Word-representability of co-bipartite graph

Biswajit Das and Ramesh Hariharasubramanian

 $d.biswajit@iitg.ac.in, \ ramesh_h@iitg.ac.in$

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Guwahati, Assam 781039, India

January 20, 2025

Abstract

A graph G = (V, E) is word-representable, if there exists a word w over the alphabet V such that for letters $\{x, y\} \in V$, x and y alternate in w if and only if $xy \in E$. A graph is co-bipartite if its complement is a bipartite graph. Therefore, the vertex set of a co-bipartite graph can be partitioned into two disjoint cliques.

The concept of word-representability for co-bipartite graphs has not yet been fully studied. In the book Words and Graphs written by Sergey Kitaev and Vadim Lozin, examples of co-bipartite graphs that are not word-representable are provided. The authors have stated that it remains an open problem to characterize word-representable co-bipartite graphs. It is known that taking the complement of word-representable graphs does not preserve their word-representability. In this paper, we first identify certain classes of bipartite graphs for which word-representation is preserved after the complement operation. We found that the complement of the path graphs, even cycle graphs and generalized crown graphs are also word-representable. Next, we aim to find word-representable co-bipartite graphs in which the size of one clique partition is fixed while the other one can vary. We studied the word-representability of co-bipartite graphs where the sizes of one clique partition are 2 and 3. We found that any co-bipartite graphs where the size of the one clique partition is 2 are word-representable. Also, when the size of the one clique partition is 3, we found certain co-bipartite graphs are word-representable. Additionally, for word-representable graphs, it has been established that a graph is word-representable if and only if it can be oriented in a specific manner, known as semi-transitive orientation. We provide the necessary and sufficient conditions for a co-bipartite graph to have a semi-transitive orientation.

Keywords: word-representable graph, semi-transitive orientation, split graph, co-bipartite graph.

1 Introduction

The theory of word-representable graphs is an up-and-coming research area. Sergey Kitaev first introduced the notion of word-representable graphs based on the study of the celebrated Perkins semi-group [15]. The word-representable graphs generalized several key graph families, such as circle graphs, comparability graphs, 3-colourable graphs. However, not all graphs are word-representable, so finding those is an interesting problem.

The concept of word-representability has been studied for certain classes of graphs, while for others, it remains uncertain whether they are word-representable. In the paper [13], various graph classes are studied, identifying both word-representable and non-word-representable graphs. Specifically, outerplanar

graphs and prism graphs are shown as word-representable, whereas wheel graphs with an even number of vertices are shown as non-word-representable. Additionally, the line graphs of wheel graphs and complete graphs are shown as non-word-representable in the paper [14]. Furthermore, the word-representability of crown graphs and k-cube graphs is discussed in the papers [6] and [2], respectively. In the book [12], various classes of graphs are discussed, some of which are word-representable and others that are not. In Section 3, Proposition 3.5.4 of this book, the existence of both word-representable and nonword-representable graphs is established within several classes. They also stated the characterization of word-representability of these classes as an open problem. Later, line graphs and split graphs are studied among these classes. Word-representability of split graphs is studied in the papers [9],[11] and [3]. These papers provide the necessary and sufficient conditions for an orientation of a split graph to be semi-transitive. Additionally, based on these conditions, a polynomial-time algorithm is presented to recognize word-representable split graphs. In the graph classes discussed in Proposition 3.5.4 of the book [12], co-bipartite graphs are also included. Co-bipartite graphs are obtained by taking the complement of a bipartite graph. Therefore, the vertices of these graphs can be partitioned into two cliques, and there can be edges connecting vertices from both cliques. If every vertex in one clique is adjacent to every vertex in the other clique, the resulting graph is a complete graph, which is known to be word-representable. Additionally, there are some known examples of non-word-representable graphs with 7 vertices in the book [12]. However, the correct list of non-word-representable graphs on 7 vertices was provided in the paper [16]. Among the graphs, two examples, $\overline{T_1}$ and $\overline{T_2}$, are co-bipartite graphs, as shown in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. Our goal is to characterize word-representable co-bipartite graphs. In this section, we define relevant concepts and the necessary preliminaries regarding word-representable graphs. In Section 2, we identify subclasses of bipartite graphs whose complements preserve word-representability. We show that the complements of path graphs, even cycle graphs, and generalized crown graphs are word-representable. In Section 3, we fix the size of one of the cliques in the two partitions of the vertices of the co-bipartite graphs. We show that if one clique has 2 vertices, the corresponding graph is wordrepresentable. Additionally, when one clique consists of 3 vertices, we establish that by restricting these graphs based on possible neighbours, we can identify word-representable co-bipartite graphs. In Section 4, we derive the necessary and sufficient conditions for a co-bipartite graph to have a semi-transitive orientation. Next, in Section 5, we explore the connection between split graphs and co-bipartite graphs.

The required background and preliminaries related to word-representable graphs are described below.

A subword of a word w is a word obtained by removing certain letters from w. In a word w, if x and y alternate, then w contains $xyxy \cdots$ or $yxyx \cdots$ (odd or even length) as a subword.

Definition 1.1. ([12], Definition 3.0.5). A simple graph G = (V, E) is word-representable if there exists a word w over the alphabet V such that letters x and y, $\{x, y\} \in V$ alternate in w if and only if $xy \in E$, i.e., x and y are adjacent for each $x \neq y$. If a word w represents G, then w contains each letter of V(G)at least once.

In a word w that represents a graph G, if $xy \notin E(G)$, then the non-alternation between x and y occurs if any one of these xxy, yxx, xyy, yyx subwords is present in w.

Definition 1.2. ([12], Definition 3.2.1.) k-uniform word is the word w in which every letter occurs exactly k times.

Definition 1.3. ([12], Definition 3.2.3.) A graph is k-word-representable if there exists a k-uniform word representing it.

Definition 1.4. ([10], Definition 3) For a word-representable graph G, the representation number is the least k such that G is k-representable.

Proposition 1.1. ([12], Proposition 3.2.7) Let w = uv be a k-uniform word representing a graph G, where u and v are two, possibly empty, words. Then, the word w' = vu also represents G.

Proposition 1.2. ([12], Proposition 3.0.15.) Let $w = w_1 x w_2 x w_3$ be a word representing a graph G, where w_1 , w_2 and w_3 are possibly empty words, and w_2 contains no x. Let X be the set of all letters that appear only once in w_2 . Then, possible candidates for x to be adjacent in G are the letters in X.

The *initial permutation* of w is the permutation obtained by removing all but the leftmost occurrence of each letter x in w, and it is denoted by $\pi(w)$. Similarly, the *final permutation* of w is the permutation obtained by removing all but the rightmost occurrence of each letter x in w, and it is denoted $\sigma(w)$. For a word w, $w_{\{x_1,\dots,x_m\}}$ denotes the word after removing all letters except the letters x_1,\dots,x_m present in w.

Example 1.1. w = 6345123215, we have $\pi(w) = 634512$, $\sigma(w) = 643215$ and $w_{\{6,5\}} = 655$.

Observation 1.1. ([13], Observation 4) Let w be the word-representant of G. Then $\pi(w)w$ also represents G.

Definition 1.5. ([12], Definition 3.2.8.) A word u contains a word v as a factor if u = xvy where x and y can be empty words.

Example 1.2. The word 421231423 contains the words 123 and 42 as factors, while all factors of the word 2131 are 1, 2, 3, 21, 13, 31, 213, 131 and 2131.

There exists a connection between the orientation of the graphs and word-representability. In the following, the definition of semi-transitive orientation and the connection with word-representability is described.

Semi-transitive orientation is defined based on shortcuts in the papers [7] and [8]. A semi-cycle is the directed acyclic graph obtained by reversing the direction of one edge of a directed cycle [12]. An acyclic digraph is a *shortcut* if it is induced by the vertices of a semi-cycle and contains a pair of non-adjacent vertices. Thus, any shortcut

- is *acyclic* (that it, there are *no directed cycles*);
- has at least 4 vertices;
- has *exactly one* source (the vertex with no edges coming in), *exactly one* sink (the vertex with no edges coming out), and a *directed path* from the source to the sink that goes through *every* vertex in the graph;
- has an edge connecting the source to the sink that we refer to as the *shortcutting edge*;
- is not transitive (that it, there exist vertices u, v and z such that $u \to v$ and $v \to z$ are edges, but there is no edge $u \to z$).

Definition 1.6 ([8], Definition 1). An orientation of a graph is *semi-transitive* if it is *acyclic* and *shortcut-free*.

From definitions, it is easy to see that *any* transitive orientation is necessarily semi-transitive. The converse is *not* true. Thus, semi-transitive orientations generalize transitive orientations.

Lemma 1.1. [[11], Lemma 4.1] Let K_m be a clique in a graph G. Then, any acyclic orientation of G induces a transitive orientation on K_m . In particular, any semi-transitive orientation of G induces a transitive orientation on K_m . In either case, the orientation induced on K_m contains a single source and a single sink.

A key result in the theory of word-representable graphs is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 ([8], Theorem 3). A graph is word-representable if and only if it admits a semi-transitive orientation.

Corollary 1.1. ([[1], Corollary 5.13]) The Cartesian product $K_n \Box K_2$ has representation number n for $n \in \{1, 2\}$, and representation number 3 for all n > 2.

Definition 1.7. ([[12]], Definition 3.4.1.) A graph G with the vertex set $V = \{1, ..., n\}$ is permutationally representable if it can be represented by a word of the form $p_1 \cdots p_k$, where p_i is a permutation of V for $1 \le i \le k$. If G can be represented permutationally involving k permutations, we say that G is permutationally k-representable.

Theorem 1.2. ([[5]], Theorem 1.) A graph G is a comparability graph if and only if each odd cycle has at least one triangular chord.

For Theorem 1.2, a cycle of a graph, G is defined as any finite sequence of vertices a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k of G such that all of the edges (a_i, a_{i+i}) , 1 < i < k - 1, and the edge (a_k, a_1) are in G, and for no vertices a and b and integers $i, j < k, i \neq j$, is $a = a_i = a_j$, $b = a_{i+1} = a_{j+1}$ or $a = a_i = a_k$, $b = a_{i+i} = a_1$.

Theorem 1.3. ([12], Theorem 3.4.3.) A graph is permutationally representable if and only if it is a comparability graph.

Theorem 1.4. ([12], Theorem 3.4.7.) Let n be the number of vertices in a graph G and $x \in V(G)$ be a vertex of degree n - 1 (called a dominant or all-adjacent vertex). Let $H = G \setminus x$ be the graph obtained from G by removing x and all edges incident to it. Then G is word-representable if and only if H is permutationally representable.

In the paper, $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n$ denotes the word w contains $\{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n\}$ as factors where w_i is a word possibly empty.

2 Complement of some known bipartite graph and their wordrepresentation

We are denoting a bipartite graph as B(X, Y), where X and Y are two independent set of the graph B(X, Y), and |X| = m, |Y| = n. We also denote the complement of the bipartite graph, i.e. co-bipartite graph as $\overline{B(K_m, K_n)}$ where $V(K_m) = V(X)$ and $V(K_n) = V(Y)$. The T_1 graph is a bipartite graph, but in the paper [4], the complement of the T_1 graph, $\overline{T_1}$ is shown as a non-word-representable graph.

The T_2 graph is a bipartite graph(tree), but in the paper [16], the complement of T_2 graph, $\overline{T_2}$ is shown as a non-word-representable graph.

From this, a question arises for all sub-classes of bipartite graphs: Do any sub-classes exist whose complements are word-representable? We have studied some sub-classes of bipartite graphs whose complements are indeed word-representable.



Figure 1: The minimal (by the number of vertices) non-word-representable co-bipartite graphs $\overline{T_1}$



Figure 2: The minimal (by the number of vertices) non-word-representable co-bipartite graphs $\overline{T_2}$

First, for the complete bipartite $K_{m,n}$ graph, the complement of this graph is the union of two disjoint complete graphs of order m and n. So, $\overline{K_{m,n}}$ is word-representable.

We found that the complement of some other classes of bipartite graphs is also word-representable.

2.1 Complement of the path graph

Definition 2.1. The path graph P_n is a tree that has two vertices of degree 1, while the remaining n-2 vertices have degree 2.

As P_{2n} is a bipartite graph; we are partitioning the P_n graph into two independent sets, X and Y. We denote the vertex of X and Y as $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\{1', 2', \dots, n'\}$. According to the definition of a path graph, the possible edges between the sets X and Y are in the following.

1. $1 \sim 1'$

2. $i \sim (i-1)', i \sim i' \ 1 < i \le n$

In Figure 3, we consider an even-length path of length 6 and use the above notation. In that figure, set X contains the vertices $\{1, 2, 3\}$ and set Y contains the vertices $\{1', 2', 3'\}$.

Theorem 2.1. The complement of an even-length path graph is word-representable.

Proof. Suppose P_{2n} is an even-length path graph of order 2n. As we have mentioned earlier, we can partition P_{2n} is two independent sets X and Y where $V(X) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $V(Y) = \{1', 2', \dots, n'\}$. Also, the possible edges between X and Y are $1 \sim 1'$, $i \sim (i-1)'$, $i \sim i'$, $1 < i \leq n$. Now, the complement



Figure 3: Path graph on 6 vertices

of path graph P_{2n} contain the same vertex set $\{1, 2, \dots, n, 1', 2', \dots, n'\}$. But the possible edges of $\overline{P_{2n}}$ are in the following:

- 1. $i \sim j, \forall \{i, j\} \in V(X), i \neq j.$
- $2. \ i' \sim j', \, \forall \{i',j'\} \in V(Y), \, i' \neq j'.$
- 3. $1 \sim i', 1 < i \le n$
- 4. $i \sim j', 1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le n, j \ne i 1$ and $j \ne i$.

Our claim is that the word $w = 121'32' \cdots i(i-1)' \cdots (n-1)(n-2)'n(n-1)'n' 1'12'2 \cdots i'i \cdots (n-1)'(n-1)n'n$ represents the graph $\overline{P_{2n}}$. To prove this, we need to show that for every edge of $\overline{P_{2n}}$, their corresponding vertices are alternating in w and for every non-edge of $\overline{P_{2n}}$, their corresponding vertices are not alternating in w. First, we check for every edge of $\overline{P_{2n}}$ in the following.

- 1. As, $i \sim j$, $\forall \{i, j\} \in V(X)$, $i \neq j$, i and j should alternate in w. Now, $w_{\{1,2,\dots,n\}} = 12 \cdots n12 \cdots n$. So, i and j are alternating in w.
- 2. As, $i' \sim j'$, $\forall \{i', j'\} \in V(Y)$, $i' \neq j'$, i' and j' should alternate in w. Now, $w_{\{1', 2', \dots, n'\}} = 1'2' \cdots n' 1'2' \cdots n'$. So, i' and j' are alternating in w.
- 3. As, $1 \sim i'$, $1 < i \le n$, 1 and i' should alternate in w. Now, $w_{\{1,2',\cdots,n'\}} = 12' \cdots n' 12' \cdots n'$. So, 1 and i' are alternating in w.
- 4. As, $i \sim j', 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i-1$ and $j \neq i, i$ and j' should alternate in w. Now, $w_{\{i,1',\dots(i-2)',(i+1)',\dots,n\}} = 1' \cdots (i-2)' i(i+1)' n' 1' \cdots (i-2)' i(i+1)' n'$. So, i and j' are alternating in w.

Now, we check for every non-edge of $\overline{P_{2n}}$ in the following.

- 1. As, $1 \approx 1'$, 1 and 1' should not alternate in w. Now, $w_{\{1,1'\}} = 11'1'1$. So, 1 and j' are not alternating in w.
- 2. As, $i \nsim (i-1)'$ and $i \nsim i'$, $1 < i \le n$, *i* should not alternate with (i-1)' and *i'* in *w*. Now, $w_{\{i,(i-1)',i'\}} = i(i-1)'i'(i-1)'i'i$. So, *i* is not alternating with (i-1)' and *i'* in *w*.

Corollary 2.1. The complement of an odd-length path graph is word-representable.

Proof. According to Theorem 2.1, the complement of an even-length path graph, $\overline{P_{2n}}$ is word-representable. As word-representable graphs are hereditary, removing the vertex n' from the word w mentioned in Theorem 2.1 provides the word-representation for an odd-length path graph P_{2n-1} .

Corollary 2.2. $\overline{P_{2n}}$ is comparability graph.

Proof. From the word $w = 121'32' \cdots i(i-1)' \cdots (n-1)(n-2)'n(n-1)'n' 1'12'2 \cdots i'i \cdots (n-1)'(n-1)n'n$ that represents even-length path graph, we can see that it is concatenation of 2 permutations, $121'32' \cdots i(i-1)' \cdots (n-1)(n-2)'n(n-1)'n'$ and $1'12'2 \cdots i'i \cdots (n-1)'(n-1)n'n$. Also, $w = 121'32' \cdots i(i-1)' \cdots (n-1)(n-2)'n(n-1)' 1'12'2 \cdots i'i \cdots (n-1)'(n-1)n$ represents odd-length path graph, and it is also the concatenation of 2 permutations, $121'32' \cdots i(i-1)' \cdots (n-1)(n-2)'n(n-1)'$ and $1'12'2 \cdots i'i \cdots (n-1)(n-2)'n(n-1)'$ and $1'12'2 \cdots i'i \cdots (n-1)(n-2)'n(n-1)'$ and $1'12'2 \cdots i'i \cdots (n-1)(n-2)'n(n-1)'$. Therefore, $\overline{P_{2n}}$ is permutationally word-representable. So, according to Theorem 1.3, $\overline{P_{2n}}$ is a comparability graph.

2.2 Complement of the cycle graph

Definition 2.2. A graph with n vertices that has a single cycle of length n is called a cycle graph C_n .

As C_{2n} is a bipartite graph, we are partitioning the C_{2n} graph like we have done for the P_n graph into two independent sets, X and Y and denote the vertex of X and Y as $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\{1', 2', \dots, n'\}$. According to the definition of cycle graph, the possible edges between the sets X and Y are:

1.
$$1 \sim 1', 1 \sim n'$$

2. $i \sim (i-1)', i \sim i' \ 1 < i \le n$

In the following figure, we consider an even length of length 6 and use the above notation.



Figure 4: Cycle graph on 6 vertices

Theorem 2.2. The complement of the even-length cycle graph is word-representable.

Proof. Suppose C_{2n} is an even-length cycle graph of order 2n. As we have mentioned earlier, we can partition C_{2n} is two independent sets X and Y where $V(X) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $V(Y) = \{1', 2', \dots, n'\}$. Now, the complement of cycle graph C_{2n} contain the same vertex set $\{1, 2, \dots, n, 1', 2', \dots, n'\}$. But the possible edges of $\overline{C_{2n}}$ are in the following:

- $1. \ i \sim j, \, \forall \{i,j\} \in V(X), \, i \neq j.$
- 2. $i' \sim j', \forall \{i', j'\} \in V(Y), i' \neq j'.$

3. $1 \sim i', 1 < i < n$ 4. $i \sim j', 1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le n, j \ne i - 1$ and $j \ne i$.

After removing the edge between the vertex 1 and n' from the $\overline{P_{2n}}$ graph, we can obtain $\overline{C_{2n}}$ graph. We are deriving the word-representation of $\overline{C_{2n}}$ graph from the word-representation of $\overline{P_{2n}}$ graph. According to Theorem 2.1, $w = 121'32' \cdots i(i-1)' \cdots (n-1)(n-2)'n(n-1)'n' 1'12'2 \cdots i'i \cdots (n-1)'(n-1)n'n$ is representing the graph $\overline{P_{2n}}$. According to Observation 1.1, $w_1 = \pi(w)w$ also represents $\overline{P_{2n}}$. Now, $w_1 = 121'32' \cdots i(i-1)' \cdots (n-1)(n-2)'n(n-1)'n'1'12'32' \cdots i(i-1)' \cdots (n-1)(n-2)'n(n-1)'n'1'12'32' \cdots i(i-1)' \cdots (n-1)(n-2)'n(n-1)'n'1'12'2 \cdots i'i \cdots (n-1)(n-2)'n(n-1)'n'1'1'1'2'2 \cdots i'i \cdots (n-1)(n-1)(n-2)'n(n-1)'n'1'1'1'2'2 \cdots i'i \cdots (n-1)(n-1)(n-2)'n(n-1)'n'1'1'1'2'2 \cdots i'i \cdots (n-1)'(n-1)n'n$, any alternation and non-alternation between the vertices of $\{2, 3, \cdots, n, 1', \cdots, (n-1)'\}$ are not changed. Therefore, we only need to check for the vertices 1 and n'. Also, the alternation and non-alternation of 1 and n' with other vertices are also not changed. But, $w'_{1_{\{1,n'\}}} = 11n'n'1n'$, so 1 and n' are not alternation. Hence, w'_1 represents the graph $\overline{C_{2n}}$.

2.3 Complement of generalized crown graph

Definition 2.3. A crown graph $H_{n,n}$ is a bipartite graph obtained from a complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$ by removing the edges of a perfect matching.

Now, crown graphs $H_{n,n}$ are bipartite graphs, and the complement of the crown graph is the cartesian product of $K_n \times K_2$. According to Corollary 1.1, $\overline{H_{n,n}}$ is word-representable.

Definition 2.4 ([17]). Generalized Crown Graph S_{n-k}^k are bipartite graphs on 2n vertices that are obtained from a complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$ by removing the edges of k+1 number of perfect matching in a certain way. We assume the generalized crown graph S_{n-k}^k are partitioned into two independent sets X and Y, where $V(X) = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and $V(Y) = \{1', 2', ..., n'\}$. We can obtain the generalized crown graph S_{n-k}^k by removing the following perfect matching from the complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$.

- 1. $\forall 1 \leq i \leq (n-k)$, remove the edges from i to $j, \forall j \in \{i', (i+1)', \dots, (i+k)'\}$.
- 2. $\forall (n-k+1) \leq i \leq n$, remove the edges from i to j, $\forall j \in \{i', ((i+1) \mod n)', ((i+2) \mod n)', \dots, ((i+k) \mod n)'\}$, where 0' = n'.

From the definition, $H_{n,n} = S_n^0$. Now, we want to find whether the complement of generalized crown graphs is word-representable or not.

The complement of a generalized crown graph $\overline{S_{n-k}^k}$ also can partition into two cliques X and Y, where $V(X) = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and $V(Y) = \{1', 2', ..., n'\}$. So, the graph $\overline{S_{n-k}^k}$ contains the following edges.

- 1. $1 \le i < j \le n, i \sim j$.
- 2. $1 \le i < j \le n, i' \sim j'$.
- 3. $\forall 1 \le i \le (n-k), i \sim j, \forall j \in \{i', (i+1)', \dots, (i+k)'\}.$
- 4. $\forall (n-k+1) \leq i \leq n, i \sim j, \forall j \in \{i', ((i+1) \mod n)', ((i+2) \mod n)', \dots, ((i+k) \mod n)'\}$, where 0' = n'.

Theorem 2.3. The complement of a generalized crown graph $\overline{S_{n-k}^k}$ is word-representable.

Proof. We are applying the following homomorphism, and we will generate a word that represents the graph $\overline{S_{n-k}^k}$. The required homomorphism are defined as $\forall i, 1 \leq i \leq 5, h_i : V(\overline{S_{n-k}^k}) \to V(\overline{S_{n-k}^k})$:

- $h_1(x) = x$
- $h_2(x) = x'(n-k+x)$
- $h_3(x) = (k+x)'x$
- $h_4(x) = x'$
- $h_5(x) = xx'$

Now we assume that $V_1 = 12 \cdots (n-k)$, $V_2 = 12 \cdots k$, $V_3 = (k+1)(k+2) \cdots n$ and $V_4 = V_2V_3 = 12 \cdots k(k+1) \cdots n$. We claim that the word $w = h_1(V_1)h_2(V_2)h_3(V_1)h_2(V_2)h_4(V_3)h_5(V_4)$ represents the graph $\overline{S_{n-k}^k}$. First we proof that if $i \sim j$, in the graph $\overline{S_{n-k}^k}$ then i and j are alternating in w. We are considering the possible edges of the graph $\overline{S_{n-k}^k}$ as mentioned earlier in the following.

• In the graph $\overline{S_{n-k}^k}$, $i \sim j$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. We assume $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, so in the word w,

$$\begin{split} w_{\{X\}} &= (h_1(V_1))_{\{X\}} (h_2(V_2))_{\{X\}} (h_3(V_1))_{\{X\}} (h_2(V_2))_{\{X\}} (h_4(V_3))_{\{X\}} (h_5(V_4))_{\{X\}} \\ (h_1(V_1))_{\{X\}} &= 12 \cdots (n-k) \\ (h_2(V_2))_{\{X\}} &= (n-k+1)(n-k+2) \cdots n \\ (h_3(V_1))_{\{X\}} &= 12 \cdots (n-k) \\ (h_2(V_2))_{\{X\}} &= (n-k+1)(n-k+2) \cdots n \\ h_4(V_3))_{\{X\}} &= \epsilon \\ (h_5(V_4))_{\{X\}} &= 12 \cdots n \\ w_{\{X\}} &= 12 \cdots (n-k) (n-k+1)(n-k+2) \cdots n \ 12 \cdots (n-k) (n-k+1)(n-k+2) \cdots n \\ &= (12 \cdots n)^3 \end{split}$$

Therefore, i and j are alternating in $w, 1 \le i < j \le n$.

• In the graph $\overline{S_{n-k}^k}$, $i' \sim j'$, $1 \le i < j \le n$. We assume $Y = \{1', 2', \ldots, n'\}$, so in the word w,

$$\begin{split} w_{\{Y\}} &= (h_1(V_1))_{\{Y\}} (h_2(V_2))_{\{Y\}} (h_3(V_1))_{\{Y\}} (h_2(V_2))_{\{Y\}} (h_4(V_3))_{\{Y\}} (h_5(V_4))_{\{Y\}} \\ (h_1(V_1))_{\{Y\}} &= \epsilon \\ (h_2(V_2))_{\{Y\}} &= 1'2' \cdots k' \\ (h_3(V_1))_{\{Y\}} &= (k+1)'(k+2)' \cdots n' \\ (h_2(V_2))_{\{Y\}} &= 1'2' \cdots k' \\ h_4(V_3))_{\{Y\}} &= (k+1)'(k+2)' \cdots n' \\ (h_5(V_4))_{\{Y\}} &= 1'2' \cdots n' \\ w_{\{X\}} &= 1'2' \cdots k' (k+1)'(k+2)' \cdots n' 1'2' \cdots k' (k+1)'(k+2)' \cdots n' 1'2' \cdots n' \\ &= (1'2' \cdots n')^3 \end{split}$$

Therefore, i' and j' are alternating in $w, 1 \le i < j \le n$.

• In the graph $\overline{S_{n-k}^k}$, $\forall 1 \le i \le (n-k), i \sim j, \forall j \in \{i', (i+1)', \dots, (i+k)'\}$. We assume $A = \{i, i', (i+1)', \dots, (i+k)'\}$, so in the word w,

$$\begin{split} w_{\{A\}} &= (h_1(V_1))_{\{A\}} (h_2(V_2))_{\{A\}} (h_3(V_1))_{\{A\}} (h_2(V_2))_{\{A\}} (h_4(V_3))_{\{A\}} (h_5(V_4))_{\{A\}} \\ (h_1(V_1))_{\{A\}} &= i \\ (h_2(V_2))_{\{A\}} &= i'(i+1)' \cdots k' \\ (h_3(V_1))_{\{A\}} &= (k+1)'(k+2)' \cdots (k+i)'i \\ (h_2(V_2))_{\{A\}} &= i'(i+1)' \cdots k' \\ h_4(V_3))_{\{A\}} &= (k+1)'(k+2)' \cdots (k+i)' \\ (h_5(V_4))_{\{A\}} &= ii'(i+1)' \cdots k' (k+1)'(k+2)' \cdots (k+i)'i i'(i+1)' \cdots k' (k+1)'(k+2)' \cdots (k+i)' \\ &\quad ii'(i+1)' \cdots k' (k+1)'(k+2)' \cdots (k+i)' \\ &= (ii'(i+1)' \cdots k' (k+1)'(k+2)' \cdots (k+i)')^3 \end{split}$$

Therefore, i and j are alternating in w, $1 \le i < j \le n$.

• In the graph $\overline{S_{n-k}^k}$, $\forall (n-k+1) \leq i \leq n, i \sim j, \forall j \in \{i', ((i+1) \mod n)', ((i+2) \mod n)', \dots, ((i+k) \mod n)'\}$, where 0' = n'. Let, $i = n-k+l, 1 \leq l \leq k$. So, n-k+l is also adjacent to the set $B = \{(n-k+l)', (n-k+2)', \dots, n', 1', 2', \dots, a'\}$. We know that the degree of n-k+l is n-1+k+1 = n+k. As, n-k+l is adjacent to $\{1, 2, \dots, (n-k+l-1), (n-k+l+1), \dots, n\}$, so the cardinality of the set |B| = k+1.

$$\implies |(n - k + l)', (n - k + 2)', \dots, n', 1', 2', \dots, a'| = k + 1$$

$$\implies |(n - k + l)', (n - k + 2)', \dots, n'| + |1', 2', \dots, a'| = k + 1$$

$$\implies n - (n - k + l) + 1 + a = k + 1$$

$$\implies a = l$$

Therefore, $B = \{(n - k + l)', (n - k + 2)', \dots, n', 1', 2', \dots, l'\}$, so in the word w, $w_{\{B\}} = (h_1(V_1))_{\{B\}} (h_2(V_2))_{\{B\}} (h_3(V_1))_{\{B\}} (h_2(V_2))_{\{B\}} (h_4(V_3))_{\{B\}} (h_5(V_4))_{\{B\}} (h_1(V_1))_{\{B\}} = \epsilon$ $(h_2(V_2))_{\{B\}} = 1'2' \cdots l'(n - k + l)$ $(h_3(V_1))_{\{B\}} = (n - k + l)'(n - k + l + 1)' \cdots n'$ $(h_2(V_2))_{\{B\}} = 1'2' \cdots l'(n - k + l + 1)' \cdots n'$ $(h_2(V_2))_{\{B\}} = 1'2' \cdots l'(n - k + l + 1)' \cdots n'$ $(h_5(V_4))_{\{B\}} = 1'2' \cdots l'(n - k + l)(n - k + l)'(n - k + l + 1)' \cdots n'$ $w_{\{B\}} = 1'2' \cdots l'(n - k + l) (n - k + l)'(n - k + l + 1)' \cdots n' 1'2' \cdots l'(n - k + l) 1'2' \cdots l'(n - k + l)$ $(n - k + l)'(n - k + l + 1)' \cdots n' 1'2' \cdots l'(n - k + l + 1)' \cdots n'$ $= (1'2' \cdots l'(n - k + l)(n - k + l)'(n - k + l + 1)' \cdots n')^3$

Therefore, i and j are alternating in w, $1 \le i < j \le n$.

As, w preserve the alternation for the edges of the graph $\overline{S_{n-k}^k}$, now we need to prove that if $i \approx j$, in the graph $\overline{S_{n-k}^k}$ then *i* and *j* are not alternating in *w*. We are considering the possible non-edges of the graph $\overline{S_{n-k}^k}$ in the following.

• In the graph $\overline{S_{n-k}^k}$, $\forall 1 \le i \le (n-k)$, $i \nsim j$, $\forall j \in \{1', 2', \dots, (i-1)', (i+k+1)', \dots, n'\}$. We assume $A = \{i, 1', 2', \dots, (i-1)', (i+k+1)', \dots, n'\}$, so in the word w,

$$\begin{split} w_{\{A\}} &= (h_1(V_1))_{\{A\}} (h_2(V_2))_{\{A\}} (h_3(V_1))_{\{A\}} (h_2(V_2))_{\{A\}} (h_4(V_3))_{\{A\}} (h_5(V_4))_{\{A\}} \\ (h_1(V_1))_{\{A\}} &= i \\ (h_2(V_2))_{\{A\}} &= \begin{cases} 1'2' \cdots (i-1)' & 1 \le i \le k \\ 1'2' \cdots k' & k < i \le n-k \end{cases} \\ (h_3(V_1))_{\{A\}} &= \begin{cases} i(i+k+1)(i+k+2) \cdots n' & 1 \le i \le k \\ (k+1)'(k+2)' \cdots (i-1)'i(i+k+1)(i+k+2) \cdots n' & k < i \le n-k \end{cases} \\ (h_2(V_2))_{\{A\}} &= \begin{cases} 1'2' \cdots (i-1)' & 1 \le i \le k \\ 1'2' \cdots k' & k < i \le n-k \end{cases} \\ h_4(V_3))_{\{A\}} &= \begin{cases} (i+k+1)'(i+k+2)' \cdots n' & 1 \le i \le k \\ 1'2' \cdots k' & k < i \le n-k \end{cases} \\ (h_5(V_4))_{\{A\}} &= 1'2' \cdots (i-1)'i(i+k+1)' \cdots n' \end{split}$$

When we combine all the cases of $(h_2(V_2))_{\{A\}}$ and $(h_3(V_1))_{\{A\}}$, we obtain that $(h_2(V_2)h_3(V_1))_{\{A\}} = 1'2' \cdots (i-1)'i(i+k+1) \cdots n'$. Similarly, combining the $(h_2(V_2))_{\{A\}}$ and $(h_4(V_3))_{\{A\}}$, we obtain that $(h_2(V_2)h_4(V_3))_{\{A\}} = 1'2' \cdots (i-1)'(i+k+1)' \cdots n'$. Therefore, the word $w_{\{A\}} = i \ 1'2' \cdots (i-1)'i(i+k+1) \cdots n' \ 1'2' \cdots (i-1)'i(i+k+1)' \cdots n'(ii'(i+1)' \cdots k')$. As, $w_{\{i,1',2',\dots,(i-1)'\}} = i1'2' \cdots (i-1)'i1'2' \cdots (i-1)'1'2' \cdots (i-1)'i$, so in the word w, i is not alternating with any element of the set $\{1'2' \cdots (i-1)'\}$. Also, $w_{\{i,(i+k+1)',(i+k+2)',\dots,n'\}} = ii(i+k+1)'(i+k+2)' \cdots n'(i+k+1)'(i+k+2)' \cdots n'$. Therefore, in the word w, i is not alternating with any element of the set $\{(i+k+1)'(i+k+2)' \cdots n'\}$.

• Now we consider the *i* where $\forall (n-k+1) \leq i \leq n$ and $i \in V(\overline{S_{n-k}^k})$. We assume i = n-k+l, $1 \leq l \leq k$. So, we know that n-k+l is adjacent to $\{(n-k+l)', (n-k+2)', \ldots, n', 1', 2', \ldots, l'\}$. Therefore, the nonadjacent vertices of n-k+l are $B = \{(l+1)', (l+2)', \ldots, (n-k+l-1)'\}$. So,

in the word w,

$$\begin{split} w_{\{B\}} &= (h_1(V_1))_{\{B\}} (h_2(V_2))_{\{B\}} (h_3(V_1))_{\{B\}} (h_2(V_2))_{\{B\}} (h_4(V_3))_{\{B\}} (h_5(V_4))_{\{B\}} \\ (h_1(V_1))_{\{B\}} &= \epsilon \\ (h_2(V_2))_{\{B\}} &= \begin{cases} (n-k+l)(l+1)'(l+2)'\cdots(n-k+l-1)' & (n-k) \leq i \leq k \\ (n-k+l)(l+1)'(l+2)'\cdots k & k < i \leq n \end{cases} \\ (h_3(V_1))_{\{B\}} &= \begin{cases} \epsilon & (n-k) \leq i \leq k \\ (k+1)'(k+2)'\cdots(n-k+l-1)' & k < i \leq n \end{cases} \\ (h_2(V_2))_{\{B\}} &= \begin{cases} (n-k+l)(l+1)'(l+2)'\cdots(n-k+l-1)' & (n-k) \leq i \leq k \\ (n-k+l)(l+1)'(l+2)'\cdots k & k < i \leq n \end{cases} \\ h_4(V_3))_{\{B\}} &= \begin{cases} \epsilon & (n-k+l)(l+1)'(l+2)'\cdots(n-k+l-1)' & (n-k) \leq i \leq k \\ (k+1)'(k+2)'\cdots(n-k+l-1)' & k < i \leq n \end{cases} \\ (h_5(V_4))_{\{B\}} &= (l+1)'(l+2)'\cdots(n-k+l-1)' & k < i \leq n \end{cases} \end{split}$$

When we combine all the cases of $(h_2(V_2))_{\{B\}}$ and $(h_3(V_1))_{\{B\}}$, we obtain that $(h_2(V_2)h_3(V_1))_{\{B\}} = (n-k+l)(l+1)'(l+2)'\cdots(n-k+l-1)'$. Similarly, combining the $(h_2(V_2))_{\{B\}}$ and $(h_4(V_3))_{\{B\}}$, we obtain that $(h_2(V_2)h_4(V_3))_{\{B\}} = (n-k+l)(l+1)'(l+2)'\cdots(n-k+l-1)'$. Therefore, the word $w_{\{B\}} = (n-k+l)(l+1)'(l+2)'\cdots(n-k+l-1)'$ $(n-k+l)(l+1)'(l+2)'\cdots(n-k+l-1)'(l+1)'(l+2)'\cdots(n-k+l-1)'(n-k+l) = i(l+1)'(l+2)'\cdots(n-k+l-1)'$. $1)' i((l+1)'(l+2)'\cdots(n-k+l-1)')^2 i$. So, in the word w, i is not alternating with the element of the set B.

Hence, the word w represents the graph $\overline{S_{n-k}^k}$.

2.4 A non-word representable co-bipartite graph $\overline{G_1}$

In this section, we obtain a co-bipartite graph from the complement of the crown graph that is not a word-representable graph. The graph G_1 is the graph obtained from the crown graph $H_{n,n}$ by adding an isolated vertex v. We can easily see that G_1 is also a bipartite graph because we can add the vertex v in any partition. First, we prove that $\overline{H_{n,n}}$ is not a comparability graph.

Lemma 2.1. The co-bipartite graph $\overline{H_{n,n}}$ is not a comparability graph.

Proof. Suppose the co-bipartite graph $\overline{H_{n,n}}$ is partitioned into two cliques K_n and K'_n . Now, we assume the vertices of each clique K_n and K'_n are $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and $\{1', 2', \ldots, n'\}$, respectively. Also, each i, j, $1 \leq i < j \leq n, i \sim j, i \sim i'$ and $i' \sim j'$ in the graph $\overline{H_{n,n}}$. Now we are considering the induced subgraph of the vertices, 1, 2, 3, 1', 2' and 3' from the graph $\overline{H_{n,n}}$ in the following Figure 5.



Figure 5: induced subgraph of the vertices, 1, 2, 3, 1', 2' and 3' from the graph $\overline{H_{n,n}}$

Now, from this graph, we consider a cycle 1'122'233'31 as described for Theorem 1.2. This is an odd cycle of length 9. We can easily verify that no triangular chord exists in this cycle. So, $\overline{H_{n,n}}$ contains an odd cycle that does not have any triangular chord. Therefore, according to Theorem 1.2, $\overline{H_{n,n}}$ is not a comparability graph.

Theorem 2.4. The co-bipartite graph $\overline{G_1}$ is non-word-representable where $n \ge 3$, for $H_{n,n}$ graph.

Proof. As the graph G_1 has an isolated vertex v, in the co-bipartite graph $\overline{G_1}$, v becomes adjacent to all of the vertices of the graph $\overline{H_{n,n}}$. According to Lemma 2.1, the graph $\overline{H_{n,n}}$ is not a comparability graph. Therefore, according to Theorem 1.4, G_1 is not word-representable.

3 Co-bipartite graph on a fixed clique size

A co-bipartite graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_n)}$ can be partitioned into two cliques K_m and K_n , and there are some edges between the vertices of K_m and K_n . In these two partitions, we want to fix the size of one partition while allowing the other to be arbitrary. Our aim is to identify which of these graphs are word-representable.

3.1 Clique size 2

We are considering $K_n = K_2$, and want to find for different K_m , does $\overline{B(K_m, K_n)}$ word-representable. We assume the vertices of K_2 are 1 and 2. Also, N_i denotes the set of the vertices that are adjacent to *i*. Now, the vertices of K_m are divided in the following:

- 1. $N_{1\overline{2}}$ are the set of the vertices v such that $v \in V(K_m)$ and $v \in N_1 \setminus N_2$.
- 2. N_{12} are the set of the vertices v such that $v \in V(K_m)$ and $v \in N_1 \cap N_2$.
- 3. $N_{\overline{12}}$ are the set of the vertices v such that $v \in V(K_m)$ and $v \in N_2 \setminus N_1$.
- 4. $N_{\overline{12}}$ are the set of the vertices v such that $v \in V(K_m)$ and $v \in V \setminus (N_1 \cup N_2)$.

In the following diagram, it will be more precise.

Theorem 3.1. The co-biparitite graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_2)}$, is word-representable.



Figure 6: the co-bipartite graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_2)}$

Proof. Our claim is that the word $w = N_{12} 1 N_{\overline{12}} 2 N_{\overline{12}} N_{12} N_{\overline{12}} N_{\overline{12}} N_{\overline{12}} 2$ represents the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_2)}$, where $N_{1\overline{2}}$, N_{12} , $N_{\overline{12}}$, and $N_{\overline{12}}$ are defined above. The possible edges in $\overline{B(K_m, K_2)}$ are in the following:

1. $1 \sim 2$

- 2. $i \sim j, \forall i, j \in V(K_m), i \neq j$.
- 3. $1 \sim N_{12}, 1 \sim N_{1\overline{2}}.$
- 4. $2 \sim N_{12}, 2 \sim N_{\overline{12}}$.

Now, we prove that the alternation between the vertices x and y occurs in w if the edge $x \sim y$ is included among the edges described above.

- 1. As $1 \sim 2$, 1 and 2 should alternate in w. Now, $w_{\{1,2\}} = 1212$. Therefore, 1 and 2 are alternating in w.
- 2. As $i \sim j$, $\forall i, j \in V(K_m), i \neq j$, any i and j should alternate in w. We know that $N_{1\overline{2}} \cup N_{12} \cup N_{\overline{12}} \cup N_{\overline{12}} = V(K_m)$. So, $w_{\{V(K_m)\}} = N_{12}N_{\overline{12}}N_{\overline{12}}N_{1\overline{2}}N_{1\overline{2}}N_{\overline{12}}N_{\overline{12}}$. Hence, i and j are alternating in w.
- 3. As, $1 \sim N_{12}$, $1 \sim N_{1\overline{2}}$, 1 should alternate with N_{12} and $N_{1\overline{2}}$ in w. Now, $w_{\{1,N_{12},N_{1\overline{2}}\}} = N_{12}1N_{1\overline{2}}N_{12}1N_{1\overline{2}}$. So, 1 is alternating with N_{12} and $N_{1\overline{2}}$ in w.
- 4. As, $2 \sim N_{12}$, $2 \sim N_{\overline{12}}$, 2 should alternate with N_{12} and $N_{\overline{12}}$ in w. Now, $w_{\{2,N_{12},N_{\overline{12}}\}} = N_{12}N_{\overline{12}}2N_{12}1N_{\overline{12}}2$. So, 2 is alternating with N_{12} and $N_{\overline{1},2}$ in w.

Since alternation is preserved in w for the edges of the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_2)}$, we now need to show that non-alteration is also preserved in w for the non-edges of the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_2)}$. The possible cases are the following.

- 1. In the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_2)}$, $1 \nsim N_{\overline{12}}$ and $1 \nsim N_{\overline{12}}$. In the word w, $w_{\{1, N_{\overline{12}}, N_{\overline{12}}\}} = 1N_{\overline{12}}N_{\overline{12}}N_{\overline{12}}N_{\overline{12}}N_{\overline{12}}$. Therefore, 1 does not alternate with $N_{\overline{12}}$ and $N_{\overline{12}}$ in w.
- 2. In the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_2)}$, $2 \approx N_{1\overline{2}}$ and $2 \approx N_{\overline{12}}$. In the word w, $w_{\{2,N_{1\overline{2}},N_{\overline{12}}\}} = 2N_{\overline{12}}N_{1\overline{2}}N_{1\overline{2}}N_{1\overline{2}}$. Therefore, 2 does not alternate with $N_{1\overline{2}}$ and $N_{\overline{12}}$ in w.

3.2 Clique size 3

We are considering $K_n = K_3$, and want to find for different K_m , does $\overline{B(K_m, K_3)}$ word-representable. We assume the vertices of K_3 are 1, 2 and 3. Also, N_i denotes the set of the vertices that are adjacent to *i*. Now, the vertices of K_m are divided in the following:

- 1. $N_{1\overline{23}}$ is the set of the vertices v such that $v \in V(K_m)$ and $v \in N_1 \setminus (N_2 \cup N_3)$.
- 2. $N_{\overline{123}}$ is the set of the vertices v such that $v \in V(K_m)$ and $v \in N_2 \setminus (N_1 \cup N_3)$.
- 3. $N_{\overline{123}}$ is the set of the vertices v such that $v \in V(K_m)$ and $v \in N_3 \setminus (N_1 \cup N_2)$.
- 4. $N_{12\overline{3}}$ is the set of the vertices v such that $v \in V(K_m)$ and $v \in (N_1 \cap N_2) \setminus N_3$.
- 5. $N_{\overline{123}}$ is the set of the vertices v such that $v \in V(K_m)$ and $v \in (N_2 \cap N_3) \setminus N_1$.
- 6. $N_{1\overline{2}3}$ is the set of the vertices v such that $v \in V(K_m)$ and $v \in (N_1 \cap N_3) \setminus N_2$.
- 7. N_{123} is the set of the vertices v such that $v \in V(K_m)$ and $v \in N_1 \cap N_2 \cap N_3$.
- 8. $N_{\overline{123}}$ is the set of the vertices v such that $v \in V(K_m)$ and $v \notin N_1 \cup N_2 \cup N_3$.

Consider the non-word-representable co-bipartite graph $\overline{T_1}$ shown in Figure 1. This graph is partitioned into two cliques, K_3 and K_4 . There exists a vertex $v \in V(K_4)$ that is not connected to any vertices in clique K_3 . Additionally, the non-word-representable co-bipartite graph $\overline{G_1}$ has a vertex that is adjacent to all others.

So, we consider the situation where both sets N_{123} and $N_{\overline{123}}$ are empty. In this context, we aim to prove that the bipartite graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_3)}$ is word-representable.

Theorem 3.2. The co-biparitite graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_3)}$, is word-representable where $N_{123} = \phi$ and $N_{\overline{123}} = \phi$.

Proof. Our claim is that the word $w = 1N_{1\overline{23}}2N_{1\overline{23}}N_{1\overline{23}}3N_{1\overline{23}}2N_{1\overline{23}}N_$

1. In the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_3)}$, $i \sim j$, $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$. Now, in the word w, $w_{\{1,2,3\}} = (123)^3$. So, 1, 2 and 3 are alternating with each other in w.

- 2. In the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_3)}$, $i \sim j$, $\forall i, j \in V(K_m)$, $i \neq j$. We know that $V(K_m) = N_{1\overline{23}} \cup N_{\overline{123}} \cup N_{\overline{123}} \cup N_{\overline{123}} \cup N_{\overline{123}} \cup N_{\overline{123}}$, as $N_{123} = \phi$. Now, in the word w, $w_{\{N_{1\overline{23}}, N_{\overline{123}}, N_{\overline{123}}, N_{\overline{123}}, N_{\overline{123}}, N_{\overline{123}}, N_{\overline{123}}, N_{\overline{123}}, N_{\overline{123}}, N_{\overline{123}}\} = (N_{1\overline{23}}N_{1\overline{23}}N_{1\overline{23}}N_{1\overline{23}}N_{\overline{123}})^3$. Hence, i and j, $\forall i, j \in V(K_m)$, $i \neq j$ are alternating in w.
- 3. In the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_3)}$, $1 \sim i, i \in N_{1\overline{23}} \cup N_{12\overline{3}} \cup N_{1\overline{23}}$. Now, in the word $w, w_{\{1, N_{1\overline{23}}, N_{12\overline{3}}, N_{1\overline{23}}\}} = (1N_{1\overline{23}}N_{1\overline{23}}N_{1\overline{23}})^3$. Therefore, 1 and *i* are alternating in w.
- 4. In the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_3)}$, $2 \sim i, i \in N_{\overline{1}2\overline{3}} \cup N_{12\overline{3}} \cup N_{\overline{1}23}$. Now, in the word $w, w_{\{2, N_{12\overline{3}}, N_{\overline{1}2\overline{3}}, N_{\overline{1}2\overline{3}}\}} = (2N_{12\overline{3}}N_{\overline{1}2\overline{3}}N_{\overline{1}2\overline{3}}N_{\overline{1}2\overline{3}})^3$. Therefore, 2 and *i* are alternating in w.
- 5. In the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_3)}$, $3 \sim i, i \in N_{\overline{123}} \cup N_{\overline{123}} \cup N_{\overline{123}}$. Now, in the word $w, w_{\{3, N_{1\overline{23}}, N_{\overline{123}}, N_{\overline{123}}, N_{\overline{123}}\}} = (N_{1\overline{23}}3N_{\overline{123}}N_{\overline{123}})^3$. Therefore, 3 and *i* are alternating in w.

Since alternation is preserved in w for the edges of the graph $B(K_m, K_3)$, we now need to show that for the non-edges of the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_3)}$, non-alteration is also preserved in w. The possible cases are the following.

- 1. In the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_3)}$, $1 \approx i, i \in N_{\overline{1}2\overline{3}} \cup N_{\overline{1}2\overline{3}} \cup N_{\overline{1}2\overline{3}}$. Now, in the word w, 1 and i should not alternate. As, $w_{\{1, N_{\overline{1}2\overline{3}}, N_{\overline{1}2\overline{3}}, N_{\overline{1}2\overline{3}}\}} = 1N_{\overline{1}2\overline{3}}1N_{\overline{1}2\overline{3}}N_$
- 2. In the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_3)}$, $2 \approx i$, $i \in N_{1\overline{23}} \cup N_{\overline{123}} \cup N_{1\overline{23}}$. Now, in the word w, $w_{\{2, N_{1\overline{23}}, N_{1\overline{23$
- 3. In the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_3)}$, $3 \nsim i$, $i \in N_{1\overline{23}} \cup N_{\overline{1}2\overline{3}} \cup N_{12\overline{3}}$. Now, in the word w, $w_{3,N_{1\overline{23}},N_{\overline{1}2\overline{3}},N_{12\overline{3}}}$, $N_{1\overline{23}}N_{1\overline{2$

4 Semi-trasitive orientation of a word-representable co-bipartite graph

In this section, we studied the semi-transitive orientation of a word-representable co-bipartite graph. First, we present similar work done in the case of split graphs. Word-representable split graphs are studied in the paper [11]. In that paper, it was proved that for the word-representable split graph $S_n = (E_{n-m}, K_m)$, vertices of E_{n-m} can be classified as described in Lemma 4.1. Additionally, according to Lemma 1.1, any semi-transitive orientation of the graph $S_n = (E_{n-m}, K_m)$ induces a transitive orientation of the graph $S_n = (E_{n-m}, K_m)$ induces a transitive orientation on K_m . This can be represented schematically in Figure 7, where the longest directed path in K_m is represented as \vec{P} . It is important to note that although the other edges in K_m are not shown, they do exist.



Figure 7: A schematic structure of a semi-transitively oriented split graph

Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 below describe the structure of semi-transitive orientations in arbitrary word-representable split graph.

Lemma 4.1. [[11], Lemma 7.1] Any semi-transitive orientation of $S_n = (E_{n-m}, K_m)$ subdivides the set of all vertices in E_{n-m} into three, possibly empty, groups of the types shown schematically in Figure 8. In that figure,

- similarly to Figure 7, the vertical oriented paths are a schematic way to show (parts of) \vec{P} ;
- the vertical oriented paths in the types A and B represent up to m consecutive vertices in \vec{P} ;
- the vertical oriented path in the type C contains all m vertices in \vec{P} , and it is subdivided into three groups of consecutive vertices, with the middle group possibly containing no vertices; the group of vertices containing the source (resp., sink) is the source-group (resp., sink-group);
- The vertices to the left of the vertical paths are from E_{n-m} .



Figure 8: Three types of vertices in E_{n-m} under a semi-transitive orientation of (E_{n-m}, K_m) . The vertically oriented paths are a schematic way to show (parts of) \vec{P}

Lemma 4.2. [[11], Lemma 7.2] Let $S_n = (E_{n-m}, K_m)$ be oriented semi-transitively. For a vertex $x \in E_{n-m}$ of the type C, presented schematically as



there is no vertex $y \in E_{n-m}$ of the type A or B, which is connected to both x_s and x_{s+1} . Also, there is no vertex $y \in E_{n-m}$ of the type C such that either the source-group or the sink-group of vertices given by y (see the statement of Theorem 4.1 for the definitions) contains both x_s and x_{s+1} .

Theorem 4.1. [[11], Theorem 7.1] An orientation of a split graph $S_n = (E_{n-m}, K_m)$ is semi-transitive if and only if

- K_m is oriented transitively,
- each vertex in E_{n-m} is of one of the three types presented in Figure 8, and
- the restrictions in Lemma 4.2 are satisfied.

Theorem 4.2. [[11], Theorem 7.2] Let $S_n = (E_{n-m}, K_m)$ be semi-transitively oriented. Then, any vertex in E_{n-m} of the type A can be replaced by a vertex of the type B, and vice versa, keeping orientation semi-transitive.

We now characterize the vertices of the word-representable co-bipartite graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_n)}$. Since both partitions are complete graphs, according to Lemma 1.1, any semi-transitive orientation of the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_n)}$ induces a transitive orientation on both K_m and K_n . We use a similar approach to that described in Theorem 4.1.

We subdivide the vertices of the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_n)}$ into three types: type A, type B, and type C, as mentioned in Theorem 4.1. For all vertices $x \in V(K_m)$, we identify $\vec{P_1}$ as the longest directed path in K_n . Similarly, for all vertices $y \in V(K_n)$, we define $\vec{P_2}$ as the longest directed path in K_m .

Our observation is that Theorem 4.1 also holds for the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_n)}$ when considering the vertices of type A, type B, and type C, as previously described.

Observation 4.1. Any semi-transitive orientation of $\overline{B(K_m, K_n)}$ subdivides the set of all vertices into three, possibly empty, groups of the types shown schematically in Figure 9.

- similarly to Figure 7, the vertical oriented paths are a schematic way to show (parts of) $\vec{P_1}$ for $V(K_m)$ and $\vec{P_2}$ for $V(K_n)$;
- the vertical oriented paths in the types A and B represent up to l consecutive vertices in $\vec{P_1}$ for $V(K_m)$ and $\vec{P_2}$ for $V(K_n)$;
- the vertical oriented path in the type C contains all l vertices in $\vec{P_1}$ for $V(K_m)$ and $\vec{P_2}$ for $V(K_n)$, and it is subdivided into three groups of consecutive vertices, with the middle group possibly containing no vertices; the group of vertices containing the source (resp., sink) is the source-group (resp., sink-group);



Figure 9: Three types of vertices in K_m and K_n

Proof. The same proof of Theorem 4.1 is worked for this observation.

One important note is that if x is a type C vertex in a semi-transitive orientation of the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_n)}$, and $P = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_l$ represents a directed path for x, then a_1 and a_l must be a source and a sink, respectively, in the transitive orientation of the complete graph K_i , where $\{a_1, a_l\} \in V(K_i)$.

Lemma 4.3. Suppose the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_n)}$ is word-representable and S is a semi-transitive orientation of the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_n)}$. Suppose $\{x, y\} \in V(K_m)$ and x is a type A vertex and y is a type B vertex and the orientation of the edge between x and y is $y \to x$. Then, x and y do not have any common neighbour among the vertices of K_n .

Proof. Suppose, x and y have a common neighbour $x_s \in V(K_n)$. As, x is type A, the edge between x and x_s is oriented as $x \to x_s$. Now, the edge between y and x_s are oriented as $x_s \to y$ because y is type B. Then, the induced subgraph y, x and x_s has a cyclic orientation. However, it is not possible in the semi-transitive orientation S.

Lemma 4.4. Suppose the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_n)}$ is word-representable and S is a semi-transitive orientation of the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_n)}$. Suppose $\{x, y\} \in V(K_m)$ and $\{x_s, x_{s+1}\} \in V(K_n)$, and the orientation of the edges between $\{x, y\}$ is $x \to y$, and between $\{x_s, x_{s+1}\}$ is $x_s \to x_{s+1}$ in the semi-transitive orientation S. Then, the semi-transitive orientation S follows the following conditions:

- 1. If the orientation of the edges between $\{x_s, x\}$ is $x_s \to x$ and between $\{y, x_{s+1}\}$ is $y \to x_{s+1}$ in the semi-transitive orientation S, then $x \to x_{s+1}$ and $x_s \to y$ in the semi-transitive orientation S.
- 2. If the orientation of the edges between $\{x, x_{s+1}\}$ is $x \to x_{s+1}$ and between $\{x_s, x\}$ is $y \to x_s$ in the semi-transitive orientation S, then $x \to x_s$ and $y \to x_{s+1}$ in the semi-transitive orientation S.

Proof. Since S is the semi-transitive orientation of the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_n)}$, there does not exist any shortcut or cyclic orientation in S. Now, we are proving these two conditions in the following:

1. According to the mentioned orientation in the first condition, we can obtain the following orientation among the vertices x, y, x_s and x_{s+1} .



Figure 10: orientation among the vertices x, y, x_s and x_{s+1}

We can clearly see that this orientation creates a shortcut if x and y are not adjacent with x_{s+1} and x_s , respectively. Now, if we orient the edge between $\{x_{s+1}, x\}$ as $x_{s+1} \to x$, then x, y and x_{s+1} create a cyclic orientation. However, it is not possible in the semi-transitive orientation S. Therefore, the edge between $\{x_{s+1}, x\}$ is oriented as $x \to x_{s+1}$. Similarly, if we orient the edge between $\{y, x_s\}$ as $y \to x_s$, then x_s, x and y create a cyclic orientation. However, it is not possible in the semi-transitive orientation S. Therefore, the edge between $\{y, x_s\}$ is oriented as $x_s \to y$.

2. According to the mentioned orientation in the second condition, we can obtain the following orientation among the vertices x, y, x_s and x_{s+1} .



Figure 11: orientation among the vertices x, y, x_s and x_{s+1}

We can clearly see that this orientation creates a shortcut if x and y are not adjacent with x_s and x_{s+1} , respectively. Now, if we orient the edge between $\{x, x_s\}$ as $x_s \to x$, then x, y, and x_s create a cyclic orientation. However, it is not possible in the semi-transitive orientation S. Therefore, the edge between $\{x, x_s\}$ is oriented as $x \to x_s$. Similarly, if we orient the edge between $\{x_{s+1}, y\}$ as $x_{s+1} \to y$, then y, x_s , and x_{s+1} create a cyclic orientation. However, it is not possible in the semi-transitive orient the edge between $\{x_{s+1}, y\}$ as $x_{s+1} \to y$, then y, x_s , and x_{s+1} create a cyclic orientation. However, it is not possible in the semi-transitive orientation S. Therefore, the edge between $\{x_{s+1}, y\}$ is oriented as $y \to x_{s+1}$.

Lemma 4.5. Suppose the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_n)}$ is word-representable and S is a semi-transitive orientation of the graph. Let $x \in V(\overline{B(K_m, K_n)})$, and x is a type C vertex. Suppose x_s and x_{s+1} are the last and first vertex of the source and sink group, respectively.



Figure 12: type C vertex x.

Now, for $y \in V(\overline{B(K_m, K_n)})$, if x and y are in the same partition in the graph $V(\overline{B(K_m, K_n)})$, then the following conditions hold for y.

- 1. If the edges between x and y are oriented as $x \to y$, y is not a type A vertex that is adjacent to both x_s and x_{s+1} . Also, if y is type C, then x_s cannot contain in the sink group of y.
- 2. If the edges between x and y are oriented as $y \to x$, y is not a type B vertex that is adjacent to both x_s and x_{s+1} . Also, if y is type C, then x_{s+1} cannot contain in the source group of y.

Proof. Since S is the semi-transitive orientation of the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_n)}$, there does not exist any shortcut or cyclic orientation in S. Now, we are proving these two conditions in the following:

- 1. Suppose the vertex y is type A and y is adjacent to x_s . Then, the orientation of the edge between y and x_s is $y \to x_s$. As $x_s \to x$, the subgraph induced by the vertices x_s , x, and y has a cyclic orientation. However, it is not possible in the semi-transitive orientation S. Suppose the vertex y is type A and y is adjacent to x_{s+1} . Then, the orientation of the edge between y and x_{s+1} is $y \to x_{s+1}$. As $x_s \to x$ and $x_s \to x_{s+1}$, the subgraph induced by the vertices x_s , x, y, and x_{s+1} create a short cut. Because y and x_s are not adjacent. However, it is not possible in the semi-transitive orientation S. Now, suppose the vertex y is type C and x_s is in the sink group of y. Then, the orientation of the edge between y and x_s is $y \to x_s$. But, we proved earlier that this case cannot occur in the semi-transitive orientation S.
- 2. Suppose the vertex y is type B and y is adjacent to x_{s+1} . Then, the orientation of the edge between y and x_{s+1} is $x_{s+1} \to y$. As $x \to x_{s+1}$, the subgraph induced by the vertices x_{s+1} , y, and x has a cyclic orientation. However, it is not possible in the semi-transitive orientation S. Suppose the vertex y is type B and y is adjacent to x_s . Then, the orientation of the edge between y and x_s is $x_s \to y$. As $x \to x_s$ and $x_s \to x_{s+1}$, the subgraph induced by the vertices x_s , y, x, and x_{s+1} create a short cut. Because y and x_{s+1} are not adjacent. However, it is not possible in the semi-transitive orientation S. Now, suppose the vertex y is type C and x_{s+1} is in the source group of y. Then, the orientation of the edge between y and x_{s+1} is $x_{s+1} \to y$. But we proved earlier that this case cannot occur in the semi-transitive orientation S.

Theorem 4.3. A co-bipartite graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_n)}$ has a semi-transitive orientation if and only if

- K_m and K_n are oriented transitively,
- Each vertex in $\overline{B(K_m, K_n)}$ is of one of the three types described in Observation 4.1, and
- The conditions present in Lemmas 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5 are satisfied.

Proof. From the Observation 4.1 and Lemmas 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5, we can show that a semi-transitive orientation of the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_n)}$ satisfies all the conditions.

Now we need to prove that if these conditions are stratified, then that orientation is semi-transitive. Suppose S is an orientation of the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_n)}$ that satisfies the mentioned condition. We assume that S is not a semi-transitive orientation. So, there exists a shortcut in the orientation S. Suppose the induced subgraph of x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_p vertices of the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_n)}$ contain the following shortcut P.



Figure 13: orientation among the vertices x, y, x_s and x_{s+1}

In the shortcut P, vertices should be present from both K_m and K_n . Otherwise, P is a complete graph, not a shortcut. First, we check for each x_i , $1 \le i \le p$ does there exist a x_j , $1 \le i < j \le p$ such that $x_i \nsim x_j$. There should be at least one such x_i that needs to be present in the shortcut P. We assume that x_i and x_j are the first two vertices we obtained in P such that $x_i \nsim x_j$. Now, we consider the following two cases:

Case 1: Suppose $x_1 = x_i$. Now, x_1 is adjacent to every x_a , $1 \le a < j$. So, we obtain the following shortcut in the shortcut P in the induced subgraph of the vertices $x_1, x_{j-1}, x_j, \ldots, x_p$.



Figure 14: orientation among the vertices x, y, x_s and x_{s+1}

Now, we know that x_1 and x_j are in different partitions in the graph $\overline{B(K_m, K_n)}$. Without loss of generality, we assume $x_1 \in V(K_m)$ and $x_j \in V(K_n)$. So, the possible cases for x_{j-1} , x_p are $\{x_{j-1}, x_p\} \subseteq V(K_m)$ or $\{x_{j-1}, x_p\} \subseteq V(K_n)$ or $x_{j-1} \in V(K_m)$, $x_p \in V(K_n)$ or $x_{j-1} \in V(K_m)$. In the following, we prove this shortcut cannot exist for each case.

Case 1.1: If $\{x_{j-1}, x_p\} \subseteq V(K_m)$, then there exists some $x_q \in V(K_n)$ and $x_r \in V(K_m)$, $j \leq q < r \leq p$, such that the orientation of the edge between x_q and x_r is $x_q \to x_r$, otherwise, $x_p \notin V(K_m)$. The following orientation occurs for the induced subgraph of the vertices $x_1, x_{j-1}, x_j, x_q, x_r$ and x_p .



Figure 15: Induced subgraph of the vertices $x_1, x_{j-1}, x_j, x_q, x_r$ and x_p .

But, according to Lemma 4.4, x_j and x_r should be adjacent and the edge is oriented as $x_j \to x_r$. However, x_j becomes type C vertex. So, according to Observation 4.1, x_1 and x_j should be adjacent. it contradicts our assumption regarding the shortcut.

Case 1.2: If $\{x_{j-1}, x_p\} \subseteq V(K_n)$, then the following orientation occurs for the induced subgraph of the vertices x_1, x_{j-1}, x_j and x_p .



Figure 16: induced subgraph of the vertices x_1, x_{j-1}, x_j and x_p .

But, according to Observation 4.1, x_1 and x_j should be adjacent, as x_1 is a type A vertex or x_1 is a type C vertex, then x_j should be in the source group of x_1 . So, it contradicts our assumption regarding the shortcut.

Case 1.3: If $x_{j-1} \in V(K_m), x_p \in V(K_n)$, then the following orientation occurs for the induced subgraph of the vertices x_1, x_{j-1}, x_j and x_p .



Figure 17: induced subgraph of the vertices x_1, x_{j-1}, x_j and x_p .

But, according to Lemma 4.4, x_i and x_j should be adjacent. So, it contradicts our assumption regarding the shortcut.

Case 1.4: If $x_{j-1} \in V(K_n), x_p \in V(K_m)$, then there exists some $x_q \in V(K_n)$ and $x_r \in V(K_m)$, $j \leq q < r \leq p$, such that the orientation of the edge between x_q and x_r is $x_q \to x_r$, otherwise,

 $x_p \notin V(K_m)$. The following orientation occurs for the induced subgraph of the vertices $x_1, x_{j-1}, x_j, x_q, x_r$ and x_p .



Figure 18: induced subgraph of the vertices x_1, x_{j-1}, x_j and x_p .

Now, the edge between x_{j-1} and x_q are oriented as $x_{j-1} \to x_q$. Then, according to Lemma 4.4, x_1 and x_q should be adjacent, and the orientation of the edge between x_1 and x_q is $x_1 \to x_q$. But, the induced subgraph of the vertices x_1, x_{j-1}, x_j and x_q are the same as the subgraph mentioned in Case 1.2. Therefore, x_1 and x_j are adjacent. So, it contradicts our assumption regarding the shortcut.

Case 2: Suppose $x_1 \neq x_i$. Now, every x_a , $1 \leq a < i$ is adjacent to every x_b , $1 \leq b \leq p$ in the shortcut P. There exists at least one x_a because, in Case 1, we proved that x_1 is adjacent to all the vertices present in the shortcut. Also, x_i is adjacent to every x_c , $1 \leq c < j$. So, we obtain the following shortcut in the shortcut P among x_{i-1} , x_i , x_{j-1} and x_j vertices.



Figure 19: orientation among the vertices x, y, x_s and x_{s+1}

Now, we know that x_i and x_j are in different partition in the graph $B(K_m, K_n)$. Without loss of generality, we assume $x_i \in V(K_m)$ and $x_j \in V(K_n)$. So, the possible cases for x_{i-1}, x_{j-1} are $\{x_{i-1}, x_{j-1}\} \subseteq V(K_m)$ or $\{x_{i-1}, x_{j-1}\} \subseteq V(K_n)$ or $x_{i-1} \in V(K_m)$, $x_{j-1} \in V(K_n)$, $x_{j-1} \in V(K_m)$. In the following we prove for each cases this shortcut cannot exist.

Case 2.1: If $\{x_{i-1}, x_{j-1}\} \subseteq V(K_m)$, then the following orientation occurs for the induced subgraph of the vertices x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{j-1} and x_j .



Figure 20: induced subgraph of the vertices x_{i-1} , x_i , x_{j-1} and x_j .

But, according to Observation 4.1, x_i and x_j should be adjacent. So, it contradicts our assumption regarding the shortcut.

Case 2.2: If $\{x_{i-1}, x_{j-1}\} \subseteq V(K_n)$, then the following orientation occurs for the induced subgraph of the vertices x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{j-1} and x_j .



Figure 21: induced subgraph of the vertices x_{i-1} , x_i , x_{j-1} and x_j .

But, according to Observation 4.1, x_i and x_j should be adjacent. So, it contradicts our assumption regarding the shortcut.

Case 2.3: If $x_{i-1} \in V(K_m), x_{j-1} \in V(K_n)$, then the following orientation occurs for the induced subgraph of the vertices x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{j-1} and x_j .



Figure 22: induced subgraph of the vertices x_{i-1} , x_i , x_{j-1} and x_j .

But, according to Lemma 4.4, x_i and x_j should be adjacent. So, it contradicts our assumption regarding the shortcut.

Case 2.4: If $x_{i-1} \in V(K_n), x_{j-1} \in V(K_m)$, then the following orientation occurs for the induced subgraph of the vertices x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{j-1} and x_j .



Figure 23: induced subgraph of the vertices x_{i-1} , x_i , x_{j-1} and x_j .

But, according to Lemma 4.4, x_i and x_j should be adjacent. So, it contradicts our assumption regarding the shortcut.

As, we can see every x_i and x_j , $\{x_i, x_j\} \subset V(P)$, are adjacent, therefore, P is not a shortcut. So, the orientation S is semi-transitive.

References

- [1] Bas Broere. Word-representable graphs. Master thesis at Radboud University, Nijmegen, 2018.
- [2] Bas Broere and Hans Zantema. The k-dimensional cube is k-representable. Journal of Automata, Languages and Combinatorics, 24(1):3-12, 2019.
- [3] Herman ZQ Chen, Sergey Kitaev, and Akira Saito. Representing split graphs by words. Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory, 42(4):1263, 2020.
- [4] Herman ZQ Chen, Sergey Kitaev, and Brian Y Sun. Word-representability of face subdivisions of triangular grid graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 32:1749–1761, 2016.
- [5] Paul C Gilmore and Alan J Hoffman. A characterization of comparability graphs and of interval graphs. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 16:539–548, 1964.
- [6] Marc Glen, Sergey Kitaev, and Artem Pyatkin. On the representation number of a crown graph. Discrete Applied Mathematics, 244:89–93, 2018.
- [7] Magnús M Halldórsson, Sergey Kitaev, and Artem Pyatkin. Alternation graphs. In Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science: 37th International Workshop, WG 2011, Teplá Monastery, Czech Republic, June 21-24, 2011. Revised Papers 37, pages 191–202. Springer, 2011.
- [8] Magnús M Halldórsson, Sergey Kitaev, and Artem Pyatkin. Semi-transitive orientations and wordrepresentable graphs. Discrete Applied Mathematics, 201:164–171, 2016.
- [9] Kittitat Iamthong. Word-representability of split graphs generated by morphisms. Discrete Applied Mathematics, 314:284–303, 2022.
- [10] Sergey Kitaev. A comprehensive introduction to the theory of word-representable graphs. In International Conference on Developments in Language Theory, pages 36–67. Springer, 2017.
- Sergey Kitaev, Yangjing Long, Jun Ma, and Hehui Wu. Word-representability of split graphs. Journal of Combinatorics, 12(4):725–746, 2021.
- [12] Sergey Kitaev and Vadim Lozin. Words and graphs. Springer, 2015.
- [13] Sergey Kitaev and Artem Pyatkin. On representable graphs. Journal of automata, languages and combinatorics, 13(1):45–54, 2008.
- [14] Sergey Kitaev, Pavel Salimov, Christopher Severs, and Henning Úlfarsson. On the representability of line graphs. In Developments in Language Theory: 15th International Conference, DLT 2011, Milan, Italy, July 19-22, 2011. Proceedings 15, pages 478–479. Springer, 2011.
- [15] Sergey Kitaev and Steve Seif. Word problem of the perkins semigroup via directed acyclic graphs. Order, 25(3):177–194, 2008.
- [16] Sergey Kitaev and Haoran Sun. Human-verifiable proofs in the theory of word-representable graphs. *RAIRO-Theoretical Informatics and Applications*, 58:9, 2024.
- [17] William T Trotter. Combinatorics and partially ordered sets. Johns Hopkins University Press, 1992.