

ON FINITENESS OF FIBER SPACE STRUCTURES OF KLT CALABI-YAU PAIRS IN DIMENSION 3

FULIN XU

ABSTRACT. We prove that for a fixed klt Calabi-Yau pair (X, Δ) of dimension 3, the set of fiber space structures of X is finite up to $\text{Aut}(X, \Delta)$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminaries	4
2.1. Types of divisors	4
2.2. Various cones	4
2.3. Pairs	8
2.4. Index 1 covers	8
2.5. Polyhedral type cones	10
2.6. A variant of the cone conjecture	11
2.7. Variation of Hodge structures	11
2.8. Period domains and Period maps	13
3. Boundedness results for fibered Calabi-Yau pairs in dimension 3	14
3.1. Strict klt Calabi-Yau pairs	14
3.2. Boundedness of bases	14
3.3. Boundedness of rational multi-sections	15
3.4. Boundedness of marked curve fibered Calabi-Yau pairs	17
4. Extraction of strict Calabi-Yau pairs	20
4.1. Some Hodge theoretic properties of strict terminal Calabi-Yau pairs	20
4.2. Period maps	22
4.3. Extraction of strict terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pairs	27
5. Finiteness of fiber space structures	28
6. Non-strict case	31
6.1. The cone conjecture for quotients of abelian varieties	31
6.2. The cone conjecture in non-strict case	32
7. Proof of main results	35
References	35

Date: (Dated: January 20, 2025).

1. INTRODUCTION

Motivated from mirror symmetry, the Morrison-Kawamata cone conjecture, [Mor96], [Kaw97], predicts that the effective nef cone of a K -trivial variety admits a rational polyhedral fundamental domain under the action of the automorphism group. An important geometric consequence is that the set of all possible contractions from a fixed K -trivial variety is finite up to automorphism.

From the point of view of birational geometry, one should work on pairs with certain singularities rather than only work on smooth varieties. [Tot09] generalized this conjecture for pairs:

Conjecture 1.1. *Let $(X/S, \Delta)$ be a projective klt Calabi-Yau pair, where X is \mathbb{Q} -factorial with Δ an \mathbb{R} -boundary. Then*

(a) *The number of $\text{Aut}(X/S, \Delta)$ -equivalence classes of faces of the cone $\mathcal{A}^e(X/S)$ corresponding to birational contractions or fiber space structures is finite. Moreover, the action of $\text{Aut}(X/S, \Delta)$ on the effective nef cone $\mathcal{A}^e(X/S)$ admits a rational polyhedral fundamental domain Π , in the sense that:*

- (1) $\mathcal{A}^e(X/S) = \cup_{g \in \text{Aut}(X/S, \Delta)} g_* \Pi$,
- (2) $\text{Int } \Pi \cap g_* \text{Int } \Pi = \emptyset$ unless $g_* = 1$.

(b) *The number of $\text{PsAut}(X/S, \Delta)$ -equivalence classes of chambers $\mathcal{A}^e(X_0/S)$ in the cone $\mathcal{M}^e(X/S)$ corresponding to marked small \mathbb{Q} -factorial modifications $X_0 \rightarrow S$ of $X \rightarrow S$ is finite. Moreover, the action of $\text{PsAut}(X/S, \Delta)$ on the effective movable cone $\mathcal{M}^e(X/S)$ admits a rational polyhedral fundamental domain Π' , in the sense that:*

- (1) $\mathcal{M}^e(X/S) = \cup_{g \in \text{PsAut}(X/S, \Delta)} g_* \Pi'$,
- (2) $\text{Int } \Pi' \cap g_* \text{Int } \Pi' = \emptyset$ unless $g_* = 1$.

Remark. This will be called cone conjecture for short. The first (resp. second) part of (a) is usually referred to as the weak nef cone conjecture (resp. nef cone conjecture), and the first (resp. second) part of (b) is usually referred to as the weak movable cone conjecture (resp. movable cone conjecture). Recall that a small \mathbb{Q} -factorial modification (SQM) of X over S means a pseudo-isomorphism over S from X to some other \mathbb{Q} -factorial variety with a projective morphism to S .

In this paper, we mainly consider the absolute case, i.e. when S is a single point.

Assuming standard conjectures in MMP, existence of a rational polyhedral fundamental domain for $\mathcal{M}^e(X)$ implies all the other statements by [Xu24, 2.5]. It is worth noting that [GLSW24] gives more equivalent formulations up to standard conjectures in MMP.

Also, it's known that it makes no difference to assume Δ to be a \mathbb{Q} -divisor. Indeed, we may approximate Δ by \mathbb{Q} -divisors Δ' such that $\text{Supp } \Delta = \text{Supp } \Delta'$, $K_X + \Delta' \equiv 0$, and the differences of the coefficients are arbitrarily small.

In dimension 2, the cone conjecture is solved in full generality by [Tot09].

In higher dimensions, it's hard to deal with the general case. However, the Beauville-Bogomolov decomposition, [Bea83], shows that, up to an étale cover, every smooth projective K -trivial variety can be decomposed into a product of abelian, hyperkähler, and strict Calabi-Yau manifolds. We note that a singular analog is established in [HP17].

For abelian varieties, the cone conjecture is solved by [PS12]. For hyperkähler varieties, the cone conjecture is solved to a large extent, see [Mar11] for a good survey. However, the cone conjecture is widely open for strict Calabi-Yau varieties. Also, it's worth noting that the cone conjecture is sensitive with respect to taking étale cover, so solutions in these three cases do not imply the general case immediately.

We briefly summarize some known general facts about the cone conjecture of strict Calabi-Yau manifolds:

In dimension 3, we have a series of partial results. [OP98] proved that every strict Calabi-Yau threefold with positive second Chern class admits only finitely many fiber space structures. [Sze99] proved finiteness of ample divisors of bounded volume up to automorphism. [OS01] proved finiteness of c_2 -contractions up to automorphism, and in particular finiteness of abelian fibrations up to automorphism. [Ueh04] proved finiteness of divisorial contractions whose exceptional divisor has trivial intersection with c_2 . Recently, [Lut24] proved that the cone conjecture is preserved under deformations.

In general dimension, if the Picard number is small, one can still have some control. See [LP13], [Ogu14] for the Picard number 2 case.

We also note that there are also many interesting results for the cone conjecture in relative setting, as well as various results for many explicit examples.

The following is our main result:

Theorem 1.2. *Let (X, Δ) be a projective \mathbb{Q} -factorial klt Calabi-Yau pair in dimension 3, then*

- (1) *If $\kappa(-K_X) > 0$, then the cone conjecture holds for (X, Δ) .*
- (2) *If $\kappa(-K_X) = 0$, we may run $K_X + (1 + \varepsilon)\Delta$ -MMP for a small positive rational number ε and terminate with X^{\min} . Let $\tilde{q}(X^{\min})$ be the augmented irregularity of X^{\min} , i.e. $\tilde{q}(X^{\min})$ is the maximum of $h^1(\tilde{X}^{\min}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}^{\min}})$, where $\tilde{X}^{\min} \rightarrow X^{\min}$ is finite quasi-étale. Then*
 - (a) *If $\tilde{q}(X^{\min}) > 0$, then the cone conjecture holds for (X, Δ) .*
 - (b) *If $\tilde{q}(X^{\min}) = 0$, then there are only finitely many fiber space structures of X up to $\text{Aut}(X, \Delta)$.*

Remark. (1) is due to [Xu24], and we include this for completeness of the statement.

Corollary 1.3. *Let (X, Δ) be a projective klt Calabi-Yau pair in dimension 3, then X admits only finitely many fiber space structures up to $\text{Aut}(X, \Delta)$.*

Our strategy is to deduce finiteness from boundedness and discreteness.

Let's start by a motivating example. For elliptic fibrations of strict Calabi-Yau threefold, we already have enough tools. Boundedness of elliptic Calabi-Yau threefolds is established in [FHS21], and discreteness is essentially done in [Sze99]. So the proof would just be a combination of these inputs.

For general curve fibrations, we need to overcome more difficulties. For boundedness, we need a generalization of [FHS21] for certain type of pairs. For discreteness, we need to generalize some arguments in [Sze99] on period maps to singular case. Fortunately, these generalizations work for a suitably chosen class of Calabi-Yau pairs.

For surface fibrations, an interesting observation is that if one have at least two different surface fibrations, then they are dominated by a curve fibration. In order to prove finiteness, we may assume all surface fibrations factor through some curve fibration, say $X \xrightarrow{\pi} B \xrightarrow{p} C$. As the cone conjecture is known for klt surface pairs by [Tot09], the π and p are finite up to $\text{Aut}(X)$ and $\text{Aut}(B)$, respectively. Now we need a lifting property from $\text{Aut}(B)$ to $\text{Aut}(X)$. It's interesting that this lifting property can be deduced from a generalization of the boundedness result of curve fibered Calabi-Yau pairs.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Professor Caucher Birkar for his constant support and guidance. He thanks Professor Keiji Oguiso for kind suggestions. He thanks Professor Tsung-Ju Lee, Professor Mao Sheng, and Professor Dingxin Zhang for expertise in Hodge theory. He thanks Xintong Jiang for reading a draft of this paper and giving useful comments. He thanks Yixuan Fu, Xiaowei Jiang, Xintong Jiang, Junpeng Jiao, Long Wang, and Zekai Yu for useful discussions.

The author would like to thank his girlfriend for her companion. The author would also like to thank his family for their unconditional help.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, we work over \mathbb{C} .

Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective surjective morphism of normal varieties, with geometrically connected fibers. Such f is called a fibration or a fiber space structure.

2.1. Types of divisors. We recall some standard definitions for different kinds of divisors.

Let D be a Cartier divisor on X . It is said to be f -nef if $(D.C) \geq 0$ holds for any curve C on X which is contracted by f . It is said to be f -movable if $\dim \text{Supp } \text{Coker}(f^*f_*\mathcal{O}_X(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \geq 2$. It is said to be f -effective if $f_*\mathcal{O}_X(D) \neq 0$. And it is said to be f -big if its restriction to the generic fiber has maximal Kodaira dimension.

2.2. Various cones. The Néron-Severi group

$$NS(X/S) = \{\text{Cartier divisor on } X\} / (\text{numerical equivalence over } S)$$

is known to be finitely generated by theorem of the base. Let $N^1(X/S) = NS(X/S) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. We set $\rho(X/S) = \dim N^1(X/S)$ to be the relative Picard number. The numerical class of an \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor D in $N^1(X/S)$ is denoted by $[D]$.

The f -nef cone is denoted by $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(X/S)$, the closed f -movable cone is denoted by $\bar{\mathcal{M}}(X/S)$, and the f -pseudo-effective cone is denoted by $\bar{\mathcal{B}}(X/S)$. They are defined to be the closed convex cones in $N^1(X/S)$ generated by the numerical classes of f -nef divisors, f -movable divisors and f -effective divisors respectively.

We have the following obvious inclusion relations:

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}}(X/S) \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}(X/S) \subset \bar{\mathcal{B}}(X/S) \subset N^1(X/S)$$

The interior $\mathcal{A}(X/S) \subset \bar{\mathcal{A}}(X/S)$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}(X/S) \subset \bar{\mathcal{B}}(X/S)$) is the open convex subcone generated by the numerical classes of f -ample divisors (f -big divisors) and it's

called an f -ample cone (resp. f -big cone). Let $\mathcal{M}(X/S) \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}(X/S)$ be the subcone generated by f -movable divisors.

We denote the f -effective cone by $\mathcal{B}^e(X/S)$, i.e. the convex cone generated by f -effective Cartier divisors. We call $\mathcal{A}^e(X/S) = \bar{\mathcal{A}}(X/S) \cap \mathcal{B}^e(X/S)$ and $\mathcal{M}^e(X/S) = \bar{\mathcal{M}}(X/S) \cap \mathcal{B}^e(X/S)$ the f -effective f -nef cone and f -effective f -movable cone, respectively.

We collect some lemmas, which will be used in the proof of our main results:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite morphism between normal projective varieties. Let D be a divisor on Y . Then*

(1) *If D is linearly equivalent to some effective divisor, so is f^*D . Conversely, if f^*D is linearly equivalent to some effective divisor, so is nD for some $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$.*

(2) *D is ample iff f^*D is;*

(3) *D is nef iff f^*D is;*

(4) *If D is movable, so is f^*D . Conversely, if f^*D is movable, so is nD for some $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$.*

Proof. We first prove (1). It's clear that D is linearly equivalent to some effective divisor implies f^*D is linearly equivalent to some effective divisor. Conversely, if f^*D is effective, then by [Aut, 0BCX, Lemma 31.17.2, Lemma 31.17.7], we may take the norm of $\mathcal{O}_X(f^*D)$, say $\text{Norm}_f \mathcal{O}_X(f^*D)$, which is a line bundle on X . Let n be the degree of f . Moreover, by [Aut, 0BCX, Lemma 31.17.2], $\text{Norm}_f \mathcal{O}_X(f^*D) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y(nD)$, and by [Aut, 0BCX, Lemma 31.17.3] with $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{O}_X(f^*D)$, we conclude that nD is effective.

(2) follows from [Aut, 0BCX, Lemma 31.17.4].

To prove (3), take an ample divisor H on Y , then f^*H is ample by (2). Then the result follows from the fact that D is nef iff $nD + H$ is nef for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$.

It remains to show (4). Suppose D is movable, then for any prime divisor S on Y , there exists some $0 \leq E \sim D$ such that $S \not\subset E$. Let T be any prime divisor on X , then take $S = f(T)$, and we have $0 \leq f^*E \sim f^*D$, $T \not\subset f^*E$. So f^*D is movable.

Conversely, suppose f^*D is movable. Possibly replacing X by the Galois closure, we may assume f is Galois with Galois group G , and f^*D remains movable. Let n be the degree of the Galois morphism f . For any prime divisor S on Y , we need to exhibit $0 \leq E \sim nD$ such that $S \not\subset E$. Indeed, for any prime divisor $T \subset f^*S$, by assumption, there exists a rational function φ_T on Y such that $0 \leq E_T = (\varphi_T) + f^*D \sim f^*D$ satisfies $T \not\subset E$. Let φ be a general linear combination of $\{\varphi_T\}_{T \subset f^*S}$, then $0 \leq E = (\varphi) + f^*D \sim f^*D$ satisfies $T \not\subset E$ for any prime divisor $T \subset f^*S$.

Let ψ be the product of Galois conjugations of φ , we claim that $0 \leq F = (\psi) + nD \sim nD$ satisfies $S \not\subset E$. Indeed, we have $f^*F = \sum_{g \in G} (g^*\varphi) + n f^*D = \sum_{g \in G} g^*E$, which is effective and does not contain any component of f^*S . So our claim holds. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite Galois morphism between normal projective varieties with Galois group G . Then the pullback map f^* induces isomorphisms*

(1) $N^1(Y) \simeq N^1(X)^G$

- (2) $\mathcal{A}(Y) \simeq \mathcal{A}(X)^G$
- (3) $\mathcal{B}^e(Y) \simeq \mathcal{B}^e(X)^G$
- (4) $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(Y) \simeq \bar{\mathcal{A}}(X)^G$
- (5) $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(Y)_+ \simeq (\bar{\mathcal{A}}(X)_+)^G \simeq (\bar{\mathcal{A}}(X)^G)_+$
- (6) $\mathcal{A}^e(Y) \simeq \mathcal{A}^e(X)^G$
- (7) $\mathcal{M}(Y) \simeq \mathcal{M}(X)^G$
- (8) $\mathcal{M}^e(Y) \simeq \mathcal{M}^e(X)^G$

Proof. Let $d = |G| = \deg f$.

(1) We have injection $\pi^* : N^1(Y) \rightarrow N^1(X)$, whose image clearly lies in $N^1(X)^G$. On the other hand, let \mathcal{L} be a line bundle on X whose numerical equivalence class is fixed by G . Then $\mathcal{M} := \otimes_{g \in G} g^* \mathcal{L}$ is numerically equivalent to $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}$ and \mathcal{M} is a G -invariant line bundle. By [Aut, 0BCX, Lemma 31.17.2, Lemma 31.17.7], we may take the norm of \mathcal{M} , say $\text{Norm}_f \mathcal{M}$, which is a line bundle on X . Moreover, by comparing the transition functions, we have $f^* \text{Norm}_f \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{M}^{\otimes d}$. So surjectivity holds.

(2) This follows from (1) and Lemma 2.1(2), since ampleness is invariant under numerical equivalence.

(3) It follows from (1) and Lemma 2.1(1) that the natural map $\mathcal{B}^e(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^e(X)^G$ is well-defined and injective. To show surjectivity, we need to show that if $f^* \mathcal{L}$ is numerically equivalent to an effective line bundle, then it's numerically equivalent to a pullback of an effective line bundle.

Suppose $f^* \mathcal{L}$ is numerically equivalent to an effective line bundle \mathcal{N} . Then $\mathcal{M} := \otimes_{g \in G} g^* \mathcal{N}$ is numerically equivalent to $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes d}$ and \mathcal{M} is a G -invariant effective line bundle. By [Aut, 0BCX, Lemma 31.17.2, Lemma 31.17.7], we may take the norm of \mathcal{M} , say $\text{Norm}_f \mathcal{M}$, which is a line bundle on X . Moreover, by comparing the transition functions, we have $f^* \text{Norm}_f \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{M}^{\otimes d}$. Furthermore, applying [Aut, 0BCX, Lemma 31.17.3] with $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{M}$, we conclude that $\text{Norm}_f \mathcal{M}$ is effective. So surjectivity holds.

(4) This follows from (2) and the fact that $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(X)^G$ is closed and the relative interior is $\mathcal{A}(X)^G$.

(5) This follows from (4) and the fact that the G action on $N^1(X)$ respects \mathbb{Q} -structure.

(6) This follows from taking intersection of (3) and (4).

(7) The proof is essentially the same as (3). It follows from (1) and Lemma 2.1(4) that the natural map $\mathcal{M}(Y) \simeq \mathcal{M}(X)^G$ is well-defined and injective. To show surjectivity, we need to show that if $f^* \mathcal{L}$ is numerically equivalent to a movable line bundle, so is \mathcal{L} .

Suppose $f^* \mathcal{L}$ is numerically equivalent to a movable line bundle \mathcal{N} . Then $\mathcal{M} := \otimes_{g \in G} g^* \mathcal{N}$ is numerically equivalent to $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes d}$ and \mathcal{M} is a G -invariant movable line bundle. By [Aut, 0BCX, Lemma 31.17.2, Lemma 31.17.7], we may take the norm of \mathcal{M} , say $\text{Norm}_f \mathcal{M}$, which is a line bundle on X . Moreover, by comparing the transition functions, we have $f^* \text{Norm}_f \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{M}^{\otimes d}$. Furthermore, by [Aut, 0BCX, Lemma 31.17.3] with $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{M}$, we conclude that $\text{Norm}_f \mathcal{M}$ is movable, since the base locus must come from base locus of \mathcal{M} . So surjectivity holds.

(8) This follows from taking closure of (7) and then taking intersection with (3). \square

We need the following result from [Har77, Exercise 12.6], and we make some simplification for convenience:

Proposition 2.3. *Let X be a normal projective variety such that $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. Let T be another variety.*

(a) *If \mathcal{L} is an invertible sheaf on $X \times T$, then the invertible sheaves \mathcal{L}_t , on $X = X \times \{t\}$ are isomorphic, for all closed points $t \in T$.*

(b) *$\text{Pic}(X \times T) = \text{Pic}(X) \times \text{Pic}(T)$.*

Proof. We include a proof for convenience of the readers.

(a) By [FGI⁺05, Theorem 9.4.8], the Picard scheme of X exists. By [FGI⁺05, Theorem 9.5.4], the identity component of the Picard scheme is projective. By [FGI⁺05, Theorem 9.5.11], the identity component of the Picard scheme is a single point, so the Picard scheme is discrete.

Since the Picard scheme represents the functor $\text{Pic}_{\text{ét}}(X)$, there exists an étale covering $U \rightarrow T$ such that the pullback \mathcal{L}_U on $X \times U$ is a pullback of the Poincaré family. By discreteness of the Picard scheme, \mathcal{L}_U is a constant family. Since étale morphisms are open, \mathcal{L}_t is locally constant for $t \in T$. So (a) follows from connectedness of T .

(b) Fix a closed point $t \in T$, let $pr_X : X \times T \rightarrow X$ be the projection to the first coordinate, and $pr_T : X \times T \rightarrow T$ be the projection to the second coordinate. Let $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{L} \otimes pr_X^* \mathcal{L}_t^{-1}$. Then by (a), \mathcal{M}_t is isomorphic to the structure sheaf for any $t \in T$.

By [Har77, Corollary 12.9], $R^1 pr_{T*} \mathcal{M} = 0$ and $pr_{T*} \mathcal{M}$ is locally free. By [Har77, Corollary 12.11(b)], the base change map $\varphi^0(t) : pr_{T*} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_T} \mathbb{C}_t \rightarrow H^0(X_t, \mathcal{M}_t)$ is surjective. By [Har77, Corollary 12.11(a)], $\varphi^0(t)$ is an isomorphism.

For any closed point $t \in T$, the base change $(pr_T^* pr_{T*} \mathcal{M})_t \rightarrow (\mathcal{M})_t$ is identified with the composition of $\phi^0(t) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_t} : pr_{T*} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_T} \mathbb{C}_t \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{X_t} \rightarrow H^0(X_t, \mathcal{M}_t) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_t}$ and the natural map $H^0(X_t, \mathcal{M}_t) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_t} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_t$, which are known to be isomorphisms. In particular, for any closed point $p = (x, t) \in X \times T$, $(pr_T^* pr_{T*} \mathcal{M})_p \rightarrow (\mathcal{M})_p$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces.

Consider the natural base change map $\Phi : pr_T^* pr_{T*} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}\Phi \rightarrow pr_T^* pr_{T*} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Coker}\Phi \rightarrow 0$$

Taking base change to a closed point $p = (x, t) \in X \times T$, by right exactness of tensor product, we have $(\text{Coker}\Phi)_p = 0$. So $\text{Coker}\Phi = 0$ by Nakayama's lemma. Now we have

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}\Phi \rightarrow pr_T^* pr_{T*} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow 0$$

Taking base change to a closed point $p = (x, t) \in X \times T$, by flatness of \mathcal{M} , we have $(\text{Ker}\Phi)_p = 0$. So $\text{Ker}\Phi = 0$ by Nakayama's lemma. So Φ is an isomorphism.

Now $\mathcal{L} = pr_X^* \mathcal{L}_t \otimes pr_T^* pr_{T*} \mathcal{M}$. So the map $f : \text{Pic}(X) \times \text{Pic}(T) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X \times T)$ is surjective. Consider the map $\text{Pic}(X \times Y) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \times \text{Pic}(Y)$, $\mathcal{L} \mapsto (\mathcal{L}|_{X \times \{y\}}, \mathcal{L}|_{\{x\} \times Y})$, which is a left inverse of f . So f is an isomorphism. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let X, Y be normal projective varieties such that $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. Then*

(1) $N^1(X \times Y) \simeq N^1(X) \times N^1(Y)$

- (2) $\mathcal{B}^e(X \times Y) \simeq \mathcal{B}^e(X) \times \mathcal{B}^e(Y)$
- (3) $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(X \times Y) \simeq \bar{\mathcal{A}}(X) \times \bar{\mathcal{A}}(Y)$.
- (4) $\mathcal{A}^e(X \times Y) \simeq \mathcal{A}^e(X) \times \mathcal{A}^e(Y)$.

Remark. We don't have $\mathcal{M}^e(X \times Y) \simeq \mathcal{M}^e(X) \times \mathcal{M}^e(Y)$, since the codimension of the zero locus increases when taking product.

Proof. Let p_X, p_Y be projections of $X \times Y$ to X and Y . Let x (resp. y) be a closed point on X (resp. Y).

(1) We have a natural map $f : N^1(X) \times N^1(Y) \rightarrow N^1(X \times Y)$ given by pullback via two natural projections. Consider $N^1(X \times Y) \rightarrow N^1(X) \times N^1(Y)$, $\mathcal{L} \mapsto (\mathcal{L}|_{X \times \{y\}}, \mathcal{L}|_{\{x\} \times Y})$, which is a left inverse of f . So f is injective. By proposition 2.3, f is surjective, so the result holds.

(2) This follows directly from Künneth formula, see [Aut, 0BEC, Lemma 33.29.1]. Indeed, $H^0(X \times Y, p_X^* \mathcal{L}_X \otimes p_Y^* \mathcal{L}_Y) = H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_X) \otimes H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}_Y)$.

(3) Clearly we have a natural injective map $f : \bar{\mathcal{A}}(X) \times \bar{\mathcal{A}}(Y) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{A}}(X \times Y)$, since pullback of nef divisors are nef. Conversely, if $p_X^* \mathcal{L}_X \otimes p_Y^* \mathcal{L}_Y$ is nef, by taking restriction to $X \times \{y\}$ and $\{x\} \times Y$, we can see both \mathcal{L}_X and \mathcal{L}_Y are nef. So f is also surjective.

(4) This is a direct corollary of (2) and (3). □

2.3. Pairs. We also recall some notations for pairs.

For a normal variety X , let K_X be the canonical divisor, ω_X be the canonical sheaf. When X is projective, the canonical sheaf coincides with the dualizing sheaf by [KM98, Proposition 5.75]. Moreover, if X is klt, ω_X coincides with the dualizing complex.

For a reflexive sheaf \mathcal{F} , we denote the reflexive hull of the n -th tensor power of \mathcal{F} by $\mathcal{F}^{[n]}$. We will only use the case when $\mathcal{F} = \omega_X$.

A pair is a normal variety X together with an \mathbb{R} -divisor $\Delta = \sum_i a_i \Delta_i$ such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbb{R} -Cartier and $a_i \in [0, 1]$. We adapt usual definition of singularities of pairs (lc, klt, canonical, terminal).

Let (X, Δ) be a pair, $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism. Sometimes we use the notation $(X/S, \Delta)$ for a pair together with a morphism $f : X \rightarrow S$. Let $g : T \rightarrow S$ be another morphism. We define the base change $(X, \Delta) \times_S T := (X_T, \Delta_T)$, where $X_T = X \times_S T$, $\Delta_{T,i} = \Delta_i \times_S T$, $\Delta_T = \sum a_i \Delta'_i$.

We say a pair $(X/S, \Delta)$ is Calabi-Yau if $K_X + \Delta \equiv_S 0$. By [HX11, Corollary 1.6], we automatically have $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{R}, S} 0$.

Let $(X, \Delta_X), (Y, \Delta_Y)$ be two pairs. An isomorphism from (X, Δ_X) to (Y, Δ_Y) is an isomorphism $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\phi_* \Delta_X = \Delta_Y$. A pseudo-isomorphism from (X, Δ_X) to (Y, Δ_Y) is a small birational map $\phi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ such that $\phi_* \Delta_X = \Delta_Y$. In a similar way we define automorphisms and pseudo-automorphisms. We denote the automorphism group by $Aut(X, \Delta)$, and the pseudo-automorphism group by $PsAut(X, \Delta)$.

2.4. Index 1 covers. We need the following lemma, where (1)(2)(3) are well known, but we include a proof since it's hard to find direct references. (4) is extracted from the proof of [Xu24, Lemma 39], with simplifications suggested by Xintong Jiang:

Lemma 2.5. *Let (X, Δ) be a klt pair such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbb{Q} -linearly trivial and the coefficients of Δ are of the form $1 - 1/n$ for some integer n .*

Fix a section $\sigma \in H^0(X, \omega_X^{[m]}(m\Delta))$, where m is the global index, i.e. the minimal positive integer n such that $n(K_X + \Delta)$ is Cartier and linearly equivalent to 0. Consider the index 1 cover of (X, Δ) corresponding to σ , say $p : Y \rightarrow X$, as defined in [Kol13, Definition 2.49], i.e. Y is the normalization of $\text{Spec}_X \oplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \omega_X^{[i]}(\lfloor i\Delta \rfloor)$, where the multiplication structure is given by $\omega_X^{[m]}(m\Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$, $s \mapsto s\sigma^{-1}$.

Then we have:

- (1) Y is integral,
- (2) $Y = \text{Spec}_X \oplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \omega_X^{[i]}(\lfloor i\Delta \rfloor)$,
- (3) $K_Y \sim 0$, and Y has canonical singularities.
- (4) Suppose that X is proper, then any automorphism of (X, Δ) lifts to an automorphism of Y .

Proof. (1) Let s be any rational section of ω_X , then s^n is a rational section of $\omega_X^{[m]}(m\Delta)$. So we have a function $\sigma/s^m \in \mathbb{C}(X)$. To show Y is integral, it suffices to restrict to the generic fiber, i.e. it suffices to show the polynomial $q(x) = x^m - \sigma/s^m$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{C}(X)$. Let x_0 be a root of $q(x)$, then $q(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (x - x_0\xi^i)$, where ξ is a m -th root of unity. Suppose $q(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{C}(X)$, then there exists some $0 < d < n$ such that $x_0^d \in \mathbb{C}(X)$. Let d_0 be the greatest common divisor of n and d , then there exists some integers a, b such that $ad + bn = d_0$. So $x_0^{d_0} = (x_0^d)^a (x_0^n)^b \in \mathbb{C}(X)$. We may assume $d|n$ by replacing d by d_0 . Let $r = n/d$. Consider $t = x_0^d s^d$, which is a rational section of $\omega_X^{[d]}(\lfloor d\Delta \rfloor)$. Moreover, since $t^r = \sigma \in H^0(X, \omega_X^{[m]}(m\Delta))$, $d\Delta$ must be integral and t gives a trivialization of $\omega_X^{[d]}(d\Delta)$. This contradicts the minimality of m .

(2) We follow the arguments in [Kol13, 2.44].

It suffices to check that the right hand side is normal, which can be checked locally on X . So we may assume X is affine. Then for any coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{F} on X , we may use the same notation to denote the corresponding $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ -module $H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$.

Let g be the generator of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}(Y)/\mathbb{C}(X))$ that acts on $\mathbb{C}(X)\omega_X^{[i]} \subset \mathbb{C}(X) \oplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \omega_X^{[i]} = \mathbb{C}(Y)$ by multiplication by ξ . Suppose $f \in \mathbb{C}(Y)$ is integral over \mathcal{O}_X . For $0 \leq i \leq m-1$, define $f_i = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \xi^{-ij} g^j f$. Then $f = \sum f_i$, where f_i is integral and $f_i \in \mathbb{C}(X)\omega_X^{[i]}$. Then $f_i^m \in \omega_X^{[mi]}(mi\Delta)$, which implies $f_i \in \omega_X^{[i]}(\lfloor i\Delta \rfloor)$.

(3) We follow the same argument as [Kol13, 2.49], which proved the local case.

By (2), we have $p_*\mathcal{O}_Y = \oplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \omega_X^{[i]}(\lfloor i\Delta \rfloor)$. By duality for finite morphisms, see [KM98, Proposition 5.68], we have $p_*\omega_Y = p_*\mathcal{H}om_Y(\mathcal{O}_Y, \omega_Y) = \mathcal{H}om_X(p_*\mathcal{O}_Y, \omega_X) = \oplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \omega_X^{[1-i]}(-\lfloor i\Delta \rfloor)$. Replacing i by $1-i$, and observing that $\lfloor (1-i)\Delta \rfloor + \lfloor i\Delta \rfloor = 0$ by Lemma 2.6, we have $p_*\omega_Y = \oplus_{i=-m+2}^1 \omega_X^{[i]}(-\lfloor (1-i)\Delta \rfloor) = \oplus_{i=-m+2}^1 \omega_X^{[i]}(\lfloor i\Delta \rfloor) = \oplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \omega_X^{[i]}(\lfloor i\Delta \rfloor)$.

So $p_*\omega_Y$ is isomorphic to $p_*\mathcal{O}_Y$, and this isomorphism preserves the $p_*\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module structure. So ω_Y is isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_Y .

By [Kol13, Corollary 2.43], Y is klt. So Y is canonical since K_Y is Cartier.

(4) Let ϕ be an automorphism of (X, Δ) , and ϕ^* be the corresponding automorphism of $\mathbb{C}(X)$. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \overset{\psi}{\dashrightarrow} & Y \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow p \\ X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X \end{array}$$

Our goal is to construct the dashed arrow ψ . To achieve this, it suffices to show that the corresponding field extensions of p and $\phi^{-1} \circ p$ are the same.

Since ϕ is an automorphism of (X, Δ) , $\phi^*\omega_X \simeq \omega_X$, $\phi^*\Delta = \Delta$. So $\phi^*K_X \sim K_X$. Note that the index 1 cover of $K_X + \Delta$ depends only on the linear equivalence class of K_X . But p correspond to the index 1 cover of $K_X + \Delta$, while $\phi^{-1} \circ p$ correspond to the index 1 cover of $\phi^*(K_X + \Delta) = \phi^*K_X + \Delta$. So our result holds. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let $q = k/n$ be a rational number, $0 < q < 1$, $n, k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $k = n - 1$
- (2) For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\lfloor (1 - i)q \rfloor + \lfloor iq \rfloor = 0$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): $-1 < -\frac{n-1}{n} = (1 - i)q - \frac{n-1}{n} + iq - \frac{n-1}{n} \leq \lfloor (1 - i)q \rfloor + \lfloor iq \rfloor \leq (1 - i)q + iq < 1$. So $\lfloor (1 - i)q \rfloor + \lfloor iq \rfloor = 0$ since it's integral.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Choose i such that $iq \equiv n - 1 \pmod{n}$. Then $\lfloor (1 - i)q \rfloor + \lfloor iq \rfloor = -1$ unless $k = n - 1$. \square

2.5. Polyhedral type cones. Let C be a cone, we denote the rational hull of C , i.e. the cone generated by rational points in the closure of C , by C_+ .

We recall the following result from [Loo14]:

Theorem 2.7. ([Loo14, Proposition-Definition 4.1]) *Let V denote a real finite dimensional vector space equipped with a rational structure $V(\mathbb{Q}) \subset V$ and C is an open nondegenerate convex cone in V .*

Let Γ be a subgroup of $GL(V)$ which stabilizes C and some lattice in $V(\mathbb{Q})$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) *There exists a polyhedral cone Π in C_+ with $\Gamma \cdot \Pi = C_+$.*
- (ii) *There exists a polyhedral cone Π in C_+ with $\Gamma \cdot \Pi \supseteq C$.*
- (iii) *For every Γ -invariant lattice $L \subset V(\mathbb{Q})$, Γ has finitely many orbits in the set of extreme points of $[C \cap L]$.*
- (iv) *For some Γ -invariant lattice $L \subset V(\mathbb{Q})$, Γ has finitely many orbits in the set of extreme points of $[C \cap L]$.*
- (i)*-(iv)* *The corresponding property for the contragradient action of Γ on the open dual cone C° .*

Moreover, in case (ii) we necessarily have $\Gamma \cdot \Pi = C_+$. If one of these equivalent conditions is fulfilled, we say that $(V(\mathbb{Q}), C, \Gamma)$ is a polyhedral triple or simply, that (C_+, Γ) is of polyhedral type.

Remark. Moreover, when (C_+, Γ) is of polyhedral type, by [Loo14, Application 4.14], in fact there exists a rational polyhedral cone $\Pi \subset C_+$ which is a fundamental domain for the action of Γ on C_+ .

2.6. A variant of the cone conjecture. We need the following significant result on effective cone conjecture from [GLSW24], which makes it possible to relate the movable cone conjecture of a product variety with its summands:

Conjecture 2.8. ([GLSW24, Conjecture 1.2], *Effective cone conjecture*)

Let (X, Δ) be a klt Calabi–Yau pair. Then there exists a rational polyhedral cone $\Pi \subset \mathcal{B}^e(X)$ such that

$$PsAut(X, \Delta)\Pi = \mathcal{B}^e(X)$$

and for every $g \in PsAut(X, \Delta)$, $g^\Pi^\circ \cap \Pi^\circ \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $g^* = id$.*

[GLSW24, Theorem 1.5] shows that the effective cone conjecture is equivalent to the movable cone conjecture assuming abundance.

2.7. Variation of Hodge structures. We briefly review some definitions and facts on variation of Hodge structures.

We first recall the definition of Hodge structures:

Definition 2.9. ([CZGT14, Definition 3.1.2]) *A Hodge structure of weight k is defined by following the data:*

1. *A finitely generated abelian group $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$;*
2. *A descending filtration F^\bullet by complex subspaces $F^p V_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $V_{\mathbb{C}} = V_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ satisfying*

$$V_{\mathbb{C}} = F^p V_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \overline{F^{k-p+1} V_{\mathbb{C}}}$$

We denote the (p, q) -part of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ by $V_{\mathbb{C}}^{p,q} = F^p V_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \overline{F^q V_{\mathbb{C}}}$ for $p + q = k$.

We denote a Hodge structure by $(V_{\mathbb{Z}}, F^\bullet)$.

Remark. Sometimes $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is required to be free, which can be achieved easily by modulo the torsion subgroup. Our definition is sometimes called a \mathbb{Z} -Hodge structure in the literature.

Definition 2.10. ([CZGT14, Definition 3.2.13]) *A polarization of a Hodge structure $(V_{\mathbb{Z}}, F^\bullet)$ of weight k is a map $S : V_{\mathbb{C}} \times V_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that:*

- (1) *S is linear for the first factor and anti-linear for the second factor,*
- (2) *$S(x, y) = (-1)^n S(y, x)$ for $x, y \in V_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $S(F^p, F^q) = 0$ for $p + q > n$,*
- (3) *(Hodge-Riemann relation) $S(C(V)u, v)$ is a positive definite Hermitian form on $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ where $C(V)$ denotes the Weil action on H , i.e. $C(V)u := i^{p-q}u$ for $u \in V_{\mathbb{C}}^{p,q}$.*

Remark. It's well known that the cohomology $H^i(X, \mathbb{Z})$ of a nonsingular projective variety of dimension d naturally carries a Hodge structure, see [CZGT14, Theorem 3.1.14]. If we fix an ample divisor A , then the primitive part of $H^i(X, \mathbb{Z})$ carries a polarized Hodge structure.

It worth noting that if we assume $H^i(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is primitive, then we have a polarized Hodge structure on $H^d(X, \mathbb{Z})$ without giving an ample divisor A .

Then we recall the definition of variation of Hodge structures, which describes a family of Hodge structures:

Definition 2.11. ([CZGT14, Definition 7.3.4]) *Let B be a connected complex manifold, a variation of Hodge structure of weight k (VHS) over B consists of a local system $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of free \mathbb{Z} -modules and a filtration of the associated holomorphic vector bundle $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_B$:*

$$\dots \subset \mathcal{F}^p \subset \mathcal{F}^{p-1} \subset \dots$$

by holomorphic subbundles \mathcal{F}^p satisfying:

1. $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{F}^p \oplus \overline{\mathcal{F}^{k-p+1}}$ as C^∞ bundles, where the conjugation is relative to the local system of real vector spaces $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}} := \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

2. Griffiths transversality: $\nabla(\mathcal{F}^p) \subset \Omega_B^1 \otimes \mathcal{F}^{p-1}$, where ∇ denotes the flat connection on \mathcal{V} , and we denote the vector bundle and the associated sheaf of sections by the same notation \mathcal{F}^p .

We denote a variation of Hodge structure by $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$.

Remark. For any $t \in B$, the restriction $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z},t}, \mathcal{F}_t^\bullet)$ is a Hodge structure.

Definition 2.12. *A polarization of a variation of Hodge structure $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$ over B consists of a bilinear form $Q_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that:*

For any $t \in B$, the restriction of $Q_{\mathcal{V}}$ on t gives a polarization of the Hodge structure $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z},t}, \mathcal{F}_{t,\bullet})$.

It's known that a family of Kähler manifolds gives a variation of Hodge structure, and we restrict ourself to algebraic case for simplicity:

Theorem 2.13. ([Voi02, 10.2.1]) *Let $\phi : X \rightarrow B$ be a family of smooth projective varieties, i.e. ϕ is smooth projective morphism between algebraic varieties.*

Then $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}} := R^k \phi_ \mathbb{Z}_X / \{\text{Torsion}\}$ is a local system. Let \mathcal{V} be the associated holomorphic vector bundle $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_B$. Then there exists holomorphic subbundles \mathcal{F}^p such that*

- (1) $\mathcal{F}^p \subset \mathcal{V}$ can be identified with $F^p H^k(X_b, \mathbb{C}) \subset H^k(X_b, \mathbb{C})$ for every $b \in B$,
- (2) $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ is a variation of Hodge structure.

Remark. This $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ is called the variation of Hodge structure associated to ϕ .

If we consider the primitive part of the cohomology (with respect to some polarization), we can further obtain a polarized variation of Hodge structure:

Theorem 2.14. ([Gri70, Section 2]) *Notations as Theorem 2.13, and let $\dim X/B = m$. Let A be an ample divisor on X over B , and $L : R^i \phi_* \mathbb{Z}_X \rightarrow R^{i+2} \phi_* \mathbb{Z}_X$ be the Lefschetz operator, which acts pointwisely by $(-)\cup c_1(A|_{X_b}) : H^k(X_b, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{k+2}(X_b, \mathbb{Z})$.*

Let $0 \leq k \leq m$, and $(R^k \phi_ \mathbb{Z}_X)_{\text{prim}}$ be the kernel of $L^{m-k+1} : R^k \phi_* \mathbb{Z}_X \rightarrow R^{2m-k+2} \phi_* \mathbb{Z}_X$.*

Then $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}} := (R^k \phi_ \mathbb{Z}_X)_{\text{prim}} / \{\text{Torsion}\}$ is a local system. Let \mathcal{V} be the associated holomorphic vector bundle $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_B$. Then there exists holomorphic subbundles \mathcal{F}^p such that*

- (1) $\mathcal{F}^p \subset \mathcal{V}$ can be identified with $F^p H_{\text{prim}}^k(X_b, \mathbb{C}) \subset H_{\text{prim}}^k(X_b, \mathbb{C})$ for every $b \in B$,
- (2) $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ is a variation of Hodge structure.

(3) There exists a polarization $Q_{\mathcal{V}}$ of the variation of Hodge structure $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{F}_{\bullet})$ such that for any $t \in B$, the restriction of $Q_{\mathcal{V}}$ on t is given by $H_{\text{prim}}^k(X_b, \mathbb{C}) \times H_{\text{prim}}^k(X_b, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $(u, v) \mapsto L^{m-k}(u \cup v)$.

Remark. This $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{F}_{\bullet}, Q_{\mathcal{V}})$ is called the polarized variation of Hodge structure associated to (ϕ, A) . If moreover $k = m$ and $H^m(X_b, \mathbb{C})$ is primitive, $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{F}_{\bullet}, Q_{\mathcal{V}})$ is independent of A .

2.8. Period domains and Period maps. Following [Gri70, Section 8], we recall some basic facts on period domains and period maps. We also recommend [Sch73, Section 2,3] for a beautiful review.

Let $E = E_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ be a complex vector space with a real structure and $0 < h_0 \leq h_1 \leq \dots \leq h_{n-1} < h_n = \dim E$ an increasing sequence of integers such that $h_{n-q-1} = h_n - h_q$ for $0 < q < n$. We also assume given a non-degenerate bilinear form $Q : E_{\mathbb{R}} \times E_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $Q(e, e') = (-1)^n Q(e', e)$, which extends \mathbb{C} -linearly to E .

Consider the set \check{D} of all filtrations $F^0 \subset \dots \subset F^n = E$, $\dim F^q = h_q$, which satisfy the first Riemann bilinear relations, i.e. $Q(F^q, F^{n-q-1}) = 0$.

Consider the subset \mathcal{D} of \check{D} , which satisfy the second Riemann bilinear relations, i.e. $Q(F^q, \overline{F^q})$ is non-degenerate, and $(-1)^q (-i)^n Q(E^q, \overline{E^q})$ is positive-definite.

Proposition 2.15. ([Gri70, Proposition 8.12]) \mathcal{D} is an open submanifold of \check{D} , and $\mathcal{D} = G/H$, where G is a real, simple, non-compact Lie group, H is a compact subgroup. G can be chosen to be the group of real linear transform of $E_{\mathbb{R}}$ preserving Q .

Remark. \mathcal{D} is called the period domain.

Assume that we have a polarized variation of Hodge structure $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{F}_{\bullet}, Q)$ on B , whose Hodge number is compatible with the period domain \mathcal{D} . Then we have a monodromy representation $\rho : \pi_1(B, b) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}|_b}) \subset G$. The image of ρ in G is called the monodromy group of the polarized variation of Hodge structure $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{F}_{\bullet}, Q)$. Let $\Gamma_a := \text{Aut}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}|_b}) \subset G$ be the arithmetic monodromy group.

The quotient spaces $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{D}$ and $\Gamma_a \backslash \mathcal{D}$ are separated analytic spaces by compactness of H . See [CZGT14, (7.4.5)] for more explanations. We have a quotient map $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \Gamma_a \backslash \mathcal{D}$.

To state the correspondence between polarized variation of Hodge structures and period maps, we need some more definitions:

Definition 2.16. ([Gri70, Section 9(a)]) A continuous mapping $\Phi : B \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{D}$ is said to be locally liftable if for any $b \in B$, there exists an analytic neighborhood U of b and a continuous mapping $\tilde{\Phi} : U \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathcal{D} \\ & \nearrow \tilde{\Phi} & \downarrow \\ U & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

A locally liftable mapping Φ is holomorphic if the local liftings are holomorphic, and a locally liftable holomorphic mapping Φ is said to satisfy the infinitesimal period relation if the local liftings satisfy the infinitesimal period relation.

Remark. The infinitesimal period relation is now called Griffiths transversality. We don't recall the definition because we will not use it. We refer to [Gri70, (9.1)].

Then we have the correspondence between polarized variation of Hodge structures and period maps:

Theorem 2.17. ([Gri70, Proposition 9.3]) *The giving of a polarized variation of Hodge structure with monodromy group Γ is equivalent to giving a locally liftable holomorphic mapping $\Phi : B \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{D}$ which satisfies the infinitesimal period relation.*

Remark. We call the corresponding Φ the period map associated to the polarized variation of Hodge structure. Note that when we talk about the differentials of Φ , we are really talking about the differentials of the local lifting.

3. BOUNDEDNESS RESULTS FOR FIBERED CALABI-YAU PAIRS IN DIMENSION 3

3.1. Strict klt Calabi-Yau pairs.

Lemma 3.1. *Let (X, Δ) be a klt Calabi-Yau pair of dimension 3. Assume further that the Iitaka dimension $\kappa(-K_X) = 0$. We may run $K_X + (1 + \epsilon)\Delta$ -MMP on X and terminate with a klt Calabi-Yau threefold X^{min} . Assume the augmented irregularity of X^{min} is trivial.*

Then $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = h^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$.

Remark. This will be our main object in this section. We will call it a "strict klt Calabi-Yau pair". If (X, Δ) is terminal, we may call it a "strict terminal Calabi-Yau pair". If $\Delta = 0$, we call X a strict klt Calabi-Yau variety.

Also, it's clear that this definition is independent of the choice of X^{min} .

Remark. The assumption that $\kappa(-K_X) = 0$ implies that the coefficients lie in a finite set, since the index of $K_{X^{min}}$ is bounded by [Xu19b, Theorem 1.11].

Proof. Since X , X^{min} have rational singularities and they are Cohen-Macaulay, see [KM98, Corollary 2.88], it suffices to show $h^1(X^{min}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{min}}) = h^2(X^{min}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{min}}) = 0$.

Let \tilde{X} be the index 1 cover of X^{min} , see [KM98, Definition 5.19]. Since the augmented irregularity of X^{min} is trivial, $h^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})$ is trivial. By [KM98, Proposition 5.75], the canonical sheaf $\omega_{\tilde{X}}$ coincides with the dualizing sheaf.

By assumption, the canonical sheaf $\omega_{\tilde{X}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$. So Serre duality gives $h^2(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = h^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = 0$. Finally, $h^1(X^{min}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{min}}) = h^2(X^{min}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{min}}) = 0$ by [KM98, Proposition 5.7 (2)].

□

3.2. Boundedness of bases. It's know that the set of K3 surfaces is not bounded, so the product of a K3 surface and an elliptic curve shows that the set of bases of elliptic Calabi-Yau pairs is not bounded.

Nevertheless, we can prove the following result:

Theorem 3.2. *Let V be the set of surfaces B such that*

(1) There exists a klt Calabi-Yau pair (X, Δ) of dimension 3, and a fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow B$, such that the generic fiber of π is a curve;

(2) $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = h^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$;

(3) The coefficients of Δ lie in a finite set $\Phi \subset \mathbb{Q}$.

Then V is bounded.

Proof. By Canonical bundle formula, we may write $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(K_B + \Delta_B + M_B)$, where $(B, \Delta_B + M_B)$ is a g-klt pair. By [Fil20, Remark 5.1], we may choose $0 \leq \Delta'_B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} M_B$ such that $(B, \Delta_B + \Delta'_B)$ is klt, and the coefficients of $\Delta_B + \Delta'_B$ belong to a DCC set of rational numbers only depending on n and Φ . By global ACC, the coefficients of $\Delta_B + \Delta'_B$ lie in a finite set by [HMX12, Theorem 1.5]. By [Xu19a, Theorem 1.5], the index of $K_X + \Delta_B + \Delta'_B$ is bounded, in particular, X is ϵ -lc for some fixed ϵ .

We claim that $h^i(B, \mathcal{O}_B) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. By Hodge symmetry for klt pairs, see [Sch16, Theorem 1], $h^i(B, \mathcal{O}_B) = h^0(B, \Omega_B^{[i]})$ for $i = 1, 2$. By [Sch16, Theorem 2], we may pullback the differential forms to X , so we have an injection $H^0(B, \Omega_B^{[i]}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^{[i]}) = H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. So our claim holds.

If $\Delta_B + M_B$ is nonzero or B is not canonical, then B is uniruled, hence is rational or ruled. When B is rational, B is bounded by [Bir23, Theorem 1.6]. When B is birational to a non-rational ruled surface, $h^1(B, \mathcal{O}_B) \neq 0$, which cannot appear.

Otherwise, we have $K_B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$, and B is canonical. Let S be the minimal resolution of B . Since B has rational singularities, $h^i(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = h^i(B, \mathcal{O}_B) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. By classification of surfaces, S must be an Enriques surfaces. Then all possible B is bounded by [FHS21, Theorem 6.3].

□

3.3. Boundedness of rational multi-sections. In order to prove boundedness of curve fibered Calabi-Yau pairs in dimension 3, we also need boundedness of rational multi-sections.

If the generic fiber is \mathbb{P}^1 , the result is known:

Proposition 3.3. *Let (X, Δ) be a klt Calabi-Yau pair of dimension 3 with $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = h^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, the coefficients of Δ lie in a finite set $\Phi \subset \mathbb{Q}$, and $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration.*

Then there exists an integer d depending on Φ such that π admits a rational multi-section of degree d .

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, the set of all possible B is bounded. In particular, we may pick a general very ample divisor A on B such that $A^2 \leq v$ for some fixed v . Then $\pi : (X, \Delta + \frac{1}{2}\pi^*A) \rightarrow (B, \Delta_B)$ is an element in $\mathcal{F}(3, v, \Phi)$ in the sense of [Fil20, Theorem 6.1]. So all such π is bounded by a family depending only on Φ , and in particular such d exists.

□

Then we deal with elliptic fibrations:

Proposition 3.4. *Let X be a strict klt Calabi-Yau threefold, $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ is an elliptic fibration.*

Then there exists an integer d such that π admits a rational multi-section of degree d .

Proof. Let $\mu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the index 1 cover of X , and $p : Y \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ a \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminalization. Then the degree of μ is bounded by [Xu19b, Theorem 1.11].

Let $Y \rightarrow C \rightarrow B$ be the Stein factorization of $\pi \circ \mu \circ p$. Then $Y \rightarrow C$ is an elliptic fibration of terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau threefold in the sense of [FHS21], so it lies in a bounded family by [FHS21, Theorem 1.1]. In particular, $Y \rightarrow C$ admits a rational multi-section of bounded degree. Moreover, the degree of $C \rightarrow B$ is bounded by the degree of μ , so $Y \rightarrow B$ admits a rational multi-section σ of bounded degree.

Then $\mu \circ p \circ \sigma$ exhibits a multi-section of π of bounded degree. □

Proposition 3.5. *Let (X, Δ) be a strict klt Calabi-Yau pair of dimension 3, $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ is an elliptic fibration.*

Then there exists an integer d such that π admits a rational multi-section of degree d .

Proof. Let $(X, \Delta) \rightarrow B$ be an elliptic fibration. By assumption, Δ is vertical, we may run $K_X + (1 + \epsilon)\Delta$ -MMP on X over B , which terminates with a good minimal model $(Y, (1 + \epsilon)\Delta_Y)$, inducing Iitaka fibration $f : Y \rightarrow C$. In sum, we have:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, \Delta) & \dashrightarrow & (Y, \Delta_Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longleftarrow & C \end{array}$$

Since Δ_Y is vertical, $g : C \rightarrow B$ is birational. By definition of Iitaka fibration, there exists some \mathbb{Q} -Cartier \mathbb{Q} -divisor Δ_C on C such that $\Delta_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, B} f^* \Delta_C$. We may further assume $\Delta_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^* \Delta_C$ by adding some \mathbb{Q} -Cartier \mathbb{Q} -divisor of the form $g^* D$, where D is a \mathbb{Q} -Cartier \mathbb{Q} -divisor on B . Moreover, since $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m\Delta)) = h^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m\Delta_Y)) = h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(m\Delta_C)) = 1$ holds for sufficiently divisible m by projection formula, there exists a unique effective \mathbb{Q} -Cartier \mathbb{Q} -divisor Δ'_C such that $\Delta_C \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \Delta'_C$. Replacing Δ_C by Δ'_C , we may assume Δ_C is effective.

Now we claim that $\Delta_Y = f^* \Delta_C$. Indeed, both of them are effective \mathbb{Q} -Cartier \mathbb{Q} -divisors, and they are known to be \mathbb{Q} -linearly equivalent, so they must be the same as $h^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m\Delta_Y)) = 1$ for sufficiently divisible m . Moreover, we have $\kappa(\Delta_C) = \kappa(\Delta_Y) = \kappa(\Delta) = 0$, which still follow from the fact that $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m\Delta)) = h^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m\Delta_Y)) = h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(m\Delta_C)) = 1$ for sufficiently divisible m .

Note that C admits a boundary divisor D_C such that (C, D_C) is a klt Calabi-Yau pair by [Fil20, Remark 5.1]. We may run $K_C + D_C + \epsilon\Delta_C$ -MMP, which terminates with C^{min} , such that $C \rightarrow C^{min}$ contracts all the components of Δ_C . Since Δ_Y is a very exceptional divisor over C^{min} in the sense of [Bir11, Definition 3.1], by [Bir11, Theorem 1.8], we may run $K_Y + (1 + \epsilon)\Delta_Y$ -MMP on Y over C^{min} , and terminate with Y^{min} such

that $K_Y^{min} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$, and $\Delta_{Y^{min}} = 0$. In sum, we have:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (X, \Delta) & \dashrightarrow & (Y, \Delta_Y) & \dashrightarrow & (Y^{min}, 0) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longleftarrow & C & \longrightarrow & C^{min} \end{array}$$

Since horizontal arrows are birational, and rational multi-sections are preserved by birational equivalences, we may replace $(X, \Delta) \rightarrow B$ by $(Y^{min}, 0) \rightarrow C^{min}$. Then the result follows from Proposition 3.4. \square

3.4. Boundedness of marked curve fibered Calabi-Yau pairs. In order to deduce finiteness of curve fibrations up to automorphism, we need boundedness of curve fibered Calabi-Yau pairs. And then one might apply some discreteness arguments.

For surface fibrations, we are not able to prove boundedness of surface fibered Calabi-Yau pairs. Nevertheless, it turns out that the following stronger version of boundedness of curve fibered Calabi-Yau pairs leads to finiteness of surface fibrations up to automorphism:

Definition 3.6. *A v -marked curve fibration of a terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pair of dimension d consists of the following data:*

(1) *A terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pair (X, Δ) with a fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ such that $\dim B = d - 1$;*

(2) *A very ample divisor A on B such that $A^{d-1} \leq v$.*

We may write it as a 5-tuple (X, Δ, B, π, A) .

We say two v -marked curve fibrations of terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pairs (X, Δ, B, π, A) and $(X', \Delta', B', \pi', A')$ are isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism $(X, \Delta, B, \pi, [A]) \simeq (X', \Delta', B', \pi', [A'])$, where $[-]$ denotes the numerical equivalence class.

*More precisely, an isomorphism $(X, \Delta, B, \pi, [A]) \simeq (X', \Delta', B', \pi', [A'])$ consists of two isomorphisms $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow (X', \Delta')$, $g : B \rightarrow B'$ such that $g \circ \pi = \pi' \circ f$ and g^*A' is numerically equivalent to A .*

Definition 3.7. *A set V of v -marked curve fibrations of terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pairs of dimension d is said to be bounded if the following holds:*

There exists a pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ and quasi-projective varieties \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{S} with a \mathbb{Q} -Cartier \mathbb{Q} -divisor \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{B} and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{B} \\ & \searrow g & \swarrow h \\ & & \mathcal{S} \end{array}$$

of projective morphisms such that for any v -marked curve fibration of a terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pair $(X, \Delta, B, \pi, A) \in V$ there exists a closed point $s \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $(X, \Delta, B, \pi, A) \simeq (\mathcal{X}_s, \mathcal{D}_s, \mathcal{B}_s, f_s, [\mathcal{A}_s])$, where $[-]$ denotes the numerical equivalence class.

Remark. We will only consider boundedness of the set of v -marked curve fibrations of strict terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pairs of dimension 3.

We need the following lemma, which is a slight modification of [FHS21, Theorem 4.2], and the proof is exactly the same:

Lemma 3.8. [FHS21, Theorem 4.2] *Let (X_0, Δ_0) be a terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial pair. Assume that $K_{X_0} + \Delta_0 \equiv 0$, $H^1(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}) = 0$ and $H^2(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}) = 0$. Given a deformation $(X, \Delta) \rightarrow (T, 0)$ of (X_0, Δ_0) over a smooth variety T , then (X, Δ) is terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial, $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, T} 0$ over a neighborhood of $0 \in T$. Furthermore, after an étale base change, the following facts hold:*

- (1) $\overline{A}(X_\eta) \supset \overline{A}(X_0)$;
- (2) $\overline{B}(X/T) \subset \overline{B}(X_0)$; and
- (3) $\overline{M}(X/T) \supset \overline{M}(X_0)$.

Then we prove boundedness of v -marked curve fibrations of strict terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pairs of dimension 3:

Theorem 3.9. *Fix a positive real number v . The set of v -marked curve fibrations of strict terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pairs of dimension 3 forms a bounded family.*

Remark. By Theorem 3.2, the set of bases of curve fibrations of strict terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pairs forms a bounded family, so there exists a universal constant v_0 such that any curve fibration of a strict terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pairs of dimension 3 admits a v_0 -marking.

Proof. Step 1: boundedness in codimension 1

By Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.5, there exists a positive integer C , independent of the triple (X, Δ, B, π, A) , such that π admits a rational d -section, for some $d \leq C$. By the remark after Lemma 3.1, the coefficients of Δ lie in a finite set Φ . Possibly enlarging Φ , we may assume $0, \frac{1}{2} \in \Phi$.

Let (X, Δ, B, π, A) be a v -marked curve fibrations of strict terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pair of dimension 3. Then we may replace A by a general member in its linear system. Now consider the morphism of pairs $\pi : (X, \Delta + \frac{1}{2}\pi^*A) \rightarrow (B, \Delta_B)$, where Δ_B is given by taking canonical bundle formula with respect to $\pi : (X, \Delta + \frac{1}{2}\pi^*A) \rightarrow B$ and then choosing a suitable representative of the moduli part as in [Fil20, Remark 5.1].

In the notation of [Fil20, Theorem 7.2], $\pi : (X, \Delta + \frac{1}{2}\pi^*A) \rightarrow (B, \Delta_B)$ is an element in $\mathfrak{C}(3, v, \Phi, C)$, so the set of such π forms a bounded family in codimension 1, in the sense of [Fil20, Definition 2.6]:

There exists a pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ and quasi-projective varieties \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{S} with a reduced Weil divisor \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{X} and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{B} \\ & \searrow g & \swarrow h \\ & & \mathcal{S} \end{array}$$

of projective morphisms such that for any morphisms $\pi : (X, \Delta + \frac{1}{2}\pi^*A) \rightarrow B$ constructed as above, there exists some closed point $s \in \mathcal{S}$ such that there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}_s & \overset{\mu_s}{\dashrightarrow} & X \\ f_s \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathcal{B}_s & \xrightarrow{\nu_s} & B \end{array}$$

where ν_s is an isomorphism, μ_s is an isomorphism in codimension 1, and $\text{Supp}(\mu_s^{-1}A) \subset \text{Supp}(\mathcal{A}_s)$, $\text{Supp}(\mu_s^{-1}\Delta) \subset \text{Supp}(\mathcal{D}_s)$. From the proof of [Fil20, Theorem 7.2], we may further assume the \mathcal{X}_s above is \mathbb{Q} -factorial. Note that the boundary divisor on the base is ignored here.

Step 2: descent of the boundary

By passing to a flattening stratification, we may assume g and h are flat. As in [BDS20, Proposition 2.10], by passing to a stratification and possibly discarding some components, we may assume that:

- 1) \mathcal{S} is smooth,
- 2) \mathcal{A} does not contain any fibre of g ,
- 3) every fibre \mathcal{X}_s is a normal variety, and
- 4) for any $s \in \mathcal{S}$ and any irreducible component \mathcal{A}' of \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{A}'_s is either empty or an irreducible prime divisor on \mathcal{X}_s .

By copying the fibrations $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ for finitely many times and equipping each with one single irreducible component of \mathcal{A} and a subset of irreducible components of \mathcal{D} with coefficients in Φ , we may assume further that the above inclusion $\text{Supp}(\mu_s^{-1}A) \subset \text{Supp}(\mathcal{A}_s)$ is an equality, the coefficient of \mathcal{A} is $1/2$ and $\mu_s^{-1}\Delta = \mathcal{D}_s$.

After an étale base change and a stratification, we may assume Lemma 3.8 holds, i.e. for each connected component U of \mathcal{S} , there exists a distinguished point 0 such that Lemma 3.8 holds for $(U, 0)$.

Up to a stratification, we may also assume the set of points $s \in \mathcal{S}$ such that the fiber over s is isomorphic in codimension 1 to some v -marked curve fibrations of strict terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pair is dense.

Since \mathcal{X} is \mathbb{Q} -factorial, \mathcal{A} is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier. For any ample divisor \mathcal{H} on \mathcal{X} and any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{H} + n\mathcal{A}$ is ample over \mathcal{B} since this holds for a dense set of fibers and ampleness is an open condition. This means \mathcal{A} is numerically trivial over \mathcal{B} . By the same argument, $K_{\mathcal{X}} + \mathcal{D}$ is also numerically trivial over \mathcal{B} .

Let $c = 0, 1$. Since $(\mathcal{X}_s, \mathcal{D}_s + \frac{c}{2}\mathcal{A}_s)$ is klt for a dense subset of \mathcal{S} , by iterated inversion of adjunction, $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D} + \frac{c}{2}\mathcal{A})$ is klt. By [HX11, Corollary 1.6], $K_{\mathcal{X}} + \mathcal{D} + \frac{c}{2}\mathcal{A}$ is \mathbb{Q} -linearly trivial over \mathcal{B} . Taking the difference for $c = 0, 1$, we deduce that \mathcal{A} is \mathbb{Q} -linearly trivial over \mathcal{B} .

In particular, there exists an ample \mathbb{Q} -divisor \mathcal{H} on \mathcal{B} such that $f^*\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{A}$.

Step 3: application of the cone conjecture

In this step, we essentially follow the same argument as [FHS21, Theorem 6.18].

For any v -marked curve fibrations of strict terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pairs of dimension 3 (X, Δ, B, π, A) , there exists an irreducible component \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{S} such that for

some closed point $t \in \mathcal{T}$, $(X', \Delta', B, \pi', [A]) \simeq (\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}_t, f_t, [\mathcal{H}_t])$, where (X', Δ', π') is isomorphic in codimension 1 with (X, Δ, π) over B .

By [Li23, Theorem 1.4], $(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{T}}$ admits only finitely many minimal models $(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T},1}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T},1}), \dots, (\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T},k}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T},k})$ over $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{T}}$, up to isomorphism over $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{T}}$. Since X and X' are \mathbb{Q} -factorial and isomorphic in codimension 1, there exists some divisor H which lies in the closure of the movable cone of X'/B such that the MMP of $(X', \Delta + \epsilon H)$ over B terminates with X , where ϵ is a small enough positive number. This H can be chosen to be the strict transform of some ample divisor C on X . We may view H as a divisor on \mathcal{X}_t and extend to a divisor \mathcal{H} on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$ which lies in the closure of the movable cone of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T}}/\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{T}}$ by Lemma 3.8.

Then we run MMP of $(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{D} + \epsilon \mathcal{H})$ over $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{T}}$, which terminates with one of $(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T},i}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T},i})$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. By [HMX18, Section 3], this MMP restricts to a sequence of H -negative birational maps, which must be an isomorphism in codimension 1. So the induced birational map $\phi : (X, \Delta) \dashrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T},i,t}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T},i,t})$ is an isomorphism in codimension 1, and the strict transform of the ample divisor C on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T},i,t}$ is nef. By negativity lemma, this implies ϕ is crepant and ϕ^{-1} is a morphism. But then ϕ must be an isomorphism since they are both \mathbb{Q} -factorial.

Hence we can give a family bounding all v -marked curve fibrations of strict terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pairs of dimension 3 by taking all relative minimal models of all components of $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. □

4. EXTRACTION OF STRICT CALABI-YAU PAIRS

4.1. Some Hodge theoretic properties of strict terminal Calabi-Yau pairs.

Lemma 4.1. *Let X be a terminal variety of dimension 3, then the natural map $H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow IH^3(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is surjective.*

Proof. Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be an analytic \mathbb{Q} -factorization, which exists by [Kaw88, Corollary 4.5']. By [Kol89, Corollary 4.12], π induces an isomorphism $IH^3(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow IH^3(Y, \mathbb{Q})$. Moreover, by [Kol89, Lemma 4.2], Y is a \mathbb{Q} -homology manifold, so the natural map $H^3(Y, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow IH^3(Y, \mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism.

Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^3(Y, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & IH^3(Y, \mathbb{Q}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \simeq \\ H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & IH^3(X, \mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

It suffices to show the natural map $H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^3(Y, \mathbb{Q})$ is surjective.

Consider the spectral sequence $H^i(X, R^j \pi_* \mathbb{Q}_Y) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_Y)$. Since π is small, it follows that $H^i(X, R^j \pi_* \mathbb{Q}_Y) = 0$ for $i > 0, j > 0$ or $j > 2$, so $H^0(X, R^3 \pi_* \mathbb{Q}_Y) = H^1(X, R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{Q}_Y) = H^2(X, R^1 \pi_* \mathbb{Q}_Y) = 0$. So the natural map $H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^3(Y, \mathbb{Q})$, which is identified with the edge morphism of E_2 page of the spectral sequence, is surjective.

□

Lemma 4.2. *Let X be a terminal variety of dimension 3 such that $h^0(X, \omega_X) = 1$, and $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ be a resolution of singularities. Then the image of the natural map $H^3(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^3(Y, \mathbb{C})$ contains $H^{3,0}(Y, \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Since X has rational singularities by [Kol13, Corollary 2.88], so $h^0(Y, \omega_Y) = 1$ by [Kol13, Definition 2.76 (3)].

$H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^3(Y, \mathbb{Q})$ is a morphism of mixed Hodge structures, and it is injective in weight 3 part by [Max19, Theorem 11.1.19 (iv)]. Since $H^{3,0}(Y, \mathbb{C})$ is of dimension 1, it suffices to show that $(3, 0)$ -part of $Gr_3^W H^3(X, \mathbb{C})$ is nonzero. By Lemma 4.1, $H^3(X, \mathbb{C})$ maps surjectively to $IH^3(X, \mathbb{C})$. So it suffices to show $(3, 0)$ -part of $IH^3(X, \mathbb{C})$ is nonzero.

Let U be the smooth locus of X . Possibly passing to a higher resolution, we may assume the exceptional locus is snc, say E . By [Max19, Theorem 11.4.4], we have $IH^3(X, \mathbb{C}) \simeq Gr_3^W H_c^3(U, \mathbb{C})$. Consider the exact sequence of mixed Hodge structures

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_c^3(U, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^3(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^3(E, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

By [CZGT14, Corollary 3.2.33], $Gr_3^W H^3(E)$ does not contain $(3, 0)$ -part, since it is a subquotient of Hodge structures given by varieties of dimension at most 2. So we conclude our result.

□

Lemma 4.3. *Let X be a terminal variety of dimension 3, and $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ be a resolution of singularities. Let V be the image of the natural map $H^3(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^3(Y, \mathbb{C})$.*

Then the intersection form of $H^3(Y, \mathbb{C})$ is nondegenerate when restricted to V , and this defines a bilinear form on V , which is independent of the choice of the resolution.

Proof. Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ be an analytic \mathbb{Q} -factorization, which exists by [Kaw88, Corollary 4.5'], and W be a common resolution of Z and Y , with natural maps $p : W \rightarrow Y$, $q : W \rightarrow Z$. We note that by [Moi67, Theorem 5], W can be chosen to be projective, while Z might not be projective.

Then we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H^3(W, \mathbb{C}) & \\ p^* \nearrow & & \nwarrow q^* \\ H^3(Y, \mathbb{C}) & & H^3(Z, \mathbb{C}) \\ \mu^* \nwarrow & & \nearrow \pi^* \\ & H^3(X, \mathbb{C}) & \end{array}$$

Then $H^3(Y, \mathbb{C})$, $H^3(Z, \mathbb{C})$, $H^3(W, \mathbb{C})$ admit natural intersection forms, and p^* , q^* are compatible with them.

So it suffices to show the intersection form is nondegenerate when restricted to $p^*V = q^*\pi^*H^3(X, \mathbb{C}) = q^*H^3(Z, \mathbb{C})$, since π^* is surjective by Lemma 4.1. But this follows immediately from the compatibility of q^* with the intersection form and the fact that Poincaré duality holds for the \mathbb{Q} -homology manifold Z .

To show independence of resolution, Let $\mu_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow X$, $\mu_2 : Y_2 \rightarrow X$ be two resolutions, and Y_0 be a common resolution, with $p_1 : Y_0 \rightarrow Y_1$, $p_2 : Y_0 \rightarrow Y_2$. Then the intersection form defined by μ_1 and μ_2 are the same by compatibility of p_1^* , p_2^* with the intersection form. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let X be a terminal variety of dimension 3, and $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ be a resolution of singularities. Let V be the image of the natural map $H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(Y, \mathbb{Z})$.*

Then V is equipped with a pure polarized Hodge structure by restriction of the Hodge filtration and intersection form of $H^3(Y, \mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, this polarized Hodge structure is independent of the resolution.

Proof. Let $(H^3(Y, \mathbb{Z}), F^\bullet, Q)$ be the natural polarized Hodge structure associated to $H^3(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ as in the remark after Definition 2.10. We equip V with a pure polarized Hodge structure $(V_{\mathbb{Z}}, F_V^\bullet, Q|_V)$ as follows:

Let $F_V^p := F^p \cap V \subset V$ be the Hodge filtration of V , and $Q|_V$ be the polarization.

Then this gives a Hodge structure since this filtration coincides with the Hodge structure of the weight 3 part of the mixed Hodge structure of $H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$ by [Max19, Theorem 11.1.19(iv)]. Moreover, this is clearly independent of the choice of the resolution.

To show that this gives a polarized Hodge structure, it remains to show Hodge-Riemann relation, i.e. $i^{p-q}Q_V(\alpha, \beta)$ is positive definite for α, β in (p, q) -part of V , and different terms in the Hodge decomposition are orthogonal.

Indeed, orthogonal property and positive semi-definiteness follows from the corresponding result for $H^3(Y, \mathbb{Z})$, and positive definiteness follows from nondegeneracy, which is shown in Lemma 4.3. □

4.2. Period maps. Following the notation of [Amb05], we fix the following setup:

- (X, B) is a klt pair of dimension d , such that $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$.
- $f : X \rightarrow S$ is a projective contraction to a nonsingular algebraic variety S .
- $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ is a resolution of singularities, $K_Y + B_Y = \mu^*(K_X + B)$ is the log pullback, and E is the support of the fractional part of B_Y . We assume that E has snc support and $\mu_*T_Y\langle -E \rangle$ is a reflexive sheaf (such a resolution exists by [Amb05, Lemma 1.1]).
- Let m be the index of $K_Y + B_Y$ over the generic point of S , φ be a function on Y such that $(\varphi) = m(K_Y + B_Y)$ over the generic point of S and \tilde{Y} be the index 1 cover with respect to φ . Let V be a resolution of \tilde{Y} .
- The families $(Y, B_Y) \rightarrow S$ and $V \rightarrow S$ are relatively log smooth over an open subset U of S . Let $\kappa_s : T_{S,s} \rightarrow H^1(Y_s, T_{Y_s}\langle -E_s \rangle)$, $\kappa_{V_s} : T_{S,s} \rightarrow H^1(V_s, T_{V_s})$ be the induced Kodaira-Spencer class.
- Let $\sigma_s^1 : T_{S,s} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H^0(Y_s, \mathcal{O}_{Y_s}([-B_Y])), \text{Ext}_{Y_s}^1(\Omega_{Y_s}^1\langle E_s \rangle, \mathcal{O}_{Y_s}([-B_Y])))$, $\sigma_{V_s} : \text{Hom}(H^{d,0}(V_s), H^{d-1,1}(V_s))$ be the maps induced by cup product with κ_s , κ_{V_s} , respectively.

- Let Φ be the period map associated to the polarized variation of Hodge structure $(R^d h_* \mathbb{Z}_V)_{prim} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_S$, where h is the natural map $V \rightarrow S$. Let $d\Phi$ be the differential of Φ .

We may summarize these data in the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (Y, B_Y) & \longleftarrow & \tilde{Y} & \longleftarrow & V \\
 \downarrow & & & & \\
 (X, B) & & & & \\
 \downarrow & & & & \\
 S & & & &
 \end{array}$$

We need the following proposition from [Amb05]:

Proposition 4.5. [Amb05, Proposition 2.1] *The maps $\kappa_s, \kappa_{V_s}, \sigma_s^1, \sigma_{V_s}, d\Phi_s$ have the same kernel.*

From now on, we restrict to a very special case:

- Assume $f : (X, B) \rightarrow S$ is flat of relative dimension 3, and a dense set of closed fibers are isomorphic to a fixed \mathbb{Q} -factorial strict terminal Calabi-Yau pair (X_0, Δ_0) . So (X, B) is terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial by Lemma 3.8.

Let \tilde{X} is the normalization of X in $\mathbb{C}(\tilde{Y})$, and V^{min} is a minimal model of V over \tilde{X} . Possibly replacing V by a higher model, we may assume V is a common resolution of \tilde{Y} and V^{min} .

We may organize them in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & V & & \\
 & & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 (Y, B_Y) & \longleftarrow & \tilde{Y} & & V^{min} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\
 (X, B) & \longleftarrow & \tilde{X} & & \\
 \downarrow & & & & \\
 S & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Lemma 4.6. *A dense set of closed fibers of $V^{min} \rightarrow S$ is isomorphic to a fixed variety V_0 such that V_0 satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4.2.*

Proof. Let $s \in U$ be a closed point such that $(X_s, B_s) \simeq (X_0, \Delta_0)$. By [HMX18, Section 3], V_s^{min} is a terminal variety such that $K_{V_s^{min}}$ is nef over X_s . We claim that V_s^{min} has only finitely many possibilities, so one of them will be our V_0 .

Indeed, restricting to the fiber over s , we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & & & V_s \\
& & & & \downarrow \\
& & & & \searrow \\
& & & & V_s^{min} \\
& & & & \swarrow \\
& & & & \tilde{X}_s \\
& & & & \downarrow \\
& & & & \tilde{Y}_s \\
& & & & \downarrow \\
& & & & (Y_s, B_{Y_s}) \\
& & & & \downarrow \\
& & & & (X_s, B_s) \\
& & & & \downarrow \\
& & & & \{s\}
\end{array}$$

By Lemma 4.7, possibly shrinking U , \tilde{Y}_s is isomorphic to the index 1 cover of (Y_s, B_{Y_s}) over Y_s .

Although (Y_s, B_{Y_s}) is non-unique, the function field extension $\mathbb{C}(\tilde{Y}_s)/\mathbb{C}(X_s)$ is uniquely determined by (X_s, Δ_s) . By [PW17, Proposition 2.1], possibly shrinking U again, we may assume \tilde{X}_s is normal. So \tilde{X}_s is the normalization of X_s in $\mathbb{C}(\tilde{Y}_s)$, which is uniquely determined by (X_s, Δ_s) .

Let $V_s^{min, \prime}$ be another possibility obtained as the above process. Then the natural birational map $V_s^{min} \dashrightarrow V_s^{min, \prime}$ is crepant and small by [KM98, Theorem 3.52, Corollary 3.54]. Then in the sense of [BCHM06, Definition 3.6.6], $V_s^{min, \prime}$ is a weak log canonical model of V_s^{min} over \tilde{X}_s , so $V_s^{min, \prime}$ has only finitely many possibilities by [BCHM06, Theorem E].

Moreover, we show that all possible V_s^{min} satisfies the conditions in Lemma 4.2, i.e. V_s^{min} is terminal and $h^0(V_s^{min}, \omega_{V_s^{min}}) = 1$. Since V_s^{min} has terminal singularities, it suffices to show $h^0(V_s, \omega_{V_s}) = 1$.

Since (X_s, B_s) is a strict Calabi-Yau pair, we may run $K_{X_s} + (1 + \varepsilon)B_s$ -MMP and terminates with a klt Calabi-Yau variety X_s^{min} . Let \tilde{X}_s^{min} be the index 1 cover of X_s^{min} , then \tilde{X}_s^{min} is birational to V_s . Pick a common resolution W of \tilde{X}_s^{min} and V_s , then $h^0(V_s, \omega_{V_s}) = h^0(W, \omega_W) = h^0(\tilde{X}_s^{min}, \omega_{\tilde{X}_s^{min}}) = 1$.

□

Lemma 4.7. *Let $s \in U$ be a closed point such that $(X_s, B_s) \simeq (X_0, \Delta_0)$. Then possibly shrinking U , \tilde{Y}_s is isomorphic to the index 1 cover of (Y_s, B_{Y_s}) over Y_s .*

Proof. Let \hat{Y}_s be the index 1 cover of (Y_s, B_{Y_s}) . We note that the index 1 cover is unique since we are working over \mathbb{C} , see for example [KM98, Definition 5.19].

By the assumption that $(Y, B_Y) \rightarrow S$ is log smooth over U , (Y_s, B_{Y_s}) is still a log resolution. In particular, for $s \in U$, mB_Y is integral iff mB_{Y_s} is integral. Recall that m is the index of $K_Y + B_Y$ over the generic point η of S , φ is a function on Y such that $(\varphi) = m(K_Y + B_Y)$ over η and \tilde{Y} is the index 1 cover with respect to φ .

Possibly shrinking U , we may assume $(\varphi_s) = m(K_{Y_s} + B_{Y_s})$. Moreover, by upper semi-continuity, if $n(K_{Y_s} + B_{Y_s}) \sim 0$, then $h^0(Y_s, \mathcal{O}_{Y_s}(n(K_{Y_s} + B_{Y_s}))) > 0 \Rightarrow h^0(Y_\eta, \mathcal{O}_{Y_\eta}(n(K_{Y_\eta} + B_{Y_\eta}))) > 0 \Rightarrow n(K_{Y_\eta} + B_{Y_\eta}) \sim 0$, so the index of $K_{Y_s} + B_{Y_s}$ is also m .

\tilde{Y} is given by the normalization of $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{O}_Y([i(K_Y + B_Y)])$, and the multiplication law is given by φ . Similarly, \tilde{Y}_s is given by the normalization of $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{O}_{Y_s}([i(K_{Y_s} + B_{Y_s})])$, and the multiplication law is given by φ_s . By [PW17, Proposition 2.1], possibly shrinking U , we may assume \tilde{Y}_s is normal. By uniqueness of normalization, we have $\tilde{Y}_s \simeq \hat{Y}_s$.

So our result holds. \square

By stratification theory, see [CZGT14, Corollary 8.1.15], we may shrink U such that the higher direct image sheaf $R^3 h_* \mathbb{Z}_{V^{min}}$ is locally constant on U . $R^3 h_* \mathbb{Z}_V$ is locally constant on U since $V \rightarrow S$ is smooth over U , hence a locally trivial fibration over U by [CZGT14, Theorem 7.2.1].

To simplify notations, let $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{Z}} := (R^3 h_* \mathbb{Z}_V) / \{\text{Torsion}\}$, $\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_U$, $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}} := \text{Im}(R^3 h_*^{min} \mathbb{Z}_{V^{min}} \rightarrow R^3 h_* \mathbb{Z}_V) / \{\text{Torsion}\}$, $\mathcal{V} := \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_U$. We note that the sheaf $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is naturally equipped with a polarized variation of Hodge structure $(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{Z}}}, Q_{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{Z}}})$ by Theorem 2.14, since the middle cohomology of the fibers are primitive by topological reason.

We claim that the sheaf $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is naturally equipped with a polarized variation of Hodge structure $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}}, Q_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}})$:

Lemma 4.8. *We define $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}}^p = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{W}}^p \cap \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{V}$, and $Q_{\mathcal{V}}$ is defined by the restriction of $Q_{\mathcal{W}}$. Then $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}}, Q_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}})$ is a polarized variation of Hodge structure.*

Remark. Pointwisely, \mathcal{V} is given by the Lemma 4.4.

Proof. In the sense of Definition 2.11, to show that $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}})$ is a variation of Hodge structure, we need to verify:

(0) The Hodge filtration $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}}^p$ is given by holomorphic subbundles;

(1) $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}}^p \oplus \overline{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}}^{4-p}}$ as C^∞ -vector bundles;

(2) Griffiths transversality.

(0) It suffices to prove that the Hodge numbers of $\text{Im}(H^3(Y_s^{min}) \rightarrow H^3(V_s))$ are constant. Indeed, since the natural map $H^3(Y_s^{min}) \rightarrow H^3(V_s)$ is a morphism of mixed Hodge structures, $\text{Im}(H^3(Y_s^{min}) \rightarrow H^3(V_s))$ carries a pure Hodge structure. Moreover, by 4.2, the $(3, 0)$ part of $\text{Im}(H^3(Y_s^{min}) \rightarrow H^3(V_s))$ is of dimension 1, so is $(0, 3)$ -part. Then $(1, 2)$ -part and $(2, 1)$ -part are of constant dimension for topological reason.

(1) Since $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{W}}^p \oplus \overline{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{W}}^{4-p}}$ as C^∞ -vector bundles, we have $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}}^p \cap \overline{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}}^{4-p}} = 0$. But $\dim \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}}^p + \dim \overline{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}}^{4-p}} = \dim \mathcal{V}$ holds pointwisely, so we have $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}}^p \oplus \overline{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}}^{4-p}}$ as C^∞ -vector bundles.

(2) It follows from Griffiths transversality for \mathcal{W} .

To show that \mathcal{V} is compatible with $Q_{\mathcal{V}}$, we need to prove the Hodge-Riemann relation, which can be verified pointwisely, so the result follows from Lemma 4.4. \square

Recall that $\Phi : U \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{D}$ is the period map associated to the polarized variation of Hodge structure $(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{Z}}}, Q_{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{Z}}})$. Let $\Phi^{min} : U \rightarrow \Gamma^{min} \backslash \mathcal{D}^{min}$ be the period map associated to the polarized variation of Hodge structure $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}}, Q_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}})$. Let Γ_a^{min} be the arithmetic monodromy group of \mathcal{D}^{min} .

Proposition 4.9. *The kernel of $d\Phi_s^{min}$ is contained in the kernel of $d\Phi_s$.*

Proof. To prove this, we may work on a disk C around s , and we may ignore the monodromy action on the period domain.

Let $\tilde{\Phi} : C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be the local lifting of Φ , and $\tilde{\Phi}^{min} : C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{min}$ be the local lifting of Φ^{min} , whose existence is given by Theorem 2.17. Let $v = \dim \mathcal{V}$, $w = \dim \mathcal{W}$.

Then by forgetting the Hodge filtrations except \mathcal{F}^3 , and using the fact that the $(3, 0)$ parts of \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} are of dimension 1, we have holomorphic maps $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{w-1}$ and $f^{min} : \mathcal{D}^{min} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{v-1}$. Furthermore, we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{w-1} \\ & \searrow \Phi^{min} & & & \uparrow \iota \\ & & \mathcal{D}^{min} & \xrightarrow{f^{min}} & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{v-1} \end{array}$$

where ι is induced by the natural inclusion from \mathcal{V} to \mathcal{W} .

Observe that σ_{V_s} is interpreted as the tangent map $d(f \circ \Phi)$, so $\text{Ker}(d\Phi) = \text{Ker}(\sigma_{V_s}) = \text{Ker}(d(f \circ \Phi)) = \text{Ker}(d(\iota \circ f^{min} \circ \Phi^{min})) \supset \text{Ker}(d\Phi^{min})$. □

Lemma 4.10. *Suppose that the Kodaira-Spencer map associated to $f \circ g$ is injective at for general $s \in U$, then S is a point.*

Proof. Possibly shrinking U , we may assume U is affine.

By Proposition 4.9, $d\Phi_s^{min}$ is also injective for general $s \in U$. Let $p : \Gamma_a^{min} \backslash \mathcal{D}^{min} \rightarrow \Gamma_a^{min} \backslash \mathcal{D}^{min}$ be the natural projection. Consider the map $\Phi_a^{min} = p \circ \Phi^{min} : U \rightarrow \Gamma_a^{min} \backslash \mathcal{D}^{min}$, which extend to a proper analytic map $\overline{\Phi_a^{min}} : \bar{U} \rightarrow \Gamma_a^{min} \backslash \mathcal{D}^{min}$ by [Gri70, Theorem 9.5, Proposition 9.11], where \bar{U} is quasi-projective and contains U as an open subset. Note that [Gri70, Theorem 9.5, Proposition 9.11] is only stated for the monodromy group Γ , but the case for Γ_a is also true by [Sze99, Theorem 3.1].

Let t_0 be the period point in $\Gamma_a^{min} \backslash \mathcal{D}^{min}$ corresponding to the Hodge structure of $\text{Im}(H^3(V_0, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(W, \mathbb{Z}))$, where W is a resolution of V_0 . This t_0 is independent of the resolution W by Lemma 4.4.

Then $\Phi_a^{min, -1}(t_0)$ is a compact analytic subset of \bar{U} , which is algebraic by Chow's lemma. But $\Phi_a^{min, -1}(t_0)$ is also Zariski dense by Lemma 4.6, so $\Phi_a^{min, -1}(t_0) = \bar{U}$.

This means that Φ_a^{min} is constant, so any lifting to \mathcal{D}^{min} must be constant since the arithmetic monodromy group Γ_a is discrete. But the fact that $d\Phi_s^{min}$ is injective shows that U must be a point, so is S . □

Proposition 4.11. *f is a product family after a dominant generically finite base change.*

Proof. By [Amb05, Theorem 2.2], there exist dominant morphisms $\tau : \bar{S} \rightarrow S$ and $\rho : \bar{S} \rightarrow S^!$, with τ generically finite and $\bar{S}, S^!$ nonsingular, and there exist a log variety

$(X^!, B^!)$ with $K_{X^!} + B^! \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ and a projective contraction $f^! : X^! \rightarrow S^!$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, B) & & (X^!, B^!) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S & \longrightarrow \bar{S} & \longleftarrow S^! \end{array}$$

such that

(1) there exists an open dense subset $U \subset \bar{S}$ and an isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, B) \times_S \bar{S}|_U & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & (X^!, B^!) \times_{S^!} \bar{S}|_U \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & U & \end{array}$$

(2) $\kappa_{s^!}$ is injective for general points $s^! \in S^!$.

By (2), Proposition 4.5, and Lemma 4.10, $S^!$ must be a point. So $(X, B) \times_S \bar{S}|_U$ is a product family. □

4.3. Extraction of strict terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pairs. The following is our main theorem in this section, which allows us to extract a fixed strict terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pair from a family.

Theorem 4.12. *Let $\pi : (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be a projective contraction of finite type varieties, and (X_0, Δ_0) be a strict terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pair of dimension 3.*

Then there exists a finite type morphism $f : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ such that $(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}})$ splits as a product, i.e. there exists an isomorphism $(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}) \simeq \mathcal{T} \times_{\mathbb{C}} (X_0, \Delta_0)$, and the image of f contains all closed points $s \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $(\mathcal{X}_s, \mathcal{D}_s) \simeq (X_0, \Delta_0)$.

Proof. By passing to a flattening stratification, we may assume π is flat. As in [BDS20, Proposition 2.10], by passing to a stratification and possibly discarding some components, we may assume that:

- 1) \mathcal{S} is smooth,
- 2) \mathcal{D} does not contain any fibre of π ,
- 3) every fibre \mathcal{X}_s is a normal variety, and
- 4) for any $s \in \mathcal{S}$ and any irreducible component \mathcal{D}' of \mathcal{D} , \mathcal{D}'_s is either empty or an irreducible prime divisor on \mathcal{X}_s .

Furthermore, by discarding some open subsets, we may assume the set of points $s \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $(\mathcal{X}_s, \mathcal{D}_s) \simeq (X_0, \Delta_0)$ is dense in \mathcal{S} .

Since $(\mathcal{X}_s, \mathcal{D}_s)$ is terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial for a dense subset of \mathcal{S} , by Lemma 3.8, $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ is terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial. For any ample divisor \mathcal{H} on \mathcal{X} and any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{H} + n(K_{\mathcal{X}} + \mathcal{D})$ is ample over \mathcal{B} since this holds for a dense set of fibers and ampleness is an open condition. This means $K_{\mathcal{X}} + \mathcal{D}$ is numerically trivial over \mathcal{B} . By [HX11, Corollary 1.6], $K_{\mathcal{X}} + \mathcal{D}$ is \mathbb{Q} -linearly trivial over \mathcal{B} .

Now π is a klt-trivial fibration, and a dense set of closed fibers are isomorphic to (X_0, Δ_0) . By Proposition 4.11, there exists a generically finite base change $f : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow$

\mathcal{S} such that π splits as a product. Then we may discard the image of f and apply Noetherian induction. \square

5. FINITENESS OF FIBER SPACE STRUCTURES

We start with an interesting observation: two different surface fibrations are dominated by a curve fibration.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $f_i : X \rightarrow C_i$, $i = 1, 2$ be two different surface fibrations of a normal threefold X . Then they are dominated by a curve fibration.*

Proof. Consider $\pi = (f_1, f_2) : X \rightarrow C_1 \times C_2$.

We claim that π is surjective. To prove this, possibly replacing X with a log resolution, we may assume X is smooth. Let A_i be an ample divisor on C_i , $i = 1, 2$, H be an ample divisor on X . If π is not surjective, we have $(f_1^*A_1 + f_2^*A_2)^2 \cdot H = 0$, hence $f_1^*A_1 \cdot f_2^*A_2 \cdot H = 0$, i.e. $(f_1^*A_1)|_H \cdot (f_2^*A_2)|_H = 0$. By Hodge index theorem, $(f_1^*A_1)|_H$ and $(f_2^*A_2)|_H$ must be numerically proportional. By Lefschetz theorem, $f_1^*A_1$ and $f_2^*A_2$ are numerically proportional, which contradicts the assumption that f_1 and f_2 are different.

Then the result follows by taking the Stein factorization. \square

From the boundedness result, we can prove finiteness of v -marked curve fibrations from a fixed strict terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pair of dimension 3.

Theorem 5.2. *Let (X, Δ) be a strict terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pair of dimension 3. Fix a positive number v . Then the set of v -marked curve fibrations starting from X is finite up to $\text{Aut}(X, \Delta)$.*

Remark. This is stronger than finiteness of curve fibrations, since there exists a universal constant v_0 such that any curve fibration of strict terminal Calabi-Yau pair of dimension 3 admits a structure of v_0 -marked curve fibration.

Proof. By Theorem 3.9, the set of v -marked curve fibrations of strict terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pairs of dimension 3 is bounded.

By definition, we have a pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ and quasi-projective varieties \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{S} with a \mathbb{Q} -Cartier \mathbb{Q} -divisor \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{B} and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{B} \\ & \searrow g & \swarrow h \\ & & \mathcal{S} \end{array}$$

of projective morphisms such that for any v -marked curve fibration of a terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pair $(X, \Delta, B, \pi, A) \in V$ there exists a closed point $s \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $(X, \Delta, B, \pi, A) \simeq (\mathcal{X}_s, \mathcal{D}_s, \mathcal{B}_s, f_s, [\mathcal{A}_s])$, where $[-]$ denotes the numerical equivalence class.

By Theorem 4.12, there exists a finite type morphism $f : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ such that $(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}})$ splits as a product, and the image of f contains all closed points $s \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $(\mathcal{X}_s, \mathcal{D}_s) \simeq (X_0, \Delta_0)$. Let $f_{\mathcal{T}}^* \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}}$ be the pullback of the polarization $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}}$ on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{T}}$ via $f_{\mathcal{T}}$.

This means that $(f_{\mathcal{T}}^* \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}})_t$ falls into finitely many algebraic equivalence classes, hence $\pi^* A$ falls into finitely many numerical equivalence classes. Moreover, the same numerical equivalence class of $\pi^* A$ corresponds to isomorphic v -marked curve fibrations of X . So all possible v -marked curve fibrations starting from X are finite up to $\text{Aut}(X, \Delta)$. \square

From finiteness of v -marked curve fibrations from a fixed strict terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pair of dimension 3, we can deduce an interesting result about lifting of automorphisms:

Theorem 5.3. *Let (X, Δ) be a strict terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pair of dimension 3, and $\pi : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow B$ be a curve fibration. Then there exists a finite index subgroup $G(B)$ of $\text{Aut}(B)$ that lifts to $\text{Aut}(X, \Delta)$ up to the identity component $\text{Aut}^0(B)$, i.e. for any $g \in G(B)$, there exists $s \in \text{Aut}^0(B)$, $g_X \in \text{Aut}(X, \Delta)$, such that the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, \Delta) & \xrightarrow{g_X} & (X, \Delta) \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ B & \xrightarrow{g \circ s} & B \end{array}$$

Proof. Pick a very ample divisor A on B . Then $\mathcal{F} := (X, \Delta, B, \pi, A)$ is a v -marked curve fibration for some v . Denote $\mathfrak{C}(X, \Delta, v)$ by the set of v -marked curve fibrations of (X, Δ) . Then $\mathfrak{C}(X, \Delta, v)$ is finite by Theorem 5.2.

Let $g \in \text{Aut}(B)$, we define $g\mathcal{F} := (X, \Delta, B, \pi, g_*A)$. Let $\mathfrak{C}(X, \Delta, v, \mathcal{F})$ be the orbit of \mathcal{F} in $\mathfrak{C}(X, \Delta, v)$ under the action of $\text{Aut}(B)$, which is a finite set. Then we have an action of $\text{Aut}(B)$ on $\mathfrak{C}(X, \Delta, v, \mathcal{F})$. Since $\mathfrak{C}(X, \Delta, v, \mathcal{F})$ is finite, there exists a finite index subgroup G_1 of $\text{Aut}(B)$ such that G_1 acts trivially on $\mathfrak{C}(X, \Delta, v, \mathcal{F})$.

For $g \in G_1$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, \Delta) & \xrightarrow{h_X} & (X, \Delta) \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ B & \xrightarrow{h} & B \end{array}$$

such that $h_*A \equiv g_*A$. Let $\text{Aut}(B, [A])$ be the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(B)$ that preserve the numerical equivalence class of A . This diagram means that for any $g \in G_1$, there exists some $a = g^{-1} \circ h \in \text{Aut}(B, [A])$ such that $g \circ a$ lifts to $\text{Aut}(X, \Delta)$. Let G_2 be the subgroup of G_1 that lifts to $\text{Aut}(X, \Delta)$, then $G_2 \cdot \text{Aut}(X, [A]) = G_1$.

We claim that $\text{Aut}(B, [A])/\text{Aut}^0(B)$ is finite. Indeed, it suffices to show $\mathcal{A}\text{ut}(B, [A])$ is of finite type. But $\mathcal{A}\text{ut}(B, [A])$ is realized as an open subscheme of $\mathcal{H}\text{ilb}(B \times B)$. If we take $\pi_1^*A \otimes \pi_2^*A$ as the polarization, then the first two terms of the Hilbert polynomials appearing in $\mathcal{A}\text{ut}(B, [A])$ is controlled by $(2A)^2$ and $2A \cdot K_B$. By [KM83, Lemma], there are only finitely many possibilities of such Hilbert polynomials. So our claim holds.

Since $Aut(B, [A])/Aut^0(B)$ is finite, $G_2Aut^0(B)$ is of finite index in G_1 . Taking $G(B) = G_2Aut^0(B)$, the result follows immediately. \square

As an application, we prove finiteness of fiber space structures of a fixed strict terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pair of dimension 3 up to automorphism:

Theorem 5.4. *Let (X, Δ) be a strict terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pair of dimension 3. Then the set of fibrations starting from X is finite up to $Aut(X, \Delta)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.2 and the fact that there exists a universal constant v_0 such that any curve fibration of a strict terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial Calabi-Yau pair of dimension 3 admits a structure of v_0 -marked curve fibration, the set of curve fibrations is finite up to $Aut(X, \Delta)$.

So we only need to deal with surface fibration. If there is at most one surface fibration, the result follows immediately.

Otherwise, there are at least two different surface fibrations starting from X . Let $\pi_1 : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow B_1, \dots, \pi_n : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow B_n$ be all possible curve fibrations up to $Aut(X, \Delta)$.

Note that by [BDS20, Theorem 5.4], each B_i can be equipped with a boundary Δ_i such that (B_i, Δ_i) is klt Calabi-Yau. By the cone conjecture of klt Calabi-Yau surfaces, see [Tot09, Theorem 4.1], there are only finitely many contractions starting from B_i up to $Aut(B_i)$. Since $G(B_i)$ is of finite index in $Aut(B_i)$, where $G(B_i)$ is given by Theorem 5.3, there are only finitely many contractions starting from B_i up to $G(B_i)$, say $p_{i1} : B_i \rightarrow C_{i1}, \dots, p_{ik_i} : B_i \rightarrow C_{ik_i}$.

We claim that all possible surface fibrations are among $p_{ij} \circ \pi_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq k_i$ up to $Aut(X, \Delta)$.

Let $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow C$ be a surface fibration starting from (X, Δ) . By Lemma 5.1, every surface fibration is factored through by a curve fibration. So f is factored as $(X, \Delta) \xrightarrow{\pi} B \xrightarrow{p} C$. By assumption, π is isomorphic to some π_i up to $Aut(X, \Delta)$, and for this chosen i and this chosen isomorphism, p is isomorphic to some p_{ij} up to $G(B_i) \subset Aut(B_i)$. So there exists a commutative diagram where s, t, g, h are isomorphisms and $g \in G(B_i)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (X, \Delta) & \xrightarrow{u} & (X, \Delta) & & (X, \Delta) \\
 \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi_i & & \downarrow \pi_i \\
 B & \xrightarrow{v} & B_i & \xrightarrow{g} & B_i \\
 \downarrow p & & \downarrow p_{ij} & & \downarrow p_{ij} \\
 C & & C_{ij} & \xrightarrow{h} & C_{ij}
 \end{array}$$

By Theorem 5.3, there exists some $s \in Aut^0(B)$ such that $g^{-1} \circ s^{-1}$ lifts to an automorphism of (X, Δ) . Moreover, by Blanchard's Lemma, see [BSU13, Proposition 4.2.1], there exists some $t \in Aut(C_{ij})$ such that $p_{ij} \circ s = t \circ p_{ij}$. In sum, we have the following

commutative diagram, where the horizontal maps are isomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & \xrightarrow{i} & & \\
 (X, \Delta) & \xrightarrow{u} & (X, \Delta) & & (X, \Delta) & & (X, \Delta) \\
 \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi_i & & \downarrow \pi_i & & \downarrow \pi_i \\
 B & \xrightarrow{v} & B_i & \xrightarrow{g} & B_i & \xrightarrow{s} & B_i \\
 \downarrow p & & \downarrow p_{ij} & & \downarrow p_{ij} & & \downarrow p_{ij} \\
 C & & C_{ij} & & C_{ij} & \xrightarrow{t} & C_{ij} \\
 & & & \searrow h & & & \\
 & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Hence $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow C$ appears as $p_{ij} \circ \pi_i$. □

Theorem 5.5. *Let (X, Δ) be a strict klt Calabi-Yau pair of dimension 3. Then the set of fibrations starting from X is finite up to $\text{Aut}(X, \Delta)$.*

Proof. Let $f : (Y, \Delta_Y) \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$ be a \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminalization of (X, Δ) .

Consider the set of fiber space structures starting from (X, Δ) , say $\{g_i : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow B_i\}_{i \in I}$. Then $g_i \circ f : (Y, \Delta_Y) \rightarrow B_i$ are fiber space structures starting from (Y, Δ_Y) . By assumption and Theorem 5.4, $g_i \circ f$ is finite up to $\text{Aut}(Y, \Delta_Y)$, say we have representatives h_1, \dots, h_n , where $h_i : (Y, \Delta_Y) \rightarrow C_i$.

Each h_i are terminal Calabi-Yau fiber spaces of relative dimension at most 2, so there are only finitely many intermediate contractions up to $\text{Aut}(Y, \Delta_Y)$ by [Li23, Theorem 1.4] and [Xu24, Corollary 2]. In particular, there are finitely many intermediate contractions of h_i such that the factorization of given by birational contractions up to $\text{Aut}(Y, \Delta_Y)$,

say $h_{ij} : (Y, \Delta_Y) \xrightarrow{f_{ij}} (X_{ij}, \Delta_{ij}) \xrightarrow{g_{ij}} C_i$.

Then every g_i appears as one of $(X_{ij}, \Delta_{ij}) \xrightarrow{g_{ij}} C_i$, so g_i is finite up to $\text{Aut}(X, \Delta)$. □

6. NON-STRICT CASE

6.1. The cone conjecture for quotients of abelian varieties. We need the following result from [MQ24], with some slight modifications.

Theorem 6.1. *Let A be an abelian variety, and G be a finite group acting faithfully on A . Let (X, Δ) be the quotient of A by G , where Δ is defined by ramification formula, see [Kol13, 2.41], then:*

- (1) *There exists a rational polyhedral cone $\Pi' \subset (\bar{\mathcal{A}}(A)^G)_+$ such that $\mathcal{A}(A)^G \subset H\Pi'$ for some subgroup H of $N_{\text{Aut}(A)}(G)$, where $N_{\text{Aut}(A)}(G)$ is the normalizer of G in $\text{Aut}(A)$.*
- (2) *The action of the image of the restriction map $N_{\text{Aut}(A)}(G) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X, \Delta)$ on $\mathcal{A}(X)_+$ is of polyhedral type.*
- (3) $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(X)_+ = \mathcal{A}^e(X) = \mathcal{B}^e(X)$.
- (4) *The cone conjecture holds for (X, Δ) .*

Proof. (1) This follows from exactly the same arguments as [MQ24, Theorem A]. By [MQ24, Theorem 6.10], $\mathcal{A}(A)^G$ is a homogeneous self-dual cone. By [MQ24, Proposition 6.15], the image of $C_{Aut(A)}(G)$ is an arithmetic subgroup of $Aut(\mathcal{A}(A)^G)^0$. Applying [MQ24, Theorem 4.14], we conclude (1).

(2) Consider the following commutative diagram, where the right vertical map is an isomorphism by Lemma 2.2(1):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_{Aut(A)}(G) & \longrightarrow & GL(N^1(A)^G) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ Aut(X, \Delta) & \longrightarrow & GL(N^1(X)) \end{array}$$

Combining Lemma 2.2(2), we conclude our result.

(3) By [Bau98, Lemma 1.1], a divisor D on A is algebraically equivalent to an effective divisor iff D is nef. So $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(A)_+ = \mathcal{B}^e(A)$. By [LZ22, Lemma 5.2], $\mathcal{A}^e(A) \subset \bar{\mathcal{A}}(A)_+$. On the other hand, $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(A)_+ = \bar{\mathcal{A}}(A)_+ \cap \mathcal{B}^e(A) \subset \bar{\mathcal{A}}(A) \cap \mathcal{B}^e(A) = \mathcal{A}^e(A)$. So we have $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(A)_+ = \mathcal{A}^e(A) = \mathcal{B}^e(A)$. Then the result follows from Lemma 2.2(3)(5)(6).

(4) By (2) and Theorem 2.7, there exists a rational polyhedral fundamental domain for the action of $Aut(X, \Delta)$ on $\mathcal{A}(X)_+$. So the cone conjecture holds by (3). \square

6.2. The cone conjecture in non-strict case. We need the following lemma for cones of surfaces:

Lemma 6.2. *Let $f : (Y, 0) \rightarrow (X, \Delta_X)$ be a finite Galois morphisms of klt Calabi-Yau pairs of dimension at most 2 with Galois group G , where the boundary divisors are defined by ramification formula.*

Let H be the subgroup of $Aut(Y)$ that restricts to an automorphism of (X, Δ_X) .

Then the image of the map $H \rightarrow Aut(X, \Delta_X)$ is of finite index after taking the image to $GL(N^1(X))$.

Proof. The 1-dimensional case is trivial. We may only consider the surface case.

Step 1: Reduce to the case when Y is a canonical surface such that $K_Y \sim 0$.

Consider the global index 1 cover of Y , say $\pi : Z \rightarrow Y$. Then we claim that $f \circ \pi$ is Galois. By Lemma 2.5, any automorphism of Y lifts to an automorphism of Z . Let K be the subgroup of $Aut(Z)$ that restrict to an element in G . Then we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Aut(Z/Y) \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$, which implies $|Aut(Z/X)| \geq |K| \geq |Aut(Z/Y)| \cdot |G|$. So $f \circ \pi$ is Galois.

We claim that if the result holds for $f \circ \pi$, so is f . Indeed, let H' be the subgroup of $Aut(Z)$ that restricts to an automorphism of (X, Δ_X) . H' act on intermediate fields of $\mathbb{C}(Z)/\mathbb{C}(X)$, which is a finite set. So a finite index subgroup H'' of H' fixes $\mathbb{C}(Y)$. By assumption, the image of the map $H' \rightarrow Aut(X, \Delta_X)$ is of finite index after taking the image to $GL(N^1(X))$, so the image of the map $H'' \rightarrow Aut(X, \Delta_X)$ is also of finite index after taking the image to $GL(N^1(X))$. But we have a factorization $H'' \rightarrow H \rightarrow Aut(X, \Delta_X)$, so we conclude.

Step 2: Reduce to the case when Y is a K3 or abelian surface.

From the first step, we may assume Y is a canonical surface such that $K_Y \sim 0$.

Consider the minimal resolution of Y , say $\pi : W \rightarrow Y$. By uniqueness of minimal resolution, G acts on W and we may consider the quotient $Z = W/G$, with the boundary divisor Δ_Z defined by ramification formula. Then we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{g} & Z = W/G \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varpi \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X = Y/G \end{array}$$

In this case, π and ϖ are birational contractions. We claim that if the result holds for g , so is f .

Suppose the results holds for g . Let H' be the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(W)$ that restricts to an automorphism of (Z, Δ_Z) . Let $\text{Aut}(Z, \Delta_Z, \varpi)$ be the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(Z, \Delta_Z)$ that induces an automorphism of (X, Δ_X) .

By [Tot09, Lemma 3.4], the action of $\text{Aut}(Z, \Delta_Z, \varpi)$ on $\mathcal{A}^e(X)$ admits a rational polyhedral fundamental domain. In the view of [Loo14, Proposition 4.6], the image of the map $\text{Aut}(Z, \Delta_Z, \varpi) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X, \Delta_X)$ is of finite index after taking the image to $GL(N^1(X))$.

By assumption, the image of the map $H' \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Z, \Delta_Z)$ is of finite index after taking the image to $GL(N^1(Z))$. Let H'' be the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(W)$ that restricts to an element of $\text{Aut}(Z, \Delta_Z, \varpi)$. Restricting to the maps which preserve $GL(N^1(X))$, the image of the map $H'' \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Z, \Delta_Z, \varpi)$ is of finite index after taking image to $GL(N^1(X))$.

Combining the above results, we conclude that the image of the map $H'' \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X, \Delta_X)$ is of finite index after taking the image to $GL(N^1(X))$.

Note that elements in H'' induces automorphisms of Y , since the contracted curves are preserved. So we have a factorization $H'' \rightarrow H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X, \Delta_X)$, so the result holds.

Step 3: Finish the proof.

It suffices to show that $\mathcal{A}^e(X)$ admits a rational polyhedral fundamental domain under the action of H , by [Loo14, Proposition 4.6].

When Y is a K3 surface, the result follows from [OS01, Corollary 1.9].

When Y is an abelian surface, the result follows from Theorem 6.1. □

Proposition 6.3. *Let S be a K3 surface with at worst canonical singularities, E be an elliptic curve. Suppose we have a finite group G acting on $S \times E$ diagonally, and the resulting quotient map $S \times E \rightarrow X := (S \times E)/G$ is quasi-étale.*

Then the movable cone conjecture holds for X .

Remark. K_X is numerically trivial by quasi-étale condition.

Proof. By [GLSW24, Theorem 1.5], it suffices to prove the effective cone conjecture.

Denote $T = S/G$, $F = E/G$. Let Δ_T and Δ_F be the divisors defined by ramification formula.

Consider the factorization $S \times E \xrightarrow{p} X = (S \times E)/G \xrightarrow{q} T \times F = (S/G) \times (E/G)$. By Lemma 2.2(1) and Corollary 2.4(1), we have $N^1(X) = N^1(S \times E)^G = (N^1(S) \times$

$N^1(E))^G = N^1(S)^G \times N^1(E)^G = N^1(T) \times N^1(F) = N^1(T \times F)$. By Lemma 2.2(3) and Corollary 2.4(2), we have $\mathcal{B}^e(X) = \mathcal{B}^e(S \times E)^G = (\mathcal{B}^e(S) \times \mathcal{B}^e(E))^G = \mathcal{B}^e(S)^G \times \mathcal{B}^e(E)^G = \mathcal{B}^e(T) \times \mathcal{B}^e(F) = \mathcal{B}^e(T \times F)$.

So q^* induces isomorphisms $N^1(T \times F) \rightarrow N^1(X)$ and $\mathcal{B}^e(T \times F) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^e(X)$.

By [GLSW24, Corollary 6.2], the effective cone conjecture holds for (T, Δ_T) .

Let H be the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(S)$ that restricts to an automorphism of (T, Δ_T) , i.e. the conjugation action of H preserve the G -action on S , and H' be the image of H in $\text{Aut}(T, \Delta_T)$. Then by Lemma 6.2, the action of H' on $\mathcal{B}^e(T)$ is of polyhedral type. Let Π' be a rational polyhedral cone on $\mathcal{B}^e(T)$ such that $H'\Pi' = \mathcal{B}^e(T)$. Then $\Pi := q^*(\Pi' \times \mathcal{B}^e(F))$ is a rational polyhedral cone inside $\mathcal{B}^e(T \times F) = \mathcal{B}^e(X)$.

Let H act on $S \times E$ on the first factor. Then the conjugation action of H preserve the G -action on $S \times E$. So this induces an action of H on X . Then we have $H\Pi = \mathcal{B}^e(X)$, so $\text{Aut}(X)\Pi = \mathcal{B}^e(X)$. By Theorem 2.7, the cone conjecture holds for X . \square

Finally, we deal with the cone conjecture in non-strict case.

Theorem 6.4. *Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -factorial klt Calabi-Yau threefold such that the augmented irregularity is positive. Then the movable cone conjecture holds for X .*

Proof. Suppose that a finite quasi-étale cover Z of X has positive irregularity. Let $f : Z \rightarrow A$ be the Albanese map, by [Xu16, Theorem A], there exists a finite étale cover $\pi : B \rightarrow A$ such that $Z \times_A B \simeq F \times B$.

Let \hat{F} be the index 1 cover of F . By [GKP16, Theorem 1.5], there exists a finite, quasi-étale Galois cover \tilde{F} of \hat{F} such that any finite étale cover of the regular locus \tilde{F}^0 of \tilde{F} extends to a finite étale cover of \tilde{F} .

When \tilde{F} is an elliptic curve or an abelian surface, X admits a quasi-étale cover, say Z' , which is an abelian threefold. We may take the Galois closure, say $W \rightarrow Z' \rightarrow X$. Then $W \rightarrow Z$ is quasi-étale, so it has to be étale by purity of ramification locus, see [Aut, 0EA1]. But étale covers of abelian varieties are still abelian varieties, so X is realized as a finite quasi-étale quotient of an abelian variety. Then the result follows from Theorem 6.1.

Otherwise, \tilde{F} is a K3 surface with at worst canonical singularities. Then we have a finite quasi-étale map $g : \tilde{F} \times B \rightarrow X$. Then \tilde{F}^0 has no nontrivial finite étale cover since \tilde{F} has no nontrivial finite étale cover.

By taking the Galois closure, there exists a finite quasi-étale morphism $u : Y \rightarrow \tilde{F} \times B$ such that Y/X is Galois. By purity of ramification locus, see [Aut, 0EA1], u is finite étale when restricted to $u^{-1}(\tilde{F}^0 \times B)$. By [Gro71, X. Corollaire 1.7], $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\tilde{F}^0 \times B)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\tilde{F}^0) \times \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(B) = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(B)$. This means the restriction of u on $u^{-1}(\tilde{F}^0 \times B)$ is given by a finite étale cover of B .

In particular, there exists a finite étale morphism $v : C \rightarrow B$, such that $u|_{u^{-1}(\tilde{F}^0 \times B)} = \text{id}_{\tilde{F}^0} \times v$. By uniqueness of normalization, $Y = \tilde{F} \times C$, $u = \text{id}_{\tilde{F}} \times v$. Then we have a finite Galois quasi-étale morphism $s = h \circ u : \tilde{F} \times C \rightarrow X$. Let G be the Galois group.

Then for $g \in G$, g acts on $\tilde{F} \times C$ by $g(x, y) = (f_g(x, y), c_g(x, y))$. But there are no non-constant maps from \tilde{F} to C , since \tilde{F} has trivial irregularity and C is an abelian variety.

So $c_g(x, y) = c_g(y)$, and $g(x, y) = (f_g(x, y), c_g(y))$. This gives a map $C \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\tilde{F})$, $y \mapsto f_g(-, y)$. But $\text{Aut}(\tilde{F})$ is discrete when \tilde{F} has trivial irregularity by [Xu16, Theorem 4.5], so $f_g(-, y) = f_g(-)$ is independent of y .

We conclude that $g(x, y) = (f_g(x), c_g(y))$. So G acts on $F \times B$ diagonally.

Then the result follows from Proposition 6.3. □

7. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

Proof. (of Theorem 1.2)

(1) This is done by [Xu24, Corollary 2].

(2)(a) By Theorem 6.4, the movable cone conjecture holds for X^{min} . Then the movable cone conjecture holds for (X, Δ) by [Xu24, Theorem 2].

(2)(b) This is done by Theorem 5.5. □

Proof. (of Theorem 1.3)

When X is terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial, this follows from [Xu24, 2.5] and Theorem 1.2.

In general, let (Y, Δ_Y) be a terminal \mathbb{Q} -factorial modification. Then we proceed as Theorem 5.5.

Consider the set of fiber space structures starting from (X, Δ) , say $\{g_i : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow B_i\}_{i \in I}$. Then $g_i \circ f : (Y, \Delta_Y) \rightarrow B_i$ are fiber space structures starting from (Y, Δ_Y) . By assumption, $g_i \circ f$ is finite up to $\text{Aut}(Y, \Delta_Y)$, say we have representatives h_1, \dots, h_n , where $h_i : (Y, \Delta_Y) \rightarrow C_i$.

Each h_i are terminal Calabi-Yau fiber spaces of relative dimension at most 2, so there are only finitely many intermediate contractions up to $\text{Aut}(Y, \Delta_Y)$ by [Li23, Theorem 1.4] and [Xu24, Corollary 2]. In particular, there are finitely many intermediate contractions of h_i such that the factorization of given by birational contractions up to $\text{Aut}(Y, \Delta_Y)$, say $h_{ij} : (Y, \Delta_Y) \xrightarrow{f_{ij}} (X_{ij}, \Delta_{ij}) \xrightarrow{g_{ij}} C_i$.

Then every g_i appears as one of $(X_{ij}, \Delta_{ij}) \xrightarrow{g_{ij}} C_i$, so g_i is finite up to $\text{Aut}(X, \Delta)$. □

REFERENCES

- [Amb05] Florin Ambro, *The moduli b-divisor of an lc-trivial fibration*, *Compositio Mathematica* **141** (2005), no. 2, 385–403.
- [Aut] The Stacks Project Authors, *Stacks project*.
- [Bau98] Thomas Bauer, *On the cone of curves of an abelian variety*, *American Journal of Mathematics* **120** (1998), 997–1006.
- [BCHM06] Caucher Birkar, Paolo Cascini, Christopher Hacon, and James McKernan, *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type*, *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* **23** (2006).
- [BDS20] Caucher Birkar, Gabriele Di Cerbo, and Roberto Svaldi, *Boundedness of elliptic Calabi–Yau varieties with a rational section*, arXiv e-prints (2020), arXiv:2010.09769.
- [Bea83] Arnaud Beauville, *Variétés Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle*, *Journal of Differential Geometry* **18** (1983), 755–782.

- [Bir11] Caucher Birkar, *Existence of log canonical flips and a special lmp*, Publications mathématiques de l’IHÉS **115** (2011), 325–368.
- [Bir23] Caucher Birkar, *Singularities on Fano fibrations and beyond*, arXiv e-prints (2023), arXiv:2305.18770.
- [BSU13] Michel Brion, Preena Samuel, and Vikraman Uma, *Lectures on the structure of algebraic groups and geometric applications*, Hindustan Book Agency Gurgaon, 2013.
- [CZGT14] Eduardo Cattani, Fouad El Zein, Phillip A. Griffiths, and Lê Dũng Tráng., *Hodge theory*, Princeton University Press, 2014.
- [FGI⁺05] Barbara Fantechi, Lothar Gottsche, Luc Illusie, Steven Lawrence Kleiman, Michael G. Easwood, Michael Loss, and Angelo Vistoli, *Grothendieck’s FGA explained*, American Mathematical Society, 2005.
- [FHS21] Stefano Filipazzi, Christopher D. Hacon, and Roberto Svaldi, *Boundedness of elliptic Calabi–Yau threefolds*, arXiv e-prints (2021), arXiv:2112.01352.
- [Fil20] Stefano Filipazzi, *On the boundedness of n -folds with $\kappa(x) = n - 1$* , Algebraic Geometry **11** (2020), 318–345.
- [GKP16] Daniel Greb, Stefan Kebekus, and Thomas Peternell, *Étale fundamental groups of Kawamata log terminal spaces, flat sheaves, and quotients of abelian varieties*, Duke Mathematical Journal **165** (2016), 1965–2004.
- [GLSW24] Cécile Gachet, Hsueh-Yung Lin, Isabel Stenger, and Long Wang, *The effective cone conjecture for Calabi–Yau pairs*, arXiv e-prints (2024), arXiv:2406.07307.
- [Gri70] Phillip A. Griffiths, *Periods of integrals on algebraic manifolds, III (Some global differential-geometric properties of the period mapping)*, Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS **38** (1970), 125–180 (en).
- [Gro71] Alexander Grothendieck, *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental (sga 1)*, Lecture notes in mathematics, vol. 224, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 52, Springer, 1977.
- [HMX12] Christopher D. Hacon, James McKernan, and Chenyang Xu, *Acc for log canonical thresholds*, Annals of Mathematics **180** (2012), 523–571.
- [HMX18] ———, *Boundedness of moduli of varieties of general type*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **20** (2018), no. 4, 865–901.
- [HP17] Andreas Höring and Thomas Peternell, *Algebraic integrability of foliations with numerically trivial canonical bundle*, Inventiones mathematicae **216** (2017), 395–419.
- [HX11] Christopher Hacon and Chenyang Xu, *On finiteness of B -representation and semi-log canonical abundance*, arXiv e-prints (2011), arXiv:1107.4149.
- [Kaw88] Yujiro Kawamata, *Crepanant blowing-up of 3-dimensional canonical singularities and its application to degenerations of surfaces*, Annals of Mathematics **127** (1988), 93–163.
- [Kaw97] ———, *On the cone of divisors of Calabi-Yau fiber spaces*, International Journal of Mathematics **08** (1997), 665–687.
- [KM83] János Kollár and Teruhisa Matsusaka, *Riemann-Roch type inequalities*, American Journal of Mathematics **105** (1983), 229.
- [KM98] Janos Kollár and Shigefumi Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [Kol89] János Kollár, *Flops*, Nagoya Mathematical Journal **113** (1989), 15–36.
- [Kol13] János Kollár, *Singularities of the minimal model program*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [Li23] Zhan Li, *On the relative Morrison-Kawamata cone conjecture (II)*, arXiv e-prints (2023), arXiv:2309.04673.
- [Loo14] Eduard Looijenga, *Discrete automorphism groups of convex cones of finite type*, Compositio Mathematica **150** (2014), no. 11, 1939–1962.

- [LP13] Vladimir Lazić and Thomas Peternell, *On the cone conjecture for Calabi-Yau manifolds with Picard number two*, *Mathematical Research Letters* **20** (2013).
- [Lut24] Wendelin Lutz, *The Morrison cone conjecture under deformation*, arXiv e-prints (2024), arXiv:2410.05949.
- [LZ22] Zhan Li and Hang Zhao, *On the relative Morrison-Kawamata cone conjecture*, arXiv e-prints (2022), arXiv:2206.13701.
- [Mar11] Eyal Markman, *A survey of Torelli and monodromy results for holomorphic-symplectic varieties*, *Complex and Differential Geometry* (W. Ebeling, K. Hulek, and K. Smoczyk, eds.), Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011, pp. 257–322.
- [Max19] Laurentiu Maxim, *Intersection homology & perverse sheaves: with applications to singularities*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 281, Springer, 01 2019.
- [Moi67] B. G. Moishezon, *Resolution theorems for compact complex spaces with a sufficiently large field of meromorphic functions*, *Mathematics of The USSR-izvestiya* **1** (1967), 1331–1356.
- [Mor96] David R. Morrison, *Beyond the Kähler cone*, *Proceedings of the Hirzebruch 65 conference on algebraic geometry* (1996), 361–376.
- [MQ24] Martina Monti and Ana Quedo, *The Kawamata-Morrison cone conjecture for generalized hyperelliptic variety*, arXiv e-prints (2024), arXiv:2403.13156.
- [Ogu14] Keiji Oguiso, *Automorphism groups of Calabi-Yau manifolds of Picard number 2*, *Journal of Algebraic Geometry* **23** (2014), 775 – 795.
- [OP98] Keiji Oguiso and Thomas Peternell, *Calabi–yau threefolds with positive second Chern class*, *Communications in Analysis and Geometry* **6** (1998), 153–172.
- [OS01] Keiji Oguiso and Jun Sakurai, *Calabi-Yau threefolds of quotient type*, *Asian J. Math.* **5** (2001), 43 – 77.
- [PS12] Artie Prendergast-Smith, *The cone conjecture for abelian varieties*, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences-the University of Tokyo* **19** (2012), 243–261.
- [PW17] Zsolt Patakfalvi and Joe Waldron, *Singularities of general fibers and the LMMP*, *American Journal of Mathematics* **144** (2017), 505 – 540.
- [Sch73] Wilfried Schmid, *Variation of hodge structure: The singularities of the period mapping*, *Inventiones mathematicae* **22** (1973), 211–319.
- [Sch16] Martin Schwald, *Low degree Hodge theory for klt varieties*, arXiv e-prints (2016), arXiv:1612.01919.
- [Sze99] Balázs Szendrői, *Some finiteness results for Calabi–Yau threefolds*, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* **60** (1999), no. 3, 689–699.
- [Tot09] Burt Totaro, *The cone conjecture for Calabi-Yau pairs in dimension 2*, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **154** (2009), 241–263.
- [Ueh04] Hokuto Uehara, *Calabi-Yau threefolds with infinitely many divisorial contractions*, *Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University* **44** (2004), no. 1, 99 – 118.
- [Voi02] Claire Voisin, *Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry I*, *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [Xu16] Jinsong Xu, *Homogeneous fibrations on log Calabi-Yau varieties*, arXiv e-prints (2016), arXiv:1611.01804.
- [Xu19a] Yanning Xu, *Complements on log canonical Fano varieties*, arXiv e-prints (2019), arXiv:1901.03891.
- [Xu19b] ———, *Some results about the index conjecture for log Calabi-Yau pairs*, arXiv e-prints (2019), arXiv:1905.00297.
- [Xu24] Fulin Xu, *On the cone conjecture for certain pairs of dimension at most 4*, arXiv e-prints (2024), arXiv:2405.20899.