

THE DUAL NOTION OF MORPHIC MODULES OVER COMMUTATIVE RINGS

FARANAK FARSHADIFAR*

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative ring with identity and M be an R -module. The purpose of this paper is to introduce and investigate the dual notion of morphic modules over a commutative ring.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, R will denote a commutative ring with identity and \mathbb{Z} will denote the ring of integers. Let M be an R -module, L be a submodule of M , and $a \in R$. Then the notation $(L :_M a)$ is defined by $\{m \in M \mid ma \in L\}$.

Let M be an R -module. A proper submodule N of M is said to be *completely irreducible* if $N = \bigcap_{i \in I} N_i$, where $\{N_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a family of submodules of M , implies that $N = N_i$ for some $i \in I$. Every submodule of M is an intersection of completely irreducible submodules of M [9]. M satisfies the *double annihilator conditions* (DAC for short) if, for each ideal I of R , we have $I = \text{Ann}_R(0 :_M I)$ [8]. Following [1, Definition 2.7], let

$$I_0^M(M) = \bigcap \{L \mid L \text{ is a completely irreducible submodule of } M \text{ and } rM \subseteq L \text{ for some } 0 \neq r \in R\}.$$

A commutative ring R is said to be a *morphic ring* if, for every element $a \in R$, $R/Ra \cong \text{Ann}_R(Ra)$ (isomorphism of R -modules). R is morphic if and only if, for every $a \in R$, there exists $b \in R$ such that $Ra = \text{Ann}_R(Rb)$ and $\text{Ann}_R(Ra) = Rb$ [11, Lemma1]. Nicholson and Sánchez Campos extended the notion of morphic rings to modules ([12]). An endomorphism a of a module M is called *morphic* if $M/a(M) \cong \text{Ker}(a)$. The module M is called a *morphic module* if every endomorphism of M is morphic. Note that the endomorphisms of the R -module R are only the multiplication morphisms. Thus a ring R is morphic if and only if R is a morphic R -module. Recently in [7], the authors introduced and investigated a new definition of morphic R -module which is also an extension of the notion of morphic rings. An R -module M is said to be a *morphic R -module* if, for each $m \in M$, there exists $a \in R$ such that $Rm = (0 :_M a)$ and $\text{Ann}_R(Rm) = Ra + \text{Ann}_R(M)$ [7].

In this paper, we introduce and study the dual notion of morphic modules over a commutative ring in the sense of [7]. We say that an R -module M is a *comorphic module* if for each completely irreducible submodule L of M , there exists $a \in R$ such that $L = aM$ and $(L :_R M) = Ra + \text{Ann}_R(M)$ (Definition 2.2). Example

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13C13, 13C99.

Key words and phrases. morphic module, completely irreducible submodule, finitely cogenerated submodule, comorphic module.

THIS ARTICLE WAS ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION IN JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES.

2.11, shows that the concepts of morphic and comorphic R -modules are different in general. Among other results, it is shown that M is a comorphic R -module if and only if for every submodule N of M with M/N is a finitely cogenerated R -module, there exists $a \in R$ such that $N = aM$ and $(N :_R M) = (a) + \text{Ann}_R(M)$ (Theorem 2.6). Also, it is proved that if M is a comorphic R -module, then the finite sum of completely irreducible submodules of M is a completely irreducible submodule of M (Corollary 2.5). Proposition 2.21, shows that every comorphic R -module is co-Hopfian. We say that an R -module M is a *co-Bézout module* if every submodule N of M with M/N is finitely cogenerated is a completely irreducible submodule of M (Definition 2.14). It is shown that if M is a comorphic R -module which satisfies the *DAC* and $I_0^M(M) \neq 0$, then R is a morphic ring and M is a co-Bézout module (Theorem 2.15). Finally, the notion of quasi morphic (resp. quasi comorphic) R -module which is a generalization of morphic ring is defined (Definition 2.22). Moreover, we show that if M is cyclic (resp. a cocyclic R -module which satisfies the *DAC*) and morphic R -module in the sense of Nicholson and Sánchez Campos, then M is a quasi morphic (resp. quasi comorphic) R -module (Proposition 2.23). It should be note that most of the results in this paper are the dual of the results in the paper [7].

2. MAIN RESULTS

We frequently use the following basic facts.

Remark 2.1. Let M be an R -module.

- (a) If L is a completely irreducible submodule of M , then $(L :_M a)$ is a completely irreducible submodule of M [4, Lemma 2.1].
- (b) If N and K are two submodules of M , to prove $N \subseteq K$, it is enough to show that if L is a completely irreducible submodule of M such that $K \subseteq L$, then $N \subseteq L$ [3, Remark 2.1].

Definition 2.2. We say that an R -module M is a *comorphic module* if for each completely irreducible submodule L of M , there exists $a \in R$ such that $L = aM$ and $(L :_R M) = Ra + \text{Ann}_R(M)$. This can be regarded as a dual notion of morphic module in the sense of [7].

A submodule N of an R -module M is said to be a *multiple* of M , provided that $N = rM$ for some $r \in R$. If every submodule of M is a multiple of M , then M is said to be a *principal ideal multiplication module* [6].

Example 2.3. By using [13, Corollary of Theorem 9], every finitely generated principal ideal multiplication module over a principal ideal ring is a comorphic module. In particular, the \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_n for each positive integer $n \geq 2$ is a comorphic \mathbb{Z} -module. Also, every principal ideal ring R is a comorphic R -module.

Proposition 2.4. Let M be a comorphic R -module. Then the sum of two completely irreducible submodules of M is a completely irreducible submodule of M .

Proof. Let L_1 and L_2 be two completely irreducible submodules of M . As M is a comorphic R -module, there exist $a, b \in R$ such that $L_1 = aM$, $(L_1 :_R M) = Ra + \text{Ann}_R(M)$, $L_2 = bM$, and $(L_2 :_R M) = Rb + \text{Ann}_R(M)$. Thus by assumption, $(L_2 :_M a) = cM$, $((L_2 :_M a) :_R M) = Rc + \text{Ann}_R(M)$ for some $c \in R$. We will show that $L_1 + L_2 = (L_2 :_M c)$. Let $x \in L_1 + L_2 = aM + bM$. Then $cx \in caM + cbM \subseteq L_2$.

This implies that $L_1 + L_2 \subseteq (L_2 :_M c)$. On the other hand, $(L_2 :_M a) = cM$ implies that $caM \subseteq L_2$. Thus $L_1 = aM \subseteq (L_2 :_M c)$. Therefore, $L_1 + L_2 \subseteq (L_2 :_M c)$ and by Remark 2.1 (a), we are done. \square

Corollary 2.5. If M is a comorphic R -module, then the finite sum of completely irreducible submodules of M is a completely irreducible submodule of M .

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2.4. \square

The following theorem, is a characterization for the comorphic R -modules.

Theorem 2.6. For an R -module M the following are equivalent:

- (a) M is a comorphic R -module;
- (b) For every submodule N of M with M/N is a finitely cogenerated R -module, there exists $a \in R$ such that $N = aM$ and $(N :_R M) = Ra + \text{Ann}_R(M)$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) Let N be a submodule of M with M/N be a finitely cogenerated R -module. Then there exist completely irreducible submodules L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n of M such that $N = \cap_{i=1}^n L_i$. We proceed by induction on n . The induction start is just the definition of comorphic modules. If $n \geq 1$, let $K = \cap_{i=1}^{n-1} L_i$. The induction hypothesis implies that $K = aM$ and $(K :_R M) = Ra + \text{Ann}_R(M)$ for some $a \in R$. By part (a), $L_n = bM$ and $(L_n :_R M) = Rb + \text{Ann}_R(M)$ for some $b \in R$. Also, by part (a), $(L_n :_M a) = cM$ and $((L_n :_M a) :_R M) = Rc + \text{Ann}_R(M)$ for some $c \in R$. We claim that $N = acM$. Let $x \in acM$. Then $x \in acM \subseteq aM = K$ and $x \in acM \subseteq L_n$. Thus $x \in K \cap L_n = N$ and so $acM \subseteq N$. Now let $x \in N = K \cap L_n = aM \cap bM$. Then $x = am_1 = bm_2$ for some $m_1, m_2 \in M$. Thus $x = am_1 \in L_n$ implies that $m_1 \in (L_n :_M a) = cM$. Hence $m_1 = cm_3$ for some $m_3 \in M$. It follows that $x = acm_3 \in acM$. Therefore, $N \subseteq acM$. Now we claim that $(N :_R M) = Rac + \text{Ann}_R(M)$. Let $t \in (N :_R M) = (K \cap L_n :_R M)$. Then $t \in (K :_R M)$ and so $t = sa + u$ for some $s \in R$ and $u \in \text{Ann}_R(M)$ and $tM \subseteq L_n$. Thus $saM = tM \subseteq L_n$ and so $s \in ((L_n :_M a) :_R M) = Rc + \text{Ann}_R(M)$. Hence $s = hc + w$ for some $h \in R$ and $w \in \text{Ann}_R(M)$. Hence, $t = cha + aw + u \in Rac + \text{Ann}_R(M)$. Consequently, $(N :_R M) \subseteq Rac + \text{Ann}_R(M)$. Now let $t \in Rac + \text{Ann}_R(M)$. Then $t = acr + u_1$ for some $r \in R$ and $u_1 \in \text{Ann}_R(M)$. It follows that $tM = acrM = c(arM) \subseteq cM = (L_n :_R a)$ and so $ta \in (L_n :_R M)$. Also, $tM = acrM \subseteq aM = K$. Therefore, $t \in (N :_R M)$. Hence, $Rac + \text{Ann}_R(M) \subseteq (N :_R M)$. Thus we are done.

(b) \Rightarrow (a) This is clear. \square

Corollary 2.7. Every Artinian comorphic R -module M is a principal ideal multiplication R -module.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.6. \square

Lemma 2.8. Let $f : M \rightarrow \acute{M}$ be an R -homomorphism. Then we have the following.

- (a) If $\{N_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a family of submodules of M , then

$$f(\cap_{i \in I} (N_i + \text{Ker} f)) = \cap_{i \in I} f(N_i + \text{Ker} f) = \cap_{i \in I} f(N_i).$$

- (b) If f is an epimorphism and \acute{L} is a completely irreducible submodule of \acute{M} , then $f^{-1}(\acute{L})$ is a completely irreducible submodule of M .

Proof. (a) Let $\{N_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of submodules of M . Clearly, $f(\cap_{i \in I}(N_i + Kerf)) \subseteq \cap_{i \in I} f(N_i + Kerf)$ and $\cap_{i \in I} f(N_i + Kerf) = \cap_{i \in I} f(N_i)$. Now let $x \in \cap_{i \in I} f(N_i)$. Then for each $i \in I$ we have $x = f(n_i)$ for some $n_i \in N_i$. Now for each $j \in I$, we have $x = f(n_i) = f(n_j)$. This implies that $n_i - n_j \in Kerf$ and so $n_i + Kerf = n_j + Kerf$. It follows that $n_i + Kerf \in \cap_{i \in I}(N_i + Kerf)$. Thus $x = f(n_i + Kerf) \in f(\cap_{i \in I}(N_i + Kerf))$, as needed.

(b) Let f be an epimorphism and \acute{L} be a completely irreducible submodule of \acute{M} . Assume that $\{N_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a collection of submodules of M such that $f^{-1}(\acute{L}) = \cap_{i \in I} N_i$. Clearly, $Kerf \subseteq f^{-1}(\acute{L}) \subseteq N_i$ for each $i \in I$. Then as f is epic and part (a), we have $\acute{L} = f(f^{-1}(\acute{L})) = f(\cap_{i \in I} N_i) = f(\cap_{i \in I}(N_i + Kerf)) = \cap_{i \in I} f(N_i)$. Now \acute{L} is a completely irreducible submodule of \acute{M} implies that $\acute{L} = f(N_j)$ for some $j \in I$. Thus $f^{-1}(\acute{L}) = f^{-1}f(N_j) = N_j + Kerf = N_j$, as required. \square

Proposition 2.9. Let $f : M \rightarrow \acute{M}$ be an R -epimorphism. If M is a comorphic R -module, then so is \acute{M} .

Proof. Let M be a comorphic R -module and \acute{L} be a completely irreducible submodule of \acute{M} . Then by Lemma 2.8(b), $f^{-1}(\acute{L})$ is a completely irreducible submodule of M . Thus by assumption, there exists $a \in R$ such that $f^{-1}(\acute{L}) = aM$ and $(f^{-1}(\acute{L}) :_R M) = Ra + Ann_R(M)$. On the other hand if $t\acute{M} = 0$, then $tM \subseteq Ker(f) \subseteq f^{-1}(\acute{L})$. Thus $t \in Ra + Ann_R(M)$ and so $Ann_R(\acute{M}) \subseteq Ra + Ann_R(M)$. This implies that $Ra + Ann_R(M) = Ra + Ann_R(\acute{M})$. Now as f is an epimorphism, $\acute{L} = af(M) = a\acute{M}$ and $(\acute{L} :_R \acute{M}) = Ra + Ann_R(\acute{M})$. \square

A non-zero submodule S of an R -module M is said to be *second* if for each $a \in R$, the homomorphism $S \xrightarrow{a} S$ which is defined by multiplication by a , is either surjective or zero [15].

Proposition 2.10. An R -module M is a second comorphic module if and only if M is a simple R -module.

Proof. If M is a simple R -module, then clearly M is a second comorphic R -module. Conversely, let M be a second comorphic R -module and L be a completely irreducible submodule of M . Then there exists $a \in R$ such that $L = aM$ and $(L :_R M) = Ra + Ann_R(M)$. As M is second, $aM = 0$ or $aM = M$. Thus M is a simple R -module. \square

The following example is illustrating that the notions of morphic and comorphic for modules do not generally coincide.

Example 2.11. For a prime number p , the \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_{p^∞} is not a comorphic \mathbb{Z} -module, but it is a morphic \mathbb{Z} -module. Also, the \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z} is a comorphic \mathbb{Z} -module, but it is not a morphic \mathbb{Z} -module.

Definition 2.12. We say that a submodule N of an R -module M is a *co-Baer submodule* if for each completely irreducible submodule L of M with $N \subseteq L$, we have $N \subseteq (L :_R M)M$.

Proposition 2.13. Let M be a comorphic R -module. Then we have the following.

- (a) There exists $a \in R$ such that $N = (N :_R M)M = aM$ for each submodule N of M with M/N is finitely cogenerated R -module.
- (b) Every submodule N of M is a co-Baer submodule.

Proof. (a) Suppose that N is a submodule of M with M/N is finitely cogenerated R -module. By Theorem 2.6, $N = aM$ and $(N :_R M) = Ra + \text{Ann}_R(M)$ for some $a \in R$. Thus $N = aM$ and $(N :_R M)M = aM$. Hence, $N = (N :_R M)M$.

(b) Let L be a completely irreducible submodule of M with $N \subseteq L$. Since L is completely irreducible submodule of M , $L = (L :_R M)M$ by part (a). Thus $N \subseteq (L :_R M)M$. \square

Definition 2.14. We say that an R -module M is a *co-Bézout module* if every submodule N of M with M/N is finitely cogenerated is a completely irreducible submodule of M .

Theorem 2.15. *Let M be a comorphic R -module which satisfies the DAC and $I_0^M(M) \neq 0$. Then*

- (a) R is a morphic ring.
- (b) M is a co-Bézout module.

Proof. (a) As $I_0^M(M) \neq 0$, there exists a completely irreducible submodule L of M such that $I_0^M(M) \not\subseteq L$ and $\text{Ann}_R(M) = 0$. Let $a \in R$. Since M is a comorphic R -module, there exists $b \in R$ such that $(L :_M a) = bM$ and $((L :_M a) :_R M) = Rb + \text{Ann}_R(M) = Rb$. As $I_0^M(M) \neq 0$, $((L :_M a) :_R M) = \text{Ann}_R(Ra)$. Thus $\text{Ann}_R(Ra) = Rb$. On the other hand $(L :_M a) = bM$ implies that $\text{Ann}_R((L :_M a)) = \text{Ann}_R(Rb)$. Hence, $\text{Ann}_R(Rb) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R((0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(L)a) = \text{Ann}_R(L)a \subseteq Ra$. We have $(L :_M a) = bM$ follows that $abM \subseteq L$. Since $I_0^M(M) \not\subseteq L$, we have $ab = 0$ and so $Ra \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(Rb)$. Therefore, R is a morphic ring.

(b) Let N be a submodule of M with M/N be a finitely cogenerated R -module and L be a completely irreducible submodule of M with $I_0^M(M) \not\subseteq L$. Since $\text{Ann}_R(M) = 0$, by Theorem 2.6, $N = aM$ and $(N :_R M) = Ra$ for some $a \in R$. By part (a), R is a morphic ring and so there exists $b \in R$ such that $Ra = \text{Ann}_R(Rb)$ and $Rb = \text{Ann}_R(Ra)$. Since $I_0^M(M) \not\subseteq L$, we have $\text{Ann}_R(Rb) = ((L :_R b) :_R M)$. By Proposition 2.13, $((L :_M b) :_R M)M = (L :_M b)$ and $N = (N :_R M)M$. Thus

$$N = aM = \text{Ann}_R(Rb)M = ((L :_M b) :_R M)M = (L :_M b).$$

Therefore, M is a co-Bézout R -module by Remark 2.1 (a). \square

Theorem 2.16. *Let M be a comorphic R -module. Consider the following conditions:*

- (a) M satisfies the ACC on completely irreducible submodules.
- (b) R satisfies the ACC on $\{(L :_R M) : L \text{ is a completely irreducible submodule of } M\}$.
- (c) M satisfies the ACC on submodules N with M/N is finitely cogenerated.
- (d) R satisfies the ACC on $\{(N :_R M) : M/N \text{ is a finitely cogenerated module}\}$.

Then, (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) \Leftrightarrow (c) \Leftrightarrow (d). Furthermore, if M satisfies the DAC and $I_0^M(M) \neq 0$, then (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) \Leftrightarrow (c) \Leftrightarrow (d).

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) Consider the following ascending chain of ideals of R

$$(L_1 :_R M) \subseteq (L_2 :_R M) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (L_n :_R M) \subseteq \cdots,$$

where L_i is a completely irreducible submodule of M . By Proposition 2.13, $L_i = (L_i :_R M)M$ for each i . Thus we have the following ascending chain of completely irreducible submodules of M

$$L_1 \subseteq L_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq L_n \subseteq \cdots.$$

Thus, by hypothesis, there exists an integer $k \geq 1$ such that $L_k = L_{k+n}$ for each $n \geq 0$. This implies that $(L_k :_R M) = (L_{n+k} :_R M)$ for each $n \geq 0$, as needed.

(b) \Rightarrow (a) This is similar to (a) \Rightarrow (b).

(a) \Leftrightarrow (d) This is similar to (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) (by using Proposition 2.13).

(c) \Rightarrow (a) This is clear.

If M satisfies the *DAC* and $I_0^M(M) \neq 0$, then the result follows from Theorem 2.15 (b). \square

Lemma 2.17. Let M be a finitely generated and finitely cogenerated R -module such that $(0 :_M a) = 0$ for some $a \in R$. Then there exists $t \in R$ such that $(1 - ta)M = 0$.

Proof. Set $S := 1 + Ra$. Clearly, S is a multiplicatively closed subset of R and $S^{-1}(Ra)$ is a unique maximal ideal of $S^{-1}R$ by [5, Exe. 2, page 43]. We have $(0 :_{S^{-1}(M)} S^{-1}(Ra)) = 0$. Since $S^{-1}(M)$ is finitely cogenerated $S^{-1}R$ -module $S^{-1}(M) = 0$ by [2, Theorem 3.14]. Now since M is finitely generated, there exists an element $t \in R$ such that $(1 - ta)(M) = 0$ by [5, Exe. 1, page 43]. \square

Theorem 2.18. Let M be a finitely generated R -module such that for each submodule N of M with M/N is a finitely cogenerated R -module there exists $a \in R$ such that $N = (0 :_M a) = (0 :_M a^2)$. Then M is a comorphic R -module.

Proof. Let N be a submodule of M with M/N be a finitely cogenerated R -module and $N = (0 :_M a) = (0 :_M a^2)$ for some $a \in R$. Then $(0 :_{M/N} a) = 0$. Thus by Lemma 2.17, there exists an element $t \in R$ such that $(1 - ta) \in (N :_R M)$. It follows that $R(1 - ta) + \text{Ann}_R(M) \subseteq (N :_R M)$. Now, let $b \in (N :_R M)$. Then, $bM \subseteq N$ and so $tab \in \text{Ann}_R(M)$. Thus $b = b(1 - ta) + tba \in R(1 - ta) + \text{Ann}_R(M)$. Hence, $(N :_R M) = R(1 - ta) + \text{Ann}_R(M)$. Let L be a completely irreducible submodule of M such that $(1 - ta)M \subseteq L$ and $y \in N$. Then $(1 - ta)y \in L$ and $ya = 0$. Thus $y \in L$ and so $N \subseteq L$. Therefore $N \subseteq (1 - ta)M$ by Remark 2.1 (b). Hence $N = (1 - ta)M$, as needed. \square

Proposition 2.19. Let M be a finite length comorphic R -module and S be a multiplicatively closed subset of R . Then $S^{-1}M$ is a comorphic $S^{-1}R$ -module.

Proof. First we note that as M is finite length, $S^{-1}M$ is finite length. Let $S^{-1}N$ be a submodule of $S^{-1}M$. Since M is comorphic, $N = aM$ and $(N :_R M) = Ra + \text{Ann}_R(M)$ for some $a \in R$. This implies that $S^{-1}N = (a/1)S^{-1}M$ and $(S^{-1}N :_{S^{-1}R} S^{-1}M) = (S^{-1}R)(a/1) + \text{Ann}_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}M)$, as needed. \square

Let R_i be a commutative ring with identity, M_i be an R_i -module for each $i = 1, 2$. Assume that $M = M_1 \times M_2$ and $R = R_1 \times R_2$. Then M is clearly an R -module with componentwise addition and scalar multiplication. Each submodule N of M is of the form $N = N_1 \times N_2$, where N_i is a submodule of M_i for each $i = 1, 2$.

Proposition 2.20. Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$, where R_i is a commutative ring with identity and let $M = M_1 \times M_2$ be an R -module, where M_1 is an R_1 -module and M_2 is an R_2 -module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) M is a comorphic R -module;
- (b) M_i is a comorphic R_i -module for each $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) Let N_1 be a submodule of M_1 with M_1/N_1 is a finitely cogenerated R_1 -module. Then $N_1 \times 0$ is a submodule of $M_1 \times M_2$ with $M/(N_1 \times 0)$ is a finitely

cogenerated R -module. Now by part (a), $N_1 \times 0 = aM$, where $a = (a_1, a_2) \in R$ and $(N_1 \times 0 :_R M) = R(a_1, a_2) + \text{Ann}_R(M)$. This implies that $N_1 = a_1M_1$ and $(N_1 :_{R_1} M_1) = R_1a_1 + \text{Ann}_{R_1}(M_1)$. Hence M_1 is a comorphic R_1 -module. Similar argument shows that M_2 is a comorphic R_2 -module.

(b) \Rightarrow (a) Let $N = N_1 \times N_2$ be a submodule of $M = M_1 \times M_2$ with M/N be a finitely cogenerated R -module. Then N_i is a submodule of M_i with M_i/N_i is a finitely cogenerated R_i -module for each $i = 1, 2$. Thus by part (b), $N_i = a_iM_i$ and $(N_i :_{R_i} M_i) = R_i a_i + \text{Ann}_{R_i}(M_i)$ for each $i = 1, 2$. Therefore, $N = N_1 \times N_2 = (a_1, a_2)(M_1 \times M_2)$ and $(N_1 \times N_2 :_{R_1 \times R_2} M_1 \times M_2) = (R_1 \times R_2)(a_1, a_2) + \text{Ann}_{R_1 \times R_2}(M_1 \times M_2)$ as required. \square

Recall that an R -module M is said to be *Hopfian* (resp. *co-Hopfian*) if every surjective endomorphism (resp. injective endomorphism) f of M is an isomorphism [10] (resp. [14]).

Proposition 2.21. (a) Every comorphic R -module is Hopfian.

(b) Every morphic R -module is co-Hopfian.

Proof. (a) Let M be a comorphic R -module and $f : M \rightarrow M$ be a surjective endomorphism. Let L be a completely irreducible submodule of M . By Lemma 2.8 (b), $f^{-1}(L)$ is a completely irreducible submodule of M . Thus by assumption, $\text{Ker}(f) \subseteq f^{-1}(L) = aM$ for some $a \in R$. Since f is surjective, $L = f(f^{-1}(L)) = aM$. Therefore, $\text{Ker}(f) \subseteq L$. Thus by Remark 2.1 (b), $\text{Ker}(f) = 0$ and we are done.

(b) Let M be a morphic R -module and $f : M \rightarrow M$ be an injective endomorphism. Let $m \in M$. Then by assumption, $f(m) \in f(m)R = (0 :_M a)$ for some $a \in R$. Since f is injective, $am = 0$. Therefore, $m \in (0 :_M a) = f(m)R \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$. Thus $M = \text{Im}(f)$. \square

Definition 2.22. (a) We say that an R -module M is a *quasi morphic module* if for each $a \in R$, we have $(0 :_M a)$ is a cyclic submodule of M and $\text{Ann}_R((0 :_M a)) = Ra + \text{Ann}_R(M)$.

(b) We say that an R -module M is a *quasi comorphic module* if for each $a \in R$, we have aM is a completely irreducible submodule of M and $(aM :_R M) = Ra + \text{Ann}_R(M)$.

It is easy to see that R_R is quasi morphic if and only if R is a morphic ring.

Recall that an R -module M is said to be *cocyclic* if M has a simple essential socle [16].

Proposition 2.23. (a) Let M be a cyclic R -module. If M is a morphic R -module in the sense of Nicholson and Sánchez Campos, then M is a quasi morphic R -module.

(b) Let M be a cocyclic R -module which satisfies the *DAC*. If M is a morphic R -module in the sense of Nicholson and Sánchez Campos, then M is a quasi comorphic R -module.

Proof. (a) Let M be a morphic R -module in the sense of Nicholson and Sánchez Campos and let $a \in R$. Then $M/aM \cong (0 :_M a)$. Thus $(aM :_R M) = \text{Ann}_R(M/aM) = \text{Ann}_R((0 :_M a))$. As M is cyclic, $(aM :_R M) = Ra + \text{Ann}_R(M)$. Thus $\text{Ann}_R((0 :_M a)) = Ra + \text{Ann}_R(M)$. Since, M is cyclic, M/aM is cyclic. Thus $(0 :_M a)$ is cyclic.

(b) Let M be a morphic R -module in the sense of Nicholson and Sánchez Campos and let $a \in R$. Then $M/aM \cong (0 :_M a)$ and so $(aM :_R M) = \text{Ann}_R((0 :_M a))$.

As M satisfies the *DAC*, we have $\text{Ann}_R((0 :_M a)) = Ra + \text{Ann}_R(M)$. Thus $(aM :_R M) = Ra + \text{Ann}_R(M)$. Since, M is cocyclic, $(0 :_M a)$ is cocyclic by [16, Lemma 1.2]. Thus M/aM is cocyclic and so aM is a completely irreducible submodule of M by [9, Remark 1.1]. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *On the dual notion of prime submodules*, Algebra Colloq. **19** (Spec 1) (2012), 1109-1116.
- [2] H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *Comultiplication modules and related results*, Honam Math. J. **30** (1) (2008), 91–99.
- [3] H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *The dual notion of some generalizations of prime submodules*, Comm. Algebra, **39** (2011), 2396-2416.
- [4] H. Ansari-Toroghy, F. Farshadifar, and S. S. Pourmortazavi. *On the P -interiors of submodules of Artinian modules*. Hacet. J. Math. Stat., **45**(3) (2016), 675-682.
- [5] M. F. Atiyah, I.G. Macdonald, *Introduction to commutative algebra*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass. (1969).
- [6] A. Azizi, *Principal ideal multiplication modules*, Algebra Colloq., **15** (4) (2008), 637–648. 2008.
- [7] E.M. Bouba, M. Tamekkante, Ü. Tekir and S. Koç, *On morphic modules over commutative rings*, Beitr Algebra Geom (2022).
- [8] C. Faith, *Rings whose modules have maximal submodules*, Publ. Mat. **39** (1995), 201-214.
- [9] L. Fuchs, W. Heinzer, and B. Olberding. *Commutative ideal theory without finiteness conditions: irreducibility in the quotient field*. 249:121-145, 2006.
- [10] V. A. Hiremath, *Hopfian rings and Hopfian modules*, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math., **17** (1986), 895–900.
- [11] W.K. Nicholson, E. Sánchez Campos, *Rings with the dual of the isomorphism theorem*, J. Algebra, **271** (2004), 391-406.
- [12] W.K. Nicholson, E. Sánchez Campos, *Morphic modules*, Comm. Algebra, **33** (2005), 2629–2647.
- [13] P. F. Smith, *Some remarks on multiplication modules*, Arch. Math. (Basel), **50**(3) (1988), 223-235.
- [14] K. Varadarajan, *Hopfian and co-Hopfian objects*, Publications Mathematiques, **36** (1992), 293–317.
- [15] S. Yassemi, *The dual notion of prime submodules*, Arch. Math (Brno) **37** (2001), 273–278.
- [16] S. Yassemi, *The dual notion of the cyclic modules*, Kobe journal of mathematics, **15** (1998): 41-46.

* DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, FARHANGIAN UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 14665-889, TEHRAN, IRAN.

Email address: f.farshadifar@cfu.ac.ir