sqrt{\lambda \alpha_i \delta_i x})}{\sqrt{x}},
$$

 $\frac{n+1x^n}{n!}e^{-\frac{2c}{\sigma^2}x}$ ,  $G_i(x) = \frac{e^{-\delta x}\sqrt{\lambda\alpha_i\delta_i}\cdot I_1(2\sqrt{\lambda\alpha_i\delta_i x})}{\sqrt{x}}$ ,  $\pi(x) = 1$  on  $[0, +\infty)$  and zero If we let  $F_{n+1}(x) = \frac{(\frac{2c}{\sigma^2})^{n+1}x^n}{n!}$  $\Box$ otherwise.

**Remark 3.8.** When  $N = 2$ , we have

$$
W^{(q)}(x) = \pi * \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\lambda(1 - e^{-\lambda}) + q}{c} \pi - \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda}}{c} \pi * (G_1 + G_2 + G_1 * G_2) \right)^{n} * F_{n+1}(x).
$$

# 4 Appendix

#### 4.1 Moments of MIPP

From [\(2\)](#page-3-1), we can easily calculate the moments of  $V_t^{(n)}$  as follows

$$
E[V_t^{(n)}]^m = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} P(V_t^{(n-1)} = k) B_m(\lambda k).
$$
 (24)

The Bell polynomial has the following property  $B_m(x) = x \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} {m-1 \choose j} B_j(x)$ , where  $B_0(x) = 1$  and  $B_1(x) = x.$ 

i) When  $\lambda \neq 1$ , we obtain the first four moments by induction.

<span id="page-16-0"></span>
$$
E[V_t^{(n)}] = \lambda^n t,
$$
  
\n
$$
E[V_t^{(n)}]^2 = \lambda^{2n} t^2 + \frac{1 - \lambda^n}{1 - \lambda} \lambda^n t,
$$
  
\n
$$
E[V_t^{(n)}]^3 = \lambda^{3n} t^3 + \frac{3\lambda^{2n} t^2 (1 - \lambda^n)}{1 - \lambda} + \frac{3\lambda^{n+1} t (1 - \lambda^{2n-2})}{(1 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda^2)} - \frac{3\lambda^{2n} t (1 - \lambda^{n-1})}{(1 - \lambda)^2} + \frac{\lambda^n t (1 - \lambda^{2n})}{1 - \lambda^2},
$$
  
\n
$$
E[V_t^{(n)}]^4 = \lambda^{4n} t^4 + \frac{6\lambda^{3n} t^3 (1 - \lambda^n)}{1 - \lambda} + \frac{18\lambda^{2n+1} t^2 (1 - \lambda^{2n-2})}{(1 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda^2)} - \frac{18\lambda^{3n} t^2 (1 - \lambda^{n-1})}{(1 - \lambda)^2} + \frac{18\lambda^{n+3} t (1 - \lambda^{3n-3})}{(1 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda^2)(1 - \lambda^3)} - \frac{18\lambda^{3n-1} t (1 - \lambda^{n-1})}{(1 - \lambda)^2 (1 - \lambda^2)} - \frac{18\lambda^{2n+1} t (1 - \lambda^{2n-2})}{(1 - \lambda)^2 (1 - \lambda^2)} + \frac{18\lambda^{3n-1} t (1 - \lambda^{n-1})}{(1 - \lambda)^3} + \frac{6\lambda^{n+2} t (1 - \lambda^{3n-3})}{(1 - \lambda^2)(1 - \lambda^3)} - \frac{6\lambda^{3n} t (1 - \lambda^{n-1})}{(1 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda^2)} + \frac{7\lambda^{2n} t^2 (1 - \lambda^{2n})}{1 - \lambda^2} + \frac{7\lambda^{n+1} t (1 - \lambda^{3n-3})}{(1 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda^3)} - \frac{7\lambda^{2n} t (1 - \lambda^{2n-2})}{(1 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda^2)} + \frac{\lambda^n t (1 - \lambda^{3n})}{1 - \lambda^3}.
$$
\n(11.

Then, the variance, skewness and kurtosis can be calculated as

<span id="page-16-1"></span>
$$
Var(V_t^{(n)}) = E[V_t^{(n)}]^2 - (E[V_t^{(n)}])^2 = \frac{1 - \lambda^n}{1 - \lambda} \lambda^n t,
$$
  
\n
$$
Skew(V_t^{(n)}) = E\left[\left(\frac{V_t^{(n)} - E[V_t^{(n)}]}{\sigma}\right)^3\right] = \frac{\lambda^{n+1} + 2\lambda^n - 2\lambda - 1}{(\lambda^2 - 1)\sqrt{\frac{\lambda^n - 1}{\lambda - 1}\lambda^n t}},
$$
  
\n
$$
Kurt(V_t^{(n)}) = E\left[\left(\frac{V_t^{(n)} - E[V_t^{(n)}]}{\sigma}\right)^4\right] = \frac{\left(1 + 6\lambda + 5\lambda^2 + 6\lambda^3 - 6\lambda^n + 6\lambda^{2n} - 12\lambda^{n+1}\right)}{\lambda^n(\lambda^2 - 1)(\lambda^2 + \lambda + 1)(\lambda^n - 1)t} + 3.
$$
\n(26)

ii) When  $\lambda = 1$ , we can take the limit of  $\lambda \to 1$  in [\(25\)](#page-16-0), [\(26\)](#page-16-1) and get

$$
E[V_t^{(n)}] = t,
$$
  
\n
$$
Var(V_t^{(n)}) = nt,
$$
  
\n
$$
Skew(V_t^{(n)}) = \frac{3n-1}{2\sqrt{nt}},
$$
  
\n
$$
Kurt(V_t^{(n)}) = \frac{6n^2 - 5n + 1}{2nt} + 3.
$$

iii) We can easily check that when  $0 < \lambda < 1$  we have

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} Skew(V_t^{(n)}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\lambda^{n+1} + 2\lambda^n - 2\lambda - 1}{(\lambda^2 - 1)\sqrt{\frac{\lambda^n(\lambda^n - 1)t}{\lambda - 1}}} = \infty, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} Kurt(V_t^{(n)}) = +\infty,
$$

and when  $\lambda > 1$  we have

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} Skew(V_t^{(n)}) = \frac{\lambda + 2}{(\lambda + 1)\sqrt{(\lambda - 1)t}}, \lim_{n \to \infty} Kurt(V_t^{(n)}) = \frac{6 + 6\lambda + 5\lambda^2 + \lambda^3}{(\lambda^2 - 1)(\lambda^2 + \lambda + 1)t}.
$$

## References

- <span id="page-18-12"></span>[1] S. Asmussen and H. Albrecher. Ruin Probabilities. 2nd. World Scientific, 2010.
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>[2] K. Buchak and L. Sakhno. "Properties of Poisson processes directed by compound Poisson-Gamma subordinators". In: Modern Stochastics: Theory and Applications 5 (2) (2018), pp. 167– 189.
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>[3] P. K. Clark. "A subordinated stochastic process model with fixed variance for speculative prices". In: Econometrica 41 (1973), pp. 135–156.
- <span id="page-18-14"></span>[4] S. Cohen et al. "The theory of scale functions for spectrally negative Lévy processes". In: Lévy Matters II: Recent Progress in Theory and Applications: Fractional Lévy Fields, and Scale Functions (2013), pp. 97–186.
- <span id="page-18-10"></span>[5] H. Cramér. "Historical review of Filip Lundberg's works on risk theory". In: Scandinavian Actuarial Journal 1969 (1969), pp. 6–12.
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>[6] A. D. Crescenzo, B. Martinucci, and S. Zacks. "Compound poisson process with a poisson subordinator". In: J. Appl. Prob 52 (2015), pp. 360–374.
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>[7] A. Di Crescenzo and B. Martinucci. "On a First-Passage-Time Problem for the Compound Power-Law Process". In: Stochastic Models 25.3 (2009), pp. 420–435.
- <span id="page-18-13"></span>[8] F. Delbaen and J. Haezendonck. "Martingales in Markov processes applied to risk theory". In: Insurance: Mathematics and Economics 5 (1986), pp. 201–215.
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>[9] H. Geman. "From measure changes to time changes in asset pricing". In: Journal of Banking  $\mathscr B$  Finance 29.11 (2005), pp. 2701–2722.
- <span id="page-18-11"></span>[10] H.U. Gerber. An introduction to Mathematical Risk Theory. Huebner Foundation Monographs, 1979.
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>[11] K. Itô and H. P. McKean. *Diffusion Processes and Their Sample Paths*. Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 1965.
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>[12] C. Klüppelberg, C. Kuhn, and R. A. Maller. "Ruin probabilities and overshoots for general Lévy insurance risk processes". In: The Annals of Applied Probability 14.2 (2004), pp. 1766– 1801.
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>[13] M. T. Lee and G. A. Whitmore. "Stochastic processes directed by randomized time". In: Journal of Applied Probability 30.2 (1993).
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>[14] F. Lundberg. "1.Approximerad Framstallning af Sannolikhetsfunktionen; 2.Aterforsakring af kollektivrisker, Akademisk afhandling, Uppsala". In: (1903).
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>[15] H. McKean. "Brownian Motion and the General Diffusion: Scale & Clock". In: Mathematical Finance — Bachelier Congress 2000. Ed. by H. Geman et al. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2002, pp. 75–83.
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>[16] M. Meerschaert, E. Nane, and P. Vellaisamy. "The Fractional Poisson Process and the Inverse Stable Subordinator". In: Electronic Journal of Probability 16 (2011), pp. 1600–1620.
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>[17] E. Orsingher. "Fractional Poisson processes". In: Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae 76.1 (2013), pp. 139–145.
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>[18] E. Orsingher and F. Polito. "Compositions, random sums and continued random fractions of Poisson and fractional Poisson processes". In: Journal of Statistical Physics 148.2 (2012), pp. 233–249.
- <span id="page-19-4"></span>[19] E. Orsingher and F. Polito. "The space-fractional Poisson process". In: Statistics & Probability Letters 82.4 (2012), pp. 852–858.
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>[20] E. Orsingher and B. Toaldo. "Counting processes with Bernstein intertimes and random jumps". In: Journal of Applied Probability 52.4 (2015), pp. 1028–1044.
- <span id="page-19-7"></span>[21] P. Polyanin and A. V. Manzhirov. Handbook of Integral Equations: Second Edition (2nd ed.) Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2008.
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>[22] T. Rolski et al. Stochastic Processes for Insurance and Finance. Wiley & Sons, New York, 1999.
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>[23] V. Volkonski. "Random time changes in strong Markov processes". In: Theory of Probability and its Applications 3 (1958), pp. 310–326.