

# Lossless data compression at pragmatic rates

Andreas Theodorou\*

Ioannis Kontoyiannis†

January 20, 2025

## Abstract

The problem of variable-rate lossless data compression is considered, for codes with and without prefix constraints. Sharp bounds are derived for the best achievable compression rate of memoryless sources, when the excess-rate probability is required to be exponentially small in the blocklength. Accurate nonasymptotic expansions with explicit constants are obtained for the optimal rate, using tools from large deviations and Gaussian approximation. Examples are shown indicating that, in the small excess-rate-probability regime, the approximation to the fundamental limit of the compression rate suggested by these bounds is significantly more accurate than the approximations provided by either normal approximation or error exponents. The new bounds reinforce the crucial operational conclusion that, in applications where the blocklength is relatively short and where stringent guarantees are required on the rate, the best achievable rate is no longer close to the entropy. Rather, it is an appropriate, more *pragmatic* rate, determined via the inverse error exponent function and the blocklength.

**Keywords** — Data compression, memoryless source, error exponent, normal approximation, large deviations, pragmatic rates

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Variable-rate data compression

Let  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_n ; n \geq 1\}$  be a memoryless source consisting of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables  $X_n$ , with values in a finite alphabet  $A$ , and with common distribution described by the probability mass function (p.m.f.)  $P: \mathbb{P}(X_n = x) = P(x)$ ,  $x \in A$ .

We revisit the problem of losslessly compressing the output of such a memoryless source. The problem formulation is simple and quite elementary, though its importance can hardly be overstated, in view of its application across the sciences and engineering.

For strings of symbols from  $A$ , we write  $x_1^n$  for the block  $x_1^n = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , and similarly, for blocks of random variables,  $X_1^n$  denotes  $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ . A variable-rate code, or *fixed-to-variable compressor*, for strings of length  $n$  from  $A$  is an injective function  $f_n : A^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$ , where  $\{0, 1\}^*$  denotes the set of all finite-length binary strings:

$$\{0, 1\}^* = \{\emptyset, 0, 1, 00, 01, 10, 11, 000, 001, \dots\}.$$

---

\*Statistical Laboratory, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WB, UK. Email: [at771@cam.ac.uk](mailto:at771@cam.ac.uk).

†Statistical Laboratory, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WB, UK. Email: [yiannis@maths.cam.ac.uk](mailto:yiannis@maths.cam.ac.uk).

Writing  $\ell(s)$  for the length of a string  $s \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , the compressor  $f_n$  maps strings  $x_1^n \in A^n$  into binary strings  $f_n(x_1^n)$  of length  $\ell(f_n(x_1^n))$  bits.

We are interested in the best achievable performance among all fixed-to-variable compressors. For a blocklength  $n \geq 1$  and rate  $R > 0$ , the best achievable excess-rate probability  $\epsilon_n^*(R)$ , is:

$$\epsilon_n^*(R) := \min_{f_n} \mathbb{P}(\ell(f_n(X_1^n)) \geq nR).$$

This minimum is achieved by an optimal compressor,  $f_n^*$ , which is independent of the rate  $R$  [14]. Similarly, for a blocklength  $n \geq 1$  and excess-rate probability  $\epsilon > 0$ , the best achievable rate  $R_n^*(\epsilon)$ , is:

$$R_n^*(\epsilon) := \inf \{ R > 0 : \min_{f_n} \mathbb{P}(\ell(f_n(X_1^n)) \geq nR) \leq \epsilon \}.$$

**Prefix-free codes.** In the case of prefix-free codes, analogous fundamental limits,  $\epsilon_n^p(R)$  and  $R_n^p(\epsilon)$ , can be defined, by restricting the corresponding minimisations to codes that satisfy the prefix condition. But  $R_n^p(\epsilon)$  is very tightly coupled with  $R_n^*(\epsilon)$ : As shown in [14, Theorem 1], we always have  $R_n^p(\epsilon) = R_n^*(\epsilon) + \frac{1}{n}$ .

## 1.2 Background

When the excess-rate probability,  $\epsilon$ , is fixed, the problem of determining the first-order asymptotic behaviour of the optimal rate  $R_n^*(\epsilon)$  essentially goes back to Shannon. We have,

$$nR_n^*(\epsilon) = nH(P) + o(n) \text{ bits, as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1)$$

where  $H(P) = -\sum_x P(x) \log P(x)$  as usual denotes the entropy, and, throughout, ‘log’ denotes  $\log_2$ , the logarithm taken to base 2; see [14] for an extensive discussion and historical comments.

The first-order relation (1) was refined by Strassen [23] who claimed that, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$nR_n^*(\epsilon) = nH(P) + \sqrt{n}\sigma(P)Q^{-1}(\epsilon) - \frac{1}{2} \log n + O(1) \text{ bits,} \quad (2)$$

where  $\sigma^2(P) = \text{Var}_P(-\log P(X))$  is the *minimal coding variance* [13] or *source dispersion* [14], and  $Q(x) = \int_x^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-z^2/2} dz$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , denotes the standard normal tail function. Although some issues of rigour were raised in [14] regarding Strassen’s proof, an even stronger version of (2) was established in [14], where explicit, finite- $n$  bounds were obtained for the  $O(1)$  term.

When the excess-rate probability is not fixed but is instead required to decay to zero exponentially fast, the best rate that can be achieved turns out to be higher than the entropy. Specifically, for  $\delta > 0$  in an appropriate range, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$nR_n^*(2^{-n\delta}) = nH(P_{\alpha^*}) + o(n) \text{ bits,} \quad (3)$$

for a specific  $\alpha^* \in (0, 1)$  depending on  $\delta$ , where, for each  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $P_\alpha$  denotes the tilted distribution,

$$P_\alpha(x) = \frac{P(x)^\alpha}{\sum_{y \in A} P(y)^\alpha}, \quad x \in A.$$

The first-order expansion (3) is simply the ‘rate version’ of the well-known error-exponents result that was established in the early works [9, 12] and in the present form by Blahut [4]; see the text [7] for a standard exposition.

### 1.3 Compression at pragmatic rates

The main contribution of the present work is a nonasymptotic refinement of the evaluation of the fundamental compression limit  $R_n^*$ , in the small excess-rate probability regime. In the same sense in which Strassen's expansion (2) and the finite- $n$  bounds of [14] strengthen the classical Shannon asymptotic relation (1), we show it is possible to derive *finite- $n$*  bounds that provide a correspondingly finer and stronger version of the first-order asymptotic expansion (3) in the small excess-rate probability regime.

In Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 of Section 3 we provide explicit constants  $C$  and  $C'$  such that,

$$C' \leq nR_n^*(2^{-n\delta}) - \left[ nH(P_{\alpha^*}) - \frac{1}{2(1-\alpha^*)} \log n \right] \leq C, \quad (4)$$

for all  $n$  greater than some explicit  $N_0$ . In view of this, we may call the expression,

$$\mathcal{R}_n(\epsilon) := H(P_{\alpha^*}) - \frac{1}{2n(1-\alpha^*)} \log n \text{ bits/symbol},$$

the best *pragmatic rate* that can be achieved at blocklength  $n$  with excess-rate probability no greater than  $\epsilon = 2^{-n\delta}$ .

On account of the remark at the end of Section 1.1, the exact same results as in (4) hold in the case of codes with prefix constraints – that is, for  $R_n^p$  in place of  $R_n^*$  – with the same constant  $C'$ , and with  $C + 1$  in place of  $C$ .

Despite their technical nature, the approximations to  $R_n^*$  and  $R_n^p$  provided by all these different approaches are of as much practical relevance as they are of mathematical interest. In particular, they each are useful in different regimes of the blocklength and the excess-rate probability requirements. For example, the Strassen-style approximation suggested by (2) is only relevant for moderate values of  $\epsilon$ . If  $\epsilon$  is small, then the second term dominates and the approximation is no longer valid or useful.

In that case, the operational utility of (4) can be described as follows. Given the blocklength  $n$  and a small target excess-rate probability  $\epsilon$ , we can compute  $\delta = (1/n) \log(1/\epsilon)$  and solve for the corresponding  $\alpha^*$ . Then the best achievable rate with these parameters is approximately  $\mathcal{R}_n(\epsilon)$  bits/symbol. As a simple, concrete example, consider the case of binary memoryless source  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_n\}$  where each  $X_n$  has p.m.f.  $P \sim \text{Bern}(0.2)$ . We examine the four approximations to  $R_n^*(\epsilon)$  suggested by the above results for various small values of  $\epsilon$ . In the ‘Shannon regime’,

$$\text{Shannon:} \quad R_n^* \approx H(P), \quad (5)$$

$$\text{Strassen:} \quad R_n^* \approx H(P) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sigma(X) Q^{-1}(\epsilon) - \frac{1}{2n} \log n, \quad (6)$$

and in the ‘error exponents regime’,

$$\text{Blahut:} \quad R_n^* \approx H(P_{\alpha^*}) \quad (7)$$

$$\text{Thms. 3.1-3.2:} \quad R_n^* \approx \mathcal{R}_n = H(P_{\alpha^*}) - \frac{1}{2n(1-\alpha^*)} \log n. \quad (8)$$

Table 1 shows representative results when the blocklength  $n = 50$ , which clearly demonstrate that the pragmatic rate  $\mathcal{R}_n(\epsilon)$  provides by far the most accurate estimate of the optimal rate  $R_n^*(\epsilon)$  for the problem parameters considered.

$\epsilon$	$R_n^*(\epsilon)$	Shannon (5)	Strassen (6)	Blahut (7)	$\mathcal{R}_n(\epsilon)$ (8)
0.00003	<b>0.940</b>	0.722	1.119	1.000	<b>0.941</b>
0.00010	<b>0.940</b>	0.722	1.086	0.997	<b>0.936</b>
0.00032	<b>0.920</b>	0.722	1.052	0.993	<b>0.928</b>
0.00093	<b>0.900</b>	0.722	1.017	0.987	<b>0.917</b>
0.00251	<b>0.900</b>	0.722	0.983	0.979	<b>0.903</b>
0.00626	<b>0.880</b>	0.722	0.948	0.969	<b>0.888</b>
0.01444	<b>0.840</b>	0.722	0.913	0.957	<b>0.869</b>

Table 1: Comparison between the true value of the optimal rate  $R_n^*(\epsilon)$  and four different approximations. Clearly the approximation suggested by Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, given by the pragmatic rate  $\mathcal{R}_n$ , gives the best results in this regime.

## 1.4 Prior related work

Formally, the problem of lossless data compression is very closely related to binary hypothesis testing, especially in the case of fixed-rate compression. Indeed, there are close hypothesis-testing parallels to all the major data-compression steps outlined in Section 1.2, beginning with Stein’s lemma [5, 16, 6], its refinement by Strassen [23] and Polyanskiy-Poor-Verdú [22], and the error-exponent asymptotics of Hoeffding [11].

For hypothesis testing, sharper expansions in the error-exponents regime have been developed by numerous authors, including the work reported in [8, 1, 2, 25, 19, 20]. The results in this paper can be viewed as lossless compression analogues of the refined error-exponent bounds recently obtained for binary hypothesis testing in [18, 17].

For fixed-rate data compression, Csiszár and Longo [8] obtained an asymptotic expansion for the excess-rate probability, when the target rate is fixed. Our Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 can be viewed as finite- $n$  improvements of the “rate version” of these expansions, in the variable-rate case. Also, in a related but different direction, the best achievable rate in the “moderate deviations” regime was examined in [3].

Finally, we mention that extensive discussions of different aspects of the fundamental limits of lossless data compression can be found in Csiszár and Körner’s classic text, [7], Han’s book on information spectrum methods [10], and Tan’s monograph [24].

## 2 Preliminaries and auxiliary results

Throughout,  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_n\}$  denotes a memoryless source with distribution  $P$  that has full support on a finite alphabet  $A$ . For  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , the tilted distribution  $P_\alpha$  is given by,

$$P_\alpha(x) = \frac{P(x)^\alpha}{Z_\alpha} \quad x \in A, \quad (9)$$

where the normalising constant  $Z_\alpha = \sum_{x \in A} P(x)^\alpha$ . The standard normal cumulative distribution function is denoted by  $\Phi$ , and the relative entropy between two p.m.f.s  $P, Q$  on the same finite alphabet  $A$  is  $D(P\|Q) = \sum_{x \in A} P(x) \log[P(x)/Q(x)]$ . All logarithms are taken to base 2.

### 2.1 Normal approximation, compression and hypothesis testing

Here we collect some classical normal approximation inequalities, a simple one-shot converse bound for data compression and some useful nonasymptotic results for binary hypothesis testing, all of which will be employed in the proofs of our main results in Section 3.

First, we recall the classical Berry-Esséen bound [15, 21] for sums of i.i.d. random variables.

**Theorem 2.1 (Berry-Esséen bound)** *Let  $Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n$  be i.i.d. random variables with mean  $\mu = \mathbb{E}(Z_1)$ , variance  $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(Z_1)$  and  $\rho = \mathbb{E}(|Z_1 - \mu|^3) < \infty$ . Then:*

$$\left| \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i - \mu\right) \leq x\right) - \Phi(x) \right| \leq \frac{\rho}{2\sigma^3\sqrt{n}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

The following is a simple corollary of Theorem 2.1, cf. [22, 18, 17].

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n$  be as in Theorem 2.1. Then,*

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i\right)\mathbb{I}_{\{\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i \geq x\}}\right\} \leq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{\rho}{\sigma^2}\right)\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}e^{-x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

The following one-shot converse bound for data compression was established in [14].

**Theorem 2.3 (One-shot converse)** *Let  $f^*$  be the optimal compressor for a finite-valued random variable  $X$ . Then, for any  $k > 0$  and  $\tau > 0$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}[\ell(f^*(X)) \geq k - 1] > \mathbb{P}[-\log P(X) \geq k + \tau] - 2^{-\tau}.$$

The next two results were originally developed in the context of binary hypothesis testing. Lemma 2.4 [8] can be viewed as a “change of measure” result for hypothesis testing, and Theorem 2.5 [18, 17, 22] is a strong, finite- $n$  converse bound for hypothesis testing.

**Lemma 2.4 (Change of measure)** *Let  $P, Q$  be two p.m.f.s with full support on  $A$ , and let  $S_n$  be an arbitrary subset of  $A^n$ , for some  $n \geq 1$ . If,*

$$\frac{P^n(x_1^n)}{Q^n(x_1^n)} \geq \max_{y_1^n \in S_n} \frac{P^n(y_1^n)}{Q^n(y_1^n)} \quad \text{for all } x_1^n \notin S_n,$$

then,

$$P^n(S_n) = \min_{S \subset A^n: Q^n(S^c) \leq Q^n(S_n)} P^n(S).$$

**Theorem 2.5 (Hypothesis testing converse)** *Let  $P \neq Q$  be p.m.f.s with full support on  $A$ , and for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , let,*

$$e_1^*(\epsilon) = \min_{S \subset A^n: Q^n(S^c) \leq \epsilon} P^n(S).$$

Then, for any  $\Delta > 0$  such that  $\epsilon + \frac{B+\Delta}{\sqrt{n}} < 1$ ,

$$\log e_1^*(\epsilon) \geq -nD(Q\|P) - \sigma\sqrt{n}\Phi^{-1}\left(\epsilon + \frac{B+\Delta}{\sqrt{n}}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\log n + \log \Delta,$$

where  $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}_Q(\log \frac{Q(X)}{P(X)})$ ,  $\rho = \mathbb{E}_Q|\log \frac{Q(X)}{P(X)} - \mathbb{E}_Q(\log \frac{Q(X)}{P(X)})|^3$  and  $B = \frac{\rho}{2\sigma^3}$ .

## 2.2 Moments and derivatives identities

We close with some simple technical lemmas, stated without proof. Let  $X \sim P$  where  $P$  has full support on  $A$ , and recall the definition of  $P_\alpha$  in (9). The proofs of Lemmas 2.6–2.9 follow by direct computation; see for example, [8] and [18, 17].

**Lemma 2.6** *Define the tilted second and third moments as:*

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{2,\alpha}^2 &= \text{Var}_{P_\alpha} \left( \log_e \frac{P_\alpha(X)}{P(X)} \right), \\ \rho_{2,\alpha} &= \mathbb{E}_{P_\alpha} \left| \log_e \frac{P_\alpha(X)}{P(X)} - \mathbb{E}_{P_\alpha} \left( \log_e \frac{P_\alpha(X)}{P(X)} \right) \right|^3, \\ \sigma_{3,\alpha}^2 &= \text{Var}_{P_\alpha} (\log_e P(X)), \\ \rho_{3,\alpha} &= \mathbb{E}_{P_\alpha} |\log_e P(X) - \mathbb{E}_{P_\alpha} (\log_e P(X))|^3.\end{aligned}$$

Then, for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ :  $\sigma_{2,\alpha}^2 = (1 - \alpha)^2 \sigma_{3,\alpha}^2$  and  $\rho_{2,\alpha} = (1 - \alpha)^3 \rho_{3,\alpha}$ .

**Lemma 2.7** *For all  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  we have the following expressions for the derivatives of  $D(P_\alpha \| P)$  and  $\sigma_{3,\alpha}^2$ :*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dD(P_\alpha \| P)}{d\alpha} &= (\alpha - 1) \sigma_{3,\alpha}^2 (\log e), \\ \frac{d^2 D(P_\alpha \| P)}{d\alpha^2} &= (\log e) \sigma_{3,\alpha}^2 + (\log e) (\alpha - 1) \frac{d\sigma_{3,\alpha}^2}{d\alpha}, \\ \frac{d\sigma_{3,\alpha}^2}{d\alpha} &= \mathbb{E}_{P_\alpha} \left[ \left( \log_e P(X) - \mathbb{E}_{P_\alpha} [\log_e P(X)] \right)^3 \right].\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.8**  *$D(P_\alpha \| P)$  is a continuous, strictly decreasing function of  $\alpha$ , for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .*

**Lemma 2.9** *For all  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  we have the following expressions for the derivatives of  $H(P_\alpha)$ :*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dH(P_\alpha)}{d\alpha} &= -(\log e) \alpha \sigma_{3,\alpha}^2, \\ \frac{d^2 H(P_\alpha)}{d\alpha^2} &= -(\log e) \left[ \sigma_{3,\alpha}^2 + \alpha \frac{d\sigma_{3,\alpha}^2}{d\alpha} \right].\end{aligned}$$

## 3 Main results

We will now proceed with the main results of this paper, namely the development of non-asymptotic achievability and converse bounds on the optimal compression rate,  $R_n^*(2^{-n\delta})$ , when the excess-rate probability decays exponentially fast.

### 3.1 Achievability

**Theorem 3.1 (Achievability)** *Consider a memoryless source  $\mathbf{X}$  with p.m.f.  $P$  with full support on  $A$ . Let  $\delta \in (0, D(U \| P))$ , where  $U$  is the uniform distribution over  $A$ . Then, for any  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$R_n^*(2^{-n\delta}) \leq H(P_{\alpha^*}) - \frac{1}{2(1 - \alpha^*)} \frac{\log n}{n} + \frac{c}{n}, \quad (10)$$

where  $P_\alpha$  is defined in (9),  $\alpha^*$  is the unique  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  for which  $\delta = D(P_{\alpha^*} \| P)$ , and,

$$c = \log \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{\rho_1}{\sigma_1^2} \right) \right) + \frac{\alpha^*}{1 - \alpha^*} \log \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{\rho_2}{\sigma_2^2} \right) \right),$$

where  $\sigma_1^2 = \text{Var}_{P_{\alpha^*}}(\log_e P_{\alpha^*}(X))$ ,  $\rho_1 = \mathbb{E}_{P_{\alpha^*}} |\log_e P_{\alpha^*}(X) - \mathbb{E}_{P_{\alpha^*}}(\log_e P_{\alpha^*}(X))|^3$ ,  $\sigma_2^2 = \sigma_{2,\alpha^*}^2$  and  $\rho_2 = \rho_{2,\alpha^*}$ , with  $\sigma_{2,\alpha}^2$  and  $\rho_{2,\alpha}$  defined as in Lemma 2.6.

**Remark.** As noted in the introduction, the exact bound (10) remains valid in the case of codes with prefix constraints – i.e., for  $R_n^p$  in place of  $R_n^*$  – with  $c + 1$  in place of  $c$ .

PROOF. The proof is based on an explicit construction of a compressor  $C_n$ . To that end, let  $0 < \beta_n < 1$  be a constant that will be chosen later, and define the set,

$$E_n = \{x_1^n \in A^n : \log P^n(x_1^n) \geq \log \beta_n\}. \quad (11)$$

Write  $M_X(\beta_n) = |E_n|$  for the cardinality of  $E_n$ , and suppose that,

$$P^n(E_n^c) \leq 2^{-n\delta}. \quad (12)$$

To obtain the desired compressor on  $A^n$ , first we order the strings  $x_1^n \in E_n$  in order of decreasing probability  $P^n(x_1^n)$ , breaking ties arbitrarily, and define  $C_n$  via:

$$\ell(C_n(x_1^n)) = \begin{cases} \lfloor \log k \rfloor & \text{if } x_1^n \text{ is the } k^{\text{th}} \text{ element of } E_n, \\ \lfloor \log |A|^n \rfloor & \text{if } x_1^n \notin E_n. \end{cases}$$

Note that the longest codeword  $C_n(x_1^n)$  among all strings in  $E_n$  has length  $\lfloor \log M_X(\beta_n) \rfloor$ . Therefore, for any fixed  $\xi \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}[\ell(C_n(X_1^n)) \geq \lfloor \log M_X(\beta_n) \rfloor + \xi] \leq P^n(E_n^c) \leq 2^{-n\delta}.$$

Hence, by definition,  $nR_n^*(2^{-n\delta}) \leq \log M_X(\beta_n) + \xi$ , and since  $\xi \in (0, 1)$  was arbitrary,

$$R_n^*(2^{-n\delta}) \leq \frac{\log M_X(\beta_n)}{n}.$$

The remainder of the proof is devoted to showing that there is an appropriate  $\beta_n$  such that (12) holds, and evaluating the rate  $(1/n) \log M_X(\beta_n)$  for this choice.

Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  arbitrary. We begin by observing that we can express,

$$\begin{aligned} M_X(\beta_n) &= \sum_{x_1^n \in E_n} \frac{P_\alpha^n(x_1^n)}{P^n(x_1^n)} \\ &= \sum_{x_1^n \in A^n} P_\alpha^n(x_1^n) \exp(-\log_e P^n(x_1^n)) \mathbb{I}_{E_n}(x_1^n) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{P_\alpha^n} \left[ \exp \left( - \sum_{i=1}^n \log_e P_\alpha(X_i) \right) \mathbb{I} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \log_e P(X_i) \geq \log_e \beta_n \right\} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We can now apply the version of the Berry-Esséen bound in Lemma 2.2, with  $Z_i = \log_e P_\alpha(X_i)$  and  $x = \alpha \log_e \beta_n - n \log_e Z_\alpha$ , to obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned}
M_X(\beta_n) &= \mathbb{E}_{P_\alpha^n} \left[ \exp \left( - \sum_{i=1}^n \log_e P_\alpha(X_i) \right) \mathbb{I} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \log_e P_\alpha(X_i) \geq \alpha \log_e \beta_n - n \log_e Z_\alpha \right\} \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sigma_{1,\alpha}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{\rho_{1,\alpha}}{\sigma_{1,\alpha}^2} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp(-(\alpha \log_e \beta_n - n \log_e Z_\alpha)) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma_{1,\alpha}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{\rho_{1,\alpha}}{\sigma_{1,\alpha}^2} \right) \frac{Z_\alpha^n}{\beta_n^\alpha \sqrt{n}}, \tag{13}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma_{1,\alpha}^2 = \text{Var}_{P_\alpha}(\log_e P_\alpha(X))$  and  $\rho_{1,\alpha} = \mathbb{E}_{P_\alpha} |\log_e P_\alpha(X) - \mathbb{E}_{P_\alpha}(\log_e P_\alpha(X))|^3$ .

In order to select appropriate values for  $\beta_n$  and  $\alpha$ , we examine  $P^n(E_n^c)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
P^n(E_n^c) &= \sum_{x_1^n \in A^n} P^n(x_1^n) \mathbb{I}_{E_n^c}(x_1^n) \\
&= \sum_{x_1^n \in A^n} P_\alpha^n(x_1^n) \exp \left( \log_e \frac{P^n(x_1^n)}{P_\alpha^n(x_1^n)} \right) \mathbb{I} \{ \log_e P^n(x_1^n) < \log_e \beta_n \} \\
&= \sum_{x_1^n \in A^n} P_\alpha^n(x_1^n) \exp \left( - \sum_{i=1}^n \log_e \frac{P_\alpha(x_i)}{P(x_i)} \right) \mathbb{I} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \log_e P(x_i) < \log_e \beta_n \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Rearranging, we can further express,

$$\begin{aligned}
P^n(E_n^c) &= \sum_{x_1^n \in A^n} P_\alpha^n(x_1^n) \exp \left( - \sum_{i=1}^n \log_e \frac{P_\alpha(x_i)}{P(x_i)} \right) \\
&\quad \mathbb{I} \left\{ n \log_e Z_\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n \log_e P^{1-\alpha}(x_i) < n \log_e Z_\alpha + (1-\alpha) \log_e \beta_n \right\} \\
&= \sum_{x_1^n \in A^n} P_\alpha^n(x_1^n) \exp \left( - \sum_{i=1}^n \log_e \frac{P_\alpha(x_i)}{P(x_i)} \right) \\
&\quad \mathbb{I} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \log_e \frac{P_\alpha(x_i)}{P(x_i)} > -n \log_e Z_\alpha - (1-\alpha) \log_e \beta_n \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

so that,

$$\begin{aligned}
P^n(E_n^c) &= \mathbb{E}_{P_\alpha^n} \left\{ \exp \left( - \sum_{i=1}^n \log_e \frac{P_\alpha(X_i)}{P(X_i)} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \mathbb{I} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \log_e \frac{P_\alpha(X_i)}{P(X_i)} > -n \log_e Z_\alpha - (1-\alpha) \log_e \beta_n \right\} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 again, with  $Z_i = \log_e [P_\alpha(X_i)/P(X_i)]$  and  $x = -n \log_e Z_\alpha - (1-\alpha) \log_e \beta_n$ , yields,

$$\begin{aligned}
P^n(E_n^c) &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma_{2,\alpha}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{\rho_{2,\alpha}}{\sigma_{2,\alpha}^2} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp \left( n \log_e Z_\alpha + (1-\alpha) \log_e \beta_n \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma_{2,\alpha}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{\rho_{2,\alpha}}{\sigma_{2,\alpha}^2} \right) \frac{Z_\alpha^n \beta_n^{1-\alpha}}{\sqrt{n}}. \tag{14}
\end{aligned}$$



Now, setting,

$$\log \beta_n = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left( -n\delta + \log \left( \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\sigma_{2,\alpha}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{\rho_{2,\alpha}}{\sigma_{2,\alpha}^2} \right) \frac{Z_\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n}}} \right) \right),$$

immediately yields (12). Note that,  $\beta_n > 0$  by (14), while (11) and (12) imply  $\beta_n < 1$ .

As a result, the upper bound for  $M_X(\beta_n)$  in (13) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \log M_X(\beta_n) &\leq \log \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_{1,\alpha}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{\rho_{1,\alpha}}{\sigma_{1,\alpha}^2} \right) \frac{Z_\alpha^n}{\beta_n^\alpha \sqrt{n}} \right) \\ &= \log \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_{1,\alpha}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{\rho_{1,\alpha}}{\sigma_{1,\alpha}^2} \right) \right) + n \log Z_\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \log n \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha n \delta}{1-\alpha} - \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \log \left( \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\sigma_{2,\alpha}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{\rho_{2,\alpha}}{\sigma_{2,\alpha}^2} \right) \frac{Z_\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n}}} \right) \\ &= \frac{n}{1-\alpha} (\alpha \delta + \log Z_\alpha) - \frac{1}{2(1-\alpha)} \log n \\ &\quad + \log \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_{1,\alpha}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{\rho_{1,\alpha}}{\sigma_{1,\alpha}^2} \right) \right) + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \log \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_{2,\alpha}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{\rho_{2,\alpha}}{\sigma_{2,\alpha}^2} \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

We finally need to select  $\alpha$ . Since  $D(P_\alpha \| P)$  is continuous and strictly decreasing in  $\alpha$  (Lemma 2.8), and since  $\delta \in (D(P_1 \| P), D(P_0 \| P)) = (0, D(U \| P))$ , there exists a unique  $\alpha^* \in (0, 1)$  for which  $\delta = D(P_{\alpha^*} \| P)$ . For this value of  $\alpha$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^* \delta + \log Z_{\alpha^*} &= \alpha^* \sum_{x \in A} P_{\alpha^*}(x) \log \frac{P_{\alpha^*}(x)}{P(x)} + \sum_{x \in A} P_{\alpha^*}(x) \log \frac{P_{\alpha^*}(x) Z_{\alpha^*}}{P_{\alpha^*}(x)} \\ &= \sum_{x \in A} P_{\alpha^*}(x) \log \frac{P_{\alpha^*}(x)^{\alpha^*}}{P(x)^{\alpha^*}} + \sum_{x \in A} P_{\alpha^*}(x) \log \frac{P(x)^{\alpha^*}}{P_{\alpha^*}(x)} \\ &= \sum_{x \in A} P_{\alpha^*}(x) \log \left( P_{\alpha^*}(x)^{(\alpha^*-1)} \right) \\ &= (1 - \alpha^*) H(P_{\alpha^*}). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this in (15) yields (10), as required.  $\square$

### 3.2 Converse

**Theorem 3.2 (Converse)** *Consider a memoryless source  $\mathbf{X}$  with p.m.f.  $P$  with full support on  $A$ . Let  $\delta \in (0, D(U \| P))$ , where  $U$  is the uniform distribution over  $A$ . Then for any  $n > N_0$ ,*

$$R_n^*(2^{-n\delta}) \geq H(P_{\alpha^*}) - \frac{1}{2(1-\alpha^*)} \frac{\log n}{n} - \frac{C}{n}, \quad (16)$$

where  $P_{\alpha^*}$  is as defined in (9),  $\alpha^*$  is the unique  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  for which  $\delta = D(P_{\alpha^*} \| P)$ , and,

$$\begin{aligned} N_0 &= \max \left\{ 4.4 \left( \frac{\hat{\rho}_3}{\hat{\sigma}_3^3} + 1 \right)^2, \frac{4(1+q+r)^2}{p^2}, \frac{2(1+q+r)}{p(1-\alpha^*)}, N_1, N_2 \right\}, \\ C &= \frac{1+q+r}{1-\alpha^*} + \frac{\log e}{2} \left| \hat{\sigma}_3^2 - (1-\alpha^*) \hat{\rho}_3 \right| + \frac{\log e}{2} (\tilde{\sigma}_3^2 + \hat{\rho}_3) + 1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma_{3,\alpha}$  and  $\rho_{3,\alpha}$  are as defined in Lemma 2.6, and the remaining constants are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_3^2 &= \sigma_{3,\alpha^*}^2, \quad \hat{\sigma}_3^2 = \inf_{\alpha \in (0,1)} \sigma_{3,\alpha}^2, \quad \tilde{\sigma}_3^2 = \sup_{\alpha \in (0,1)} \sigma_{3,\alpha}^2, \quad \hat{\rho}_3 = \sup_{\alpha \in (0,1)} \rho_{3,\alpha}, \\ p &= (\log e)(1 - \alpha^*)\sigma_3^2, \quad q = \frac{\log e}{2}(\tilde{\sigma}_3^2 + \hat{\rho}_3), \quad r = 19(\log e)(1 - \alpha^*)\left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_3}{\hat{\sigma}_3^3} + 1\right)\sqrt{\sigma_3^2 + \hat{\rho}_3}, \\ N_1 &= \min\{n \geq 8 : \log n \leq p\sqrt{n}\}, \quad N_2 = \min\{n \geq 3 : \log n \leq p(1 - \alpha^*)n\}.\end{aligned}$$

**Remark.** Again, in view of the remark at the end of Section 1.1, the bound (16) remains valid for prefix free codes exactly as stated.

PROOF. Taking  $k = nR$ , for some  $R > 0$ , letting  $\tau = n\delta$ , and replacing  $X$  with  $X_1^n$  (and  $f^*$  with  $f_n^*$ ) in the one-shot converse in Theorem 2.3, yields:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\ell(f_n^*(X_1^n)) \geq n\left(R - \frac{1}{n}\right)\right] > \mathbb{P}[-\log P^n(X_1^n) \geq n(R + \delta)] - 2^{-n\delta}.$$

Therefore, if we can find a rate  $R$  for which,

$$\mathbb{P}[\log P^n(X_1^n) \leq -n(R + \delta)] \geq 2 \times 2^{-n\delta},$$

this would imply that  $\mathbb{P}[\ell(f_n^*(X_1^n)) \geq n(R - 1/n)] > 2^{-n\delta}$ , which would in turn give,

$$R_n^*(2^{-n\delta}) \geq R - \frac{1}{n}. \quad (17)$$

Writing,

$$S_n = \{x_1^n \in A^n : \log P^n(x_1^n) \leq -n(R + \delta)\},$$

our objective then is to find an appropriate rate  $R$  for which,

$$P^n(S_n) \geq 2 \times 2^{-n\delta}. \quad (18)$$

Much of the remainder of the proof will be devoted to establishing (18).

First we will use Lemma 2.4 to express  $P^n(S_n)$  in terms of  $P_{\alpha_n}^n(S_n^c)$  for some  $\alpha_n \in (0, 1)$  that will be chosen later. Indeed, since,

$$\begin{aligned}S_n &= \left\{x_1^n \in A^n : \sum_{i=1}^n \log P^{1-\alpha_n}(x_i) \leq -(1 - \alpha_n)n(R + \delta)\right\} \\ &= \left\{x_1^n \in A^n : \log \frac{P^n(x_1^n)}{P_{\alpha_n}^n(x_1^n)} \leq -(1 - \alpha_n)n(R + \delta) + n \log Z_{\alpha_n}\right\},\end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

we have that,

$$\frac{P^n(x_1^n)}{P_{\alpha_n}^n(x_1^n)} \geq \max_{x_1^n \in S_n} \frac{P^n(x_1^n)}{P_{\alpha_n}^n(x_1^n)} \quad \text{for all } x_1^n \notin S_n,$$

so we can apply Lemma 2.4 to obtain that,

$$P^n(S_n) = \min_{S \subset A^n: P_{\alpha_n}^n(S^c) \leq P_{\alpha_n}^n(S_n^c)} P^n(S). \quad (20)$$

The next step will be an application of the Berry-Esséen bound to obtain an upper bound on  $P_{\alpha_n}^n(S_n^c)$ . From (19) we get,

$$P_{\alpha_n}^n(S_n^c) = P_{\alpha_n}^n\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \log_e \frac{P_{\alpha_n}(x_i)}{P(x_i)} < \frac{(1 - \alpha_n)n(R + \delta) - n \log Z_{\alpha_n}}{\log e}\right). \quad (21)$$

Choosing  $R$  as,

$$R = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_n} \left[ \mathbb{E}_{P_{\alpha_n}} \left( \log \frac{P_{\alpha_n}(X)}{P(X)} \right) + \log Z_{\alpha_n} \right] - \delta, \quad (22)$$

we have,

$$\frac{(1 - \alpha_n)n(R + \delta) - n \log Z_{\alpha_n}}{\log e} = n \mathbb{E}_{P_{\alpha_n}} \left( \log_e \frac{P_{\alpha_n}(X)}{P(X)} \right),$$

and the Berry-Esséen in Theorem 2.1 applied to (21) yields,

$$P_{\alpha_n}^n(S_n^c) = P_{\alpha_n}^n \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \log_e \frac{P_{\alpha_n}(x_i)}{P(x_i)} < n \mathbb{E}_{P_{\alpha_n}} \left( \log_e \frac{P_{\alpha_n}(X)}{P(X)} \right) \right) \leq \Phi(0) + \frac{\rho_{2,\alpha_n}}{2\sigma_{2,\alpha_n}^3 \sqrt{n}}.$$

Using Lemma 2.6, the bound becomes,

$$P_{\alpha_n}^n(S_n^c) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\frac{\rho_{2,\alpha_n}}{(1-\alpha_n)^3}}{\frac{2\sigma_{2,\alpha_n}^3 \sqrt{n}}{(1-\alpha_n)^3}} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\rho_{3,\alpha_n}}{2\sigma_{3,\alpha_n}^3 \sqrt{n}} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\hat{\rho}_3}{2\hat{\sigma}_3^3 \sqrt{n}}, \quad (23)$$

where  $\sigma_{3,\alpha_n}$  and  $\rho_{3,\alpha_n}$  are as in Lemma 2.6,  $\hat{\sigma}_3^2 := \inf_{\alpha \in (0,1)} \sigma_{3,\alpha}^2$  and  $\hat{\rho}_3 := \sup_{\alpha \in (0,1)} \rho_{3,\alpha}$ .

Using this bound, from (20) we get,

$$P^n(S_n) \geq \min_{S \subset A^n: P_{\alpha_n}^n(S^c) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\hat{\rho}_3}{2\hat{\sigma}_3^3 \sqrt{n}}} P^n(S).$$

Then, using the hypothesis testing converse in Theorem 2.5, with  $P_{\alpha_n}$  in the place of  $Q$ ,  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\hat{\rho}_3}{2\hat{\sigma}_3^3 \sqrt{n}}$ , and  $\Delta = 1$ , yields,

$$\log P^n(S_n) \geq -nD(P_{\alpha_n} \| P) - \sigma_{2,\alpha_n}(\log e) \sqrt{n} \Phi^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\hat{\rho}_3}{2\hat{\sigma}_3^3 \sqrt{n}} + \frac{\rho_{2,\alpha_n}}{2\sigma_{2,\alpha_n}^3 \sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \log n, \quad (24)$$

$$\geq -nD(P_{\alpha_n} \| P) - \sigma_{2,\alpha_n}(\log e) \sqrt{n} \Phi^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\hat{\rho}_3}{\hat{\sigma}_3^3 \sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \log n, \quad (25)$$

as long as  $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\hat{\rho}_3}{2\hat{\sigma}_3^3 \sqrt{n}} + \frac{\rho_{2,\alpha_n}}{2\sigma_{2,\alpha_n}^3 \sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} < 1$ , i.e., for  $n > 4 \left( \frac{\hat{\rho}_3}{2\hat{\sigma}_3^3} + \frac{\rho_{2,\alpha_n}}{2\sigma_{2,\alpha_n}^3} + 1 \right)^2$ . Note that  $\frac{\hat{\rho}_3}{2\hat{\sigma}_3^3} \geq \frac{\rho_{2,\alpha_n}}{2\sigma_{2,\alpha_n}^3}$  by (23), which justifies (25) and also means that (24) still holds when  $n > 4 \left( \frac{\hat{\rho}_3}{\hat{\sigma}_3^3} + 1 \right)^2$ .

Recall that the existence of a unique  $\alpha^*$  as in the statement of the theorem is already established in Theorem 3.1. In order to bound  $\log P^n(S_n)$  below further, we will use simple Taylor expansions to get bounds on  $D(P_{\alpha_n} \| P)$ ,  $\sigma_{2,\alpha_n}$  and the  $\Phi^{-1}$  term in (25). First we expand  $D(P_{\alpha_n} \| P)$  around  $\alpha^*$ . Using the expressions for the derivatives of  $D(P_\alpha \| P)$  in Lemma 2.7, gives,

$$\begin{aligned} D(P_{\alpha_n} \| P) &= D(P_{\alpha^*} \| P) + (\alpha_n - \alpha^*)(\alpha^* - 1)\sigma_3^2(\log e) \\ &\quad + \frac{\log e}{2}(\alpha_n - \alpha^*)^2 \left( \sigma_{3,u}^2 + (u-1)\mathbb{E}_{P_u} \left[ \left( \log_e P(X) - \mathbb{E}_{P_u}(\log_e P(X)) \right)^3 \right] \right), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

for some  $u \in [\alpha^*, \alpha_n]$ , assuming  $\alpha^* \leq \alpha_n$ , and where  $\sigma_3 = \sigma_{3,\alpha^*}$ . Letting  $\tilde{\sigma}_3^2 = \sup_{\alpha \in (0,1)} \sigma_{3,\alpha}^2$ , we have  $\sigma_{3,u}^2 \leq \tilde{\sigma}_3^2$ , and we observe that  $|u - 1| \leq 1$  and that,

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_{P_u} \left[ \left( \log_e P(X) - \mathbb{E}_{P_u}(\log_e P(X)) \right)^3 \right] \right| \leq \mathbb{E}_{P_u} \left| \log_e P(X) - \mathbb{E}_{P_u}(\log_e P(X)) \right|^3 \leq \hat{\rho}_3.$$

Therefore,

$$D(P_{\alpha_n} \| P) \leq D(P_{\alpha^*} \| P) + (\alpha_n - \alpha^*)(\alpha^* - 1)\sigma_3^2(\log e) + \frac{\log e}{2}(\alpha_n - \alpha^*)^2(\tilde{\sigma}_3^2 + \hat{\rho}_3). \quad (27)$$

Next, since  $\sigma_{2,\alpha_n} = (1 - \alpha_n)\sigma_{3,\alpha_n}$  by Lemma 2.6, we can expand  $\sigma_{3,\alpha_n}$  instead of  $\sigma_{2,\alpha_n}$  around  $\alpha^*$ , yielding,

$$\sigma_{3,\alpha_n}^2 = \sigma_3^2 + (\alpha_n - \alpha^*)\mathbb{E}_{P_w} \left[ \left( \log_e P(X) - \mathbb{E}_{P_w}(\log_e P(X)) \right)^3 \right] \leq \sigma_3^2 + \hat{\rho}_3,$$

for some  $w \in [\alpha^*, \alpha_n]$ , assuming  $\alpha_n - \alpha^* \leq 1$ . Hence,

$$\sigma_{2,\alpha_n} = (1 - \alpha_n)\sigma_{3,\alpha_n} \leq (1 - \alpha^*)\sqrt{\sigma_3^2 + \hat{\rho}_3}. \quad (28)$$

And for the third term, we expand  $\Phi^{-1}$  around  $1/2$  to obtain that,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\hat{\rho}_3}{\hat{\sigma}_3^3\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) &= \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_3}{\hat{\sigma}_3^3\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\frac{1}{\phi(\Phi^{-1}(x))} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_3}{\hat{\sigma}_3^3} + 1\right)\frac{1}{\phi(\Phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\hat{\rho}_3}{\hat{\sigma}_3^3\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}))} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_3}{\hat{\sigma}_3^3} + 1\right)\frac{1}{\phi(2)} \leq \frac{19}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_3}{\hat{\sigma}_3^3} + 1\right), \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

for some  $x \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\hat{\rho}_3}{\hat{\sigma}_3^3\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$ , where (29) holds as long as:

$$n \geq 4.4\left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_3}{\hat{\sigma}_3^3} + 1\right)^2 > \frac{(\frac{\hat{\rho}_3}{\hat{\sigma}_3^3} + 1)^2}{(\Phi(2) - 1/2)^2}.$$

Finally, substituting the bounds (27), (28) and (29) into (25), gives,

$$\begin{aligned} \log P^n(S_n) &\geq -nD(P_{\alpha^*} \| P) - n(\alpha_n - \alpha^*)(\log e)(\alpha^* - 1)\sigma_3^2 - \frac{n \log e}{2}(\alpha_n - \alpha^*)^2(\tilde{\sigma}_3^2 + \hat{\rho}_3) \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha^*)\sqrt{\sigma_3^2 + \hat{\rho}_3} \times (\log e)\sqrt{n} \times \frac{19}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_3}{\hat{\sigma}_3^3} + 1\right) - \frac{1}{2}\log n \\ &\geq -n\delta + pn(\alpha_n - \alpha^*) - qn(\alpha_n - \alpha^*)^2 - r - \frac{1}{2}\log n, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where  $\delta, p, q$  and  $r$  are as in the statement of the theorem.

Now we are in a position to choose  $\alpha_n$ . Letting,

$$\alpha_n = \alpha^* + \frac{1}{2p}\frac{\log n}{n} + \frac{1+q+r}{p}\frac{1}{n}, \quad (31)$$

simple algebra shows that we have,

$$pn(\alpha_n - \alpha^*) - qn(\alpha_n - \alpha^*)^2 - r - \frac{1}{2}\log n \geq 1,$$

as long as  $n$  is large enough so that,

$$\frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} \leq p \quad \text{and} \quad n \geq \frac{4(1+q+r)^2}{p^2}, \quad (32)$$

in which case (30) becomes  $\log P^n(S_n) \geq -n\delta + 1$ , which is exactly our desired bound (18). To ensure its validity, we must also ensure that the earlier conditions we applied – namely, that  $\alpha_n \geq \alpha^*$ , that  $\alpha_n - \alpha^* \leq 1$  and that  $\alpha_n \in (0, 1)$  – are also satisfied. The inequalities  $\alpha_n > 0$  and  $\alpha_n \geq \alpha^*$  follow from (31),  $\alpha_n - \alpha^* < 1$  follows from (31) and (32), and finally, if we further take  $n$  large enough that

$$\frac{\log n}{n} < p(1 - \alpha^*) \quad \text{and} \quad n > \frac{2(1 + q + r)}{p(1 - \alpha^*)},$$

then  $\alpha_n < 1$  easily follows from (31). To summarize, taking  $n > N_0$  as in the statement of the theorem ensures the validity of our key bound (18).

The final step of the proof consists of showing that, with  $R$  chosen as in (22) and with  $\alpha_n$  as in (31), the rate  $R$  can be bounded below so that, in combination with (17), we will obtain the desired bound (16) in the statement of the theorem.

To that end, starting from the definition of  $R$  in (22), we can re-write,

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \mathbb{E}_{P_{\alpha_n}} \left( \log \frac{P_{\alpha_n}(X)}{P(X)} \right) + \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_n} \left[ \alpha_n \mathbb{E}_{P_{\alpha_n}} \left( \log \frac{P_{\alpha_n}(X)}{P(X)} \right) + \log Z_{\alpha_n} \right] - \delta \\ &= D(P_{\alpha_n} \| P) + \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_n} \left[ \alpha_n D(P_{\alpha_n} \| P) + (1 - \alpha_n) H(P_{\alpha_n}) - \alpha_n D(P_{\alpha_n} \| P) \right] - \delta \\ &= D(P_{\alpha_n} \| P) + H(P_{\alpha_n}) - \delta. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

To bound this below, we will expand  $D(P_{\alpha_n} \| P)$  and  $H(P_{\alpha_n})$  around  $\alpha^*$ . For  $D(P_{\alpha_n} \| P)$ , continuing from the Taylor expansion in (26) we have,

$$D(P_{\alpha_n} \| P) \geq \delta - (\alpha_n - \alpha^*)(1 - \alpha^*)\sigma_3^2(\log e) - \frac{\log e}{2}(\alpha_n - \alpha^*)^2 \left| \hat{\sigma}_3^2 - (1 - \alpha^*)\hat{\rho}_3 \right| \quad (34)$$

$$\geq \delta - (\alpha_n - \alpha^*)(1 - \alpha^*)\sigma_3^2(\log e) - \frac{\log e}{2n} \left| \hat{\sigma}_3^2 - (1 - \alpha^*)\hat{\rho}_3 \right| \quad (35)$$

$$= \delta - \frac{\log n}{2n} - \frac{1 + q + r}{n} - \frac{\log e}{2n} \left| \hat{\sigma}_3^2 - (1 - \alpha^*)\hat{\rho}_3 \right|, \quad (36)$$

for some  $u \in [\alpha^*, \alpha_n]$ , where (34) holds because  $\sigma_{3,u}^2 \geq \hat{\sigma}_3^2$ ,  $1 - u \leq 1 - \alpha^*$  and by the definition of  $\hat{\rho}_3$ ; (35) follows from the definition of  $\alpha_n$ ; and (36) follows by substituting the expression of  $\alpha_n$  in (31). Similarly expanding  $H(P_{\alpha_n})$  around  $\alpha^*$  and using the expressions for the derivatives of  $H(P_\alpha)$  in Lemma 2.9,

$$\begin{aligned} H(P_{\alpha_n}) &= H(P_{\alpha^*}) - (\alpha_n - \alpha^*)\alpha^*\sigma_3^2 \log e \\ &\quad - \frac{\log e}{2}(\alpha_n - \alpha^*)^2 \left( \sigma_{3,v}^2 + v \mathbb{E}_{P_v} \left[ \left( \log_e P(X) - \mathbb{E}_{P_v}(\log_e P(X)) \right)^3 \right] \right) \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

$$\geq H(P_{\alpha^*}) - (\alpha_n - \alpha^*)\alpha^*\sigma_3^2 \log e - \frac{\log e}{2}(\alpha_n - \alpha^*)^2(\tilde{\sigma}_3^2 + 1 \times \hat{\rho}_3) \quad (38)$$

$$\geq H(P_{\alpha^*}) - (\alpha_n - \alpha^*)\alpha^*\sigma_3^2 \log e - \frac{\log e}{2n}(\tilde{\sigma}_3^2 + \hat{\rho}_3) \quad (39)$$

$$= H(P_{\alpha^*}) - \frac{\alpha^*}{2(1 - \alpha^*)} \frac{\log n}{n} - \frac{\alpha^*(1 + q + r)}{1 - \alpha^*} \frac{1}{n} - \frac{\log e}{2n}(\tilde{\sigma}_3^2 + \hat{\rho}_3), \quad (40)$$

where (37) holds for some  $v \in [\alpha^*, \alpha_n]$ ; (38) holds since  $\sigma_{3,u}^2 \leq \tilde{\sigma}_3^2$ ,  $v \leq 1$  and by the definition of  $\hat{\rho}_3$ ; (39) follows from the definition of  $\alpha_n$ ; and (40) follows by substituting the expression of  $\alpha_n$  in (31).

Substituting the bounds (36) and (40) into (33), gives,

$$R \geq H(P_{\alpha^*}) - \frac{1}{2(1-\alpha^*)} \frac{\log n}{n} - \left\{ \frac{1+q+r}{1-\alpha^*} + \frac{\log e}{2} \left| \hat{\sigma}_3^2 - (1-\alpha^*)\hat{\rho}_3 \right| + \frac{\log e}{2} (\tilde{\sigma}_3^2 + \hat{\rho}_3) \right\} \times \frac{1}{n},$$

and combining this with (17) yields (16) and completes the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Y. Altuğ and A.B. Wagner. Refinement of the sphere packing bound for symmetric channels. In *Proceedings of the 49th Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing*, pages 30–37, Monticello, IL, September 2011.
- [2] Y. Altuğ and A.B. Wagner. Refinement of the sphere-packing bound: Asymmetric channels. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 60(3):1592–1614, March 2014.
- [3] Y. Altuğ, A.B. Wagner, and I. Kontoyiannis. Lossless compression with moderate error probability. In *2013 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, pages 1744–1748, Istanbul, Turkey, July 2013.
- [4] R.E. Blahut. Hypothesis testing and information theory. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 20(4):405–417, July 1974.
- [5] H. Chernoff. A measure of asymptotic efficiency for tests of a hypothesis based on the sum of observations. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 23(4):493–507, 1952.
- [6] T.M. Cover and J.A. Thomas. *Elements of information theory*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, second edition, 2012.
- [7] I. Csiszár and J. Körner. *Information theory: Coding theorems for discrete memoryless systems*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., second edition, 2011.
- [8] I. Csiszár and G. Longo. On the error exponent for source coding and for testing simple statistical hypotheses. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 6:181–191, 1971.
- [9] R.L. Dobrushin. Asymptotic bounds of the probability of error for the transmission of messages over a discrete memoryless channel with a symmetric transition probability matrix. *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen*, 7:283–311, 1962.
- [10] T.S. Han. *Information-spectrum methods in information theory*. Springer, Berlin, 2003.
- [11] W. Hoeffding. Asymptotically optimal tests for multinomial distributions. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 36(2):369–408, April 1965.
- [12] F.K. Jelinek. *Probabilistic information theory: Discrete and memoryless models*. McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, 1968.
- [13] I. Kontoyiannis. Second-order noiseless source coding theorems. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 43(4):1339–1341, July 1997.

- [14] I. Kontoyiannis and S. Verdú. Optimal lossless data compression: Non-asymptotics and asymptotics. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 60(2):777–795, February 2014.
- [15] V.Yu. Korolev and I.G. Shevtsova. On the upper bound for the absolute constant in the Berry–Esséen inequality. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 54(4):638–658, 2010.
- [16] S. Kullback. *Information theory and statistics*. Dover Publications, Mineola, NY, 1997. Reprint of the second (1968) edition.
- [17] V. Lungu and I. Kontoyiannis. Finite-sample expansions for the optimal error probability in asymmetric binary hypothesis testing. *arXiv e-prints*, 2404.09605 [cs.IT], April 2024.
- [18] V. Lungu and I. Kontoyiannis. The optimal finite-sample error probability in asymmetric binary hypothesis testing. In *2024 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, Athens, Greece, July 2024.
- [19] B. Nakiboğlu. A simple derivation of the refined SPB for the constant composition codes. In *2019 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, pages 2659–2663, Paris, France, July 2019.
- [20] B. Nakiboğlu. A simple derivation of the refined sphere packing bound under certain symmetry hypotheses. *Turk. J. Math.*, 44(3):919–948, 2020.
- [21] V.V. Petrov. *Limit theorems of probability theory*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, NY, 1995.
- [22] Y. Polyanskiy, H.V. Poor, and S. Verdú. Channel coding rate in the finite blocklength regime. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 56(5):2307–2359, May 2010.
- [23] V. Strassen. Asymptotische Abschätzungen in Shannons Informationstheorie. In *Trans. Third Prague Conf. Information Theory, Statist. Decision Functions, Random Processes (Liblice, 1962)*, pages 689–723. Publ. House Czech. Acad. Sci., Prague, 1964.
- [24] V.Y.F. Tan. Asymptotic estimates in information theory with non-vanishing error probabilities. *Foundations and Trends in Communications and Information Theory*, 11(1-2):1–184, September 2014.
- [25] G. Vazquez-Vilar, A. Guillén i Fàbregas, T. Koch, and A. Lancho. Saddlepoint approximation of the error probability of binary hypothesis testing. In *2018 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, pages 2306–2310, Vail, CO, June 2018.