

Asymptotic safety meets tensor field theory: towards a new class of gravity-matter systems

Astrid Eichhorn,^{1,*} Razvan Gurau,^{1,†} and Zois Gyftopoulos^{1,‡}

¹*Institute for Theoretical Physics, Heidelberg University,
Philosophenweg 12, 16 and 19, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany*

Combining asymptotically safe quantum gravity with a tensor field theory, we exhibit the first example of a theory with gravity and scalar fields in four dimensions which may realize asymptotic safety at a non-vanishing value of the scalar quartic coupling.

We first present (further) evidence that in the asymptotic-safety paradigm, quantum fluctuations of gravity generically screen the quartic couplings in (multi-)scalar models. For a tensor field theory in which the scalar field transforms under an internal $O(N)^3$ symmetry, this has the effect of replacing asymptotic freedom, recently discovered at large N on a fixed flat background, by an interacting fixed point in the presence of quantum gravity. The fixed point originates from the competition between the effects of the matter self-interactions which, contrary to the usual scalar models, are antiscreening, and the screening gravitational effects.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum field theories can exist as effective theories with a finite range of scales over which they provide an accurate description of a physical system, or as fundamental theories, which are self-consistent over an infinite range of scales. In four dimensions, scalar ϕ^4 theory belongs to the first category, because it becomes trivial if one attempts to extend its range of validity to all scales [1–3]. One may try to circumvent this in various ways. A partial list of ideas includes i) introducing a large internal symmetry group [4], ii) coupling the theory to gravity [5, 6], iii) adding derivative interactions [7] iv) coupling to a gauge-Yukawa sector [8] v) changing the spacetime dimensionality [9] vi) making spacetime non-commutative [10, 11] vii) continuing to negative coupling [12], and the list goes on.

In the present paper, we combine the first two ideas and introduce an internal $O(N)^3$ symmetry together with a coupling to gravity. Our motivation for doing so is twofold. First, in order to advance our understanding of quantum field theories and their ultraviolet (UV) structure, we study how a theory that is UV complete due to a Renormalization Group (RG) fixed point changes i.e., how its RG flow and fixed-point structure is affected, when gravity fluctuations are taken into account. Second, in the asymptotic-safety scenario for quantum gravity one will eventually need to introduce new matter sectors beyond the Standard Model in order to account for dark matter, neutrino masses, and matter-antimatter asymmetry. Additional scalars are popular candidates for the first and third of these challenges. In order to develop a comprehensive picture of possible building blocks for these sectors, one must understand the interplay between quantum gravity and non-conventional scalar field

theories, such as the one introduced in [4].

a. The asymptotic-safety scenario for gravity. In order to set the stage, we briefly review the main ideas of the asymptotic-safety scenario for gravity [13], and the current understanding of the interplay of asymptotically safe gravity with matter fields.

In an asymptotically safe theory the microscopic (UV) physics is governed by an interacting RG fixed point. For gravity, such a UV fixed point can in principle remove the apparent lack of predictivity arising from perturbative non-renormalizability: the infrared (IR) theory is uniquely determined by the initial conditions along the (putatively few) IR repulsive, or relevant, directions, while the (infinitely many) non-renormalizable operators are just IR attractive, or irrelevant, directions of the fixed point. Initializing an RG trajectory in the deep UV close to the finite dimensional critical (hyper)surface spanned by the relevant directions yields infinitely many relations between the running couplings in the low energy effective field theory, thus restoring predictivity.

The existence of an interacting RG fixed point can be checked, and the dimensionality of its critical (hyper)surface be calculated, within the functional RG framework (FRG), introduced in [14, 15] and adapted to gravity in [16], by testing the response of the couplings of the theory to the variation of an *unphysical* auxiliary scale (the RG scale) k that tracks which quantum fluctuations have been integrated over.

This RG scale is not a physical momentum scale. The correct physical UV behavior of the theory is encoded in the effective action at $k \rightarrow 0$, but evaluated at high momentum or high curvature scales. In other words, after identifying a fixed point using the RG scale k , one needs to check that the momentum-dependent vertex functions are not singular. As the running with respect to k and the running with respect to physical momentum can be different, see, e.g., [17], this is a non-trivial check. This point has in the past led to some discussion [18, 19]. In the modern incarnation of the asymptotic-safety scenario, one calculates the momentum-dependent vertex

* eichhorn@thphys.uni-heidelberg.de

† gurau@thphys.uni-heidelberg.de

‡ gyftopoulos@thphys.uni-heidelberg.de

functions (or form-factors) in the presence of the RG scale k and tests whether in the physical limit $k \rightarrow 0$, the momentum-dependence yields finite observables, see [20–22] for reviews of such calculations and [23–25] for recent results on the physical scale dependence. These results are based on a large body of previous work which provides compelling evidence for an asymptotically safe fixed point, see [26–72] for the most recent developments, [73–81] for key earlier results, [19, 82–87] for reviews and [88–91] for books and lecture notes.

Concerning the addition of matter fields, studies to date indicate that asymptotically safe gravity extends to some settings with matter, [66, 80, 92–96] and specifically scalar matter [5, 31, 35, 37, 41, 56, 80, 92, 93, 95–101], see also the review [84], and that such gravity-matter models impose additional constraints on the matter content (e.g., some free parameters of the Standard Model become calculable from first principles [102–105]). However, if one considers only the scalar sector coupled to asymptotically safe gravity, the UV behavior of the matter sector is not improved. In fact the gravity contribution is “screening” in this case, i.e., gravity fluctuations shift the UV Landau pole of the matter coupling to a lower RG scale. From a phenomenological perspective, this implies that the quartic coupling of the Standard Model Higgs field must vanish at the Planck scale and arise below the Planck scale as a radiative correction due to the gauge fields and fermions (most importantly the top quark). This yields a prediction of the ratio of Higgs mass to electroweak scale [42, 50, 102], which is rather close to the experimental result.

We will revisit the UV fate of the matter sector in the context of a large number of scalar fields below.

b. Tensor field theories. In a parallel, and so far largely unrelated (see, however [106]), research direction, random tensors have been introduced a long time ago [107, 108] with the aim of reproducing the success of random matrices as theories of random surfaces [109] in higher dimensions. However, generalizing the $1/N$ expansion of matrices [110] to tensors proved non-trivial [111, 112]. It turns out that tensors exhibit a new large- N limit, the so called *melonic* limit [113], which is in fact simpler than its matrix counterpart. Building on the melonic limit, tensor quantum mechanical models have been introduced and related to the Sachdev–Ye–Kitaev model [114, 115], but without disorder [116], providing a first link between tensor models and quantum gravity via holography [117]. Subsequently, tensor field theories [118, 119] have been extensively studied as possible conformal field theory duals of AdS gravity theories in higher dimensions.

The prototypical tensor field theory model is the quartic $O(N)^3$ model [118, 120, 121], which has been extensively studied, both in its short and in its long-range versions [118, 121–124]. Notably, in less than 4 dimensions, the $O(N)^3$ model proved instrumental in identifying a new class of conformal field theories, the so called *melonic* CFTs, which are reached when one of the couplings

(dubbed *tetrahedral*, see below) is chosen imaginary [125]. In spite of the presence of an imaginary coupling, all indications to date point to the fact that the $N \rightarrow \infty$ theory is in fact a unitary conformal field theory [122].

The $O(N)^3$ model has recently been studied also in dimension $d = 4$ with surprising conclusions: sticking to an imaginary tetrahedral coupling, one obtains an *asymptotically free* and *stable* model [4, 126]. This is in stark contrast with a “vanilla” scalar field theory with quartic interaction, which is either trivial or ill-defined. The imaginary coupling breaks unitarity; however, in the strict large- N limit no unitarity breaking effect is present (i.e., the quantum effective action is real).

c. Bringing the two together. In this paper we study the interplay between the quantum fluctuations of gravity and the $O(N)^3$ tensor field theory model. The fundamental question we aim to clarify is the following: what are the quantum-gravity-induced effects on the UV behavior of the model? More precisely, after taking into account quantum-gravity fluctuations, is asymptotic freedom preserved, replaced by a UV fixed point, or simply lost?

II. GRAVITATIONAL CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE FLOW OF QUARTIC COUPLINGS

A. Principled-parameterized approach to asymptotically safe gravity-matter systems

We work in the framework of the *principled-parameterized* approach [105] to parameterize the effect of new physics in the beta functions. In the most general setting, the β_{λ_c} function of a matter coupling λ_c takes the form¹

$$\beta_{\lambda_c} = \partial_t \lambda_c = (\Delta_c - d)\lambda_c + \beta_{\lambda_c}^n - f_c \lambda_c + \dots, \quad (1)$$

where Δ_c is the canonical dimension of the corresponding operator, $\beta_{\lambda_c}^n$ encode the quantum correction due to the matter self interactions, $f_c \lambda_c$ is the leading quantum correction due to gravitational fluctuations, and the ellipses stand for the higher order contributions of the gravitational fluctuations. In the principled-parameterized framework, the first order gravitational contributions to β_c , encoded in f_c , is constrained by general principles. First, because gravity couples to all the terms in the Lagrangian of the matter field, gravity fluctuations back react on all the matter couplings at linear order in λ_c , so that the coefficient f_c only depends on the gravitational couplings. Second, as gravity is “blind” to internal symmetries, operators built from different invariants of the internal symmetry group are indistinguishable from the point of view of spacetime symmetries. Thus, f_c is the same for all the couplings that correspond to operators with the same tensor structure under

¹ Here $t = \ln(k/k_0)$ with k the RG scale and k_0 a reference scale.

Euclidean rotations.² Consequently, there is a single f_g for all gauge couplings g irrespective of whether, for instance, the gauge group is Abelian or not,³ a single f_λ for all quartic couplings λ , and so on. Third, in the gravitational fixed-point regime, which we assume to be the transplanckian regime $k \geq M_{\text{Planck}}$, the f_λ is effectively constant as the gravitational couplings go to their fixed point values:

$$f_\lambda(G_k, \Lambda_k, \dots) \xrightarrow{k \gg M_{\text{Pl}}} f_\lambda(G_*, \Lambda_*, \dots) < \infty, \quad (2)$$

where Λ_k and G_k are the running dimensionless cosmological constant and Newton coupling⁴. Below the Planck scale, we depart from the fixed point regime and $f_\lambda(G_k, \Lambda_k)$ runs quickly to zero as $G_k \sim k^2/M_{\text{Planck}}$, leading to a subplanckian flow of λ in which gravity decouples.

This framework, initially motivated by asymptotic safety, can provide a UV completion for various sectors of the Standard Model (SM). This, for instance, works if $f_c > 0$, that is the gravitational contribution is antiscreening. Not only can gravity contributions potentially improve the UV behavior of the matter couplings, but also in this framework some free parameters of the SM are constrained. For instance, the low-energy values of the SM couplings are constrained by imposing that the infrared theory sits on the critical surface. The principled-parametrized framework has been used to explore whether asymptotic safety can be realized in the presence of matter and whether predictions for couplings arise in subsectors of the SM [26, 105], in dark-matter models [58, 127], in models for neutrino masses [51, 128–130] and other BSM settings [131–135]. Notably, the gravity contribution is antiscreening in the $U(1)$ sector [94, 136–138] which suggests that when accounting for gravity the $U(1)$ Landau pole is traded for an interacting fixed point [47, 104, 137, 139]. However, as mentioned in the introduction, this is not the case for the scalar sector, for which gravity has been found to be screening, i.e., $f_\lambda < 0$ [5, 6, 97, 98].

In this paper, we apply such considerations to a scalar field described by a $O(N)^3$ quartic tensor model. As the quartic couplings are marginal in $d = 4$ dimensions, their β functions read:

$$\partial_t \lambda_i = -f_\lambda \lambda_i + \beta_{\lambda_i}^n + \dots, \quad (3)$$

² For example ϕ^{20} and $\phi^{10}\phi^{10}$ have the same tensor structure under Euclidean rotations but are different invariants under internal symmetries hence get the same f_λ , while $\phi^{10}\square\phi^{10}$ and ϕ^{18} have the same mass dimension but receive different gravitational contributions to their beta functions.

³ In the case of $U(1)$ the gauge coupling is read off from the gauge field / matter fields interaction terms, as there is no self interaction of the Abelian gauge field.

⁴ The ellipses denote the dimensionless gravitational couplings corresponding to operators of higher mass dimension

and for the matter self interaction quantum corrections $\beta_{\lambda_i}^n$ we will use the lowest non trivial order, which turns out to be the 2-loop order [118, 140].

B. Calculation of f_λ by functional Renormalization Group techniques

In this section we calculate the gravitational contribution $f_\lambda(G, \Lambda)$ from first-principles and in a simple approximation. This serves a two-fold purpose: first, we review these type of calculations for readers not familiar with FRG calculations. Second, we supplement existing results in the literature by working in an unusual choice of gauge parameters for the gravitational fluctuations. Studying the gauge dependence of physical quantities (e.g., critical exponents) provides a measure of the quality of our approximation, because the gauge dependence should vanish entirely once no approximation is made in the calculation.

Let us consider a field theory for a (Lorentz) scalar field:

$$\phi_{\mathbf{a}} \equiv \phi_{a_1 \dots a_D}, \quad a_i = 1, \dots, N, \quad (4)$$

having $\prod_{i=1}^D N_i$ components. We call i the color of the index a_i . The tensor $\phi_{\mathbf{a}}$ has no symmetry properties under permutations of its indices and transforms in the external tensor product of fundamental representations of the orthogonal group:

$$O(N_1) \times \dots \times O(N_D), \quad (5)$$

that is

$$\phi'_{a^1, \dots, a^D} = \sum_{b^1, \dots, b^D} O_{a^1 b^1}^{(1)} \dots O_{a^D b^D}^{(D)} \phi_{b^1, \dots, b^D}. \quad (6)$$

While below we will choose all the N_i 's equal, everything goes through for indices of different ranges.

We study the gravitational contribution to the multi-scalar quartic interaction $\lambda_k^{\mathbf{abcd}}$ using Functional Renormalization Group (FRG) methods [14–16]. We introduce an IR-regulator with a choice of shape function generally known as Litim regulator [141]:

$$\mathcal{R}_k(p) = \mathcal{Z}_k k^2 \left(1 - \frac{p^2}{k^2}\right) \Theta \left(1 - \frac{p^2}{k^2}\right), \quad (7)$$

that suppresses Fourier modes of the field with momentum-squared p^2 below k^2 , properly adjusted with wave-function renormalization factors and tensor structures (encoded in \mathcal{Z}_k).

The flowing action, that is the k -dependent functional Γ_k , interpolates between the microscopic (bare) action $\Gamma_{k \rightarrow \infty} \equiv S_\Lambda$ and the quantum effective action $\Gamma_0 \equiv \Gamma$, and satisfies the (formally) exact Wetterich equation [14, 15]:

$$\partial_t \Gamma_k = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left\{ (\Gamma_k^{(2)} + \mathcal{R}_k)^{-1} (\partial_t \mathcal{R}_k) \right\}. \quad (8)$$

As the regulator $R_k(p)$ suppresses the low-momentum modes and $\partial_t R_k$ vanishes for high momenta, the main contribution to the right-hand side of Eq. (8) comes from loop momenta close to k . This realizes a Wilson-type, momentum-shell integration of the underlying path integral, in which the main change of the effective dynamics at k is driven by quantum fluctuations with momentum $p^2 \approx k^2$. While the Wetterich equation is exact, as $(\Gamma_k^{(2)} + \mathcal{R}_k)^{-1}$ carries the full field and momentum dependence, it nevertheless has a one-loop structure which makes it very efficient for practical calculations in a broad range of fields, from statistical physics and strongly-correlated fermion systems to Quantum Chromodynamics, the Standard Model and quantum gravity, see [142] for a review. The scale dependence of any coupling is extracted by projecting the right-hand-side onto the corresponding field monomial, and it is at this stage that one needs to use a systematic expansion and truncate Γ_k .

When applying this equation to gravity, one uses the background field method which consists in splitting the metric into a fixed background $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and a fluctuation $h_{\mu\nu}$, $g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$. For the matter part of the effective action, we choose a truncation ansatz with vanishing scalar mass and minimal coupling, such that the flowing action reads:

$$\Gamma_k = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_S}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi_{\mathbf{a}} \partial_\nu \phi_{\mathbf{a}} + \frac{\lambda_k^{\mathbf{abcd}}}{4!} \mathcal{Z}_S^2 \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \phi_{\mathbf{a}} \phi_{\mathbf{b}} \phi_{\mathbf{c}} \phi_{\mathbf{d}}, \quad (9)$$

where we account for the k -dependence of the fields via a field-independent wave-function renormalization $\phi_{\mathbf{a}} \rightarrow \sqrt{\mathcal{Z}_S} \cdot \phi_{\mathbf{a}}$. In this choice of truncation, we rely on a set of previous results that indicate a *near-perturbative* character of the asymptotically safe fixed point. Such a near-perturbative character means that most critical exponents are only slightly shifted compared to their perturbative values, see [81, 143–146]. The main exception is the critical exponent for the Newton coupling, which must switch sign for an asymptotically safe fixed point to be realized. Further indications for near-perturbativity also arise from the study of symmetry-identities, which are well-compatible with a relatively weakly coupled fixed point [147].

While we are ultimately interested in particular choices for $\lambda_k^{\mathbf{abcd}}$ corresponding to specific contraction patterns for the indices, we work first with a general $\lambda_k^{\mathbf{abcd}}$ and check that the lowest order gravitational contribution to $\beta_{\lambda_k^{\mathbf{abcd}}}$ is indeed $-f_\lambda \lambda_k^{\mathbf{abcd}}$, hence respects the symmetry of $\lambda_k^{\mathbf{abcd}}$. This calculation confirms in this explicit example that gravity is “blind” to internal symmetries and gravitational corrections do not violate global symmetries in the near-perturbative, Euclidean regime that our calculations explore.

The running of the wavefunction renormalization \mathcal{Z}_S defines the anomalous dimension $\eta_S = -\partial_t (\ln \mathcal{Z}_S)$ of




FIG. 1. Diagrams contributing to the anomalous dimension. Dashed lines denote the scalar field, curly lines the metric and the crossed circle denotes a regulator insertion. The two-vertex-diagram occurs in two versions, with the regulator inserted either on the dashed (as displayed) or curly line (not shown).




FIG. 2. 4-point diagrams with non-trivial contribution at criticality. The vertices correspond to the fully dressed $\Gamma_{\phi\phi h h \phi\phi}^{(6)}$ and $\Gamma_{\phi\phi\phi\phi}^{(4)}$ respectively.

the tensor field $\phi_{\mathbf{a}}$. A gravitational contribution to the anomalous dimension is present at one loop, whereas matter fluctuations start contributing at the two-loop order. The flow of η_S is calculated by evaluating the right-hand side of the flow equation for the simplest possible choice of background metric, that is flat⁵, leading to:

$$\eta_S = -\frac{2}{\mathcal{Z}_S} \partial_{p^2} \left(\frac{\delta^2}{\delta\phi_{\mathbf{a}}(p)\delta\phi_{\mathbf{a}}(-p)} \partial_t \Gamma_k |_{\phi_{\mathbf{a}}=0} \right), \quad (10)$$

which extracts the p^2 -dependent part of the 1-loop self-energy diagrams depicted in Fig. 1. This generically leads to an algebraic equation for the anomalous dimension η_S of the form:

$$\eta_S = (\alpha(\Lambda_k) + b(\Lambda_k)\eta_h + c(\Lambda_k)\eta_S) G_k, \quad (11)$$

where η_h denotes the anomalous dimension of the gravitational fluctuation $h_{\mu\nu}$.

The flow of $\lambda_k^{\mathbf{abcd}}$ is obtained by projecting Eq. (8) onto the quartic self-interaction term, after setting $\phi_{\mathbf{a}} = \text{const}$ to remove momentum-dependent self-interactions:

$$\partial_t \lambda_k^{\mathbf{abcd}} = 2\eta_S \lambda_k^{\mathbf{abcd}} + \frac{4!}{\mathcal{Z}_S^2} \left(\frac{\delta^4(\partial_t \Gamma_k)}{\delta\phi_{\mathbf{a}}\phi_{\mathbf{b}}\phi_{\mathbf{c}}\phi_{\mathbf{d}}} \right) \Big|_{\phi=0}. \quad (12)$$

Its evaluation in the massless limit reduces to calculating the 1-loop diagrams in Fig. 2. In order to obtain the

⁵ One can convince oneself, using, e.g., heat-kernel techniques, that the choice of a non-flat metric does not change the result and simply makes the evaluation of the trace in the Wetterich equation slightly more involved.

propagator of metric fluctuations $h_{\mu\nu}$, we need to fix the gauge and choose gauge parameters $\beta = 1, \alpha = 1$ in the gauge-fixing action $S_{\text{gf}} = \frac{1}{32\pi G_k k^{-2}} \frac{1}{\alpha} \int d^d x \sqrt{\bar{g}} F_\mu \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} F_\nu$

with $F_\mu = \left(\delta_\mu^\lambda \bar{D}^\kappa - \frac{1+\beta}{4} \bar{g}^{\kappa\lambda} \bar{D}_\mu \right) h_{\kappa\lambda}$. We obtain:

$$\partial_t \lambda_k^{\text{abcd}} = (d-4)\lambda_k^{\text{abcd}} + 2\eta_S \lambda_k^{\text{abcd}} + \frac{16\pi G_k \cdot \lambda_k^{\text{abcd}}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)} \frac{d+1}{d+2} \frac{(d+2-\eta_h)}{(1-2\Lambda_k)^2} + \frac{12}{(4\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)} \frac{(d+2-\eta_S)}{d(d+2)} \lambda_k^{\text{abef}} \lambda_k^{\text{cdef}}, \quad (13)$$

$$\eta_S = -\frac{32\pi G_k}{(4\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)} \left[\frac{2}{d+2} \frac{1}{(1-2\Lambda_k)^2} \left(1 - \frac{\eta_h}{d+4}\right) + \frac{2}{d+2} \frac{1}{1-2\Lambda_k} \left(1 - \frac{\eta_S}{d+4}\right) + \frac{(d+1)(d-4)}{2d(1-2\Lambda_k)^2} \left(1 - \frac{\eta_h}{d+2}\right) \right]. \quad (14)$$

In the absence of gravity, $G_k, \Lambda_k \rightarrow 0$, and in $d = 4$ dimensions, the flow we obtain matches the 1-loop result in dimensional regularization [140]. From Eq. (13), we identify the gravitational coefficient in $d = 4$:

$$f_\lambda(G_k, \Lambda_k) = -2\eta_S(G_k, \Lambda_k) - \frac{5G_k}{6\pi} \frac{(6-\eta_h)}{(1-2\Lambda_k)^2}. \quad (15)$$

Consistency of the truncation demands that all anomalous dimensions stay small. Thus the sign of f_λ at the gravitational fixed point (G_\star, Λ_\star) can be inferred by taking $\eta_h = 0$. The algebraic relation (14) for η_S has the following solution:

$$\eta_S = \frac{16G_k(1-\Lambda_k)}{(1-2\Lambda_k)(G_k - 12\pi(1-2\Lambda_k))}, \quad (16)$$

leading to:

$$f_\lambda = -\frac{G_k(4\pi(-7+6\Lambda_k+16\Lambda_k^2)+5G_k)}{\pi(1-2\Lambda_k)^2(G_k-12\pi(1-2\Lambda_k))}. \quad (17)$$

At leading order in G_k and Λ_k , in the physical regime $G_k > 0$, we obtain $f_\lambda < 0$, in agreement with previous results in the literature. This is a non-trivial cross check because we have worked with a different set of gauge parameters and, while physical results should be independent of such choices, truncations can introduce spurious gauge dependencies. We note, however that f_λ changes sign when:

$$\Lambda_\star < -\frac{3}{16} - \frac{\sqrt{121\pi - 20G_\star}}{16\sqrt{\pi}} \equiv \Lambda_{\text{crit}}. \quad (18)$$

For $G_\star \ll 1$ we obtain $\Lambda_{\text{crit}} \approx -\frac{7}{8}$, and Λ_{crit} increases with G_\star . This sign flip can be traced back to the η_S term dominating in Eq. (15) and we tentatively interpret this behavior as an artefact of our choice of gauge, because η_S vanishes for $\beta = \alpha = 0$ in $d = 4$. We plan to come back to this point and perform a thorough study of the gauge-dependence of η_S in future work.

C. Universality of gravitational effects and absence of gravitationally-induced symmetry breaking

The above calculation provides a concrete example of the universality of the gravitational contribution with respect to the internal symmetry of the matter because f_λ does not depend on λ^{abcd} . Of course, universality in the sense of independence of internal symmetries does not mean scheme-independence, i.e. f_λ is RG-scheme-dependent.

The structure of the gravitational contribution implies that global symmetries of the matter sector are preserved. This is because the gravitational contribution to $\beta_{\lambda^{\text{abcd}}}$ is linear in λ^{abcd} and thereby reproduces the internal symmetries encoded in the tensor structure of the coupling. More generally, if the regulator does not break a (non-anomalous) global symmetry, this symmetry will be preserved by the flow. In all calculations in asymptotic safety to date, this statement also holds in the presence of gravity [84], see also [31], and contradicts the so called "swampland conjecture" (reviewed, e.g., in [148]) which, based on semi-classical arguments, states that global symmetries are broken by quantum gravity. Indeed, the swampland conjecture may not hold in asymptotic safety, see [84, 149] for a detailed discussion of this point presenting several arguments in this direction as well as some caveats.

Beyond the universal contribution f_λ , additional (non-universal) gravitational contributions to $\beta_{\lambda^{\text{abcd}}}$ which are not accounted for in our truncation can exist and depend on the tensor structure of λ^{abcd} . For instance, including a non-vanishing mass below brings a gravitational contribution to the flow of the double-trace coupling only, but not of the other two independent quartic couplings.

As a final point, f_λ depends on additional couplings of the gravitational sector, see e.g. [150], but our truncation only accounts for the two most relevant ones.




FIG. 3. The 3-colored graphs representing the $O(N)^3$ quartic trace-invariants: the black dots represent the rank-3 tensor fields $\phi_{a_1 a_2 a_3}$ and the colored edges represent contractions between indices in the same position, red for 1, green for 2 and blue for 3 (double trace and tetrahedron on the top row and the three pillow patterns at the bottom).

III. THE LARGE- N LIMIT

We now focus on the bosonic $O(N)^3$ tensor field theory in 4 dimensions where the coupling $\lambda^{\mathbf{abcd}}$ is decomposed in a basis of three trace-invariants [151]:

$$\lambda_k^{\mathbf{abcd}} = \lambda_k^d \delta_{\mathbf{abcd}}^d + \lambda_k^p \delta_{\mathbf{abcd}}^p + \lambda_k^t \delta_{\mathbf{abcd}}^t, \quad (19)$$

where the $O(N)^3$ invariant contraction patterns of indices are

$$\delta_{\mathbf{ab;cd}}^d = \delta_{a_1 b_1} \delta_{a_2 b_2} \delta_{a_3 b_3} \delta_{c_1 d_1} \delta_{c_2 d_2} \delta_{c_3 d_3}, \quad (20)$$

$$\delta_{\mathbf{ab;cd}}^p = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 \delta_{a_i c_i} \delta_{b_i d_i} \prod_{i \neq j} \delta_{a_j b_j} \delta_{c_j d_j}, \quad (21)$$

$$\delta_{\mathbf{abcd}}^t = \delta_{a_1 b_1} \delta_{c_1 d_1} \delta_{a_2 c_2} \delta_{b_2 d_2} \delta_{a_3 d_3} \delta_{b_3 k c_3}. \quad (22)$$

These are called the double trace, pillow and tetrahedral invariants and are depicted as 3-colored graphs in Fig. 3. Substituting this into Eq. (13), we obtain the 1-loop beta functions for the three corresponding couplings in the presence of gravity.

In the absence of gravity, the $O(N)^3$ tensor field theory admits a well defined large- N -limit for the 't Hooft couplings:

$$\lambda^d \rightarrow \frac{\lambda^d}{N^3}, \quad \lambda^p \rightarrow \frac{\lambda^p}{N^2}, \quad \lambda^t \rightarrow \frac{\lambda^t}{N^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad (23)$$

or equivalently for the rescaled operators:

$$\hat{\delta}^d = \frac{1}{N^3} \delta^d, \quad \hat{\delta}^p = \frac{1}{N^2} \delta^p, \quad \hat{\delta}^t = \frac{1}{N^{\frac{3}{2}}} \delta^t. \quad (24)$$

The stability of the scalar potential is somewhat subtle to see in the basis in Eq. (19). It is appropriate to change basis in the space of invariants [4, 121] and reparametrize the theory as:

$$\lambda^{\mathbf{abcd}} = g \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(t)} + g_1 \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)} + g_2 \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(2)}, \quad (25)$$

where:

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(t)} \equiv \hat{\delta}^t, \quad \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)} \equiv 3(\hat{\delta}^p - \hat{\delta}^d), \quad \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(2)} \equiv \hat{\delta}^d, \quad (26)$$

and the associated couplings in the new basis are:

$$g \equiv \lambda^t, \quad g_1 = \frac{\lambda^p}{3}, \quad g_2 = \lambda^d + \lambda^p. \quad (27)$$

Denoting $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(i)} \circ \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(j)} = \sum_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}} \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathbf{abef}}^{(i)} \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathbf{efcd}}^{(j)}$, we have at leading order at large N :⁶

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)} \circ \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(2)} &= 0, \quad \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(t)} \circ \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(t)} = \frac{1}{9} \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{3} \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(2)}, \\ \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)} \circ \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)} &= \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(2)} \circ \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(2)} = \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(2)}, \\ \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(t)} \circ \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(1,2)} &\propto \frac{2}{3N^{1/2}} \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(1,2)} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

This leads to a particular case of the one-loop algebras of multi-scalar models [152]. Seen as operators from \mathbf{cd} to \mathbf{ab} , $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathbf{abcd}}^{(1,2)}$ are a couple of (mutually) orthogonal projectors, therefore the corresponding interaction quartic monomials are explicitly positive. These two terms are stable if $g_1, g_2 > 0$ which translates into $\lambda^p > 0$ and $\lambda^d > -\lambda^p$, yielding a necessary condition for stability of the theory when neglecting the higher-order terms in the scalar potential.

However, the remaining tetrahedral interaction monomial does not have a definite sign, i.e. depending on the configuration of the tensor field, either sign may be realized; therefore one cannot immediately conclude on the stability of the theory if all the couplings are real.

A. The large- N limit without gravity

The RG flow of the $O(N)^3$ tensor field theory at two loops exhibits a non-unitary Wilson-Fisher like fixed point in $d = 4 - \epsilon$ dimensions [118] and, if one insists on all the couplings being real, the usual Landau pole in $d = 4$.

Inspired by the long-range version of the model [121], in [4] the authors considered the $O(N)^3$ theory in $d = 4$, but with a *purely imaginary* tetrahedral coupling:

$$\lambda_k^t \rightarrow i \lambda_k^t, \quad (29)$$

while keeping g_1 and g_2 real. While having an imaginary coupling would seem to doom the model to be unstable and non-unitary, it turns out that this version of the model is stable (the real part of the bare action is bounded from below), has a real quantum effective action at leading large- N order non-perturbatively in the coupling constant and is asymptotically free in the large- N limit [4].

Asymptotic freedom occurs because switching to an imaginary coupling as in (29) changes the nature of fluctuations of the scalar field from screening to antiscreening. At one loop, Eqs. (28) imply that mixed terms $g_1 \cdot g_2$,

⁶ The product with one operator $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(t)}$ is understood as the symmetrization over all possible contraction channels.

$g \cdot g_1$ and $g \cdot g_2$ cannot occur, but g back reacts on the flow of g_1 and g_2 . This signals the possible existence of enhanced symmetries and indeed, for vanishing tetrahedral and pillow couplings the model exhibits an enhanced $O(N^3)$ global symmetry which is preserved by the RG. At 2-loops, with complex tetrahedral coupling, the beta functions of the model read

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t g &= -2 \frac{g^3}{(4\pi)^4}, \\ \partial_t g_1 &= 2 \left(\frac{g_1^2 - g^2}{(4\pi)^2} + \frac{g^2 g_1}{(4\pi)^4} \right), \\ \partial_t g_2 &= 2 \left(\frac{g_2^2 - 3g^2}{(4\pi)^2} + 5 \frac{g^2 g_2}{(4\pi)^4} \right).\end{aligned}\quad (30)$$

The flow of the tetrahedral coupling is decoupled from the other two at all orders. This system of flow equations leads to the RG flow depicted in Fig. 4.

Eq. (30) shows that g is an asymptotically free coupling. For g_1 and g_2 , the situation is more subtle. At $g = 0$, the Gaussian fixed point is infrared attractive (resp. repulsive) in $g_{1,2}$ for positive (resp. negative) values of $g_{1,2}$, because it is a double zero of the beta function. In contrast, at $g \neq 0$, both $\beta_{g_{1,2}}$ are negative at $g_{1,2} = 0$, i.e., both $g_{1,2}$ grow towards the IR when starting from $g_{1,2} = 0$, $g > 0$. It follows that there exist asymptotically free RG trajectories in the space of couplings. The precise situation is depicted in Fig. (4), where two separatrices (in red and black in the figure) are found. Above the red separatrix, the flow is not UV complete, while below the black separatrix, the flow is UV complete, but the IR values of $g_{1,2}$ are negative. Within the wedge between these separatrices, the theory flows from a weakly coupled UV regime to a strongly coupled one in the IR such that $g_{1,2}$ are positive and the theory is stable.⁷

The aim of this paper is to understand how the structure of the RG flow in the large- N limit changes when gravitational back reaction on matter is taken into account. A priori, there are four conceivable scenarios regarding the fate of the gravitational contribution in the large- N -limit:

- i) The gravitational contribution to the matter beta functions is entirely suppressed and the matter sector decouples from gravity.
- ii) A part of the gravitational contribution is suppressed, but another part of it contributes.
- iii) The entire gravitational contribution comes at the same order as the large- N matter self interaction contributions and at leading order the beta functions contain both.

- iv) The gravitational contribution dominates the beta functions.

Which of these scenarios is realized depends entirely on the scaling of the gravitational fixed-point values with N . While various results on the dependence of the fixed point on N exist in the literature, [37, 66, 80, 92–96], and also [5, 31, 37, 41, 56, 80, 92, 93, 95–100] specifically for scalar fields, this question is not yet settled. In small truncations for the gravity sector, such as the one we have considered so far, the fixed-point value G_* grows with N , and no real fixed point (G_*, Λ_*) exists beyond a critical value N_{crit} . On the other hand, it has been argued that within such a truncation the effect of matter on G_* can be absorbed for any N into a renormalization, and the fixed point always exists [94]. Moreover, technical choices such as the application of the background field approximation versus the fluctuation-approach, seem to result in qualitative differences in the large- N behavior, see [100] for a careful analysis. To make matters worse, the large- N -scaling of G_* does not in fact suffice in order to conclude on the fate of the gravitational contributions, as the latter are also suppressed (enhanced) for $\Lambda_* \rightarrow -\infty$ ($\Lambda_* \rightarrow 1/2$) and much of the uncertainty around the large- N limit comes precisely from the non-trivial interplay between matter fluctuations and the full, nonperturbative structure of the propagator of metric fluctuations. In summary, it is not yet robustly established whether or not the gravitational fixed point exists in the large- N limit and how it scales with N .

From here on we will resort to a more phenomenological approach. Of the four scenarios listed above, ii) and iii) are clearly the most interesting ones. We therefore make the assumption that in the large- N limit f_λ survives and that additional gravitational contributions to the matter beta functions can in a first approximation be neglected.

B. Large- N limit in the presence of gravity $f_\lambda < 0$

We posit the large- N beta functions:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t g &= -f_\lambda g - 2 \frac{g^3}{(4\pi)^4}, \\ \partial_t g_1 &= -f_\lambda g_1 + 2 \left(\frac{g_1^2 - g^2}{(4\pi)^2} + \frac{g^2 g_1}{(4\pi)^4} \right), \\ \partial_t g_2 &= -f_\lambda g_2 + 2 \left(\frac{g_2^2 - 3g^2}{(4\pi)^2} + 5 \frac{g^2 g_2}{(4\pi)^4} \right),\end{aligned}\quad (31)$$

where according to our previous discussion, the gravitational contribution is blind to the details of the internal symmetry of the matter couplings, i.e., all the gravitational contributions come with the same coefficient $-f_\lambda$.

The inclusion of gravity has several effects. As the gravitational contribution is screening ($f_\lambda < 0$), g is no longer asymptotically free and the Gaussian fixed point

⁷ As the flow is symmetric under $g \rightarrow -g$, the structure of the flow is even with respect to $g = 0$.




FIG. 4. The 2-loop RG-flow chart of the couplings for imaginary tetrahedral coupling. The arrows point towards the infrared $k \rightarrow 0$.

becomes infrared attractive in all three couplings. Moreover, because the gravitational contribution is linear in the quartic couplings, the Gaussian fixed point is no longer degenerate and it splits into four fixed points, listed together with their critical exponents (defined as minus the eigenvalues of the stability matrix) in Tab. I. As the fixed-point values of g_1 and/or g_2 are negative, none of these fixed points is deemed viable.

$g_*/(4\pi)^2$	$g_{1,*}/(4\pi)^2$	$g_{2,*}/(4\pi)^2$	θ_g	θ_{g_1}	θ_{g_2}
0	0	0	f_λ	f_λ	f_λ
0	$\frac{f_\lambda}{2}$	0	f_λ	$-f_\lambda$	f_λ
0	0	$\frac{f_\lambda}{2}$	f_λ	f_λ	$-f_\lambda$
0	$\frac{f_\lambda}{2}$	$\frac{f_\lambda}{2}$	f_λ	$-f_\lambda$	$-f_\lambda$

TABLE I. Fixed points with vanishing tetrahedral coupling. The stability matrix is diagonal in all the cases and the three operators in the bare action are the eigen-perturbations of the fixed points.

The competition between the screening gravity term $-f_\lambda g > 0$ and the antiscreening matter term $-2g^3 < 0$ in β_g yields interacting fixed points with $g_* \neq 0$ with and at least one relevant direction. Specifically, we obtain four (eight if we account for the two distinct sign choices

for g_*) interacting fixed points:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{g_*}{(4\pi)^2} &= \pm \sqrt{-\frac{f_\lambda}{2}}, \\
 \frac{g_{1,*}}{(4\pi)^2} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(f_\lambda \pm \sqrt{f_\lambda^2 - 2f_\lambda} \right), \\
 \frac{g_{2,*}}{(4\pi)^2} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(3f_\lambda \pm \sqrt{3} \sqrt{3f_\lambda^2 - 2f_\lambda} \right). \quad (32)
 \end{aligned}$$

The fixed-point values g_* , $g_{1,*}$, $g_{2,*}$ are real for any f_λ , as long as gravity is screening ($f_\lambda < 0$). At all these fixed points, the stability matrix is diagonal in $g_{1,2}$ and the corresponding operators are eigen-perturbations with critical exponents θ_1 and θ_2 respectively. The remaining critical exponent, which we call $\tilde{\theta}$, is minus the remaining diagonal entry of the stability matrix and is associated to an eigen-perturbation which is a linear combination of the three operators. This is displayed in Fig. 5: the interacting fixed points (at $g > 0$) always have an eigen-direction parallel to the vertical axes. The corresponding critical exponents are:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\theta} &= -2f_\lambda > 0, \\
 \theta_1 &= \mp 2\sqrt{-f_\lambda(2-f_\lambda)}, \\
 \theta_2 &= \mp 2\sqrt{3}\sqrt{-f_\lambda(2-3f_\lambda)}. \quad (33)
 \end{aligned}$$

All these interacting fixed points have one relevant direction corresponding to $\tilde{\theta} > 0$ and, as a function of the signs, can have up to two additional relevant directions if $\theta_1, \theta_2 > 0$.

A priori all these fixed points constitute UV completions of the model with different amounts of predictive




FIG. 5. Flow trajectories of g_1 (left) and g_2 (right) in the presence of asymptotically safe gravity, as obtained from the system Eq. (31) for $f_\lambda = -2$ (chosen purely for purposes of illustration), where arrows point in the direction of decreasing RG-scale k . The blue line at $g = (4\pi)^2$ is a phase transition line between an IR trivial and a strongly coupled theory.

power, and are distinguished only by the signs of $g_{1,2}$. These signs are crucial for the stability of the potential and the boundedness from below of the fixed-point action. This rules out the fixed points with negative g_1 or g_2 and leaves us with a single fixed point (or rather, two fixed points, related by $g \rightarrow -g$), which has one relevant direction. The resulting RG trajectories flow either towards the Gaussian fixed point or towards a strong-coupling regime in the IR along the two red separatrices, as depicted in Fig. (5).

From Fig. 5, one can also see how the fixed point generates universality, as all the trajectories are pulled to the red separatrix, which is the IR stable manifold of the fixed point (strictly speaking the stable manifold has another direction corresponding to the mass).

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

In this paper, we found tentative hints for a first example of a theory of scalar matter together with gravity that is asymptotically safe at nonzero quartic (matter self-interaction) coupling. This is in distinction to other works in the literature, where the quartic coupling vanishes at an asymptotically safe fixed point with gravity [5, 97, 146] and matter sectors, e.g. for dark-matter models, are often chosen with additional degrees of freedom that couple to the scalar and can generate a non-vanishing scalar fixed-point potential, see e.g. [127, 132, 146, 153].

Our qualitatively distinct result follows from the fact that, on its own, the pure-matter theory is asymptotically free. In the $O(N)^3$ case with imaginary tetrahedral

coupling, we found that the presence of asymptotically safe gravity transforms some of the previously asymptotically free trajectories to asymptotically safe ones that still flow towards a strongly coupled regime in the IR. In particular, the gravitational contribution generates an RG-trajectory along which the theory is asymptotically safe, bounded and strongly coupled in the IR, the red separatrix in Fig. 5 (on the right of the transition line).

The separatrix is also interesting in the context of *effective asymptotic safety* [154]. This is the idea that the UV completion of the theory is not asymptotically safe, such that the RG flow we have calculated is only a viable description below some transition scale k_{trans} . The underlying microscopic theory, which need not even be a QFT [34, 91, 155], provides initial conditions for the couplings in its effective QFT description at k_{trans} . If these lie in the basin of attraction of the fixed point, the corresponding RG trajectories will approach the critical surface of the fixed point and may in the deep IR become indistinguishable from fixed-point trajectories for all practical purposes [156]. In our case, while the trajectories above and below the red separatrices do not consist viable UV completions, they provide the same universal IR predictions.

Our analysis relies on taking the number of scalar fields to infinity and, unfortunately, the structure of the gravitational fixed point itself is not yet well-understood in this limit. We conjectured that the main gravitational contribution to the beta function of quartic couplings, which structurally resembles a scaling dimension, remains present in this limit.

There are several interesting directions for future work.

First, it is interesting to understand the fixed-point structure including subleading corrections in $1/N$ or even extending to small values of N , where the impact of scalar fields on the gravity fixed point is already robustly understood and small. Second, achieving a better control of the gravitational fixed point at large N and understanding whether the gravitational contribution in the beta function of quartic couplings persists is clearly an important open issue. Third, the fixed-point structure we found here may potentially be of phenomenological

interest for hidden/dark sectors.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Marc Schiffer and Hannes Kepler for discussions. This work is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy EXC 2181/1 - 390900948 (the Heidelberg STRUCTURES Excellence Cluster). —

-
- [1] J. Frohlich, On the Triviality of Lambda (ϕ^{**4}) in D-Dimensions Theories and the Approach to the Critical Point in $D \geq 4$ Four-Dimensions, *Nucl. Phys. B* **200**, 281 (1982).
- [2] M. Luscher and P. Weisz, Scaling Laws and Triviality Bounds in the Lattice ϕ^{**4} Theory. 1. One Component Model in the Symmetric Phase, *Nucl. Phys. B* **290**, 25 (1987).
- [3] M. Aizenman and H. Duminil-Copin, Marginal triviality of the scaling limits of critical 4d ising and $\lambda\phi_4^4$ models, *Annals of Mathematics* **194**, 163 (2021).
- [4] J. Berges, R. Gurau, and T. Preis, Asymptotic freedom in a strongly interacting scalar quantum field theory in four Euclidean dimensions, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 016019 (2023), [arXiv:2301.09514 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [5] G. Narain and R. Percacci, Renormalization Group Flow in Scalar-Tensor Theories. I, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **27**, 075001 (2010), [arXiv:0911.0386 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [6] A. Eichhorn, Y. Hamada, J. Lumma, and M. Yamada, Quantum gravity fluctuations flatten the Planck-scale Higgs potential, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 086004 (2018), [arXiv:1712.00319 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [7] D. Bucci and R. Percacci, Renormalization group flows between Gaussian fixed points, *JHEP* **10**, 113, [arXiv:2207.10596 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [8] D. F. Litim and F. Sannino, Asymptotic safety guaranteed, *JHEP* **12**, 178, [arXiv:1406.2337 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [9] D. F. Litim and M. J. Trott, Asymptotic safety of scalar field theories, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 125006 (2018), [arXiv:1810.01678 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [10] H. Grosse and R. Wulkenhaar, The beta function in duality covariant noncommutative ϕ^{**4} theory, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **35**, 277 (2004), [arXiv:hep-th/0402093](#).
- [11] A. Sfondrini and T. A. Koslowski, Functional Renormalization of Noncommutative Scalar Field Theory, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **26**, 4009 (2011), [arXiv:1006.5145 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [12] P. Romatschke, Negative Coupling ϕ^4 on the Lattice, *PoS LATTICE2023*, 367 (2024), [arXiv:2310.03815 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [13] S. Weinberg, Ultraviolet divergences in quantum theories of gravitation, Chap. 16 in *General Relativity* ed. by Hawking, S.W. and Israel, W. (1979).
- [14] C. Wetterich, Exact evolution equation for the effective potential, *Phys. Lett. B* **301**, 90 (1993), [arXiv:1710.05815 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [15] T. R. Morris, The Exact renormalization group and approximate solutions, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **9**, 2411 (1994), [arXiv:hep-ph/9308265](#).
- [16] M. Reuter, Nonperturbative evolution equation for quantum gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 971 (1998), [arXiv:hep-th/9605030](#).
- [17] D. Bucci, J. F. Donoghue, and R. Percacci, Amplitudes and renormalization group techniques: A case study, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 045008 (2024), [arXiv:2307.00055 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [18] J. F. Donoghue, A Critique of the Asymptotic Safety Program, *Front. in Phys.* **8**, 56 (2020), [arXiv:1911.02967 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [19] A. Bonanno, A. Eichhorn, H. Gies, J. M. Pawłowski, R. Percacci, M. Reuter, F. Saueressig, and G. P. Vacca, Critical reflections on asymptotically safe gravity, *Front. in Phys.* **8**, 269 (2020), [arXiv:2004.06810 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [20] B. Knorr, C. Ripken, and F. Saueressig, Form Factors in Asymptotic Safety: conceptual ideas and computational toolbox, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **36**, 234001 (2019), [arXiv:1907.02903 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [21] J. M. Pawłowski and M. Reichert, Quantum Gravity: A Fluctuating Point of View, *Front. in Phys.* **8**, 551848 (2021), [arXiv:2007.10353 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [22] B. Knorr, C. Ripken, and F. Saueressig, Form Factors in Asymptotically Safe Quantum Gravity, in *Handbook of Quantum Gravity* (Springer Nature Singapore, 2024) pp. 865–913, [arXiv:2210.16072 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [23] B. Knorr, C. Ripken, and F. Saueressig, Form Factors in Quantum Gravity: Contrasting non-local, ghost-free gravity and Asymptotic Safety, *Nuovo Cim. C* **45**, 28 (2022), [arXiv:2111.12365 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [24] B. Knorr, S. Pirlo, C. Ripken, and F. Saueressig, Cartographing gravity-mediated scattering amplitudes: scalars and photons, [arXiv:2205.01738 \[hep-th\]](#) (2022), arXiv Preprint.
- [25] A. Pastor-Gutiérrez, J. M. Pawłowski, M. Reichert, and G. Ruisi, $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ in the Asymptotically Safe Standard Model, [arXiv:2412.13800 \[hep-ph\]](#) (2024), arXiv Preprint.
- [26] R. Alkofer, A. Eichhorn, A. Held, C. M. Nieto, R. Percacci, and M. Schröfl, Quark masses and mixings in minimally parameterized UV completions of the Standard Model, *Annals Phys.* **421**, 168282 (2020), [arXiv:2003.08401 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [27] A. Platania and C. Wetterich, Non-perturbative unitarity and fictitious ghosts in quantum gravity, *Phys. Lett. B* **811**, 135911 (2020), [arXiv:2009.06637 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [28] K. Falls, N. Ohta, and R. Percacci, Towards the determination of the dimension of the critical surface in

- asymptotically safe gravity, *Phys. Lett. B* **810**, 135773 (2020), [arXiv:2004.04126 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [29] G. P. de Brito, A. Eichhorn, and M. Schiffer, Light charged fermions in quantum gravity, *Phys. Lett. B* **815**, 136128 (2021), [arXiv:2010.00605 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [30] A. Bonanno, T. Denz, J. M. Pawłowski, and M. Reichert, Reconstructing the graviton, *SciPost Phys.* **12**, 001 (2022), [arXiv:2102.02217 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [31] C. Laporte, A. D. Pereira, F. Saueressig, and J. Wang, Scalar-tensor theories within Asymptotic Safety, *JHEP* **12**, 001, [arXiv:2110.09566 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [32] R. Ferrero and M. Reuter, Towards a Geometrization of Renormalization Group Histories in Asymptotic Safety, *Universe* **7**, 125 (2021), [arXiv:2103.15709 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [33] A. Baldazzi, K. Falls, and R. Ferrero, Relational observables in asymptotically safe gravity, *Annals Phys.* **440**, 168822 (2022), [arXiv:2112.02118 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [34] I. Basile and A. Platania, Asymptotic Safety: Swamp-land or Wonderland?, *Universe* **7**, 389 (2021), [arXiv:2107.06897 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [35] N. Ohta and M. Yamada, Higgs scalar potential coupled to gravity in the exponential parametrization in arbitrary gauge, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 10.1103/PhysRevD.105.026013 (2022), [arXiv:2110.08594 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [36] J. Daas, W. Oosters, F. Saueressig, and J. Wang, Asymptotically Safe Gravity-Fermion Systems on Curved Backgrounds, *Universe* **7**, 306 (2021), [arXiv:2107.01071 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [37] S. Sen, C. Wetterich, and M. Yamada, Asymptotic freedom and safety in quantum gravity, *JHEP* **03**, 130, [arXiv:2111.04696 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [38] B. Knorr and M. Schiffer, Non-Perturbative Propagators in Quantum Gravity, *Universe* **7**, 216 (2021), [arXiv:2105.04566 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [39] J. Fehre, D. F. Litim, J. M. Pawłowski, and M. Reichert, Lorentzian Quantum Gravity and the Graviton Spectral Function, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 081501 (2023), [arXiv:2111.13232 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [40] A. Baldazzi and K. Falls, Essential Quantum Einstein Gravity, *Universe* **7**, 294 (2021), [arXiv:2107.00671 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [41] G. P. de Brito, A. Eichhorn, and R. R. L. dos Santos, The weak-gravity bound and the need for spin in asymptotically safe matter-gravity models, *JHEP* **11**, 110, [arXiv:2107.03839 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [42] A. Eichhorn, M. Pauly, and S. Ray, Towards a Higgs mass determination in asymptotically safe gravity with a dark portal, *JHEP* **10**, 100, [arXiv:2107.07949 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [43] A. Eichhorn, J. H. Kwapisz, and M. Schiffer, Weak-gravity bound in asymptotically safe gravity-gauge systems, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 106022 (2022), [arXiv:2112.09772 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [44] G. P. de Brito, A. Eichhorn, and R. R. Lino dos Santos, Are there ALPs in the asymptotically safe landscape?, *JHEP* **06**, 013, [arXiv:2112.08972 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [45] S. Sen, C. Wetterich, and M. Yamada, Scaling solutions for asymptotically free quantum gravity, *JHEP* **02**, 054, [arXiv:2211.05508 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [46] B. Knorr, Safe essential scalar-tensor theories, [arXiv:2204.08564 \[hep-th\]](#) (2022), arXiv Preprint.
- [47] G. P. de Brito and A. Eichhorn, Nonvanishing gravitational contribution to matter beta functions for vanishing dimensionful regulators, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83**, 161 (2023), [arXiv:2201.11402 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [48] A. Eichhorn, R. R. L. dos Santos, and F. Wagner, Shift-symmetric Horndeski gravity in the asymptotic-safety paradigm, *JCAP* **02**, 052, [arXiv:2212.08441 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [49] C. Wetterich, Scaling solution for field-dependent gauge couplings in quantum gravity, *Nucl. Phys. B* **985**, 116017 (2022), [arXiv:2205.07029 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [50] A. Pastor-Gutiérrez, J. M. Pawłowski, and M. Reichert, The Asymptotically Safe Standard Model: From quantum gravity to dynamical chiral symmetry breaking, *SciPost Phys.* **15**, 105 (2023), [arXiv:2207.09817 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [51] A. Eichhorn and A. Held, Dynamically vanishing Dirac neutrino mass from quantum scale symmetry, *Phys. Lett. B* **846**, 138196 (2023), [arXiv:2204.09008 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [52] C. Wetterich, Quantum Gravity and Scale Symmetry in Cosmology, in *Handbook of Quantum Gravity* (Springer Nature Singapore, 2023) pp. 1143–1210, [arXiv:2211.03596 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [53] R. Ferrero and M. Reuter, The spectral geometry of de Sitter space in asymptotic safety, *JHEP* **08**, 040, [arXiv:2203.08003 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [54] R. Ferrero and M. Reuter, On the possibility of a novel (A)dS/CFT relationship emerging in Asymptotic Safety, *JHEP* **12**, 118, [arXiv:2205.12030 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [55] G. P. de Brito, A. Eichhorn, and S. Ray, Light fermions in color: why the quark mass is not the Planck mass, [arXiv:2311.16066 \[hep-th\]](#) (2023), arXiv Preprint.
- [56] G. P. de Brito, B. Knorr, and M. Schiffer, On the weak-gravity bound for a shift-symmetric scalar field, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 026004 (2023), [arXiv:2302.10989 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [57] A. Eichhorn and S. Ray, Suppression of proton decay in quantum gravity, *Phys. Lett. B* **850**, 138529 (2024), [arXiv:2304.06759 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [58] G. P. de Brito, A. Eichhorn, M. T. Frandsen, M. Rosenlyst, M. E. Thing, and A. F. Vieira, Ruling out models of vector dark matter in asymptotically safe quantum gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 055022 (2024), [arXiv:2312.02086 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [59] B. Knorr, Momentum-dependent field redefinitions in asymptotic safety, *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, 026001 (2024), [arXiv:2311.12097 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [60] F. Saueressig and J. Wang, Foliated asymptotically safe gravity in the fluctuation approach, *JHEP* **09**, 064, [arXiv:2306.10408 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [61] A. Baldazzi, K. Falls, Y. Kluth, and B. Knorr, Robustness of the derivative expansion in Asymptotic Safety, [arXiv:2312.03831 \[hep-th\]](#) (2023), arXiv Preprint.
- [62] A. Eichhorn, R. R. Lino dos Santos, and J. a. L. Miquelito, From quantum gravity to gravitational waves through cosmic strings, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 026013 (2024), [arXiv:2306.17718 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [63] M. Becker, A. Kurov, and F. Saueressig, Remarks on the origin of almost-Gaussian scaling in asymptotically safe quantum gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, 126003 (2024), [arXiv:2402.01075 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [64] F. Saueressig and A. Silva, Harvesting physical predictions from asymptotically safe quantum field theories, *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, 085005 (2024), [arXiv:2403.08541 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [65] C. Wetterich, Dark energy evolution from quantum gravity, [arXiv:2407.03465 \[gr-qc\]](#) (2024), arXiv Preprint.
- [66] G. Korver, F. Saueressig, and J. Wang, Global flows of foliated gravity-matter systems, *Phys. Lett. B* **855**,

- 138789 (2024), [arXiv:2402.01260 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [67] A. Eichhorn, A. O. Pedersen, and M. Schiffer, Application of positivity bounds in asymptotically safe gravity, [arXiv:2405.08862 \[hep-th\]](#) (2024), arXiv Preprint.
- [68] B. Knorr and A. Platania, Unearthing the intersections: positivity bounds, weak gravity conjecture, and asymptotic safety landscapes from photon-graviton flows, [arXiv:2405.08860 \[hep-th\]](#) (2024), arXiv Preprint.
- [69] K. Falls and R. Ferrero, Asymptotic Safety within on-shell perturbation theory, [arXiv:2411.00938 \[hep-th\]](#) (2024), arXiv Preprint.
- [70] L. Brenner, A. Chikkaballi, A. Eichhorn, and S. Ray, Crossing the desert: Towards predictions for SMEFT coefficients from quantum gravity, [arXiv:2407.12086 \[hep-ph\]](#) (2024), arXiv Preprint.
- [71] R. Ferrero and T. Thiemann, Relational Lorentzian Asymptotically Safe Quantum Gravity: Showcase Model, *Universe* **10**, 410 (2024), [arXiv:2404.18224 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [72] F. Saueressig and J. Wang, Foliated Asymptotically Safe Gravity – Lorentzian Signature Fluctuations from the Wick Rotation, [arXiv:2501.03752 \[hep-th\]](#) (2025), arXiv Preprint.
- [73] W. Souma, Nontrivial ultraviolet fixed point in quantum gravity, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **102**, 181 (1999), [arXiv:hep-th/9907027](#).
- [74] M. Reuter and F. Saueressig, Renormalization group flow of quantum gravity in the Einstein-Hilbert truncation, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 065016 (2002), [arXiv:hep-th/0110054](#).
- [75] O. Lauscher and M. Reuter, Ultraviolet fixed point and generalized flow equation of quantum gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 025013 (2002), [arXiv:hep-th/0108040](#).
- [76] D. F. Litim, Fixed points of quantum gravity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 201301 (2004), [arXiv:hep-th/0312114](#).
- [77] A. Codello, R. Percacci, and C. Rahmede, Investigating the Ultraviolet Properties of Gravity with a Wilsonian Renormalization Group Equation, *Annals Phys.* **324**, 414 (2009), [arXiv:0805.2909 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [78] D. Benedetti, P. F. Machado, and F. Saueressig, Asymptotic safety in higher-derivative gravity, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **24**, 2233 (2009), [arXiv:0901.2984 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [79] E. Manrique, S. Rechenberger, and F. Saueressig, Asymptotically Safe Lorentzian Gravity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 251302 (2011), [arXiv:1102.5012 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [80] P. Donà, A. Eichhorn, and R. Percacci, Matter matters in asymptotically safe quantum gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 084035 (2014), [arXiv:1311.2898 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [81] K. Falls, D. F. Litim, K. Nikolakopoulos, and C. Rahmede, A bootstrap towards asymptotic safety, [arXiv:1301.4191 \[hep-th\]](#) (2013), arXiv Preprint.
- [82] A. Eichhorn, An asymptotically safe guide to quantum gravity and matter, *Front. Astron. Space Sci.* **5**, 47 (2019), [arXiv:1810.07615 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [83] A. Eichhorn, Status update: Asymptotically safe gravity-matter systems, *Nuovo Cim. C* **45**, 29 (2022), [arXiv:2201.11543 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [84] A. Eichhorn and M. Schiffer, Asymptotic safety of gravity with matter, in *Handbook of Quantum Gravity* (Springer Nature Singapore, 2022) pp. 915–1001, [arXiv:2212.07456 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [85] A. Eichhorn, The microscopic structure of quantum space-time and matter from a renormalization group perspective, *Nature Phys.* **19**, 1527 (2023).
- [86] J. M. Pawłowski and M. Reichert, Quantum Gravity from dynamical metric fluctuations, in *Handbook of Quantum Gravity* (Springer Nature Singapore, Singapore, 2024) pp. 761–830, [arXiv:2309.10785 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [87] F. Saueressig, The Functional Renormalization Group in Quantum Gravity, in *Handbook of Quantum Gravity* (Springer Nature Singapore, 2023) pp. 717–760, [arXiv:2302.14152 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [88] M. Reuter and F. Saueressig, *Quantum Gravity and the Functional Renormalization Group: The Road towards Asymptotic Safety* (Cambridge University Press, 2019).
- [89] M. Reichert, Lecture notes: Functional Renormalisation Group and Asymptotically Safe Quantum Gravity, *PoS* **384**, 005 (2020).
- [90] A. Eichhorn, Asymptotically safe gravity, in *57th International School of Subnuclear Physics: In Search for the Unexpected* (2020) [arXiv:2003.00044 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [91] I. Basile, L. Buoninfante, F. Di Filippo, B. Knorr, A. Platania, and A. Tokareva, *Lectures in Quantum Gravity* (2024) [arXiv:2412.08690 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [92] J. Meibohm, J. M. Pawłowski, and M. Reichert, Asymptotic safety of gravity-matter systems, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 084035 (2016), [arXiv:1510.07018 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [93] J. Biemans, A. Platania, and F. Saueressig, Renormalization group fixed points of foliated gravity-matter systems, *JHEP* **05**, 093, [arXiv:1702.06539 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [94] N. Christiansen, D. F. Litim, J. M. Pawłowski, and M. Reichert, Asymptotic safety of gravity with matter, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 106012 (2018), [arXiv:1710.04669 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [95] N. Alkofer and F. Saueressig, Asymptotically safe $f(R)$ -gravity coupled to matter I: the polynomial case, *Annals Phys.* **396**, 173 (2018), [arXiv:1802.00498 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [96] C. Wetterich and M. Yamada, Variable Planck mass from the gauge invariant flow equation, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 066017 (2019), [arXiv:1906.01721 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [97] R. Percacci and G. P. Vacca, Search of scaling solutions in scalar-tensor gravity, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 188 (2015), [arXiv:1501.00888 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [98] P. Labus, R. Percacci, and G. P. Vacca, Asymptotic safety in $O(N)$ scalar models coupled to gravity, *Phys. Lett. B* **753**, 274 (2016), [arXiv:1505.05393 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [99] P. Donà, A. Eichhorn, P. Labus, and R. Percacci, Asymptotic safety in an interacting system of gravity and scalar matter, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 044049 (2016), [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.D* 93, 129904 (2016)], [arXiv:1512.01589 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [100] A. Eichhorn, P. Labus, J. M. Pawłowski, and M. Reichert, Effective universality in quantum gravity, *SciPost Phys.* **5**, 031 (2018), [arXiv:1804.00012 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [101] B. Bürger, J. M. Pawłowski, M. Reichert, and B.-J. Schaefer, Curvature dependence of quantum gravity with scalars, [arXiv:1912.01624 \[hep-th\]](#) (2019), arXiv Preprint.
- [102] M. Shaposhnikov and C. Wetterich, Asymptotic safety of gravity and the Higgs boson mass, *Phys. Lett. B* **683**, 196 (2010), [arXiv:0912.0208 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [103] A. Eichhorn and A. Held, Top mass from asymptotic safety, *Phys. Lett. B* **777**, 217 (2018), [arXiv:1707.01107 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [104] A. Eichhorn and F. Versteegen, Upper bound on the Abelian gauge coupling from asymptotic safety, *JHEP* **01**, 030, [arXiv:1709.07252 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [105] A. Eichhorn and A. Held, Mass difference for charged quarks from asymptotically safe quantum gravity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 151302 (2018), [arXiv:1803.04027 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [106] A. Eichhorn, J. Lumma, A. D. Pereira, and A. Sankar, Universal critical behavior in tensor models for four-dimensional quantum gravity, *JHEP* **02**, 110, [arXiv:1912.05314 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [107] J. Ambjorn, B. Durhuus, and T. Jonsson, Three-dimensional simplicial quantum gravity and generalized matrix models, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **6**, 1133 (1991).
- [108] N. Sasakura, Tensor model for gravity and orientability of manifold, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **6**, 2613 (1991).
- [109] P. Di Francesco, P. H. Ginsparg, and J. Zinn-Justin, 2-D Gravity and random matrices, *Phys. Rept.* **254**, 1 (1995), [arXiv:hep-th/9306153](#).
- [110] G. 't Hooft, A Planar Diagram Theory for Strong Interactions, *Nucl. Phys. B* **72**, 461 (1974).
- [111] R. Gurau, The complete $1/N$ expansion of colored tensor models in arbitrary dimension, *Annales Henri Poincaré* **13**, 399 (2012), [arXiv:1102.5759 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [112] R. G. Gurău, *Random tensors* (Oxford University Press, 2017).
- [113] V. Bonzom, R. Gurau, A. Riello, and V. Rivasseau, Critical behavior of colored tensor models in the large N limit, *Nucl. Phys. B* **853**, 174 (2011), [arXiv:1105.3122 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [114] S. Sachdev and J. Ye, Gapless spin fluid ground state in a random, quantum Heisenberg magnet, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 3339 (1993), [arXiv:cond-mat/9212030 \[cond-mat\]](#).
- [115] A. Kitaev, A simple model of quantum holography, KITP strings seminar and Entanglement 2015 (Feb. 12, April 7, and May 27, 2015).
- [116] E. Witten, An SYK-Like Model Without Disorder, *J. Phys. A* **52**, 474002 (2019), [arXiv:1610.09758 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [117] V. Narovlansky and H. Verlinde, Double-scaled SYK and de Sitter Holography, [arXiv:2310.16994 \[hep-th\]](#) (2023), [arXiv Preprint](#).
- [118] S. Giombi, I. R. Klebanov, and G. Tarnopolsky, Bosonic tensor models at large N and small ϵ , *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 106014 (2017), [arXiv:1707.03866 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [119] K. Bulycheva, I. R. Klebanov, A. Milekhin, and G. Tarnopolsky, Spectra of Operators in Large N Tensor Models, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 026016 (2018), [arXiv:1707.09347 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [120] S. Carrozza and A. Tanasa, $O(N)$ Random Tensor Models, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **106**, 1531 (2016), [arXiv:1512.06718 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [121] D. Benedetti, R. Gurau, and S. Harribey, Line of fixed points in a bosonic tensor model, *JHEP* **06**, 053, [arXiv:1903.03578 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [122] D. Benedetti, R. Gurau, S. Harribey, and K. Suzuki, Hints of unitarity at large N in the $O(N)^3$ tensor field theory, *JHEP* **02**, 072, [Erratum: *JHEP* 08, 167 (2020)], [arXiv:1909.07767 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [123] D. Benedetti, R. Gurau, S. Harribey, and D. Lettera, The F-theorem in the melonic limit, *JHEP* **02**, 147, [arXiv:2111.11792 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [124] D. Benedetti, R. Gurau, and S. Harribey, Trifundamental quartic model, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 046018 (2021), [arXiv:2011.11276 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [125] R. G. Gurau, Notes on tensor models and tensor field theories, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré D Comb. Phys. Interact.* **9**, 159 (2022), [arXiv:1907.03531 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [126] J. Berges, R. Gurau, H. Keppler, and T. Preis, Coupling renormalization flow in the strongly interacting regime of an asymptotically free quantum field theory in four dimensions, *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, 036007 (2024), [arXiv:2405.08153 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [127] M. Reichert and J. Smirnov, Dark Matter meets Quantum Gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 063015 (2020), [arXiv:1911.00012 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [128] A. Held, *From particle physics to black holes: The predictive power of asymptotic safety.*, Ph.D. thesis, U. Heidelberg (main) (2019).
- [129] K. Kowalska, S. Pramanick, and E. M. Sessolo, Naturally small Yukawa couplings from trans-Planckian asymptotic safety, *JHEP* **08**, 262, [arXiv:2204.00866 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [130] A. Chikaballi, K. Kowalska, and E. M. Sessolo, Naturally small neutrino mass with asymptotic safety and gravitational-wave signatures, *JHEP* **11**, 224, [arXiv:2308.06114 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [131] A. Eichhorn, A. Held, and C. Wetterich, Quantum-gravity predictions for the fine-structure constant, *Phys. Lett. B* **782**, 198 (2018), [arXiv:1711.02949 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [132] A. Eichhorn, A. Held, and C. Wetterich, Predictive power of grand unification from quantum gravity, *JHEP* **08**, 111, [arXiv:1909.07318 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [133] K. Kowalska, E. M. Sessolo, and Y. Yamamoto, Flavor anomalies from asymptotically safe gravity, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 272 (2021), [arXiv:2007.03567 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [134] K. Kowalska and E. M. Sessolo, Minimal models for g-2 and dark matter confront asymptotic safety, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 115032 (2021), [arXiv:2012.15200 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [135] A. Chikaballi, W. Kotlarski, K. Kowalska, D. Rizzo, and E. M. Sessolo, Constraints on Z' solutions to the flavor anomalies with trans-Planckian asymptotic safety, *JHEP* **01**, 164, [arXiv:2209.07971 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [136] J.-E. Daum, U. Harst, and M. Reuter, Running Gauge Coupling in Asymptotically Safe Quantum Gravity, *JHEP* **01**, 084, [arXiv:0910.4938 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [137] U. Harst and M. Reuter, QED coupled to QEG, *JHEP* **05**, 119, [arXiv:1101.6007 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [138] S. Folkerts, D. F. Litim, and J. M. Pawłowski, Asymptotic freedom of Yang-Mills theory with gravity, *Phys. Lett. B* **709**, 234 (2012), [arXiv:1101.5552 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [139] N. Christiansen and A. Eichhorn, An asymptotically safe solution to the $U(1)$ triviality problem, *Phys. Lett. B* **770**, 154 (2017), [arXiv:1702.07724 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [140] J. Zinn-Justin, *Quantum field theory and critical phenomena*, International Series of Monographs on Physics, Vol. 77 (Oxford University Press, 2021) [10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198509233.001.0001](#).
- [141] D. F. Litim, Optimized renormalization group flows, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 105007 (2001), [arXiv:hep-th/0103195](#).
- [142] N. Dupuis, L. Canet, A. Eichhorn, W. Metzner, J. M. Pawłowski, M. Tissier, and N. Wschebor, The nonperturbative functional renormalization group and its applications, *Phys. Rept.* **910**, 1 (2021), [arXiv:2006.04853 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#).
- [143] K. Falls, D. F. Litim, K. Nikolakopoulos, and C. Rahmede, Further evidence for asymptotic safety of quantum gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 104022 (2016), [arXiv:1410.4815 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [144] K. Falls, C. R. King, D. F. Litim, K. Nikolakopoulos, and C. Rahmede, Asymptotic safety of quantum gravity

- beyond Ricci scalars, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 086006 (2018), [arXiv:1801.00162 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [145] K. G. Falls, D. F. Litim, and J. Schröder, Aspects of asymptotic safety for quantum gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 126015 (2019), [arXiv:1810.08550 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [146] A. Eichhorn and M. Pauly, Constraining power of asymptotic safety for scalar fields, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 026006 (2021), [arXiv:2009.13543 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [147] A. Eichhorn, S. Lippoldt, J. M. Pawłowski, M. Reichert, and M. Schiffer, How perturbative is quantum gravity?, *Phys. Lett. B* **792**, 310 (2019), [arXiv:1810.02828 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [148] N. B. Agmon, A. Bedroya, M. J. Kang, and C. Vafa, Lectures on the string landscape and the Swampland, [arXiv:2212.06187 \[hep-th\]](#) (2022), arXiv Preprint.
- [149] A. Eichhorn, A. Hebecker, J. M. Pawłowski, and J. Walcher, The Absolute Swampland, [arXiv:2405.20386 \[hep-th\]](#) (2024), arXiv Preprint.
- [150] G. P. De Brito, A. Eichhorn, and A. D. Pereira, A link that matters: Towards phenomenological tests of unimodular asymptotic safety, *JHEP* **09**, 100, [arXiv:1907.11173 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [151] R. G. Gurău, *Random Tensors* (Oxford University Press, 2016).
- [152] N. Flodgren and B. Sundborg, Classifying large N limits of multiscalar theories by algebra, *JHEP* **06**, 108, [arXiv:2312.04954 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [153] A. Eichhorn and M. Pauly, Safety in darkness: Higgs portal to simple Yukawa systems, *Phys. Lett. B* **819**, 136455 (2021), [arXiv:2005.03661 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [154] A. Held, Effective asymptotic safety and its predictive power: Gauge-Yukawa theories, *Front. in Phys.* **8**, 341 (2020), [arXiv:2003.13642 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [155] S. de Alwis, A. Eichhorn, A. Held, J. M. Pawłowski, M. Schiffer, and F. Versteegen, Asymptotic safety, string theory and the weak gravity conjecture, *Phys. Lett. B* **798**, 134991 (2019), [arXiv:1907.07894 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [156] R. Percacci and G. P. Vacca, Asymptotic Safety, Emergence and Minimal Length, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **27**, 245026 (2010), [arXiv:1008.3621 \[hep-th\]](#).