

Theme-Explanation Structure for Table Summarization using Large Language Models: A Case Study on Korean Tabular Data

TaeYoon Kwack^{1*} Jisoo Kim^{1*} Ki Yong Jung¹ DongGeon Lee² Heesun Park^{1†}
¹Sungkyunkwan University ²Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH)
{njj05043, clrdln, wjdrldyd0213}@g.skku.edu
donggeonlee@postech.ac.kr hspark20@skku.edu

Abstract

This paper proposes the Theme-Explanation Structure-based Table Summarization (**Tabular-TX**) pipeline designed to process tabular data efficiently. Tabular-TX preprocesses tabular data by focusing on highlighted cells. It then generates summary sentences following a structured format, where the Theme Part appears as an adverbial phrase, and the Explanation Part follows as a predictive clause. This approach enables tailored analysis by considering the structural characteristics of tables and their comparability. Unlike conventional fine-tuning approaches that require extensive labeled data and computational resources, our method leverages In-Context Learning to dynamically adapt to different table structures without additional training, ensuring efficient and scalable table interpretation. Experimental results demonstrate that Tabular-TX significantly outperforms conventional fine-tuning-based methods, particularly in low-resource scenarios, by leveraging table structures and metadata more effectively through structured prompts. The results confirm that Tabular-TX enables more effective processing of complex tabular data. Furthermore, it serves as a viable alternative for table-based question answering and summarization tasks in resource-constrained environments.

1 Introduction

Tables are an essential visualization tool for concisely organizing and comparing complex data. However, tabular data is inherently compact, requiring higher analytical capabilities compared to text data. Current large language models (LLMs) face challenges in effectively handling the structural complexity of tabular data (Liu et al., 2024). Therefore, enabling LLMs to process table data

efficiently and perform Table Question-Answering (TableQA) remains a significant challenge.

Previous studies on TableQA primarily aimed to enhance LLM performance through fine-tuning methods (Zhang et al., 2024b). However, fine-tuning requires substantial computational resources (Strubell et al., 2019), and Korean tabular datasets are limited in size, making it challenging to fine-tune LLMs effectively. For example, studies leveraging table-related datasets such as FeTaQA (Nan et al., 2022) have shown performance improvements through fine-tuning but suffer from high computational costs. Meanwhile, synthetic dataset generation techniques (Hou et al., 2024) have been proposed to address data scarcity. However, repetitive training on generated data has been shown to degrade model performance (Alemohammad et al., 2024).

This study aims to enhance LLMs’ in-context learning (ICL) ability to generate interpretations of table segments using the table interpretation corpus provided by the National Institute of Korean Language (NIKL, 2024). As shown in Figure 2, the NIKL task is to summarize the highlighted cells, which are labeled in the metadata as `highlighted_cells`, into a single coherent sentence. To achieve this, we propose the Theme-Explanation Structure-based Table Summarization (**Tabular-TX**) pipeline.

Tabular-TX simplifies the complexity of tabular data and conducts tailored analysis considering its structural characteristics. Specifically, it segments table summaries into two distinct components: the Theme Part, expressed as an adverbial phrase, and the Explanation Part, presented as a predicative clause. This structured approach ensures a more comprehensive analysis by considering the metadata and the cell data. Furthermore, we introduce a journalist persona into the LLMs to enhance the objectivity and clarity of the generated sentence.

Our main contributions are as follows:

* These authors contributed equally.

† Corresponding author.




Figure 1: An overall pipeline of Theme-Explanation Structure-based Table Summarization (Tabular-TX).

- We propose the Tabular-TX, a method for generating table summaries based on the theme-explanation structure, significantly improving the interpretative performance of table segments.
- We suggest preprocessing techniques to address complex structural issues in tabular data to improve LLM’s ability to interpret table data.
- Our experimental results of Tabular-TX show high performance without fine-tuning by utilizing LLMs’ in-context learning (ICL) ability.

2 Related Work

2.1 Table Question Answering (TableQA)

To enable complex reasoning over tabular data, Wang et al. (2024) proposed the Chain-of-Table framework as an extension of the text-based Chain-of-Thought method (Wei et al., 2022). This approach simplifies inference by reordering, extracting, and filtering table data, ultimately integrating relevant information into a structured table format. While this method excels in structured table processing and mathematical reasoning, it has limitations in generating interpretations for sections that require metadata or background knowledge.

TableLlama (Zhang et al., 2024b) aims to generalize table-based models beyond task-specific constraints by fine-tuning 14 datasets across 11 tasks, including Highlighted Cells QA. The model achieved performance comparable to or surpassing task-specific models and even outperformed GPT-4 (OpenAI, 2023) on unseen tasks. However, despite

```
{
  "id": "nikluge-gtps-2023-train",
  "input": {
    "metadata": {
      "title": "Tax Environment Changes and Policy Issues due to the 4th Industrial Revolution",
      "table_title": "Major Legislative Proposals in Taxation Related to the 4th Industrial Revolution",
      "date": "2020-06-09",
      "publisher": "National Assembly Budget Office",
      "url": "https://www.nabo.go.kr/Sub/01/Report/01_01_Board.jsp",
      "highlighted_cells": [[10, 13], [1, 14], [3, 14]]
    },
    "table": [
      { "value": "Special Tax Limitation Act", "is_header": true, "col": 0, "colspan": 4, "row": 0, "rowspan": 1 },
      { "value": "2009580", "is_header": false, "col": 0, "colspan": 1, "row": 1, "rowspan": 1 },
      { "value": "Special Deduction/Registration Fee", "is_header": false, "col": 1, "colspan": 1, "row": 1, "rowspan": 1 },
      { "value": "Income Tax Act Disclosure", "is_header": false, "col": 1, "colspan": 1, "row": 1, "rowspan": 1 }
    ]
  },
  "output": [
    "The content of the VAT law issued on November 6, 2018, covers the electronic application scope for VAT..",
    "For VAT law, the scope of electronic application includes internet ads, cloud computing services, ...",
    "On November 6, 2018, the VAT law was revised to include internet ads, cloud computing services,..."
  ]
}
```

Figure 2: An example from the corpus for evaluating interpretation generation of table segments (originally in Korean, translated into English) (NIKL, 2024).

its effectiveness, the model suffers from high computational costs. The training process demands 48 NVIDIA A100 80GB GPUs, making fine-tuning infeasible in resource-constrained environments.

Among table-based interpretation benchmarks, FeTaQA (Nan et al., 2022) serves as a key reference dataset for evaluating table summarization models. While Chain-of-Table and TableLlama utilize ICL (Brown et al., 2020) and fine-tuning, respectively, they struggle to incorporate metadata into their interpretations effectively.

2.2 Data Synthesis and In-Context Learning for Addressing Data Scarcity

Various approaches have been proposed to address the scarcity of table data. Hou et al. (2024) suggested generating synthetic table datasets through AI-driven augmentation techniques. Meanwhile, Wang et al. (2024) introduced Zero-shot Prompting




Figure 3: A sentence including the table title conveys the context more accurately. (Gray text indicates the English translation.)

and Few-shot Prompting as efficient alternatives for adapting LLMs to table tasks with minimal data. However, studies have shown that repeated training on synthetic datasets can degrade the quality and diversity of the data, leading to performance deterioration (Alemohammad et al., 2024).

Few-shot prompting is a method where examples, explanations, and instructions are provided as input to guide the model’s responses without directly updating its parameters (Brown et al., 2020). This technique eliminates the need for retraining the model, making it particularly useful in environments with limited datasets. In this study, we employ this approach to generate interpretations for segments of tables while minimizing computational costs.

3 Tabular-TX Pipeline

3.1 Theme-Explanation Structure

We propose Tabular-TX, a pipeline for Theme-Explanation structure-based table summarization, designed to generate interpretations for table segments. Unlike conventional approaches that treat table summaries as isolated text generation tasks, our method ensures structural consistency by explicitly organizing content into a **Theme Part** and an **Explanation Part**.

3.1.1 Theme Part

The Theme Part serves as a crucial contextual anchor, ensuring that numerical or categorical values in the table are interpreted correctly. It is structured as an adverbial phrase, combining the noun

phrase of the table title (table_title) with a citation or basis expression. This structure is essential because the table title provides the sole comprehensive context in table summaries. Unlike general text summaries, table cells alone are insufficient to provide meaningful context, making the resulting sentence ambiguous without additional background information.

For example, in the sentence: “According to the refugee status by nationality, the total number of refugee applications is 2,437, and among them, only 147 have been approved, indicating a very low approval rate.” Here, the Theme Part is: “According to the refugee status by nationality,” This phrase, introduced with the citation expression “According to”, provides essential context for the numerical values that follow. Without this structured introduction, the reader may struggle to understand the significance of the numbers. Figure 3 illustrates how omitting the Theme Part results in an unclear or misleading summary.

3.1.2 Explanation Part

Following the Theme Part, the Explanation Part delivers a structured analysis of the highlighted cells, forming the core content of the summary. Depending on the data type, this section uses a specific analytical technique, such as enumeration, magnitude comparison, or trend analysis. The choice of method is determined based on the comparability of the highlighted cells, ensuring that the summary provides meaningful insights rather than just raw cell values.

For instance, in the previous example, the Explanation Part is: “the net fiscal cost increased by 9.435 trillion KRW from the previous year, reaching a total of 61.301 trillion KRW.” Here, the Explanation Part is derived by comparing the numerical changes between the two cells. Here, a trend analysis is applied to highlight the increase in fiscal cost.

3.2 Sentence Generation Process

Generating sentences with a theme-explanation structure in Tabular-TX involves multiple processing steps to transform tabular data into structured natural language summaries.

3.2.1 Data Transformation

The first step is preprocessing the table to simplify its structure for better LLM comprehension. Since LLMs primarily operate on sequential text repre-




Figure 4: An example of inferring relationships between data sharing the same row or column. Through inference in ①, it is deduced that ‘10021’ represents the ‘Exam number.’ In ②, the meaning of ‘pass’ is inferred. In ③, it is deduced that the exam with the ‘Exam number’ ‘10021’ has ‘passed.’ (Gray text indicates the English translation.)




Figure 5: An example of how merged cells hinder table recognition. (Gray text indicates the English translation.)

sentations, directly processing raw tabular formats can lead to misinterpretation of hierarchical relationships within the data. To address this, we convert table data into a key-value pair dictionary format, which is commonly used in natural language processing tasks. This transformation significantly enhances LLMs’ ability to recognize table semantics, improving summarization accuracy (Stengel-Eskin et al., 2021).

Then, we process merged cells to clarify the table structure. Merged cells span multiple rows or columns and are defined by ‘rowspan’ and ‘colspan.’ As shown in Figure 4, LLMs infer relationships between data through row or column alignment. However, incorrect handling of merged cell ranges can lead to misinterpretation. For example, in Figure 5, the cell labeled “2020” should cover columns 3 and 4, but it appears only in column 3. To resolve this, merged cells are replicated across their ranges, allowing LLMs to recognize

cell dependencies and hierarchical structures correctly.

Finally, the transformed dictionary list retains only the highlighted and related cells, where “related cells” refer to all header cells sharing the same row/column as the highlighted cells. This process reduces data complexity and enhances LLMs’ recognition of table structures.

3.2.2 Chain-of-Thought (CoT)

After data transformation, the process moves to generate interpretations for table segments using Chain-of-Thought (CoT) reasoning. This technique is particularly effective for table summarization as it systematically decomposes multi-step reasoning tasks, ensuring that relationships between numerical values and categorical data are captured accurately.

One major issue in table summarization is the Compositional Deficiency problem (Zhao et al., 2024), where individual data points are analyzed separately without being adequately integrated into a holistic interpretation. Even if an LLM correctly identifies each table cell individually, the final summary may still lack contextual completeness if the relationships among the values are not recognized.

To mitigate this issue, CoT guides LLMs through a structured reasoning process that progressively refines the interpretation of highlighted table segments. First, the model classifies the type of information contained in the highlighted cells, distinguishing between monetary values, percentages, categorical data, or textual explanations. This step prevents errors, such as misinterpreting percentages as simple numbers, which could yield incorrect results during comparative analysis.

Based on the classification, it selects the most appropriate analytical method, such as enumeration for independent values, magnitude comparison for ranking numerical data, or trend analysis for detecting changes over time. For example, when processing monetary data, the model ensures proper unit conversion to maintain consistency across the summary. Similarly, when dealing with percentage values, a decimal-to-percentage transformation is applied to improve clarity. In cases where the highlighted cells contain free-text explanations, the model leverages background knowledge to provide a more context-aware interpretation.

Afterward, the most suitable analytical method for explaining the relationships between the data is selected and applied to the Explanation Part. For

Table title : 보건복지부 관서 운영비 한도 Ministry of Health and Welfare's office operating expense limits	
예산과목 Budget Category	용도 Usage
운영비(210) Operating Expenses (210)	건당 500만원이하의 경비(단, 공관급 및 위원회 참석비, 선거 관련 용품제작·인쇄 비용·우편요금, 정사업자료, 기업특별회계 당해 사업에 직접 소요되는 경비는 금액 제한 없음). Expenses of up to 5 million KRW per case (However, there is no spending limit for embassy fees, committee attendance fees, election-related item production/printing costs, postage fees, building rental fees, and
여비(220) Travel Expenses (220)	전체 Entirely covered
업무추진비(240) Business Promotion Expenses (240)	건당 500만원 이하의 경비(단, 기업특별회계의 당해 사업에 직접 소요되는 경비는 금액제한 없음). Expenses of up to 5 million KRW per case (However, there is no spending limit for expenses directly related to projects under the corporate special accounting).

highlighted_cells : [(0,1), (1,1)]

Generic prompt

위의 표에서 highlighted_cells를 모두 언급하여 내용을 요약해줘
Summarize the contents of the table above by mentioning all highlighted_cells in the table above.

운영비(210)는 500만 원 이하의 경비가 기업 특별회계 사업에 직접 소요되는 경비로 사용되며, 후자의 경우 금액 제한이 없습니다.
Operating expenses (210) are expenses of 5 million won or less and are used directly for corporate special accounting projects, and in the case of the latter, there is no limit to the amount.

Generic Prompt with Specific Instructions

위 표에서 highlighted_cells를 모두 언급하여 정확하고 객관적 사실만 담아서 내용을 요약해줘.
Summarize the content with only accurate and objective facts, while mentioning all highlighted_cells in the table.

보건복지부 관서 운영비 한도에 따르면, 운영비(210)는 건당 5백만 원 이하로 제한되나 기획특별회계 사업 직접 경비는 제한이 없고, 여비(220)는 전액 적용됩니다.
According to the Ministry of Health and Welfare's office operating expense limits, operating expenses (210) are limited to 5 million won or less per case, but there is no limit to direct expenses for planning and special accounting projects, and travel expenses (220) are fully applied.

Journalist persona-applied prompt

너는 한 문장짜리 기사를 쓰는 신문 기자야. 이 표에서 highlighted_cells를 모두 언급하여 한 문장으로 기사를 써 줘.
You are a newspaper journalist writing a one-sentence news article. Write a single sentence mentioning all the highlighted_cells in the table.

보건복지부 관서 운영비 한도에 따르면 운영비(210)는 공관급 및 위원회 참석비, 선거 관련 용품 제작·인쇄 비용·우편요금, 정사 임차료 등을 포함하여 건당 500만원 이하로 제한되며, 여비(220)는 전액적으로 적용된다.
According to the Ministry of Health and Welfare's office operating expense limits, operating expenses (210) are restricted to 5 million KRW per case, including embassy fees, committee attendance fees, election-related item production and printing costs, postage fees, and building rental fees, while travel expenses (220) are fully covered.

Figure 6: An example of how a persona-applied prompt generates more accurate summaries. (Gray text indicates the English translation.)

example, enumeration is used if the data items are individually critical, magnitude comparison is used to rank by value, and trend analysis is chosen to track changes over time. These decisions impact the summary’s informativeness.

By integrating these steps logically, CoT ensures that the final summary accurately captures relationships between data points while maintaining structural coherence and readability.

3.2.3 Journalist Persona for Structured Generation

Through strategic prompt engineering, we assign a journalist persona to the LLM to generate Theme-Explanation structured summaries. This persona is particularly effective because table summaries share key characteristics with straight news articles, which prioritize conciseness, objectivity, and fact-based clarity. Rather than generating overly detailed or speculative content, the model produces well-structured and neutral summaries that adhere to journalistic reporting conventions when guided by this persona.

Figure 6 demonstrates the impact of this persona on table summarization. When a generic prompt

is used, the model generates an unstructured and somewhat ambiguous summary that captures the core information but lacks contextual clarity and fails to integrate key elements cohesively. Even with specific instructions, the generic prompt still lacks fluency and focuses solely on the facts. In contrast, with the journalist persona applied, the model produces a structured, precise, and contextually enriched summary. This transformation occurs because the journalist persona naturally guides the model to state the source of information explicitly, clearly define numerical constraints, and incorporate additional contextual details that enhance readability. Instead of merely listing numerical values, the model provides a structured sentence that mirrors how financial or administrative data would be reported in news articles.

4 Experimental Setup

4.1 Dataset and Evaluation Metrics

For training and evaluation, we utilized the table interpretation corpus provided by the National Institute of Korean Language (NIKL, 2024), which focuses on summarizing highlighted table segments into coherent sentences. An example of the dataset is shown in Figure 2. The dataset consists of 7,170 training tables, 876 validation tables, and 876 test tables. Each data point contains metadata such as the document title, table title, publication date, publishing organization, table source URL, highlighted cell information, table data, and a reference summary sentence describing the highlighted portions’ key contents.

We employ ROUGE-1, ROUGE-L, and BLEU to evaluate the performance of table segment interpretation. These metrics assess how effectively the summaries convey the key content of the table while achieving high semantic quality.

4.2 Models

4.2.1 Tabular-TX Pipeline Application

To evaluate the effectiveness of the Tabular-TX pipeline, We utilize EXAONE 3.0 7.8B (An et al., 2024) and llama-3-Korean-Blossom-8B¹ models as base models. EXAONE 3.0 7.8B, a successor to EXAONE-LM-v1.0, has demonstrated state-of-the-art performance in Korean TableQA, ranking first on the KorWikiTableQuestions (Jun et al., 2022). Similarly, llama-3-Korean-Blossom-8B is

¹<https://huggingface.co/MLP-KTLim/llama-3-Korean-Blossom-8B>

Model	ROUGE-1	ROUGE-L	BLEU	Average
kobart-base-v2 - Fine-tuned	0.37	0.28	0.35	0.33
EXAONE 3.0 7.8B - ICL	0.21	0.14	0.01	0.12
EXAONE 3.0 7.8B - LoRA	0.27	0.21	0.05	0.17
EXAONE 3.0 7.8B - Tabular-TX	0.51	0.39	0.44	0.45
llama-3-Korean-Blossom-8B - ICL	0.33	0.25	0.27	0.28
llama-3-Korean-Blossom-8B - Tabular-TX	0.48	0.37	0.42	0.43

Table 1: Evaluation metrics scores for each model.

the top-performing sub-10B model in a Korean multi-domain reasoning benchmark. We compare the performance of these models with and without Tabular-TX, assessing whether structured generation (Theme-Explanation format) enhances performance in table summarization. Additionally, we analyze whether Tabular-TX reduces reliance on extensive fine-tuning while maintaining high-quality summaries.

4.2.2 Additional Adaptation Approaches

In-Context Learning We begin by applying In-Context Learning (ICL) to each model, providing a few table-summarization examples without explicit fine-tuning. This approach tests how effectively the model can generate coherent sentences for high-lighted table cells based solely on a small set of demonstrations.

Low-Rank Adaptation Next, we assess the computational efficiency and performance of the Tabular-TX pipeline by introducing LoRA (Low-Rank Adaptation). We apply LoRA to the EXAONE 3.0 7.8B model to see if we can maintain high-quality table summaries with fewer resources.

Full Model Fine-Tuning Finally, we evaluate the gogamza/kobart-base-v2 model under a full model fine-tuning setup to determine whether a smaller-scale language model can achieve comparable performance when all its parameters are trained on the table interpretation corpus.

5 Experimental Results

Table 1 presents the performance of various models evaluated using ROUGE-1, ROUGE-L, BLEU, and their average scores. The kobart-base-v2 recorded an average score of 0.33 after fine-tuning. In contrast, EXAONE 3.0 7.8B achieved 0.12 with the ICL method, 0.17 after fine-tuning, and 0.45 when combined with the Tabular-TX method. Similarly, llama-3-Korean-Blossom-8B, which was

also tested with Tabular-TX, showed a notable improvement, reaching an average score of 0.43. These results demonstrate that Tabular-TX consistently outperforms alternative methods, achieving the highest overall performance across different model configurations.

The performance gap between EXAONE 3.0 7.8B and kobart-base-v2, despite both being fine-tuned on the same dataset, can be explained through the multiplicative joint scaling law (Zhang et al., 2024a). This principle suggests that when the dataset size is insufficient relative to the model size, the performance gains from fine-tuning remain limited. Since kobart-base-v2 has 124M parameters, while EXAONE 3.0 is approximately 63 times larger, the dataset required to achieve a comparable performance boost must be proportionally scaled up by a factor of 63. The inability to meet this scaling requirement explains why kobart-base-v2’s fine-tuned performance plateaued, while EXAONE 3.0 7.8B demonstrated more significant gains with the same dataset.

This study confirms that the proposed Tabular-TX method enhances table data analysis performance without fine-tuning. Notably, Tabular-TX outperforms traditional fine-tuned models despite relying on significantly smaller datasets, demonstrating its efficacy in resource-constrained learning environments. Moreover, Tabular-TX achieved approximately four times higher average performance compared to standard ICL methods, further reinforcing its role as a scalable and efficient alternative for structured table summarization tasks.

6 Conclusion

This study introduced the Theme-Explanation Table Summarization (Tabular-TX) pipeline, a novel approach to improve table summarization tasks with low-resource requirements.

Experimental results signifies that Tabular-TX

enhances table summarization performance. This study contributed to the summarization of complex table data by introducing a novel sentence generation method based on the theme-explanation structure. Furthermore, Tabular-TX achieved excellent performance without fine-tuning, by incorporating ICL. This indicates its potential as a significant contribution to table data analysis, even in resource-constrained environments, without requiring direct model training.

Limitations

We acknowledge a few limitations in this study. First, Tabular-TX was only evaluated on EXAONE 3.0 7.8B and llama-3-Korean-Blossom-8B, leaving the question of its effectiveness across a broader range of LLMs open. Second, this study primarily focused on Korean administrative table data, and further research should investigate whether the Theme-Explanation structure is equally effective for diverse tabular data formats in other languages or specialized domains. Finally, Tabular-TX currently relies on predefined structural components (Theme and Explanation parts) to enforce inter-pretability. Future work should explore more dynamic approaches that allow for adaptive sentence structuring based on different types of tables, potentially improving performance across varied tabular structures.

References

- Sina Alemohammad, Josue Casco-Rodriguez, Lorenzo Luzi, Ahmed Imtiaz Humayun, Hossein Babaei, Daniel LeJeune, Ali Siahkoobi, and Richard G. Baraniuk. 2024. [Self-consuming generative models go MAD](#). In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2024, Vienna, Austria, May 7-11, 2024*. OpenReview.net.
- Soyoung An, Kyunghoon Bae, Eunbi Choi, Stanley Jungkyu Choi, Yemuk Choi, Seokhee Hong, Yeonjung Hong, Junwon Hwang, Hyojin Jeon, Gerard Jeongwon Jo, Hyunjik Jo, Jiyeon Jung, Yountae Jung, Euisoon Kim, Hyosang Kim, Joonkee Kim, Seonghwan Kim, Soyeon Kim, Sunkyoun Kim, Yireun Kim, Youchul Kim, Edward Hwayoung Lee, Haeju Lee, Honglak Lee, Jinsik Lee, Kyungmin Lee, Moontae Lee, Seungjun Lee, Woohyung Lim, Sangha Park, Sooyoun Park, Yongmin Park, Boseong Seo, Sihoon Yang, Heuiyeon Yeen, Kyungjae Yoo, and Hyeongu Yun. 2024. [EXAONE 3.0 7.8b instruction tuned language model](#). *CoRR*, abs/2408.03541.
- Tom B. Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Sandhini Agarwal, Ariel Herbert-Voss, Gretchen Krueger, Tom Henighan, Rewon Child, Aditya Ramesh, Daniel M. Ziegler, Jeffrey Wu, Clemens Winter, Christopher Hesse, Mark Chen, Eric Sigler, Mateusz Litwin, Scott Gray, Benjamin Chess, Jack Clark, Christopher Berner, Sam McCandlish, Alec Radford, Ilya Sutskever, and Dario Amodei. 2020. [Language models are few-shot learners](#). In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 33: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2020, NeurIPS 2020, December 6-12, 2020, virtual*.
- Qiyu Hou, Jun Wang, Meixuan Qiao, and Lujun Tian. 2024. [Synthesizing realistic data for table recognition](#). In *Document Analysis and Recognition - IC-DAR 2024*, pages 367–388, Cham. Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Changwook Jun, Jooyoung Choi, Myoseop Sim, Hyun Kim, Hansol Jang, and Kyungkoo Min. 2022. [Korean-specific dataset for table question answering](#). In *Proceedings of the Thirteenth Language Resources and Evaluation Conference, LREC 2022, Marseille, France, 20-25 June 2022*, pages 6114–6120. European Language Resources Association.
- Tianyang Liu, Fei Wang, and Muhao Chen. 2024. [Re-thinking tabular data understanding with large language models](#). In *Proceedings of the 2024 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies (Volume 1: Long Papers), NAACL 2024, Mexico City, Mexico, June 16-21, 2024*, pages 450–482. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Linyong Nan, Chiachun Hsieh, Ziming Mao, Xi Victoria Lin, Neha Verma, Rui Zhang, Wojciech Kryscinski, Hailey Schoelkopf, Riley Kong, Xiangru Tang, Mutethia Mutuma, Ben Rosand, Isabel Trindade, Renusree Bandaru, Jacob Cunningham, Caiming Xiong, and Dragomir R. Radev. 2022. [Fetaqa: Free-form table question answering](#). *Trans. Assoc. Comput. Linguistics*, 10:35–49.
- National Institute of Korean Language, NIKL. 2024. A corpus for evaluating the generation of interpretations for part of a table. https://kli.korean.go.kr/corpus/taskOrdtm/taskDownload.do?taskOrdtmId=109&c1Cd=ING_TASK&subMenuId.
- OpenAI. 2023. [GPT-4 technical report](#). *CoRR*, abs/2303.08774.
- Elias Stengel-Eskin, Kenton W. Murray, Sheng Zhang, Aaron Steven White, and Benjamin Van Durme. 2021. [Joint universal syntactic and semantic parsing](#). *Trans. Assoc. Comput. Linguistics*, 9:756–773.
- Emma Strubell, Ananya Ganesh, and Andrew McCallum. 2019. [Energy and policy considerations for deep learning in NLP](#). In *Proceedings of the 57th Conference of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL 2019, Florence, Italy, July 28- August*

2, 2019, Volume 1: Long Papers, pages 3645–3650. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Zilong Wang, Hao Zhang, Chun-Liang Li, Julian Martin Eisenschlos, Vincent Perot, Zifeng Wang, Lesly Miculicich, Yasuhisa Fujii, Jingbo Shang, Chen-Yu Lee, and Tomas Pfister. 2024. [Chain-of-table: Evolving tables in the reasoning chain for table understanding](#). In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2024, Vienna, Austria, May 7-11, 2024*. OpenReview.net.

Jason Wei, Xuezhi Wang, Dale Schuurmans, Maarten Bosma, Brian Ichter, Fei Xia, Ed H. Chi, Quoc V. Le, and Denny Zhou. 2022. [Chain-of-thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models](#). In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2022, NeurIPS 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, November 28 - December 9, 2022*.

Biao Zhang, Zhongtao Liu, Colin Cherry, and Orhan Firat. 2024a. [When scaling meets LLM finetuning: The effect of data, model and finetuning method](#). In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2024, Vienna, Austria, May 7-11, 2024*. OpenReview.net.

Tianshu Zhang, Xiang Yue, Yifei Li, and Huan Sun. 2024b. [Tablellama: Towards open large generalist models for tables](#). In *Proceedings of the 2024 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies (Volume 1: Long Papers), NAACL 2024, Mexico City, Mexico, June 16-21, 2024*, pages 6024–6044. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Jun Zhao, Jingqi Tong, Yurong Mou, Ming Zhang, Qi Zhang, and Xuanjing Huang. 2024. [Exploring the compositional deficiency of large language models in mathematical reasoning through trap problems](#). In *Proceedings of the 2024 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2024, Miami, FL, USA, November 12-16, 2024*, pages 16361–16376. Association for Computational Linguistics.

A Tabular-TX Construction Details

Tabular-TX generates table summaries in two steps. First, the **Data Recognition / Classification** step identifies key data from highlighted cells (Figure 7). Second, the **Sentence Generation** step forms a summary in the Theme-Explanation format (Figure 8).

```
Title: {'title'}
Table Title: {'table_title'}
Table: {'table data'}
highlighted_cells: {'highlighted_cell coordinates'}
highlighted_cells are in (col, row) format and indicate the location of important data within the table.
if 'Δ' in Table: [{' ', 'join(increased_cells)}] values contain the Δ symbol, which indicates an increase.
if 'monetary unit' in Table: [{' ', 'join(money_cells)}] values represent monetary amounts.
if 'percentage data' in Table: [{' ', 'join(percent_cells)}] values represent percentages and should be displayed with a % symbol.
```

Figure 7: Summarizing key data points from the table in a single sentence for a news article. (originally in Korean, translated into English)

```
You are a newspaper reporter writing an article based on the table. You must convey the information in a single sentence. Mention all the highlighted_cells in the table and write the sentence in a declarative form. Do not say anything other than the one sentence.
```

Figure 8: Writing a one-sentence summary of a table by embodying news reporter persona. (originally in Korean, translated into English)