

**POLARIZATIONS AND CONVERGENCES OF HOLOMORPHIC
SECTIONS ON THE TANGENT BUNDLE OF A
BOHR-SOMMERFELD LAGRANGIAN SUBMANIFOLD**

YUSAKU TIBA

ABSTRACT. Let (M, ω) be a Kähler manifold and let (L, ∇) be a prequantum line bundle over M . Let $X \subset M$ be a Bohr-Sommerfeld Lagrangian submanifold of (L, ∇) . In this paper, we study an asymptotic behaviour of holomorphic sections of L^k as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Our first result shows that the L^2 -norm of sections of L^k are bounded below around X if these sections converge on X under a suitable trivialization of L^k . Since X is a Lagrangian submanifold, we consider that a neighborhood of X is embedded in the tangent bundle TX . Let $\Psi_k : TX \rightarrow TX$ be a multiplication by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}$ in the fibers. The pullback of the Kähler polarization by Ψ_k converges to the real polarization, whose leaves are fibers of TX , as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be holomorphic sections of L^k near X . By trivializing L^k , we consider f_k as a function. In our second result, we show that $\Psi^* f_k$ converges to a fiberwise constant function on TX as $k \rightarrow \infty$ under some condition on Sobolev norms of f_k .

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 32A25, 53D12

1. INTRODUCTION

Any continuous function on the unit circle $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ can be approximated uniformly by polynomials in $e^{\sqrt{-1}m\theta}$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$). If we replace $e^{\sqrt{-1}m\theta}$ with z^m , continuous functions can be approximated by holomorphic functions on a neighborhood of S^1 . Such an approximation theorem is generalized to higher-dimensional cases. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a totally real compact submanifold. Let f be a continuous function on X . Then f can be approximated uniformly by holomorphic functions defined on a neighborhood of X (see Theorem 1 of [19]).

We consider a corresponding theorem for holomorphic sections of a line bundle. Let (M, ω) be a Kähler manifold of complex dimension n with a complex structure J . Let (L, ∇, h) be a holomorphic prequantum line bundle over M with a Hermitian metric h . That is, ∇ is the Chern connection of (L, h) whose curvature form is $F^\nabla = -2\sqrt{-1}\omega$. We denote the k -th tensor power of L by L^k . Let g_1, g_2 be sections of L^k on M . We define the integral product $(g_1, g_2)_{h^k} = \int_M \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle_{h^k} \frac{\omega^n}{n!}$ where $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle_{h^k}$ is the pointwise scalar product. Put $\|g_1\|_{h^k}^2 = (g_1, g_1)_{h^k}$ and $|g_1|_{h^k}^2 = \langle g_1, g_1 \rangle_{h^k}$. We denote by $L^2(M, L^k)$ the Hilbert space of L^2 -integrable sections of L^k , and denote by $\Gamma(M, L^k)$ the space of holomorphic sections of L^k over M . Let $X \subset M$ be a compact Lagrangian submanifold of (M, ω) . We call X a Bohr-Sommerfeld Lagrangian submanifold if the restricted line bundle $(L|_X, \nabla|_X)$ is a trivial line bundle, that is, there exists a smooth section ζ of $L|_X$ on X such that $\nabla|_X \zeta = 0$ and that $|\zeta|_h = 1$. Since any continuous function can be approximated uniformly by a smooth one on X , we will only consider smooth functions. Let $f \in C^\infty(X)$. If M is compact, there exists a sequence of holomorphic sections $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ ($f_k \in \Gamma(M, L^k)$) such that f_k/ζ^k approximate f uniformly on X . A

straightforward way to construct such a sequence is to take the Bergman projection of a distribution $f\zeta^k dv_X$. Here dv_X is the volume density on X induced by the Riemannian metric $g_\omega(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$. Let $K_k(z, w)$ ($z, w \in M$) be the Bergman kernel of L^k . $K_k(z, w)$ is the Schwartz kernel of the orthogonal projection from $L^2(M, L^k)$ to $L^2(M, L^k) \cap \Gamma(M, L^k)$. Put

$$s_{f,k}(z) = \left(\frac{\pi}{2k}\right)^{n/2} \int_X K_k(z, x) f(x) \zeta^k(x) dv_X(x).$$

$\left(\frac{2k}{\pi}\right)^{n/2} s_{f,k}$ represents the quantizations of $(X, f dv_X)$ at Planck's constant $1/k$. An asymptotic behaviour of $s_{f,k}$ is extensively studied (e.g., [4], [7], [20], [15]). It is known that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_{f,k}|_X}{\zeta^k} = f$ uniformly on X , and that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2k}{\pi}\right)^{n/2} \|s_{f,k}\|_{h^k}^2 = \int_X |f|^2 dv_X$$

(see Section 7). The following proposition shows that $(s_{f,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a minimum L^2 -norm sequence which approximates f .

Proposition 1. *Assume that M is compact. Let f be a smooth function on X . Let $f_k \in \Gamma(M, L^k)$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) be a sequence of holomorphic sections such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_k|_X}{\zeta^k} = f$ in the L^2 -norm on X with respect to the measure dv_X . Then*

$$(1) \quad \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2k}{\pi}\right)^{n/2} \|f_k\|_{h^k}^2 \geq \int_X |f|^2 dv_X,$$

and the equation holds if and only if $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{n/2} \|f_k - s_{f,k}\|_{h^k}^2 = 0$.

The proof of the above proposition is simple and will be given in Section 2. In this paper, we investigate Proposition 1 further. The Bergman kernel decays rapidly off the diagonal as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $s_{f,k}$ becomes very small away from X and is concentrated around X . Our interest lies in what happens to holomorphic sections around X . The first main result (Theorem 2) shows that the inequality (1) holds *micro-locally* around X (see Section 4).

The second main result (Theorem 3) is motivated by the geometric quantization. A polarization on a symplectic manifold (M, ω) is a Lagrangian and integrable subbundle \mathcal{P} of $TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$, that is, \mathcal{P} satisfies $\omega(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}) = 0$, $\text{rank } \mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{R}} M$, and $[\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}] \subset \mathcal{P}$. We call \mathcal{P} a real polarization if \mathcal{P} is given by the complexification of a subbundle of TM . By completing the space of \mathcal{P} -parallel sections of prequantum line bundles in some sense, we get a Hilbert space. Geometric quantization associates (M, ω) to this Hilbert space. For example, if (M, ω) is a Kähler manifold with a complex structure J , there exists the Kähler polarization $\mathcal{P}_J = T^{(0,1)}M$, and the Hilbert space $\Gamma(M, L^k) \cap L^2(M, L^k)$ is regarded as the quantum phase space of X with the Planck constant $h = 1/k$. Letting k tend to infinity corresponds to letting h tend to 0, which is referred to as the *semiclassical limit*, and asymptotic results as $k \rightarrow \infty$ expected to recover the laws of classical mechanics. In some cases, real polarizations are interpreted as degenerate Kähler polarizations under deformations of complex structures, and the convergence of these Hilbert spaces is studied (e.g., [1], [2], [13], [14]). Now we explain our setup in detail. Let (M, ω) be a Kähler manifold of complex dimension n , (L, ∇, h) be a prequantum line bundle, and $X \subset M$ be a Bohr-Sommerfeld Lagrangian submanifold.

Let $U \subset M$ be a sufficiently small neighborhood of X . Since X is a Lagrangian submanifold, we can identify the normal bundle JTX of X with its tangent bundle TX via J . By taking a tubular neighborhood of X , we may assume $U \subset TX$. We denote by $\Pi : TX \rightarrow X$ the projection map. There exists an isomorphism from TX to T^*X that maps $\nu \in TX$ to $g_\omega(\cdot, \nu) \in T^*X$. Then, we have a symplectic form ω_0 on TX , which is the pull back of the canonical symplectic form on T^*X by this isomorphism. Let $\mathcal{P}_J = T^{(0,1)}U$ be the Kähler polarization of (U, ω) . Let $\mathcal{P}_0 = \text{Ker } d\Pi \subset TTX$, that is, the vertical polarization of (TX, ω_0) . We define $\Psi_k : TX \rightarrow TX$ as the multiplication by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}$ in the fibers of TX . Since $\omega = -\omega_0$ on X , we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{k} \Psi_k^* \omega = -\omega_0$. Let E be a vector field on X and let E^v be the vertical lift of E on TX (see Section 5 for the definition of the vertical lift). We have $\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} ((\Psi_{k*})^{-1}(E^v + \sqrt{-1}JE^v))(\nu) = E^v(\nu)$ for any $\nu \in TX$ (see Section 5). This implies that the pullback of $(\sqrt{k}\omega, \mathcal{P}_J)$ by Ψ_k converges to $(-\omega_0, \mathcal{P}_0)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be holomorphic sections of L^k near X . By trivializing L^k , we consider f_k as a function. Then Theorem 3 shows that the pullback of f_k by Ψ_k converges to a fiberwise constant function on TX as $k \rightarrow \infty$ under some condition on Sobolev norms of f_k . See Section 6 for precise statement of Theorem 3 and this condition. We also explain a relation between Theorem 3 and $(s_{f,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let s be a smooth section of L on U such that s is an almost holomorphic extension of the ∇ -parallel section $\zeta \in C^\infty(X, L|_X)$ (see Section 2). In Section 7, we prove the asymptotic expansion of $(s_{f,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, and prove that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_k^*(s_{f,k}/s^k) = \Pi^* f$ uniformly on any compact subset of TX as in Theorem 3. Although the asymptotic expansion formula of $(s_{f,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is already known, we provide a proof of this formula since the derivation and our setup are somewhat different from previous works.

We introduce some notation. We write $f \lesssim g$ to mean that $|f| \leq c|g|$ for some constant $c > 0$ which does not depend on k . We denote $\int_V |g|_{h^k}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!}$ by $\|g\|_{V, h^k}^2$ for L^2 -integrable section g of L^k on an open subset $V \subset M$. Let α be a form (resp. an L^k -valued form). We denote by $|\alpha|_\omega$ (resp. $|\alpha|_{h^k, \omega}$) the pointwise norm of α with respect to g_ω .

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank Kota Hattori for valuable advice. This work was supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KAKENHI No. 21K03266).

2. ASYMPTOTIC APPROXIMATION BY HOLOMORPHIC SECTIONS

Let (M, ω) be a Kähler manifold of complex dimension n and let (L, ∇, h) be a holomorphic prequantum line bundle on M . Let X be a Bohr-Sommerfeld Lagrangian submanifolds in M and let ζ be a smooth section on X such that $\nabla|_X \zeta = 0$ and that $|\zeta| = 1$. Let $U \subset M$ be a sufficiently small tubular neighborhood of X . Because ζ is a non-vanishing smooth section of $L|_X$, we have $L|_U$ is trivial smooth line bundle. The Oka principle shows that $L|_U$ is trivial holomorphic line bundle. We take a non-vanishing holomorphic section $s_0 \in \Gamma(U, L)$. Take an almost holomorphic extension $\xi \in C^\infty(U)$ of ζ/s_0 , that is, $\xi = \zeta/s_0$ on X and $\bar{\partial}\xi$ vanishes to any order on X . Put $s = \xi s_0 \in C^\infty(U, L)$. Then $\bar{\partial}s$ vanishes any order on X . Furthermore, by Proposition 1 of [22], it follows that $\nabla s = 0$, $\log |s|^2 = 0$ and $d \log |s|^2 = 0$ on X . Let $p \in X$ and let V be a sufficiently small neighborhood of p in M . Let E_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) be vector fields on $X \cap V$ such that $\{(E_1)_q, \dots, (E_n)_q\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_q X$ at any

$q \in X \cap V$. Let J be the complex structure of M and let $g_\omega(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$ be the Riemannian metric induced by ω . Since X is a Lagrangian submanifold, the subspace $T_q X \subset T_q M$ is orthogonal to $\langle (JE_1)_q, \dots, (JE_n)_q \rangle$ for $q \in X \cap V$. We take a smooth coordinate $(x, y) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ on V such that $(0, \dots, 0)$ corresponds to p , $(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0, \dots, 0)$ corresponds to a point in $X \cap V$, and $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ corresponds to $\exp_{(x,0)}(y_1 JE_1 + \dots + y_n JE_n) \in M$. Here \exp is the exponential map of the Riemannian manifold (M, g_ω) . Put $\varphi_0 = -\log |s_0|_h^2$ and $\varphi = -\log |s|_h^2 = -\log |\xi|^2 + \varphi_0$. Then $\omega = \frac{i}{2} F^\nabla = \frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi_0 = \frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} (\varphi + \log |\xi|^2)$. Note that $\log |s|_h^2$ vanishes to order two and $\partial \bar{\partial} \log |\xi|^2$ vanishes to any order on X . Since $\omega(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, J\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}) = \delta_{ij}$ on X , we have $\varphi(x, y) = 2|y|^2 + O(|y|^3)$ on V (see the first part of Section 4 of [22]). Let dv_X be the volume density on X induced by the Riemannian metric g_ω . Using the local coordinate system (x, y) , we consider V as an open subset of $(X \cap V) \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $dv_X dy_1 \cdots dy_n$ be the product measure on $(X \cap V) \times \mathbb{R}^n$. We have $\frac{\omega^n}{n!} = (1 + O(|y|)) dv_X dy_1 \cdots dy_n$ around $X \cap V$. Denote by $d_X(z)$ the distance from $z \in M$ to X with respect to g_ω . Let $f \in C^\infty(X)$. Now we introduce two different procedures to approximate smooth sections $f s^k$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) of L^k on X asymptotically by holomorphic sections.

(A) Assume that M is either a compact manifold or a Stein manifold. If M is a Stein manifold, we also assume that the Ricci form $\text{Ric}(\omega)$ satisfies $\text{Ric}(\omega) \geq -C\omega$ on M for some $C > 0$. Let $\tilde{f} \in C^\infty(U)$ be an almost holomorphic extension of f , that is, $f = \tilde{f}$ on X and $\bar{\partial} \tilde{f}$ vanishes to any order on X . Let $\kappa \in C_0^\infty(U)$ be a smooth function such that $\kappa = 1$ on a neighborhood of X . Put $\alpha_k = \kappa \tilde{f} s^k \in C^\infty(M, L^k)$. Then

$$\bar{\partial} \alpha_k = \bar{\partial} (\kappa \tilde{f} \xi^k) s_0^k = (\tilde{f} \bar{\partial} \kappa + \kappa \bar{\partial} \tilde{f} + k \kappa \tilde{f} \frac{\bar{\partial} \xi}{\xi}) s^k.$$

Since U is sufficiently small, we may assume that $\varphi(z) \geq d_X(z)^2$ on U . Hence we have

$$\|\bar{\partial} \alpha_k\|_{h^k}^2 \lesssim \int \left(|\tilde{f} \bar{\partial} \kappa|^2 + |\kappa \bar{\partial} \tilde{f}|^2 + k^2 |\tilde{f} \kappa \bar{\partial} \xi|^2 \right) e^{-k d_X(z)^2} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = O(k^{-m})$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y|^{2m-n} e^{-k|y|^2} dy_1 \cdots dy_n = O(k^{-m})$. By regarding α_k as a section of $T^{(n,0)}U \otimes L^k$ -valued $(n, 0)$ -form, Hörmander L^2 -estimate shows that there exists $\beta_k \in C^\infty(M, L^k)$ such that $\bar{\partial} \beta_k = \alpha_k$ and that $\|\beta_k\|_{h^k} \lesssim O(k^{-m})$ for large k (see e.g., Chapter VIII, Theorem 6.1 of [8], Corollary 5.3 of [9]).

Proposition 2. *Put $F_k = \alpha_k - \beta_k \in \Gamma(M, L^k)$. Then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_k|_X}{\zeta^k} = f$ uniformly on X .*

Proof. Let $b_k \in C^\infty(U)$ such that $\beta_k = b_k s^k$. Let $p \in X$. We take an open neighborhood V of p and a smooth coordinate (x, y) on V as described above. Let $B(p, r) \subset V$ be the Euclidean ball of center $p \in X$ and radius r with respect to the coordinate (x, y) . By Lemma 15.1.8 of [12], we have

$$|b_k(p)|^2 = |b_k(p) \xi(p)^k|^2 e^{-k \varphi_0(p)} \lesssim \frac{1}{k^2} \sup_{B(p, 1/k)} |\bar{\partial}(b_k \xi^k)|_\omega^2 e^{-k \varphi_0(p)} + k^{2n} \int_{B(p, 1/k)} |b_k \xi^k|^2 e^{-k \varphi_0(p)} \frac{\omega^n}{n!}.$$

Here the first equality holds since $|\xi(p)|^2 e^{-\varphi_0(p)} = e^{-\varphi(p)} = 1$. Let $c > 0$ a positive number which is larger than C^1 -norm of φ_0 on a neighborhood of X . Then $k|\varphi_0(z) -$

$|\varphi_0(p)| \leq c$ for $z \in B(p, 1/k)$. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{B(p, 1/k)} |\bar{\partial}(b_k \xi^k)|_\omega^2 e^{-k\varphi_0(p)} &= \sup_{B(p, 1/k)} |\bar{\partial}(\kappa \tilde{f} \xi^k)|_\omega^2 e^{-k\varphi_0(p)} \lesssim \sup_{B(p, 1/k)} |\bar{\partial}(\kappa \tilde{f} \xi^k)|_\omega^2 e^{-k\varphi} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{B(p, 1/k)} (|\kappa \bar{\partial} \tilde{f}|_\omega^2 + k^2 |\kappa \tilde{f} \bar{\partial} \xi|_\omega^2) |\xi^k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} \lesssim \sup_{B(p, 1/k)} O(|y|^m) e^{-k\varphi} = O(k^{-m}) \end{aligned}$$

since $\varphi(x, y) = 2|y|^2 + O(|y|^3) \geq 0$ for y with small norm. We also have

$$\int_{B(p, 1/k)} |b_k \xi^k|^2 e^{-k\varphi_0(p)} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \lesssim \int_{B(p, 1/k)} |b_k \xi^k|^2 e^{-k\varphi_0} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \leq \|\beta_k\|_{h^k}^2 = O(k^{-m})$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $b_k \rightarrow 0$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$) and F_k/s^k converges to f uniformly on X as $k \rightarrow \infty$. \square

This $(F_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ will be used in the proof of Theorem 3 in Section 6.

(B) Assume that M is compact. Let $K_k(z, w)$ ($(z, w) \in M \times M$) be the Bergman kernel of L^k . $K_k(z, w)$ is a smooth kernel and we put $s_{f,k} = \left(\frac{\pi}{2k}\right)^{n/2} \int_X K_k(z, w) f(w) \zeta^k(w) dv_X(w)$.

As stated in Section 1, $\frac{s_{f,k}|_X}{\zeta^k}$ converges to f uniformly on X and that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2k}{\pi}\right)^{n/2} \|s_{f,k}\|_{h^k}^2 = \int_X |f|^2 dv_X$ (see also Theorem 4). Furthermore, $s_{f,k}$ has the following fundamental property.

Proposition 3 (Proposition 3.4 of [15]). *For any $g \in \Gamma(M, L^k)$, we have the following reproducing property:*

$$(g, s_{f,k})_{h^k} = \left(\frac{\pi}{2k}\right)^{n/2} \int_X \langle g, f \zeta^k \rangle_{h^k} dv_X.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (g, s_{f,k})_{h^k} &= \left(\frac{\pi}{2k}\right)^{n/2} \int_M \langle g(z), \int_X K_k(z, w) f(w) \zeta^k(w) dv_X(w) \rangle_{h^k} \frac{\omega^n(z)}{n!} \\ &= \left(\frac{\pi}{2k}\right)^{n/2} \int_X \langle \int_M K_k(w, z) g(z) \frac{\omega^n(z)}{n!}, f(w) \zeta^k(w) \rangle_{h^k} dv_X(w) \\ &= \left(\frac{\pi}{2k}\right)^{n/2} \int_X \langle g(w), f(w) \zeta^k(w) \rangle_{h^k} dv_X(w). \end{aligned}$$

\square

We now give the proof of Proposition 1.

proof of Proposition 1. By Proposition 3, we have

$$\left(\frac{2k}{\pi}\right)^{n/2} (f_k, s_{f,k})_{h^k} = \int_X \langle f_k, f \zeta^k \rangle_{h^k} dv_X \rightarrow \int_X |f|^2 dv_X \quad (k \rightarrow \infty).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2k}{\pi}\right)^{n/2} \|f_k - s_{f,k}\|_{h^k}^2 = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2k}{\pi}\right)^{n/2} (\|f_k\|_{h^k}^2 - (s_{f,k}, f_k)_{h^k} - (f_k, s_{f,k})_{h^k} + \|s_{f,k}\|_{h^k}^2) \\ &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2k}{\pi}\right)^{n/2} \|f_k\|_{h^k}^2 - \int_X |f|^2 dv_X. \end{aligned}$$

\square

3. MONGE-AMPERE MEASURE ON GRAUERT TUBES

Let (M, ω) be a (non-compact) Kähler manifold of complex dimension n with a complex structure J . Let $X \subset M$ be a totally real submanifold of real dimension n . Let $g_\omega(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$ be the Riemannian metric on M . Let g_X be the Riemannian metric on X induced by g_ω and dv_X be a volume density on X . We assume that there exists a non-negative smooth plurisubharmonic function ρ on M such that $\omega = \frac{i}{2}\partial\bar{\partial}\rho$, $\rho^{-1}(0) = X$, and that $\sqrt{\rho}$ satisfies the following Monge-Ampère equation on $M \setminus X$: $(i\partial\bar{\partial}\sqrt{\rho})^n = 0$. In this case, we call M a Grauert tube, and X naturally forms a Lagrangian submanifold of (M, ω) . We have $\sqrt{\rho}$ is a continuous plurisubharmonic function on M , and $(dd^c\sqrt{\rho})^n = (2i\partial\bar{\partial}\sqrt{\rho})^n$ defines a Monge-Ampère measure whose support is contained in X . See Chapter III, Section 3 of [8] for the definition of the Monge-Ampère measure. In this section, we are only concerned with a small neighborhood of the submanifold X . Hence we may regard M as a tubular neighborhood of X . By identifying the normal bundle JTX with TX , we consider M as an open subset of TX . Then it follows that $\rho(\nu) = 2|\nu|^2$ for $\nu \in TX$ (see e.g., Theorem 3.1 of [17] and the references therein). Here $|\nu|$ is the norm of ν with respect to the Riemannian metric g_X on X induced by g_ω .

Proposition 4. *Assume that M is a Grauert tube. As a measure on X , we have*

$$(dd^c\sqrt{\rho})^n = \sqrt{2}^n n! \sigma_n dv_X,$$

where $\sigma_n = \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+1)}$ is the volume of an n -dimensional unit ball.

For the proof, we need the following Demailly-Jensen-Lelong formula:

Theorem 1 ((6.5) of [8]). *Let M be a Stein manifold and ϕ be a continuous plurisubharmonic function on M . Assume that the sublevel set $B_\phi(r) = \{z \in M \mid \phi(z) < r\} \subset M$ is relatively compact for any $r < \sup_M \phi$. Then*

$$\int \tilde{u} d\mu_r - \int_{B_\phi(r)} \tilde{u} (dd^c\phi)^n = \int_{-\infty}^r dt \int_{B_\phi(t)} dd^c\tilde{u} \wedge (dd^c\phi)^{n-1}$$

for any $\tilde{u} \in C^\infty(M)$. Here $d\mu_r$ is a measure whose support is contained in $\{z \in M \mid \phi(z) = r\}$. If ϕ is smooth and $d\phi \neq 0$ on a neighborhood of $\partial B_\phi(r)$, $d\mu_r$ is equal to the pullback of $d^c\phi \wedge (dd^c\phi)^{n-1}$ by the inclusion map from $\partial B_\phi(r)$ to M .

We note that \tilde{u} is assumed to be plurisubharmonic in [8]. However, this assumption is not necessary since any smooth function can be represented as a difference of two plurisubharmonic functions.

proof of Proposition 4. Let $u \in C^\infty(X)$ and let $\tilde{u} \in C^\infty(M)$ be an almost holomorphic extension of u , that is, $\tilde{u} = u$ and $\bar{\partial}\tilde{u}$ vanishes to infinite order on X . The Demailly-Jensen-Lelong formula (Theorem 1) gives

$$(2) \quad \int_{\partial B_{\sqrt{\rho}}(r)} \tilde{u}^* (d^c\sqrt{\rho} \wedge (dd^c\sqrt{\rho})^{n-1}) - \int_X u (dd^c\sqrt{\rho})^n = \int_0^r dt \int_{B_{\sqrt{\rho}}(t)} dd^c\tilde{u} \wedge (dd^c\sqrt{\rho})^{n-1}$$

for almost all r , where $B_{\sqrt{\rho}}(r)$ is a sublevel set of $\sqrt{\rho}$ and $\iota : \partial B_{\sqrt{\rho}}(r) \rightarrow M$ is the inclusion map. Since $dd^c \tilde{u}(\nu) = O(|\nu|^m)$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\nu \in TX \cap M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^r dt \int_{B_{\sqrt{\rho}}(t)} dd^c \tilde{u} \wedge (dd^c \sqrt{\rho})^{n-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \int_0^r dt \int_{\|\nu\| \leq t} \frac{1}{\rho^{(n-1)/2}} dd^c \tilde{u} \wedge (dd^c \rho)^{n-2} \wedge \left(dd^c \rho - \frac{n}{2\rho} d\rho \wedge d^c \rho \right) = O(r^{m-n}). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (2) by r^{n-1} and integrating with respect to r from 0 to $\tau > 0$, we have

$$(3) \quad \int_{B_{\sqrt{\rho}}(\tau)} \tilde{u} \rho^{(n-1)/2} d\sqrt{\rho} \wedge d^c \sqrt{\rho} \wedge (dd^c \sqrt{\rho})^{n-1} = \frac{\tau^n}{n} \int_X u (dd^c \sqrt{\rho})^n + O(\tau^m).$$

Since $(dd^c \sqrt{\rho})^n = 0$ on $M \setminus X$, we have $\frac{n}{2\rho} d\rho \wedge d^c \rho \wedge (dd^c \rho)^{n-1} = (dd^c \rho)^n = 4^n \omega^n$. Then the left hand side of (3) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \int_{B_{\sqrt{\rho}}(\tau)} \tilde{u} \frac{1}{\rho} d\rho \wedge d^c \rho \wedge (dd^c \rho)^{n-1} = \frac{1}{2^n n} \int_{B_{\sqrt{\rho}}(\tau)} \tilde{u} (dd^c \rho)^n = 2^n (n-1)! \int_{B_{\sqrt{\rho}}(\tau)} \tilde{u} \frac{\omega^n}{n!}.$$

Let $\Omega \subset X$ be an open subset of X such that there exist pointwise orthonormal vector fields E_1, \dots, E_n on Ω . Let $\Pi : TX \rightarrow X$ be the projection map. We take a smooth local coordinate system (x, y) on $\Pi^{-1}\Omega$ such that $(x, 0)$ corresponds to a point in $\Omega \subset \Pi^{-1}\Omega$, and (x, y) corresponds to $y_1(E_1)_{(x,0)} + \dots + y_n(E_n)_{(x,0)} \in \Pi^{-1}\Omega$. Let $dv_X dy_1 \cdots dy_n$ be a product measure on $\Pi^{-1}\Omega \simeq (X \cap V) \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\frac{\omega^n}{n!} = (1 + O(|y|)) dv_X dy_1 \cdots dy_n$ around $\Omega \subset \Pi^{-1}\Omega$. Since $\rho(x, y) = 2|y|^2$, we have $B_{\sqrt{\rho}}(\tau) \cap \Pi^{-1}\Omega = \{(x, y) \mid |y| < \tau/\sqrt{2}\}$. Hence we obtain

$$\int_{B_{\sqrt{\rho}}(\tau)} \tilde{u} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = \frac{\tau^n \sigma_n}{2^{n/2}} \int_X u dv_X + o(\tau^n)$$

Taking $\tau \rightarrow 0$ completes the proof. \square

Proposition 5. *Assume that M is a Grauert tube. Let $(r_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of small positive numbers which satisfies $kr_k^2 \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let f_k ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) be a holomorphic function on $B_{\sqrt{\rho}}(r_k) = \{z \in M \mid \sqrt{\rho}(z) < r_k\}$. Then*

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2ck}{\pi} \right)^{n/2} \int_{B_{\sqrt{\rho}}(r_k)} |f_k|^2 e^{-ck\rho} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_k|^2 dv_X$$

for any $c > 0$.

Proof. By Demailly-Jensen-Lelong formula (Theorem 1), we have

$$\int_{\partial B_{\sqrt{\rho}}(r)} |f_k|^2 \iota^* (d^c \sqrt{\rho} \wedge (dd^c \sqrt{\rho})^{n-1}) \geq \int_X |f_k|^2 (dd^c \sqrt{\rho})^n$$

for almost all r . Multiplying by $r^{n-1} e^{-ckr^2}$ and integrating with respect to r from 0 to r_k , we obtain

$$(4) \quad \int_{B_{\sqrt{\rho}}(r_k)} |f_k|^2 \rho^{(n-1)/2} e^{-ck\rho} d\sqrt{\rho} \wedge d^c \sqrt{\rho} \wedge (dd^c \sqrt{\rho})^{n-1} \geq \int_0^{r_k} r^{n-1} e^{-ckr^2} dr \int_X |f_k|^2 (dd^c \sqrt{\rho})^n$$

Then

$$\int_0^{r_k} r^{n-1} e^{-ckr^2} dr = \frac{1}{2(ck)^{n/2}} \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) - \int_{ckr_k^2}^{\infty} t^{\frac{n}{2}-1} e^{-t} dt \right),$$

and Proposition 4 gives that the $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty}$ of the right hand side of (4) multiplied by $(ck)^{n/2}$ is equal to $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^{n/2}(n-1)! \pi^{n/2} \int_X |f_k|^2 dv_X$. As in the proof of Proposition 4, the left hand side of (4) is equal to $2^n(n-1)! \int_{B_{\sqrt{\rho}(r_k)}} |f_k|^2 e^{-ck\rho \frac{\omega^n}{n!}}$ and this completes the proof. \square

4. MICRO-LOCAL ESTIMATE OF HOLOMORPHIC SECTIONS

We now return to the case where M is not necessarily a Grauert tube. Let (M, ω) be a Kähler manifold of complex dimension n . Let (L, ∇, h) , X , $s \in C^\infty(U, L)$ be as in Section 2. Let $d_X(z)$ be the distance from $z \in M$ to X with respect g_ω . Our first main theorem is the following:

Theorem 2. *Let $(r_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of small positive numbers such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} kr_k^2 = \infty$. Let $V_k = \{z \in M \mid d_X(z) < r_k\}$, and let $f_k \in \Gamma(V_k, L^k)$. Then*

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2k}{\pi} \right)^{n/2} \|f_k\|_{V_k, h^k}^2 \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_k|_{h^k}^2 dv_X.$$

If $\frac{f_k}{s^k}$ converges to $f \in L^2(X, dv_X)$ on X in the L^2 -norm, we have

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2k}{\pi} \right)^{n/2} \|f_k\|_{V_k, h^k}^2 \geq \int_X |f|^2 dv_X.$$

As the proof of Theorem 2 is very similar to that of Theorem 1 of [22], we only provide references for the proofs of the lemmas in what follows. By replacing r_k with $\min\{r_k, \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}}\}$, we may assume that $(r_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} kr_k^2 = \infty$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} kr_k^3 = 0$. Whitney's theorem (Theorem 1 of [24]) gives that there exists a real analytic manifold Y which is diffeomorphic to X . By a theorem of Bruhat and Whitney ([25], [10]), there exists a Stein manifold N of complex dimension n , which contains Y as a real analytic and totally real submanifold. Taking almost holomorphic extension of a diffeomorphism from Y to X , there exists a diffeomorphism Φ from a neighborhood of Y to a neighborhood of X such that $\Phi(Y) = X$ and $\bar{\partial}\Phi$ vanishes to any order on Y (cf. Proposition 5.55 of [6]). We may assume that Φ is a diffeomorphism from N to M by shrinking N and M . Let $\varphi = -\log |s|_{h^k}^2$ and let $\omega' = \frac{dd^c \varphi \circ \Phi}{4}$. Let $d_Y(z)$ be the distance from $z \in N$ to Y with respect to the Riemannian metric induced by ω' . There exists $C > 1$ such that $\frac{1}{C} d_X(\Phi(z)) \leq d_Y(z) \leq C d_X(\Phi(z))$. Put $W_k = \{z \in N \mid d_Y(z) < \frac{r_k}{2C}\}$. Let $u_k \in C^\infty(V_k)$ such that $f_k = u_k s^k$. Then

Lemma 1. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\int_{W_k} |\bar{\partial}(u_k \circ \Phi)|_{\omega'}^2 e^{-k\varphi \circ \Phi} \frac{(\omega')^n}{n!} = O(r_k^m) \|f_k\|_{V_k, h^k}^2.$$

For the proof we refer to the proof of Lemma 1 of [22]. In Lemma 1 of [22], there exists the additional assumption such that $\|f_k\|_{h^k}^2 = 1$. However this assumption has very little effect on the proof. Therefore, the proof can be carried out in exactly the same manner as in Lemma 1 of [22].

By regarding $u_k \circ \Phi$ as a section of $T^{(n,0)}N|_{W_k}$ -valued $(n,0)$ -form, Hörmander L^2 -estimate (cf. Chapter VIII, Theorem 6.1 of [8], Corollary 5.3 of [9]) shows that there exists $\tilde{u}_k \in C^\infty(W_k)$ such that $\bar{\partial}\tilde{u}_k = \bar{\partial}(u_k \circ \Phi)$ and that

$$(5) \quad \int_{W_k} |\tilde{u}_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi \circ \Phi} \frac{(\omega')^n}{n!} = O(r_k^m) \|f_k\|_{V_k}^2$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $v_k = u_k \circ \Phi - \tilde{u}_k$. Then v_k is a holomorphic function on W_k .

Lemma 2. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$|\tilde{u}_k|^2 = O(r_k^m) \|f_k\|_{V_k, h^k}^2$$

uniformly on Y .

For the proof we refer to the proof of Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 of [22].

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be any positive number. There exists a real analytic function φ_ε on a neighborhood of Y which satisfies $|\varphi_\varepsilon - \varphi \circ \Phi|_{C^2} < \varepsilon$ (see Lemma 5 of [23]). By a theorem of Guillemin and Stenzel [11], there exists a real-analytic strictly plurisubharmonic function ρ on a neighborhood of Y which satisfies the following three conditions: (i) $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ and $\rho^{-1}(0) = Y$. (ii) The Riemannian metric on Y induced by $\frac{dd^c \rho}{4}$ is equal to that induced by $\frac{dd^c \varphi_\varepsilon}{4}$. (iii) $(dd^c \sqrt{\rho})^n = 0$ outside Y . We may assume φ_ε and ρ are defined on N by shrinking N if necessary. Hence N is a Grauert tube. Put $\omega_\rho = \frac{dd^c \rho}{4}$. Let $dv_{Y, \rho}$, $dv_{Y, \varphi \circ \Phi}$ be Riemannian densities on Y induced by ω_ρ, ω' respectively. By shrinking N further if necessary, there exists a constant $c > 0$ which does not depend on ε and satisfies

$$(1 - c\varepsilon)\varphi \circ \Phi \leq \rho \leq (1 + c\varepsilon)\varphi \circ \Phi, \quad (1 - c\varepsilon)(\omega')^n \leq (\omega_\rho)^n \leq (1 + c\varepsilon)(\omega')^n,$$

$$(1 - c\varepsilon)dv_{Y, \varphi \circ \Phi} \leq dv_{Y, \rho} \leq (1 + c\varepsilon)dv_{Y, \varphi \circ \Phi}$$

on N (see the first part of Section 4 of [22]). By Proposition 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} (1 + c\varepsilon) \left(\frac{2k}{(1 - c\varepsilon)\pi} \right)^{n/2} \int_{W_k} |v_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi \circ \Phi} \frac{(\omega')^n}{n!} \\ & \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2k}{(1 - c\varepsilon)\pi} \right)^{n/2} \int_{W_k} |v_k|^2 e^{-k\frac{\rho}{1 - c\varepsilon}} \frac{(\omega_\rho)^n}{n!} \\ & \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_Y |v_k|^2 dv_{Y, \rho} \\ & \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} (1 - c\varepsilon) \int_Y |v_k|^2 dv_{Y, \varphi \circ \Phi}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2k}{\pi} \right)^{n/2} \int_{W_k} |v_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi \circ \Phi} \frac{(\omega')^n}{n!} \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_Y |v_k|^2 dv_{Y, \varphi \circ \Phi}.$$

Since Φ^{-1} is almost holomorphic on X , we have $dv_X = (\Phi^{-1}|_X)^* dv_{Y, \varphi \circ \Phi}$ on X and $(\Phi^{-1})^* \omega' = (1 + O(r_k^m))\omega$ on $\Phi(W_k)$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, (5) and Lemma 2

show

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_k\|_{V_k, h^k}^2 &\geq \int_{\Phi(W_k)} |u_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \geq (1-\varepsilon) \int_{W_k} |u_k \circ \Phi|^2 e^{-k\varphi \circ \Phi} \frac{(\omega')^n}{n!} \\ &\geq (1-\varepsilon) \left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \int_{W_k} |v_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi \circ \Phi} \frac{(\omega')^n}{n!} - \frac{O(r_k^m)}{\varepsilon} \|f_k\|_{V_k, h^k}^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large k . Here we used $|c+d|^2 \geq \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}|c|^2 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}|d|^2$ for $c, d \in \mathbb{C}$. We also have

$$\int_X |f_k|_{h^k}^2 dv_X = \int_X |u_k|^2 dv_X \leq (1+\varepsilon) \int_Y |v_k|^2 dv_{Y, \varphi \circ \Phi} + O(r_k^m) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \|f_k\|_{V_k, h^k}^2.$$

Hence

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2k}{\pi} \right)^{n/2} \|f_k\|_{V_k, h^k}^2 \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1-\varepsilon}{(1+\varepsilon)^2} \int_X |f_k|_{h^k}^2 dv_X.$$

By taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we complete the proof of Theorem 2.

Remark 1. With almost no change to the proof of Theorem 2, the following slight generalization holds:

Let $(j_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers. Let $(r_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of small positive numbers such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} j_k r_k^2 = \infty$. Let $V_k = \{z \in M \mid d_X(z) < r_k\}$, and let $f_k \in \Gamma(V_k, L^{j_k})$. Then

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2j_k}{\pi} \right)^{n/2} \|f_k\|_{V_k, h^{j_k}}^2 \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_k|_{h^{j_k}}^2 dv_X.$$

5. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL SUBSPACES OF TANGENT SPACES

In this section, we review some definitions and properties on the geometry of tangent bundles. Let (X, g) be a Riemannian manifold of real dimension n , ∇^{LC} be the Levi-Civita connection of g , and $\Pi : TX \rightarrow X$ be the projection map. Let $\nu \in TX$ and $\Pi(\nu) = p \in X$. We denote the kernel of $d\Pi_\nu : T_\nu TX \rightarrow T_p X$ at ν by \mathcal{V}_ν and call it the vertical subspace of $T_\nu TX$. Let $E_p \in T_p X$. As there exists a natural isomorphism from \mathcal{V}_ν to $T_p X$, we can define the vertical lift $E_{p, \nu}^v \in \mathcal{V}_\nu$ of E_p at ν . Let $\gamma(t) = \exp_p(tE_p)$ be the exponential map induced by ∇^{LC} . Then we have the parallel transport $\nu(t) \in TX$ of ν along $\gamma(t)$. That is, $\nu(t)$ satisfies $\nu(0) = \nu$, $\Pi(\nu(t)) = \gamma(t)$ and $\nabla_{\gamma'(t)}^{LC} \nu(t) = 0$. The horizontal lift $E_{p, \nu}^h$ of E_p is defined by $E_{p, \nu}^h = \nu'(0) \in T_\nu TX$. The set of all horizontal lift $\mathcal{H}_\nu = \{E_{p, \nu}^h \in T_\nu TX \mid E_p \in T_p X\}$ defines a n -dimensional subspace of $T_\nu TX$ and \mathcal{H}_ν is called the horizontal subspace of $T_\nu TX$. Then $T_\nu TX = \mathcal{H}_\nu \oplus \mathcal{V}_\nu$. If E is a vector field on an open subset Ω of X , we have the vertical lift E^v and horizontal lift E^h of E . E^v and E^h are vector fields on $\Pi^{-1}(\Omega)$. Let $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ be pointwise orthonormal vector fields on an open subset $\Omega \subset X$. We denote the dual basis of $\{E_1^h, \dots, E_n^h, E_1^v, \dots, E_n^v\}$ by $\{E_h^1, \dots, E_h^n, E_v^1, \dots, E_v^n\}$, that is, E_h^j and E_v^j are one-forms on $\Pi^{-1}\Omega$ such that $\langle E_i^h, E_h^j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$, $\langle E_i^v, E_v^j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$, $\langle E_i^h, E_v^j \rangle = 0$. By identifying $\nu \in TX$ with $g(\cdot, \nu) \in T^*X$, we identify TX with T^*X . There exists the canonical symplectic form ω_0 on TX . It is known that $\omega_0 = \sum_{j=1}^n E_v^j \wedge E_h^j$ on $\Pi^{-1}\Omega$ (see [16]). Let $\Psi_k : TX \rightarrow TX$ be multiplication by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}$ in the fibers of TX . We have $\Psi_{k*} E_j^h = E_j^h$, $\Psi_{k*} E_j^v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} E_j^v$ and $\Psi_k^* \omega_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \omega_0$.

Now we assume that there exists a complex structure J on a neighborhood $U \subset TX$ of X such that $E_j^v = JE_j^h$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) on X . We also assume that there exists a Kähler form ω on U such that X is a Lagrangian submanifold of (U, ω) , and that the Riemannian metric on X induced by ω is equal to g . Then $\omega(E_i^h, E_j^v) = -\omega(E_i^v, E_j^h) = g(E_i, E_j) = \delta_{ij}$ and $\omega(E_i^h, E_j^h) = \omega(E_i^v, E_j^v) = 0$ on X . Hence $\omega|_X = -\omega_0|_X$, and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\sqrt{k}\Psi_k^* \omega + \omega_0) = 0$ uniformly on any compact set of TX . For any $\nu \in TX$, we have $JE_j^v(\nu) = -E_j^h(\nu) + \sum_{i=1}^n O(|\nu|)E_i^v(\nu) + \sum_{i=1}^n O(|\nu|)E_i^h(\nu)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} ((\Psi_{k*})^{-1}(E_j^v + \sqrt{-1}JE_j^v))(\nu) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \left(\sqrt{k}E_j^v(\nu) - \sqrt{-1}E_j^h(\nu) + \sum_{i=1}^n O\left(\frac{|\nu|}{\sqrt{k}}\right)\sqrt{k}E_i^v(\nu) + \sum_{i=1}^n O\left(\frac{|\nu|}{\sqrt{k}}\right)E_i^h(\nu) \right) = E_j^v(\nu) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $\nu \in TX$ with bounded norm. This implies that the pullback of Kähler polarization by Ψ_k converges to the vertical polarization as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

6. CONVERGENCE OF HOLOMORPHIC SECTIONS ON THE TANGENT BUNDLE

Let (M, ω) be a Kähler manifold of complex dimension n . Let (L, ∇, h) , X , U , $s \in C^\infty(U, L)$ be as in Section 2. Let $(r_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of small positive numbers. Let $d_X(z)$ be the distance from $z \in M$ to X with respect to the Riemannian metric $g_\omega(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$. Let $V_k = \{z \in M \mid d_X(z) < r_k\}$. By identifying $\nu \in TX$ with $J\nu$, we identify TX with the normal bundle $JTX \subset TM|_X$ of X . Hence we consider V_k and U as open subsets of TX as in Section 3. Let $f_k \in \Gamma(V_k, L^k)$. Put $f_k = u_k s^k$ ($u_k \in C^\infty(V_k)$). We say that $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies (\star) if $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the following two conditions $(\star 1)$, $(\star 2)$:

$$(\star 1) \quad \|f_k\|_{V_k, h^k}^2 = O(k^{-n/2}).$$

$(\star 2)$ For any smooth vector field E on X and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\int_{V_k} |E^h u_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \leq \varepsilon k \|f_k\|_{V_k, h^k}^2$$

for $k > k_0$. Here E^h is the horizontal lift of E (see Section 5).

The following is our second main theorem:

Theorem 3. *Let $(r_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k r_k^2 = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k r_k^3 = 0.$$

Let $V_k = \{z \in M \mid d_X(z) < r_k\}$ and $V'_k = \{z \in M \mid d_X(z) < r_k/2\}$. Let $f_k \in \Gamma(V_k, L^k)$. Assume that $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies (\star) . Then there exists a sequence of smooth functions $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ on X such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{n/2} \|f_k - (\Pi^ a_k) s^k\|_{V'_k, h^k}^2 = 0$. Here we consider $V_k \subset TX$, and $\Pi : TX \rightarrow X$ is the projection map.*

Let us begin the proof of Theorem 3. First we assume that $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_k(x)|_{h^k}^2 dv_X > 0$. In this case, we have the following.

Lemma 3. *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 3, we further assume that $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_k(x)|_{h^k}^2 dv_X > 0$. Then $\|f_k\|_{V_k, h^k}^2 = O(\|f_k\|_{V'_k, h^k}^2)$.*

Proof. Let $C > 0$ such that $\|f_k\|_{V_k, h^k}^2 \leq Ck^{-n/2}$. By Theorem 2, we have

$$\left(\frac{2k}{\pi}\right)^{n/2} \|f_k\|_{V'_k, h^k}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_k(x)|_{h^k}^2 dv_X > 0$$

for large k . Hence $\|f_k\|_{V_k, h^k}^2 \leq C \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{n/2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_k(x)|_{h^k}^2 dv_x\right)^{-1} \|f_k\|_{V'_k, h^k}^2$ for large k . \square

Let $\Omega \subset X$ be an open subset of X such that there exist pointwise orthonormal vector fields E_1, \dots, E_n on Ω . We take a smooth local coordinate system (x, y) on $\Pi^{-1}\Omega$ such that $(x, 0)$ corresponds to a point in $\Omega \subset \Pi^{-1}\Omega$, and (x, y) corresponds to $y_1(E_1)_{(x,0)} + \dots + y_n(E_n)_{(x,0)} \in \Pi^{-1}\Omega$. This local coordinate system is essentially the same as the one introduced in Section 2. We note that $|y| = \sqrt{y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2}$ is equal to the norm of tangent vector which corresponds to (x, y) , so one may assume that $|y|$ is a smooth function defined on the entire tangent space TX . Let $\varphi = -\log|s|^2$. Since $\frac{dd^c\varphi}{4} = \omega$, $\varphi(x, y) = 2|y|^2 + O(|y|^3)$ (see Section 2). Let E_j^h be the horizontal lift of E_j and E_j^v be the vertical lift of E_j on $\Pi^{-1}\Omega$. We note that E_j^v can be written as $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}$ in the local coordinate system (x, y) . Then $g_\omega(E_i^h, E_j^h) = \delta_{ij} + O(|y|)$, $g_\omega(E_i^v, E_j^v) = \delta_{ij} + O(|y|)$ and $g_\omega(E_i^h, E_j^v) = O(|y|)$. Let J be a complex structure of M . Then $JE_j^h = E_j^v + O(|y|)$, $JE_j^v = -E_j^h + O(|y|)$.

Lemma 4. *We have*

$$\nabla_{E_j^h} s = 2\sqrt{-1}y_j s + O(|y|^2), \quad \nabla_{E_j^v} s = -2y_j s + O(|y|^2)$$

around $\Omega \subset \Pi^{-1}\Omega$.

Proof. Since $\bar{\partial}s$ vanishes to infinite order on X , the connection form of (L, ∇) associated to the trivialization s can be written by $-\partial\varphi + O(|y|^m)$ on U for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By the definition of E_j^h and E_j^v , we have $E_j^h|y|^2 = 0$, $E_j^v|y|^2 = 2y_j$. Hence we have $\nabla_{E_j^h} s = -\left(\frac{1}{2}(E_j^h - \sqrt{-1}JE_j^h)\varphi\right) s = 2\sqrt{-1}y_j s + O(|y|^2)$. The second equation holds in the same way. \square

Let $\{\Omega_\lambda\}$ be a finite open covering of X and $\{\eta_\lambda\}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to $\{\Omega_\lambda\}$. We regard η_λ as a smooth function on TX by extending η_λ so that it is constant in the fiber direction. We may assume that there exists pointwise orthonormal vector fields $E_{\lambda,1}, \dots, E_{\lambda,n}$ on Ω_λ . For the simplicity, we often omit the notation λ and write $E_j^h = E_{\lambda,j}^h$, $E_j^v = E_{\lambda,j}^v$ if there is no fear of confusion. We take a smooth coordinate $(x_{\lambda,1}, \dots, x_{\lambda,n}, y_{\lambda,1}, \dots, y_{\lambda,n})$ on $T\Omega_\lambda$ as described above, and we also write $x_{\lambda,j}, y_{\lambda,j}$ as x_j, y_j . Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ a smooth function such that $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, $\chi(t) = 1$ for $|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and that $\chi(t) = 0$ for $|t| \geq 1$. Put $\chi_k(y) = \chi(r_k^{-1}|y|)$. We note that χ_k is defined on the entire tangent bundle TX .

Lemma 5. *Let $u_k \in C^\infty(V_k)$ such that $f_k = u_k s^k$. Put $v_k = u_k e^{-k|y|^2}$. Assume the same assumptions as in Lemma 3. For any small $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k_0 > 0$ such that*

$$(6) \quad \sum_\lambda \sum_{j=1}^n \int \eta_\lambda |E_j^v(\chi_k v_k)|^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} + \int 4k^2 |y|^2 |\chi_k v_k|^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) 2kn \int |\chi_k v_k|^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!}$$

for $k > k_0$.

Proof. The Kodaira Laplacian $\square = \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial}$ and the Bochner Laplacian $\Delta = \nabla^* \nabla$ of (L^k, h^k) satisfy

$$\Delta g = 2\square g + 2kn g$$

for a smooth section g of L^k (see e.g., Section 2 of [13]). The constant factor 2 in the last term arises because $\sqrt{-1}F^\nabla = 2\omega$. We have $(\Delta f_k, \chi_k^2 f_k)_{h^k} = 2kn(f_k, \chi_k^2 f_k)_{h^k}$, and the left hand side is equal to $(\nabla f_k, \nabla(\chi_k^2 f_k))_{h^k, \omega}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^n \left((\nabla_{E_j^h} f_k, \eta_{\lambda} \nabla_{E_j^h} (\chi_k^2 f_k))_{h^k} + (\nabla_{E_j^v} f_k, \eta_{\lambda} \nabla_{E_j^v} (\chi_k^2 f_k))_{h^k} \right) \\ & + O(r_k) \sum_{\lambda, i, j, a, b} (\nabla_{E_i^a} f_k, \eta_{\lambda} \nabla_{E_j^b} (\chi_k^2 f_k))_{h^k} = 2kn \|\chi_k f_k\|_{h^k}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where a, b run through $\{v, h\}$. In the context below, the same letter $C > 0$ will be used to denote different constants depending on the same set of arguments. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be any small number. The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and $|E_j^b \chi_k| = O(r_k^{-1})$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad & |(\nabla_{E_i^a} f_k, \eta_{\lambda} \nabla_{E_j^b} (\chi_k^2 f_k))_{h^k} - (\nabla_{E_i^a} f_k, \eta_{\lambda} \chi_k^2 \nabla_{E_j^b} f_k)_{h^k}| \\ & = |(\nabla_{E_i^a} f_k, 2\eta_{\lambda} \chi_k f_k E_j^b \chi_k)_{h^k}| \leq \varepsilon \|\sqrt{\eta_{\lambda}} \chi_k \nabla_{E_i^a} f_k\|_{h^k}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon r_k^2} \|\sqrt{\eta_{\lambda}} f_k\|_{V_k, h^k}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We have $\|f_k\|_{V_k}^2 \leq C \|\chi_k f_k\|_{h^k}^2$ by Lemma 3. Hence, by rescaling ε by a constant factor, we have

$$\sum_{\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\|\sqrt{\eta_{\lambda}} \chi_k \nabla_{E_j^h} f_k\|_{h^k}^2 + \|\sqrt{\eta_{\lambda}} \chi_k \nabla_{E_j^v} f_k\|_{h^k}^2 \right) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) 2kn \|\chi_k f_k\|_{h^k}^2.$$

for sufficiently large k . Here we used $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{kr_k^2} = 0$. By Lemma 4,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\sqrt{\eta_{\lambda}} \chi_k \nabla_{E_j^h} f_k|_{h^k}^2 = \eta_{\lambda} \chi_k^2 |E_j^h u_k + 2ky_j \sqrt{-1} u_k + kO(|y|^2) u_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} \eta_{\lambda} \chi_k^2 |2ky_j u_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} - \frac{2}{\varepsilon} (\eta_{\lambda} \chi_k^2 |E_j^h u_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} + k^2 r_k^4 |u_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |\sqrt{\eta_{\lambda}} \chi_k \nabla_{E_j^v} f_k|_{h^k}^2 = \eta_{\lambda} \chi_k^2 |E_j^v u_k - 2ky_j u_k + kO(|y|^2) u_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} \eta_{\lambda} \chi_k^2 |E_j^v u_k - 2ky_j u_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} k^2 r_k^4 |u_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used $|c + d|^2 \geq \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} |c|^2 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |d|^2$ for $c, d \in \mathbb{C}$. By condition $(\star 2)$, we have

$$\int \eta_{\lambda} \chi_k^2 |E_j^h u_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \leq \varepsilon^2 k \|\chi_k^2 f_k\|_{h^k}^2$$

for sufficiently large k . Here we again used $\|f_k\|_{V_k}^2 \leq C \|\xi_k f_k\|^2$. Combining these and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} kr_k^4 = 0$, we have

$$\int \left(\sum_{\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^n \eta_{\lambda} \chi_k^2 |E_j^v u_k - 2y_j k u_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} + 4k^2 \chi_k^2 |y|^2 |u_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} \right) \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) 2kn \|\chi_k f_k\|_{h^k}^2$$

for large k if we rescale ε by a constant factor. Put $v_k = u_k e^{-k|y|^2}$. Since $E_j^v |y|^2 = 2y_j$, we have

$$|E_j^v u_k - 2y_j k u_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} = |E_j^v v_k|^2 e^{-kO(|y|^3)}.$$

The condition $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k r_k^3 = 0$ gives $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} e^{-kO(|y|^3)} = 1$ uniformly on the support of χ_k . Hence we have

$$\int \left(\sum_{\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^n \eta_{\lambda} \chi_k^2 |E_j^v v_k|^2 + 4k^2 \chi_k^2 |y|^2 |v_k|^2 \right) \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) 2kn \int \chi_k^2 |v_k|^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!}$$

for sufficiently large k . Here we again rescaled ε by a constant factor. In a similar way to (7), the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & |E_j^v(\chi_k v_k)|^2 - |\chi_k E_j^v v_k|^2 = |v_k E_j^v \chi_k + \chi_k E_j^v v_k|^2 - |\chi_k E_j^v v_k|^2 \\ & \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) |v_k E_j^v \chi_k|^2 + \varepsilon |\chi_k E_j^v v_k|^2 \leq \frac{Ck}{\varepsilon k r_k^2} |v_k|^2 + \varepsilon |\chi_k E_j^v v_k|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3, we have $\int_{V_k} |v_k|^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \leq C \int \chi_k^2 |v_k|^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!}$ for sufficiently large k . Then, by rescaling ε by a constant factor again, we complete the proof of Lemma 5. \square

Let $dv_X dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n}$ be the product measure on $\Pi^{-1}\Omega_{\lambda} \simeq \Omega_{\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. We have $\frac{\omega^n}{n!} = (1 + O(|y|)) dv_X dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n}$ around $\Omega_{\lambda} \subset \Pi^{-1}\Omega_{\lambda}$. Here $|y| = |y_{\lambda}|$ does not depend on λ . By rescaling ε by a constant factor, (6) shows

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\lambda} \int \eta_{\lambda} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |E_j^v(\chi_k v_k)|^2 + 4k^2 |y|^2 |\chi_k v_k|^2 \right) dv_X dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n} \\ & \leq (1 + \varepsilon) 2kn \sum_{\lambda} \int \eta_{\lambda} \chi_k^2 |v_k|^2 dv_X dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n} \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large k . Let $\Psi_k : TX \rightarrow TX$ be multiplication by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}$ in the fibers of TX . Put $\tilde{v}_k = \Psi_k^*(\chi_k v_k)$. By pulling back with Ψ_k and multiplying by $k^{n/2-1}$, the above inequality shows

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad & \sum_{\lambda} \int \eta_{\lambda} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |E_j^v \tilde{v}_k|^2 + 4|y|^2 |\tilde{v}_k|^2 \right) dv_X dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n} \\ & \leq (1 + \varepsilon) 2n \sum_{\lambda} \int \eta_{\lambda} |\tilde{v}_k(y)|^2 dv_X dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n} \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large k . Now we denote the harmonic oscillator on \mathbb{R}^n by $L = -\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_j^2} + 4|y|^2$. Let $H_p(t) = e^{t^2/2} \frac{d^p}{dt^p} e^{-t^2}$ and let $H_{2,p}(t) = H_p(\sqrt{2}t)$. Then $L \prod_{j=1}^n H_{2,p_j}(y_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n 2(2p_j + 1) \prod_{j=1}^n H_{2,p_j}(y_j)$, and $\{\prod_{j=1}^n H_{2,p_j}(y_j)\}_{p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthogonal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We consider $e^{-|y|^2}$ as a function on the entire tangent space TX . We take a decomposition $\tilde{v}_k = \tilde{v}_{k,1} + \tilde{v}_{k,2}$, where

$$\tilde{v}_{k,1} = \Pi^* a_k e^{-|y|^2}, \quad a_k(x_{\lambda}) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{v}_k(x_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda}) e^{-|y|^2} dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n}$$

for $x_{\lambda} \in \Omega_{\lambda}$. Since $dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n}$ is equal to $dy_{\lambda',1} \cdots dy_{\lambda',n}$ as a measure on $T_x X$ for $x \in \Omega_{\lambda} \cap \Omega_{\lambda'}$, the definition of $a_k(x_{\lambda})$ does not depend on λ . Hence a_k is a smooth

function on X . We have $\int \eta_\lambda \tilde{v}_{k,1} \overline{\tilde{v}_{k,2}} dv_X dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n} = 0$. For any $x \in \Omega_\lambda \cap \Omega_{\lambda'}$, we have $\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_{\lambda,j}^2} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_{\lambda',j}^2}$ on $T_x X$, and we may consider that L is a differential operator on TX . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int \eta_\lambda L \tilde{v}_{k,1} \overline{\tilde{v}_{k,1}} dv_X dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n} &= 2n \int \eta_\lambda |\tilde{v}_{k,1}|^2 dv_X dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n}, \\ \int \eta_\lambda L \tilde{v}_{k,1} \overline{\tilde{v}_{k,2}} dv_X dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n} &= \int \eta_\lambda \tilde{v}_{k,1} L \overline{\tilde{v}_{k,2}} dv_X dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n} = 0, \\ \int \eta_\lambda L \tilde{v}_{k,2} \overline{\tilde{v}_{k,2}} dv_X dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n} &\geq (2n+4) \int \eta_\lambda |\tilde{v}_{k,2}|^2 dv_X dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (8) shows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_\lambda \int \eta_\lambda (2n |\tilde{v}_{k,1}|^2 + (2n+4) |\tilde{v}_{k,2}|^2) dv_X dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n} &\leq \sum_\lambda \int \eta_\lambda L \tilde{v}_k \overline{\tilde{v}_k} dv_X dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n} \\ &\leq (1+\varepsilon) 2n \sum_\lambda \int \eta_\lambda (|\tilde{v}_{k,1}|^2 + |\tilde{v}_{k,2}|^2) dv_X dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$4 \sum_\lambda \int \eta_\lambda |\tilde{v}_{k,2}|^2 dv_X dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n} \leq \varepsilon 2n \sum_\lambda \int \eta_\lambda |\tilde{v}_k|^2 dv_X dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n}$$

for sufficiently large k . The condition $(\star 1)$ gives $\sum_\lambda \int \eta_\lambda |\tilde{v}_k|^2 dv_X dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n} = O(1)$, and $\sum_\lambda \int \eta_\lambda |\tilde{v}_{k,2}|^2 dv_X dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n} = O(1)\varepsilon$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} k^{n/2} \|f_k - (\Pi^* a_k s^k)\|_{V'_k, h^k}^2 &\leq k^{n/2} \|\chi_k(f_k - (\Pi^* a_k s^k))\|_{h^k}^2 \\ &\lesssim k^{n/2} \sum_\lambda \int \eta_\lambda |\chi_k(u_k - a_k(x))|^2 e^{-2k|y|^2} dv_X dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n} \\ &\lesssim k^{n/2} \sum_\lambda \int \eta_\lambda (|\chi_k u_k - a_k(x)|^2 + (1-\chi_k)^2 |a_k(x)|^2) e^{-2k|y|^2} dv_X dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n} \\ &\lesssim \sum_\lambda \int \eta_\lambda |\tilde{v}_{k,2}|^2 dv_X dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n} + \int_X |a_k(x)|^2 dv_X \int_{\sqrt{kr_k}/2}^\infty e^{-2|y|^2} dy_1 \cdots dy_n \\ &= O(1)\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large k . In the last equality, we used

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |a_k(x)|^2 dv_X &= \sum_\lambda \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{n/2} \int \eta_\lambda |a_k(x)|^2 e^{-2|y|^2} dy_1 \cdots dy_n dv_X \\ &\leq \sum_\lambda \int \eta_\lambda |\tilde{v}_k|^2 dy_{\lambda,1} \cdots dy_{\lambda,n} dv_X = O(1). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3 in the case where $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_k|^2 dv_X > 0$.

Lemma 6. *Assume that M is a Stein manifold and $\text{Ric}(\omega) \geq -C\omega$ for some $C > 0$. Let $f \in C^\infty(X)$ such that $\int_X |f|^2 dv_X \neq 0$. We take a holomorphic sections $F_k \in \Gamma(M, L^k)$ as in Proposition 2. Let $(r_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive numbers as in Theorem 3. Then $(F_k|_{V_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the condition (\star) .*

Proof. We use the same notation as in the proof of Proposition 2. That is, U is a sufficiently small neighborhood of X , $\tilde{f} \in C^\infty(U)$ is an almost holomorphic extension of f along X , and $\xi \in C^\infty(U)$ is an almost holomorphic extension of $\frac{\zeta}{s_0}$ along X . Also $\kappa \in C_0^\infty(U)$ is a smooth function such that $\kappa = 1$ on a neighborhood of X . We define $\alpha_k = \kappa \tilde{f} s^k$. Let $\beta_k = b_k s^k$ which is a solution of $\bar{\partial}\beta_k = \bar{\partial}\alpha_k$ such that $\|\beta_k\|^2 = O(k^{-m})$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $F_k = \alpha_k - \beta_k = (\kappa \tilde{f} - b_k) s^k$. As U is sufficiently small neighborhood of X , we have

$$\|\alpha_k\|_{h^k}^2 = \int |\kappa \tilde{f}|^2 e^{-k\varphi} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \lesssim \int \kappa^2 e^{-kd_X(z)^2} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = O(k^{-n/2}).$$

Hence $\|F_k\|_{V_k}^2 = \|\alpha_k - \beta_k\|_{V_k}^2 \lesssim \|\alpha_k\|^2 + \|\beta_k\|^2 \lesssim k^{-n/2}$, and $(F_k|_{V_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the condition $(\star 1)$.

We have $\bar{\partial}b_k = \bar{\partial}(\kappa \tilde{f}) + k(\kappa \tilde{f} - b_k) \frac{\bar{\partial}\xi}{\xi}$, and

$$\int_{V_k} |\bar{\partial}b_k|_\omega^2 e^{-k\varphi} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \lesssim \int_{V_k} (|\tilde{f} \bar{\partial}\kappa|_\omega^2 + |\kappa \bar{\partial}\tilde{f}|_\omega^2 + k^2 |\tilde{f} \bar{\partial}\xi|_\omega^2 + k^2 |b_k \bar{\partial}\xi|_\omega^2) e^{-k\varphi} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = O(k^{-m})$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y|^{2m-n} e^{-2k|y|^2} dy_1 \cdots dy_n = O(k^{-m})$ and $\|\beta_k\|^2 = O(k^{-m-2})$. The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \kappa^2 e^{-k\varphi} \partial b_k \wedge \bar{\partial} \overline{b_k} \wedge \omega^{n-1} = - \int b_k \bar{\partial} (\kappa^2 e^{-k\varphi} \overline{\partial b_k}) \wedge \omega^{n-1} \\ & = - \int b_k e^{-k\varphi} (2\kappa \partial \kappa \wedge \bar{\partial} \overline{b_k} - k \kappa^2 \partial \varphi \wedge \bar{\partial} \overline{b_k} + \kappa^2 \partial \bar{\partial} \overline{b_k}) \wedge \omega^{n-1} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \int \kappa^2 e^{-k\varphi} \partial b_k \wedge \bar{\partial} \overline{b_k} \wedge \omega^{n-1} + 4 \int |b_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} \partial \kappa \wedge \bar{\partial} \overline{\kappa} \wedge \omega^{n-1} + \frac{1}{4} \int \kappa^2 e^{-k\varphi} \partial b_k \wedge \bar{\partial} \overline{b_k} \wedge \omega^{n-1} \\ & \quad + k^2 \int \kappa^2 e^{-k\varphi} |b_k|^2 \partial \varphi \wedge \bar{\partial} \overline{\varphi} \wedge \omega^{n-1} + C \int \kappa^2 |b_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} \omega^n + C \int \kappa^2 |\partial \bar{\partial} \overline{b_k}|_\omega^2 e^{-k\varphi} \omega^n \end{aligned}$$

for some $C > 0$. Hence

$$\int_{V_k} |\partial b_k|_\omega^2 e^{-k\varphi} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \lesssim \int \kappa^2 e^{-k\varphi} \partial b_k \wedge \bar{\partial} \overline{b_k} \wedge \omega^{n-1} \lesssim k^2 \|\beta_k\|_{h^k}^2 + \int \kappa^2 |\partial \bar{\partial} \overline{b_k}|_\omega^2 e^{-k\varphi} \omega^n.$$

The last term is estimated by

$$\int \kappa^2 |\partial \bar{\partial} \overline{b_k}|_\omega^2 e^{-k\varphi} \omega^n \lesssim \int \left(|\partial \bar{\partial}(\kappa \tilde{f})|_\omega^2 + k^2 |\partial((\kappa \tilde{f} - b_k) \bar{\partial}\xi)|_\omega^2 \right) e^{-k\varphi} \omega^n = O(k^{-m}).$$

This shows that $\int_{V_k} |\partial b_k|_\omega^2 e^{-k\varphi} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = O(k^{-m})$, and $\int_{V_k} |db_k|_\omega^2 e^{-k\varphi} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = O(k^{-m})$. We have

$$\int_{V_k} |d(\kappa \tilde{f})|_\omega^2 e^{-k\varphi} \lesssim \int_{V_k} e^{-k\varphi} = O(k^{-n/2})$$

and $\int_{V_k} |d(\kappa \tilde{f} - b_k)|_\omega^2 e^{-k\varphi} = O(k^{-m}) + O(k^{-n/2}) = O(k^{-n/2})$. Theorem 2 shows

$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2k}{\pi}\right)^{n/2} \|F_k\|_{V_k, h^k}^2 \geq \int_X |f|^2 dv_X > 0$. Hence

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{V_k} |d(\kappa \tilde{f} - b_k)|_\omega^2 e^{-k\varphi} \frac{\omega^n}{n!}}{k \|F_k\|_{V_k, h^k}^2} = 0.$$

Let E be any smooth vector field on X . Since $|E^h(\kappa \tilde{f} - b_k)|^2 \lesssim |d(\kappa \tilde{f} - b_k)|_\omega^2$ on V_k , it follows that $(F_k|_{V_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies $(\star 2)$. \square

Lemma 7. *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 3, there exists $c > 0$ such that $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_k - c\zeta^k|_{h^k}^2 dv_X > 0$.*

Proof. Let $C > 0$ such that $\|f_k\|_{V_k, h^k}^2 \leq Ck^{-n/2}$. Let c be a large positive number which will be determined later. Assume that $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_k - c\zeta^k|_{h^k}^2 dv_X = 0$. Then there exists a sequence of strictly increasing positive numbers $(j_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_{j_k} - c\zeta^{j_k}|_{h^{j_k}}^2 dv_X = 0$. By the remark after the proof of Theorem 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2j_k}{\pi} \right)^{n/2} \|f_{j_k}\|_{V_{j_k}, h^{j_k}}^2 \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_{j_k}|_{h^{j_k}}^2 dv_X \\ & \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \left(\frac{1}{2}c^2 - |f_{j_k} - c\zeta^{j_k}|_{h^{j_k}}^2 \right) dv_X \geq \frac{c^2}{2} \int_X dv_X. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if we choose $c > 0$ such that $C \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{n/2} < \frac{c^2}{2} \int_X dv_X$, we have $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_k - c\zeta^k|_{h^k} dv_X > 0$. \square

We can now finish the proof of Theorem 3. Let $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of holomorphic sections which satisfies (\star) . Since V_k is sufficiently small neighborhood of X , we may assume that M is Stein and $\text{Ric}(\omega) \geq -C\omega$ for some $C > 0$. By Lemma 7, there exists $c > 0$ such that $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_k - c\zeta^k|_{h^k}^2 dv_X > 0$. By Proposition 5, there exists a sequence of holomorphic sections $(F_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_k}{\zeta^k} = -c$ uniformly on X . We have $(F_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies (\star) by Lemma 6. Then $\int_X \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} |F_k(x)|_{h^k}^2 dv_X > 0$, and $\int_X \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} |f_k + F_k|_{h^k}^2 dv_X > 0$. By the first part of the proof, there exist sequences $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(\tilde{a}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of smooth functions on X such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{n/2} \|F_k - \Pi^* a_k\|_{V'_k, h^k}^2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{n/2} \|f_k + F_k - \Pi^* \tilde{a}_k\|_{V'_k, h^k}^2 = 0$. Hence

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{n/2} \|f_k - \Pi^*(\tilde{a}_k - a_k)\|_{V'_k, h^k}^2 \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2k^{n/2} (\|f_k + F_k - \Pi^* \tilde{a}_k\|_{V'_k, h^k}^2 + \|F_k - \Pi^* a_k\|_{V'_k, h^k}^2) = 0.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3. \square

Remark 2. Theorem 3 does not hold in the absence of $(\star 2)$. Let $c > 0$ be a constant such that the Bergman kernel satisfies $|K_k(z, w)| \lesssim k^n e^{-c\sqrt{k}d_M(z, w)}$ (see [18]). Here $|\cdot|$ is the norm of $L^k \boxtimes \bar{L}^k$. Let $r_k = 4\frac{n \log k}{c\sqrt{k}}$ and $p_k \in M$ such that $d_X(p_k) = \frac{n \log k}{c\sqrt{k}}$. Put $V_k = \{z \in M \mid d_X(z) < r_k\}$ and $V'_k = \{z \in M \mid d_X(z) < r_k/2\}$. Let $u_k \in L^k$ be a unit element of L^k at p_k . Let $\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2 : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be the projection maps. Let $f_k \in \Gamma(M, L^k)$ such that $k^{-3n/4} K(z, p_k) = \text{pr}_1^* f_k(z) \otimes \text{pr}_2^* \bar{u}_k$. Then $k^{n/2} \|f_k\|_{h^k}^2 = k^{-n} |K_k(p_k, p_k)| \sim \pi^{-n}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since $k^{-3n/4} |K_k(z, p_k)| = O(k^{-3n/4})$ for $z \in M \setminus V'_k$, we have $k^{n/2} \|f_k\|_{V'_k, h^k}^2 \sim \pi^n$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Assume that there exists a sequence $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of smooth functions on X such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{n/2} \|f_k - \Pi^* a_k s^k\|_{V'_k}^2 = 0$. Let $V''_k = \{z \in M \mid d_X(z) < \frac{1}{c\sqrt{k}}\}$. Then $d_M(z, p_k) \geq \frac{n \log k - 1}{c\sqrt{k}}$ and $k^{-3n/4} |K_k(z, p_k)| = O(k^{-3n/4})$ for $z \in V''_k$. Hence $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{n/2} \|f_k\|_{V''_k, h^k}^2 = 0$, and

$$k^{n/2} \|\Pi^* a_k s^k\|_{V''_k, h^k}^2 \lesssim k^{n/2} (\|f_k - \Pi^* a_k s^k\|_{V'_k, h^k}^2 + \|f_k\|_{V''_k, h^k}^2) \rightarrow 0 \quad (k \rightarrow \infty).$$

Since $k^{n/2} \|\Pi^* a_k s^k\|_{V'_k, h^k}^2 \lesssim k^{n/2} \|\Pi^* a_k s^k\|_{V''_k, h^k}^2$, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{n/2} \|\Pi^* a_k s^k\|_{V'_k, h^k}^2 = 0.$$

Then $k^{n/2} \|f_k\|_{V'_k, h^k}^2 \lesssim k^{n/2} (\|f_k - \Pi^* a_k\|_{V'_k, h^k}^2 + \|\Pi^* a_k s^k\|_{V'_k, h^k}^2) \rightarrow 0$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$), and this is a contradiction.

7. ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION OF $s_{f,k}$

The asymptotic expansions of $s_{f,k}$ and $\|s_{f,k}\|^2$ are proved by several approaches. In [4] and [7], it is shown using the theory of Fourier integral operators; in [20], through the asymptotic expansion of the Szegö kernel; and in [15], via the asymptotic expansion of the Bergman kernel. Here, we show the asymptotic expansion of $s_{f,k}$ and $\|s_{f,k}\|^2$ using the expansion formula of Bergman kernel. Our proof is similar to that of Theorem 1 in [20].

We assume that M is compact. We use the same notation as in Section 2. That is, U is a sufficiently small neighborhood of X and s is a smooth section of L on U such that $|s|_h = 1$ and $\bar{\partial}s$ vanishes to any order on X . Let $p \in X$. Let $V \subset U$ be a sufficiently small neighborhood of p . We take a smooth coordinate system $(x, y) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ around p as in Section 2. Furthermore, by taking a linear transformation of (x_1, \dots, x_n) , we may assume that $J \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}$ at p for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Let $V_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}$ be an open neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{R}^{2n} and let $\Xi : V_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \rightarrow V$ be a diffeomorphism which corresponds to the smooth coordinate system (x, y) . In the previous sections, we have not made a distinction between g and $g \circ \Xi$ for a function g on V . However, from now on, we will distinguish between the two since we need to treat several coordinate systems in the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *There exist polynomials $c_{p,j}(y)$ in $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of degree $\leq j$ whose coefficients depend smoothly on $p \in X$ such that $c_{p,2j+1}(0) = 0$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots$), and the following holds:*

1.

$$\frac{s_{f,k}}{s^k} \circ \Xi(0, \dots, 0, \frac{y_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{y_n}{\sqrt{k}}) = f(p) + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{c_{p,j}(y)}{k^{j/2}} + o\left(\frac{1}{k^{N/2}}\right).$$

for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$. The convergence of the expansion is uniform for $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ on any bounded set and $p \in X$.

2.

$$\left(\frac{\pi}{2k}\right)^{n/2} \|s_{f,k}\|_{h^k}^2 = \int_X |f|^2 dv_X + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{k^j} \int_X c_{p,2j}(0) \overline{f(p)} dv_X(p) + o\left(\frac{1}{k^N}\right)$$

for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$

Proof. Let $V_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ be a small open neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{C}^n . By shrinking $V \subset M$ if necessary, we take a biholomorphic map $\Upsilon : V_{\mathbb{C}^n} \rightarrow V$ such that $\Upsilon(0) = p$ and $x_j \circ \Xi^{-1} \circ \Upsilon(z) = \operatorname{Re} z_j + O(|z|^2)$, $y_j \circ \Xi^{-1} \circ \Upsilon(z) = \operatorname{Im} z_j + O(|z|^2)$ for $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in V_{\mathbb{C}^n}$. We take a holomorphic section $t \in \Gamma(V, L)$ such that $t(p) = s(p)$. Then $\phi := -\log |t|^2$ is a smooth plurisubharmonic function on V such that $\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi = \omega$. Let $\psi(z, \bar{w})$ ($z, w \in V_{\mathbb{C}^n}$) be a smooth function such that $\overline{\psi(z, \bar{w})} = \psi(\bar{w}, z)$, $\psi(z, \bar{z}) = \phi \circ \Upsilon(z)$, and $\bar{\partial} \psi$ vanishes to infinite order at (z, \bar{z}) ($z \in V_{\mathbb{C}^n}$). The Bergman kernel K_k is a smooth section of $L^k \boxtimes \bar{L}^k$ over $M \times M$. Let $\pi_j : M \times M \rightarrow M$ be the i -th projection ($i = 1, 2$). We

denote the function $K_k/\pi_1^*t^k\pi_2^*\bar{t}^k$ by $K_k/t^k\bar{t}^k$ for simplicity. Then the Bergman kernel has the following expansion formula (see e.g., [3]):

$$\left| \frac{K_k(\Upsilon(z), \Upsilon(w))}{t^k(\Upsilon(z))\bar{t}^k(\Upsilon(w))} - \frac{k^n}{\pi^n} e^{k\psi(z, \bar{w})} \left(1 + \frac{b_1(z, \bar{w})}{k} + \dots + \frac{b_{\lceil N/2 \rceil}(z, \bar{w})}{k^{\lceil N/2 \rceil}} \right) \right| \\ \leq e^{k\frac{\phi \circ \Upsilon(z)}{2} + k\frac{\phi \circ \Upsilon(w)}{2}} O\left(\frac{1}{k^{\lceil N/2 \rceil + 1 - n}}\right),$$

on $V_{\mathbb{C}^n} \times V_{\mathbb{C}^n}$, where b_j is a smooth function determined by the derivatives of ψ . Let $c(z) = \frac{s(\Upsilon(z))}{t(\Upsilon(z))}$ be a smooth function on $V_{\mathbb{C}^n}$. Then $c(0) = 1$, and $\bar{\partial}c$ vanishes to any order on $\Upsilon^{-1}(X)$. Let $\varphi = -\log|s|^2$. Then $\varphi \circ \Upsilon(z) = \phi \circ \Upsilon(z) - 2\log|c(z)|$, Put $\tilde{\psi}(z, \bar{w}) = \psi(z, \bar{w}) - \log c(z) - \log c(\bar{w})$. Here we take the branch of the logarithm such that $\log 1 = 0$. We have

$$\left| \frac{K_k(\Upsilon(z), \Upsilon(w))}{s^k(\Upsilon(z))\bar{s}^k(\Upsilon(w))} - \frac{k^n}{\pi^n} e^{k\tilde{\psi}(z, \bar{w})} \left(1 + \frac{b_1(z, \bar{w})}{k} + \dots + \frac{b_{\lceil N/2 \rceil}(z, \bar{w})}{k^{\lceil N/2 \rceil}} \right) \right| \\ \leq e^{k\frac{\varphi \circ \Upsilon(z)}{2} + k\frac{\varphi \circ \Upsilon(w)}{2}} O\left(\frac{1}{k^{\lceil N/2 \rceil + 1 - n}}\right).$$

Since $\varphi \circ \Xi(x, y) = 2|y|^2 + O(|y|^3)$, we have $\varphi \circ \Upsilon(z) = 2|\text{Im } z|^2 + O(|z|^3)$. Since $\bar{\partial}\tilde{\psi}$ vanishes to infinite order at $(0, 0)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(z, \bar{z}) = \varphi \circ \Upsilon(z)$, the Taylor expansion shows that $\tilde{\psi}(z, \bar{w}) = \frac{1}{2}(-z^2 - \bar{w}^2 + 2z\bar{w}) + O(|z|^3 + |w|^3)$. We put

$$\psi_{\Xi}((x, y), (x', y')) = \tilde{\psi}(\Upsilon^{-1} \circ \Xi(x, y), \overline{\Upsilon^{-1} \circ \Xi(x', y')}), \\ b_{\Xi, j}((x, y), (x', y')) = b_j(\Upsilon^{-1} \circ \Xi(x, y), \overline{\Upsilon^{-1} \circ \Xi(x', y')})$$

for $(x, y) \in V_{\mathbb{R}^n}$. We have

(9)

$$k\psi_{\Xi}((0, \frac{y}{\sqrt{k}}), (x, 0)) = \frac{1}{2}y^2 - \frac{k}{2}x^2 + \sqrt{-1}\sqrt{k}xy + kR(\frac{y}{\sqrt{k}}, x) + kO(|\frac{y}{\sqrt{k}}|^{N+3} + |x|^{N+3}).$$

Here $R(y, x)$ is a polynomial of $3 \leq \deg R \leq N + 2$, and coefficients of R depends smoothly on p . Let $\iota : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ be a map such that $\iota(x) = (x, 0)$. Then $\Xi \circ \iota$ is a diffeomorphism from $\iota^{-1}(V_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}})$ to $X \cap V$. We consider $\Xi \circ \iota$ as a map from $\iota^{-1}(V_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}})$ to X , and we expand the pull back of a smooth density $f dv_X$ by $\Xi \circ \iota$ as $(\Xi \circ \iota)^*(f dv_X) = \left(f(p) + \sum_{1 \leq |I| \leq N} a_I x^I + O(|x|^{N+1}) \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_n$. Here $a_I \in \mathbb{C}$ is determined by the derivatives of f and $g_{\omega}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j})$ at p . It is known that the off-diagonal asymptotic of the Bergman kernel satisfies $|K_k(Z, Z')| \lesssim k^n e^{-c\sqrt{k}d_M(Z, Z')}$ for some $c > 0$, where $d_M(Z, Z')$ is the distance between $Z \in M$ and $Z' \in M$ (see Theorem 0.1 of [18]). Here $|\cdot|$ is the norm of $L^k \boxtimes \bar{L}^k$ induced by the Hermitian metric h of L . Let $c' > 0$ be a sufficiently small number. Let $\eta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that $\eta(r) = 1$ if $0 \leq r \leq 1$ and $\eta(r) = 0$ if $r \geq 2$. We put $\eta_{k, N}(x) = \eta\left(\frac{c'\sqrt{k}}{(\lceil N/2 \rceil + n/2 + 1)\log k}|x|\right)$. Then

$$\left| (1 - \eta_{k, N}(x)) \frac{K_k(\Xi(0, \frac{y}{\sqrt{k}}), \Xi(x, 0))}{s^k(\Xi(0, \frac{y}{\sqrt{k}}))\bar{s}^k(\Xi(x, 0))} \right| = e^{\frac{k}{2}\varphi \circ \Xi(0, \frac{y}{\sqrt{k}})} O\left(\frac{1}{k^{\lceil N/2 \rceil - n/2 + 1}}\right),$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & s_{f,k} \circ \Xi(0, \dots, 0, \frac{y_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{y_n}{\sqrt{k}}) \\ &= \left(\frac{\pi}{2k}\right)^{n/2} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \eta_{k,N}(x) \frac{K_k(\Xi(0, \frac{y}{\sqrt{k}}), \Xi(x, 0))}{s^k(\Xi(x, 0))} \left(f(0) + \sum_{1 \leq |I| \leq N} a_I x^I + O(|x|^{N+1}) \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\ &+ s^k \circ \Xi(0, \frac{y}{\sqrt{k}}) e^{\frac{k}{2}\varphi \circ \Xi(0, \frac{y}{\sqrt{k}})} O\left(\frac{1}{k^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor + 1}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

For any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ on a bounded set and $x \in \iota^{-1}(V_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}})$, we have $k\varphi \circ \Xi(0, \frac{y}{\sqrt{k}}) = 2|y|^2 + O(\frac{|y|^3}{\sqrt{k}}) = O(1)$, $k\varphi \circ \Xi(x, 0) = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\pi}{2k}\right)^{n/2} \frac{K_k(\Xi(0, \frac{y}{\sqrt{k}}), \Xi(x, 0))}{s^k \circ \Xi(x, 0)} \left(f(p) + \sum_{1 \leq |I| \leq N} a_I x^I + O(|x|^{N+1}) \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\ &= s^k \circ \Xi(0, \frac{y}{\sqrt{k}}) \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^{n/2} e^{\frac{y^2}{2} - k\frac{x^2}{2} + \sqrt{-1}\sqrt{k}xy} \left(1 + kR\left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{k}}, x\right) + kO\left(\left|\frac{y}{\sqrt{k}}\right|^{N+3} + |x|^{N+3}\right) \right) \\ &\times \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} \frac{b_{\Xi,j}((0, \frac{y}{\sqrt{k}}), (x, 0))}{k^j} \right) \left(f(p) + \sum_{1 \leq |I| \leq N} a_I x^I + O(|x|^{N+1}) \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\ &+ s^k \circ \Xi(0, \frac{y}{\sqrt{k}}) O\left(\frac{1}{k^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor - n/2 + 1}}\right) dx_1 \cdots dx_n. \end{aligned}$$

By replacing x with $\frac{x}{\sqrt{k}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \eta_{k,N}(x) \frac{K_k(\Xi(0, \frac{y}{\sqrt{k}}), \Xi(x, 0))}{s^k \circ \Xi(x, 0)} \left(f(p) + \sum_{1 \leq |I| \leq N} a_I x^I + O(|x|^{N+1}) \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\ &= s^k \circ \Xi(0, \frac{y}{\sqrt{k}}) \frac{e^{\frac{y^2}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2} + \sqrt{-1}xy} \left(f(p) + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{Q_{p,j}(y, x)}{k^{j/2}} \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\ &+ s^k \circ \Xi(0, \frac{y}{\sqrt{k}}) O\left(\frac{1}{k^{N/2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

for $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ on a bounded set. Here, $Q_{p,j}(y, x)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq j$ in y and x , which is determined by $R, b_{\Xi,j}$ and a_I . In each term of $Q_{p,2j+1}(y, x)$, the total degree of y and x is odd. For multi-index $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ ($i_j \geq 0$), we have

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^I e^{-\frac{x^2}{2} + \sqrt{-1}xy} dx_1 \cdots dx_n = (-\sqrt{-1})^{|I|} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)^I e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}}.$$

Put

$$c_{p,j}(y) = \frac{e^{\frac{y^2}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2} + \sqrt{-1}xy} Q_{p,j}(y, x) dx_1 \cdots dx_n.$$

Then $c_{p,j}(y)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq j$ in y , and $c_{p,2j+1}(0) = 0$ since the degree of each term of $Q_{p,2j+1}(0, x)$ is odd. The coefficients of $c_{p,j}$ are smooth functions of p

determined due to its construction. Now we have the asymptotic expansion

$$\frac{s_{f,k}}{s^k} \circ \Xi(0, \frac{y}{\sqrt{k}}) = f(p) + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{c_{p,j}(y)}{k^{j/2}} + o\left(\frac{1}{k^{N/2}}\right).$$

This convergence is uniform for $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ on any bounded set and $p \in X$. Then we have

$$\left(\frac{\pi}{2k}\right)^{n/2} \|s_{f,k}\|_{h^k}^2 = \int_X s_{f,k} \bar{f} dv_X = \int_X |f|^2 dv_X + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{k^j} \int_X c_{p,2j}(0) \overline{f(p)} dv_X(p) + o\left(\frac{1}{k^N}\right).$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Baier, C. Florentino, J. M. Mourão and J. P. Nunes, Toric Kähler metrics seen from infinity, quantization and compact tropical amoebas, *J. Differ. Geom.* **89**, No. 3, 411-454 (2011).
- [2] T. Baier, J. M. Mourão and J. P. Nunes, Quantization of abelian varieties: distributional sections and the transition from Kähler to real polarizations, *J. Funct. Anal.* **258**, No. 10, 3388-3412 (2010).
- [3] R. Berman, B. Berndtsson and J. Sjöstrand, A direct approach to Bergman kernel asymptotics for positive line bundles, *Ark. Mat.* **46**, No. 2, 197-217 (2008).
- [4] D. Borthwick, T. Paul and A. Uribe, Legendrian distributions with applications to relative Poincaré series, *Invent. Math.* **122**, No. 2, 359-402 (1995).
- [5] D. Catlin, The Bergman Kernel and a theorem of Tian, in: *Analysis and Geometry in Several Complex Variables (Katata, 1997)*, Trends Math., pp. 1–23, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1999.
- [6] K. Cieliebak and Y. Eliashberg, From Stein to Weinstein and back. Symplectic geometry of affine complex manifolds, *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications* **59**, (2012), American Mathematical Society, Providence
- [7] M. Debernardi and R. Paoletti, Equivariant asymptotics for Bohr-Sommerfeld Lagrangian submanifolds, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **267**, No. 1, 227-263 (2006).
- [8] J. P. Demailly, *Complex analytic and differential geometry*, OpenContent Book, Version of Thursday June 21, 2012. Available at the authors web page.
- [9] J. P. Demailly, *Analytic Methods in Algebraic Geometry*, volume1 of *Surveys of Modern Mathematics*. Higher Education Press; International Press, Beijing; Sommerville, 2010.
- [10] H. Grauert, On Levi's problem and the imbedding of real analytic manifolds, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **68** (1958) 460–472.
- [11] V. Guillemin and M. Stenzel, Grauert tubes and the homogeneous Monge-Ampère equation, *J. Differential Geom.* **34**, No. 2 (1991), 561–570.
- [12] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential operators*, Vol. II, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. **257**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1983).
- [13] K. Hattori, The geometric quantizations and the measured Gromov-Hausdorff convergences, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **18**, No. 6, 1575-1627 (2020).
- [14] K. Hattori and M. Yamashita, Spectral convergence in geometric quantization - the case of non-singular Langrangian fibrations, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **21**, No. 6, 1191-1237 (2023).
- [15] L. Ios, Quantization and isotropic submanifolds, *Michigan Math. J.* (2021), advance publication, 1-44.
- [16] W. Klingenberg, *Riemannian geometry*, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 1. Berlin - New York: Walter de Gruyter. X, 396 p (1982).
- [17] L. Lempert and R. Szöke, Global solutions of the homogeneous complex Monge-Ampère equation and complex structures on the tangent bundle of Riemannian manifolds, *Math. Ann.* **290**, No. 4, 689-712 (1991).
- [18] X. Ma and G. Marinescu, Exponential estimate for the asymptotics of Bergman kernels, *Math. Ann.* **362**, No. 3-4, 1327-1347 (2015).

- [19] R. Range, Y. T. Siu, C^k approximation by holomorphic functions and $\bar{\partial}$ -closed forms on C^k submanifolds of a complex manifold. *Math. Ann.* **210**, 105-122 (1974).
- [20] R. Paoletti, A note on scaling asymptotics for Bohr-Sommerfeld Lagrangian submanifolds, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **136**, No. 11, 4011-4017 (2008).
- [21] G. Tian, On a set of polarized Kähler metrics on algebraic manifolds, *J. Differential Geom.* **32** (1990), 99-130.
- [22] Y. Tiba, Asymptotic estimates of holomorphic sections on Bohr-Sommerfeld Lagrangian submanifolds, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.01492>.
- [23] H. Whitney, Analytic extensions of differential functions in closed sets, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **36** (1934), 63-89.
- [24] H. Whitney, Differentiable manifolds, *Ann. of Math.* **37** (1936), 645-680.
- [25] H. Whitney and F. Bruhat, Quelques propriétés fondamentales des ensembles analytiques-réels. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **33**, 132-160 (1959).
- [26] S. Zelditch, Szegő kernels and a theorem of Tian, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **6** (1998), 317-331.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
OCHANOMIZU UNIVERSITY,
2-1-1 OTSUKA, BUNKYO-KU, TOKYO (JAPAN)
chiba.yusaku@ocha.ac.jp