

A PRIORI ESTIMATES OF MIZOHATA-TAKEUCHI TYPE FOR THE NAVIER-LAMÉ OPERATOR

J.A. BARCELÓ¹, A. RUIZ², M.C. VILELA¹, AND J. WRIGHT⁴

ABSTRACT. The Mizohata-Takeuchi conjecture for the resolvent of the Navier-Lamé equation is a weighted estimate with weights in the so-called Mizohata-Takeuchi class for this operator when one approaches the spectrum (Limiting Absorption Principles). We prove this conjecture in dimensions 2 and 3 for weights with a radial majorant in the Mizohata-Takeuchi class. This result can be seen as an extension of the analogue for the Laplacian given in [8]. We also prove that radial weights in this class are not invariant for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function, hence the methods in [6] used to extend estimates for the Laplacian to the Navier-Lamé case, do not work.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Nowadays, the Mizohata-Takeuchi conjecture is known as a weighted estimate for the extension operator of the Fourier transform on the sphere. More precisely, if S_R^{d-1} denotes the sphere of radius R in \mathbb{R}^d , $d\sigma_R$ the surface measure induced by the Lebesgue measure, $V : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ and $f \in L^2(S_R^{d-1})$, the conjecture can be formulated as the following weighted estimate for the extension operator over the sphere:

$$\left\| \widehat{fd\sigma_R} \right\|_{L^2(V)}^2 \leq c \sup \left\{ \int_L V : L \text{ line in } \mathbb{R}^d \right\} \|f\|_{L^2(S_R^{d-1})}^2. \quad (1.1)$$

The integral of V along the line L is known as the X-ray transform of V , this is the function XV defined on all the lines in \mathbb{R}^d by

$$XV(L) := \int_L V = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(y + t\theta) dt, \quad (1.2)$$

where $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\theta \in S^{d-1}$ determine the line L .

In the case of radial weights V , (1.1) was proved in [8] and [13] independently. The Mizohata-Takeuchi conjecture has been an object of interest related to Stein's

¹UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE MADRID, SPAIN.

²UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE MADRID, SPAIN.

⁴UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH, UK

E-mail addresses: juanantonio.barcelo@upm.es, alberto.ruiz@uam.es,
maricruz.vilela@upm.es and J.R.Wright@ed.ac.uk.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35J47, 74B05. Secondary 42B37.

Key words and phrases. Elasticity system, a priori estimates.

The first and the third authors were supported by the Spanish Grant PID2021-124195NB-C31, the second one by the the Spanish Grant PID2021-124195NB-C31 and the fourth by a Leverhulme Research Fellowship RF-2023-709\9.

conjecture in Fourier Analysis, see [24], [3], [23], [10], [11], [12] and the references therein.

The origin of this conjecture is an initial value problem. In the 80's, Takeuchi (see [26],[27] and [28]) was studying the following initial value problem associated to the linear Schrödinger equation perturbed by its first order term:

$$\begin{cases} i^{-1}\partial_t u + \Delta_x u + \mathbf{b}(x) \cdot \nabla_x u = F(x, t), & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x). \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

with $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $F \in C(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ complex-valued functions, and \mathbf{b} a vector-valued function. He claimed that (1.3) is well-posed in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if

$$\sup \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \int_0^\infty \mathbf{b}(x + s\theta) \cdot \theta ds : x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \theta \in S^{d-1} \right\} < \infty. \quad (1.4)$$

Later Mizohata (see [19]) noticed that Takeuchi's proof was not clear and showed that condition (1.4) is necessary but left open the question of whether it is also a sufficient condition. As far as we know, this Mizohata-Takeuchi conjecture is not yet resolved.

In 1997, Barceló-Ruiz-Vega (see [8]) introduced a scalar version of (1.4). More precisely, they consider scalar potentials $V : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ satisfying the *scalar Mizohata-Takeuchi* condition

$$\| \| V \| \|_X := \sup \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^\infty V(x + s\theta) ds : x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \theta \in S^{d-1} \right\} < \infty. \quad (1.5)$$

We will call the supremum appearing in (1.5) the X-ray norm of V and we will denote the class of potentials satisfying (1.5) by $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. They also introduced the *radial Mizohata-Takeuchi* class, denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, as the class of nonnegative functions V such that the function

$$\tilde{V}(r) := \sup_{\omega \in S_r^{d-1}} V(\omega) \quad (1.6)$$

satisfies

$$\| \| V \| \| := \sup_{\mu \geq 0} \int_\mu^\infty \frac{r \tilde{V}(r)}{(r^2 - \mu^2)^{1/2}} dr < \infty. \quad (1.7)$$

Abusing notation, we write $\tilde{V}(x) = \tilde{V}(r)$ where $r = |x|$. One can see that condition (1.7) means that the X-ray transform of the radial majorant $\tilde{V}(x)$ is bounded everywhere. In fact, we may assume that $x \cdot \theta = 0$ in (1.5) and then, by making the change of variable $x + s\theta = r$ in the integral with $V = \tilde{V}$ we obtain the integral in (1.7).

In [8, Theorem 4] they proved that the initial value problem (1.3) is well-posed in the homogeneous Sobolev space $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ when \mathbf{b} is bounded by a scalar radial function V with a sufficiently small X-ray norm.

The essential tool in their proof is an a priori weighted estimate for the solution of the stationary Helmholtz equation

$$\Delta u(x) + k^2 u(x) = f(x), \quad k > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (1.8)$$

satisfying the Sommerfeld outgoing radiation condition

$$(\partial_r - ik)u = o(r^{-(d-1)/2}), \quad r = |x| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.9)$$

They proved that if $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and V is a radial weight with a bounded X-ray norm, then the solution u of (1.8)-(1.9) satisfies the following a priori estimate (see [8, Th. 1 and Th. 2]):

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(V)} + k\|u\|_{L^2(V)} \leq c\|V\| \|f\|_{L^2(V^{-1})}. \quad (1.10)$$

By a limiting absorption principle argument, they got the same estimate for the outgoing resolvent of the Laplace operator. This resolvent can be written as the following sum:

$$(\Delta + k^2 + i0)^{-1}f(x) = \frac{i}{k} \widehat{d\sigma_k} * f(x) + \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\widehat{f}(\xi)}{|\xi|^2 - k^2} e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi.$$

So, formally, we can say that taking the imaginary part in the estimate for the resolvent, they obtained the same estimate for the convolution Stein-Tomas operator. In fact, they proved a stronger result (see [8, Theorem 3]). More precisely, they characterized the radial weights for which the following estimate holds:

$$\left\| \widehat{d\sigma_k} * f \right\|_{L^2(V)} \leq c\|V\| \|f\|_{L^2(V^{-1})}.$$

And also the equivalent estimates for the extension and restriction operator on the sphere, which are related to (1.1).

The purpose of this article is to study this problem in the context of linear elasticity, which is governed by the equation

$$\Delta^* \mathbf{u}(x) + \omega^2 \mathbf{u}(x) = \mathbf{f}(x), \quad \omega > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (1.11)$$

where \mathbf{u} , the displacement vector, is a vector-valued function from \mathbb{R}^d to \mathbb{R}^d and,

$$\Delta^* \mathbf{u}(x) = \mu \Delta \mathbf{u}(x) + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \text{div} \mathbf{u}(x), \quad (1.12)$$

with $\Delta \mathbf{u}$ defined componentwise. Here $\omega > 0$ denotes the frequency of the time-harmonic wave, the constants λ and μ are known as the Lamé constants and Δ^* is the *Navier-Lamé operator*.

Throughout this paper we will assume that $\mu > 0$ and $2\mu + \lambda > 0$ so that the operator Δ^* is strongly elliptic and, we will denote by k_p and k_s the speed of propagation of longitudinal and transversal waves, respectively, where

$$k_p^2 = \frac{\omega^2}{2\mu + \lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad k_s^2 = \frac{\omega^2}{\mu}. \quad (1.13)$$

It is well-known that any solution \mathbf{u} of the *homogeneous Navier-Lamé equation*

$$\Delta^* \mathbf{u}(x) + \omega^2 \mathbf{u}(x) = \mathbf{0}, \quad (1.14)$$

with Δ^* given by (1.12), in a domain, can be written as the sum of the so called compressional part, denoted by \mathbf{u}_p , and the shear part, denoted by \mathbf{u}_s , where

$$\mathbf{u}_p = -\frac{1}{k_p^2} \nabla \text{div} \mathbf{u} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{u}_s = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_p. \quad (1.15)$$

Observe that \mathbf{u}_p and \mathbf{u}_s are solutions of the *vectorial homogeneous Helmholtz equations* $\Delta \mathbf{u}_p(x) + k_p^2 \mathbf{u}_p(x) = \mathbf{0}$ and $\Delta \mathbf{u}_s(x) + k_s^2 \mathbf{u}_s(x) = \mathbf{0}$, respectively.

Besides, if \mathbf{u} is an entire solution (i.e. a solution in the whole \mathbb{R}^d) of (1.14) satisfying the outgoing Kupradze radiation conditions:

$$(\partial_r - ik_p)\mathbf{u}_p = \mathbf{o}(r^{-(d-1)/2}), \quad r = |x| \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.16)$$

$$(\partial_r - ik_s)\mathbf{u}_s = \mathbf{o}(r^{-(d-1)/2}), \quad r = |x| \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.17)$$

then, $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ (see [16] for the three-dimensional case).

As a consequence, for a vector-valued function $\mathbf{f} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, if there exists a solution of the *Navier-Lamé equation* (1.11) satisfying the Kupradze radiation conditions (1.16) and (1.17), where \mathbf{u}_p and \mathbf{u}_s are given by (1.15) outside the support of \mathbf{f} , then the solution is unique.

In [6] several classes of weights V are considered, those for which estimate (1.10) holds, and the analogous estimate was extended to the resolvent of Navier-Lamé operator in elasticity. The extension was based on the good behavior of the different classes of weights with respect to singular integral operators, Leray's decomposition of the resolvent of Navier operator in terms of the resolvent of Laplacians at different energies (longitudinal and transversal waves), together with Rubio de Francia algorithm, (see [15]). Nevertheless the case of the radial Mizohata-Takeuchi class remained an open problem. The question was the invariance of this class under Riesz transforms (see Remark 3.11 in [6]).

In this work we give a negative answer to this question by giving a bounded sequence of radial functions in $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ whose image by the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is unbounded (see Proposition 2.1). Nevertheless, we are able to extend estimate (1.10) to the Navier-Lamé operator for radial potentials (see Theorem 1.1). This estimate provides weighted estimates for the resolvent (see Corollary 1.3) which are an important tool to solve boundary and scattering inverse problems for perturbation of the free operator, see [7] for Navier-Lamé operator.

The main results of this paper are the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $V \in \mathcal{T}_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $d = 2, 3$. If $\mathbf{f} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then there exists $c > 0$ independent of \mathbf{f} , ω and V such that the following a priori estimate for \mathbf{u} , the unique solution of (1.11) satisfying (1.16) and (1.17), holds:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathbf{u}(x)|^2 V(x) dx \leq \frac{c}{\omega^2} \|V\|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathbf{f}(x)|^2 V^{-1}(x) dx. \quad (1.18)$$

Theorem 1.2. *Under the conditions of Theorem 1.1, writing $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_d)$, the following a priori estimate holds:*

$$\sup_{1 \leq j \leq d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u_j(x)|^2 V(x) dx \leq c \|V\|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathbf{f}(x)|^2 V^{-1}(x) dx. \quad (1.19)$$

We would like to point out that Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 can be stated with two different weights V_1 and V_2 (see Theorem 5.3 for the Helmholtz case).

As we mentioned before, in the study of well-posedness of initial value problems, a priori estimates for the associated stationary problem are essential tools (see [8] and [4] for the Helmholtz case with Mizohata-Takeuchi weights). So estimates (1.18) and (1.19) can be used to prove existence and uniqueness of solutions of initial

value problems associated to the evolution Navier-Lamé equation. And one obtains a priori estimates for these solutions (see [6, Theorems 1.6 and 1.7]). We will treat these issues in a forthcoming paper.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1, by using standard techniques (see [8]) we get the following corollary.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $V \in \mathcal{T}_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $d = 2, 3$.*

- (i) *If $z = \gamma + i\varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon \neq 0$, then there exists $c > 0$ independent of z and V such that for any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the following a priori estimate holds:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathbf{u}(x)|^2 V(x) dx \leq |z|^{-1} \|V\|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(\Delta^* + z\mathbf{I})\mathbf{u}(x)|^2 V^{-1}(x) dx. \quad (1.20)$$

- (ii) *(Weak limiting absorption principle.) Let us denote by $\mathbf{R}(z)$ the extension to $\mathbf{L}^2(V^{-1}dx)$ of the operator $(\Delta^* + z\mathbf{I})^{-1}$ defined in $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathbf{L}^2(V^{-1}dx)$. Then for $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{L}^2(V^{-1}dx)$, the weak-limit*

$$\mathbf{R}(\omega^2 + i0)\mathbf{f} := \text{weak} - \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \omega^2, \\ \Im z > 0}} \mathbf{R}(z)\mathbf{f}$$

exists in $\mathbf{L}^2(Vdx)$ and is a weak solution of (1.11). If $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $\mathbf{R}(\omega^2 + i0)\mathbf{f}$ is the solution satisfying the outgoing Kupradze radiation conditions (1.16) and (1.17).

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we prove that the radial Mizohata-Takeuchi class is not invariant under the centered Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. This was the main obstacle to extend the estimate for Helmholtz equation to the Navier-Lamé equation in [6].

In Section 3 we give an addition formula for the fundamental solution of the eigenfunction equation of Lamé-Navier operator. We give the proof in dimension $d = 2$ and refer to [9] for $d = 3$. These formulae are a fundamental part in the proof of estimate (1.18). We think that these formulae could be useful in other contexts, see [5].

In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.1, up to some lemmas which we establish in Section 6. For simplicity, we give a detailed proof for $d = 2$ and a sketch for $d = 3$. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is given in Section 5.

The proof of Theorem 1.1, although it follows the lines of the case of the Helmholtz equation in [8], it is much more involved. We will need new, delicate cancellations between longitudinal and transversal projections of solutions of the Navier-Lamé equation. This cancellation is revealed in Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4.

Theorem 1.2 will be proved directly as a consequence of the decomposition of the resolvent of Navier-Lamé in terms of the resolvent of the Laplacian at two different energies given in [6, Lemma 2.2]. In the proof of (1.19) we work in the frequency domain. We suspect that a similar proof could be given for (1.18), but so far we have not been able to do this.

Notation. From now on, all vectors will be considered column vectors, and all differential operators will be assumed to act on column vectors.

For non-negative quantities X and Y we will use $X \lesssim Y$ ($X \gtrsim Y$) to denote the existence of a positive constant c , depending on at most d , such that $X \leq cY$ ($X \geq cY$). We will write $X \sim Y$ if both $X \lesssim Y$ and $X \gtrsim Y$.

2. THE CLASS OF RADIAL FUNCTIONS WITH BOUNDED X-RAY TRANSFORM.

In [6], the Rubio de Francia Algorithm was used to construct an A_2 majorant for functions in some classes. This was essential to transfer weighted estimates from the Helmholtz equation to the Navier-Lamé equation. It requires an a priori bound for the Hardy-Littlewood Maximal operator in the class under consideration. In this section we will see that the class of radial functions with bounded X-ray transform behaves badly under the classical centered Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. More precisely, we will prove the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *The classical centered Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on \mathbb{R}^d is not a bounded operator on the radial Mizohata-Takeuchi class $\mathcal{T}_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

Proof. If we denote the classical centered Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator by M , we will show that the following estimate does not hold:

$$|||Mf||| \lesssim |||f|||, \quad f \in \mathcal{T}_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (2.1)$$

If $f \in \mathcal{T}_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then f is a radial function. Writing $f(x) = f_0(|x|)$ and using polar coordinates, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} Mf(x) &= \sup_{s>0} \frac{1}{|B_s(x)|} \int_{B_s(x)} |f(y)| dy \\ &\geq \sup_{0<s<|x|} \frac{1}{|B_s(x)|} \int_{B_s(x)} |f(y)| dy \\ &\sim \sup_{0<s<|x|} \frac{1}{s^d} \int_{|x|-s}^{|x|+s} |f_0(t)| h(s,t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $B_s(x)$ is the ball of radius s centered at x and

$$h(s,t) = \int_{B_s(x) \cap \{|y|=t\}} d\sigma(\omega).$$

Taking into account that if $||x| - t| < s/2$, then $h(s,t) \sim s^{d-1}$, we have

$$Mf(x) \gtrsim \mathcal{N}f_0(|x|) := \sup_{0<s<|x|} \frac{1}{2s} \int_{|x|-s}^{|x|+s} |f_0(t)| dt. \quad (2.2)$$

From here, using (1.7), we see that

$$|||Mf||| \gtrsim \sup_{\mu \geq 0} \int_{\mu}^{\infty} \frac{r \mathcal{N}f_0(r)}{(r^2 - \mu^2)^{1/2}} dr. \quad (2.3)$$

Consider two small positive parameters, η and δ , satisfying $0 < \eta^2 \ll \delta \ll \eta \ll 1$ and let N be a natural number satisfying $N \sim \eta^{-1}$. We introduce the following

function depending on these parameters:

$$f(x) = f_0(|x|) = \sum_{j=0}^N \chi_{I_j}(|x|),$$

where $I_j = [2 + j\eta, 2 + j\eta + \delta]$ for $1 \leq j \leq N$.

On one hand, for this function f , we have that

$$\|f\| = \sup_{\mu \geq 0} \int_{\mu}^{\infty} \frac{r f_0(r)}{(r^2 - \mu^2)^{1/2}} dr \sim \sup_{2 \leq \mu \leq 3} \int_{\mu}^3 \frac{r f_0(r)}{(r^2 - \mu^2)^{1/2}} dr.$$

Fix $2 \leq \mu \leq 3$. Then there exists $j_0 \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$ such that $2 + j_0\eta \leq \mu < 2 + (j_0 + 1)\eta$. And thus, we can write

$$\int_{\mu}^{\infty} \frac{r f_0(r)}{(r^2 - \mu^2)^{1/2}} dr \lesssim \sum_{j=j_0}^N \mathcal{I}_j, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_{j_0} := \int_{\mu}^{2+j_0\eta+\delta} \frac{r}{(r^2 - \mu^2)^{1/2}} dr = \sqrt{(2 + j_0\eta + \delta)^2 - \mu^2} \lesssim \delta^{1/2}, \quad (2.5)$$

and for $j = j_0 + 1, \dots, N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_j &:= \int_{2+j\eta}^{2+j\eta+\delta} \frac{r}{(r^2 - \mu^2)^{1/2}} dr \\ &= \sqrt{(2 + j\eta + \delta)^2 - \mu^2} - \sqrt{(2 + j\eta)^2 - \mu^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\delta}{(j - j_0)^{1/2} \eta^{1/2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Inserting (2.5) and (2.6) in (2.4) and taking the supremum, we obtain

$$\|f\| \lesssim \delta^{1/2} + \frac{\delta}{\eta^{1/2}} \sum_{j=j_0+1}^N \frac{1}{(j - j_0)^{1/2}} \sim \delta \eta^{-1} \quad (2.7)$$

since $\eta^2 \ll \delta$. On the other hand, from (2.3), we see that

$$\|Mf\| \gtrsim \int_2^3 \frac{r \mathcal{N}f_0(r)}{(r^2 - 4)^{1/2}} dr \gtrsim \sum_{j=1}^N (j\eta)^{-1/2} \int_{2+j\eta}^{2+(j+1)\eta} \mathcal{N}f_0(r) dr. \quad (2.8)$$

For a fixed $r \in [2 + j\eta, 2 + (j+1)\eta]$ with $j = 1, \dots, N$, we see from (2.2) that

$$\mathcal{N}f_0(r) \geq \frac{1}{2(r - 2 - j\eta + \delta)} \int_{2+j\eta-\delta}^{2r-2-j\eta+\delta} |f_0(t)| dt \gtrsim \frac{\delta}{r - 2 - j\eta + \delta}.$$

Inserting this in (2.8) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Mf\| &\gtrsim \frac{\delta}{\eta^{1/2}} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{j}} \int_{2+j\eta}^{2+(j+1)\eta} \frac{dr}{r - 2 - j\eta + \delta} \\ &= \frac{\delta}{\eta^{1/2}} \ln \left(\frac{\eta + \delta}{\delta} \right) \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{j}} \\ &\sim \delta \eta^{-1} \ln \left(\frac{\eta}{\delta} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Hence, if (2.1) held, from (2.7) and (2.9), we would conclude that

$$\ln\left(\frac{\eta}{\delta}\right) \lesssim 1,$$

which is impossible since $0 < \delta \ll \eta \ll 1$. \square

3. THE FUNDAMENTAL SOLUTION IN SPHERICAL HARMONICS.

The fundamental solution of equation (1.11) satisfying the outgoing Kupradze conditions (1.16) and (1.17) is an $d \times d$ matrix. In this section we present series expansions of this fundamental solution in dimensions 2 and 3. These expansions will be key in proving Theorem 1.1. We will first focus on dimension 2, and then we will address dimension 3, which is much more complicated.

In dimension 2, the fundamental solution can be written as (see [2])

$$\Phi(x, y) = \frac{i}{4\omega^2} \left(\nabla_x \nabla_y^t H_0^{(1)}(k_p |x - y|) + \nabla_x^\perp (\nabla_y^\perp)^t H_0^{(1)}(k_s |x - y|) \right), \quad (3.1)$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2)^t$, $\nabla_x = (\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2})^t$, $\nabla_x^\perp = (-\partial_{x_2}, \partial_{x_1})^t$ and $H_0^{(1)}$ denotes the Hankel function of the first kind and order zero.

Writing in polar coordinates $x = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)^t$ and $y = (t \cos \varphi, t \sin \varphi)^t$, we have the following addition formula (see [14, p.75])

$$H_0^{(1)}(k|x - y|) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_n^{(1)}(kr) J_n(kt) e^{-in\theta} e^{in\varphi}, \quad k > 0, |x| > |y|, \quad (3.2)$$

where J_n and $H_n^{(1)}$ are the Bessel functions of the first and third kind, respectively.

The series and its term-by-term first derivatives with respect to $|x|$ and $|y|$ are absolutely and uniformly convergent on compact subsets of $|x| > |y|$.

The expression of the fundamental solution given in (3.1) along with the addition formula (3.2) will allow us to obtain the following addition formula for the fundamental matrix solution.

Proposition 3.1. *If we write in polar coordinates $x = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$ and $y = (t \cos \varphi, t \sin \varphi)$ then, for $|x| > |y|$ the fundamental solution of (1.11) in dimension 2 is a 2×2 matrix that can be written as*

$$\Phi(x, y) = \Phi^1(x, y) + \Phi^2(x, y) + \Phi^3(x, y), \quad (3.3)$$

with

$$\Phi^1(x, y) = \frac{i}{8\omega^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{n,n}^+(r, t) \mathbf{I} e^{in\theta} e^{-in\varphi}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\Phi^2(x, y) = \frac{1}{16\omega^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{n-2,n}^-(r, t) \mathbf{A} e^{i(n-2)\theta} e^{-in\varphi}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\Phi^3(x, y) = \frac{1}{16\omega^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{n+2,n}^-(r, t) \mathbf{B} e^{i(n+2)\theta} e^{-in\varphi}, \quad (3.6)$$

where for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m = n - 2$ or $m = n + 2$,

$$H_{n,n}^+(r, t) := k_p^2 H_n^{(1)}(k_p r) J_n(k_p t) + k_s^2 H_n^{(1)}(k_s r) J_n(k_s t), \quad (3.7)$$

$$H_{m,n}^-(r, t) := k_p^2 H_m^{(1)}(k_p r) J_n(k_p t) - k_s^2 H_m^{(1)}(k_s r) J_n(k_s t), \quad (3.8)$$

I is the identity matrix and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -i & -1 \\ -1 & i \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} -i & 1 \\ 1 & i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.9)$$

The series and its term-by-term first derivatives with respect to $|x|$ and $|y|$ are absolutely and uniformly convergent on compact subsets of $|x| > |y|$.

For convenience, from now on, we will write H_n instead of $H_n^{(1)}$.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Following [2], we define the Navier eigenfunctions

$$\mathbf{e}_{p,n}(x) := \nabla_x (J_n(k_p r) e^{in\theta}), \quad \mathbf{e}_{s,n}(x) := \nabla_x^\perp (J_n(k_s r) e^{in\theta}),$$

with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and, the radiating Navier eigenfunctions

$$\mathbf{r}_{p,n}(x) := \nabla_x (H_n(k_p r) e^{-in\theta}), \quad \mathbf{r}_{s,n}(x) := \nabla_x^\perp (H_n(k_s r) e^{-in\theta}),$$

with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$.

With these definitions, from (3.1) and (3.2), we get the following addition formula for the fundamental solution of the Lamé equation (see [2]): if $|x| > |y|$, then

$$\Phi(x, y) = \frac{i}{4\omega^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbf{r}_{p,n}(x) e_{p,n}^t(y) + \mathbf{r}_{s,n}(x) e_{s,n}^t(y)), \quad (3.10)$$

where the series converges uniformly on compact subsets.

For convenience, we define

$$J_{k,n}(x) := J_n(kr) e^{in\theta}, \quad \text{and} \quad H_{k,n}(x) := H_n(kr) e^{-in\theta}. \quad (3.11)$$

And we write $\Phi = (\phi_{\ell j})$ with

$$\phi_{\ell j} = \frac{i}{4\omega^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{\ell j}^n. \quad (3.12)$$

Then, from (3.10) we have

$$\phi_{11}^n(x, y) = \partial_{x_1} H_{k_p, n}(x) \partial_{y_1} J_{k_p, n}(y) + \partial_{x_2} H_{k_s, n}(x) \partial_{y_2} J_{k_s, n}(y), \quad (3.13)$$

$$\phi_{22}^n(x, y) = \partial_{x_2} H_{k_p, n}(x) \partial_{y_2} J_{k_p, n}(y) + \partial_{x_1} H_{k_s, n}(x) \partial_{y_1} J_{k_s, n}(y), \quad (3.14)$$

$$\phi_{\ell j}^n(x, y) = \partial_{x_\ell} H_{k_p, n}(x) \partial_{y_j} J_{k_p, n}(y) - \partial_{x_j} H_{k_s, n}(x) \partial_{y_\ell} J_{k_s, n}(y), \quad \ell \neq j. \quad (3.15)$$

On the other hand, using the chain rule, for $j = 1, 2$ we have

$$\partial_{x_j} J_{k,n}(x) = k J_n'(kr) e^{in\theta} \partial_{x_j} r + in J_n(kr) e^{in\theta} \partial_{x_j} \theta, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\partial_{x_j} H_{k,n}(x) = k H_n'(kr) e^{-in\theta} \partial_{x_j} r - in H_n(kr) e^{-in\theta} \partial_{x_j} \theta. \quad (3.17)$$

Taking into account that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{x_1} r &= \frac{e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}}{2}, & \partial_{x_1} \theta &= \frac{i(e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta})}{2r}, \\ \partial_{x_2} r &= \frac{-i(e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta})}{2}, & \partial_{x_2} \theta &= \frac{e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}}{2r},\end{aligned}$$

from (3.16), we get

$$\partial_{x_1} J_{k,n}(x) = \frac{k}{2} \left[J'_n(kr)(e^{i(n+1)\theta} + e^{i(n-1)\theta}) - \frac{nJ_n(kr)}{kr}(e^{i(n+1)\theta} - e^{i(n-1)\theta}) \right], \quad (3.18)$$

$$\partial_{x_2} J_{k,n}(x) = -i \frac{k}{2} \left[J'_n(kr)(e^{i(n+1)\theta} - e^{i(n-1)\theta}) - \frac{nJ_n(kr)}{kr}(e^{i(n+1)\theta} + e^{i(n-1)\theta}) \right], \quad (3.19)$$

and from (3.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{x_1} H_{k,n}(x) &= \frac{k}{2} \left[H'_n(kr)(e^{-i(n-1)\theta} + e^{-i(n+1)\theta}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{nH_n(kr)}{kr}(e^{-i(n-1)\theta} - e^{-i(n+1)\theta}) \right], \quad (3.20)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{x_2} H_{k,n}(x) &= -i \frac{k}{2} \left[H'_n(kr)(e^{-i(n-1)\theta} - e^{-i(n+1)\theta}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{nH_n(kr)}{kr}(e^{-i(n-1)\theta} + e^{-i(n+1)\theta}) \right]. \quad (3.21)\end{aligned}$$

If $G_n(r)$ is $J_n(r)$ or $H_n(r)$, the following recurrence relations hold for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see [1, pp.361, identities in 9.1.27]):

$$\begin{aligned}2G'_n(r) &= G_{n-1}(r) - G_{n+1}(r), \\ \frac{2n}{r}G_n(r) &= G_{n-1}(r) + G_{n+1}(r).\end{aligned}$$

Using these recurrence relations in (3.18)-(3.21), and grouping terms we obtain

$$\partial_{x_1} J_{k,n}(x) = \frac{k}{2} (J_{k,n-1}(x) - J_{k,n+1}(x)), \quad (3.22)$$

$$\partial_{x_2} J_{k,n}(x) = i \frac{k}{2} (J_{k,n-1}(x) + J_{k,n+1}(x)), \quad (3.23)$$

$$\partial_{x_1} H_{k,n}(x) = \frac{k}{2} (H_{k,n-1}(x) - H_{k,n+1}(x)), \quad \text{and} \quad (3.24)$$

$$\partial_{x_2} H_{k,n}(x) = -i \frac{k}{2} (H_{k,n-1}(x) + H_{k,n+1}(x)). \quad (3.25)$$

We start by calculating the term ϕ_{11} of the fundamental matrix solution Φ . From (3.12) with $\ell = j = 1$ we see that

$$\phi_{11} = \frac{i}{4\omega^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{11}^n, \quad (3.26)$$

where ϕ_{11}^n is given in (3.13).

Inserting the identities (3.22)-(3.25) in (3.13) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{11}^n(x, y) &= \frac{k_p^2}{4} [H_{k_p, n-1}(x)J_{k_p, n-1}(y) + H_{k_p, n+1}(x)J_{k_p, n+1}(y)] \\
&\quad - \frac{k_p^2}{4} [H_{k_p, n-1}(x)J_{k_p, n+1}(y) + H_{k_p, n+1}(x)J_{k_p, n-1}(y)] \\
&\quad + \frac{k_s^2}{4} [H_{k_s, n-1}(x)J_{k_s, n-1}(y) + H_{k_s, n+1}(x)J_{k_s, n+1}(y)] \\
&\quad + \frac{k_s^2}{4} [H_{k_s, n-1}(x)J_{k_s, n+1}(y) + H_{k_s, n+1}(x)J_{k_s, n-1}(y)]. \tag{3.27}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we make the change of variables in the summation index n appearing in (3.26), that are necessary for the terms $J_{k, m}(y)$ with $k = k_p$ or $k = k_s$ and $m = n - 1$ or $m = n + 1$ to become $J_{k, n}(y)$. After these changes of variables, grouping terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{11}(x, y) &= \frac{i}{8\omega^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} [k_p^2 H_{k_p, n}(x)J_{k_p, n}(y) + k_s^2 H_{k_s, n}(x)J_{k_s, n}(y)] \\
&\quad - \frac{i}{16\omega^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} k_p^2 [H_{k_p, n-2}(x)J_{k_p, n}(y) + H_{k_p, n+2}(x)J_{k_p, n}(y)] \\
&\quad + \frac{i}{16\omega^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} k_s^2 [H_{k_s, n-2}(x)J_{k_s, n}(y) + H_{k_s, n+2}(x)J_{k_s, n}(y)].
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.11), (3.7) and (3.8) in this expression, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{11} &= \frac{i}{8\omega^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{n, n}^+(r, t) e^{-in\theta} e^{in\varphi} \\
&\quad - \frac{i}{16\omega^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{n-2, n}^-(r, t) e^{-i(n-2)\theta} e^{in\varphi} \\
&\quad - \frac{i}{16\omega^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{n+2, n}^-(r, t) e^{-i(n+2)\theta} e^{in\varphi}.
\end{aligned}$$

Or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{11} &= \frac{i}{8\omega^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{n, n}^+(r, t) e^{in\theta} e^{-in\varphi} \\
&\quad - \frac{i}{16\omega^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{n-2, n}^-(r, t) e^{i(n-2)\theta} e^{-in\varphi} \\
&\quad - \frac{i}{16\omega^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{n+2, n}^-(r, t) e^{i(n+2)\theta} e^{-in\varphi}. \tag{3.28}
\end{aligned}$$

To obtain this equivalence, we used the fact that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$H_{-n-2}J_{-n} = H_{n+2}J_n, \quad H_{-n}J_{-n} = H_nJ_n, \quad H_{-n+2}J_{-n} = H_{n-2}J_n. \tag{3.29}$$

Arguing in a similar way one can see that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{22} &= \frac{i}{8\omega^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{n,n}^+(r,t) e^{in\theta} e^{-in\varphi} \\ &+ \frac{i}{16\omega^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{n-2,n}^-(r,t) e^{i(n-2)\theta} e^{-in\varphi} \\ &+ \frac{i}{16\omega^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{n+2,n}^-(r,t) e^{i(n+2)\theta} e^{-in\varphi},\end{aligned}\tag{3.30}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{12} &= \frac{1}{16\omega^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{n-2,n}^-(r,t) e^{i(n-2)\theta} e^{-in\varphi} \\ &- \frac{1}{16\omega^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{n+2,n}^-(r,t) e^{i(n+2)\theta} e^{-in\varphi}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.31}$$

On the other hand, from (3.1) we have that Φ is a symmetric matrix, and therefore

$$\phi_{21} = \phi_{12}.\tag{3.32}$$

Identity (3.3) follows from (3.28)-(3.32). \square

Remark 3.2. Notice that due to (3.29), the series expansion (3.3) is also true if we substitute $e^{in\theta}$ and $e^{-in\varphi}$ for $e^{-in\theta}$ and $e^{in\varphi}$, respectively.

In dimension 3, the series expansion analogous to (3.3) is much more complicated. In this case, we can write the fundamental solution as (see [2])

$$\Phi(x,y) = \frac{1}{4\pi\omega^2} \left(\nabla_x \nabla_y^t \frac{e^{ik_p|x-y|}}{|x-y|} + \mathcal{D}_x \mathcal{D}_y^t \frac{e^{ik_s|x-y|}}{|x-y|} \right), \quad x \neq y,\tag{3.33}$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^t$, $\nabla_x = (\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}, \partial_{x_3})^t$ and

$$\mathcal{D} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\partial_{x_3} & \partial_{x_2} \\ \partial_{x_3} & 0 & -\partial_{x_1} \\ -\partial_{x_2} & \partial_{x_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The series expansion in the 3-dimensional case involves spherical harmonics. We consider the following normalization for them:

$$Y_n^m := \gamma_n^m e^{im\varphi} P_n^m(\cos \theta), \quad -n \leq m \leq n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,\tag{3.34}$$

where

$$\gamma_n^m := \sqrt{\frac{(2n+1)(n-m)!}{4\pi(n+m)!}}.\tag{3.35}$$

Here P_n^m is the associated Legendre function of degree n and order m defined by

$$P_n^m(z) := (-1)^m (1-z^2)^{\frac{m}{2}} \frac{d^m P_n(z)}{dz^m}, \quad z \in [-1, 1], \quad 0 \leq m \leq n,\tag{3.36}$$

where P_n is the Legendre polynomial of degree n . And we use the standard convention that for $m > 0$,

$$P_n^{-m}(z) := (-1)^m \frac{(n-m)!}{(n+m)!} P_n^m(z).\tag{3.37}$$

The following result can be found in [9].

Theorem 3.3. *Let Y_n^m , $m = -n, \dots, n$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, be the set of orthonormal spherical harmonics given in (3.34). And denote the spherical coordinates of x and y by (r, θ_x, φ_x) and (t, θ_y, φ_y) respectively. Then for $|x| > |y|$, the fundamental solution of (1.11) is a matrix that can be written as*

$$\Phi(x, y) = \Psi(x, y) + \Phi_-(x, y) + \Phi_0(x, y) + \Phi_+(x, y), \quad (3.38)$$

with

$$\Psi(x, y) = \frac{1}{\omega^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (h_{n,n}^0(k_s, r, t)S + h_{n,n}^0(k_p, r, t)P) \sum_{m=-n}^n Y_n^m(\theta_x, \varphi_x) \overline{Y_n^m}(\theta_y, \varphi_y), \quad (3.39)$$

$$\Phi_-(x, y) = \frac{1}{\omega^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{n-2,n}^-(r, t) \sum_{m=-n}^n S_{-,n}^m(\theta_x, \varphi_x) \overline{Y_n^m}(\theta_y, \varphi_y), \quad (3.40)$$

$$\Phi_0(x, y) = \frac{1}{\omega^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{n,n}^-(r, t) \sum_{m=-n}^n S_{0,n}^m(\theta_x, \varphi_x) \overline{Y_n^m}(\theta_y, \varphi_y), \quad (3.41)$$

$$\Phi_+(x, y) = \frac{1}{\omega^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{n+2,n}^-(r, t) \sum_{m=-n}^n S_{+,n}^m(\theta_x, \varphi_x) \overline{Y_n^m}(\theta_y, \varphi_y), \quad (3.42)$$

where

$$h_{n,n}^0(k, r, t) := k^3 h_n^{(1)}(kr) j_n(kt), \quad (3.43)$$

$$h_{n_1,n}^-(r, t) := k_p^3 h_{n_1}^{(1)}(k_p r) j_n(k_p t) - k_s^3 h_{n_1}^{(1)}(k_s r) j_n(k_s t), \quad (3.44)$$

with j_n and $h_n^{(1)}$ denoting the spherical Bessel functions of the first and third kind respectively,

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.45)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{-,n}^m(\theta_x, \varphi_x) &= A_{-,n}^m Y_{n-2}^{m-2}(\theta_x, \varphi_x) + B_{-,n}^m Y_{n-2}^{m-1}(\theta_x, \varphi_x) + C_{-,n}^m Y_{n-2}^m(\theta_x, \varphi_x) \\ &\quad + D_{-,n}^m Y_{n-2}^{m+1}(\theta_x, \varphi_x) + E_{-,n}^m Y_{n-2}^{m+2}(\theta_x, \varphi_x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{0,n}^m(\theta_x, \varphi_x) &= A_{0,n}^m Y_n^{m-2}(\theta_x, \varphi_x) + B_{0,n}^m Y_n^{m-1}(\theta_x, \varphi_x) + C_{0,n}^m Y_n^m(\theta_x, \varphi_x) \\ &\quad + D_{0,n}^m Y_n^{m+1}(\theta_x, \varphi_x) + E_{0,n}^m Y_n^{m+2}(\theta_x, \varphi_x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{+,n}^m(\theta_x, \varphi_x) &= A_{+,n}^m Y_{n+2}^{m-2}(\theta_x, \varphi_x) + B_{+,n}^m Y_{n+2}^{m-1}(\theta_x, \varphi_x) + C_{+,n}^m Y_{n+2}^m(\theta_x, \varphi_x) \\ &\quad + D_{+,n}^m Y_{n+2}^{m+1}(\theta_x, \varphi_x) + E_{+,n}^m Y_{n+2}^{m+2}(\theta_x, \varphi_x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

with $A_{\#,n}^m, B_{\#,n}^m, C_{\#,n}^m, D_{\#,n}^m$ and $E_{\#,n}^m$ for $\# = -, +, 0$, being 3×3 matrices of the discrete variables n and m , with $n \geq 0$, and $-n \leq m \leq n$ uniformly bounded.

The series and its term-by-term first derivatives with respect to $|x|$ and $|y|$ are absolutely and uniformly convergent on compact subsets of $|x| > |y|$.

Remark 3.4. It follows from (3.1) that $\Phi(x, y) = \Phi(y, x)$. Hence in the region $|x| < |y|$, (3.3) holds by changing the roles of (r, θ) by (t, φ) .

Analogously, from (3.33) we have that in the region $|x| < |y|$, (3.38) holds by changing the roles of (r, θ_x, φ_x) by (t, θ_y, φ_y) .

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Before proving the theorem, we will state several lemmas that will be crucial in its proof. These lemmas provide estimates for certain integrals where the integrands involve Bessel and Hankel functions. Their proofs are postponed until Section 6.

First we present a lemma proved by Barceló, Ruiz and Vega in [8].

Lemma 4.1. [8, Lemma 6] *Let $\mu \geq 1/2$ and $d \geq 2$. If $V \in \mathcal{T}_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then*

$$\int_0^\infty |J_\mu(r)|^2 V(r)r dr + \int_{\mu-\mu^{\frac{1}{3}}}^\infty \left| H_\mu^{(1)}(r) \right|^2 V(r)r dr \lesssim \|V\|. \quad (4.1)$$

In the following lemma we give an estimate where the constant that appears depends on the orders of the Bessel functions.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\mu = n$ or $\mu = n + \frac{1}{2}$ with n a non-negative integer, and let $\nu = \mu - 2$ or $\nu = \mu + 2$, $a > 1$ and $d \geq 2$. If $V \in \mathcal{T}_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then*

$$\int_0^\infty \int_r^\infty \left| H_\nu^{(1)}(t)J_\mu(r) - aH_\nu^{(1)}(at)J_\mu(ar) \right|^2 tV(t)dt rV(r)dr \leq c_{\mu,a} \|V\|^2, \quad (4.2)$$

where $c_{\mu,a}$ is a constant depending on μ and a .

The rest of the lemmas we present give estimates when the orders of the Bessel functions are sufficiently large.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $a > 1$ and $d \geq 2$. For $\mu > \mu_0$ with $\mu_0 = \mu_0(a)$ large enough, if $V \in \mathcal{T}_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then*

$$\int_0^{1+\frac{1}{2a}} \int_r^{1+\frac{1}{2a}} \left| J_\mu(r)H_{\mu+2}^{(1)}(t) - a^2 J_\mu(ar)H_{\mu+2}^{(1)}(at) \right|^2 V(t)t dt V(r)r dr \lesssim \|V\|^2. \quad (4.3)$$

Lemma 4.4. *Let $a > 1$ and $d \geq 2$. For $\mu > \mu_0$ with $\mu_0 = \mu_0(a)$ large enough, if $V \in \mathcal{T}_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then*

$$\int_{1-\frac{1}{2a}}^{\frac{\mu}{2a}} \int_r^{r+\frac{1}{a}} \left| J_\mu(r)H_{\mu+2}^{(1)}(t) - a^2 J_\mu(ar)H_{\mu+2}^{(1)}(at) \right|^2 V(t)t dt V(r)r dr \lesssim \|V\|^2. \quad (4.4)$$

Lemma 4.5. *Let $a > 1$ and $d \geq 2$. For μ large enough, let $N = N(\mu, a) = [\mu - 2a]$, where $[\mu - 2a]$ denotes the integer part of $\mu - 2a$. If $V \in \mathcal{T}_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then*

$$\sum_{m=0}^N L_m \lesssim \|V\|^2,$$

where for $m = 0, \dots, N-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} L_m &= \int_0^{1+\frac{m-1}{2a}} |J_\mu(r)|^2 V(r)r dr \int_{1+\frac{m}{2a}}^{1+\frac{m+1}{2a}} \left| H_{\mu+2}^{(1)}(t) \right|^2 V(t)t dt, \\ L_N &= \int_0^{1+\frac{N-1}{2a}} |J_\mu(r)|^2 V(r)r dr \int_{1+\frac{N}{2a}}^\infty \left| H_{\mu+2}^{(1)}(t) \right|^2 V(t)t dt. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.6. *Let $a > 1$ and $d \geq 2$. For $\mu > \mu_0$ with $\mu_0 = \mu_0(a)$ large enough, if $V \in \mathcal{T}_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then*

$$\int_{\frac{\mu}{2a}-\frac{1}{a}}^{\mu+2-(\mu+2)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \int_r^{\mu+2-(\mu+2)^{\frac{1}{3}}} |H_{\mu+2}^{(1)}(t)|^2 V(t)t dt |J_\mu(r)|^2 V(r)r dr \lesssim |||V|||^2. \quad (4.5)$$

Lemma 4.7. *Let $d \geq 2$. For μ large enough, if $V \in \mathcal{T}_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then*

$$\int_0^{\mu-\mu^{\frac{1}{3}}} \int_r^{\mu-\mu^{\frac{1}{3}}} |H_\mu^{(1)}(t)|^2 V(t)t dt |J_{\mu+2}(r)|^2 V(r)r dr \lesssim |||V|||^2. \quad (4.6)$$

Remark 4.8. We would like to point out that in Lemma 4.7, unlike in Lemma 4.6, the Bessel function is of higher order, $\mu + 2$, while the Hankel function is of lower order, μ . This allows the lower limit of the integral in r to be 0 in Lemma 4.7, whereas in Lemma 4.6 it can only be lowered to something on the order of $\mu/2$ (see Remark 6.8).

Remark 4.9. Notice that in the previous lemmas the dimension d does not play any role in the case of radial potentials V . The reason for this is that condition (1.7) is independent of the dimension d in this case.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Note that it is sufficient to prove the theorem for the radial majorant of V given in (1.6), which, abusing notation, we will also denote by V .

For $\omega > 0$ we introduce

$$V_\omega(r) = V\left(\frac{r}{\omega}\right) \quad (4.7)$$

so that $V_\omega \in \mathcal{T}_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$|||V_\omega||| = \omega |||V|||. \quad (4.8)$$

This allows us to reduce the proof of the theorem to the case where $\omega = 1$ due to homogeneity. In fact, suppose we have proved the estimate (1.18) for $\omega = 1$; let us now see how the case of arbitrary ω follows. Given $\mathbf{f} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ let \mathbf{u} be the unique solution of (1.11) satisfying the outgoing Kupradze radiation conditions (1.16) and (1.17). Then, the function $\mathbf{u}_\omega(x) = \mathbf{u}\left(\frac{x}{\omega}\right)$ satisfies the equation

$$\Delta^* \mathbf{u}_\omega + \mathbf{u}_\omega = \frac{1}{\omega^2} \mathbf{f}_\omega,$$

where $\mathbf{f}_\omega(x) = \mathbf{f}\left(\frac{x}{\omega}\right)$. Moreover, $(\mathbf{u}_\omega)_p(x) = \mathbf{u}_p\left(\frac{x}{\omega}\right)$ and $(\mathbf{u}_\omega)_s(x) = \mathbf{u}_s\left(\frac{x}{\omega}\right)$ satisfy the outgoing Kupradze radiation conditions given in (1.16) and (1.17) with $k_p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\mu+\lambda}}$ and $k_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}}$, respectively. Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathbf{u}_\omega(x)|^2 V_\omega(x) dx \lesssim \frac{|||V_\omega|||^2}{\omega^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathbf{f}_\omega(x)|^2 V_\omega^{-1}(x) dx.$$

From here, we get (1.18) for arbitrary ω making a change of variable and using (4.8).

For simplicity, we will only write the proof in the 2 dimensional case and then explain the differences that appear in the 3 dimensional case.

2-D CASE. Consider $\mathbf{f} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Writing $y = (t \cos \varphi, t \sin \varphi)^t$ in polar coordinates, we have that

$$\mathbf{f}(y) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{f}_n(t) e^{in\varphi}, \quad (4.9)$$

with

$$\mathbf{f}_n(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbf{f}(t \cos \varphi, t \sin \varphi) e^{-in\varphi} d\varphi.$$

The unique solution of (1.11) satisfying (1.16) and (1.17) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Phi(x, y) \mathbf{f}(y) dy \\ &= \int_{|y| < |x|} \Phi(x, y) \mathbf{f}(y) dy + \int_{|y| > |x|} \Phi(x, y) \mathbf{f}(y) dy \\ &= \mathbf{u}^<(x) + \mathbf{u}^>(x). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

We will deal first with $\mathbf{u}^<$. Since $|y| < |x|$, we can use Proposition 3.1 to write $\Phi(x, y)$ as in (3.3). If we split $\mathbf{u}^<$ according to the three terms in the identity (3.3), we get

$$\mathbf{u}^< = \mathbf{u}^<,1 + \mathbf{u}^<,2 + \mathbf{u}^<,3, \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$\mathbf{u}^<,j(x) = \int_{|y| < |x|} \Phi^j(x, y) \mathbf{f}(y) dy, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

From here, using polar coordinates, (3.4)-(3.6) and (4.9) and orthogonality, we get

$$\mathbf{u}^<,j(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{u}_n^<,j(r) e^{in\theta}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \quad (4.12)$$

with

$$\mathbf{u}_n^<,1(r) = \frac{i}{8} \int_0^r t H_{n,n}^+(r, t) \mathbf{f}_n(t) dt, \quad (4.13)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_n^<,2(r) = \frac{1}{16} \int_0^r t H_{n,n+2}^-(r, t) A \mathbf{f}_{n+2}(t) dt, \quad (4.14)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_n^<,3(r) = \frac{1}{16} \int_0^r t H_{n,n-2}^-(r, t) B \mathbf{f}_{n-2}(t) dt. \quad (4.15)$$

To deal with $\mathbf{u}^>$, we take into account that $\Phi(x, y) = \Phi(y, x)$ (see Remark 3.4), and thus

$$\mathbf{u}^>(x) = \int_{|y| > |x|} \Phi(y, x) \mathbf{f}(y) dy.$$

Now, since we have $\Phi(y, x)$ with $|y| > |x|$, we can use again Proposition 3.1 to write $\Phi(y, x)$ as in (3.3). And thus, arguing as before, we obtain

$$\mathbf{u}^> = \mathbf{u}^>,1 + \mathbf{u}^>,2 + \mathbf{u}^>,3, \quad (4.16)$$

where

$$\mathbf{u}^{>,j}(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{u}_n^{>,j}(r) e^{in\theta}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \quad (4.17)$$

with

$$\mathbf{u}_n^{>,1}(r) = \frac{i}{8} \int_r^\infty t H_{n,n}^+(t, r) \mathbf{f}_n(t) dt, \quad (4.18)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_n^{>,2}(r) = \frac{1}{16} \int_r^\infty t H_{n+2,n}^-(t, r) \mathbf{A} \mathbf{f}_{n+2}(t) dt, \quad (4.19)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_n^{>,3}(r) = \frac{1}{16} \int_r^\infty t H_{n-2,n}^-(t, r) \mathbf{B} \mathbf{f}_{n-2}(t) dt. \quad (4.20)$$

We want to point out that to get (4.18)-(4.20) we have made a change of variables in the summation index n appearing in (4.17) and used (3.29).

From (4.10), (4.11) and (4.16), to prove (1.18) it is enough to prove the estimate for $\mathbf{u}^{<,j}$ and $\mathbf{u}^{>,j}$, with $j = 1, 2, 3$.

We start with $\mathbf{u}^{>,j}$ and show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathbf{u}^{>,j}(x)|^2 V(x) dx \leq c \|V\|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathbf{f}(x)|^2 V^{-1}(x) dx, \quad j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (4.21)$$

Writing (4.21) in polar coordinates, using (4.17) and (4.9), the fact that V is a radial function, and using orthogonality, we see that it is enough to prove the following estimates:

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^\infty |\mathbf{u}_n^{>,j}(r)|^2 r V(r) dr \leq c \|V\|^2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^\infty |\mathbf{f}_n(r)|^2 r V^{-1}(r) dr, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \quad (4.22)$$

where $\mathbf{u}_n^{>,j}$ are given in (4.18)-(4.20).

Arguing in a similar way, the proof of (4.21) for $\mathbf{u}^{<,j}$ can be reduced to proving (4.22) but for $\mathbf{u}_n^{<,j}$, which are given in (4.13)-(4.15).

To prove (4.22) for $j = 1$, we use (4.18) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, to write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty |\mathbf{u}_n^{>,1}(r)|^2 r V(r) dr &= \frac{1}{8} \int_0^\infty \left| \int_r^\infty t H_{n,n}^+(t, r) \mathbf{f}_n(t) dt \right|^2 r V(r) dr \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty |\mathbf{f}_n(t)|^2 t V^{-1}(t) dt \int_0^\infty \int_r^\infty |H_{n,n}^+(t, r)|^2 t V(t) dt r V(r) dr, \end{aligned}$$

reducing matters to establishing

$$\int_0^\infty \int_r^\infty |H_{n,n}^+(t, r)|^2 t V(t) dt r V(r) dr \leq c \|V\|^2,$$

for a constant c independent of n .

By (3.7), this is equivalent to proving

$$\int_0^\infty \int_r^\infty |H_n^{(1)}(kt)|^2 |J_n(kr)|^2 t V(t) dt r V(r) dr \leq c \|V\|^2, \quad k = k_p, k_s.$$

By a change of variables, one can see that this inequality is equivalent to the following:

$$\int_0^\infty \int_r^\infty |H_n^{(1)}(t)|^2 |J_n(r)|^2 t V_k(t) dt r V_k(r) dr \leq c k^2 \|V\|^2,$$

where $V_k(r) = V(r/k)$. And from (4.8), this is equivalent to showing

$$\int_0^\infty \int_r^\infty |H_n^{(1)}(t)|^2 |J_n(r)|^2 t V(t) dt r V(r) dr \leq c \|V\|^2.$$

But this estimate was proved in [8]; more precisely, it is the estimate (2.23) on page 368 of [8].

The estimate (4.22) for $\mathbf{u}_n^{<,1}$ can be proved analogously, using (4.13) instead of (4.18). In this case, it is necessary to change the order of integration.

To prove (4.22) for $j = 2$ and $j = 3$, we can argue in a similar way, using (4.19) and (4.20) instead of (4.18), respectively, and we see that it is enough to prove

$$\int_0^\infty \int_r^\infty |H_{n,m}^-(t,r)|^2 t V(t) dt r V(r) dr \leq c \|V\|^2, \quad m = n+2, n-2. \quad (4.23)$$

Here we have used that $|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{f}_{n+2}| \lesssim |\mathbf{f}_{n+2}|$ and $|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{f}_{n-2}| \lesssim |\mathbf{f}_{n-2}|$.

By (3.8), this is equivalent to proving

$$\int_0^\infty \int_r^\infty \left| k_p^2 H_m^{(1)}(k_p t) J_n(k_p r) - k_s^2 H_m^{(1)}(k_s t) J_n(k_s r) \right|^2 t V(t) dt r V(r) dr \leq c \|V\|^2.$$

For the case $k_s > k_p$, we make the change of variables $k_p r = r'$ and $k_p t = t'$ to get (writing t and r instead of t' and r' respectively)

$$\int_0^\infty \int_r^\infty \left| H_m^{(1)}(t) J_n(r) - a H_m^{(1)}(at) J_n(ar) \right|^2 t V_{k_p}(t) r V_{k_p}(r) dr dt \leq c k_p^2 \|V\|^2,$$

where $a = k_s/k_p > 1$ and $V_{k_p}(r) = V(r/k_p)$. As before, from (4.8), this inequality is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{I} := \int_0^\infty \int_r^\infty \left| H_m^{(1)}(t) J_n(r) - a H_m^{(1)}(at) J_n(ar) \right|^2 t V(t) dt r V(r) dr \leq c \|V\|^2, \quad (4.24)$$

with $a > 1$.

For the case $k_s < k_p$, arguing in the same way but exchanging the roles of k_p and k_s , we arrive at the same inequality.

Arguing in a similar way, the proof of (4.22) for $\mathbf{u}_n^{<,2}$ or $\mathbf{u}_n^{<,3}$ can be reduced to prove the inequality (4.24). In this case, one has to use (4.14) or (4.15) instead of (4.18), and it is necessary to change the order of integration.

So it suffices to prove (4.24) for $m = n+2$ and $m = n-2$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Actually, from (3.29) it is enough to do this with n a non-negative integer. Furthermore, from Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 it is sufficient to do so with n sufficiently large.

The proof of (4.24) for $m = n-2$ is much easier than for $m = n+2$ because it is not necessary to take advantage of any cancellation in the integrand.




FIGURE 4.1. The integration domain $\{(t, r) : r > 0, t > r\}$ has been divided into five regions D_1, \dots, D_5 .

Using the triangle inequality, making a change of variables, and employing (4.8), the proof of (4.24) for $m = n - 2$ is reduced to proving that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_r^\infty |H_\mu^{(1)}(t)|^2 V(t) t dt |J_{\mu+2}(r)|^2 V(r) r dr \lesssim \|V\|^2,$$

for μ large enough. And this is deduced from (4.6) and (4.1).

In order to prove (4.24) for $m = n + 2$, we split I into five integrals,

$$I \leq \sum_{m=1}^5 I_m,$$

where

$$I_m = \iint_{D_m} \left| H_{\mu+2}^{(1)}(t) J_\mu(r) - a^2 H_{\mu+2}^{(1)}(at) J_\mu(ar) \right|^2 V(t) t V(r) r dr dt,$$

with (see Figure 4.1)

$$D_1 = \{(r, t) : 0 < t < 1 + \frac{1}{2a}, 0 < r < t\},$$

$$D_2 = \{(r, t) : 1 + \frac{1}{2a} < t < \frac{\mu}{2a}, t - \frac{1}{a} < r < t\},$$

$D_3 = D_3^a \cup D_3^b$ with

$$D_3^a = \{(r, t) : 1 + \frac{1}{2a} < t < \frac{\mu}{2a}, 0 < r < t - \frac{1}{a}\}$$

and

$$D_3^b = \{(r, t) : \frac{\mu}{2a} < t < \mu + 2 - (\mu + 2)^{\frac{1}{3}}, 0 < r < \frac{\mu}{2a} - \frac{1}{a}\},$$

$$D_4 = \{(r, t) : \frac{\mu}{2a} < t < \mu + 2 - (\mu + 2)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \frac{\mu}{2a} - \frac{1}{a} < r < t\}$$

and

$$D_5 = \{(r, t) : t > \mu + 2 - (\mu + 2)^{\frac{1}{3}}, 0 < r < t\}.$$

The estimate for I_1 and I_2 follows from Lemma 4.3 and 4.4, respectively. As we will see later, the key point in obtaining the estimate in these cases is to take advantage of the cancellation of the integrand (see Remark 4.10 below). This is not necessary in the other cases, so we use the triangle inequality to write

$$I_m \lesssim I_m^1 + I_m^2, \quad m = 3, 4, 5,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_m^1 &:= \iint_{D_m} |J_\mu(r)|^2 |H_{\mu+2}^{(1)}(t)|^2 V(t) t V(r) r \, dr dt, \\ I_m^2 &:= a^4 \iint_{D_m} |J_\mu(ar)|^2 |H_{\mu+2}^{(1)}(at)|^2 V(t) t V(r) r \, dr dt. \end{aligned}$$

Making a change of variables, we see that

$$I_m^2 = \iint_{D'_m} |J_\mu(r')|^2 |H_{\mu+2}^{(1)}(t')|^2 V_a(t') t' V_a(r') r' \, dr' dt',$$

where V_a is defined by (4.7) and

$$D'_m = \left\{ (r', t') : \left(\frac{r'}{a}, \frac{t'}{a} \right) \in D_m \right\}.$$

From here, one can check that the results for I_m^2 follow from the results for I_m^1 and (4.8).

Finally, the estimates for I_3^1 , I_4^1 and I_5^1 follow from Lemma 4.5, 4.6 and 4.1, respectively (see Figure 4.2). This concludes the proof for the 2-d case.

3-D CASE. Following the proof for the 2-D case, we consider $\mathbf{f} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Writing $y = (t, \theta_y, \varphi_y)^t$ in spherical coordinates, we have that

$$\mathbf{f}(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{+n} \mathbf{f}_n^m(t) Y_n^m(\theta_y, \varphi_y), \quad (4.25)$$

with

$$\mathbf{f}_n^m(t) = \int_{S^2} \mathbf{f}(t, \bar{y}) \overline{Y_n^m(\bar{y})} d\sigma(\bar{y}),$$

where $\bar{y} = y/|y|$.

As we did in (4.10), we split the unique solution of (1.11) satisfying (1.16) and (1.17) into two:

$$\mathbf{u}(x) = \mathbf{u}^<(x) + \mathbf{u}^>(x),$$

where

$$\mathbf{u}^<(x) = \int_{|y| < |x|} \Phi(x, y) \mathbf{f}(y) dy, \quad \mathbf{u}^>(x) = \int_{|y| > |x|} \Phi(x, y) \mathbf{f}(y) dy,$$

and Φ is the fundamental matrix solution given in (3.33).

Arguing as in (4.11), if $|y| < |x|$ we can use Theorem 3.3 to write $\Phi(x, y)$ as in (3.38). So we can split $\mathbf{u}^<$ according to the four matrix terms in (3.38):

$$\mathbf{u}^< = \mathbf{u}^<,1 + \mathbf{u}^<,2 + \mathbf{u}^<,3 + \mathbf{u}^<,4, \quad (4.26)$$

where

$$\mathbf{u}^{<,j}(x) = \int_{|y|<|x|} \Phi^j(x, y) \mathbf{f}(y) dy, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

with $\Phi^1 = \Psi$, $\Phi^2 = \Phi_-$, $\Phi^3 = \Phi_0$ and $\Phi^4 = \Phi_+$.

From here, using spherical coordinates, (3.39)-(3.42), (4.25), and orthogonality, we obtain

$$\mathbf{u}^{<,j}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{+n} (\mathbf{u}^{<,j})_n^m(r) Y_n^m(\bar{x}), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \quad (4.27)$$

where $(\mathbf{u}^{<,j})_n^m(r)$ are coefficients written in terms of the corresponding matrices given in (3.45)-(3.48). These coefficients are uniformly bounded in the corresponding range of n and m . We can treat the four matrix terms in a similar way. To avoid cumbersome repetitions we concentrate on the fourth term given in (3.42). Using orthogonality and making some changes in the summation indicies, we see that

$$(\mathbf{u}^{<,4})_n^m(r) = \int_0^r h_{n,n-2}^-(r, t) \mathbf{g}_{n-2}^m(t) t^2 dt, \quad (4.28)$$

with

$$\mathbf{g}_{n-2}^m = \mathbf{A}_{+,n-2}^{m+2} \mathbf{f}_{n-2}^{m+2} + \mathbf{B}_{+,n-2}^{m+1} \mathbf{f}_{n-2}^{m+1} + \mathbf{C}_{+,n-2}^m \mathbf{f}_{n-2}^m + \mathbf{D}_{+,n-2}^{m-1} \mathbf{f}_{n-2}^{m-1} + \mathbf{E}_{+,n-2}^{m-2} \mathbf{f}_{n-2}^{m-2}. \quad (4.29)$$

We would like to point out that the matrix coefficients appearing in the previous identity vanish when n and m are outside the allowed ranges.

The scalar functions $h_{n,n-2}^-$ are given in (3.44) in terms of the spherical Bessel functions. For convenience, we write them in terms of the standard Bessel and Hankel functions. This gives us (up to a constant)

$$\begin{aligned} h_{n,n-2}^-(r, t) &\approx (rt)^{-1/2} \left(k_p^2 H_{n+1/2}^{(1)}(k_p r) J_{n-3/2}(k_p t) - k_s^2 H_{n+1/2}^{(1)}(k_s r) J_{n-3/2}(k_s t) \right) \\ &= (rt)^{-1/2} H_{n+1/2, n-3/2}^-(r, t), \end{aligned}$$

where $H_{n+1/2, n-3/2}^-$ is given in (3.8).

Inserting this in (4.28) we get

$$(\mathbf{u}^{<,4})_n^m(r) \approx \int_0^r (rt)^{-1/2} H_{n+1/2, n-3/2}^-(r, t) \mathbf{g}_{n-2}^m(t) t^2 dt. \quad (4.30)$$

Following the proof for the 2-d case, matters are reduced to the following estimate:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbf{u}^{<,4}(x)|^2 V(x) dx \lesssim \| \|V\| \|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbf{f}(x)|^2 V^{-1}(x) dx. \quad (4.31)$$

Making the change to spherical coordinates, using (4.27) and (4.25), and Parseval's identity, we are reduced to prove

$$\int_0^\infty r^2 |(\mathbf{u}^{<,4})_n^m(r)|^2 V(r) dr \leq c \| \|V\| \|^2 \int_0^\infty r^2 |\mathbf{f}_n^m(r)|^2 V^{-1}(r) dr,$$

with c a constant independent of n and m .




FIGURE 4.2. Region covering the integration domains of Lemma 4.5.

Using (4.30), the triangle inequality and Cauchy-Schwarz, since the matrix coefficients appearing in (4.29) are uniformly bounded, we get

$$\int_0^\infty r^2 |(\mathbf{u}^{<,4})_n^m(r)|^2 V(r) dr \lesssim \int_0^\infty \int_0^r |H_{n+\frac{1}{2}, n-\frac{3}{2}}^-(r, t)|^2 t V(t) dt r V(r) dr \sum_{\ell=-2}^2 \int_0^r t^2 |\mathbf{f}_{n-2}^{m+\ell}(t)|^2 V^{-1}(t) dt.$$

From here, changing the order of integration, it is enough to prove

$$\int_0^\infty \int_r^\infty |H_{\mu, \mu-2}^-(t, r)|^2 t V(t) dt r V(r) dr \leq c \|V\|^2, \quad \mu = n + \frac{1}{2}. \quad (4.32)$$

The proof of this inequality is similar to (4.23). \square

Remark 4.10. In order to control the iterated integral I appearing in (4.24) near the origin, it is important to take advantage of the cancellation of the integrand. This can be seen very clearly taking into account that

$$H_{n+2}^{(1)}(r) \approx \frac{2^{n+2}}{(n+1)! r^{n+2}}, \quad r \rightarrow 0, \quad J_n(t) \approx \frac{t^n}{2^n (n-1)!}, \quad t \rightarrow 0,$$

and then

$$H_{n+2}(r) J_n(t) \approx \left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^n \frac{1}{r^2},$$

and this produces a singularity at the origin which is not integrable.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We will need the following lemma, which can be proved following the proof of Proposition 2(a) in page 245 of [25].

Lemma 5.1. [25, pp. 245, Proposition 2] *Let m be a bounded function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ that satisfies*

$$|\partial^\alpha m(\xi)| \leq c_\alpha |\xi|^{-(1+|\alpha|)}, \quad (5.1)$$

for all $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with $|\alpha| \leq d$. And denote by K the distribution whose Fourier transform is m . Then, K satisfies

$$|K(x)| \lesssim |x|^{-(d-1)}. \quad (5.2)$$

We will also need the following estimate for a Riesz potential.

Proposition 5.2. [8, pp. 365, (2.15)] *If $V \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then there exists a constant $c > 0$ independent of f such that the following estimate holds:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |I_1 f(x)|^2 V(x) dx \leq c \|V\|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^2 V^{-1}(x) dx, \quad (5.3)$$

where I_1 is the Riesz potential defined by

$$I_1 f(x) = c_d |x|^{-(d-1)} * f(x).$$

We would like to remark that by duality, estimate (5.3) follows from the following estimate:

$$\|V^{1/2} I_{1/2} f\|_2 \leq c M_{1,2,\infty}(V^{1/2}) \|f\|_2,$$

where $M_{1,2,\infty}(V^{1/2}) = \sup_x I_1(V)(x)$, and which can be proved following the lines of Theorem 7.2 in Chapter 6 in [22].

We will also need the following known result for the solution of the Helmholtz equation.

Theorem 5.3. [8, pp. 362, (1.16)] *Let $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and consider u , the unique solution to problem (1.8) satisfying (1.9). If $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{T}_r(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then there exists a constant $c > 0$ independent of f and k such that the following a priori estimate holds:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u(x)|^2 V_1(x) dx \leq \frac{c}{k^2} \|V_1\| \|V_2\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^2 V_2^{-1}(x) dx. \quad (5.4)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, it is sufficient to prove the theorem for the radial majorant of V given in (1.6), which, abusing notation, we will also denote by V . As before, we may assume that, without losing generality, $\omega = 1$.

Moreover, it suffices to prove

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial_{\xi_\ell} \mathbf{u}(x)|^2 V(x) dx \leq c \|V\|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathbf{f}(x)|^2 V^{-1}(x) dx, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, d, \quad (5.5)$$

where $\partial_{\xi_\ell} \mathbf{u}$ is defined componentwise.

For convenience, we introduce the Leray projection operator, $I - \mathcal{R}$, where I is the identity and \mathcal{R} is given by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}\mathbf{f}}(\xi) = \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{f}}(\xi) \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Since $\nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = \Delta \mathcal{R}u$, we can see that $\mathcal{R}\mathbf{u}$ and $(\mathbf{I} - \mathcal{R})\mathbf{u}$ are solutions of the following vectorial Helmholtz equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \mathcal{R}\mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \mathcal{R}\mathbf{u} &= \frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \mathcal{R}\mathbf{f}, \\ \Delta (\mathbf{I} - \mathcal{R})\mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{\mu} (\mathbf{I} - \mathcal{R})\mathbf{u} &= \frac{1}{\mu} (\mathbf{I} - \mathcal{R})\mathbf{f}. \end{aligned}$$

From here, since $\mathbf{u} = \mathcal{R}\mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{I} - \mathcal{R})\mathbf{u}$, we can write

$$\widehat{\mathbf{u}}(\xi) = \mathbf{M}(\xi) \widehat{\mathbf{f}}(\xi),$$

where $\mathbf{M}(\xi)$ is the matrix given by

$$\mathbf{M}(\xi) = \mathbf{M}_1(\xi) + \mathbf{M}_2(\xi),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_1(\xi) &= \frac{\mathbf{I}}{\mu \left(\frac{1}{\mu} - |\xi|^2 + i0 \right)}, \quad \text{and} \\ \mathbf{M}_2(\xi) &= \frac{\xi_i \xi_j}{|\xi|^2} \left(\frac{1}{(2\mu + \lambda) \left(\frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} - |\xi|^2 + i0 \right)} - \frac{1}{\mu \left(\frac{1}{\mu} - |\xi|^2 + i0 \right)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Now we split \mathbf{u} into two as follows:

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2,$$

where

$$\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_m(\xi) = \mathbf{M}_m(\xi) \widehat{\mathbf{f}}(\xi), \quad m = 1, 2.$$

The result for \mathbf{u}_1 follows from estimate (1.10).

In order to prove the result for \mathbf{u}_2 we introduce a function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For the case $\sqrt{\mu} \leq \sqrt{2\mu + \lambda}$, we consider ϕ supported in $B\left(0, \frac{2}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right) \setminus B\left(0, \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\mu + \lambda}}\right)$ such that $\phi(\xi) = 1$ if $\xi \in B\left(0, \frac{3}{2\sqrt{\mu}}\right) \setminus B\left(0, \frac{3}{4\sqrt{2\mu + \lambda}}\right)$. For the case $\sqrt{2\mu + \lambda} \leq \sqrt{\mu}$, we simply interchange the roles between μ and $2\mu + \lambda$ in the conditions that must be satisfied by ϕ .

Now we split the multiplier \mathbf{M}_2 into two as follows:

$$\mathbf{M}_2 = (1 - \phi) \mathbf{M}_2 + \phi \mathbf{M}_2,$$

and we write

$$(\partial_{\xi_\ell} \mathbf{u}_2)^\wedge(\xi) = i\xi_\ell \mathbf{M}_2(\xi) \widehat{\mathbf{f}}(\xi) = \mathbf{M}_2^1(\xi) \widehat{\mathbf{f}}(\xi) + \mathbf{M}_2^2(\xi) \widehat{\mathbf{f}}(\xi),$$

where

$$\mathbf{M}_2^1(\xi) = i\xi_\ell (1 - \phi(\xi)) \mathbf{M}_2(\xi), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{M}_2^2(\xi) = i\xi_\ell \phi(\xi) \mathbf{M}_2(\xi). \quad (5.7)$$

From (5.6), we see that we can write

$$\mathbf{M}_2(\xi) = \frac{(\mu + \lambda) \xi_i \xi_j}{\mu(2\mu + \lambda) \left(\frac{1}{\mu} - |\xi|^2 + i0 \right) \left(\frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} - |\xi|^2 + i0 \right)}. \quad (5.8)$$

From this, we see that each component of the matrix multiplier M_2^1 satisfies (5.1), so we can apply Lemma 5.1 and conclude that each component of the matrix distribution K_1 whose Fourier transform is M_2^1 satisfies (5.2).

On the other hand, from (5.6) we write

$$M_2^2(\xi) = \left(\frac{1}{(2\mu + \lambda) \left(\frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} - |\xi|^2 + i0 \right)} - \frac{1}{\mu \left(\frac{1}{\mu} - |\xi|^2 + i0 \right)} \right) N(\xi),$$

where

$$N(\xi) = i\xi_\ell \phi(\xi) \frac{\xi_i \xi_j}{|\xi|^2}.$$

Note that each component of the matrix N is a C_0^∞ function. We denote them by n_{ij} . Hence the functions k_{ij} whose Fourier transforms are n_{ij} are in the Schwartz class. We consider the matrix $K := (k_{ij})$.

Taking all of this into account, we can write

$$\partial_{\xi_j} \mathbf{u}_2(x) = K_1 * \mathbf{f}(x) + K * (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w})(x),$$

where \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} are solutions of the following vectorial Helmholtz equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \mathbf{v} &= \frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \mathbf{f}, \\ \Delta \mathbf{w} + \frac{1}{\mu} \mathbf{w} &= \frac{1}{\mu} \mathbf{f}. \end{aligned}$$

The result for the first term, $K_1 * \mathbf{f}$, follows from Proposition 5.2 and the fact that K_1 satisfies (5.2).

In order to prove the result for the second term, we use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |K * \mathbf{v}(x)|^2 V(x) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(y) \mathbf{v}(x-y) dy \right|^2 V(x) dx \\ &\leq \|K\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |K(y)| |\mathbf{v}(x-y)|^2 dy V(x) dx \\ &\leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathbf{v}(y)|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |K(x-y)| V(x) dx dy \\ &\leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathbf{v}(y)|^2 W(y) dy, \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

where $W = \tilde{K} * V$ with

$$\tilde{K}(y) = \sup_{x \in S_{|y|}} |K(x)|.$$

On the other hand, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\omega \in S^{d-1}$, changing the order of integration, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} W(x + t\omega) dt &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{K}(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}} V(x + t\omega - y) dt dy \\ &\leq \|\tilde{K}\|_{L^1} \|V\| \\ &\lesssim \|V\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since K is in the Schwartz class, $W \in \mathcal{T}_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|W\| \lesssim \|V\|$. Using Theorem 5.3 with $V_1 = W$ and $V_2 = V$ in (5.9), the result follows.

The same holds for \mathbf{w} . □

6. PROOF OF THE KEY LEMMAS.

In this section we will need several known results for Bessel and Hankel functions. Firstly, we present the ascending series expansions of the Bessel functions of the first and second kind, which can be found in [1, p. 360].

Lemma 6.1. [1, Identity 9.1.10] *Let $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \geq 0$, the ascending series expansion of the first Bessel function, denoted by J_μ , is given by*

$$J_\mu(r) = \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^\mu \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-\frac{r^2}{4}\right)^k}{k! \Gamma(\mu + k + 1)}. \quad (6.1)$$

Lemma 6.2. [1, Identity 9.1.11] *Let n be a non-negative integer and $r > 0$, the ascending series expansion of the second Bessel function, denoted by Y_n , is given by*

$$Y_n(r) = -\frac{\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{-n}}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n-k-1)!}{k!} \left(\frac{r^2}{4}\right)^k + O_n(r), \quad (6.2)$$

where

$$O_n(r) = \frac{2}{\pi} \ln\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) J_n(r) - \frac{\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^n}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\psi(k+1) + \psi(n+k+1)) \frac{\left(\frac{r^2}{4}\right)^k}{k! (n+k)!}, \quad (6.3)$$

with $\psi(1) = -\gamma$ where γ is the Euler constant, and

$$\psi(n) = -\gamma + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k}.$$

Remark 6.3. From the definition of the second Bessel function of fractional order given in [29, p.64] we have that $Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(r) = (-1)^{n+1} J_{-(n+\frac{1}{2})}(r)$. Thus, using the expansion (6.1) we get the following ascending series expansion:

$$Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(r) = \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{-(n+\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-\frac{r^2}{4}\right)^k}{k! \Gamma\left(-\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) + k + 1\right)}. \quad (6.4)$$

Remark 6.4. From (6.1), we have that for $\mu \geq 0$, if $0 \leq r < 2\sqrt{\mu}$, then

$$J_\mu(r) = \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^\mu \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu+1)} + O\left(\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{\mu+2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu+2)}\right), \quad \mu \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.5)$$

Moreover, from (6.2) and (6.4), for $\mu = n$ or $\mu = n + \frac{1}{2}$ with n a non-negative integer, if $0 < r < 2\sqrt{\mu}$, then

$$Y_\mu(r) = -\frac{i}{\pi} \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{-\mu} \Gamma(\mu) + O\left(\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{-\mu+2} \Gamma(\mu-1)\right), \quad \mu \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.6)$$

We would like to point out that to obtain (6.6) in the case $\mu = n + \frac{1}{2}$, we have used that

$$\Gamma\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1 - \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) = (-1)^n \pi.$$

Proof of Lemma 4.2. For $a \geq 1$ fixed we denote by I the iterated integral in (4.2). First of all, if we denote

$$D_{\nu,\mu,a}(r,t) := \left| H_\nu^{(1)}(t) J_\mu(r) - a^2 H_\nu^{(1)}(at) J_\mu(ar) \right|, \quad (6.7)$$

we have $I \leq I_1 + I_2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_0^{\nu-\nu^{\frac{1}{3}}} \int_r^{\nu-\nu^{\frac{1}{3}}} |D_{\nu,\mu,a}(r,t)|^2 V(t) t dt V(r) r dr, \\ I_2 &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\nu-\nu^{\frac{1}{3}}}^\infty |D_{\nu,\mu,a}(r,t)|^2 V(t) t dt V(r) r dr. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate for I_2 is easier than for I_1 . Using the triangle inequality and making a change of variables, since $a \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\lesssim \int_0^\infty |J_\mu(r)|^2 V(r) r dr \int_{\nu-\nu^{\frac{1}{3}}}^\infty \left| H_\nu^{(1)}(t) \right|^2 V(t) t dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^\infty |J_\mu(r)|^2 V_a(r) r dr \int_{\nu-\nu^{\frac{1}{3}}}^\infty \left| H_\nu^{(1)}(t) \right|^2 V_a(t) t dt, \end{aligned}$$

where V_a is defined by (4.7). From here, the estimate for I_2 follows from Lemma 4.1 and identity (4.8).

In order to prove the estimate for I_1 , it is necessary to take advantage of the cancellation in (6.7). But first, since $H_\nu^{(1)} = J_\nu + iY_\nu$, using the triangle inequality, we split I_1 into two

$$I_1 \lesssim I_1^1 + I_1^2,$$

where

$$I_1^m = \int_0^{\nu-\nu^{\frac{1}{3}}} \int_r^{\nu-\nu^{\frac{1}{3}}} |D_{\nu,\mu,a}^m(r,t)|^2 V(t) t dt V(r) r dr, \quad m = 1, 2,$$

with

$$D_{\nu,\mu,a}^1(r,t) = |J_\nu(t) J_\mu(r) - a^2 J_\nu(at) J_\mu(ar)|, \quad (6.8)$$

$$D_{\nu,\mu,a}^2(r,t) = |Y_\nu(t) J_\mu(r) - a^2 Y_\nu(at) J_\mu(ar)|. \quad (6.9)$$

The estimate for I_1^1 is obtained in a similar way as for I_2 .

To finish, we have to prove the estimate for I_1^2 . We consider $\nu = \mu + 2$ since the case $\nu = \mu - 2$ is easier. We also consider $\mu = n$, since the case $\mu = n + \frac{1}{2}$ is similar. Using (6.1) and (6.2) one can see that

$$a^2 J_n(ar) Y_{n+2}(at) = -\frac{i}{\pi} \left(\frac{r}{t}\right)^n (n+1) \frac{4}{t^2} + R_{n,a}(r, t),$$

where $R_{n,a}$ is the remainder term. Some rough calculations gives us the following bound for $R_{n,a}(r, t)$ for (r, t) within the integration region of I_1^2 :

$$|R_{n,a}(r, t)| \lesssim \left(\frac{r}{t}\right)^n \left(e^{\frac{ar^2}{4}} + e^{\frac{at^2}{4}}\right) \leq c_{n,a}.$$

From here we see that

$$D_{n+2,n,a}^2(r, t) = |R_{n,1}(r, t) - R_{n,a}(r, t)| \leq |R_{n,1}(r, t)| + |R_{n,a}(r, t)| \lesssim c_{n,a},$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^2 &\lesssim c_{n,a} \left(\int_0^\infty V(t) dt \right) \left(\int_0^\infty V(r) dr \right) \\ &\leq c_{n,a} \|V\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof for the case $\mu = n$. The result for $\mu = n + \frac{1}{2}$ can be obtained in a similar way by using (6.4) instead of (6.2). \square

Proof of Lemma 4.3. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 4.2, it is enough to prove the estimate for

$$I = \int_0^{1+\frac{1}{2a}} \int_r^{1+\frac{1}{2a}} |D_{\mu,a}(r, t)|^2 V(t)t dt V(r)r dr,$$

where

$$D_{\mu,a}(r, t) = |J_\mu(r)Y_{\mu+2}(t) - a^2 J_\mu(ar)Y_{\mu+2}(at)|. \quad (6.10)$$

Using (6.5) and (6.6) we can write

$$a^2 J_\mu(ar) Y_{\mu+2}(at) = -\frac{i}{\pi} \left(\frac{r}{t}\right)^\mu (\mu+1) \frac{4}{t^2} + O\left(\left(\frac{r}{t}\right)^\mu\right),$$

for $\mu > \mu_0$ with $\mu_0 = \mu_0(a)$ large enough and (r, t) within the integration region. This identity allows us to take advantage of cancellation in (6.10) and write

$$D_{\mu,a}(r, t) \lesssim 1.$$

From here, the proof concludes as in Lemma 4.2. \square

Now we present a result that can be found in [18, p. 118 and 121], where the author gives bounds for the error terms of the so-called asymptotic Debye expansions given in [21, Chap. 10]. More precisely, considering the identities (32) and (33), and the Theorem 3.3 in [18] for the particular case $N = 0$, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 6.5. *If μ is large and $0 \leq r < \mu$, then the first and the second Bessel functions, denoted by J_μ and Y_μ respectively, can be written as follows:*

$$J_\mu(r) = c_0 \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi}} \frac{e^{-\mu\phi_\mu(r)}}{(\mu^2 - r^2)^{1/4}} (1 + e_{1,\mu}(r)), \quad (6.11)$$

$$Y_\mu(r) = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{e^{\mu\phi_\mu(r)}}{(\mu^2 - r^2)^{1/4}} (1 + e_{2,\mu}(r)), \quad (6.12)$$

with c_0 an absolute constant,

$$\phi_\mu(r) = \alpha_\mu(r) - \tanh \alpha_\mu(r), \quad (6.13)$$

$$e^{\alpha_\mu(r)} = \frac{\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 - r^2}}{r} \quad \text{and} \quad \tanh \alpha_\mu(r) = \frac{\sqrt{\mu^2 - r^2}}{\mu}, \quad (6.14)$$

and where

$$|e_{1,\mu}(r)| \leq \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{\mu^{1/3}}{\mu - r} \right)^{3/2} e^{\frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{\mu^{1/3}}{\mu - r} \right)^{2/3}}, \quad (6.15)$$

$$|e_{2,\mu}(r)| \leq \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{\mu^{1/3}}{\mu - r} \right)^{3/2} e^{\frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{\mu^{1/3}}{\mu - r} \right)^{3/2}}. \quad (6.16)$$

Regarding (6.11), see also [20, p.38] (inequality (6.4) and the previous identity).

Remark 6.6. Note that from (6.15) and (6.16) we have that the error terms satisfy

$$|e_{\ell,\mu}(r)| \lesssim \mu^{-1}, \quad \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq \mu/2, \quad \ell = 1, 2, \quad (6.17)$$

$$|e_{\ell,\mu}(r)| \lesssim 1, \quad \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq \mu + 2 - (\mu + 2)^{1/3}, \quad \ell = 1, 2. \quad (6.18)$$

Moreover, using (6.17) in (6.11) and (6.12), we obtain

$$|J_\mu(r)| \lesssim \frac{e^{-\mu\phi_\mu(r)}}{\mu^{1/2}}, \quad \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq \mu/2, \quad (6.19)$$

$$|Y_\mu(r)| \lesssim \frac{e^{\mu\phi_\mu(r)}}{\mu^{1/2}}, \quad \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq \mu/2.$$

And since $H_\mu^{(1)} = J_\mu + iY_\mu$, we also have that

$$\left| H_\mu^{(1)}(r) \right| \lesssim \frac{e^{\mu\phi_\mu(r)}}{\mu^{1/2}}, \quad \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq \mu/2. \quad (6.20)$$

Proof of Lemma 4.4. For fixed $a \geq 1$, we denote by I the iterated integral in (4.4). Arguing as we did in the proof of Lemma 6.4 we split I into two

$$I \lesssim I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$I_m = \int_{1-\frac{1}{2a}}^{\frac{\mu}{2a}} \left(\int_r^{r+\frac{1}{a}} |D_{\mu,a}^m(r,t)|^2 V(t)t dt \right) V(r)r dr, \quad m = 1, 2,$$

with $D_{\mu,a}^1$ and $D_{\mu,a}^2$ given in (6.8) and (6.9) respectively. Hence, it is enough to prove the estimate for I_1 and I_2 .

The estimate for I_1 is obtained in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 6.4. In order to prove the estimate for I_2 , we use (6.11) and (6.12) to write

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\mu,a}^2(r,t) &\sim |F_\mu(r,t)(1 + e_{1,\mu}(r))(1 + e_{2,\mu+2}(t)) \\ &\quad - a^2 F_\mu(ar, at)(1 + e_{1,\mu}(ar))(1 + e_{2,\mu+2}(at))|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F_\mu(r,t) = \frac{e^{-\mu\phi_\mu(r)} e^{(\mu+2)\phi_{\mu+2}(t)}}{(\mu^2 - r^2)^{\frac{1}{4}} ((\mu + 2)^2 - t^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}}.$$

Using (6.13) and (6.14), we can write

$$F_\mu(r, t) = r^\mu t^{-\mu-2} f_\mu(r, t),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} f_\mu(r, t) &= \left(\frac{\mu + 2 + \sqrt{(\mu + 2)^2 - t^2}}{\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 - r^2}} \right)^\mu e^{\sqrt{\mu^2 - r^2} - \sqrt{(\mu + 2)^2 - t^2}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{(\mu + 2 + \sqrt{(\mu + 2)^2 - t^2})^2}{(\mu^2 - r^2)^{\frac{1}{4}} ((\mu + 2)^2 - t^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that

$$a^2 F_\mu(ar, at) = r^\mu t^{-\mu-2} f_\mu(ar, at),$$

and using the triangle inequality, we have that

$$D_{\mu,a}^2(r, t) \lesssim \sum_{m=1}^4 D_{\mu,a}^{2,m},$$

where

$$D_{\mu,a}^{2,1} = r^\mu t^{-\mu-2} |f_\mu(r, t) - f_\mu(ar, at)|, \quad (6.21)$$

$$D_{\mu,a}^{2,2} = r^\mu t^{-\mu-2} |f_\mu(r, t)e_{1,\mu}(r) - f_\mu(ar, at)e_{1,\mu}(ar)|, \quad (6.22)$$

$$D_{\mu,a}^{2,3} = r^\mu t^{-\mu-2} |f_\mu(r, t)e_{2,\mu}(t) - f_\mu(ar, at)e_{2,\mu}(at)|,$$

$$D_{\mu,a}^{2,4} = r^\mu t^{-\mu-2} |f_\mu(r, t)e_{1,\mu}(r)e_{2,\mu}(t) - f_\mu(ar, at)e_{1,\mu}(ar)e_{2,\mu}(at)|.$$

Therefore, it is enough to prove the estimate for

$$I_2^m = \int_{1-\frac{1}{2a}}^{\frac{\mu}{2a}} \left(\int_r^{r+\frac{1}{a}} |D_{\mu,a}^{2,m}(r, t)|^2 V(t)t dt \right) V(r)r dr, \quad m = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (6.23)$$

To obtain the result for I_2^1 , it is necessary to take advantage of cancellation. Using the mean value theorem we have that there exists $s \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$|f_\mu(r, t) - f_\mu(ar, at)| = (a-1) |r\partial_r f_\mu(r_{a,s}, t_{a,s}) + t\partial_t f_\mu(r_{a,s}, t_{a,s})|,$$

where $r_{a,s} = ((1-s)a + s)r$ and $t_{a,s} = ((1-s)a + s)t$. One can check that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_r f_\mu(r, t) &= \frac{r}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\mu^2 - r^2} - \frac{2}{\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 - r^2}} \right) f_\mu(r, t), \\ \partial_t f_\mu(r, t) &= \frac{t}{2} \left(\frac{1}{(\mu + 2)^2 - t^2} + \frac{2}{\mu + 2 + \sqrt{(\mu + 2)^2 - t^2}} \right) f_\mu(r, t). \end{aligned}$$

And also that

$$|f_\mu(r, t)| \lesssim \mu, \quad 0 < r < \frac{\mu}{2}, \quad r < t < r + 1. \quad (6.24)$$

From here, if $0 < r < \frac{\mu}{2a}$ and $r < t < r + \frac{1}{a}$, we have that $0 < r_{a,s} < \frac{\mu}{2}$ and $r_{a,s} < t_{a,s} < r_{a,s} + 1$, and therefore $|f_\mu(r_{a,s}, t_{a,s})| \lesssim \mu$ and

$$\mu^2 - r_{a,s}^2 \gtrsim \mu^2, \quad (\mu + 2)^2 - t_{a,s}^2 \gtrsim \mu^2.$$

Taking this into account and using the expressions for $r_{a,s}$ and $t_{a,s}$, we obtain that

$$|f_\mu(r, t) - f_\mu(ar, at)| \lesssim \mu \left(\frac{r^2 + t^2}{\mu^2} + \left| \frac{t^2}{\mu + 2 + \sqrt{(\mu + 2)^2 - t_{a,s}^2}} - \frac{r^2}{\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 - r_{a,s}^2}} \right| \right).$$

And since $0 < t - r < 1/a < 1$ and $r/\mu < 1/2a < 1$, we get

$$|f_\mu(r, t) - f_\mu(ar, at)| \lesssim \mu \left(\frac{r^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{r}{\mu} \right) \lesssim r.$$

Using this estimate in (6.21) we obtain

$$|D_{\mu,a}^{2,1}(r, t)| \lesssim r^{\mu+1} t^{-\mu-2},$$

and from (6.23) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2^1 &\lesssim \int_{1-\frac{1}{2a}}^{\frac{\mu}{2a}} \left(\int_r^{r+\frac{1}{a}} t^{-2\mu-4} V(t) t dt \right) V(r) r^{2\mu+3} dr \\ &\leq \int_{1-\frac{1}{2a}}^{\frac{\mu}{2a}} \left(\int_r^{r+\frac{1}{a}} \frac{tV(t)}{(t^2 - r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} (t^2 - r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{-2\mu-4} dt \right) V(r) r^{2\mu+3} dr. \end{aligned} \quad (6.25)$$

For a fixed $r > 0$, we introduce the function $A_{\mu,r}(t) = (t^2 - r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{-2\mu-4}$ for $t > r$. One can check that this function reaches its absolute maximum at $t_r = r \sqrt{\frac{2\mu+4}{2\mu+3}}$, and for $0 < r < \frac{\mu}{2a}$ we have that $t_r \in (r, r + \frac{1}{a})$. Thus

$$A_{\mu,r}(t) \leq A_{\mu,r}(t_r) \leq \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} r^{-2\mu-3}, \quad r < t < r + \frac{1}{a}, \quad 0 < r < \frac{\mu}{2a}.$$

Using this and (1.7) in (6.25) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2^1 &\lesssim \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|V\| \int_{1-\frac{1}{2a}}^{\frac{\mu}{2a}} V(r) dr \\ &\leq \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|V\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.26)$$

To get the estimate for I_2^m with $m = 2, 3, 4$, it is not necessary to take advantage of cancellation. For brevity, we will only see how to obtain the estimate for I_2^2 . By arguing in the same way, we would obtain the estimates for I_2^3 and I_2^4 .

Using the triangle inequality in (6.22) and making a change of variables in (6.23), since $a \geq 1$, we can write that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2^2 &\leq \int_{1-\frac{1}{2a}}^{\frac{\mu}{2a}} \left(\int_r^{r+\frac{1}{a}} |f_\mu(r, t)|^2 |e_{1,\mu}(r)|^2 t^{-2\mu-4} V(t) t dt \right) V(r) r^{2\mu+1} dr \\ &\quad + \int_{a-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{\mu}{2}} \left(\int_r^{r+1} |f_\mu(r, t)|^2 |e_{1,\mu}(r)|^2 t^{-2\mu-4} V_a(t) t dt \right) V_a(r) r^{2\mu+1} dr, \end{aligned}$$

where V_a is defined by (4.7). Since $a \geq 1$ we can use here (6.24) and (6.17) to write

$$\begin{aligned} I_2^2 &\lesssim \int_{1-\frac{1}{2a}}^{\frac{\mu}{2a}} \left(\int_r^{r+\frac{1}{a}} t^{-2\mu-4} V(t) t dt \right) V(r) r^{2\mu+1} dr \\ &\quad + \int_{a-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{\mu}{2}} \left(\int_r^{r+1} t^{-2\mu-4} V_a(t) t dt \right) V_a(r) r^{2\mu+1} dr, \end{aligned}$$

By arguing as we did to obtain (6.26), since $a \geq 1$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2^2 &\lesssim \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|V\| \left(\int_{1-\frac{1}{2a}}^{\frac{\mu}{2a}} V(r)r^{-2} dr + \int_{a-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{\mu}{2}} V_a(r)r^{-2} dr \right) \\ &\leq \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|V\| \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{\mu}{2}} (V(r) + V_a(r))r^{-2} dr \\ &\lesssim \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|V\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality we used the identity (4.8). This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

The following lemma will be needed in the proof of Lemma 4.5, and its proof can be found in [29, p. 446].

Lemma 6.7. *If $\mu > 1/2$ then, $t|H_\mu^{(1)}(t)|^2$ is a decreasing function of t in the interval $(0, \infty)$.*

Proof of Lemma 4.5. Fix $m \in \{0, \dots, N\}$ and consider

$$0 < r < 1 + \frac{m-1}{2a} \quad \text{and} \quad t > 1 + \frac{m}{2a}.$$

Since $0 < r < \mu/2$, from (6.19) we have

$$|J_\mu(r)|^2 \lesssim \mu^{-1} e^{-2\mu\phi_\mu(r)}.$$

From (6.13) one can see that

$$\phi'(r) = -\frac{\sqrt{\mu^2 - r^2}}{\mu r}, \quad (6.27)$$

so ϕ is a decreasing function in $(0, \infty)$, and therefore, we have

$$r|J_\mu(r)|^2 \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{m-1}{2a}\right) \mu^{-1} e^{-2\mu\phi_\mu(1+\frac{m-1}{2a})}, \quad 0 < r < 1 + \frac{m-1}{2a}. \quad (6.28)$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 6.7 we know that $t|H_\mu^{(1)}(t)|^2$ is a decreasing function in the interval $(0, \infty)$, and therefore

$$t \left| H_{\mu+2}^{(1)}(t) \right|^2 \leq \left(1 + \frac{m}{2a}\right) \left| H_{\mu+2}^{(1)} \left(1 + \frac{m}{2a}\right) \right|^2, \quad t > 1 + \frac{m}{2a}.$$

From here, since $1 + \frac{m}{2a} < \frac{\mu+2}{2}$, using (6.20), we get

$$t \left| H_{\mu+2}^{(1)}(t) \right|^2 \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{m}{2a}\right) \mu^{-1} e^{2(\mu+2)\phi_{\mu+2}(1+\frac{m}{2a})}, \quad t > 1 + \frac{m}{2a}. \quad (6.29)$$

From (6.28) and (6.29) we obtain that for $m = 0, \dots, N-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} L_m &\lesssim h_\mu(m) \int_0^\infty V(r) dr \int_{1+\frac{m}{2a}}^{1+\frac{m+1}{2a}} V(t) dt, \\ L_N &\lesssim h_\mu(N) \int_0^\infty V(r) dr \int_{1+\frac{N}{2a}}^\infty V(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h_\mu(m) = \left(1 + \frac{m-1}{2a}\right) \left(1 + \frac{m}{2a}\right) \mu^{-2} e^{-2\mu\phi_\mu(1+\frac{m-1}{2a})} e^{2(\mu+2)\phi_{\mu+2}(1+\frac{m}{2a})}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^N L_m &\lesssim \max_{0 \leq m \leq N} h_\mu(m) \int_0^\infty V(r) dr \left(\sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \int_{1+\frac{m}{2a}}^{1+\frac{m+1}{2a}} V(t) dt + \int_{1+\frac{N}{2a}}^\infty V(t) dt \right) \\ &\leq \max_{0 \leq m \leq N} h_\mu(m) \|V\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it is enough to prove that for μ large enough,

$$\max_{0 \leq m \leq N} h_\mu(m) \lesssim 1.$$

Using the identities (6.14) and (6.13) we write

$$\begin{aligned} h_\mu(m) &= \left(1 + \frac{m-1}{2a}\right) \left(1 + \frac{m}{2a}\right) \mu^{-2} e^{-2\left(\sqrt{(\mu+2)^2 - \left(1 + \frac{m}{2a}\right)^2} - \sqrt{\mu^2 - \left(1 + \frac{m-1}{2a}\right)^2}\right)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 - \left(1 + \frac{m-1}{2a}\right)^2}}{1 + \frac{m-1}{2a}}\right)^{-2\mu} \left(\frac{\mu + 2 + \sqrt{(\mu+2)^2 - \left(1 + \frac{m}{2a}\right)^2}}{1 + \frac{m}{2a}}\right)^{2\mu+4}. \end{aligned}$$

One can check that for fixed $m \in \{0, 1, \dots, [\mu - 2a]\}$,

$$h_\mu(m) \leq \left(\frac{1 + \frac{m-1}{2a}}{1 + \frac{m}{2a}}\right)^{2\mu+1} \frac{(2(\mu+2))^4}{\mu^2 \left(1 + \frac{m}{2a}\right)^2} \left(\frac{\mu + 2 + \sqrt{(\mu+2)^2 - \left(1 + \frac{m}{2a}\right)^2}}{\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 - \left(1 + \frac{m-1}{2a}\right)^2}}\right)^{2\mu}.$$

Now we consider $x \in (0, \frac{\mu}{2a} - 1)$ and introduce the functions

$$\begin{aligned} f_\mu(x) &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{2a(1+x)}\right)^{2\mu+1} \frac{(2(\mu+2))^4}{\mu^2 (1+x)^2} \quad \text{and} \\ g_\mu(x) &= \left(\frac{\mu + 2 + \sqrt{(\mu+2)^2 - (1+x)^2}}{\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 - (1+x)^2}}\right)^{2\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

One can check that for a fixed $m \in \{0, 1, \dots, [\mu - 2a]\}$,

$$h_\mu(m) \leq f_\mu\left(\frac{m}{2a}\right) g_\mu\left(\frac{m}{2a}\right).$$

Since $f_\mu(x)$ and $g_\mu(x)$ are increasing functions in the interval $(0, \frac{\mu}{2a} - 1)$, we have that for all $m \in \{0, 1, \dots, [\mu - 2a]\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} h_\mu(m) &\leq f_\mu\left(\frac{\mu}{2a} - 1\right) g_\mu\left(\frac{\mu}{2a} - 1\right) \\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{\mu + 2 + \sqrt{(\mu+2)^2 - \frac{\mu^2}{4a}}}{\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 - \frac{\mu^2}{4a}}}\right)^{2\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

From here,

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow +\infty} h_\mu(m) \lesssim 1 \tag{6.30}$$

uniformly in m with $m \in \{0, 1, \dots, [\mu - 2a]\}$. \square

To prove Lemma 4.6, we will follow the strategy used in [8, pp. 370] to bound \mathcal{I}_3 .

Proof of Lemma 4.6. For fixed $a \geq 1$, we denote by I the iterated integral in (4.5). Since $H_{\mu+2} = J_{\mu+2} + iY_{\mu+2}$, using the triangle inequality, we split I into two

$$I \lesssim I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_{\frac{\mu}{2a} - \frac{1}{a}}^{\mu+2-(\mu+2)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \int_r^{\mu+2-(\mu+2)^{\frac{1}{3}}} |J_{\mu+2}(t)|^2 V(t) t dt |J_{\mu}(r)|^2 V(r) r dr, \\ I_2 &= \int_{\frac{\mu}{2a} - \frac{1}{a}}^{\mu+2-(\mu+2)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \int_r^{\mu+2-(\mu+2)^{\frac{1}{3}}} |Y_{\mu+2}(t)|^2 V(t) t dt |J_{\mu}(r)|^2 V(r) r dr. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.1, we estimate I_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \int_0^{\infty} |J_{\mu}(r)|^2 V(r) r dr \int_0^{\infty} |J_{\mu+2}(t)|^2 V(t) t dt \\ &\lesssim \|V\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

To obtain the estimate for I_2 , we use the Debye expansions given in (6.11) and (6.12) and the bounds for the error terms given in (6.18) to write

$$I_2 \lesssim \int_{\frac{\mu}{2a} - \frac{1}{a}}^{\mu+2-(\mu+2)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \int_r^{\mu+2-(\mu+2)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{e^{2(\mu+2)\phi_{\mu+2}(t)}}{((\mu+2)^2 - t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} V(t) t dt \frac{e^{-2\mu\phi_{\mu}(r)}}{(\mu^2 - r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} V(r) r dr. \quad (6.31)$$

From (6.13) and (6.14), one can verify that for r in the integration region, if $\mu > \mu_0$ with $\mu_0 = \mu_0(a)$ large enough, then

$$e^{2(\mu+2)\phi_{\mu+2}(r) - 2\mu\phi_{\mu}(r)} \sim 1. \quad (6.32)$$

Using this in the previous inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\lesssim \int_{\frac{\mu}{2a} - \frac{1}{a}}^{\mu+2-(\mu+2)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \int_r^{\mu+2-(\mu+2)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{e^{2(\mu+2)(\phi_{\mu+2}(t) - \phi_{\mu+2}(r))}}{((\mu+2)^2 - t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mu^2 - r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} V(t) t dt V(r) r dr \\ &= \int_{\frac{\mu}{2a} - \frac{1}{a}}^{\mu+2-(\mu+2)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \int_r^{\mu+2-(\mu+2)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{e^{2(\mu+2)(t-r)\phi'_{\mu+2}(b_{r,t})}}{((\mu+2)^2 - t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mu^2 - r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} V(t) t dt V(r) r dr, \end{aligned}$$

where $r < b_{r,t} < t$. Now we decompose the integration interval in r dyadically as follows:

$$\left(\frac{\mu}{2a} - \frac{1}{a}, \mu + 2 - (\mu + 2)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=0}^N A_j,$$

where

$$A_j = \left[\mu + 2 - 2^{j+1}(\mu + 2)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \mu + 2 - 2^j(\mu + 2)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right), \quad j = 0, \dots, N,$$

and N is the smallest natural number satisfying

$$2^{N+1} \geq \left(\mu + 2 - \frac{\mu}{2a} + \frac{1}{a} \right) (\mu + 2)^{-\frac{1}{3}}.$$

From here, we have

$$I_2 \leq \sum_{j=0}^N L_j, \quad (6.33)$$

where

$$L_j = \int_{A_j} \int_{B_r} \frac{e^{2(\mu+2)(t-r)} \phi'_{\mu+2}(b_{r,t})}{((\mu+2)^2 - t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mu^2 - r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} V(t) t dt V(r) r dr, \quad j = 0, \dots, N,$$

and $B_r = \left(r, \mu + 2 - (\mu + 2)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right)$. Now introducing the function

$$g_j(r, t) = f(r, t) \left(r^2 - \left(\mu + 2 - 2^{j+1} (\mu + 2)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (t^2 - r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where

$$f(r, t) = \frac{e^{2(\mu+2)(t-r)} \phi'_{\mu+2}(b_{r,t})}{((\mu+2)^2 - t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mu^2 - r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} L_j &\leq \sup_{\substack{t \in B_r \\ r \in A_j}} g_j(r, t) \int_{A_j} \int_{B_r} \frac{tV(t)}{(t^2 - r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} dt \frac{rV(r)}{\left(r^2 - \left(\mu + 2 - 2^{j+1} (\mu + 2)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} dr \\ &\leq \sup_{\substack{t \in B_r \\ r \in A_j}} g_j(r, t) \|V\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.34)$$

One can check that

$$\sup_{\substack{t \in B_r \\ r \in A_j}} g_j(r, t) \lesssim \sup_{\substack{t \in B_r \\ r \in A_j}} \frac{(t-r)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{2(\mu+2)(t-r)} \phi'_{\mu+2}(b_{r,t})}{(\mu+2-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (6.35)$$

From (6.27) one can see that ϕ'_μ is an increasing function, and since $r < b_{r,t} < t$, we have that

$$\phi'_{\mu+2}(b_{r,t}) \leq \phi'_{\mu+2}(t) = -\frac{((\mu+2)^2 - t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(\mu+2)t}.$$

Using this in (6.35), we get

$$\sup_{\substack{t \in B_r \\ r \in A_j}} g_j(r, t) \lesssim \sup_{\substack{t \in B_r \\ r \in A_j}} \frac{(t-r)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\mu - \frac{1}{2}(t-r)(\mu+2-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}}{(\mu+2-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (6.36)$$

To calculate the supremum, for a fixed $r \in A_j$, we decompose B_r dyadically as follows:

$$B_r = B_r^1 \cup B_r^2, \quad (6.37)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} B_r^1 &= A_0 \cup \dots \cup A_{j-2}, & B_r^2 &\subseteq A_j \cup A_{j-1}, & j &\geq 2, \\ B_r^1 &= \emptyset, & B_r^2 &\subseteq A_1 \cup A_0, & j &= 1, \\ B_r^1 &= \emptyset, & B_r^2 &\subseteq A_0, & j &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.38)$$

Observe that if $r \in A_j$ and $t \in B_r^1$, then $t - r \sim 2^j \mu^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and $\mu + 2 - t \gtrsim \mu^{\frac{1}{3}}$. Thus

$$\sup_{\substack{t \in B_r^1 \\ r \in A_j}} \frac{(t-r)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\mu - \frac{1}{2}(t-r)(\mu+2-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}}{(\mu+2-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim 2^{\frac{j}{2}} e^{-2^{\frac{j}{2}}}. \quad (6.39)$$

On the other hand, if $r \in A_j$ and $t \in B_r^2$, then $0 \leq t-r \lesssim 2^j \mu^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and $\mu+2-t \gtrsim 2^j \mu^{\frac{1}{3}}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\substack{t \in B_r^2 \\ r \in A_j}} \frac{(t-r)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t-r)(\mu+2-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}}{(\mu+2-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} &\lesssim \sup_{0 < x < 2^j \mu^{\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} x 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \mu^{\frac{1}{6}}}}{2^{\frac{j}{2}} \mu^{\frac{1}{6}}} \\ &\leq \sup_{0 < y < 2^{\frac{3j}{2}}} 2^{-\frac{3j}{4}} y^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-y} \\ &\leq 2^{-\frac{3j}{4}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.40)$$

Using (6.37)-(6.40) in (6.36) we get

$$\sup_{\substack{t \in B_r \\ r \in A_j}} g_j(r, t) \lesssim 2^{-\frac{3j}{4}},$$

and inserting this inequality in (6.34) we obtain

$$L_j \lesssim 2^{-\frac{3j}{4}} \|V\|^2.$$

Inserting this inequality into (6.33) concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Proof of Lemma 4.7. The proof of this lemma is almost the same as that of Lemma 4.6, but in this case, instead of (6.31) we have

$$I_2 \lesssim \int_0^{\mu-\mu^{\frac{1}{3}}} \int_r^{\mu-\mu^{\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{e^{2\mu\phi_\mu(t)}}{(\mu^2-t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} V(t) t dt \frac{e^{-2(\mu+2)\phi_{\mu+2}(r)}}{((\mu+2)^2-r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} V(r) r dr.$$

So instead of (6.32), we use that for r in the integration region, if μ is large enough, then

$$e^{2\mu\phi_\mu(r)-2(\mu+2)\phi_{\mu+2}(r)} \lesssim 1, \quad (6.41)$$

and hence

$$I_2 \lesssim \int_0^{\mu-\mu^{\frac{1}{3}}} \int_r^{\mu-\mu^{\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{e^{2\mu(\phi_\mu(t)-\phi_\mu(r))}}{(\mu^2-t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}((\mu+2)^2-r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} V(t) t dt V(r) r dr.$$

To complete the proof of the lemma, we argue as in Lemma 4.6. \square

Remark 6.8. We would like to point out that in the estimate (4.6), the lower limit of the integral in r is 0, while in the estimate (4.5), we cannot reach this value. This is because (6.41) is true for small values of r , while (6.32) is not.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (ed), Handbook of mathematical functions (10th printing). National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series 55, 1972.
- [2] T. Arens, An approximation property of elastic Herglotz wave functions and its application in the linear sampling method. J. Inv. Ill-Posed Problems 11 (2003), no. 3, pp. 219-233.
- [3] J.A. Barceló, J. M. Benett and A. Carbery. A note on localised weighted inequalities for the extension operator. J. Aust. Math. Soc. 84 (2008), no. 3, 289-299.
- [4] J.A. Barceló, J. M. Benett and A. Ruiz. Spherical perturbations of Schrödinger equations. J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 12 (2006), no. 3, 269-290.
- [5] J. A. Barceló, C. Castro, F. Macia and C. J. Meroño. The born approximation in the three-dimensional calderón problem. J. Funct. Anal. 283 (2022), no.12, Paper No. 109681, 43 pp.

- [6] J. A. Barceló, M. Folch-Gabayet, S. Pérez-Esteve, A. Ruiz and M. Vilela. Limiting absorption principles for the Navier equation in elasticity. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) Vol. XI (2012)*, 817-842.
- [7] J. A. Barceló, M. Folch-Gabayet, S. Pérez-Esteve, A. Ruiz and M. Vilela. Uniqueness for inverse elastic medium problems, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* Vol. 50, No. 4 (2018), 3939-3962.
- [8] J. A. Barceló, A. Ruiz and L. Vega, Weighted estimates for the Helmholtz equation and some applications, *J. Funct. Anal.* 150 (2) (1997), 356-382.
- [9] J. A. Barceló, C. Castro, A. Ruiz and M.C. Vilela, Addition theorem for 3-D linear elasticity, preprint (<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1f5esGVN8B35ZHf0bJJWp6CxqFRI2HpOj/view>).
- [10] J. Bennett and S. Nakamura, Tomography bounds for the Fourier extension operator and applications, *Math. Ann.* 380 (2021), 119–159.
- [11] N. Bez and M. Sugimoto, Remarks on the Mizohata-Takeuchi conjecture and related problems. *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics* 81, (2019) *Asymptotic Analysis for Nonlinear Dispersive and Wave Equations* 1-12.
- [12] A. Carbery, M. Iliopoulou and H. Wang. Some sharp inequalities of Mizohata-Takeuchi-type. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 40 (2024), no.4, 1387–1418.
- [13] A. Carbery and F. Soria, Pointwise Fourier inversion and localisation in \mathbb{R}^d , *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 3, special issue (1997), 847-858.
- [14] D. Colton and R. Kress, *Inverse Acoustic and Electromagnetic Scattering Theory*. 3rd edition. Springer, Berlin, 2013.
- [15] J. García-Cuerva and J. L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted norms inequalities and related topics*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.
- [16] V.D. Kupradze, *Three-Dimensional Problems of Elasticity and Thermoelasticity*, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1979.
- [17] M. Leckband, A note on the spherical maximal operator for radial functions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 100 (1987), 635-670.
- [18] G. Matviyenko, On the evaluation of Bessel functions, *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis* 1 (1993), 116-193.
- [19] S. Mizohata, *On the Cauchy Problem*, Notes and Reports in Mathematics, Science and Engineering, 3 (Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1985).
- [20] G. Nemes, The resurgence properties of the large-order asymptotics of the Hankel and Bessel functions. *Anal. Appl.* 12, (2014), 403–462.
- [21] F.W.J. Olver, *Asymptotics and Special Functions*, Academic Press, New York 1974.
- [22] M. Schechter, *Spectra of Partial Differential Operators*, North Holland, Amsterdam 1986.
- [23] B. Shayya, Mizohata-Takeuchi estimates in the plane. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 55 (2023), no. 5, pp. 2176-2194.
- [24] E.M. Stein, Some problems in harmonic analysis. *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* Williamstown, Mass. 1,3–20 (1978)38.
- [25] E.M. Stein *Harmonic Analysis* (2nd. printing). Princeton University Press, New Jersey 1993.
- [26] J. Takeuchi, A necessary condition for the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for a certain class of evolution equations, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 50 (1974), 133–137.
- [27] J. Takeuchi, On the Cauchy problem for some non-kowalewskian equations with distinct characteristic roots, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 20-1 (1980), pp. 105-124.
- [28] J. Takeuchi, A necessary condition for H^∞ -wellposedness of the Cauchy problem for linear partial differential operator of Schrödinger type. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 25-3 (1985), pp. 459-472.
- [29] G.N. Watson, *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, U.K. 1944.