

# Unraveling screening mechanisms in Kondo impurities using an NRG-MPS-based method

Lidia Stocker<sup>1</sup> and Oded Zilberberg<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institute for Theoretical Physics, ETH Zurich, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics, University of Konstanz, 78457 Konstanz, Germany*

The Kondo effect is a hallmark of strongly-correlated systems, where an impurity’s local degrees of freedom are screened by conduction electrons, forming a many-body singlet. With increasing degrees of freedom in the impurity, theoretical studies face significant challenges in accurately identifying and characterizing the underlying mechanisms that screen the impurity. In this work, we introduce a straightforward yet powerful methodology for identifying the formation of Kondo singlets and their screening mechanisms, by utilizing the numerical renormalization group (NRG) combined with the matrix product states (MPS) technique. We demonstrate the effectiveness of our method on the single and two-level Anderson impurity models (AIM). Furthermore, we discuss potential generalizations of the method to multichannel and multiorbital Kondo impurities. Harnessing advanced tensor network techniques, our approach extends to complex impurity systems, offering a robust and versatile framework for studying Kondo physics.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Strongly-correlated effects in condensed matter physics unveil the profound interplay between many-body interactions and decoupling mechanisms [1]. A paradigmatic example is the Kondo effect, where a localized impurity spin hybridizes with the surrounding conduction electrons [2]. At high temperatures, the impurity spin is weakly coupled to the electron spins and remains unscreened. As the temperature decreases, the impurity-environment interaction becomes dominant, causing the conduction electrons surrounding the impurity to organize into a cloud that collectively screens its spin [3]. This cloud, known as the Kondo screening cloud, leads to the formation of a (Kondo) singlet ground state between the impurity spin and the surrounding electron spins, as illustrated in Fig. 1(a).

Kondo effects are typically detected through transport measurements, such as the temperature dependence of resistivity in impurity systems [4–11]. At high temperatures, the system exhibits conventional behavior as the impurity remains unscreened. As the temperature decreases, the formation of the Kondo cloud leads to a rise in resistivity. At low temperatures, the resistivity follows a characteristic logarithmic dependence, which is a hallmark of the Kondo effect [2]. Beyond transport measurements, theoretical approaches have introduced the use of alternative order parameters — such as spin correlation functions [12], charge fluctuations [13], and entanglement negativity [14] to identify the impurity screening mechanisms.

Complex impurity systems, particularly those with multiple orbitals, energy levels, or environments, are known to give rise to a variety of Kondo-like states, presenting challenges for both experimental detection and theoretical modeling [15–27]. These systems naturally raise questions about how to identify Kondo impurities and characterize their underlying screening mechanisms. On the theoretical side, the NRG method has

been the gold standard for addressing the Kondo problem [3], offering precise calculations of transport, thermodynamic, spectral, and dynamic properties [28]. However, for complex impurity systems, conventional NRG presents significant challenges [29–31]. The NRG-MPS framework [32, 33], a hybrid approach combining NRG and MPS, has emerged as a promising alternative. While effective for studying ground-state properties and capturing complex impurity physics, the NRG-MPS method is limited in its ability to address transport phenomena.

Recently, we proposed a NRG-MPS-based impurity tomography procedure to detect impurity-environment hybridizations as signatures of phenomena like Kondo singlet formations [34, 35]. Although our approach provides valuable insights into impurity-environment hybridization, it does not determine whether this hybridization corresponds to effective Kondo screening. Moreover, in systems with multiple environmental degrees of freedom, this method cannot identify which environmental components hybridize with the impurity.

In this work, we address these challenges by presenting a method designed to identify magnetic (Kondo) impurities and elucidate their screening mechanisms by the environment. Our approach is based on the NRG-MPS method and utilizes the fact that the MPS state offer access to nonlocal correlators. Thus, we can project the ground state on different sub-regions in the Hilbert space and use entanglement measures to identify the modes that coherently participate in screening the impurity, as well as quantify the extent of the screening. We outline the physical motivation, present the method in detail, and demonstrate its effectiveness in capturing the Kondo effect’s screening behavior in the examples of the single and two-level Anderson impurity models (AIMs).

## II. BACKGROUND

In this Section, we introduce Anderson Impurity models (AIMs) and their connection to Kondo models, as well as the numerical NRG-MPS algorithm underlying our method. We begin with the single-impurity AIM, followed by an introduction to Kondo models. We then describe the numerical NRG-MPS approach and review existing order parameters for detecting Kondo phenomena, emphasizing their limitations.

### A. Anderson impurity models

The Anderson impurity model is one of the most extensively studied quantum impurity systems [36]. It describes an interacting localized impurity that is tunnel-coupled to an environment, i.e., a surrounding conduction band, see Fig. 1(a). In its simplest form, dubbed the single impurity AIM, the Hamiltonian reads

$$H = \underbrace{\sum_{\sigma} \epsilon_D n_{D\sigma} + U n_{D\uparrow} n_{D\downarrow}}_{H_{\text{imp}}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}}_{H_{\text{env}}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} t_{\mathbf{k}} d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} + \text{H.c.}}_{H_{\text{tun}}^{\text{imp-env}}}, \quad (1)$$

where  $n_{D\sigma} = d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{\sigma}$  is the occupation operator of the impurity with  $d_{\sigma}^{\dagger}, d_{\sigma}$  the fermionic creation and annihilation operators with spin  $\sigma \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$ . The operators  $c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger}, c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$  act similarly for the conduction electrons in the environment with momentum  $\mathbf{k}$  and spin  $\sigma$ . The amplitudes  $\epsilon_D, U, \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}, t_{\mathbf{k}}$  denote the impurity's onsite energy, impurity's electron-electron charging energy, conduction electron's energy, and impurity-environment tunneling amplitude, respectively. When the impurity is singly-occupied, strong hybridization can occur with the environment, see Fig. 1(a).

Generalizations of the AIM adapt Eq. (1) to include coupling of the impurity to multiple environments (or environmental degrees of freedom) and impurities with multiple orbitals and levels. These generalizations effectively describe the intricate interactions manifesting in realistic materials with spin, multiband structures, and orbital degrees of freedom. In this work, we consider a multilevel AIM of the form [see Fig. 1(a)]

$$H = \underbrace{\sum_{\ell} \epsilon_{\ell} n_{\ell\sigma} + \sum_{\ell\sigma \neq \ell'\sigma'} U_{\ell\ell'} n_{\ell\sigma} n_{\ell'\sigma'}}_{H_{\text{imp}}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\ell\sigma\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\ell\mathbf{k}} c_{\ell\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\ell\mathbf{k}\sigma}}_{H_{\text{env}}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\ell\mathbf{k}\sigma} t_{\ell\mathbf{k}} d_{\ell\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\ell\mathbf{k}\sigma} + \text{H.c.}}_{H_{\text{tun}}^{\text{imp-env}}}. \quad (2)$$




FIG. 1. *Anderson impurities and Kondo effects.* (a) Sketch of a multilevel Anderson impurity Hamiltonian, cf. Eqs. (1) and (2). The impurity (yellow circle) contains multiple levels that are tunnel-coupled to as many multiple environments. In the single AIM with a single level and environment, a Kondo singlet can form between impurity and environment (dashed blue circle). (b) NRG-MPS tomography results of the single AIM, where we highlight the impurity's reduced density matrix elements  $\langle \uparrow | \rho_{\text{imp}} | \uparrow \rangle$  (black),  $\langle \downarrow | \rho_{\text{imp}} | \downarrow \rangle$  (red),  $\langle \uparrow \downarrow | \rho_{\text{imp}} | \uparrow \downarrow \rangle$  (orange), and  $\langle 0 | \rho_{\text{imp}} | 0 \rangle$  (purple) cf. Eq. (12) and Refs. [34, 35]. The other matrix elements  $\langle s | \rho_{\text{imp}} | s' \rangle$  are negligible (thin grey lines). We consider the impurity at half filling  $\epsilon_D = -U/2$ , set the Wilson chain length  $N_{\text{chain}} = 100$  with MPS bond dimension  $D = 100$ , and assume the lead to have a constant density of states  $d_0 = 1/(2U)$ .

The operators of the impurity and the environment are extended according to their definition in Eq. (1) to support the additional impurity levels  $\ell = 1, \dots, L$ , where we assume the impurity and environment to have the same number of levels. The added complexity implies that each impurity level has energy  $\epsilon_{\ell}$ , the levels interact with  $U_{\ell\ell'}$  as long as Pauli's exclusion principle holds, the environment energies  $\epsilon_{\ell\mathbf{k}}$  are counted on each lead, and the tunnel coupling is level/lead selective  $t_{\ell\mathbf{k}}$ .

### B. Kondo models

The Kondo model introduces an alternative and effective Hamiltonian to describe the strong hybridization of the impurity with the environment, by focusing on spin scattering from a magnetic impurity [2]. This scattering dominates in situations where the impurity is occupied by a single electron, i.e., when  $\epsilon_D = -U/2$ , leading to exchange interactions. The Hamiltonian of the Kondo model reads

$$H = H_{\text{env}} + H_{\text{int}}^{\text{imp-env}}, \quad (3)$$

where  $H_{\text{env}}$  is as in the single AIM (1), and the impurity-environment interaction term reads

$$H_{\text{int}}^{\text{imp-env}} = \frac{J}{4} \underbrace{\left( \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} \tau_{\sigma\sigma'} d_{\sigma'} \right)}_{2S_{\text{imp}}} \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \underbrace{\left( \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} \tau_{\sigma\sigma'} c_{\mathbf{k}'\sigma'} \right)}_{2S_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}} \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathbf{S}_{\text{imp}}$  and  $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}$  are the spin operators of the impurity and environment and  $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\sigma,\sigma'}$  is the Pauli matrix vector, assuming  $\hbar = 1$ . The singly-occupied impurity has two-degenerate states that do not contribute to the effective energy, thus,  $H_{\text{imp}}$  is removed. The Kondo model, Eq. (4), can be derived from the AIM in the singly-occupied regime, Eq. (1), using a Schrieffer-Wolff transformation [1, 37]. This transformation eliminates charge fluctuations and retains only the spin degrees of freedom. Additionally, the transformation determines the Kondo coupling in terms of the system's coupling parameters

$$J = \frac{2U|t_D|^2}{(\epsilon_D + U)(-\epsilon_D)}. \quad (5)$$

At half-filling  $\epsilon_D \approx -U/2$ , the coupling becomes antiferromagnetic with  $J > 0$ . Therefore, an impurity-environment Kondo singlet can emerge in the single AIM at low temperatures [4, 5, 38, 39]. The critical (Kondo) temperature of this system is estimated as [1, 37]

$$k_B T_K \approx \sqrt{\frac{\pi|t_D|^2 U}{2d_0}} \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon_D(\epsilon_D + U)}{2|t_D|^2 U d_0}\right). \quad (6)$$

The Kondo singlet state can be expressed as

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |\uparrow\rangle_{\text{imp}} \otimes |\downarrow\rangle_{\text{env}} - |\downarrow\rangle_{\text{imp}} \otimes |\uparrow\rangle_{\text{env}} \right), \quad (7)$$

where  $|\sigma\rangle_{\text{imp}}$  represents the state of the impurity spin and  $|\sigma\rangle_{\text{env}}$  represents the total spin of the environment state. Due to the two-fold degeneracy of the impurity level, the system exhibits  $SU(2)$  symmetry, and the strong impurity-environment hybridization is dubbed  $SU(2)$  Kondo effect.

Generalizations of the Kondo model include systems with multiple environments and multiorbit impurities [16, 40, 41]. Similarly to the discussion of the Anderson impurity model, here we consider a generalization to multilevel impurities, where the impurity-environment interaction term reads

$$H_{\text{int}}^{\text{imp}_\ell\text{-env}} = \sum_{\ell'\ell''} \frac{J_{\ell'\ell''}^\ell}{2} \cdot \underbrace{\left( \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} d_{\ell\sigma}^\dagger \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\sigma\sigma'} d_{\ell\sigma'} \right)}_{2\mathbf{S}_{\text{imp}_\ell}} \cdot \left( \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \mathbf{S}_{\ell'\mathbf{k},\ell''\mathbf{k}'} \right), \quad (8)$$

where the  $\mathbf{S}_{\ell'\mathbf{k},\ell''\mathbf{k}'}$  operators are defined as in Eq. (4) with the additional  $\ell', \ell''$  degrees of freedom in the fermionic environment's operator. In the Hamiltonian of the full system,  $H_{\text{env}}$  is identical to the term in Eq. (2), and  $H_{\text{imp}}$  similarly vanishes due to projection on the degenerate occupied manifold of the impurity. In such systems,  $N \geq 2$  degenerate states are present and the electron spins of the different impurity levels are screened by

the electrons of the environment, similarly to the standard  $SU(2)$  Kondo model. At temperatures below the critical Kondo temperature, the impurity and environment form a many-body ground state

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{\ell\ell'} |a_{\ell\ell'}|^2}} \sum_{\sigma\ell\ell'} a_{\ell\ell'} |\sigma\rangle_{\text{imp}_\ell} \otimes |\bar{\sigma}\rangle_{\text{env}_{\ell'}}, \quad (9)$$

where  $a_{\ell\ell'}$  are general prefactors of which  $N$  are nonzero. Notably, these coefficients are  $\sigma$ -independent, corresponding to a spin degeneracy in the impurity's levels, which is required for the Kondo effect to emerge. In configurations where  $N$  degenerate impurity states are screened by the environment, this phenomenon is referred to as the  $SU(N)$  Kondo effect. Note that the Schrieffer-Wolff transformation can also be applied to a multilevel AIM, cf. Eq. (2). In this case, the system maps to a multilevel Kondo model, where  $J_{\ell'\ell''}^\ell = 0$  for  $\ell \neq \ell' \neq \ell''$ . Consequently, the  $\ell^{\text{th}}$  impurity level can only be screened by the  $\ell^{\text{th}}$  environment level.

## C. NRG-MPS method

In this Section, we provide a summary of the necessary ingredients of the NRG-MPS method that form the foundation for our proposed procedure in Sec. III. As mentioned earlier, the Kondo effect has been both theoretically and experimentally identified through transport measurements. One prominent non-perturbative method for calculating transport through quantum impurity systems, which captures strongly-correlated effects, is the NRG method [3, 42, 43]. In the NRG approach, the environment, e.g.  $H_{\text{env}}$  in Eq. (1), is mapped onto a *Wilson chain*, i.e., the conduction band states are discretized and sampled, such that they can be effectively written as a one-dimensional semi-infinite chain. The ground state of the Wilson chain provides an accurate description of low-energy states of the impurity-environment system. For the single AIM case, the Wilson chain of the full system is given by

$$H = H_{\text{imp}} + \sum_{\sigma,n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n c_{n\sigma}^\dagger c_{n\sigma} + \sum_{\sigma} t_0 \left( d_{\sigma}^\dagger c_{0\sigma} + \text{H.c.} \right) + \sum_{\sigma,n=0}^{\infty} t_n \left( c_{n\sigma}^\dagger c_{n+1\sigma} + \text{H.c.} \right), \quad (10)$$

where  $c_n^\dagger$  and  $c_n$  are the creation and annihilation operators at the  $n^{\text{th}}$  site of the Wilson chain [44]. The energy and hopping terms,  $\epsilon_n$  and  $t_n$ , can be determined recursively, see Appendix A. Assuming energy-independent coupling amplitudes  $t_{\mathbf{k}} = t$  and a constant density of states for the environment, the hopping terms decay as

$$t_n \sim \Lambda^{-n/2}, \quad (11)$$

where  $\Lambda$  is the discretization parameter that controls the energy resolution of the sampling [42, 43].

In the general case of multilevel impurity systems, cf. Eq. (2), each environment level is similarly transformed into a Wilson chain, resulting in an impurity coupled to  $L$  distinct one-dimensional chains [45]. The NRG method for quantum impurities systematically further reduces the dimension of the system's Hilbert space through an iterative diagonalization procedure, progressively adding subsequent chain sites  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . Initially introduced as the first solution to the Kondo problem [3], NRG has been widely successful in studying a variety of quantum impurity systems [45, 46]. However, it encounters significant limitations when applied to multiorbital or multi-level systems, as well as to impurities coupled to multiple environments [29–31].

In this context, the NRG-MPS approach offers a promising alternative [32, 33]. In the NRG-MPS method, the environment is also transformed into a Wilson chain, cf. Eq. (10). This transformed environment is truncated at a finite length  $N_{\text{chain}}$ . This cut is justified by the exponential decay of the hopping terms, cf. Eq. (11). As a result, the impurity system is approximated by a finite one-dimensional chain, making it highly compatible with tensor network MPS studies. The MPS formalism enables efficient representation of quantum states and operators by truncation of irrelevant degrees of freedom in the Hilbert space [47]. These truncations reduce the system's dimensionality from  $\mathcal{O}(d^{N_{\text{chain}}})$  to  $\mathcal{O}(dN_{\text{chain}}D)$ , where  $d$  is the dimension of the local Hilbert space of each chain site and  $D$  is the so-called *bond dimension*. The latter is the truncation parameter of the MPS method that is typically taken on the order of  $O(10^2)$ . Within the MPS framework, the Hamiltonian is represented as a matrix product operator (MPO), and the many-body ground state of the system, including both the impurity and its environment, is calculated using the density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) algorithm [48, 49]. This approach provides a non-perturbative solution and has been shown to overcome some of the limitations of the NRG method, particularly for multienvironment or multilevel systems [32, 33].

#### D. Tomography on NRG-MPS impurities

While the NRG-MPS method excels in ground-state calculations, it falls short in addressing transport observables, such as conductance or resistivity, which are key indicators of the Kondo effect. Hence, extensions of this ground-state-focused approach are needed in order to describe strongly correlated states like the Kondo effect, i.e., it requires new order parameters that can effectively describe such phenomena. In previous works [34, 35], we introduced the impurity's reduced density matrix as a collective order parameter for identifying the formation of strongly correlated effects in quantum impurity systems. Specifically, in the case of an impurity-environment singlet formation, as described by Eq. (7), the reduced

density matrix of the impurity is given by

$$\rho_{\text{imp}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma} |\sigma\rangle \langle \sigma| . \quad (12)$$

In Figure 1(b), we illustrate an application of the tomography procedure in the example of the single AIM. We calculate the impurity's reduced density matrix by first finding the many-body ground state using the NRG-MPS method, see Sec. II C, and then tracing out the environment. At low impurity-environment coupling, the system corresponds to the configuration described by Eq. (12). Thus, we capture an impurity-environment singlet formation. However, as the coupling strength increases to  $t_{\text{D}} \sim U$ , this configuration becomes partially suppressed when the many-body singlet state starts to coexist with the empty and doubly-occupied impurity states. For couplings below  $t_{\text{D}} < 0.2U$ , our numerical truncations hinder the convergence into the Kondo-singlet ground state.

While the impurity tomography procedure is useful for detecting strong correlations between the impurity and its environment, it cannot distinguish between a simple two-particle singlet and more complex many-body singlet states, e.g., Kondo states. Additionally, in systems with multiple environments (or multiorbital/multilevel environments), it does not identify which environment degree of freedom is involved in the hybridization. These limitations highlight the need for alternative order parameters to describe the formation of Kondo impurities. It is important to note that two-point local correlators are inadequate for describing the Kondo effect, as they fail to capture the extended screening cloud formed by the conduction electrons. Hence, to accurately describe the nonlocal correlations forming in the Kondo singlet state, global correlators are required. Such correlators should account for interactions between the impurity and the entire environment.

### III. METHOD

In this Section, we present a method to determine whether many-body screening occurs in a quantum impurity based on a NRG-MPS ground state calculation. Crucially, the NRG-MPS method yields an approximate description of the full ground states while keeping a separation between system and environment degrees of freedom. On top of such a representation, our method is designed to identify which of the environment degrees of freedom are coherently involved in the screening process. We present an example focused on capturing  $SU(N)$  Kondo effects in multilevel systems. Crucially, our approach can be generalized to more complex systems with, e.g., multiple channels and/or orbitals, cf. discussion in Sec. V.

As described in Sec. II C, we translate the considered quantum impurity model to a Wilson chain and find the ground state using NRG-MPS. On the resulting ground

state of the full system, we apply a projector operator onto the sub-Hilbert space where the  $\ell^{\text{th}}$  level of the impurity is filled with one electron with spin  $\sigma$ . This is accomplished using the projection operators

$$P_\ell^\sigma \equiv |\sigma\rangle \langle \sigma|_\ell \otimes \prod_{\ell' \neq \ell} I_{\ell'} \otimes I_{\text{env}} , \quad (13)$$

where  $I_{\text{env}} (I_{\ell'})$  is the identity operator acting on the environment ( $\ell'$ ) subspace. With these operators, we evaluate the correlator of the  $\ell^{\text{th}}$  level

$$\mathcal{M}_\ell = \sum_\sigma \frac{1}{\langle \sigma | S_\ell^z | \sigma \rangle_\ell} \langle \psi | P_\ell^\sigma S_\ell^z P_\ell^\sigma | \psi \rangle , \quad (14)$$

where  $S_\ell^z$  is the spin operator of the  $\ell^{\text{th}}$  level of the impurity. Note that, by summing over all levels, the impurity's correlator  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{imp}} = \sum_\ell \mathcal{M}_\ell$  returns 1 if the impurity is in a superposition/mixture of the  $|\uparrow\rangle_{\text{imp}}$  and  $|\downarrow\rangle_{\text{imp}}$  states. To determine whether the environment, or the environment's levels, are screening these system's magnetic states, we similarly calculate the environment's correlator of the  $\ell^{\text{th}}$  level

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{env}\ell'}^\ell = \sum_\sigma \frac{1}{\langle \sigma | S_\ell^z | \sigma \rangle_\ell} \langle \psi | P_\ell^\sigma S_{\text{env}\ell'}^z P_\ell^\sigma | \psi \rangle , \quad (15)$$

which is the total spin of the  $\ell'^{\text{th}}$  environment level in the Hilbert subspaces obtained by applying the projector operator for the  $\ell^{\text{th}}$  impurity level as in Eq. (13). The sum over the environment's levels and impurity's projection levels  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{env}} = \sum_{\ell\ell'} \mathcal{M}_{\text{env}\ell'}^\ell$  returns  $-1$  if the state of the total system is in a superposition of configurations where the impurity has total spin  $\sigma$  and the environment has spin  $\bar{\sigma}$ . For an approximate impurity in the Fock-1 block, where  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{imp}} < 1$ , an environment's correlator  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{env}} \approx -\mathcal{M}_{\text{imp}}$  indicates that the environment is effectively screening the impurity. To determine which environment level is screening the spin on the  $\ell^{\text{th}}$  level of the impurity, it is sufficient to compare  $\mathcal{M}_\ell$  with the  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{env}\ell'}^\ell$  correlators.

As previously discussed, Kondo effects are established when impurity states are degenerate. It is important to note that our correlators  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{imp}}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{env}}$  are focused solely on the magnetic orientation/screening per level  $\ell$  and are not sensitive to the degeneracy, i.e., whether a coherent superposition of screening occurs. For example, if the full system is in the product state

$$|\psi\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle_{\text{imp}} \otimes |\downarrow\rangle_{\text{env}} , \quad (16)$$

we will also have  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{imp}} = -\mathcal{M}_{\text{env}} = 1$ . Hence, to determine if the system is indeed in a Kondo configuration as in Eq. (9), we perform an additional step. First, we project the full system into the Fock-1 block of each of the impurity levels

$$|\psi^{n_\ell=1}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta}} \sum_{\ell\sigma} P_\ell^\sigma |\psi\rangle , \quad (17)$$

where  $\eta$  is the normalization coefficient. With this projection, the projected impurity's Hilbert space is  $2L$ -dimensional. Next, we perform a Schmidt decomposition between the impurity and environment subspaces of the projected state. The general form of this decomposition reads

$$|\psi^{n_\ell=1}\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{2L} \lambda_i |\phi_i\rangle_{\text{imp}} \otimes |\phi_i\rangle_{\text{env}} , \quad (18)$$

where  $|\phi_i\rangle_{\text{imp}}$  and  $|\phi_i\rangle_{\text{env}}$  form orthonormal sets in the respective Hilbert spaces and  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  are the Schmidt coefficients. In a product state as in Eq. (16), only one Schmidt coefficient is nonzero. If the system is instead in a  $SU(L)$  Kondo singlet configuration, cf. Eq. (9), with  $a_{\ell\ell'} = a$ , we will have  $\lambda_i \approx 1/\sqrt{2L}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, L$ . Thus, by combining our correlators with the analysis of Schmidt coefficients, we can determine if the full system is in a superposition of orthogonal states corresponding to a Kondo-like hybridization.

The calculation of the  $\mathcal{M}_\ell$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{env}\ell'}^\ell$  correlators is particularly efficient in combination with the NRG-MPS method. This efficiency stems from the fact that it only requires the application of local projectors and operators, which require only multiplications of local tensors in MPS formalism. For a detailed discussion of these operations and the MPS formalism in general, we refer the reader to Ref. [47]. In the MPS chain, the total magnetic moment of the environment is the sum over all Wilson chain sites

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{env}\ell'}^\ell = \sum_{n, n \notin \text{imp}} \mathcal{M}_{n, \ell'}^\ell , \quad (19)$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_{n, \ell'}^\ell = \sum_\sigma \frac{1}{\langle \sigma | S_\ell^z | \sigma \rangle_\ell} \langle \psi | P_\ell^\sigma S_{n, \ell'}^z P_\ell^\sigma | \psi \rangle , \quad (20)$$

is the correlator of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  site of the  $\ell^{\text{th}}$  level of the NRG-MPS chain, excluding the sites belonging to the impurity. The Schmidt coefficients of the impurity-environment bipartition are the square roots of the eigenvalues of the impurity's reduced density matrix. This reduced density matrix is efficiently calculated in an MPS chain [34, 47]. Note that a similar projection can be done of orbital degenerate states (different  $\ell$ ) to resolve orbital/charge Kondo screening channels. As such, we have all the ingredients to determine screening in quantum impurity systems.

## IV. RESULTS

As a demonstration of the method proposed in Sec. III, we calculate the  $\mathcal{M}_\ell, \mathcal{M}_{\text{env}\ell'}^\ell$  correlators in both the single- and two-level AIM and analyze the screening mechanisms.




FIG. 2. *Screening of Kondo impurities in the single AIM* (1). (a) Impurity  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{imp}}$  (thick red) and environment  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{env}}$  (thin dark red) correlators, cf. Eqs. (14) and (15). Additionally, we plot the Schmidt coefficients at the impurity-environment bipartition  $\lambda_1$  (light grey) and  $\lambda_2$  (dashed dark grey) as defined in Eq. (18). (b) Correlator along the Wilson chain sites  $n = 0, \dots, N$ , cf. Eq. (20). (c) Same as (a) for even Wilson chain lengths  $N_{\text{chain}}$ . Dashed vertical line indicates the value  $N_{\text{chain}} = 22$  discussed in the text. Unless otherwise specified, we use  $t_D = 0.2U$ , set the impurity's onsite energy  $\epsilon_D = -U/2$ , the Wilson chain length  $N_{\text{chain}} = 100$  and discretization parameter  $\Lambda = 2$ , as well as an MPS bond dimension  $D = 100$ . We assume the leads to have a constant density of states  $d_0 = 1/(2U)$ .

### A. Single AIM

We apply our method to the single AIM in the singly occupied regime,  $\epsilon_D = -U/2$ , where the impurity is singly occupied, cf. Eq. (1). Our analysis focuses on quantifying the screening of the impurity by the environment. In Fig. 2(a), we compare the correlator of the impurity (14) with that of the full environment (15) as we vary the impurity-environment coupling  $t_D$ . For low coupling  $t_D \approx 0.2U$ , we observe that  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{imp}} \rightarrow 1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{env}} \approx -\mathcal{M}_{\text{imp}}$ , indicating nearly perfect screening of the impurity's magnetic moment by the environment. As the coupling increases, the impurity's magnetic moment decreases, while the environment continues to fully screen the impurity. We attribute the reduction in the impurity magnetic moment to increasing coexistence with the empty and doubly occupied impurity configurations, as revealed by the NRG-MPS tomography, see Fig. 1(a). Verifying that the screening is coherent, we observe two equivalent Schmidt coefficients as in Eq. (18)  $\lambda_1 \approx \lambda_2 \approx 1/\sqrt{2}$  regardless of  $t_D$ , see Fig. 2(a). This indicates that the projected impurity state exists in a coherent superposition of the two spin configurations even when the full state of the impurity starts to mix with the empty and doubly-occupied states. In conclusion, our method accurately captures the perfect Kondo screening [cf. Eq. (7)] and its coexistence with other states that mix in via coupling to the environment.

Note that within the NRG-MPS framework, calculating the  $\mathcal{M}_n$  correlator of the environment (20) serves as a tool for convergence analysis. The NRG-MPS procedure is based on the fact that sites beyond a certain length in the chain (lower environment energy states) only marginally impact the Kondo screening. If  $\mathcal{M}_n$  does not vanish for sites near the end of the Wilson chain, then sites with  $n > N_{\text{chain}}$  may still influence the many-body physics of the quantum impurity and should be considered in the analysis. Otherwise, they can be truncated

and Kondo physics will manifest also for shorter chains. Additionally, the presence of nonzero  $\mathcal{M}_n$  for multiple chain sites highlights the many-body nature of the hybridized impurity-environment state, see Fig. 2(b). Thus, we confirm the formation of a Kondo singlet rather than a simpler two-particle singlet, which cannot be distinguished by the tomography procedure, cf. Fig. 1(b) and Ref. [34].

The Wilson chain length  $N_{\text{chain}}$  is directly linked to the temperature of the system

$$k_B T_K = \Lambda^{-(N_{\text{chain}}-1)/2} / \bar{\beta}, \quad (21)$$

where  $\bar{\beta} \in [0.5, 1]$  [44]. As such, we can discuss the critical temperature, i.e. the Kondo temperature  $T_K$ , for forming the many-body impurity-environment singlet. With the coupling parameters as in Fig. 2 and  $t_D = 0.2U$ , we obtain  $k_B T_K \sim 3 \times 10^{-4}U$ , cf. Eq. (6). From Eq. (21), we obtain a minimal Wilson chain length of  $N_{\text{chain}}^{\text{min}} \approx 22$ . Interestingly, we observe a convergence in our correlators  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{imp}}, \mathcal{M}_{\text{env}}$  for Wilson chain length  $N_{\text{chain}} \geq N_{\text{chain}}^{\text{min}}$ , see Fig. 2(c). Using our correlator, we detect the formation of a Kondo impurity in the SIAM, in strong agreement with the energy scales predicted by the Schrieffer-Wolff transformation.

### B. Magnetic field on a SIAM

As a second example, we introduce a magnetic field to the SIAM. As discussed in Sec. II B, the Kondo effect establishes when the impurity exhibits a degeneracy in its levels. In the SIAM, this consists of a spin degeneracy, which is broken by a global magnetic field in the  $z$ -direction. We rewrite the SIAM (1), as

$$H \rightarrow H + B (S_{\text{imp}}^z + S_{\text{env}}^z), \quad (22)$$

where  $B$  is in units of energy. As the  $S_{\text{env}}^z$  operator is diagonal in the basis  $\{|0\rangle, |\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle\}$ , this corresponds




FIG. 3. *Screening of Kondo impurities in the single AIM* (1). (a) Correlator of the Wilson chain sites  $n = 0, \dots, N$ , cf. Eq. (20) for  $B/U = 10^{-5}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-3}$  (purple circles, blue diamonds, and pink triangles, respectively). (b) Same as (a) for the von Neumann entropy, cf. Eq.(23). (c) Impurity  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{imp}}$  (thick red line), cf. Eq. (14), and environment  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{env}}$  (thin dark red line), cf. Eq. (15), correlators. The Schmidt coefficients  $\lambda_1$  (light grey)  $\lambda_2$  (dark grey) as in Eq.(18). Colors of the x-axis labels correspond to the values as in subfigures (a) and (b). We set the impurity's onsite energy  $\epsilon_D = -U/2$ ,  $t_D = 0.2U$ , and the other parameters as in Fig. 2.

to adding a local  $BS_n^z$  term to both the impurity and each Wilson chain site. In Fig. 3(a), we plot the local projected correlator  $\mathcal{M}_n$ , cf. Eq. (20), at finite magnetic fields  $B \neq 0$ . We observe that sites with large  $n$  exhibit a finite constant value of  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . This signifies that these sites become decoupled from the impurity and are locally dominated by Zeeman splitting. This decoupling along the Wilson chain description signifies the fact that a new energy scale dominates over the Kondo screening at sufficiently low energies due to the lifted degeneracy. In this case, to calculate  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{env}}$ , cf. Eq. (15), we consider only the sites that hybridize with the impurity. To identify these sites, we calculate the von Neumann entropy of the bipartition at the bond  $n$ , see Fig. 3(b). The relevant von Neumann entropy is defined as

$$S_n = \text{Tr}(\rho_{n+1, \dots, N} \log \rho_{n+1, \dots, N}), \quad (23)$$

where  $\rho_{n+1, \dots, N}$  is the reduced density matrix of the sites  $n+1, \dots, N$  [50]. When this entropy becomes zero, it indicates that sites beyond the cut are independent of the impurity. More precisely, we define a cut in the chain  $n_{\text{screen}}$  as

$$n_{\text{screen}} = \min_{n'} \{ |S_n| < \epsilon; \quad \forall n > n' \}, \quad (24)$$

where  $\epsilon$  is an infinitesimal threshold, chosen here as  $\epsilon = 10^{-8}$ . Using this, we modify the calculation of the total environment correlator, cf. Eq. (19), as

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{env}} = \sum_{n=0}^{n_{\text{screen}}-1} \mathcal{M}_n, \quad (25)$$

which includes only the sites involved in the screening. Note that in the case of zero magnetic field,  $\mathcal{M}_n \approx 0$  for large  $n > n_{\text{screen}}$ . Hence, Eqs. (19) and (25) are equivalent in this limit.

In Fig. 3(c), we observe that  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{imp}} \approx 1$  regardless of  $B$ . For  $B < 10^{-5}U$ , the environment almost perfectly

screens the impurity, with  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{imp}} \approx -\mathcal{M}_{\text{env}}$ , and the impurity exists in a coherent superposition of the two spin configurations  $\lambda_1 \approx \lambda_2 \approx 1/\sqrt{2}$ . In this regime, the Kondo singlet still forms. For  $B > 10^{-5}U$ , the screening decreases, and the values of  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  indicate a suppression of the coherent superposition, marking Zeeman splitting on the impurity. Consequently, our correlator fully captures the suppression of the Kondo effect.

Note that the calculation of the von Neumann entropy  $S_n$  is straightforward in our case because the impurity is positioned at the first site of the Wilson chain, where the Schmidt coefficients can be efficiently determined using the MPS framework [47]. However, for impurity systems coupled to multiple Wilson chains or positioned elsewhere along the chain, such computations become significantly more costly.

### C. Two-level AIM

As a third example, we consider the two-level AIM, cf. Eq. (2), with  $\ell \in \{1, 2\}$ . We focus on the regime where  $\epsilon_\ell = -U/2$  and the impurity Hamiltonian has a four-fold degenerate ground state

$$|\sigma, 0\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad |0, \sigma\rangle, \quad (26)$$

with  $\sigma \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$ . The state  $|s, s'\rangle = |s\rangle_1 \otimes |s'\rangle_2$  denotes the state of the first and second levels. Before applying our method, we use the tomography procedure to explore if, and in which form, the impurity hybridizes with the environment, see Fig. 4(a). When the impurity-environment couplings of the two levels are equal,  $t_1 = t_2$ , the system reduced density matrix is approximately

$$\rho_{\text{imp}} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\sigma} (|0, \sigma\rangle \langle 0, \sigma| + |\sigma, 0\rangle \langle \sigma, 0|), \quad (27)$$

which corresponds to the impurity having been in a Kondo  $SU(4)$  configuration. For  $t_1 \neq t_2$ , the  $SU(4)$




FIG. 4. *Kondo impurities and their screening in the two-channel AIM, cf. Eq. (2).* (a) Tomography of the impurity's reduced density matrix, where we highlight the density matrix elements  $\langle 0, 0 | \rho_{\text{imp}} | 0, 0 \rangle$  (black),  $\langle 0, \sigma | \rho_{\text{imp}} | 0, \sigma \rangle$  (red),  $\langle \sigma, 0 | \rho_{\text{imp}} | \sigma, 0 \rangle$  (yellow), and  $\langle 0, \uparrow\downarrow | \rho_{\text{imp}} | 0, \uparrow\downarrow \rangle$ . We depict spin-degenerate configurations with  $\sigma \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$  via a single line, and plot the remaining elements  $|\langle s, s' | \rho_{\text{imp}} | s'', s''' \rangle| < 0.1$  using thin grey lines. (b)  $\mathcal{M}_\ell$  correlator of the impurity's level  $\ell = 1, 2$  (purple and blue circles, respectively), cf. Eq. (14), and  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{env}_\ell}^\ell$  correlators of the environment's level  $\ell = 1, 2$  (pink and red crosses, respectively). (c) Schmidt decomposition  $\lambda_i$  with  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ , (dark grey, light grey, dark orange, light orange, respectively) as in Eq. (18). We set the impurity's onsite energy  $\epsilon_\ell = -U/2$ , impurity-environment coupling  $t_\ell = 0.2U$ , assume the environment to have a constant density of states  $d_0 = 1/(2U)$ , set Wilson discretization parameter  $\Lambda = 2$ , Wilson chain length  $N_{\text{chain}}^\ell = 100$ , and MPS bond dimension  $D = 300$ . The dashed vertical lines mark the  $t_1 = t_2$  point.

configuration is suppressed, and the impurity's reduced density matrix for  $t_1 > t_2$  reads

$$\rho_{\text{imp}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma} |\sigma, 0\rangle \langle \sigma, 0|, \quad (28)$$

while for  $t_1 < t_2$  it is

$$\rho_{\text{imp}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma} |0, \sigma\rangle \langle 0, \sigma|. \quad (29)$$

These reduced density matrix configurations correspond to a Kondo  $SU(2)$  in level 1 of the impurity and a Kondo  $SU(2)$  in level 2 of the impurity, respectively. This abrupt suppression of Kondo  $SU(4)$  was theoretically identified using a NRG study [51, 52]. In the case of strong  $t_2 \gg t_1$ , the impurity's density matrix corresponds to a Kondo  $SU(2)$  coexisting with the empty and double occupied configuration. As discussed above, while the tomography procedure reveals different types of impurity-environment hybridizations, it does not conclusively imply the formation of Kondo screening. Therefore, the tomography results act only as witnesses to the formation of Kondo screening.

To determine if the predicted Kondo  $SU(2)$  and Kondo  $SU(4)$  impurity states actually form, we apply our method to calculate the  $\mathcal{M}_\ell, \mathcal{M}_{\text{env}_\ell}^\ell$  correlators. In Fig. 4(b), we observe near-perfect screening of the  $\ell^{\text{th}}$  impurity's level magnetic moment, with  $\mathcal{M}_\ell \approx -\mathcal{M}_{\text{env}_\ell}^\ell$ , while  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{env}_{\ell'}}^\ell \rightarrow 0$  for  $\ell \neq \ell'$  (not plotted). In Fig. 4(c), we plot Schmidt coefficients as in Eq. (18). For  $t_1 > t_2$ , we observe  $\mathcal{M}_1 \approx 0.8 \gg \mathcal{M}_2$  and nonvanishing  $\lambda_i \approx 1/\sqrt{2}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . This indicates that a Kondo  $SU(2)$  effect forms with level 1. For  $t_2 > t_1$ , we observe  $\mathcal{M}_2 \approx 0.6 \gg \mathcal{M}_1$  and nonvanishing Schmidt coefficients  $\lambda_i \approx 1/\sqrt{2}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . This indicates that a Kondo  $SU(2)$  effect forms in level 2, coexisting with the empty

and doubly occupied configuration. For  $t_1 = t_2$ , we observe  $\mathcal{M}_1 \approx \mathcal{M}_2 \approx 0.5$  and Schmidt coefficients  $\lambda_i \approx 1/2$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , in line with the formation of a Kondo  $SU(4)$  hybridization. Thus, we conclude that it is possible to directly identify the formation of Kondo  $SU(N)$  effects by calculating the  $\mathcal{M}_\ell, \mathcal{M}_{\text{env}_{\ell'}}^\ell$  correlators.

## V. DISCUSSION

Our study, thus far, focused on a sub-class of impurity problems, i.e. multilevel impurities as in Eq. (2). We postulate now a possible generalization of our method to multichannel, multiorbital Kondo impurities. The Hamiltonian of the latter reads

$$H = \sum_{m\mathbf{k}\alpha} \epsilon_{m\mathbf{k}} c_{m\mathbf{k}\alpha}^\dagger c_{m\mathbf{k}\alpha} + J \sum_{A=1}^{N^2-1} \sum_{mm'\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \mathbf{S}_D^A \mathbf{S}_{m\mathbf{k}, m'\mathbf{k}'}^A, \quad (30)$$

where  $m = 1, \dots, M$  represents the channel index and  $\alpha = 1, \dots, N$  denotes the orbital states. The state of the full system in this configuration is given by

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\alpha} |\alpha\rangle_{\text{imp}} \otimes |\alpha'\rangle_{\text{env}}, \quad (31)$$

where  $|\alpha\rangle_{\text{imp}}$  is the impurity's state with spin  $\alpha$  and the  $\{|\alpha'\rangle\}$  are a set of orthogonal states, whose form is generally unknown. Note that this state differs from the one described in Eq. (9), as the impurity here consists of a single energy level with  $N \geq 2$  orbital states. These orbital states can be screened by an environment comprising multiple flavors (channels). This multichannel Kondo model can exhibit complex screening mechanisms depending on the channel configurations and coupling strengths [16, 53, 54]. In such a scenario, the environment states do not always perfectly screen the magnetic



impurity. We define perfect screening if

$$\langle \alpha | S_{\text{imp}}^z | \alpha \rangle_{\text{imp}} = - \langle \alpha' | S_{\text{env}}^z | \alpha' \rangle_{\text{env}} . \quad (32)$$

As such, we can generalize our  $\mathcal{M}_\ell, \mathcal{M}_{\text{env}\ell}^\ell$  correlators to identify the presence of such Kondo screening mechanisms. To achieve this, the operators defined in Eq. (13) can be adapted to project the system into the  $\alpha$  subspaces of the impurity. The total spin of the impurity and the environment is then calculated following the approach outlined in Eqs. (14) and (15).

## VI. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

We have introduced a straightforward yet powerful methodology for capturing the role of the environment in screening Kondo impurities. This approach is particularly well-suited for studies involving impurities using the NRG-MPS technique. We have demonstrated its accuracy through results from the single AIM, where we observe perfect Kondo  $SU(2)$  screening and its suppression via a magnetic field, as well as the two-level AIM. In the latter, we detect Kondo screening in the crossover between  $SU(2)$  and  $SU(4)$  Kondo effects. These effects were reported on in the literature via other methods [55]. Our results show exceptional agreement in the captured screening, which suggests that our method holds promise for studying screening mechanisms in more complex Kondo impurities, which are challenging to study via standard NRG [29–31].

Our method is very versatile and can be applied to a variety of contemporary impurity studies. For example, a recently proposed algorithm called “fork tensor network product state method” [56] provides a powerful tool for extending the study of multichannel, multiorbital impurity systems. This algorithm efficiently encodes impurity systems coupled to multiple environments within the MPS formalism, making it directly applicable for calculating the  $\mathcal{M}_\ell, \mathcal{M}_{\text{env}\ell}^\ell$  correlators proposed here. Additionally, our approach is not limited to ground-state calculations and can be applied to open systems, where the system’s configuration is described by an MPO instead of an MPS [57, 58]. This extension requires a finite-temperature, out-of-equilibrium MPS-based quantum impurity solver, with several recent proposals addressing this challenge [59–63].

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge financial support from the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) through project 190078 and through Sinergia Grant No. CRSII5 206008/1, as well as from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) - project number 449653034. Our numerical MPS implementations are based on the ITensors JULIA library [64].

## Appendix A: Recursive formula of the Wilson transformation

In this Appendix, we provide a concise description of Wilson’s transformation, a key step in the NRG approach. We point the reader to Ref. [44] for a detailed pedagogical review. Our discussion focuses on the essential parameters required for the single AIM, as defined in Eq. (1). We define the hybridization function

$$\Gamma(\epsilon) = \pi \sum_{\mathbf{k}} t_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \delta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon) , \quad (A1)$$

which is assumed to be zero outside the  $[-\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}]$  bandwidth. The first step of the Wilson’s transformation involves discretizing this bandwidth by defining the discretization points  $x_n = \pm \mathcal{D} \Lambda^{-n}$ , where  $\Lambda > 1$  is the discretization parameter of the NRG transformation. In the following, we define

$$\int^{\pm, n} d\epsilon \equiv \pm \int_{\pm x_{n+1}}^{\pm x_n} d\epsilon . \quad (A2)$$

With this, we define multiple variables which will be inserted in the recursive relation including

$$\eta_0 = \int_{-\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{D}} d\epsilon \Gamma(\epsilon) \epsilon , \quad (A3a)$$

$$\xi_n = \frac{\int^{\pm, n} d\epsilon \Gamma(\epsilon) \epsilon}{\int^{\pm, n} d\epsilon \Gamma(\epsilon)} , \quad (A3b)$$

$$(\gamma_n^\pm)^2 = \int^{\pm, n} d\epsilon \Gamma(\epsilon) . \quad (A3c)$$

The energy and coupling coefficients are calculated with a recursion relation with initial values

$$\epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{\eta_0} \int_{-\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{D}} d\epsilon \Gamma(\epsilon) \epsilon , \quad (A4a)$$

$$t_0^2 = \frac{1}{\eta_0} \sum_m \left[ (\xi_m^+ - \epsilon_0)^2 (\gamma_m^+)^2 + (\xi_m^- - \epsilon_0)^2 (\gamma_m^-)^2 \right] , \quad (A4b)$$

$$u_{0m} = \frac{\gamma^+}{\sqrt{\eta_0}} , \quad (A4c)$$

$$v_{1m} = \frac{\gamma^-}{\sqrt{\eta_0}} , \quad (A4d)$$

$$u_{1m} = \frac{1}{t_0} (\xi_m^+ - \epsilon_0) u_{0m} , \quad (A4e)$$

$$v_{1m} = \frac{1}{t_0} (\xi_m^- - \epsilon_0) v_{0m} . \quad (A4f)$$

Subsequent values are calculated as

$$\epsilon_n = \sum_m (\xi_m^+ u_{nm}^2 + \xi_m^- v_{nm}^2) , \quad (\text{A5a})$$

$$t_n^2 = \sum_m \left[ (\xi_m^+)^2 u_{nm}^2 + (\xi_m^-)^2 v_{nm}^2 \right] - t_{n-1}^2 - \epsilon_n^2 , \quad (\text{A5b})$$

$$u_{(n+1)m} = \frac{1}{t_n} \left[ (\xi_m^+ - \epsilon_n) u_{nm} - t_{n-1} u_{(n-1)m} \right] , \quad (\text{A5c})$$

$$v_{(n+1)m} = \frac{1}{t_n} \left[ (\xi_m^- - \epsilon_n) v_{nm} - t_{n-1} v_{(n-1)m} \right] , \quad (\text{A5d})$$

where  $\epsilon_n$  is the on-site energy amplitude of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  site of the Wilson chain and  $t_n$  is the hopping amplitude between the  $n^{\text{th}}$  and  $(n+1)^{\text{th}}$  chain site, cf. Eq. (10).

- 
- [1] H. Bruus and K. Flensberg, *Many-Body Quantum Theory in Condensed Matter Physics: An Introduction* (OUP Oxford, 2004).
- [2] J. Kondo, Resistance Minimum in Dilute Magnetic Alloys, *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **32**, 37 (1964).
- [3] K. G. Wilson, The renormalization group: Critical phenomena and the Kondo problem, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **47**, 773 (1975).
- [4] T. K. Ng and P. A. Lee, On-Site Coulomb Repulsion and Resonant Tunneling, *Physical Review Letters* **61**, 1768 (1988).
- [5] L. I. Glazman and M. E. Raikh, Resonant Kondo transparency of a barrier with quasilocal impurity states, *Soviet Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics Letters* **47**, 452 (1988).
- [6] D. Goldhaber-Gordon, H. Shtrikman, D. Mahalu, D. Abusch-Magder, U. Meirav, and M. A. Kastner, Kondo effect in a single-electron transistor, *Nature* **391**, 156 (1998).
- [7] S. M. Cronenwett, T. H. Oosterkamp, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, A tunable kondo effect in quantum dots, *Science* (New York, N.Y.) **281**, 540 (1998).
- [8] C. Rössler, D. Oehri, O. Zilberberg, G. Blatter, M. Karalic, J. Pijenburg, A. Hofmann, T. Ihn, K. Ensslin, C. Reichl, and W. Wegscheider, Transport Spectroscopy of a Spin-Coherent Dot-Cavity System, *Physical Review Letters* **115**, 166603 (2015).
- [9] M. S. Ferguson, D. Oehri, C. Rössler, T. Ihn, K. Ensslin, G. Blatter, and O. Zilberberg, Long-range spin coherence in a strongly coupled all-electronic dot-cavity system, *Physical Review B* **96**, 235431 (2017).
- [10] G. Nicolí, M. S. Ferguson, C. Rössler, A. Wolfertz, G. Blatter, T. Ihn, K. Ensslin, C. Reichl, W. Wegscheider, and O. Zilberberg, Cavity-Mediated Coherent Coupling between Distant Quantum Dots, *Physical Review Letters* **120**, 236801 (2018).
- [11] A. Kurzmann, Y. Kleorin, C. Tong, R. Garreis, A. Knothe, M. Eich, C. Mittag, C. Gold, F. K. de Vries, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, V. Fal'ko, Y. Meir, T. Ihn, and K. Ensslin, Kondo effect and spin-orbit coupling in graphene quantum dots, *Nature Communications* **12**, 6004 (2021).
- [12] B. Lechtenberg and F. B. Anders, Equilibrium and real-time properties of the spin correlation function in the two-impurity Kondo model, *Physical Review B* **98**, 035109 (2018).
- [13] Y. Komijani and P. Coleman, Emergent Critical Charge Fluctuations at the Kondo Breakdown of Heavy Fermions, *Physical Review Letters* **122**, 217001 (2019).
- [14] D. Kim, J. Shim, and H.-S. Sim, Universal Thermal Entanglement of Multichannel Kondo Effects, *Physical Review Letters* **127**, 226801 (2021).
- [15] I. Affleck and A. W. W. Ludwig, Critical theory of overscreened Kondo fixed points, *Nuclear Physics B* **360**, 641 (1991).
- [16] O. Parcollet, A. Georges, G. Kotliar, and A. Sengupta, Overscreened multi-channel SU(N) Kondo model : large-N solution and Conformal Field Theory, *Physical Review B* **58**, 3794 (1998).
- [17] J. von Delft, A. W. W. Ludwig, and V. Ambegaokar, The 2-Channel Kondo Model: II. CFT Calculation of Non-equilibrium Conductance through a Nanoconstriction Containing 2-Channel Kondo Impurities, *Annals of Physics* **273**, 175 (1999).
- [18] J. Paaske, A. Rosch, P. Wölfle, N. Mason, C. M. Marcus, and J. Nygård, Non-equilibrium singlet-triplet Kondo effect in carbon nanotubes, *Nature Physics* **2**, 460 (2006).
- [19] C. Mora, P. Vitushinsky, X. Leyronas, A. A. Clerk, and K. Le Hur, Theory of nonequilibrium transport in the SU(N) Kondo regime, *Physical Review B* **80**, 155322 (2009).
- [20] A. K. Mitchell, E. Sela, and D. E. Logan, Two-Channel Kondo Physics in Two-Impurity Kondo Models, *Physical Review Letters* **108**, 086405 (2012).
- [21] E. Minamitani, N. Tsukahara, D. Matsunaka, Y. Kim, N. Takagi, and M. Kawai, Symmetry-Driven Novel Kondo Effect in a Molecule, *Physical Review Letters* **109**, 086602 (2012).
- [22] T. Kimura and S. Ozaki, Fermi/Non-Fermi Mixing in SU(N) Kondo Effect, *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* **86**, 084703 (2017).
- [23] M. Moro-Lagares, J. Fernández, P. Roura-Bas, M. R. Ibarra, A. A. Aligia, and D. Serrate, Quantifying the leading role of the surface state in the kondo effect of co/ag(111), *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 235442 (2018).

- [24] G. G. Blesio, L. O. Manuel, A. A. Aligia, and P. Roura-Bas, Fully compensated Kondo effect for a two-channel spin  $s=1$  impurity, *Physical Review B* **100**, 075434 (2019).
- [25] A. K. Mitchell, A. Liberman, E. Sela, and I. Affleck, SO(5) Non-Fermi Liquid in a Coulomb Box Device, *Physical Review Letters* **126**, 147702 (2021).
- [26] J. Shim, D. Kim, and H.-S. Sim, Hierarchical entanglement shells of multichannel Kondo clouds, *Nature Communications* **14**, 3521 (2023).
- [27] S. Trishin, C. Lotze, F. Lohss, G. Franceschi, L. I. Glazman, F. von Oppen, and K. J. Franke, Tuning a Two-Impurity Kondo System by a Moiré Superstructure, *Physical Review Letters* **130**, 176201 (2023).
- [28] W. C. Oliveira and L. N. Oliveira, Generalized numerical renormalization-group method to calculate the thermodynamical properties of impurities in metals, *Physical Review B* **49**, 11986 (1994).
- [29] A. I. Tóth, C. P. Moca, O. Legeza, and G. Zaránd, Density matrix numerical renormalization group for non-Abelian symmetries, *Physical Review B* **78**, 245109 (2008).
- [30] F. B. Kugler, M. Zingl, H. U. Strand, S.-S. B. Lee, J. von Delft, and A. Georges, Strongly Correlated Materials from a Numerical Renormalization Group Perspective: How the Fermi-Liquid State of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$  Emerges, *Physical Review Letters* **124**, 016401 (2020).
- [31] K. M. Stadler, G. Kotliar, A. Weichselbaum, and J. von Delft, Hundness versus Mottness in a three-band Hubbard–Hund model: On the origin of strong correlations in Hund metals, *Annals of Physics* **405**, 10.1016/j.aop.2018.10.017 (2019).
- [32] H. Saberi, A. Weichselbaum, and J. von Delft, Matrix-product-state comparison of the numerical renormalization group and the variational formulation of the density-matrix renormalization group, *Physical Review B* **78**, 035124 (2008).
- [33] A. Weichselbaum, F. Verstraete, U. Schollwöck, J. I. Cirac, and J. von Delft, Variational matrix product state approach to quantum impurity models, *Physical Review B* **80**, 165117 (2009).
- [34] L. Stocker, S. H. Sack, M. S. Ferguson, and O. Zilberberg, Entanglement-based observables for quantum impurities, *Physical Review Research* **4**, 043177 (2022).
- [35] L. Stocker and O. Zilberberg, Coherent exchange-coupled nonlocal Kondo impurities, *Physical Review Research* **6**, L022058 (2024).
- [36] P. W. Anderson, Localized Magnetic States in Metals, *Physical Review* **124**, 41 (1961).
- [37] J. R. Schrieffer and P. A. Wolff, Relation between the Anderson and Kondo Hamiltonians, *Physical Review* **149**, 491 (1966).
- [38] B. A. Jones, C. M. Varma, and J. W. Wilkins, Low-Temperature Properties of the Two-Impurity Kondo Hamiltonian, *Physical Review Letters* **61**, 125 (1988).
- [39] A. Kawabata, On the Electron Transport through a Quantum Dot, *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* **60**, 3222 (1991).
- [40] P. Nozières and A. Blandin, Kondo effect in real metals, *Journal de Physique* **41**, 193 (1980).
- [41] D. L. Cox and A. Zawadowski, Exotic kondo effects in metals: Magnetic ions in a crystalline electric field and tunnelling centres, *Advances in Physics* **47**, 599 (1998).
- [42] H. R. Krishna-murthy, J. W. Wilkins, and K. G. Wilson, Renormalization-group approach to the Anderson model of dilute magnetic alloys. I. Static properties for the symmetric case, *Physical Review B* **21**, 1003 (1980).
- [43] H. R. Krishna-murthy, J. W. Wilkins, and K. G. Wilson, Renormalization-group approach to the anderson model of dilute magnetic alloys. ii. static properties for the asymmetric case, *Phys. Rev. B* **21**, 1044 (1980).
- [44] R. Bulla, T. A. Costi, and T. Pruschke, Numerical renormalization group method for quantum impurity systems, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **80**, 395 (2008).
- [45] A. K. Mitchell, M. R. Galpin, S. Wilson-Fletcher, D. E. Logan, and R. Bulla, Generalized Wilson Chain for solving multichannel quantum impurity problems, *Physical Review B* **89**, 121105 (2014).
- [46] T. Pruschke, R. Bulla, and M. Jarrell, Low-energy scale of the periodic Anderson model, *Physical Review B* **61**, 12799 (2000).
- [47] U. Schollwöck, The density-matrix renormalization group in the age of matrix product states, *Annals of Physics* January 2011 Special Issue, **326**, 96 (2011).
- [48] S. R. White, Density matrix formulation for quantum renormalization groups, *Physical Review Letters* **69**, 2863 (1992).
- [49] S. R. White, Density-matrix algorithms for quantum renormalization groups, *Physical Review B* **48**, 10345 (1993).
- [50] J. von Neumann, *Mathematische Grundlagen der Quantenmechanik* (Springer, Berlin, 1932).
- [51] Y. Kleorin and Y. Meir, Abrupt disappearance and re-emergence of the SU(4) and SU(2) Kondo effects due to population inversion, *Physical Review B* **96**, 045118 (2017).
- [52] V. Lopes, R. A. Padilla, G. B. Martins, and E. V. Anda, SU(4)-SU(2) crossover and spin-filter properties of a double quantum dot nanosystem, *Physical Review B* **95**, 245133 (2017).
- [53] A. M. Sengupta and Y. B. Kim, Overscreened single-channel Kondo problem, *Physical Review B* **54**, 14918 (1996).
- [54] O. Parcollet and A. Georges, Transition from overscreening to underscreening in the multichannel kondo model: exact solution at large N, *Physical review letters* **79**, 4665 (1997).
- [55] Y. Kleorin, H. Thierschmann, H. Buhmann, A. Georges, L. W. Molenkamp, and Y. Meir, How to measure the entropy of a mesoscopic system via thermoelectric transport, *Nature Communications* **10**, 5801 (2019).
- [56] D. Bauernfeind, M. Zingl, R. Triebl, M. Aichhorn, and H. G. Evertz, Fork Tensor-Product States: Efficient Multi-orbital Real-Time DMFT Solver, *Physical Review X* **7**, 031013 (2017).
- [57] D. Jaschke, S. Montangero, and L. D. Carr, One-dimensional many-body entangled open quantum systems with tensor network methods, *Quantum Science and Technology* **4**, 013001 (2018).
- [58] E. van Nieuwenburg and O. Zilberberg, Entanglement spectrum of mixed states, *Physical Review A* **98**, 012327 (2018).
- [59] M. Lotem, A. Weichselbaum, J. von Delft, and M. Goldstein, Renormalized Lindblad driving: A numerically exact nonequilibrium quantum impurity solver, *Physical Review Research* **2**, 043052 (2020).

- [60] J. Thoenniss, M. Sonner, A. Leroise, and D. A. Abanin, Efficient method for quantum impurity problems out of equilibrium, *Physical Review B* **107**, L201115 (2023).
- [61] B. Kloss, J. Thoenniss, M. Sonner, A. Leroise, M. T. Fishman, E. M. Stoudenmire, O. Parcollet, A. Georges, and D. A. Abanin, Equilibrium quantum impurity problems via matrix product state encoding of the retarded action, *Phys. Rev. B* **108**, 205110 (2023).
- [62] G. Park, N. Ng, D. R. Reichman, and G. K.-L. Chan, Tensor network influence functionals in the continuous-time limit: Connections to quantum embedding, bath discretization, and higher-order time propagation, *Physical Review B* **110**, 045104 (2024).
- [63] X. Cao, E. M. Stoudenmire, and O. Parcollet, Finite-temperature minimally entangled typical thermal states impurity solver, *Physical Review B* **109**, 245113 (2024).
- [64] M. Fishman, S. White, and E. Stoudenmire, The ITensor software library for tensor network calculations, *SciPost Physics Codebases* , 004 (2022).