

A NOTE ON THE EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS TO HITCHIN'S SELF-DUALITY EQUATIONS

YU FENG, SHUO WANG[†], AND BIN XU

ABSTRACT. In 1987, Hitchin introduced the self-duality equations on rank-2 complex vector bundles over compact Riemann surfaces with genus greater than one as a reduction of the Yang-Mills equation and established the existence of solutions to these equations starting from a Higgs stable bundle. In this paper, we fill in some technical details in Hitchin's original proof by the following three steps. First, we reduce the existence of a solution of class L_1^2 to minimizing the energy functional within a Higgs stable orbit of the L_2^2 complex gauge group action. Second, using this transformation, we obtain a solution of class L_1^2 in this orbit. These two steps primarily follow Hitchin's original approach. Finally, using the Coulomb gauge, we construct a smooth solution by applying an L_2^2 unitary gauge transformation to the L_1^2 solution constructed previously. This last step provides additional technical details to Hitchin's original proof.

1. INTRODUCTION

In his 1987 paper [5], Hitchin introduced the self-duality equations for rank-2 Hermitian bundles over compact Riemann surfaces of genus greater than one. Employing Sobolev completion and weak convergence techniques, he obtained a solution to the self-duality equations via a gauge transformation applied to a stable Higgs bundle, as elaborated in [5, Theorem 4.3]. This result stands as a foundation in the study of Hitchin systems and provides a geometric framework of non-Abelian Hodge correspondence.

The space of smooth complex Higgs structures on Hermitian bundles forms an infinite-dimensional affine space equipped with a natural Kähler structure, where Hitchin derived his self-duality equations. Hitchin computed the moment map for the action of unitary gauge group on this space, constructed an energy functional, and reduce the existence of a solution of class L_1^2 to finding the minimum of this energy functional on a Higgs stable orbit, thereby proving the existence theorem. Following Hitchin's approach, our proof proceeds in three steps. First, using Hitchin's energy functional, we extend his reduction process on the space of smooth complex Higgs structures to the space of L_1^2 complex Higgs structures. Specifically, we translate the existence of a solution of class L_1^2 into finding a minimizer of the energy functional restricted to the Higgs stable orbit under the action of bundle automorphisms of class L_2^2 . Second, by applying L_2^2 bundle automorphisms to a stable Higgs bundle, we construct an L_1^2 solution to the self-duality equations. An important observation here is that a unitary bundle automorphism of class L_2^2 maps one L_1^2 solution to another L_1^2 solution to the self-duality equations. Finally, employing the Coulomb gauge, we construct a smooth solution to the self-duality equations by applying a unitary bundle automorphism of class L_2^2 to the solution obtained in the second step, as stated in Theorem 2.15. This final step provides more details for the original proof by Hitchin and


Date: January 22, 2025.

B.X. is supported in part by the Project of Stable Support for Youth Team in Basic Research Field, CAS (Grant No. YSBR-001) and NSFC (Grant No. 12271495).

[†]S.W. is the corresponding author.

rigorously confirms the existence of smooth solutions to the self-duality equations as originally demonstrated in [5, Theorem 4.3].

We conclude this section by outlining the organization of the remaining four sections of this note. In Section 2, we provide the necessary background on the self-duality equations and gauge groups, and state the main result, Theorem 2.13. In Section 3, we transform the existence problem of L_1^2 solutions to the self-duality equations into finding the critical point of the energy functional restricted to the orbit under the L_2^2 complex gauge group action. Building on this crucial transformation, we construct a solution lying in L_1^2 space to the self-duality equations in Section 4 and establish Theorem 2.14. Finally, in Section 5, we demonstrate how to construct a smooth solution from the solution obtained in Section 4 and establish Theorem 2.15. Together, Theorems 2.14 and 2.15 provide a complete proof of the main result, Theorem 2.13.



2. BACKGROUND AND MAIN RESULT

Let M be a compact Riemann surface of genus greater than one, and let (V, H) be a Hermitian bundle over M of rank 2. Denote by ω_M the Kähler form, normalized such that $\text{Vol}(M, \omega_M) = 1$. In the first three subsections, we introduce the space N of smooth complex Higgs structures on V , the group \mathcal{G} of smooth unitary gauge transformations, the group $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$ of smooth complex gauge transformations, and their respective group actions on N . These spaces can be completed under some Sobolev norms, yielding the Kähler Banach space (N_1^2, g, \mathcal{T}) , the Banach real Lie group \mathcal{G}_2^2 , and the Banach complex Lie group $\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}2}$. Hitchin's self-duality equations can be extended to N_1^2 , as stated in (2.2). We also provide the moment map μ of the action of \mathcal{G}_2^2 on N_1^2 . Subsequently, in the final two subsections, we present Atiyah-Bott's result, as stated in Theorem 2.11, along with the main results of this note, Theorems 2.13, 2.14, and 2.15.

2.1. Self-duality equations.

2.1.1. *Self-duality equations on principal bundles.* Let $P \rightarrow M$ be an $SO(3)$ principal bundle, and denote by $\text{ad } P$ its adjoint vector bundle. The *self-duality equations* for (A, Φ) are given by

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} F_A + [\Phi, \Phi^*] = 0, \\ d_A'' \Phi = 0, \end{cases}$$

where A is a connection on P , d''_A is the holomorphic structure on $\text{ad } P \otimes \mathbb{C}$ induced by A , and $\Phi \in \Omega^{1,0}(M, \text{ad } P \otimes \mathbb{C})$. We will transfer the self-duality equations (2.1) on the principal bundle to their version on the associated vector bundle. First, let us describe the associated vector bundle of P . Consider the following short exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & U(1) & \longrightarrow & U(2) & \xrightarrow{\eta_0} & SO(3) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & & & \downarrow & \nearrow \xi & \\ & & & & SU(2) & & \end{array}$$

where $U(2) \dashrightarrow SU(2)$ is a multi-valued map given by multiplying by two suitably chosen constants, and ξ is the universal covering map. By the argument in [7, Appendix A, p. 373], the induced long exact sequence is given by:

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^1(M, U(1)) \rightarrow H^1(M, U(2)) \rightarrow H^1(M, SO(3)) \rightarrow 0.$$

implies that there exists a $U(2)$ principal bundle \tilde{P} and a bundle map $\eta : \tilde{P} \rightarrow P$ satisfying $\eta(\tilde{p} \cdot a) = \eta(\tilde{p}) \cdot \eta_0(a)$ for any $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{P}$, $a \in U(2)$. Define $V := \tilde{P} \times_{\text{id}} \mathbb{C}^2$ as a rank 2 complex vector bundle over M , where id is the natural representation of $U(2)$ on \mathbb{C}^2 . The canonical metric on \mathbb{C}^2 induces a Hermitian metric H on V . The Hermitian bundle (V, H) is called the *associated bundle* of P in Hitchin's original paper [5, Section 2]. An important observation is

$$\text{ad } P \cong \text{End}_0^{\text{sk}} V, \quad \text{ad } \tilde{P} \cong \text{End}^{\text{sk}} V, \quad \text{ad } P \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong \text{End}_0 V, \quad \text{ad } \tilde{P} \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong \text{End } V,$$

where $\text{End}_0 V$ and $\text{End}^{\text{sk}} V$ are the bundles of trace-free and skew-Hermitian endomorphisms of (V, H) , respectively. Thus, $\Phi \in \Omega^{0,1}(M, \text{ad } P \otimes \mathbb{C})$ can be regarded as a trace-free element in $\Omega^{0,1}(M, \text{ad } \tilde{P} \otimes \mathbb{C})$, denoted by $\tilde{\Phi}$.

Define $\det \tilde{P} := \tilde{P} \times_{\det} U(1)$ as a $U(1)$ -principal bundle over M . Following Hitchin [5, Section 2], we fix a connection A_0 on $\det \tilde{P}$. Then there exists a one-to-one correspondence between connections A on P and connections \tilde{A} on \tilde{P} with induced connection A_0 on $\det \tilde{P}$. Under this context, we have

$$F_{\tilde{A}} = F_A + \left(\frac{1}{2} F_{A_0} \right) \text{id}_V \in \Omega^2(M, \text{ad } \tilde{P}) \cong \Omega^2(M, \text{End}^{\text{sk}} V).$$

In particular, $F_{\tilde{A}}^\perp = F_A \in \Omega^2(M, \text{End}_0^{\text{sk}} V)$, where $F_{\tilde{A}}^\perp$ denotes the trace-free part of $F_{\tilde{A}}$. Hence, the self-duality equations (2.1) can be reformulated as equations on \tilde{P} , given by

$$\begin{cases} F_{\tilde{A}}^\perp + [\tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Phi}^*] = 0, \\ d''_{\tilde{A}} \tilde{\Phi} = 0. \end{cases}$$

In fact, \tilde{P} and $\det \tilde{P}$ are the unitary frame bundles of (V, H) and $(\det V, H_0)$, respectively, where H_0 is the induced Hermitian metric of H . Therefore, by [6, Definition 2.1.8], connections on \tilde{P} correspond one-to-one with unitary connections on (V, H) , and connections on $\det \tilde{P}$ correspond one-to-one with unitary connections on $(\det V, H_0)$. To summarize, once a unitary connection A_0 on $(\det V, H_0)$ is fixed, a connection A on P induces a unitary connection \tilde{A} on (V, H) whose induced connection on $\det V$ is exactly A_0 . Furthermore, $\tilde{\Phi}$ is a smooth section of $\text{End}_0 V$. At this point, we can discuss the self-duality equations (2.1) in the version of the associated bundle as follows.

2.1.2. *Self-duality equations on associated bundles.* Let (V, H) be a rank-2 Hermitian vector bundle over M . Fix a unitary connection A_0 on $(\det V, H_0)$, where H_0 is the induced Hermitian metric on $\det V$. Denote by \mathcal{A} the space of *smooth unitary connections* on (V, H) that induce A_0 on $\det V$. Given a fixed connection $A \in \mathcal{A}$, the space \mathcal{A} can be described as an affine space

$$\mathcal{A} = A + \Omega^{1, \text{sk}}(M, \text{End}_0 V),$$

where $\Omega^{1, \text{sk}}(M, \text{End}_0 V)$ consists of smooth 1-forms ψ on $\text{End}_0 V$ satisfying

$$\langle \psi(s), t \rangle^H + \langle s, \psi(t) \rangle^H = 0, \quad \forall s, t \in \Omega^0(M, V).$$

On a local chart (U, z) , an element of $\Omega^{1, \text{sk}}(M, \text{End}_0 V)$ can be expressed as $-\theta^{\dagger H} dz + \theta d\bar{z}$, where $\theta \in \Omega^0(U, \text{End}_0 V)$, and \dagger_H is the adjoint operator with respect to H .

Define the space of *smooth complex Higgs fields* on V as $\Omega^{1,0}(M, \text{End}_0 V)$. For any smooth Higgs field Φ , we can locally express it on a chart (U, z) as $\Phi|_U = \phi dz$, where $\phi \in \Omega^0(U, \text{End}_0 V)$. We denote by Φ^* the smooth $(0, 1)$ -form on $\text{End}_0 V$, given locally by $\Phi^*|_U = \phi^{\dagger H} d\bar{z}$.

Denote by $N := \mathcal{A} \times \Omega^{1,0}(M, \text{End}_0 V)$ the space of *smooth complex Higgs structures* on V . Define an invariant Riemannian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$ on \mathcal{A} by

$$\langle \dot{A}_1, \dot{A}_2 \rangle_1 = -i \int_M \dot{A}_1^{1,0} \wedge \dot{A}_2^{0,1} + \dot{A}_2^{1,0} \wedge \dot{A}_1^{0,1}, \quad \forall \dot{A}_i \in T_A \mathcal{A}.$$

Similarly, we define an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ on $\Omega^{1,0}(M, \text{End}_0 V)$ by

$$\langle \dot{\Phi}_1, \dot{\Phi}_2 \rangle_2 = i \int_M \dot{\Phi}_1 \wedge \dot{\Phi}_2^* + \dot{\Phi}_2 \wedge \dot{\Phi}_1^*, \quad \forall \dot{\Phi}_i \in \Omega^{1,0}(M, \text{End}_0 V).$$

These inner products induce a Riemannian metric on N , denoted by g . The complex structure on \mathcal{A} is given by $\mathcal{I}_1(\dot{A}) := -i\dot{A}^{1,0} + i\dot{A}^{0,1}$, while on $\Omega^{1,0}(M, \text{End}_0 V)$ it is given by $\mathcal{I}_2(\dot{\Phi}) := i\dot{\Phi}$. Together, these complex structures induce a complex structure on N , denoted by \mathcal{I} , and the resulting triple (N, g, \mathcal{I}) forms a Kähler structure.

The self-duality equations in the setting of vector bundles is

$$(A, \Phi) \in N \quad \text{such that} \quad \begin{cases} F_A^\perp + [\Phi, \Phi^*] = 0, \\ d_A'' \Phi = 0, \end{cases}$$

where F_A^\perp denotes the trace-free part of F_A , and $[\Phi, \Phi^*] := [\phi, \phi^{\dagger H}] dz \wedge d\bar{z}$ locally on (U, z) . A pair $(A, \Phi) \in N$ that satisfies the equation above is called a *smooth solution* of the self-duality equations.

2.1.3. *Sobolev Case.* First, we introduce some results on Sobolev spaces

Lemma 2.1. *Denote by L_k^p the space of L_k^p functions defined on the unit disk in \mathbb{C} . Then*

- (1) ([3, Theorem 6.7]) Assume $u \in L_k^p$ for some $0 < k < 1$ and $1 < p < \frac{2}{k}$. Let $q > 1$ satisfy $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{k}{2}$. Then, there exists a constant C depending only on k, p such that

$$\|u\|_{L^q} \leq C \|u\|_{L_k^p}.$$

This embedding is denoted by $L_k^p \hookrightarrow L^q$. In particular

$$L_1^2 \hookrightarrow L_{\frac{p}{p+1}}^2 \hookrightarrow L^p \quad \forall 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

We will refer to this result as the *Sobolev Embedding Theorem*.

- (2) ([2, Theorem 6.1]) Let k_i and $1 \leq p_i, p < \infty$ for $(i = 1, 2)$ be real numbers, and let k be a nonnegative integer such that $k_i \geq k$, $k_i - k \geq \frac{2}{p_i} - \frac{2}{p}$, and $k_1 + k_2 - k > \frac{2}{p_1} + \frac{2}{p_2} - \frac{2}{p} \geq 0$. If $u_1 \in L_{k_1}^{p_1}$ and $u_2 \in L_{k_2}^{p_2}$, then there exists a constant C depending only on k, k_i, p, p_i such that

$$\|u_1 \cdot u_2\|_{L_k^p} \leq C \|u_1\|_{L_{k_1}^{p_1}} \|u_2\|_{L_{k_2}^{p_2}}.$$

This embedding is denoted by $L_{k_1}^{p_1} \times L_{k_2}^{p_2} \hookrightarrow L_k^p$. In particular, $L_2^2 \times L_1^2 \hookrightarrow L_1^2$. We will refer to this result as the *Sobolev Multiplicative Theorem*.

Let E be a vector bundle over a compact Riemann surface M . Denote by $L_k^p(M, E)$ the vector space of L_k^p sections of E . Then

- (3) ([8, Chapter 4, Proposition 4.4]) $L_k^2(M, E)$ is compactly embedded into $L_{k-\varepsilon}^2(M, E)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon > 0$.

Corollary 2.2. For any $\frac{1}{2} > \varepsilon > 0$, the following embeddings hold

$$L_1^2 \times L^2 \hookrightarrow L^{2-\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad L_1^2 \times L_1^{2-\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow L_1^{2-2\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. In fact, we only need to prove the following two cases

$$L_1^2 \times L^{2-\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow L^{2-2\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad L^2 \times L_1^{2-\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow L^{2-\varepsilon}.$$

First, from (1), we know that L_1^2 embeds into L^p , where p satisfies $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2-2\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{2-\varepsilon}$. Then, by Hölder's inequality, we have $L^p \times L^{2-\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow L^{2-2\varepsilon}$, which completes the proof of $L_1^2 \times L^{2-\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow L^{2-2\varepsilon}$. Second, $L_1^{2-\varepsilon}$ embeds into L^q , where q satisfies $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2-\varepsilon}$. Then, by Hölder's inequality, we have $L^2 \times L^q \hookrightarrow L^{2-\varepsilon}$, which completes the proof of $L^2 \times L_1^{2-\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow L^{2-\varepsilon}$. \square

Corollary 2.3. $L_1^2(M, E)$ is compactly embedded into $L^p(M, E)$ for any $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Proof. By (3), $L_1^2(M, E)$ is compactly embedded into $L_{1-\varepsilon}^2(M, E)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. From [?,], $L_{1-\varepsilon}^2(M, E)$ is embedded into $L^{2/\varepsilon}(M, E)$. Combining these results, $L_1^2(M, E)$ is compactly embedded into $L^{2/\varepsilon}(M, E)$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$. \square

Definition 2.4. The space of *unitary connections of class L_1^2* on (V, H) is defined as

$$\mathcal{A}_1^2 := \left\{ A = A^{\text{sm}} + \theta \mid \theta \in (L_1^2)^{\text{sk}}(M, \text{End}_0 V \otimes T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M) \right\},$$

where A^{sm} is a fixed smooth unitary connection in \mathcal{A} . Note that the space \mathcal{A}_1^2 is independent of the choice of A^{sm} . The space of *complex Higgs fields of class L_1^2* is defined as the space of L_1^2 sections of the vector bundle $\text{End}_0 V \otimes K_M$, denoted by $L_1^2(M, \text{End}_0 V \otimes K_M)$ or $(L_1^2)^{1,0}(M, \text{End}_0 V)$. We denote $N_1^2 := \mathcal{A}_1^2 \times L_1^2(M, \text{End}_0 V \otimes K_M)$.

In this setting, the Kähler structure (N, g, \mathcal{I}) extends naturally to N_1^2 , which we also denote by (N_1^2, g, \mathcal{I}) .

Lemma 2.5. $F_A, [\Phi, \Phi^*]$, and $d_A'' \Phi$ lie in $L^2(M, \text{End}_0 V \otimes \Lambda^2 T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M)$ for any $(A, \Phi) \in N_1^2$.

Proof. The curvature map $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Omega^{1,1}(M, \text{End } V)$, which assigns to a connection A its curvature F_A , can be continuously extended to:

$$\mathcal{A}_1^2 \rightarrow L^2(M, \text{End } V \otimes \Lambda^2 T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M) \quad ([9, \text{Lemma 1.1}]).$$

Locally on (U, z) , represent $A = d - \theta^{\dagger H} dz + \theta d\bar{z}$ and $\Phi = \phi dz$. Then both θ and ϕ have L_1^2 regularity. Locally, we have:

$$d_A'' \Phi = - \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}} + [\theta, \phi] \right) dz \wedge d\bar{z}, \quad [\Phi, \Phi^*] = [\phi, \phi^{\dagger H}] dz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

By the Sobolev embedding theorem, $L_1^2 \hookrightarrow L^4$, and we know $L_1^2 \times L_1^2 \subseteq L^2$. Therefore, $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}}, [\phi, \theta]$, and $[\phi, \phi^{\dagger H}]$ all have L^2 regularity. This implies that both $d_A'' \Phi$ and $[\Phi, \Phi^*]$ lie in $L^2(M, \text{End}_0 V \otimes \Lambda^2 T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M)$. \square

Consequently, we consider the self-duality equations in the Sobolev setting as follows

$$(2.2) \quad (A, \Phi) \in N_1^2 \quad \text{such that} \quad \begin{cases} F_A^\perp + [\Phi, \Phi^*] = 0 \\ d_A'' \Phi = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{a.e.}$$

A pair $(A, \Phi) \in N_1^2$ that satisfies (2.2) is called a *solution of class L_1^2* to the self-duality equations.

2.2. Gauge Groups.

2.2.1. Smooth Case.

Definition 2.6. Define the group \mathcal{G} of *smooth unitary gauge transformations* on (V, H) as

$$\mathcal{G} := \left\{ \varphi \in \Omega^0(M, \text{End } V) \mid \det \varphi = 1 \text{ and } \varphi \cdot \varphi^{\dagger H} = \text{id}_V \right\},$$

and the group $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$ of *smooth complex gauge transformations* on V as

$$\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}} := \left\{ \varphi \in \Omega^0(M, \text{End } V) \mid \det \varphi = 1 \right\}.$$

\mathcal{G} is an infinite-dimensional real Lie group with Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{Lie}(\mathcal{G}) = \Omega^{0, \text{sk}}(M, \text{End}_0 V) := \left\{ s \in \Omega^0(M, \text{End}_0 V) \mid s + s^{\dagger H} = 0 \right\}.$$

There is an action of \mathcal{G} on N given by

$$N \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow N; \quad (A, \Phi) \cdot g := g^{-1}(A, \Phi)g, \quad \text{for } g \in \mathcal{G} \text{ and } (A, \Phi) \in N.$$

$\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is the complexification of \mathcal{G} with $\mathfrak{Lie}(\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}) = \Omega^0(M, \text{End}_0 V)$. There is an action of $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$ on N given by

$$(2.3) \quad N \times \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow N; \quad (A, \Phi) \cdot g := (\tilde{A}, g^{-1}\Phi g), \quad \text{for } g \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}} \text{ and } (A, \Phi) \in N,$$

where \tilde{A} denotes the Chern connection on (V, H) whose $(0, 1)$ -component is given by $g^{-1}d_A''g$. This $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$ -action extends the one of \mathcal{G} on N .

2.2.2. Sobolev case. Consider that \mathcal{G} and $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$ are embedded in $\Omega^0(M, \text{End } V)$. The group of *unitary gauge transformations of class L_2^2* , denoted by \mathcal{G}_2^2 , and the group of *complex gauge transformations of class L_2^2* , denoted by $\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}2}$, are defined as the closures of \mathcal{G} and $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$ in $L_2^2(M, \text{End } V)$. We observe that if $(A, \Phi) \in N_1^2$ is a solution to the self-duality equations (2.2), then so is $(A, \Phi) \cdot g$ for each $g \in \mathcal{G}_2^2$.

By the Sobolev embedding theorem, $L_2^2 \hookrightarrow C^{0, \gamma}$ for any $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, so elements of \mathcal{G}_2^2 or $\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}2}$ can be considered pointwise by continuity. The Lie algebras are given by

$$\mathfrak{Lie}(\mathcal{G}_2^2) = (L_2^2)^{\text{sk}}(M, \text{End}_0 V) = \left\{ \varphi \in L_2^2(M, \text{End}_0 V) \mid \varphi_z + \varphi_z^{\dagger H} = 0 \quad \forall z \in M \right\},$$

and $\mathfrak{Lie}(\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}2}) = L_2^2(M, \text{End}_0 V)$. By the Sobolev multiplication theorem $L_2^2 \times L_1^2 \hookrightarrow L_1^2$, there are actions of \mathcal{G}_2^2 and $\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}2}$ on N_1^2 , which are extensions of the actions of \mathcal{G} and $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$ on N , respectively.

Lemma 2.7. *Take $(A, \Phi) \in N_1^2$ and $s \in \mathfrak{Lie}(\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}2})$. Locally, on a chart (U, z) , represent $d_A'' = \bar{\partial} + \theta$, then $d_A''s$ is locally given by $(\frac{\partial s}{\partial \bar{z}} + [\theta, s])d\bar{z}$. Denote by $(d_A''s)^*$ the L_1^2 section of $\text{End}_0 V \otimes K_M$, which is locally given by $(\frac{\partial s}{\partial \bar{z}} + [\theta, s])^{\dagger H} dz$.*

The fundamental vector field induced by $s \in \mathfrak{Lie}(\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}2})$ with respect to the $\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}2}$ action on N_1^2 is given by

$$V^s \Big|_{(A, \Phi)} = \left(- (d_A''s)^* + d_A''s, [\Phi, s] \right).$$

Proof. Consider a curve in N_1^2 given by $\gamma(t) = (A_t, \Phi_t) := (A, \Phi) \cdot \exp(ts)$, and by definition, we have $V^s|_{(A, \Phi)} = \gamma'(0)$. By the definition of the $\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$ action on N_1^2 , we have

$$d''_{A_t} = \exp(-ts)d''_A \exp(ts), \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_t = \exp(-ts)\Phi \exp(ts).$$

Locally, on the chart (U, z) , we write $d''_{A_t} = \bar{\partial} + \exp(-ts)\bar{\partial}(\exp(ts)) + \exp(-ts)\theta \exp(ts)d\bar{z}$ and $\Phi_t = \exp(-ts)\phi \exp(ts)dz$. Taking the limit as $t \rightarrow 0$, we compute

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{d''_{A_t} - d''_A}{t} = \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial \bar{z}} + [\theta, s] \right) d\bar{z} = d''_A s \text{ locally,}$$

Similarly, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi_t - \Phi}{t} = [\phi, s]dz$, which coincides exactly with the form $[\Phi, s]$.

By the skew-Hermitian property of $T_A \mathcal{A}_1^2$, the term $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{d_{A_t} - d_A}{t}$ is given by

$$- \left(\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{d''_{A_t} - d''_A}{t} \right)^* + \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{d''_{A_t} - d''_A}{t}.$$

Finally, we conclude $\gamma'(0) = \left(- (d''_A s)^* + d''_A s, [\Phi, s] \right)$. □

2.2.3. Higgs stable pair.

Definition 2.8. A pair $(A, \Phi) \in N$ is called *Higgs (semi-)stable* if $d''_A \Phi = 0$ and any holomorphic line subbundle L of (V, d''_A) satisfying $\Phi(L) \subseteq L \otimes K_M$ must satisfy:

$$\deg L < (\leq) \frac{\deg V}{2}.$$

Lemma 2.9. *If (A, Φ) lies in a $\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$ -orbit of a Higgs semi-stable pair $(B, \Psi) \in N$, then $d''_A \Phi = 0$.*

Proof. By the definition of the $\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$ action on N_1^2 given in (2.3), we have:

$$d''_A = g^{-1}d''_B g, \quad \Phi = g^{-1}\Psi g,$$

for some $g \in \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$. Consequently $d''_A \Phi = g^{-1}(d''_B \Psi)g = 0$. □

Definition 2.10. A pair $(A, \Phi) \in N_1^2$ is called *Higgs (semi-)stable* if it lies in a $\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$ -orbit of some Higgs (semi-)stable pair in N .

2.3. Moment Map. The moment map $\mu : N \rightarrow \mathfrak{Lie}(\mathcal{G})^*$ of the action of the Lie group \mathcal{G} on N can be explicitly computed, with detailed calculations provided in [1, Section 9] and [5, Section 4]. This result can naturally extend to the case of $\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$ action on N_1^2 as

$$(2.4) \quad \mu : N_1^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{Lie}(\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2})^*, \quad (A, \Phi) \mapsto \mu(A, \Phi)(s) := \int_M \text{Tr} \left((F_A^\perp + [\Phi, \Phi^*])s \right).$$

For convenience, we write the moment map as $\mu(A, \Phi) = F_A^\perp + [\Phi, \Phi^*]$.

Introduce the standard inner product on $(L^2)^{\text{sk}}(M, \text{End}_0 V)$, which induces an inner product on the linear subspace $\mathfrak{Lie}(\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}) = (L^2)^{\text{sk}}(M, \text{End}_0 V)$ as

$$\langle s, t \rangle_{L^2} = \int_M \text{Tr} (s^\dagger t + t^\dagger s) \omega_M, \quad s, t \in (L^2)^{\text{sk}}(M, \text{End}_0 V).$$

Define the map $\mu^\sharp : N_1^2 \rightarrow (L^2)^{\text{sk}}(M, \text{End}_0 V)$ as

$$\mu^\sharp(A, \Phi) = \frac{F_A^\perp + [\Phi, \Phi^*]}{\omega_M}.$$

Whenever $x \in N_1^2$ is a pair such that $\mu(x)$ has L_2^2 regularity, then $\mu^\sharp(x)$ can be regarded as an element in $\mathfrak{Lie}(\mathcal{G}_2^2) = (L_2^2)^{\text{sk}}(M, \text{End}_0 V)$. Finally, we define the energy function as

$$\|\mu\|_{L^2}^2 : N_1^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \quad (A, \Phi) \mapsto \left\langle \mu^\sharp(A, \Phi), \mu^\sharp(A, \Phi) \right\rangle_{L^2}.$$

2.4. Atiyah-Bott's Result. One of the key tools employed in this note is a result from Atiyah-Bott [1, Lemma 14.8-9], which provides a method for finding smooth connections within the $\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$ -orbit in \mathcal{A}_1^2 .

Lemma 2.11 (Atiyah-Bott). *For any connection $A \in \mathcal{A}_1^2$, the set consisting of smooth connections in the $\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$ -orbit of A is a dense subset of this orbit. Furthermore, if A_1, A_2 are smooth and $A_1 = A_2 \cdot g$ for some $g \in \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$, then $g \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$.*

For any pair $(A, \Phi) \in N_1^2$, based on the lemma above, we can find smooth pairs in N within any stable $\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$ -orbits in N_1^2 as follows:

Lemma 2.12. *Let $(A, \Phi) \in N_1^2$ satisfy $d_A'' \Phi = 0$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}$ the $\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$ -orbit of (A, Φ) in N_1^2 . Then the subset of $\mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}$ consisting of smooth pairs is dense in $\mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}$. Moreover, if both (A_1, Φ_1) and (A_2, Φ_2) are contained in N and $(A_1, \Phi_1) = (A_2, \Phi_2) \cdot g$ for some $g \in \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$, then $g \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.11, there exists $g \in \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$ such that $\tilde{A} := A \cdot g$ is smooth, and thus $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\Phi}) := (A, \Phi) \cdot g$ is a smooth pair in $\mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}$. The smoothness of $\tilde{\Phi}$ follows from the elliptic equation $d_{\tilde{A}}'' \tilde{\Phi} = 0$. Therefore, the set $\left\{ (\tilde{A}, \tilde{\Phi}) \cdot g \mid g \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}} \right\}$ is a dense subset of the $\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$ -orbit $\mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}$. \square

2.5. Main Result. Hitchin's result [5, Theorem 4.3] includes both the existence and uniqueness of solutions to the self-duality equations. Here, we focus only on the existence, which is stated as follows.

Theorem 2.13 (Hitchin). *For any Higgs stable pair $(A, \Phi) \in N$, there exist smooth solutions to the Hitchin self-duality equations (2.2) within the $\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$ -orbit of (A, Φ) .*

We divide the proof of this theorem into two main steps. First, we seek a solution to the self-duality equations in the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}$, where the solution is not necessarily smooth. Second, we show the existence of a smooth pair in the $\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$ -orbit of this solution. These two steps correspond to the following theorems:

Theorem 2.14. *For any Higgs stable pair $(A, \Phi) \in N$, there exist solutions of class L_1^2 to the Hitchin self-duality equations (2.2) within the $\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$ -orbit of (A, Φ) .*

Theorem 2.15. *If $(A, \Phi) \in N_1^2$ is a solution of the self-duality equations (2.2), then there exists a smooth pair in the $\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$ -orbit of (A, Φ) .*

3. REDUCTION OF SOLVING SELF-DUALITY EQUATIONS

Let $(A, \Phi) \in N$ be a smooth Higgs stable pair, and denote by $\mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}$ the $\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$ -orbit of (A, Φ) in N_1^2 . The main goal of this section is to establish four mutually equivalent conditions for the existence of a solution to the self-duality equations within the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}$. Hitchin's original work introduced these equivalent conditions under the assumption of smoothness, transforming the problem of finding solutions to the self-duality equations into a more tractable form. In this section, we remove the smoothness assumption by extending the discussion to a general Higgs stable pair in $\mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}$. These conditions are summarized in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *For $x \in \mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}$, the following conditions are mutually equivalent:*

- (1) x is a solution of class L_1^2 to the Hitchin self-duality equation (2.2).
- (2) x is a zero of the moment map μ as defined in (2.4).
- (3) x is a critical point of $\|\mu\|_{L^2}^2 : N_1^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.
- (4) x is a critical point of the restricted function $\|\mu\|_{L^2}^2|_{\mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}} : \mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

Since (N_1^2, g) is a Banach manifold, we need to redefine the notion of critical point. First, we introduce a general result on Sobolev sections of vector bundles from [4, Section 4.1] and [8, Chapter 4, Proposition 3.2] as follows

Lemma 3.2. *Let E be a vector bundle over a compact manifold M and g a bundle metric. For any bounded linear functional f on $L_k^2(M, E)$, $k \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a unique $t \in L_{-k}^2(M, E)$ such that: $g(s, t) = f(s)$, $\forall s \in L_k^2(M, E)$. Moreover, the inequality $|g(s, t)| \leq \|s\|_{L_k^2} \|t\|_{L_{-k}^2}$ holds.*

Regard the tangent space at $x \in N_1^2$ as the space of L_1^2 sections of a vector bundle:

$$T_x N_1^2 \cong (L_1^2)^{1, \text{sk}}(M, \text{End}_0 V) \oplus (L_1^2)^{1, 0}(M, \text{End}_0 V).$$

On the other hand, any $\theta = \theta^{1, 0} + \theta^{0, 1}$ in $(L_1^2)^{1, \text{sk}}(M, \text{End}_0 V)$ satisfies $\theta^{1, 0} = -(\theta^{0, 1})^*$. Thus, θ is determined by its $(0, 1)$ component. Therefore, we can regard $T_x N_1^2$ as the vector space $L_1^2(M, \text{End}_0 V \otimes T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M)$. The Kähler metric g on N_1^2 can then be viewed as a bundle metric on $\text{End}_0 V \otimes T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M$.

Lemma 3.3. *Denote by $d_x f$ the differential 1-form in $(T_x N_1^2)^*$ associated with the smooth function f . Then $d_x \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2$ is a bounded linear functional on the Hilbert space $(T_x N_1^2, \|\cdot\|_{L_1^2})$.*

Proof. Let $x := (A, \Phi) \in N_1^2$ and $\mu(x) = F_A^\perp + [\Phi, \Phi^*]$. Let $Y = (\dot{A}, \dot{\Phi})$ be a tangent vector in $T_x N_1^2$. Then $d_x \mu(Y)$ is an L^2 section of $\text{End}_0 V \otimes \Lambda^2 T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M$, given by:

$$\begin{aligned} d_x \mu(Y) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (\mu(x + tY) - \mu(x)) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \left((F_{A+t\dot{A}} - F_A) + ([\Phi + t\dot{\Phi}, \Phi^* + t\dot{\Phi}^*] - [\Phi, \Phi^*]) \right) \\ (3.1) \quad &= d_A \dot{A} + [\Phi, \dot{\Phi}^*] + [\dot{\Phi}, \Phi^*]. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\{Y_i\} := (A_i, \Phi_i)$ converges to Y in L_1^2 . Using the embedding $L_1^2 \times L_1^2 \hookrightarrow L^2$, we have $\{d_x \mu(Y_i)\}$ converging to $d_x \mu(Y)$ in L^2 . On the other hand,

$$d_x \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2(Y) = 2 \langle d_x \mu^\sharp(Y), \mu^\sharp(x) \rangle_{L^2}$$

by definition. Hence, the sequence $d_x \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2(Y_i)$ converges to $d_x \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2(Y)$. \square

Definition 3.4. $\text{grad}_x \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2$ is defined as the L_{-1}^2 section of $\text{End}_0 V \otimes T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M$ such that

$$g(\text{grad}_x \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2, Y) = d_x \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2(Y), \quad \forall Y \in T_x N_1^2.$$

We call x a *critical point* of $\|\mu\|_{L^2}^2$ if $\text{grad}_x \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2 = 0$.

3.1. Proof of Proposition 3.1.

3.1.1. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2). The equivalence between (1) and (2) is a trivial observation since (A, Φ) is stable, and for any $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\Phi}) \in \mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}$, the second part of the self-duality equations, $d_{\tilde{A}}'' \tilde{\Phi} = 0$, automatically holds.

3.1.2. (2) \Leftrightarrow (3). For $x \in N_1^2$, $\text{grad}_x \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2$ cannot, in general, be regarded as a tangent vector in $T_x N_1^2$. However, under certain regularity condition, we have the following

Lemma 3.5. *Consider the Banach Kähler manifold $(N_1^2, \omega, g, \mathcal{I})$ with \mathcal{G}_2^2 acting on it with the moment map μ defined in (2.4). Suppose $x \in N_1^2$ such that $\mu^\sharp(x) \in (L_2^2)^{\text{sk}}(M, \text{End}_0 V)$. Then $\text{grad}_x \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2$ is the tangent vector in $T_x N_1^2$ given by*

$$\text{grad}_x \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2 = 2\mathcal{I}V^{\mu^\sharp(x)} \Big|_x = 2i \left((d_A'' \mu^\sharp(x))^* + d_A'' \mu^\sharp(x), [\Phi, \mu^\sharp(x)] \right),$$

where $V^{\mu^\sharp(x)}$ is the fundamental vector field on N_1^2 induced by $\mu^\sharp(x) \in \mathfrak{Lie}(\mathcal{G}_2^2)$.

Proof. For each $s \in \mathfrak{Lie}(\mathcal{G}_2^2)$, define $\mu_s : N_1^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $x \mapsto \mu(x)(s)$. Then, for any $Y \in T_x N_1^2$

$$d_x \mu(Y)(s) = d_x \mu_s(Y) = \omega(V^s|_x, Y) = g(\mathcal{I}V^s|_x, Y),$$

On the other hand,

$$g(\text{grad}_x \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2, Y) = d_x \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2(Y) = 2 \langle d_x \mu^\sharp(Y), \mu^\sharp(x) \rangle_{L^2} = 2d_x \mu(Y) (\mu^\sharp(x))$$

For any $x = (A, \Phi) \in N_1^2$, we can explicitly express $V^s|_x = \left(-(d_A'' s)^* + d_A'' s, [\Phi, s] \right)$ by Lemma 2.7. Since $\mu^\sharp(x) \in (L_2^2)^{\text{sk}}(M, \text{End}_0 V) = \mathfrak{Lie}(\mathcal{G}_2^2)$, combining the above two expressions, it follows that

$$\text{grad}_x \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2 = 2\mathcal{I}V^{\mu^\sharp(x)} \Big|_x = 2i \left((d_A'' \mu^\sharp(x))^* + d_A'' \mu^\sharp(x), [\Phi, \mu^\sharp(x)] \right).$$

□

The implication (2) \Rightarrow (3) is straightforward by the lemma above. Next, we focus on how (3) implies (2). On the basis of the previous lemma, we can now analyze $\text{grad}_x \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2$ in the general case for $x \in N_1^2$ as follows:

Lemma 3.6. *Consider the Banach Kähler manifold $(N_1^2, \omega, g, \mathcal{I})$ with \mathcal{G}_2^2 acting on it with the moment map μ defined in (2.4). For any $x \in N_1^2$, the $\text{grad}_x \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2$ is the L_{-1}^2 section of the vector bundle $\text{End}_0 V \otimes T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M$ formally given by*

$$\text{grad}_x \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2 = 2i \left((d_A'' \mu^\sharp(x))^* + d_A'' \mu^\sharp(x), [\Phi, \mu^\sharp(x)] \right).$$

Proof. For a smooth pair $x \in N$, the result follows directly from the previous lemma. For a general $x = (A, \Phi) \in N_1^2$, let $\{x_i = (A_i, \Phi_i)\} \subset N_1^2$ be a sequence of smooth pairs converging to x in L_1^2 . By [9, Lemma 1.1] and the embedding $L_1^2 \times L_1^2 \hookrightarrow L^2$, both F_{A_i} converge to F_A and $[\Phi_i, \Phi_i^*]$ converge to $[\Phi, \Phi^*]$ in L^2 . Therefore,

$$\mu(x_i) = F_{A_i} + [\Phi_i, \Phi_i^*] \xrightarrow{L^2} F_A + [\Phi, \Phi^*] = \mu(x).$$

For any fixed $Y = (\dot{A}, \dot{\Phi}) \in T_x N_1^2$, we have

$$d_x \mu(Y) = d_A \dot{A} + [\dot{\Phi}, \Phi^*] + [\Phi, \dot{\Phi}^*],$$

by (3.1). Consequently, $d_{x_i} \mu(Y) \rightarrow d_x \mu(Y)$ in L^2 . Then

$$\begin{aligned} g(\text{grad}_{x_i} \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2, Y) &= d_{x_i} \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2(Y) = 2 \langle d_{x_i} \mu^\sharp(Y), \mu^\sharp(x_i) \rangle_{L^2} \\ &\rightarrow 2 \langle d_x \mu^\sharp(Y), \mu^\sharp(x) \rangle_{L^2} = d_x \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2(Y) = g(\text{grad}_x \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2, Y). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.2, any bounded functional on L_{-1}^2 can be written in the form $g(\cdot, Y)$ for some Y . Therefore

$$\text{grad}_{x_i} \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2 \rightharpoonup \text{grad}_x \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2 \quad \text{in } L_{-1}^2.$$

On the other hand, by the embedding $L_1^2 \times L_1^2 \hookrightarrow L^2$, we have $[\Phi_i, \mu^\sharp(x_i)] \rightarrow [\Phi, \mu^\sharp(x)]$ in L^2 , and $d''_{A_i} \mu^\sharp(x_i) \rightarrow d''_A \mu^\sharp(x)$ in L_{-1}^2 . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{grad}_{x_i} \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2 &= 2i \left((d''_{A_i} \mu^\sharp(x_i))^* + d''_{A_i} \mu^\sharp(x_i), [\Phi_i, \mu^\sharp(x_i)] \right) \\ &\xrightarrow{L_{-1}^2} 2i \left((d''_A \mu^\sharp(x))^* + d''_A \mu^\sharp(x), [\Phi, \mu^\sharp(x)] \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the uniqueness of weak limits, we conclude

$$\text{grad}_x \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2 = 2i \left((d''_A \mu^\sharp(x))^* + d''_A \mu^\sharp(x), [\Phi, \mu^\sharp(x)] \right).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.7. *If $x \in N_1^2$ is a critical point of $\|\mu\|_{L^2}^2$, then $\mu^\sharp(x)$ lies in $(L_2^2)^{\text{sk}}(M, \text{End}_0 V) = \mathfrak{Lie}(\mathcal{G}_2^2)$, and $V^{\mu^\sharp(x)} = 0$.*

Proof. $d''_A \mu^\sharp(x) = 0$ since x is a critical point of $\|\mu\|_{L^2}^2$. Locally, on a chart (U, z) , we write

$$A = d - \theta^{\dagger H} dz + \theta d\bar{z}, \quad \mu^\sharp(x) = m,$$

Using the local equation $\bar{\partial}m = -[\theta, m]d\bar{z}$ and Corollary 2.2, which states that $L_1^2 \times L^2 \hookrightarrow L^{2-\varepsilon}$ for any $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, we deduce that both m and $\bar{\partial}m$ have $L^{2-\varepsilon}$ regularity. Then, by elliptic estimates of the operator $\bar{\partial}$, m has $L_1^{2-\varepsilon}$ regularity. By iteratively applying the Corollary 2.2, $L_1^2 \times L_1^{2-\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow L_1^{2-2\varepsilon}$ and elliptic regularity, we conclude that m has $L_2^{2-2\varepsilon}$ regularity. Using the Sobolev multiplication theorem mentioned in Lemma 2.1, we have

$$L_2^{2-2\varepsilon} \times L_1^2 \hookrightarrow L_1^2,$$

which implies that $\bar{\partial}m$ has L_1^2 regularity. Using the elliptic estimation, $m \in L_2^2$, and consequently, $\mu^\sharp(x)$ has L_2^2 regularity. By Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\text{grad}_x \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2 = 2IV^{\mu^\sharp(x)} \Big|_x = 0,$$

which implies $V^{\mu^\sharp(x)} = 0$. \square

Corollary 3.7 utilizes elliptic regularity techniques, which are commonly employed throughout this note. Next, we will prove that if x is a Higgs stable pair, then $V^{\mu^\sharp(x)} = 0$ if and only if $\mu^\sharp(x) = 0$, thereby completing the proof of (2) \Leftrightarrow (3). To achieve this, we introduce the following result from Hitchin [5, Theorem 3.15].

Theorem 3.8 (Hitchin). *Let (A_1, Φ_1) and (A_2, Φ_2) be Higgs semistable smooth pairs in N , with at least one of them being stable. Let*

$$\psi : (V, d''_{A_1}) \rightarrow (V, d''_{A_2})$$

be a non-zero holomorphic bundle homomorphism such that $\psi\Phi_1 = \Phi_2\psi$. Then ψ is an isomorphism. Moreover, if $(A_1, \Phi_1) = (A_2, \Phi_2)$, then ψ is scalar multiplication.

The following lemma provides a slight generalization of Hitchin's result, extending its applicability to the non-smooth setting.

Lemma 3.9. *Let (A_1, Φ_1) and (A_2, Φ_2) be Higgs semistable pairs in N_1^2 , with at least one of them being stable. Let $\psi : V \rightarrow V$ be a non-zero bundle homomorphism such that*

$$\psi d''_{A_1} = d''_{A_2} \psi, \quad \text{and} \quad \psi\Phi_1 = \Phi_2\psi.$$

Then, ψ is an isomorphism with L_2^2 regularity. Moreover, if $(A_1, \Phi_1) = (A_2, \Phi_2)$, then ψ is scalar multiplication.

Proof. By Lemma 2.12, there exist $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$ such that: $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{\Phi}_1) := (A_1, \Phi_1) \cdot g_1$ and $(\tilde{A}_2, \tilde{\Phi}_2) := (A_2, \Phi_2) \cdot g_2$ are smooth pairs. If ψ is a non-zero bundle homomorphism satisfying $\psi d''_{A_1} = d''_{A_2} \psi$ and $\psi \Phi_1 = \Phi_2 \psi$, then the induced map

$$\tilde{\psi} := g_2^{-1} \psi g_1 : (V, d''_{\tilde{A}_1}) \rightarrow (V, d''_{\tilde{A}_2})$$

is a non-zero holomorphic bundle homomorphism satisfying $\tilde{\psi} \tilde{\Phi}_1 = \tilde{\Phi}_2 \tilde{\psi}$. By Hitchin's Theorem 3.8, $\tilde{\psi}$ is an isomorphism, and therefore ψ is also an isomorphism with L_2^2 regularity. \square

Corollary 3.10. *Let (A, Φ) be a Higgs stable pair in N_1^2 , and let $x \in \mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}$. Then, for any $s \in \mathfrak{Lie}(\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2})$, the condition $V^s|_x = 0$ holds if and only if $s = 0$.*

Combining Corollary 3.7 and Corollary 3.10, we have completed the proof of (3) \Rightarrow (2). Thus, we have established the equivalence between (2) and (3).

3.1.3. (3) \Leftrightarrow (4). The implication (3) \Rightarrow (4) is straightforward. Next, we consider the converse direction (4) \Rightarrow (3). We have shown that if $x \in \mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}$ is a smooth pair, then

$$\text{grad}_x \left(\|\mu\|_{L^2}^2 \Big|_{\mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}} \right) = \text{grad}_x \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2,$$

since $\text{grad}_x \|\mu\|_{L^2}^2 = 2\mathcal{I}V\mu^\sharp(x) \Big|_x$ is a tangent vector of $\mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}$.

For a general $x \in \mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}$, take a smooth sequence $\{x_i = x \cdot g_i\}_i$ convergent to x , where $g_i \in \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$ converges to the identity. Consider $T_x \mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}$ as a closed subset of $T_x N_1^2$, and define

$$v := 2i \left((d''_A \mu^\sharp(x))^* + d''_A \mu^\sharp(x), [\Phi, \mu^\sharp(x)] \right), \quad v_i := 2\mathcal{I}V\mu^\sharp(x_i) \Big|_{x_i}.$$

We claim that $T_x \mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}$ is a closed subspace of the Hilbert space $(T_x N_1^2, \|\cdot\|_{L_1^2})$ and will prove this later in Lemma 3.11. By the Riesz representation theorem, we regard $(T_x \mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)})^*$ as a closed subspace of $(T_x N_1^2)^*$. What remains to show is that $g(v, \cdot)$ belongs to $(T_x \mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)})^*$.

On one hand, the vectors v_i are tangent vectors in $T_{x_i} \mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}$, implying that $(R_{g_i})_* v_i$ are tangent vectors in $T_x \mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}$. Consequently, $g((R_{g_i})_* v_i, \cdot) \in (T_x \mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)})^*$. On the other hand, since v_i converges to v in $L_{-1}^2(M, \text{End}_0 V \otimes T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M)$ and g_i converges to the identity, it follows that $g((R_{g_i})_* v_i, \cdot)$ converges to $g(v, \cdot)$ in $(T_x N_1^2)^*$. By the closedness of $(T_x \mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)})^*$, we conclude that $g(v, \cdot) \in (T_x \mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)})^*$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $x = (A, \Phi) \in N_1^2$ be a Higgs stable pair, and let \mathcal{O}_x be the $\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$ -orbit of x . Then $T_x \mathcal{O}_x$ is a closed subspace of the Hilbert space $(T_x N_1^2, \|\cdot\|_{L_1^2})$.*

Proof. Suppose $\{s_i\}$ is a sequence in $\mathfrak{Lie}(\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2})$ such that the fundamental vector $V^{s_i}|_x$ converges to $X \in T_x N_1^2$. Then by Lemma 2.7, $\{d''_A s_i\}$ converge in $(L_1^2)^{0,1}(M, \text{End}_0 V)$. We only need to show that $X \in T_x \mathcal{O}_x$, which is equivalent to finding $s \in \mathfrak{Lie}(\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2})$ such that $V^s|_x = X$. First we claim that

Claim. $\{s_i\}$ is bounded in L^2

If not, we may assume that $\|s_i\|_{L^2} \rightarrow \infty$. Take $s_i = \lambda_i \tilde{s}_i$ such that $\|\tilde{s}_i\|_{L^2} = 1$ and $\lambda_i = \|s_i\|_{L^2} \rightarrow \infty$. The fundamental vector of \tilde{s}_i satisfies

$$V^{\tilde{s}_i} \Big|_x = \lambda_i^{-1} V^{s_i} \Big|_x \rightarrow 0$$

since V^{s_i} converges to X in L_1^2 , which in turn gives

$$(3.2) \quad d''_A \tilde{s}_i \xrightarrow{L_1^2} 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [\Phi, \tilde{s}_i] \xrightarrow{L_1^2} 0.$$

Hence, $d_A'' \tilde{s}_i$ is bounded in L_1^2 . By the elliptic estimates for d_A'' , \tilde{s}_i is bounded in L_2^2 . Therefore, we may assume $\{\tilde{s}_i\}$ has a weak limit t in $L_2^2(M, \text{End}_0 V)$. On the one hand, since L_2^2 compactly embeds into L^2 , \tilde{s}_i converges to t in L^2 . Together with $\|\tilde{s}_i\|_{L^2} = 1$, this implies $t \neq 0$. On the other hand, in the limit of (3.2)

$$d_A'' t = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [\Phi, t] = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.7, this gives $V^t|_x = 0$. Furthermore, by Corollary 3.10, we conclude that $t = 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\|s_i\|_{L^2}$ must be bounded, and the proof of the claim is complete.

$\|d_A'' s_i\|_{L_1^2}$ is bounded since the sequence $d_A'' s_i$ converges in L_1^2 . $\|s_i\|_{L^2}$ is bounded by the claim above. Then, by elliptic estimate for the operator d_A'' , $\|s_i\|_{L_2^2}$ is bounded. Hence, we may assume that the sequence $\{s_i\}$ weakly converges to a s in $L_2^2(M, \text{End}_0 V)$. Thus, $V^{s_i}|_x$ weakly converges to $V^s|_x$ in $L_1^2(M, \text{End}_0 V \otimes T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M)$. Note that $V^{s_i}|_x$ converges to $X \in T_x N_1^2$, by the uniqueness of weak limits, we have $V^s = X$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

4. SOLUTIONS IN HIGGS STABLE ORBIT

In this section, we aim to prove Theorem 2.14. In the previous section, we completed the proof of the equivalence of conditions in Proposition 3.1. Let $(A, \Phi) \in N$ be a Higgs stable smooth pair. Then the problem of finding a solution to the self-duality equations in $\mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}$, as stated in Condition (1), reduces to finding a critical point of the restricted energy function

$$\|\mu\|_{L^2}^2|_{\mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}} : \mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0},$$

as stated in Condition (4). In the first two subsections, if (A, Φ) lies in a dense subset of space of the smooth Higgs stable pair, we will identify the minimal points of $\|\mu\|_{L^2}^2|_{\mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}}$. In the final subsection, using the conclusions from the first two subsections, we will address the general case of any Higgs stable pair $(A, \Phi) \in N$.

4.1. Weak Convergence Method. We extract some useful conclusions from Hitchin's proof method as stated in Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2. Since these conclusions were not explicitly stated as propositions in the original paper, we will outline the proof given by Hitchin.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\{(A_n, \Phi_n)\}$ be a sequence in N_1^2 such that*

$$d_{A_n}'' \Phi_n = 0, \quad \|F_{A_n} + [\Phi_n, \Phi_n^*]\|_{L^2} < C_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\det \Phi_n\|_{L^2} < C_2$$

for some constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$. Then there exists a subsequence of $\{(A_n, \Phi_n)\}$ that weakly converges in L_1^2 to some $(A, \Phi) \in N_1^2$ satisfying $d_A'' \Phi = 0$.

Proof. By [5, (4.6)], for any $(A, \Phi) \in N_1^2$ satisfying $d_A'' \Phi = 0$, we claim that there exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$\langle F_A, [\Phi, \Phi^*] \rangle_{L^2} + c_1 \|\Phi\|_{L^2}^2 \geq 0.$$

By combining the above inequality with the condition $\|F_{A_n} + [\Phi_n, \Phi_n^*]\|_{L^2} < C_1$, as asserted by [5, (4.9)], there exist constants $c_3, c_4 > 0$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \|[\Phi_n, \Phi_n^*]\|_{L^2}^2 \leq c_3 + c_4 \|\Phi_n\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

By [5, (4.11)], recall that $\text{Vol}(M, \omega_M) = 1$, we claim that:

$$(4.2) \quad \|[\Phi_n, \Phi_n^*]\|_{L^2}^2 + 4 \int_M |\det \Phi_n|^2 \geq \|\Phi_n\|_{L^2}^4.$$

Recalling the Schwarz inequality, we know that $\|\Phi_n\|_{L^2} \leq \|\Phi_n\|_{L^4}$, and from the condition $\|\det \Phi_n\|_{L^2} < C_2$, combining Equation (4.1) and Equation (4.2), we claim that

$$\|\Phi_n\|_{L^2}^4 \leq c_4 \|\Phi_n\|_{L^2}^2 + (c_3 + 4C_2),$$

which implies that $\|\Phi_n\|_{L^2}$ is bounded. Moreover, there exist constants $K_1, K_2, K_3 > 0$ such that

$$\|\Phi_n\|_{L^2} < K_1, \quad \|[\Phi_n, \Phi_n^*]\|_{L^2} < K_2, \quad \|\Phi_n\|_{L^4} < K_3.$$

Furthermore, the term $\|F_{A_n}\|_{L^2}$ is also uniformly bounded by

$$\|F_{A_n}\|_{L^2} < C_2 + \|[\Phi_n, \Phi_n^*]\|_{L^2}.$$

By Uhlenbeck's weak compactness theorem [9, Theorem 1.5], since $\|F_{A_n}\|_{L^2}$ is bounded, there exists a subsequence of $\{A_n\}$ that weakly converges to some $A \in \mathcal{A}_1^2$. For convenience, we assume that $\{A_n\}$ converges weakly to A in L_1^2 .

Locally on a chart (U, z) , the pair (A_n, Φ_n) can be expressed as $(d - \theta_n^{\dagger H} dz + \theta_n d\bar{z}, \phi_n dz)$. From the equation $d_{A_n}'' \Phi_n = 0$, it follows that $\bar{\partial}\phi_n + [\theta_n d\bar{z}, \phi_n] = 0$. The sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ converges weakly in L_1^2 and strongly in L^4 , since L_1^2 is compactly embedded in L^4 . Combined with the boundedness of $\|\Phi_n\|_{L^4}$, we deduce that the sequence $\{\bar{\partial}\phi_n - [\theta_n d\bar{z}, \phi_n]\}$ is bounded in L^2 .

Furthermore, since both $\{\phi_n\}$ and $\{\bar{\partial}\phi_n\}$ are bounded in L^2 , elliptic estimates imply that the sequence $\{\Phi_n\}$ is also bounded in L_1^2 . Consequently, $\{\Phi_n\}$ admits a weakly convergent subsequence. Moreover, $d_{A_n}'' \Phi_n$ weakly converges to $d_A'' \Phi$ in L^2 , which implies that $d_A'' \Phi = 0$. \square

Proposition 4.2 (Hitchin). *Let $x \in N$ be a Higgs stable smooth pair, and let $\{(A_i, \Phi_i)\}_{i \geq 1}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{O}_x such that*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\mu(A_i, \Phi_i)\|_{L^2}^2 = \inf_{y \in \mathcal{O}_x} \|\mu(y)\|_{L^2}^2,$$

where $x = (A_1, \Phi_1)$. Then, there exists a subsequence of $\{(A_i, \Phi_i)\}_{i \geq 1}$ that weakly converges in L_1^2 to some $(A, \Phi) \in N_1^2$ satisfying $d_A'' \Phi = 0$. Furthermore, there exists a non-zero L_1^2 section ψ of $\text{End } V$ such that

$$\Phi_1 \psi = \psi \Phi \quad \text{and} \quad d_{A_1}'' \psi = \psi d_{A_1}''.$$

Remark 4.3. For any Higgs stable pair $x \in N$, Proposition 4.2 constructs an L_1^2 -regular bundle homomorphism ψ . We will focus on studying this homomorphism in Proposition 4.5.

Proof. Since $\{(A_i, \Phi_i)\}$ lies in a stable $\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$ -orbit, we have $d_{A_i}'' \Phi_i = 0$ and $\det \Phi_i = \det \Phi_1$, which is independent of the index i . Hence, $\|\det \Phi_i\|_{L^2}$ is bounded. At the same time, (A_i, Φ_i) forms a minimizing sequence of $\|\mu\|_{L^2}^2|_{\mathcal{O}_x}$. Therefore, $\|F_{A_i} + [\Phi_i, \Phi_i^*]\|_{L^2}$ is also bounded. By Lemma 4.1, we may assume that the sequence $\{(A_i, \Phi_i)\}$ weakly converges to (A, Φ) in L_1^2 satisfying $d_A'' \Phi = 0$. Next, we discuss how to find the desired L_1^2 bundle homomorphism ψ , still using the weak convergence method.

Since $(A_i, \Phi_i) \in \mathcal{O}_x$, where $x = (A_1, \Phi_1)$, there exists $g_i \in \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$ such that $(A_i, \Phi_i) \cdot g_i = x$. We set ψ_i as g_i composed with some scalar transformation, such that it satisfies the following conditions:

$$(4.3) \quad d_{A_i A_1}'' \psi_i = 0, \quad \Phi_1 \psi_i - \psi_i \Phi_i = 0, \quad \|\psi_i\|_{L^4} = C > 0.$$

Here, $d_{A_i A_1}''$ denotes the $(0, 1)$ part of the L_1^2 connection $d_{A_i A_1}$ on $\text{End } V$, given by:

$$d_{A_i A_1} f(s) := d_{A_1} f(s) - f(d_{A_i} s),$$

for any smooth $f: V \rightarrow V$ and smooth section s of V . The operator $d_{A_i A_1}''$ can be written as $d_{A_i A_1}'' + \beta_i$ for some $\beta_i \in (L_1^2)^{0,1}(M, \text{End}(V^* \otimes V))$. Since $d_{A_i A_1}'' \psi_i = 0$, we have

$$\|d_{A_i A_1}'' \psi_i\|_{L^2} \leq \|d_{A_i A_1}'' \psi_i\|_{L^2} + \|\beta_i \psi_i\|_{L^2} = \|\beta_i \psi_i\|_{L^2} \leq \|\beta_i\|_{L^4} \|\psi_i\|_{L^4} = C \|\beta_i\|_{L^4}.$$

Since A is the weak limit of A_i , it follows that β_i converges weakly to 0 in L_1^2 . Consequently, β_i converges to 0 in L^4 . Thus, both ψ_i and $d_{A_i A_1}'' \psi_i$ are bounded in L^2 . By applying the elliptic

estimate for the operator d''_{AA_1} , we obtain that $\|\psi_i\|_{L^2_1}$ is bounded. Therefore, we can assume that ψ_i converges weakly to some ψ in L^2_1 . The limit of (4.3) is

$$d''_{AA_1}\psi = 0, \quad \Phi_1\psi - \psi\Phi = 0.$$

Given our assumption that $\|\psi_i\|_{L^4}$ is constant and equal to $C > 0$, and ψ_i converges to ψ in L^4 , it follows that $\psi \neq 0$. \square

4.2. Existence for the Generic Case. Our goal is to find the minimal point of $\|\mu\|_{L^2}^2$ within \mathcal{O}_x for some Higgs stable smooth pair x . If the weak limit (A, Φ) obtained in Proposition 4.2 lies in \mathcal{O}_x , it minimizes the functional

$$\|\mu\|_{L^2}^2|_{\mathcal{O}_x} : \mathcal{O}_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}.$$

As a result, (A, Φ) is the desired solution of class L^2_1 to the Hitchin self-duality equation (2.2). To show this, we first use the following result by Hitchin [5, Theorem 3.4]

Theorem 4.4 (Hitchin). *Let $x = (A_x, \Phi_x)$ be a Higgs stable smooth pair. There exists a non-empty Zariski open subset $U \subseteq H^0(M, \text{End}_0 V \otimes K_M)$ such that any $\tilde{\Phi}_1 \in U$ leaves no holomorphic line subbundle of (V, d''_{A_x}) invariant. Here, $H^0(M, \text{End}_0 V \otimes K_M)$ is the space of holomorphic sections of $\text{End}_0 V \otimes K_M$ with respect to the holomorphic structure induced by d''_{A_x} .*

As a direct corollary, any pair $y := (A_y, \Phi_y)$ with $A_y = A_x$ and $\Phi_y \in U$ is naturally a smooth Higgs stable pair.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $x := (A_x, \Phi_x)$ be a smooth Higgs stable pair, and let U be the Zariski open subset in $H^0(M, \text{End}_0 V \otimes K_M)$ described in Theorem 4.4. For any $y := (A_y, \Phi_y)$ with $A_y = A_x$ and $\Phi_y \in U$, there exists a minimal point $(A, \Phi) \in \mathcal{O}_y$ of the restricted energy function*

$$\|\mu\|_{L^2}^2|_{\mathcal{O}_y} : \mathcal{O}_y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}.$$

The minimal point $(A, \Phi) \in \mathcal{O}_y$ is a solution of L^2_1 class to the self-duality equation (2.2).

Proof. Starting with $y := (A_y, \Phi_y)$, where $A_y = A_x$ and $\Phi_y \in U$, we apply the procedure outlined in Proposition 4.2. This produces a weak limit (A, Φ) in L^2_1 along with a bundle homomorphism ψ satisfying:

$$d''_A \Phi = 0, \quad \Phi_y \psi = \psi \Phi, \quad \text{and} \quad d''_{A_y} \psi = \psi d''_A.$$

Here, ψ is a bundle homomorphism with L^2_1 regularity. We only need to show $\psi \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}^2}_2$.

First, we prove that ψ has L^2_2 regularity. While the weak limit (A, Φ) is not necessarily smooth, Lemma 2.12 guarantees the existence of a gauge transformation $g \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}^2}_2$ such that the transformed pair $(B, \Psi) := (A, \Phi) \cdot g$ is smooth. Consequently, the following relations hold

$$d''_A g = g d''_B, \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi g = g \Psi.$$

Define $\varphi := \psi \circ g$. A direct computation shows that φ satisfies

$$(4.4) \quad d''_{A_y} \varphi = \varphi d''_B, \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_y \varphi = \varphi \Psi.$$

Lemma 2.12 guarantees the smoothness of φ since both B and A_y are smooth. Hence, $\psi = \varphi g^{-1}$ inherits the regularity of g^{-1} , elevating ψ from L^2_1 to L^2_2 regularity.

Next, we prove that ψ is a bundle isomorphism. Continuing with the notation introduced above, it remains to show that $\varphi := \psi g$ is a smooth bundle isomorphism. Suppose, for contradiction, that φ is not an isomorphism. From the relations (4.4), we observe that

$$\varphi : (V, d''_B) \rightarrow (V, d''_{A_y})$$

is a non-zero holomorphic homomorphism. Since B and A_y induce the same connection on $\det V$, denoted by A_0 , it follows that $\det \varphi$ is a holomorphic automorphism of the line bundle

$(\det V, d''_{A_0})$. Thus, $\det \varphi$ must act as a nonzero scalar transformation. If φ is not an isomorphism, it must map V into a proper holomorphic subbundle L of V satisfying the following properties:

- L is a holomorphic subbundle of (V, d''_{A_y}) ,
- L is preserved under the action of Φ_y .

This directly contradicts Theorem 4.4, which asserts that (V, A_y) does not admit any holomorphic line subbundle invariant under Φ_y . Consequently, φ must be a bundle isomorphism. We may rescale φ by a scalar factor so that $\det \varphi = 1$ on each fiber, ensuring that $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}^2}_2$. This completes the proof. \square

4.3. Proof of Theorem 2.14.

Proof. Let $y = (A_y, \Phi_y) \in N$ be a smooth Higgs stable pair, and let \mathcal{O}_y denote the $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}^2}_2$ -orbit of y in N^2_1 . Based on Proposition 4.5, for any (A, Φ) being a Higgs stable pair with $\Phi \in U$, where U is a non-empty Zariski open subset of $H^0(M, \text{End}_0 V \otimes K_M)$ as described in Proposition 4.5, we can obtain an L^2_1 solution to the self-duality equation (2.2) on the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{(A, \Phi)}$. Consequently, we take a sequence $y_i := (A_{y_i}, \Phi_{y_i})$ with $A_{y_i} = A_y$ and $\Phi_{y_i} \in U$ such that $\{y_i\}$ converges to y in N^2_1 . Additionally, we assume that $(B_{y_i}, \Psi_{y_i}) = y_i \cdot g_i$ for some $g_i \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}^2}_2$ is a solution in the L^2_1 space of the self-duality equation (2.2). Hence $d''_{B_{y_i}} \Psi_{y_i} = 0 = F_{B_{y_i}} + [\Psi_{y_i}, \Psi_{y_i}^*]$. Moreover, we have $\|\det \Psi_{y_i}\|_{L^2} = \|\det \Phi_{y_i}\|_{L^2}$, which remains bounded since Φ_{y_i} converges to Φ_y in L^2_1 . By Lemma 4.1, we may assume that (B_{y_i}, Ψ_{y_i}) weakly converges to (B, Ψ) in L^2_1 . Furthermore, the self-duality equation is preserved under the weak convergence process, i.e., (B, Ψ) satisfies the Hitchin self-duality equation (2.2) of class L^2_1 .

Note that $(B_{y_i}, \Psi_{y_i}) = (A_{y_i}, \Phi_{y_i}) \cdot g_i$. Then, there exists ψ_i , which differs from g_i by a scalar transformation, such that

$$d''_{B_{y_i}} \psi_i = \psi_i d''_{A_{y_i}}, \quad \Psi_{y_i} \psi_i = \psi_i \Phi_{y_i}, \quad \|\psi_i\|_{L^4} = C > 0.$$

Since $A_{y_i} = A_y$ and Φ_{y_i} converges to Φ_y in L^2_1 , we have

$$d''_{B_{y_i}} \psi_i = \psi_i d''_{A_y}, \quad \Psi_{y_i} \psi_i - \psi_i \Phi_y \xrightarrow{L^2} 0.$$

Since B_{y_i} converges weakly to B in L^2_1 , it follows that $d''_B \psi_i - \psi_i d''_{A_y} \rightarrow 0$ in L^2 . Hence, both ψ_i and $d''_{B_{y_i}} \psi_i$ are bounded in L^2 , which implies that $\{\psi_i\}$ is bounded in L^2_1 . By the weak compactness of the L^2_1 space, we can assume that ψ_i converges weakly to some L^2_1 bundle homomorphism ψ of V . Since B_{y_i} and Ψ_{y_i} converge weakly to (B, Ψ) in L^2_1 , we have constructed a non-zero L^2_1 section ψ of $\text{End } V$ such that

$$d''_B \psi = \psi d''_{A_y}, \quad \Psi \psi = \psi \Phi_y.$$

The pair (A_y, Φ_y) is a Higgs stable pair, and (B, Ψ) is a solution of the self-duality equation. By [5, Theorem 2.1], (B, Ψ) is Higgs semi-stable. By Lemma 3.9, we conclude that ψ is an L^2_1 bundle isomorphism. Moreover, through an appropriate scalar transformation, we can assume $\det \psi = 1$, i.e., $\psi \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}^2}_2$. Therefore, (B, Ψ) is a solution to the self-duality equation that lies in the orbit \mathcal{O}_y . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.14. \square

5. SMOOTH PAIRS IN THE \mathcal{G}^2_2 -ORBITS

In this section, we focus on proving Theorem 2.15. Let $(A, \Phi) \in N^2_1$ be a solution lie in L^2_1 space of the self-duality equation (2.2). Our objective is to construct a smooth pair in the \mathcal{G}^2_2 orbit of (A, Φ) .

Since the solutions to the self-duality equation (2.2) are obtained through a weak convergence process in the previous section, they are not necessarily smooth. It is therefore crucial to establish the existence of smooth solutions. First, we recall a key result from K. K. Uhlenbeck [9, Theorem 1.3] and Katrin Wehrheim [10, Theorem B].

Theorem 5.1 (Coulomb Gauge). *Let \mathbb{B}^2 be a disk in \mathbb{C} , and let $B := \mathbb{B}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2$ be a trivial complex vector bundle equipped with a Hermitian metric H . Let $A = d + \theta$ be an L_1^2 unitary connection on (B, H) . Then there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the following holds: If $\|F_A\|_{L^2} < \varepsilon$, then there exists a unitary gauge transformation $g \in \mathcal{G}_2^2$ such that $\tilde{A} := g^{-1}Ag = d + \tilde{\theta}$ satisfies:*

$$d^* \tilde{\theta} = 0, \quad * \tilde{A}|_{\partial \mathbb{B}^2} = 0, \quad \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L_1^2} \leq C \|F_A\|_{L^2}.$$

5.1. Proof of Theorem 2.15.

Proof. Let (A, Φ) be an L_1^2 solution to (2.2) then $\|F_A\|_{L^2} = \|[\Phi, \Phi^*]\|_{L^2} < \infty$. Take a local chart (U, z) with a given local frame of V such that $\|F_A|_U\|_{L^2}$ is sufficiently small. We express $A = d + \theta$, $\Phi = \phi dz$, where θ is a local skew-Hermitian 1-form and ϕ is a locally matrix-valued function. The self-duality equations can then be written locally as:

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta + \theta \wedge \theta + [\phi, \phi^{\dagger H}] dz \wedge d\bar{z} &= -\pi i \deg(V) \text{id}_V \omega_M, \\ \bar{\partial}\phi + [\theta^{0,1}, \phi] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the L_2^2 unitary gauge transformation g on $V|_U$ provided by Coulomb gauge Theorem 5.1, and let $(\tilde{A} = d + \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\Phi} = \tilde{\phi} dz) := (A, \Phi) \cdot g$. This gauge-transformed pair naturally satisfies the self-duality equation (2.2), leading to the following coupled system of elliptic equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \tilde{\theta} + d^* (\tilde{\theta} \wedge \tilde{\theta} + [\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\phi}^{\dagger H}] dz \wedge d\bar{z}) &= 0, \\ \bar{\partial} \tilde{\phi} + [\tilde{\theta}^{0,1}, \tilde{\phi}] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 2.2, since $\bar{\partial} \tilde{\phi} = -[\tilde{\theta}^{0,1}, \tilde{\phi}]$ has $L_1^{2-\varepsilon}$ regularity, applying elliptic estimates again implies $L_2^{2-\varepsilon}$ regularity for $\tilde{\phi}$. Similarly, $\Delta \tilde{\theta} = - * d * (\tilde{\theta} \wedge \tilde{\theta} + [\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\phi}^{\dagger H}] dz \wedge d\bar{z})$ has $L^{2-\varepsilon}$ regularity. Using elliptic estimates, it follows that $\tilde{\theta}$ has $L_2^{2-\varepsilon}$ regularity. Repeating this iterative process successively improves the regularity of $\tilde{\theta}$ and $\tilde{\phi}$. Thus, we conclude that $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\Phi})$ is smooth in U .

Let $\{(U_\alpha, z_\alpha)\}_{\alpha=1}^n$ be an atlas of a Riemann surface M , such that each U_α is diffeomorphic to a disk in \mathbb{C} . Let $V_\alpha := V|_{U_\alpha}$, and suppose there exists an L_2^2 unitary gauge transformation g_α of V_α such that the pair $(A_\alpha, \Phi_\alpha) := (A, \Phi)|_{U_\alpha} \cdot g_\alpha$ is a smooth pair on U_α . Since the space of smooth unitary gauge transformations is dense in the space of L_2^2 unitary gauge transformations on (V_α, H) , there exists a smooth unitary gauge transformation s_α on V_α such that $\|g_\alpha^{-1} - s_\alpha\|_{L_2^2} < \varepsilon_1$ for any fixed small positive number ε_1 . Let id_α denote the identity map on V_α . Then,

$$\|\text{id}_\alpha - g_\alpha s_\alpha\|_{L_2^2} \leq C_\alpha \|g_\alpha\|_{L_2^2} \|g_\alpha^{-1} - s_\alpha\|_{L_2^2},$$

where C_α depends only on U_α . Hence, we can make an assumption that

$$\|g_\alpha - \text{id}_\alpha\|_{L_2^2} < \varepsilon_2 \quad \text{for each } \alpha = 1, \dots, n,$$

where ε_2 is a fixed small positive number. Denote $V_{\alpha\beta} := V|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta}$ for each $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$. Let $g_{\alpha\beta} := g_\beta^{-1} \cdot g_\alpha$, then $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is a gauge transformation on $V_{\alpha\beta}$ that sends the smooth pair $(A_\beta, \Phi_\beta)|_{U_{\alpha\beta}}$ to another smooth pair $(A_\alpha, \Phi_\alpha)|_{U_{\alpha\beta}}$. By Theorem 2.11, $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is smooth. Moreover, we can assume that

$$\|g_{\alpha\beta} - \text{id}_{\alpha\beta}\|_{L_2^2} < \varepsilon_3,$$

where ε_3 is a fixed small positive number. There is an open neighborhood of $0 \in \mathfrak{su}_2$ such that $\exp : \mathfrak{su}_2 \rightarrow SU(2)$ is a diffeomorphism on it. When we use the symbols $\exp \xi$ or $\exp^{-1} s$, we mean that ξ is near $0 \in \mathfrak{su}_2$ or s is near $\text{id} \in SU(2)$, respectively. In this case, we can suppose that $g_{\alpha\beta} = \exp \eta_{\alpha\beta}$, where $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ is a smooth function on $V_{\alpha\beta}$ with values near $0 \in \mathfrak{su}_2$. Consider the distance function $d : M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the Kähler metric on M , and define

$$U_\alpha^\delta = \{z \in U_\alpha \mid d(z, \partial U_\alpha) < \delta\}.$$

For δ sufficiently small, we can assume that $\{U_\alpha^{n \cdot \delta}\}_{\alpha=1}^n$ is also an open cover of M .

Next, we will construct a global unitary gauge transformation \tilde{g} such that $g_\alpha^{-1} \cdot \tilde{g}|_{U_\alpha^{n \cdot \delta}}$ is smooth on each $U_\alpha^{n \cdot \delta}$ for $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$. Consequently, $(A, \Phi) \cdot \tilde{g}$ is the smooth pair we want, since

$$(A, \Phi) \cdot \tilde{g} = (A_\alpha, \Phi_\alpha) \cdot (g_\alpha^{-1} \cdot \tilde{g})$$

is smooth on each $U_\alpha^{n \cdot \delta}$. We use induction to prove the following proposition: For any $1 \leq k \leq n$, there exists a sequence of local unitary gauge transformations

$$\left\{ \tilde{g}_\alpha^{(k)} : V|_{U_\alpha^{k \cdot \delta}} \rightarrow V|_{U_\alpha^{k \cdot \delta}} \right\}_{\alpha=1}^k$$

such that: $g_\alpha^{-1} \tilde{g}_\alpha^{(k)}$ is smooth, and $\tilde{g}_\alpha^{(k)} = \tilde{g}_\beta^{(k)}$ if $U_\alpha^{k \cdot \delta} \cap U_\beta^{k \cdot \delta} \neq \emptyset$.

For $k = 1$, define $\tilde{g}_1^{(1)} = g_1^{(1)}|_{U_1^\delta}$. Clearly, $\tilde{g}_1^{(1)}$ satisfies the required conditions. Assume the proposition holds for k . Next, we prove the statement for $k + 1$. Denote $\mathcal{U}_k^\varepsilon := \bigcup_{1 \leq \alpha \leq k} U_\alpha^\varepsilon$ and consider the two following cases

Case 1: If $U_{k+1}^{(k+1) \cdot \delta} \cap \mathcal{U}_k^{(k+1) \cdot \delta} = \emptyset$, define $\tilde{g}_\alpha^{(k+1)} := \tilde{g}_\alpha^{(k)}|_{U_\alpha^{(k+1) \cdot \delta}}$ for $1 \leq \alpha \leq k$ and $\tilde{g}_{k+1}^{(k+1)} := g_{k+1}|_{U_{k+1}^{(k+1) \cdot \delta}}$. Clearly, this choice satisfies the required conditions.

Case 2: If $U_{k+1}^{(k+1) \cdot \delta} \cap \mathcal{U}_k^{(k+1) \cdot \delta} \neq \emptyset$, there exists a smooth function $\phi_{k+1} : U_{k+1}^{(k+1) \cdot \delta} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that:

$$\phi_{k+1} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{on } U_{k+1}^{(k+1) \cdot \delta} \cap \mathcal{U}_k^{(k+1) \cdot \delta}, \\ 1, & \text{on } U_{k+1}^{(k+1) \cdot \delta} \setminus \mathcal{U}_k^{(k+1) \cdot \delta}. \end{cases}$$

We define $\tilde{g}_\alpha^{(k+1)} := \tilde{g}_\alpha^{(k)}|_{U_\alpha^{(k+1) \cdot \delta}}$ for $1 \leq \alpha \leq k$, and

$$\tilde{g}_{k+1}^{(k+1)} = \begin{cases} \tilde{g}_\alpha^{(k)} \exp \left\{ \phi_{k+1} \exp^{-1} \left\{ \tilde{g}_\alpha^{(k)-1} g_{k+1} \right\} \right\}, & \text{on } U_{k+1}^{(k+1) \cdot \delta} \cap U_\alpha^{k \cdot \delta}, \\ g_{k+1}, & \text{on } U_{k+1}^{(k+1) \cdot \delta} \setminus \mathcal{U}_k^{k \cdot \delta}. \end{cases}$$

Since $\tilde{g}_\alpha^{(k)} = \tilde{g}_\beta^{(k)}$ whenever $U_\alpha^{k \cdot \delta} \cap U_\beta^{k \cdot \delta} \neq \emptyset$, this definition is well-defined. First, $\tilde{g}_{k+1}^{(k+1)} = \tilde{g}_\alpha^{(k+1)}$ on $U_{k+1}^{(k+1) \cdot \delta} \cap U_\alpha^{k \cdot \delta} \neq \emptyset$ since $\phi_{k+1} = 0$. Second, $g_{k+1}^{-1} \tilde{g}_{k+1}^{(k+1)}$ is smooth, as required, since

$$\begin{aligned} g_{k+1}^{-1} \tilde{g}_{k+1}^{(k+1)} &= g_{k+1}^{-1} \tilde{g}_\alpha^{(k)} \exp \left\{ \phi_{k+1} \exp^{-1} \left\{ \tilde{g}_\alpha^{(k)-1} g_{k+1} \right\} \right\} \\ &= (g_{k+1}^{-1} g_\alpha) \left(g_\alpha^{-1} \tilde{g}_\alpha^{(k)} \right) \exp \left\{ \phi_{k+1} \exp^{-1} \left\{ \left(g_\alpha^{-1} \tilde{g}_\alpha^{(k)} \right)^{-1} (g_\alpha^{-1} g_{k+1}) \right\} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We emphasize that all components in this expression, namely $g_{k+1}^{-1} g_\alpha$, $g_\alpha^{-1} \tilde{g}_\alpha^{(k)}$, $\exp(\cdot)$, and ϕ_{k+1} , are smooth. \square

6. CONCLUSION

Let $x \in N$ be a Higgs stable pair, and let \mathcal{O}_x denote the $\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathbb{C}^2}$ -orbit of x in N_1^2 . Following the framework of Hitchin's existence method, we found $x_1 \in \mathcal{O}_x$, which is an L_1^2 solution of the self-duality equations (2.2). The main innovation of this note lies in utilizing the Coulomb gauge to find a smooth pair $x_2 \in N$ within the \mathcal{G}_2^2 -orbit of x_1 , which is a smooth solution of the

self-duality equations. Finally, we have rigorously confirmed the existence of smooth solutions within \mathcal{O}_x , as originally demonstrated by Hitchin.

Acknowledgement: I would like to thank Dr. Nianzi Li for his detailed suggestions on the article, pointing out the sign error I made while computing the local expression of $d_A''\Phi$. I also thank my classmate Yuanjiu Lv for our discussions, especially for the inspiration he gave me in the key step of obtaining the global gauge transformation from the local Coulomb gauge in Section 5.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott. The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 308(1505):523–615, 1983.
- [2] A. Behzadan and M. Holst. Multiplication in Sobolev spaces, revisited. *Arkiv för Matematik*, 59(2), 2021.
- [3] Eleonora Di Nezza, Giampiero Palatucci, and Enrico Valdinoci. Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces. *Bulletin des sciences mathématiques*, 136(5):521–573, 2012.
- [4] O. Garcia-Prada and R.O. Wells. *Differential Analysis on Complex Manifolds*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 2007.
- [5] Nigel James Hitchin. The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface. *Proceedings of The London Mathematical Society*, 55:59–126, 1987.
- [6] D.D. Joyce. *Compact Manifolds with Special Holonomy*. Oxford mathematical monographs. Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [7] H. Blaine Lawson and Marie-Louise Michelsohn. *Spin Geometry*, volume 38 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser, 1989.
- [8] M.E. Taylor. *Partial Differential Equations I: Basic Theory*. Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer New York, 2010.
- [9] Karen K Uhlenbeck. Connections with L^p bounds on curvature. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 83(no. 1):31–42, 1982.
- [10] K. Wehrheim. *Uhlenbeck Compactness*. EMS series of lectures in mathematics. European Mathematical Society, 2004.

CHERN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY
TIANJIN 300071, CHINA,
Email address: yuf@nankai.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI, 230026,
PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
Email address: ws220122@mail.ustc.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI, 230026,
PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
Email address: bxu@ustc.edu.cn