

NORMAL FORMS AND GEOMETRIC STRUCTURES ON HOPF MANIFOLDS

PAUL BOUREAU

ABSTRACT. We prove that Hopf manifolds admit holomorphic (G, X) -structures, extending to any dimension a result of McKay and Pokrovskiy. For this, we revisit Guysinsky-Katok's group of invertible sub-resonant polynomials, and Bertheloot's approach of Poincaré-Dulac normal form theory.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a holomorphic homogeneous G -space X , a (G, X) -structure is a manifold equipped with an atlas of X -valued charts whose changes belong to G . A Hopf manifold is the quotient of a neighborhood U of the origin minus the origin by the action of a contracting germ of biholomorphism $F : U \rightarrow F(U)$ fixing the origin, with $F(U)$ relatively compact in U . In 2008, B. McKay and A. Pokrovskiy constructed a holomorphic (G, X) -structure on Hopf surfaces. The aim of this paper is to extend this result in any dimension.

M. Guysinsky and A. Katok introduced in [GKa] sub-resonance type polynomials relative to a linear map. A sub-resonant polynomial is a polynomial that satisfies specific inequality conditions, known as sub-resonance relations. These conditions restrict the allowable terms in the polynomial based on the rates of contraction or expansion associated to the linear map. They proved that sub-resonant polynomials relative to an invertible and contracting map and whose derivative is invertible at the origin forms a finite-dimensional algebraic Lie group under composition.

In doing so, we shed light on Berteloot's [Be] proof of the Poincaré-Dulac normal form theorem stating that any contracting germ of biholomorphism fixing the origin is conjugate to a polynomial germ. In his proof, Berteloot follows the classical framework of normal forms. He introduces operators M_L^q acting on the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree q . These operators describe the action on the q homogeneous part of the Taylor development of the original germ by a well-chosen polynomial. His study of these operators enables the construction of a local biholomorphism that conjugates our germ with the desired properties. We provide new insights into

Key words and phrases. Hopf manifold, , normal form, Poincaré-Dulac, geometric structure.

these operators, particularly their connections with sub-resonant polynomials (see Proposition 3.6, Lemma 3.7, and Proposition 3.8). After canceling by induction all large degree homogenous polynomials of the contracting germ, a normalization process invented by Berteloot [Be] permits to solve the convergence issues (see Proposition 3.2). The main point to notice is that the resulting polynomial obtained after conjugation is sub-resonant.

This revisitation of the Poincaré-Dulac proof, combined with an enhancement of the results of M. Guysinsky and A. Katok by incorporating translations into the group in the proposition 4.1, allows us to prove the central Theorem 4.3 and construct explicit geometric structures on Hopf manifolds. The text is mainly self-contained apart from the normalization procedure of Berteloot and aims to provide the maximum level of detail regarding the presented results.

I sincerely thank Bertrand Deroin, who introduced me to this problem and was of great help through his exceptional availability. I also extend my heartfelt thanks to Sorin Dumitrescu and his student Matthieu Madera, who are working on a very similar problem from a different perspective.

2. SUB-RESONANCE TYPE POLYNOMIALS

In this section, we provide a review of the sub-resonance type polynomials introduced by Katok and Guysinsky in [GKa].

Let $L \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be an upper triangular and invertible matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l$ such that $0 < |\lambda_1| \leq \dots \leq |\lambda_n| < 1$. We have:

$$\mathbb{C}^n = E_{\lambda_1}(L) \oplus \dots \oplus E_{\lambda_l}(L)$$

where $E_{\lambda_i}(L)$ is the characteristic space of L associated with the eigenvalue λ_i .

Definition 2.1. Let $P : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be a homogeneous polynomial fixing 0. We say that it is of type $s = (s_1, \dots, s_l)$ if for all $a_1, \dots, a_l \in \mathbb{C}$ and $(t_1, \dots, t_l) \in E_{\lambda_1}(L) \times \dots \times E_{\lambda_l}(L)$, we have:

$$P(a_1 t_1 + \dots + a_l t_l) = a_1^{s_1} \dots a_l^{s_l} P(t_1 + \dots + t_l)$$

Since a polynomial map is the sum of homogeneous terms, we can introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.2. A polynomial $P : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ fixing 0 is said to be of sub-resonance type with respect to L if each component $P_i : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow E_{\lambda_i}(L)$ consists only of homogeneous terms of type $s = (s_1, \dots, s_l)$ satisfying:

$$\ln |\lambda_i| \leq \sum_j s_j \ln |\lambda_j|.$$

The notion of homogeneous polynomials and the degree of homogeneity are invariant under linear coordinate changes. It follows that the space of sub-resonance type polynomials does not depend on a coordinate system but only on the matrix L and its eigenspaces. We denote by $SR(L)$ the set

of sub-resonance type polynomials with respect to L and $SR_k(L)$ those of degree k . From the definitions, it immediately follows the

Lemma 2.3. *Let $P_i : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow E_{\lambda_i}(L)$ be a homogeneous term of a sub-resonant polynomial relative to L of type $s = (s_1, \dots, s_l)$, then:*

- (1) $s_j = 0$ for $j < i$,
- (2) $\sum_j s_j \leq \frac{\ln |\lambda_i|}{\ln |\lambda_j|}$.

The second point provides an upper bound on the degree of sub-resonance type polynomials with respect to L .

This notion was introduced in 1998 by M. Guysinsky and A. Katok. They demonstrated that the set of sub-resonant polynomials relative to L whose derivative is invertible at the origin forms a finite-dimensional algebraic Lie group under composition. We denote it by $SR^*(L)$.

Theorem 2.4 (M. Guysinsky and A. Katok). *$SR^*(L)$ forms a finite-dimensional algebraic group under composition.*

Proof. Let us first show that this set is closed under composition. Let $F = (F_1, \dots, F_l)$ and $G = (G_1, \dots, G_l)$ be two sub-resonant polynomials. We have $F \circ G = (F_1 \circ G, \dots, F_l \circ G)$, and for $1 \leq i \leq l$, we must show that the types of the homogeneous terms of $F_i \circ G$ are sub-resonant. Let Q_i be a homogeneous term of F_i . There exist (s_1, \dots, s_l) satisfying $\ln |\lambda_i| \leq \sum_j s_j \ln |\lambda_j|$ such that for all $a_1, \dots, a_l \in \mathbf{C}$ and $(t_1, \dots, t_l) \in E^{\lambda_1}(L) \times \dots \times E^{\lambda_l}(L)$,

$$Q_i(a_1 t_1 + \dots + a_l t_l) = a_1^{s_1} \dots a_l^{s_l} P(t_1 + \dots + t_l).$$

Now let R_j be a homogeneous term of G_j for all $1 \leq j \leq l$. Then there exist (s_1^j, \dots, s_l^j) satisfying $\ln |\lambda_j| \leq \sum_k s_k^j \ln |\lambda_k|$ such that for all $a_1, \dots, a_l \in \mathbf{C}$ and $(t_1, \dots, t_l) \in E^{\lambda_1}(L) \times \dots \times E^{\lambda_l}(L)$,

$$R_j(a_1 t_1 + \dots + a_l t_l) = a_1^{s_1^j} \dots a_l^{s_l^j} P(t_1 + \dots + t_l).$$

Thus, for $a_1, \dots, a_l \in \mathbf{C}$ and $(t_1, \dots, t_l) \in E^{\lambda_1}(L) \times \dots \times E^{\lambda_l}(L)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_i \left(\sum_j R_j(a_1 t_1 + \dots + a_l t_l) \right) &= Q_i \left(\sum_j a_1^{s_1^j} \dots a_l^{s_l^j} R_j(t_1 + \dots + t_l) \right) \\ &= \left(a_1^{s_1^1} \dots a_l^{s_l^1} \right)^{s_1} \dots \left(a_1^{s_1^l} \dots a_l^{s_l^l} \right)^{s_l} Q_i \left(\sum_j R_j(t_1 + \dots + t_l) \right) \\ &= \left(a_1^{s_1 s_1^1} \dots a_l^{s_1 s_l^1} \right) \dots \left(a_1^{s_l s_1^l} \dots a_l^{s_l s_l^l} \right) Q_i \left(\sum_j R_j(t_1 + \dots + t_l) \right). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to verify that

$$\ln |\lambda_i| \leq \sum_k \sum_j s_k^j s_j \ln |\lambda_k|.$$

We have $\sum_k \sum_j s_k^j s_j \ln |\lambda_k| = \sum_j \sum_k s_k^j s_j \ln |\lambda_k|$, and $\ln |\lambda_j| \leq \sum_k s_k^j \ln |\lambda_k|$ for all j because R_j is sub-resonant. Thus:

$$\sum_k \sum_j s_k^j s_j \ln |\lambda_k| \geq \sum_j s_j \ln |\lambda_j|.$$

The result follows from the sub-resonant nature of Q_i . Therefore, $\mathcal{SR}^*(L)$ is closed under composition. Since this operation is polynomial in the coefficients, it is algebraic.

Observe that a linear map is a sub-resonant polynomial relative to L if and only if it preserves the spaces

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda; |\lambda| < \mu} E^\lambda(L),$$

for all $\mu \in (0, 1)$.

Thus, for $F \in \mathcal{SR}^*(L)$, the inverse of its linear part is also a sub-resonant polynomial.

Now let us show that sub-resonant polynomials relative to L with a derivative at 0 are invertible under composition and that their inverse is also sub-resonant. Let F be such a map. By composing on the right with the inverse P_1 of the derivative of F at 0, we obtain a map $F_1 = Id + S_2 + S_{>2}$, where S_2 is a sum of homogeneous polynomials of degree 2 and $S_{>2}$ is a sum of terms of strictly higher degrees. By construction, the sum of two sub-resonant polynomials relative to L is a sub-resonant polynomial relative to L . Thus, the polynomial $P_2 := Id - S_2 \in \mathcal{SR}^*(L)$. By composing F_1 with P_2 on the right, we obtain the polynomial $F_2 := F_1 \circ P_2 = Id - S_2 + S_2 + S_{>2} = Id + S_3 + S_{>3}$. According to Lemma 2.3, the degree of F is bounded by $\frac{\ln |\lambda_1|}{\ln |\lambda_l|}$. It follows that there exists an integer m such that, continuing the previous construction, we have $F_m = Id$.

Thus, we have shown that F is invertible and

$$F^{-1} = P_1 \circ \dots \circ P_m,$$

where each $P_i \in \mathcal{SR}^*(L)$. It follows that $F^{-1} \in \mathcal{SR}^*(L)$. The operation associating a polynomial in $\mathcal{SR}^*(L)$ to its inverse is polynomial in the coefficients, hence it is algebraic.

Finally, $\mathcal{SR}^*(L)$ is indeed a finite-dimensional algebraic group by bounding the degree of sub-resonant polynomials. □

3. A THEOREM OF POINCARÉ AND DULAC

In this section, we provide a revisited proof of the well-known Poincaré-Dulac normal form theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be a holomorphic map whose linear part at the origin L is invertible and contracting. There exists a polynomial P and a local biholomorphism ϕ such that $\phi(0) = 0$, $\phi'(0) = Id$, and $\phi^{-1} \circ F \circ \phi = P$.*

We base our work on the proof by Berteloot in [Be]. Given a holomorphic transformation $F : B_r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, where B_r denotes the ball of radius r centered at the origin in \mathbb{C}^n , it can be expanded in the form $F = \sum_{p \geq 1} H_p$, where H_p is a homogeneous polynomial map of degree p . We then formally construct a local biholomorphism by composing transformations designed to eliminate the term of a certain degree in this decomposition. This method requires studying the convergence of the formally constructed coordinate change.

In this section, all these convergence issues are resolved by the proposition derived from [Be].

Proposition 3.2. *Let N be an automorphism of \mathbb{C}^n fixing the origin, and let its linear part $L := N'(0)$ satisfy $a\|z\| \leq \|L(z)\| \leq A\|z\|$ for some $0 < a \leq A < 1$.*

Let $F : B_r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be a holomorphic map such that

$$F = N + \sum_{p \geq k} H_p,$$

where H_p is a homogeneous polynomial map of degree p .

Then, if $k > \frac{\ln a}{\ln A}$, the sequence $(N^{-p} \circ F^p)_p$ converges, and its limit defines a local biholomorphism ϕ such that $\phi(0) = 0$, $\phi'(0) = Id$, and $\phi^{-1} \circ F \circ \phi = N$.

Consider a holomorphic map $F = L + \sum_{p \geq 2} H_p$, where L is linear with spectrum $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ such that $0 < |\lambda_1| < \dots < |\lambda_n| < 1$. We denote by D the diagonalizable part of L according to the Dunford decomposition. We consider a basis $(e_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ of L adapted to the decomposition $\mathbb{C}^n = E^{\lambda_1}(L) \oplus \dots \oplus E^{\lambda_n}(L)$. From now on, we work in the associated coordinate system, which is therefore also a diagonalization basis for D .

Definition 3.3. We denote by \mathcal{H}^p the \mathbb{C} -vector space of p -homogeneous polynomial maps from \mathbb{C}^n to \mathbb{C}^n . For any multi-index $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$, we write $z^I := z_1^{i_1} \dots z_n^{i_n}$. We equip \mathcal{H}^p with the canonical basis $\mathcal{B}^p := \{H_{I,j} := z^I e_j, |I| = p, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$.

Definition 3.4. Any holomorphic transformation of the form $\sum_{p \geq k+1} h_p$ where $h_p \in \mathcal{H}^p$ will also be denoted by $o(k)$.

To apply proposition 3.2, we aim to reduce the problem to a map of the form $L + o(k)$, where k satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem. Denoting

$$c_0(L) := \left\lceil \frac{\ln |\lambda_1|}{\ln |\lambda_n|} \right\rceil,$$

any integer strictly greater than $c_0(L)$ solves the problem.

The proof then consists of canceling terms of degree greater than or equal to 2 by successively conjugating F with local biholomorphisms of the form $\psi_p := I + h_p$, where $h_p \in \mathcal{H}^p$ for $p = 2, \dots, c_0(L) + 1$. Let us first specify the effect of such a conjugation:

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\psi := I + h$, where $h \in \mathcal{H}^q$. Assume $F = L + S_{q-1} + H_q + o(q)$, where $S_{q-1} \in \mathcal{H}^2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{H}^{q-1}$ and $H_q \in \mathcal{H}^q$. Then*

$$\psi^{-1} \circ F \circ \psi = L + S_{q-1} + [H_q + L \circ h - h \circ L] + o(q).$$

The following family of operators appears :

$$\begin{aligned} M_L^q : \mathcal{H}^q &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}^q \\ h &\mapsto h \circ L - L \circ h. \end{aligned}$$

The invertibility of M_L^q in the previous proposition allows us to conjugate F into a holomorphic transformation without terms of degree q .

The central idea for studying operators is the following.

Proposition 3.6. *M_L^q is triangular on a reorder of \mathcal{B}^q .*

Proof. Indeed, for $|I| = q$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} M_L^r(H_{I,j})(z_1, \dots, z_n) &= (H_{I,j} \circ L)(z_1, \dots, z_n) - (L \circ H_{I,j})(z_1, \dots, z_n) \\ &= H_{I,j} \left(\sum_{t=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=t}^n l_{t,j} z_j \right) e_t \right) - z^I L e_j \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n l_{1,j} z_j \right)^{i_1} \cdots (l_{n,n} z_n)^{i_n} e_j - \sum_{i=1}^j l_{i,j} H_{I,i}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \\ &= (\lambda^I - \lambda_j) H_{I,j}(z_1, \dots, z_n) + \left(\sum_{I'} \alpha_{I'} z^{I'} \right) e_j - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} l_{i,j} H_{I,i}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \\ &= (\lambda^I - \lambda_j) H_{I,j}(z_1, \dots, z_n) + \sum_{I'} \alpha_{I'} H_{I',j}(z_1, \dots, z_n) - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} l_{i,j} H_{I,i}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \end{aligned}$$

We define an order on the multi-indices such that $I' \ll I$ if $i'_n > i_n$, or if $i'_n = i_n$ and $i'_{n-1} > i_{n-1}$, and so on. This order ensures that all multi-indices appearing in the sum $\sum_{I'} \alpha_{I'} H_{I',j}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ are strictly less than I . We naturally define this order for the pairs (I, j) by $(I', j') \ll (I, j)$ if $I' \ll I$ or $I' = I$ and $j' < j$.

We have thus shown that M_L^q is upper triangular in this reordering of \mathcal{B}^q . \square

These operators behave very well with respect to the space of sub-resonant polynomials relative to L . Let us first establish the following:

Lemma 3.7. *For any r :*

- (1) $SR_r(L)$ is stable under M_L^r .
- (2) The antecedents of a polynomial in $SR_r(L)$ under M_L^r are all sub-resonant.

Proof. (1) Fix r . Let h be a polynomial of degree r that is sub-resonant relative to L . It suffices to note that $M_L^r(h) = h \circ L - L \circ h$ is the sum of sub-resonant polynomials by the theorem 2.4 (stability of this space under composition). We used the fact that L is sub-resonant relative to itself.

(2) To show the second point, consider $h = \sum_{(I,j)} c_{I,j} H_{I,j}$, a polynomial that is not sub-resonant relative to L . Then, there necessarily exists (I, j) such that $c_{I,j} \neq 0$ and $H_{I,j}$ is not sub-resonant relative to L . Let (I_0, j_0) be maximal in order satisfying the previous condition. We have:

$$M_L^r(h) = c_{I_0, j_0} (\lambda_{I_0} - \lambda_{j_0}) H_{I_0, j_0} + \sum_{(I,j) \neq (I_0, j_0)} c_{I,j} M_L^r(H_{I,j}) + R,$$

where

$$R = c_{I_0, j_0} M_L^r(H_{I_0, j_0}) - c_{I_0, j_0} (\lambda_{I_0} - \lambda_{j_0}) H_{I_0, j_0}.$$

We have $\lambda_{I_0} - \lambda_{j_0} \neq 0$, otherwise H_{I_0, j_0} would be sub-resonant. Thus, the term $c_{I_0, j_0} (\lambda_{I_0} - \lambda_{j_0}) H_{I_0, j_0}$ is not sub-resonant relative to L . According to the previous proposition, the remainder R does not contain any component in H_{I_0, j_0} .

By Proposition 3.6, if $H_{I,j}$ is not sub-resonant, then $M_L^r(H_{I,j})$ does not have a component in H_{I_0, j_0} due to the maximality of (I_0, j_0) . Finally, from the first point of the lemma, if $H_{I,j}$ is sub-resonant, then $M_L^r(H_{I,j})$ is also sub-resonant and hence has no component in H_{I_0, j_0} . Thus, $\sum_{(I,j) \neq (I_0, j_0)} c_{I,j} M_L^r(H_{I,j})$ has no component in H_{I_0, j_0} .

As a result, $M_L^r(h)$ has a non-zero component in H_{I_0, j_0} , and therefore $M_L^r(h)$ is not sub-resonant relative to L , which concludes the second point. \square

It follows the

Proposition 3.8. *For any r , we have*

$$SR_r(L) + \text{Im}(M_L^r) = \mathcal{H}^r.$$

Proof. According to the previous lemma, since the zero polynomial is clearly sub-resonant, $\ker(M_L^r)$ is included in $SR_r(L)$. By iterating the lemma, we have that $E^0(M_L^r)$, the characteristic subspace of M_L^r associated with the eigenvalue 0, is included in $SR_r(L)$. It is clear that a characteristic subspace of an endomorphism associated with a non-zero eigenvalue is included in its image. Thus, using

$$\mathcal{H}^r = E^0(M_L^r) \oplus \bigoplus_{\lambda \neq 0} E^\lambda(M_L^r),$$

we obtain the proposition. \square

This last proposition allows us to refine Theorem 6.2. We are now in a position to prove the following:

Theorem 3.9. *Let $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be a holomorphic map whose linear part at the origin L is invertible and contracting. There exists a polynomial $P \in SR^*(L)$ and a local biholomorphism ϕ such that $\phi(0) = 0$, $\phi'(0) = \text{Id}$, and $\phi^{-1} \circ F \circ \phi = P$.*

Proof. We write $F = L + H_2 + o(2)$ where $H_2 \in \mathcal{H}^2$. According to the previous proposition, there exist $h_2 \in SR_2(L)$ and $f_2 \in \mathcal{H}^2$ such that $h_2 + M_L^2(f_2) = H_2$. Applying Proposition to the local biholomorphism $\psi_2 = \text{Id} + f_2$, we obtain:

$$F_2 = \psi_2^{-1} \circ F \circ \psi_2 = L + h_2 + H_3 + o(3),$$

where $H_3 \in \mathcal{H}^3$. Iterating this process, for every $3 \leq r \leq c_0(L) + 1$, there exists a polynomial $h_r \in SR_r(L)$ such that conjugation by the local biholomorphism $\psi_r = \text{Id} + f_r$, defined by Proposition 6.6, gives:

$$F_r = \psi_r^{-1} \circ F_{r-1} \circ \psi_r = L + h_2 + \cdots + h_r + H_{r+1} + o(r+1).$$

We conclude by proposition 3.2 that $L + h_2 + \cdots + h_{c_0(L)+1}$ is a sub-resonant polynomial relative to L whose derivative at the origin is L , which is invertible. \square

4. GEOMETRIC STRUCTURES ON HOPF MANIFOLDS

In 2010, Benjamin McKay and Alexey Pokrovskiy proved the existence of geometric structures on Hopf surfaces. M. Verbitsky and O. Liviu proved in [OrVer] the existence of affine structures in the non-resonant case. Recently, Matthieu Madera [Ma] has also made progress in this direction from a different point of view constructing integrable holomorphic G-structures and flat holomorphic Cartan geometries on every complex Hopf manifold. Our result on normal forms allows us to construct a geometric structure for any Hopf manifold. Let F be a germ of a contracting biholomorphism fixing the origin, defined on an open set U such that $F(U)$ is relatively compact in U . Let L denote the linear part of F and $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ the eigenvalues of L . Let us prove the following:

Proposition 4.1. *$G := \langle SR^*(L), \mathbb{C}^n \rangle$, where \mathbb{C}^n acts by translation, is an algebraic group of finite dimension.*

Proof. For $\tau \in \mathbb{C}^n$, let t_τ denote the map $z \mapsto z + \tau$. We begin by proving

Lemma 4.2.

$$G = \{t_\tau \circ h \mid \tau \in \mathbb{C}^n, h \in SR^*(L)\}.$$

Proof. We need to verify that for every $(\tau, h) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times SR^*(L)$,

$$t_{-h(\tau)} \circ h \circ t_\tau \in SR^*(L).$$

The group structure of $SR^*(L)$ ensures the invertibility of the derivative at 0. Let us demonstrate the sub-resonant nature of the result. To this end,

note that for a fixed τ , the relation above is linear in h . It suffices to verify the condition for sub-resonant terms $H_{I,j}$.

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} t_{-H_{I,j}(\tau)} \circ H_{I,j} \circ t_\tau &= t_{-H_{I,j}(\tau)} \left(\begin{array}{c} z_1 + \tau_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_n + \tau_n \end{array} \right), \\ &= t_{-H_{I,j}(\tau)} \left((z_1 + \tau_1)^{s_1} \cdots (z_n + \tau_n)^{s_n} e_j \right), \\ &= \left((z_1 + \tau_1)^{s_1} \cdots (z_n + \tau_n)^{s_n} - \tau_1^{s_1} \cdots \tau_n^{s_n} \right) e_j, \end{aligned}$$

where the s_i are the indices of the multi-index I .

The homogeneous terms of $t_{-H_{I,j}(\tau)} \circ H_{I,j} \circ t_\tau$ are therefore of the following type:

$$\binom{s_1}{j_1} z_1^{j_1} \tau_1^{s_1-j_1} \cdots \binom{s_n}{j_n} z_n^{j_n} \tau_n^{s_n-j_n},$$

where $j_i \leq s_i$.

We then have:

$$\ln |\lambda_j| \leq \sum s_k \ln |\lambda_k| \leq \sum j_k \ln |\lambda_k|,$$

where the first inequality follows from the sub-resonant nature of $H_{I,j}$. \square

We can now explicitly describe the group law of G . Let (τ_1, h_1) and (τ_2, h_2) be two elements of G . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_1, h_1) \cdot (\tau_2, h_2) &= t_{\tau_1} \circ h_1 \circ t_{\tau_2} \circ h_2, \\ &= t_{\tau_1} \circ t_{-\alpha} \circ t_\alpha \circ h_1 \circ t_{\tau_2} \circ h_2, \\ &= t_{\tau_1 - \alpha} \circ h_3, \\ &= (\tau_1 - \alpha, h_3), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = -h_1(\tau_2)$ and $h_3 = t_\alpha \circ h_1 \circ t_{\tau_2} \circ h_2$. By the lemma and the group structure of $SR^*(L)$, $h_3 \in SR^*(L)$.

From the previous calculation, we have:

$$(\tau_1, h_1)^{-1} = (t_{h_1^{-1}(\tau_1)}, t_{-h_1^{-1}(\tau_1)} \circ h_1^{-1} \circ t_{\tau_1}).$$

We observe that these relations are algebraic in τ_1 , τ_2 , h_1 , and h_2 . \square

We are now in a position to prove our main theorem, the

Theorem 4.3. *Every Hopf manifold admits a geometric structure.*

Proof. Let $h \in SR^*(L)$ be the Poincaré-Dulac normal form of F . There is a biholomorphism between the manifolds $U \setminus \{0\} / (z \sim F(z))$ and $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\} / (z \sim h(z))$. The group $\langle h \rangle$ preserves $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and acts properly discontinuously on \mathbb{C}^n . It follows that $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\} / (z \sim h(z))$ is equipped with a quotient geometric structure. \square

REFERENCES

- [Be] FRANÇOIS BERTELOOT. Méthodes de changement d'échelles en analyse complexe. *Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse Mathématiques*, Tome XV, n°3 (2006), p.427-483
- [GKa] M. GUYSINSKY & A. KATOK. Normal Forms And Invariant Geometric Structures For Dynamical Systems With Invariant Contracting Foliations. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 5(1-2), 149-163.
- [Ma] MATTHIEU MADERA Holomorphic geometric structures on Hopf manifolds
- [PMckay] BENJAMIN MCKAY AND ALEXEY POKROVSKIY. Locally Homogeneous Structures on Hopf Surfaces. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, vol. 59, no. 4, 2010, pp. 1491–539
- [OrVer] LIVIU ORNEA & MISHA VERBITSKY, Mall bundles and flat connections. *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, 07/2024, p.1-28

DMA - ECOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE - PSL
Email address: paul.boureau@ens.psl.eu