Optical probes of two-component pairing states in transition metal dichalcogenides

Miguel-Ángel Sánchez-Martínez,¹ Daniel Muñoz-Segovia,^{2,3} and Fernando de Juan^{2,4}

¹H. H. Wills Physics Laboratory, Tyndall Avenue, Bristol, BS8 1TL, United Kingdom

²Donostia International Physics Center, 20018 Donostia-San Sebastian, Spain

³Department of Physics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

⁴IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, Plaza Euskadi 5, 48009 Bilbao, Spain

(Dated: January 20, 2025)

Certain transition metal dichalcogenide heterostructures based on 2H-NbSe₂ and $4H_b$ -TaS₂ have recently displayed surprising signatures of unconventional superconductivity. While the pairing channel remains unknown, it has been argued that spin fluctuations should lead to a two-component E' pairing state which is compatible with some experimental features. Exploiting the particular multi-orbital character of the Fermi surface and the presence of Ising spin-orbit coupling which enable finite optical conductivity in the clean limit, in this work we predict clear-cut optical signatures of the chiral and nematic ground states of the E' pairing. In particular, we show how the spontaneous breaking of time-reversal and threefold symmetry are reflected in the optical Hall and anisotropic conductivities, respectively, while different spectral features can be connected with the momentum dependence of the gap functions. Our work provides a fingerprint that can be measured experimentally, constraining the pairing channel in TMD superconductors, and will help determine whether superconductivity is topological in these systems.

Introduction— Metallic transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) MX₂ (M=Nb,Ta, X=S, Se)¹, believed to be standard BCS superconductors in their bulk 2H polytype, have recently shown signatures of unconventional superconductivity² when made into thin films and heterostructures, calling for a better understanding of their pairing symmetries and mechanisms. For example, NbSe₂ thin films display spontaneous breaking of threefold symmetry in the superconducting state under in-plane magnetic fields (indicative of a two-component order parameter) 3,4 , as well as superconducting collective modes⁵ and anomalous resilience to magnetic fields⁶. Topological superconductivity, necessarily unconventional, has also been reported in monolayer CrBr₃ on bulk $NbSe_2^7$. $4H_b$ -TaS $_2^8$, which can be seen as a heterostructure of H and T polytypes, shows spontaneous breaking of time-reversal symmetry (TRS) at the critical superconducting temperature T_c^{9} , spontaneous vortices in the superconducting state¹⁰, superconducting edge modes¹¹ and transport evidence of a two-component order parameter, which also includes spontaneous breaking of threefold symmetry^{12,13}. A chiral superconducting state has also been reported in 2H-TaS₂ intercalated with chiral molecules¹⁴.

The spontaneous breaking of threefold or time-reversal symmetries suggest a two-component SC order parameter, which may condense into nematic or chiral states depending on the experiment, possibly competing with a conventional pairing channel. The microscopic origin of such two-component pairing remains unknown, but it is strongly constrained by the presence of Ising spinorbit coupling (SOC)^{15–18}, induced by a crystal structure which breaks inversion symmetry but preserves a horizontal mirror σ_h . The pairing problem for H-TMDs has been studied at length^{19–25}, in particular in the presence of two-component order parameters^{26–28}, but without identifying a microscopic interaction that makes



FIG. 1. H-polytype of TMDs. (a) Crystalline structure of a single H-layer, corresponding to an ABA stacking of hexagonal lattices. (b) Band structure of the normal state of the H layer of TaS₂. (c) Optical conductivity in the normal state of TaS₂ shown in the range where it is nonzero.

these channels attractive. Previous works had however identified that monolayer H-TMDs are near a magnetic instability towards an antiferromagnetic state with inplane polarization^{18,29–31}, which leads to strong transverse paramagnons that can mediate unconventional superconductivity. A more recent calculation reveals that such fluctuations favor an E' triplet state in the presence of Ising SOC^{32,33}, although it remains to be established whether this solution is robust in the presence of additional electron-phonon coupling³⁴. This E' channel, which pairs electrons of opposite spins (and is therefore unaffected by Ising SOC), is a two-component representation of the point group that can condense in nematic (p_x, p_y) or chiral $p_x + ip_y$ ground states. It is thus a strong candidate to explain the phenomenology observed in TMD systems, and an experimental probe that can distinguish it from other channels would be desirable.

It has recently been realized that the optical conductivity is a useful probe of the superconducting state of multiband (or multiorbital) systems³⁵. While in singleband superconductors the optical conductivity is essentially disorder-driven and vanishes in the clean limit, clean multiband superconductors have finite optical conductivity, which is sensitive to the pairing channel and is connected to the superfluid weight 36,37 . It is also ideally suited to probe the difference between nematic and chiral ground states. Conductivity anisotropy $\sigma_{xx} - \sigma_{yy}$ is sensitive to the breaking of C_3 symmetry³⁸, while optical Hall conductivity is only allowed in chiral systems which break time-reversal symmetry^{39–41}. Previous work has considered the non-linear optical response of non-centrosymmetric superconductors 42,43 , and recently both linear and non-linear conductivities were computed for the H-TMD three band model⁴⁴ with the singlecomponent pairing states proposed in Ref. [22]. The E' state, currently a strong candidate for unconventional pairing 32,33 , was not considered.

In this work, we consider the optical conductivity of the E' superconducting state in both its chiral and nematic ground states, demonstrating that the optical Hall conductivity σ_{xy}^{H} and the conductivity anisotropy $\sigma_{xx} - \sigma_{yy}$, which are zero for all other superconducting states, serve as unique probes for the chiral and nematic E' states, respectively.

Model and pairing channels— The H polytype of TMDs MX₂ (M=Nb,Ta, X=S, Se) crystallizes in a trigonal prismatic crystal structure (Fig 1 (a)) with D_{3h} pointgroup symmetry. The Fermi surface derives from the $d_{z^2}, d_{x^2-y^2}, d_{xy}$ orbitals of the transition metal²⁰. We consider a spinful three-band tight-binding model with up to third-nearest neighbours hoppings⁴⁵ in the orbital basis $\{d_{z^2}, d_{x^2-y^2}, d_{xy}\}$ which reads

$$h_{\boldsymbol{k}} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\text{TNN}} + \frac{\lambda}{2}L_z & 0\\ 0 & h_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\text{TNN}} - \frac{\lambda}{2}L_z \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where $h_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{TNN}}$ is the tight-binding Hamiltonian with up to third nearest-neighbours hoppings, λ is the SOC strength, and L_z is the matrix of the z-component of the angular momentum in the orbital basis $\{d_{z^2}, d_{x^2-y^2}, d_{xy}\}$ (See Ref. [45] for details and Fig. 1 (b) for the resulting band structure.).

Superconductivity is described with the Bogoliubov-de Gennes (BdG) Hamiltonian defined in terms of Nambu spinors $\Psi = (\psi, \psi^{\dagger})^T$ as

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \Psi^{\dagger} H_{\text{BdG}} \Psi = \frac{1}{2} \Psi^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \begin{pmatrix} h_{\boldsymbol{k}} & \Delta_{\boldsymbol{k}} \\ \Delta^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{k}} & -h^*_{-\boldsymbol{k}} \end{pmatrix} \Psi_{\boldsymbol{k}}$$
(2)

with $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} = -\Delta_{-\mathbf{k}}^{*}$ the superconducting gap. For simplicity we consider only momentum-independent pairing states where $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} = \Delta$ is a 6x6 matrix in spin and orbital space.

The different pairing channels are classified as irreducible representations (irreps) of the $D_{3h} = M_z \otimes C_{3v}$ point group, generated by mirror planes perpendicular to the x-axis M_x and z-axis M_z , and threefold rotations C_3 around the z-axis. This group contains the M_z -even irreps A'_1 , A'_2 , E' and M_z -odd irreps A''_1 , A''_2 , E''. Since the orbitals that contribute to the bands at the Fermi level are all M_z -even and we only consider in-plane pairing, odd M_z parity can only come from the spin part of the pairing. Singlet pairing $\Delta = i\sigma_y$ and out-of-plane triplet $\Delta = i\sigma_y\sigma_z = \sigma_x$ are M_z -even pairings (which correspond to opposite spin pairing), while in-plane triplets $\Delta = i\sigma_y(\sigma_x, \sigma_y)$ are M_z -odd pairings (which correspond to equal-spin pairing). Since D_{3h} does not contain the inversion operator, singlet and triplet channels can generally mix due to SOC, and channels are only classified by the global (spin and orbital) symmetry of the pairing.

Previous works have discussed different unconventional equal-spin pairing channels driven by the breaking of M_z . An equal-spin pairing chiral p+ip superconducting state was predicted in the presence of large Rashba spin-orbit $\operatorname{coupling}^{21}$, while Ref. [22] proposed an equalspin pairing one-dimensional irrep A_2'' . Extending beyond these works, Ref. [25] proposed an interlayer spinpolarized pairing state with odd parity. Given the large Ising SOC, which suppresses equal-spin pairing, M_z -even states are however more likely to be realized unless the scale of M_z breaking is as large as Ising SOC. Although the A'_1 channel, which corresponds to the standard swave state (potentially with a symmetry-allowed triplet admixture), is the most common M_z -even state favored by electron-phonon interactions, recent works show that strong spin fluctuations in this system should favor the E' channel^{32,33}.

In addition to being unaffected by Ising SOC and favored by spin fluctuations, the E' channel has a number of appealing features. First, as a two-component order parameter it admits both chiral and nematic ground states, in line with the phenomenology in $4H_b$ -TaS₂. In addition, this state has interesting topological features. M_z -even pairing with Ising SOC implies spin rotation invariance around z direction, which puts this system in topological class AIII⁴⁶, featuring Z invariants in 1D that can protect nodal points in the case of nematic ground states. For chiral ground states, each mirror sector realizes a Chern number C = 3 superconductor. In the case of triplet E' chiral state, a C = 6 superconductor is realized, which could also be consistent with the edge state phenomenology in $4H_b$ -TaS₂¹¹ and CrBr₃/NbSe₂⁷.

The discussion above motivates us to consider the triplet E' channel, given in the orbital basis $\{d_{z^2}, d_{xy}, d_{x^2-y^2}\}$ as the two-component basis pairing matrices

$$\{\Delta_{p_x}, \Delta_{p_y}\} = \sigma_y \sigma_z \otimes \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$
(3)

This term represents spin-triplet, orbital singlet pairing between the out-of-plane orbital d_{z^2} and each of the in-plane orbitals $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and d_{xy} . The superconduct-



FIG. 2. Band structure of the BdG Hamiltonian in Eq.(2) for 4Hb-TaS₂ with the superconducting pairings (a) Δ_{p_x} , (b) Δ_{p_y} , and (c) Δ_{p+ip} for $\Delta = 0.1$ eV. The dark (light) gray shadowing corresponds to the minimal (maximal) size of the gap in the band structure along the high-symmetry directions. The insets correspond to the gap size across the Brillouin Zone for each pairing, where lightest colors are closer to zero gap, and indicate the high-symmetry directions corresponding to the band structure plots. The dotted-dashed line in (c) corresponds to the energy scale associated with the Van Hove singularity giving rise to the secondary peak in σ_{xx} and σ_{xy}^H .

ing phases with pairings Δ_{p_x} and Δ_{p_y} represent nematic phases, while the chiral superconducting phase is given by the pairing $\Delta_{p+ip} = \Delta_{p_x} + i\Delta_{p_y}$.

BdG quasiparticle band structure — For concreteness we consider a single layer of H-TaS₂ and use the normal state tight-binding parameters reported in Ref. [22]. Since to resolve band structure and optical features with realistic values of the superconducting gap ($\sim 1 \text{ meV}$) would require a very fine k-mesh with high computational cost, for illustrative purposes we consider pairings of an artificially large strength $\Delta = 0.1$ eV, keeping in mind that experimental features are to be expected at the real values of the superconducting gap. The three different ground states with E' pairing $\Delta_{p_x,p_y,p+ip}$ lead to the three different band structures in Fig. 2. The Δ_{p_x} pairing is gapless along the $\Gamma M'$ $(k_x = 0)$ direction, while the Δ_{p_y} pairing is gapless along the ΓK $(k_y = 0)$ direction. In both cases we see that ΓM and $\Gamma M'$ are not equivalent, a consequence of the breaking of C_3 symmetry. For both nematic phases, the maximum gap is approximately 1.6 Δ along the ΓK ($\Gamma M'$) directions for Δ_{p_x} (Δ_{p_y}). The Δ_{p+ip} phase, on the other hand, restores C_3 symmetry (combined with a gauge transformation) so the energies are C_3 -symmetric, and it exhibits a full gap ranging in size from 0.43 Δ along the MK direction to 1.6 Δ along the ΓM direction.

Optical conductivity— Since we consider exclusively k-independent pairings, Peierls substitution couples the electromagnetic field $\vec{k} \rightarrow \vec{k} - e\vec{A}$ through the normal-state Hamiltonian h_k , and the conductivity can be computed using standard Kubo formalism³⁵:

$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{\pi e^2}{2\omega V} \sum_{m \neq n} v_{nm}^i v_{mn}^j f_{nm} \delta(\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_m + \omega), \quad (4)$$

where e is the electron charge, V is the volume of the system, $f_{nm} = f(\varepsilon_n) - f(\varepsilon_m)$ is the difference of Fermi functions, which depend on the energy $\varepsilon_n = E_n - \mu$ of

band n, the chemical potential μ and the inverse temperature $\beta = 1/k_BT$ measured in units of the Boltzmann constant k_B . We set $\beta = 300 \text{eV}^{-1}$ $(T \simeq 3.87 \text{K})^{47}$. The velocity matrices v_{mn}^i account for the transition amplitude between states n, m and can be defined as:

$$v_{nm}^{i} = \langle n | \frac{\partial H}{\partial k_{i}} | m \rangle = \langle n | \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{k_{i}} h_{k} & 0\\ 0 & \partial_{k_{i}} \begin{bmatrix} h_{-k}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} | m \rangle.$$
(5)

Note the optical conductivity of clean multiband superconductors³⁵ as computed here can be reduced in size for some types of superconductors -but not suppressed- by inclusion of vertex corrections⁴⁸, which are outside of the scope of this work.

Anisotropy in the nematic phase — In the presence of C_3 symmetry, the optical conductivity satifies $\sigma_{xx} = \sigma_{yy}$. Since the two-component nematic pairing breaks this symmetry, we consider the difference $\sigma_{xx} - \sigma_{yy}$ as a probe of the nematic pairing. Fig. 3 shows this difference in the range of frequencies near the superconducting gap for both Δ_{p_x} and Δ_{p_y} . A sizable conductivity occurs due to transitions near the Fermi level, with significant differences between Δ_{p_x} and Δ_{p_y} which can help determine the pairing anisotropy.

As a further optical signature of the pairing in multiorbital systems, we also consider higher frequency effects which occur due to transitions from the Fermi level BdG quasiparticles to unoccupied electron states, and from occupied electron states to BdG quasiparticles. These occur in the frequency range where the optical conductivity of the normal state is finite (see Fig. 1 (c)) and represent transfer of spectral weight due to superconductivity as discussed in Ref. [36]. However, here we consider responses which are zero in the absence of pairing, due to C_3 and time-reversal symmetry. The observation of any optical conductivity is therefore a signature of multiorbital pairing, which is shown in the inset of Fig. 3. The anisotropy of the nematic pairing is reflected in this spectrum as well.



FIG. 3. Anisotropy of the optical conductivity at low (main figures) and high (inset) frequencies for the nematic phases Δ_{p_x} and Δ_{p_y} with $\Delta = 0.1$ eV.

Hall conductivity in the chiral phase— The chiral E'state given by the Δ_{p+ip} pairing breaks time-reversal symmetry, allowing a finite optical Hall conductivity $\sigma_{xy}^{H} = -\sigma_{yx}^{H}$. Fig. 4 shows a comparison of the optical Hall and regular conductivities in the chiral E' state with the pairing Δ_{p+ip} in the frequency range of the superconducting gap. The Hall conductivity is comparable to the interband optical responses $\sigma_{xx} = \sigma_{yy}$ in the material, but notably while both σ_{xx} and σ_{xy}^H exhibit a peak at around 1.6Δ associated with the maximal gap size and a large JDOS of the electron and hole pockets along the $K\Gamma$ high-symmetry line (see Fig. 2(a)), the size of this peak is much smaller for σ_{xy}^H . At a second peak around 3.6 Δ , however, exhibits a similar magnitude in σ_{xx} and σ_{xy}^{H} associated with the higher-frequency van Hove singularity along the $K\Gamma$ high-symmetry line (see Fig. 2 (c), dotted-dashed line). As explained in Appendix IIA, the anomalously small peak in σ_{xy}^H occurs due to a cancellation of matrix elements from transitions originating from different bands.

Additionally, the Δ_{p+ip} also exhibits a finite contribution to the Hall conductivity at large frequencies due to the electronic transitions from Fermi surface BdG quasiparticles to higher-energy unpaired bands, shown in the inset of Fig. 4. This provides an additional high-energy fingerprint of the TRS breaking associated exclusively with the chiral phase.

Discussion— In our work, we have predicted the optical conductivity of single layers of an H polytype of a TMD with D_{3h} symmetry. These results most directly apply to single layers of NbSe₂, where signatures of a nematic pairing channel have been observed in Refs. [3] and [4]. Our results also bear relevance to 4Hb-TaS₂, where superconductivity is dominated by the H layers in the T/H bulk structure. It should be noted this structure has an extra inversion center between the H layers and



FIG. 4. Hall conductivity σ_{xy}^{H} of the chiral superconducting state Δ_{p+ip} with $\Delta = 0.1$ eV at low (main figure) and high (inset) frequencies. The diagonal component of the optical conductivity σ_{xx} is shown for comparison at low frequencies.

there are therefore two possibilities for inversion-even and odd versions of the E' state, which correspond to irreps E_{2g} and E_{1u} of the group D_{6h} and should remain nearly degenerate. Finally, we speculate that our results may also be relevant to the superconducting state observed in $CrBr_3/NbSe_2^7$, which coexists with ferromagnetism and shows chiral edge modes corresponding to a topological chiral superconductor.

The calculations shown in the present work were computed for illustrative purposes with $\Delta = 0.1$ eV. This allows to clearly show the differences between the responses of each of the phases and the effect on the band structure. The results are discussed in terms of the frequency scaled with the size of the gap ω/Δ . By gauge invariance, the optical conductivity components induced by superconductivity must scale quadratically with the pairing to leading order⁴⁹ $|\Delta|^2$. Since our conductivities are order e^2/\hbar at $\Delta = 0.1$, scaling to realistic values of the gap in the meV scale produces the order of magnitude $10^{-4}e^2/\hbar$ for the conductivity. While these are small effects, they are to be observed in quantities which vanish both in the normal state and for s-wave pairing, and not against a large background. The optical Hall effect, measured in optical setups from the Kerr and Faraday rotation, has long been considered as a probe of candidate chiral superconductors $^{39,41,49-52}$, and has been observed with high precision. For example, the Kerr effect in UPt3 in the superconducting state is observed at $\omega = 0.8 \text{eV}^{53}$. Far infrared optical studies of superconductors looking directly at the gap region are also feasible⁵⁴.

In summary, we expect our work will help establish whether unconventional superconductivity in different TMD platforms is due to E' pairing, taking advantage of the multiorbital nature of their band structure with large Ising SOC which leads to unique optical conductivity signatures.

I. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

J. Grant PID2021-F. is supported bv 128760NB0-I00 from Spanish the MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033/FEDER, EU. M.-Á.S. was supported by EPSRC grant EP/X012239/1 and Programa Red Guipuzcoana de Ciencia Tecnología e Innovación No. 2021-CIEN-000070-01 Gipuzkoa Next.



FIG. 5. Brillouin Zone-resolved optical conductivity σ_{xx} (left column) and σ_{xy}^{H} (right) column integrated between $\omega = 1.3\Delta$ and $\omega = 1.8\Delta$ (upper row) corresponding to the low-energy peak in the response in Fig. 4, and $\omega = 3\Delta$ and $\omega = 4\Delta$ (lower row) corresponding to the secondary peak in the response in Fig. 4.

II. END MATTER

A. Brillouin Zone distribution of the Hall response in Δ_{p+ip}

In the chiral superconducting phase, the low-energy peak of the optical conductivity σ_{xx} and the onset of the Hall conductivity is associated with the gap size, as discussed in the main text. The σ_{xx} component exhibits a large peak around 1.6 Δ associated with the large JDOS between the closest bands to the Fermi level along the $K\Gamma$ high-symmetry lines and the positive-defined optical conductivity integrand over the Brillouin Zone (see Fig. 5 (a)). However, in the case of σ_{xy}^H the velocity matrix elements connecting the closest bands to the Fermi level over the Brillouin Zone can be positive or negative (see Fig. 5 (b)). This leads to a cancellation of contributions that suppresses the peak that is present in the σ_{xx} component due to the positive value of its velocity matrix elements over the whole Brillouin Zone.

The secondary peak at $\omega \sim 3.6\Delta$, associated with higher-frequency Van Hove singularity along $K\Gamma$ (see Fig. 2 (c), dashed-dotted line) is similar in size for σ_{xx} and σ_{xy}^H (see Fig. 4). This is due to the dominance of positive velocity matrix elements contributing to the Hall conductivity in that range of frequencies, much more similar to the σ_{xx} case (Figs. 5 (c) and (d)) than in the primary peak case. The positive-integrand predominance in the secondary peak is due to the large positive contribution of the Van Hove singularity along $K\Gamma$, while having a limited presence of the negative-contributing bands (Fig. 5 (d)), which were more relevant in the primary peak energy range (Fig. 5 (b)).

- ¹ J. A. Wilson, F. Di Salvo, and S. Mahajan, Advances in Physics **24**, 117 (1975).
- ² M. R. Norman, Science **332**, 196 (2011).
- ³ A. Hamill, B. Heischmidt, E. Sohn, D. Shaffer, K.-T. Tsai, X. Zhang, X. Xi, A. Suslov, H. Berger, L. Forró, *et al.*, Nature physics **17**, 949 (2021).
- ⁴ C.-w. Cho, J. Lyu, L. An, T. Han, K. T. Lo, C. Y. Ng, J. Hu, Y. Gao, G. Li, M. Huang, N. Wang, J. Schmalian, and R. Lortz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **129**, 087002 (2022).
- ⁵ W. Wan, P. Dreher, D. Muñoz-Segovia, R. Harsh, H. Guo, A. J. Martínez-Galera, F. Guinea, F. de Juan, and M. M. Ugeda, Advanced Materials **34**, 2206078 (2022).
- ⁶ M. Kuzmanović, T. Dvir, D. LeBoeuf, S. Ilić, M. Haim, D. Möckli, S. Kramer, M. Khodas, M. Houzet, J. S. Meyer, M. Aprili, H. Steinberg, and C. H. L. Quay, Phys. Rev. B 106, 184514 (2022).
- ⁷ S. Kezilebieke, M. N. Huda, V. Vaňo, M. Aapro, S. C. Ganguli, O. J. Silveira, S. Głodzik, A. S. Foster, T. Ojanen, and P. Liljeroth, Nature **588**, 424 (2020).
- ⁸ F. Di Salvo, B. Bagley, J. Voorhoeve, and J. Waszczak, Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids **34**, 1357 (1973).
- ⁹ A. Ribak, R. M. Skiff, M. Mograbi, P. Rout, M. Fischer, J. Ruhman, K. Chashka, Y. Dagan, and A. Kanigel, Science advances 6, eaax9480 (2020).
- ¹⁰ E. Persky, A. V. Bjørlig, I. Feldman, A. Almoalem, E. Altman, E. Berg, I. Kimchi, J. Ruhman, A. Kanigel, and B. Kalisky, Nature **607**, 692 (2022).
- ¹¹ A. K. Nayak, A. Steinbok, Y. Roet, J. Koo, G. Margalit, I. Feldman, A. Almoalem, A. Kanigel, G. A. Fiete, B. Yan, *et al.*, Nature physics **17**, 1413 (2021).
- ¹² A. Almoalem, I. Feldman, M. Shlafman, Y. E. Yaish, M. H. Fischer, M. Moshe, J. Ruhman, and A. Kanigel, arXiv:2208.13798 (2022).
- ¹³ I. Silber, S. Mathimalar, I. Mangel, A. Nayak, O. Green, N. Avraham, H. Beidenkopf, I. Feldman, A. Kanigel, A. Klein, *et al.*, Nature Communications **15**, 824 (2024).
- ¹⁴ Z. Wan, G. Qiu, H. Ren, Q. Qian, D. Xu, J. Zhou, J. Zhou, B. Zhou, L. Wang, Y. Huang, K. L. Wang, and X. Duan, "Signatures of chiral superconductivity in chiral molecule intercalated tantalum disulfide," (2023), arXiv:2302.05078 [cond-mat.supr-con].
- ¹⁵ X. Xi, Z. Wang, W. Zhao, J.-H. Park, K. T. Law, H. Berger, L. Forró, J. Shan, and K. F. Mak, Nature Physics **12**, 139 (2016).
- ¹⁶ E. Sohn, X. Xi, W.-Y. He, S. Jiang, Z. Wang, K. Kang, J.-H. Park, H. Berger, L. Forró, K. T. Law, *et al.*, Nature materials **17**, 504 (2018).
- ¹⁷ S. C. De la Barrera, M. R. Sinko, D. P. Gopalan, N. Sivadas, K. L. Seyler, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, A. W. Tsen, X. Xu, D. Xiao, *et al.*, Nature communications 9, 1427 (2018).
- ¹⁸ D. Wickramaratne, S. Khmelevskyi, D. F. Agterberg, and I. I. Mazin, Phys. Rev. X 10, 041003 (2020).
- ¹⁹ W.-Y. He, B. T. Zhou, J. J. He, N. F. Yuan, T. Zhang, and K. T. Law, Communications Physics 1, 40 (2018).
- ²⁰ D. Möckli and M. Khodas, Phys. Rev. B 98, 144518 (2018).
 ²¹ D. Shaffer, J. Kang, F. J. Burnell, and R. M. Fernandes, Phys. Rev. B 101, 224503 (2020).
- ²² G. Margalit, E. Berg, and Y. Oreg, Annals of Physics 435, 168561 (2021).

- ²³ D. Dentelski, E. Day-Roberts, T. Birol, R. M. Fernandes, and J. Ruhman, Phys. Rev. B **103**, 224522 (2021).
- ²⁴ S. Hörhold, J. Graf, M. Marganska, and M. Grifoni, 2D Materials **10**, 025008 (2023).
- ²⁵ C. Liu, S. Chatterjee, T. Scaffidi, E. Berg, and E. Altman, Phys. Rev. B **110**, 024502 (2024).
- ²⁶ Y.-T. Hsu, A. Vaezi, M. H. Fischer, and E.-A. Kim, Nature communications 8, 1 (2017).
- ²⁷ W. Chen, Q. Zhu, Y. Zhou, and J. An, Phys. Rev. B 100, 054503 (2019).
- ²⁸ C. Lane and J.-X. Zhu, Phys. Rev. Mater. **6**, 094001 (2022).
- ²⁹ F. Zheng, Z. Zhou, X. Liu, and J. Feng, Phys. Rev. B 97, 081101 (2018).
- ³⁰ S. Das and I. I. Mazin, Computational Materials Science 200, 110758 (2021).
- ³¹ A. T. Costa, M. Costa, and J. Fernández-Rossier, Phys. Rev. B **105**, 224412 (2022).
- ³² S. Roy, A. Kreisel, B. M. Andersen, and S. Mukherjee, arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.00409 (2024).
- ³³ J. Siegl, A. Bleibaum, W. Wan, M. Kurpas, J. Schliemann, M. M. Ugeda, M. Marganska, and M. Grifoni, "Friedel oscillations and chiral superconductivity in monolayer nbse₂," (2024), arXiv:2412.00273 [cond-mat.suprcon].
- ³⁴ S. Das, H. Paudyal, E. Margine, D. Agterberg, and I. Mazin, npj Computational Materials 9, 66 (2023).
- ³⁵ J. Ahn and N. Nagaosa, Nature communications **12**, 1617 (2021).
- ³⁶ J. Ahn and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. B **104**, L100501 (2021).
- ³⁷ W. Chen and W. Huang, Phys. Rev. Res. 3, L042018 (2021).
- ³⁸ Y. Xu, Z. Ni, Y. Liu, B. R. Ortiz, Q. Deng, S. D. Wilson, B. Yan, L. Balents, and L. Wu, Nature physics **18**, 1470 (2022).
- ³⁹ E. Taylor and C. Kallin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 157001 (2012).
- ⁴⁰ Y. Wang, A. Chubukov, and R. Nandkishore, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 205130 (2014).
- ⁴¹ Z. Wang, J. Berlinsky, G. Zwicknagl, and C. Kallin, Phys. Rev. B **96**, 174511 (2017).
- ⁴² T. Xu, T. Morimoto, and J. E. Moore, Phys. Rev. B 100, 220501 (2019).
- ⁴³ H. Tanaka, H. Watanabe, and Y. Yanase, Phys. Rev. B 107, 024513 (2023).
- ⁴⁴ A. Raj, A. Postlewaite, S. Chaudhary, and G. A. Fiete, Phys. Rev. B **109**, 184514 (2024).
- ⁴⁵ G.-B. Liu, W.-Y. Shan, Y. Yao, W. Yao, and D. Xiao, Phys. Rev. B 88, 085433 (2013).
- ⁴⁶ A. P. Schnyder, S. Ryu, A. Furusaki, and A. W. W. Ludwig, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 195125 (2008).
- ⁴⁷ It is important to note that the calculation of the optical conductivity has no information about the transition temperature of the superconductor and it does not require to be under the critical temperature. Therefore the temperature used here just plays the role of a small softening of the Fermi functions.
- ⁴⁸ S. Watanabe and H. Watanabe, A gauge-invariant formulation of optical responses in superconductors, (2024), arXiv:2410.18679 [cond-mat].
- ⁴⁹ V. M. Yakovenko, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 087003 (2007).
- ⁵⁰ O. Can, X.-X. Zhang, C. Kallin, and M. Franz, Phys. Rev.

Lett. **127**, 157001 (2021).

- ⁵¹ J.-L. Zhang, W. Chen, H.-T. Liu, Y. Li, Z. Wang, and W. Huang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 132, 136001 (2024).
- ⁵² M. Yazdani-Hamid, M. Biderang, and A. Akbari, Phys. Rev. B 109, 094510 (2024).
 ⁵³ E. Schemm, W. Gannon, C. Wishne, W. Halperin, and

A. Kapitulnik, Science **345**, 190 (2014).

 ⁵⁴ R. A. Kaindl, M. A. Carnahan, J. Orenstein, D. S. Chemla, H. M. Christen, H.-Y. Zhai, M. Paranthaman, and D. H. Lowndes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 027003 (2001).