

Kaon-induced production of strange hidden-charm molecular pentaquarks $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda$ from proton

Shu Chen¹, Jun-Tao Zhu², Shu-Yi Kong², Jun He^{1*}

¹*School of Physics and Technology, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210097, China*

²*School of Microelectronics and Control Engineering, Changzhou University, Changzhou 213164, China*

(Dated: January 20, 2025)

In this work, we investigate the kaon-induced production of strange hidden-charm pentaquark $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda$ states, including the $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4459)$ and $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4338)$ observed by the LHCb collaboration. Coupled-channel interactions involving the channels $\bar{K}N$, $\Xi_c^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$, $\Xi_c'\bar{D}^{(*)}$, $\Lambda_c\bar{D}_s^{(*)}$, and $\Lambda J/\psi$ are studied using effective Lagrangians to describe these interactions. The potential kernels are constructed within the one-boson-exchange model and implemented into the quasipotential Bethe-Salpeter equation to calculate the scattering amplitudes. From these amplitudes, the partial-wave cross sections for kaon-induced production are predicted alongside the poles corresponding to strange hidden-charm molecular pentaquarks. The analysis reveals complex structures in the cross sections due to molecular states. A structure near the $\Xi_c\bar{D}$ threshold is predicted in the $\Lambda_c\bar{D}_s$ channel, corresponding to $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4338)$. Both molecular states near the $\Xi_c\bar{D}^*$ threshold with $J^P = 1/2^-$ and $J^P = 3/2^-$, associated with $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4459)$, produce peaks in the $\Xi_c'\bar{D}$ channel, which vary significantly with the cutoff. Additionally, a molecular state with $J^P = 3/2^-$ near the $\Xi_c'\bar{D}$ threshold gives rise to a peak in the $\Xi_c\bar{D}^*$ channel. In the higher energy region, from 4350 to 4550 MeV, although the cross sections in the $\Lambda_c\bar{D}_s^{(*)}$ channels are substantial, no distinct structures corresponding to strange hidden-charm pentaquarks are identified, apart from some threshold-related cusps. These results provide valuable theoretical insights and serve as a foundation for future experimental studies of strange hidden-charm pentaquarks using kaon beams.

I. INTRODUCTION

With the progress of experimental studies, particularly the observations at LHCb, hidden-charm pentaquark states have garnered significant attention and inspired extensive global research. The story began with the discovery of the $P_{\psi}^N(4450)$ and $P_{\psi}^N(4380)$ states by the LHCb collaboration in 2015 [1], confirming predictions made by several theorists [2–5]. This experimental breakthrough led to a surge of theoretical investigations into hidden-charm pentaquark structures [6–13]. The narrow widths and masses of these states, which are close to the corresponding thresholds, strongly support the molecular state interpretation. Specifically, $P_{\psi}^N(4380)$ and $P_{\psi}^N(4450)$ have been assigned as $\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$ and $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$ molecular states, respectively, in our previous work [12]. In subsequent observations, the $P_{\psi}^N(4450)$ state was resolved into two separate structures, $P_{\psi}^N(4440)$ and $P_{\psi}^N(4457)$, and a new state, $P_{\psi}^N(4312)$, was discovered in 2019 [14]. These states are interpreted as S-wave molecular states composed of anticharmed mesons and charmed baryons, with their masses closely aligned with the relevant thresholds [15–20]. Given the success of the molecular picture in describing non-strange hidden-charm systems, it is natural to extend this framework to hidden-charm pentaquark states with strangeness [21–29]. The experimental observation of strange hidden-charm pentaquarks is therefore pivotal in advancing our understanding of molecular states with hidden charm.

In 2020, the LHCb Collaboration reported the observation of the strange hidden-charm pentaquark $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4459)$ in the $\Xi_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda K^-$ decay [30]. This state has a measured mass approximately 19 MeV below the $\Xi_c\bar{D}^*$ threshold and

a width of 17 MeV [30], characteristics consistent with its interpretation as a molecular state. Numerous studies have investigated the molecular state nature of $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4459)$. For instance, our previous work suggests that the structure of $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4459)$ primarily arises from the $\Xi_c\bar{D}^*$ configuration with $J^P = 1/2^-$, while the possible contribution of the $\Xi_c'\bar{D}^*$ state with $J^P = 3/2^-$ cannot be excluded [31, 32]. Similarly, QCD sum rule analyses in Ref. [33] support the interpretation of $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4459)$ as a $\Xi_c\bar{D}^*$ molecular state with $J^P = 1/2^-$ or $3/2^-$. Ref. [34] interprets $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4459)$ as either a $\Xi_c'\bar{D}$ molecular state with quantum numbers $I(J^P) = 0(3/2^-)$ or a $\Xi_c\bar{D}^*$ molecular state with $I(J^P) = 0(3/2^-)$. Moreover, studies within the framework of heavy quark spin symmetry (HQSS), such as those in Ref. [35], favor the $\Xi_c\bar{D}^*$ configuration with $J^P = 3/2^-$ as the most likely candidate for $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4459)$, ruling out the $J^P = 1/2^-$ possibility.

In 2022, the LHCb Collaboration identified a new neutral strange hidden-charm pentaquark state, $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4338)$, in the $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda\bar{p}$ decay [36]. The state was measured to have a mass of $4338.3 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.4$ MeV and a width of $7.0 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.3$ MeV. The mass and narrow width of $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4338)$ are consistent with the characteristics of a molecular state, which has inspired several studies [37–44] proposing its molecular nature. In our previous work, the lineshape of the $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4338)$ structure can be approximately reproduced by a narrow molecular state resulting from the $\Xi_c\bar{D}$ interaction with $J^P = 1/2^-$, which lies very close to the threshold and exhibits a significant interference effect [31].

Although hidden-charm pentaquarks, including those with strangeness, have been observed at LHCb, further confirmation and a deeper understanding of these states require analyzing their production mechanisms across different reaction channels. Even before the observation of the P_{ψ}^N state at LHCb, the production of these states via proton-antiproton collisions and photoproduction was proposed [2, 12, 45]. Fol-

*Corresponding author: junhe@njnu.edu.cn

lowing the LHCb observations, many studies were proposed to investigate the production of hidden-charm pentaquarks, P_{ψ}^{Λ} , via pion-induced reactions or photoproduction [46–53]. Additionally, the pion-induced production of strange hidden-charm pentaquarks was studied even before the LHCb observation [54]. In Refs. [55–58], the authors employed two different methods, the effective Lagrangian approach and the Regge method, to study the production of the exotic hidden-charm pentaquark state $P_{\psi_s}^{\Lambda}(4459)$ in the $\bar{K}p \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda$ reaction. In Ref. [59], the authors explored the possibility of investigating the strange hidden-charm pentaquark state $P_{\psi_s}^{\Lambda}(4459)$ through photon-induced reactions on a proton target in the $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ P_{\psi_s}^{\Lambda}(4459)$ channel, using an effective Lagrangian approach.

In most studies of photoproduction or meson production of hidden-charm pentaquarks, information about the pentaquarks is extracted directly from experiments, and coupled-channel effects are not considered. In the current work, we aim to provide a more comprehensive study of the production of hidden-charm pentaquarks in molecular state picture through kaon-nucleon scattering. Building on our previous research [31, 32], we calculate the coupled-channel interactions between charmed baryons and anticharmed mesons within the framework of the one-boson-exchange model. In addition to the channels in the charmed energy region, including $\bar{K}N$, $\Xi_c^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$, $\Xi_c'\bar{D}^{(*)}$, $\Lambda_c\bar{D}_s^{(*)}$, and $\Lambda J/\psi$, which successfully reproduce the experimentally observed $P_{\psi_s}^{\Lambda}(4459)$ and $P_{\psi_s}^{\Lambda}(4438)$ [31], we extend our study by incorporating the light channel $\bar{K}N$ for kaon-induced production. Using the quasipotential Bethe-Salpeter equation (qBSE), we calculate the scattering amplitudes, which can then be used to determine the cross sections for the transition from the $\bar{K}N$ channel to those in the charmed energy region.

This article is organized as follows. After the introduction, Sec. II outlines the Lagrangians used to construct the potentials for coupled-channel interactions, the qBSE approach, and the methodology for calculating the scattering cross sections. In Sec. III, we present the results for the cross sections of kaon-induced production. Finally, Sec. IV provides a summary of the entire work.

II. FORMALISM

In the present work, we calculate the cross sections by using coupled-channel interactions to obtain the scattering amplitudes for the $\bar{K}N$ and charmed channels within the quasipotential Bethe-Salpeter equation (qBSE) approach. To start, the potential kernel is derived from effective Lagrangians within the one-boson-exchange model.

A. Relevant lagrangians and potential kernels

In the present work, we consider molecular pentaquarks produced from the interaction of charmed baryons and anticharmed mesons, including the channels $\Xi_c^*\bar{D}^*$, $\Xi_c'\bar{D}^*$, $\Xi_c^*\bar{D}$,

$\Xi_c\bar{D}^*$, $\Xi_c'\bar{D}$, $\Lambda_c\bar{D}_s$, $\Xi_c\bar{D}$, and $\Lambda_c\bar{D}_s$, with light meson exchange within the one-boson-exchange model. We also consider the channel involving a hidden-charm meson, $\Lambda J/\psi$, for which the interaction is neglected. Therefore, we require vertices for the coupling between the constituent particles and the exchanged meson. The relevant Lagrangians for these vertices are given as follows [32, 60–63],

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^*\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathbb{P}} &= i\frac{2g\sqrt{m_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}m_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^*}}}{f_{\pi}}(-\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{a\lambda}^{*\dagger}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_b + \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_a^{\dagger}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{b\lambda}^*)\partial^{\lambda}\mathbb{P}_{ab}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^*\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^*\mathbb{P}} &= -\frac{g}{f_{\pi}}\epsilon_{\alpha\mu\nu\lambda}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_a^{*\mu\dagger}\overleftrightarrow{\partial}^{\alpha}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_b^{*\nu\lambda}\partial^{\nu}\mathbb{P}_{ba}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^*\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathbb{V}} &= \sqrt{2}\lambda g_V\epsilon_{\lambda\alpha\beta\mu}(-\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_a^{*\mu\dagger}\overleftrightarrow{\partial}^{\lambda}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_b + \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_a^{\dagger}\overleftrightarrow{\partial}^{\lambda}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_b^{*\mu})\partial^{\alpha}\mathbb{V}_{ab}^{\beta}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathbb{V}} &= i\frac{\beta g_V}{\sqrt{2}}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_a^{\dagger}\overleftrightarrow{\partial}^{\mu}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_b\mathbb{V}_{ab}^{\mu}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^*\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^*\mathbb{V}} &= -i\frac{\beta g_V}{\sqrt{2}}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_a^{*\dagger}\overleftrightarrow{\partial}^{\mu}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_b^{*\nu}\mathbb{V}_{ab}^{\mu} \\ &\quad - i2\sqrt{2}\lambda g_V m_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_a^{*\mu\dagger}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_b^{*\nu}(\partial_{\mu}\mathbb{V}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\mathbb{V}_{\mu})_{ab}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\sigma} &= -2g_s m_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_a^{\dagger}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_a\sigma, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^*\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^*\sigma} &= 2g_s m_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_a^{*\dagger}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_a^*\sigma,\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where the $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} = (\bar{D}^0, D^-, D_s^-)$, and the \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{V} are the pseudoscalar and vector matrices as

$$\mathbb{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}\pi^0 + \eta}{\sqrt{6}} & \pi^+ & K^+ \\ \pi^- & \frac{-\sqrt{3}\pi^0 + \eta}{\sqrt{6}} & K^0 \\ K^- & \bar{K}^0 & \frac{-2\eta}{\sqrt{6}} \end{pmatrix}, \mathbb{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\rho^0 + \omega}{\sqrt{2}} & \rho^+ & K^{*+} \\ \rho^- & \frac{-\rho^0 + \omega}{\sqrt{2}} & K^{*0} \\ K^{*-} & \bar{K}^{*0} & \phi \end{pmatrix},\tag{2}$$

where the indices $a, b = 1, 2, 3$ are used to label the particle elements in the matrices \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{V} and vector \mathcal{P} .

For the baryon side, the Lagrangians for the couplings between the charmed baryons and light mesons are given as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{BB\mathbb{P}} &= -i\frac{3g_1}{4f_{\pi}\sqrt{m_B m_B}}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}\partial_{\nu}\mathbb{P}\sum_{i,j=0,1}\bar{B}_{i\mu}\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\kappa}B_{j\lambda}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{BB\mathbb{V}} &= -\frac{\beta_S g_V}{2\sqrt{2}m_B m_B}\mathbb{V}^{\nu}\sum_{i,j=0,1}\bar{B}_i^{\mu}\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\nu}B_{j\mu} \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda_S g_V}{\sqrt{2}}(\partial_{\mu}\mathbb{V}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\mathbb{V}_{\mu})\sum_{i,j=0,1}\bar{B}_i^{\mu}B_j^{\nu}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{BB\sigma} &= \ell_S\sigma\sum_{i,j=0,1}\bar{B}_i^{\mu}B_{j\mu}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{B_3 B_3 \mathbb{V}} &= -\frac{g_V\beta_B}{2\sqrt{2}m_{B_3}m_{B_3}}\mathbb{V}^{\mu}\bar{B}_3^{\nu}\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\mu}B_3^{\nu}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{B_3 B_3 \sigma} &= i\ell_B\sigma\bar{B}_3 B_3, \\ \mathcal{L}_{BB_3\mathbb{P}} &= -i\frac{g_4}{f_{\pi}}\sum_i\bar{B}_i^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\mathbb{P}B_3 + \text{H.c.}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{BB_3\mathbb{V}} &= \frac{g_V\lambda_I}{\sqrt{2}m_B m_{B_3}}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}\partial_{\lambda}\mathbb{V}_{\kappa}\sum_i\bar{B}_{i\nu}\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\mu}B_3^{\nu} + \text{H.c.},\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

where the Dirac spinor operators with label $i, j = 0, 1$ are defined as,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{0\mu} &\equiv -\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}(\gamma_\mu + v_\mu)\gamma^5 B; & B_{1\mu} &\equiv B_\mu^*, \\ \bar{B}_{0\mu} &\equiv \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\bar{B}\gamma^5(\gamma_\mu + v_\mu); & \bar{B}_{1\mu} &\equiv \bar{B}_\mu^*, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and the charmed baryon matrices are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\bar{3}} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Lambda_c^+ & \Xi_c^{*+} \\ -\Lambda_c^+ & 0 & \Xi_c^0 \\ -\Xi_c^+ & -\Xi_c^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & B &= \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_c^{++} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Sigma_c^+ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Xi_c^{\prime+} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Sigma_c^+ & \Sigma_c^0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Xi_c^{\prime0} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Xi_c^{\prime+} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Xi_c^{\prime0} & \Omega_c^0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ B^* &= \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_c^{*++} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Sigma_c^{*+} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Xi_c^{*\prime+} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Sigma_c^{*+} & \Sigma_c^{*0} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Xi_c^{*\prime0} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Xi_c^{*\prime+} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Xi_c^{*\prime0} & \Omega_c^{*0} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The masses of the particles involved in the calculation are chosen based on the recommended central values from the Review of Particle Physics (PDG) [64]. The mass of the broad σ meson is taken as 500 MeV. The coupling constants used in the calculations are listed in Table I.

TABLE I: The coupling constants adopted in the calculation, which are cited from the literature [20, 65–67]. The λ and $\lambda_{S,I}$ are in the units of GeV^{-1} . Others are in the units of 1.

| β | g | g_V | λ | g_S | ℓ_S | |
|-----------|-------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| 0.9 | 0.59 | 5.9 | 0.56 | 0.76 | 6.2 | |
| β_S | g_1 | λ_S | β_B | ℓ_B | g_4 | λ_I |
| -1.74 | -0.94 | -3.31 | $-\beta_S/2$ | $-\ell_S/2$ | $3g_1/(2\sqrt{2})$ | $-\lambda_S/\sqrt{8}$ |

To write the potential for the $\bar{K}N$ interaction, the Lagrangians constructed using heavy quark and chiral symmetries are introduced and presented explicitly as follows [68, 69],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\bar{K}\bar{K}V} &= ig_{\bar{K}\bar{K}V}\bar{K}^\dagger V^\mu \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu \bar{K}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\bar{K}\bar{K}\sigma} &= -g_{\bar{K}\bar{K}\sigma}\bar{K}^\dagger \sigma \bar{K}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{NN\pi} &= -\frac{g_{NN\pi}}{m_\pi}\bar{N}\gamma^5\gamma^\mu\vec{\tau}\cdot\partial_\mu\vec{\pi}N, \\ \mathcal{L}_{NN\rho} &= -g_{NN\rho}\bar{N}[\gamma^\mu - \frac{\kappa_\rho}{2m_N}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu]\vec{\tau}\cdot\vec{\rho}_\mu N, \\ \mathcal{L}_{NN\sigma} &= -g_{NN\sigma}\bar{N}N\sigma, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where \bar{K} , N , π , and ρ represent the \bar{K} meson, nucleon, pion meson, and ρ meson fields, respectively. The coupling constants are chosen as follows: $g_{\bar{K}\bar{K}\sigma} = 3.65$, $g_{NN\pi} = 0.989$, $g_{NN\rho} = -3.1$, $\kappa_\rho = 1.825$, $\kappa_\omega = 0$, and $g_{NN\sigma} = 5$ [70, 71].

When calculating the coupling interaction between $\bar{K}N$ and other channels, in addition to the Lagrangians mentioned above, we also need to use the following Lagrangians [72–77],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{DN\Lambda_c} &= ig_{DN\Lambda_c}(\bar{N}\gamma_5\Lambda_c D + \bar{D}\bar{\Lambda}_c\gamma_5 N), \\ \mathcal{L}_{D^*N\Lambda_c} &= g_{D^*N\Lambda_c}(\bar{N}\gamma_\mu\Lambda_c D^{*\mu} + \bar{D}^{*\mu}\bar{\Lambda}_c\gamma_\mu N), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\Sigma_c ND^*} &= g_{\Sigma_c ND^*}\bar{N}\gamma_\mu\vec{\tau}\cdot\vec{\Sigma}_c D^{*\mu} + \text{H.c.}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\Sigma_c ND} &= -ig_{\Sigma_c ND}\bar{N}\gamma_5\vec{\tau}\cdot\vec{\Sigma}_c D + \text{H.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The coupling constants, $g_{DN\Lambda_c}$ and $g_{D^*N\Lambda_c}$, are chosen as 10.7 and -5.8, respectively [78]. Additionally, $g_{\Sigma_c ND^*} = 3.0$ [76] and $g_{\Sigma_c ND} = 2.69$ [79].

Following the method in Ref. [80], we input the vertices Γ and propagators P directly into the code. The explicit forms of the potential can be written using the Lagrangians and flavor wave functions as follows,

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{P},\sigma} = f_I\Gamma_1\Gamma_2 P_{\mathbb{P},\sigma} f(q^2), \quad \mathcal{V}_V = f_I\Gamma_{1\mu}\Gamma_{2\nu} P_V^{\mu\nu} f(q^2). \quad (8)$$

The propagators are defined as usual as

$$P_{\mathbb{P},\sigma} = \frac{i}{q^2 - m_{\mathbb{P},\sigma}^2}, \quad P_V^{\mu\nu} = i \frac{-g^{\mu\nu} + q^\mu q^\nu / m_V^2}{q^2 - m_V^2}. \quad (9)$$

The form factor $f(q^2)$ is introduced to account for the off-shell effects of the exchanged meson. It is expressed as $f(q^2) = e^{-(m_e^2 - q^2)^2 / \Lambda_e^2}$, where m_e and q denote the mass and momentum of the exchanged meson, respectively, while Λ_e serves as a cutoff parameter to mitigate the on-shell effects. In the meson propagator, we substitute $q^2 \rightarrow -|q|^2$ to eliminate singularities, following the method outlined in Ref. [81]. The factor f_I represents the flavor contribution for a given meson exchange in an isoscalar interaction, with the specific values provided in Table II.

TABLE II: The flavor factors f_I for certain meson exchanges of certain isoscalar interaction.

| | π | η | ρ | ω | σ |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------|
| $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$ | | | $-\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2}$ | $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ | 1 |
| $\Xi_c \bar{D}^{(*)} \rightarrow \Xi_c \bar{D}^{(*)}$ | | | $-\frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2 |
| $\Xi_c^{(*)} \bar{D} \rightarrow \Xi_c^{(*)} \bar{D}$ | | | $-\frac{3}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 1 |
| $\Xi_c^{(*)} \bar{D}^* \rightarrow \Xi_c^{(*)} \bar{D}^*$ | $-\frac{3}{4}$ | $-\frac{1}{12}$ | $-\frac{3}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 1 |
| | Λ_c | $\Sigma_c^{(*)}$ | $\bar{D}^{(*)}$ | | |
| $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Xi_c^{(*)} \bar{D}^{(*)}$ | $-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | | | |
| $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Xi_c \bar{D}^{(*)}$ | | $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ | | | |
| $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda_c \bar{D}_s^{(*)}$ | | | $\sqrt{2}$ | | |
| | D | D^* | D_s | D_s^* | |
| $\bar{D}^{(*)}\Xi_c \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda$ | $-\sqrt{2}$ | $-\sqrt{2}$ | | | |
| $\bar{D}^{(*)}\Xi_c^{(*)} \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda$ | $-\sqrt{2}$ | $-\sqrt{2}$ | | | |
| $\bar{D}_s^{(*)}\Lambda_c \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda$ | | | 1 | 1 | |

B. The qBSE approach

By inserting the potential kernel into the Bethe-Salpeter equation, the scattering amplitude is derived. The original

4-dimensional integral equation in Minkowski space is simplified to a 1-dimensional integral equation through partial-wave decomposition and the spectator quasipotential approximation, with a fixed spin-parity J^P , allowing the scattering amplitude to be calculated as [82–84],

$$i\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{J^P}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) = i\mathcal{V}_{\lambda\lambda}^{J^P}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) + \sum_{\lambda''} \int \frac{\mathbf{p}''^2 d\mathbf{p}''}{(2\pi)^3} \cdot i\mathcal{V}_{\lambda\lambda''}^{J^P}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}'') G_0(\mathbf{p}'') i\mathcal{M}_{\lambda''\lambda}^{J^P}(\mathbf{p}'', \mathbf{p}), \quad (10)$$

where the summation is restricted to nonnegative helicities λ'' . The propagator $G_0(\mathbf{p}'')$ is simplified from the original 4-dimensional form under the quasipotential approximation and takes the form $G_0(\mathbf{p}'') = \delta^+(p_h''^2 - m_h^2)/(p_l''^2 - m_l^2)$, where $p_{h,l}''$ and $m_{h,l}$ represent the momenta and masses of the heavy and light constituent particles, respectively. The partial wave potential is expressed as:

$$\mathcal{V}_{\lambda\lambda}^{J^P}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) = 2\pi \int d\cos\theta [d_{\lambda\lambda}^J(\theta) \mathcal{V}_{\lambda\lambda}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) + \eta d_{-\lambda\lambda}^J(\theta) \mathcal{V}_{\lambda'-\lambda}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p})], \quad (11)$$

where $\eta = PP_1P_2(-1)^{J-J_1-J_2}$, with P and J denoting the parity and spin of the system, as well as those of constituent particles 1 and 2. The relative momenta for the initial and final states are specified as $\mathbf{p} = (0, 0, p)$ and $\mathbf{p}' = (p' \sin\theta, 0, p' \cos\theta)$, respectively. The term $d_{\lambda\lambda}^J(\theta)$ represents the Wigner d-matrix, and the amplitude integration is given by,

$$\sum_{\lambda\lambda} \int d\Omega |\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p})|^2 = \sum_{J^P, \lambda\lambda \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0} |\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\lambda\lambda}^{J^P}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p})|^2. \quad (12)$$

To solve the integral equation (10), the momenta \mathbf{p} , \mathbf{p}' , and \mathbf{p}'' are discretized using the Gauss quadrature method with weights $w(p_j)$. The discretized form of the qBSE can then be expressed as [82],

$$M_{ik} = V_{ik} + \sum_{j=0}^N V_{ij} G_j M_{jk}. \quad (13)$$

The propagator G is of a form

$$G_{j>0} = \frac{w(\mathbf{p}_j) \mathbf{p}_j'^2}{(2\pi)^3} G_0(\mathbf{p}_j'),$$

$$G_{j=0} = -\frac{ip_o''}{32\pi^2 W} + \sum_j \left[\frac{w(\mathbf{p}_j)}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\mathbf{p}_o''^2}{2W(\mathbf{p}_j'^2 - \mathbf{p}_o''^2)} \right]. \quad (14)$$

The on-shell momentum is given by $\mathbf{p}_o'' = \lambda^{1/2}(W, M_1, M_2)/2W$, where $\lambda(x, y, z) = [x^2 - (y+z)^2][x^2 - (y-z)^2]$ and W represents the total energy of the two-constituent system. To regularize the propagator, an exponential form factor is introduced as $G_0(\mathbf{p}'') \rightarrow G_0(\mathbf{p}'') [e^{-(\mathbf{p}_l''^2 - m_l^2)^2/\Lambda_r^4}]^2$, where Λ_r denotes the cutoff [82]. In our framework, the cutoffs applied in the form factors, including those in the propagator and exchange interactions, are treated as free parameters, with $\Lambda_e = \Lambda_r = \Lambda$. We adopt a cutoff value of

$\Lambda = 1.04$ GeV, which was successfully used to fit the LHCb data in our previous work [31], allowing for slight variations of 0.1 GeV to estimate uncertainties.

The poles of the nine-channel scattering amplitudes for different spin-parity combinations J^P are located by varying z in the complex energy plane to satisfy the condition $|1 - V(z)G(z)| = 0$. For a calculation involving N channels, there are 2^N Riemann sheets associated with unitarity. In this study, we adopt the method outlined in Ref. [85] to identify the poles. Specifically, the default propagator $G(z)$ is used for the energy region below the threshold, while $G(z) + ip_o''/16\pi^2 z$ is applied for the region above the threshold, corresponding to the first and second Riemann sheets, respectively.

The total cross section is calculated as [86],

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{16\pi s} \frac{\mathbf{p}'}{\mathbf{p}} \sum_{J^P, \lambda\lambda \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0} \frac{\bar{J}}{\bar{J}_1 \bar{J}_2} \left| \frac{M_{\lambda\lambda}^{J^P}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p})}{4\pi} \right|^2. \quad (15)$$

Here, s represents the square of the invariant mass of the initial particle system. The variables J and j_i denote the total angular momentum of the system and the spins of the two initial particles, respectively.

III. NUMERICAL RESULT

A. Molecular states without coupled-channel effects

In this section, we study the kaon-induced production of strange hidden-charm pentaquarks, through considering the coupled-channel interactions. However, before conducting a coupled-channel calculation, we first present the results without coupled-channel effects for reference. We analyze the interactions $\bar{K}N$, $\Xi_c^* \bar{D}^*$, $\Xi_c' \bar{D}^*$, $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s^*$, and $\Lambda J/\psi$, while turning off the couplings between these channels. Under this setup, the poles in the complex energy plane correspond directly to the single-channel interaction of each respective channel.

The parameters of the Lagrangians employed here are the same as those used in our previous study on the strange hidden-charm pentaquark states based on LHCb data, specifically $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4459)$ and $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4338)$ [31]. The results are shown in Fig. 1, where the horizontal axis represents the real part of the pole position, and the vertical axis denotes the imaginary part. In this work, only states with spin parity producible from S-wave interactions are considered.

Five poles are identified within the energy range of 4200 to 4550 MeV based on the interactions considered. Since the $\bar{K}N$ threshold is significantly below the charmed energy region and the couplings between channels are turned off, the results closely resemble those from our previous work where the $\bar{K}N$ channel was excluded [31]. These poles correspond to five molecular states generated from the single-channel interactions of $\Xi_c^* \bar{D}$ with spin parity $J^P = 3/2^-$, $\Xi_c \bar{D}^*$ with $1/2^-$ and $3/2^-$, $\Xi_c' \bar{D}$ with $1/2^-$, and $\Xi_c \bar{D}$ with $1/2^-$. As the cutoff increases, all poles shift further away from their respective thresholds. Two states with spin parities $1/2^-$ and $3/2^-$ from the $\Xi_c \bar{D}^*$ interaction have nearly the same mass




FIG. 1: Poles for molecular $P_{\psi_s}^{\Lambda}$ state with spin parities $3/2^-$ (upper panel) and $1/2^-$ (lower panel) obtained without considering coupled-channel effects. The colorbox represent the values of $\log|1 - V(z)G(z)|$. The gray lines correspond to the thresholds of $\Xi_c^* \bar{D}$, $\Xi_c \bar{D}^*$, $\Xi_c' \bar{D}$, $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s^*$, $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s$, and $\Lambda J/\psi$, from right to left.

as those found in the calculation without coupled-channel effects, approximately 4474 MeV, which is slightly higher than the mass of $P_{\psi_s}^{\Lambda}$ (4459). The $\Xi_c \bar{D}(1/2^-)$ interaction generates an S-wave bound state with a mass around 4335 MeV, closely matching the mass of $P_{\psi_s}^{\Lambda}$ (4338). The $\Xi_c' \bar{D}$ interaction produces a molecular state with spin parity $1/2^-$. The $\Xi_c^* \bar{D}$ channel gives rise to a molecular state with spin parity $3/2^-$. Even when the cutoff parameter is adjusted to its maximum within a reasonable range, no bound states are formed for the channels $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s^*$ and $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s$.

B. Cross section for $J^P = 1/2^-$ in energy region [4350 – 4550] MeV

In this section, we incorporate the couplings between the interaction channels to study the kaon-induced production of $P_{\psi_s}^{\Lambda}$. Since no molecular state is found in the calculations without couplings near the $\Lambda_c \bar{D}^*$ threshold, the energy regions can clearly be divided into two parts. To present the results more effectively, we provide cross sections for two distinct energy ranges: the higher energy region [4350 – 4550] MeV and the lower energy region [4250 – 4380] MeV, where the LHCb observed states, $P_{\psi_s}^{\Lambda}$ (4459) and $P_{\psi_s}^{\Lambda}$ (4438), are located, respectively. Furthermore, to present results for the molecular pentaquarks with different spin-parities, we focus on the partial wave cross sections rather than the total cross sections.

First, we consider the cross section for $J^P = 1/2^-$ in the energy range [4350 – 4550] MeV. In the calculation without coupled-channel effects, two poles with spin parity $1/2^-$ are generated in this energy region, $\Xi_c' \bar{D}(1/2^-)$ and $\Xi_c \bar{D}^*(1/2^-)$, with the latter corresponding to $P_{\psi_s}^{\Lambda}$ (4459). In Fig. 2, after

including the coupled-channel effects, the poles for these two states are shown in the complex energy plane. The corresponding cross sections in the channels considered are also presented.




FIG. 2: The poles (upper panel) and the cross sections (middle and lower panels) for spin parity $1/2^-$, calculated with different cutoffs of 1.04, 1.14, and 1.24 GeV. The gray lines in the upper panel correspond to the thresholds of $\Xi_c^* \bar{D}$, $\Xi_c \bar{D}^*$, $\Xi_c' \bar{D}$, and $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s^*$, from right to left. In the middle and lower panels, the curves represent the following channels: $\Lambda J/\psi$ (green), $\Xi_c \bar{D}$ (blue), $\Xi_c' \bar{D}$ (black), $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s$ (red), and $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s^*$ (purple).

In the calculation without couplings, two poles are observed on the real axis, positioned just below the thresholds of $\Xi_c' \bar{D}$ and $\Xi_c \bar{D}^*$, respectively. When the coupled-channel effects are included, the poles move off the real axis and form two conjugate poles in the complex energy plane. As the parameter increases, the poles shift left along the real axis, indicating stronger binding between the coupled channels. Compared to the results from our previous calculation without the $\bar{K}N$ channel, the pole positions remain similar, as expected, due to the large mass gap between the $\bar{K}N$ channel and the energy region considered here.

The poles in the complex energy plane manifest as structures in the cross section, which can be calculated from the scattering amplitudes along the real axis. As shown in Fig. 2, the cross sections exhibit complex structures due to the coupled-channel interactions in the considered energy region. Generally, the cross sections for the $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s$ and $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s^*$ channels are on the order of tens to hundreds of nanobarns (nb), significantly larger than those for the $\Xi_c \bar{D}$ and $\Xi_c' \bar{D}$ channels, which are on the order of several nb due to different phase spaces and exchange particles as shown in Table II. The cross section for the $\Lambda J/\psi$ channel is much smaller than the others, as it cannot couple directly with the $\bar{K}N$ channel.

Although the cross sections for the $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s$ and $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s^*$ channels are large, no obvious structures corresponding to the poles in the complex energy plane (i.e., the molecular pentaquarks) are observed. This suggests that the large cross

sections are primarily due to direct scattering rather than the formation of molecular states. As the cutoff Λ increases from 1.04 to 1.24 GeV, the cross section for the $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s$ channel decreases from about 100 nb to around 10 nb, while the cross section for the $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s^*$ channel increases from a few nb to about 300 nb. Additionally, a dip is likely to occur around 4450 MeV, attributed to the threshold effect of the $\Xi_c' \bar{D}$ channel.

For both the $\Xi_c \bar{D}$ and $\Xi_c' \bar{D}$ channels, the cross sections become more prominent. An obvious peak can be seen in the $\Xi_c' \bar{D}$ channel, with a cross section around 15 nb, which corresponds clearly to the pole below the $\Xi_c \bar{D}^*$ threshold related to the $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4459)$ observed at LHCb. However, as the cutoff decreases, the peak diminishes rapidly, and the poles shift towards the $\Xi_c \bar{D}^*$ threshold. At a cutoff of 1.14 GeV, the peak cross section drops to just a few nb, becoming nearly unobservable at a cutoff of 1.04 GeV. A relatively small peak is also observed in the $\Xi_c \bar{D}$ channel at a cutoff of 1.24 GeV, which transitions into a dip at a cutoff of 1.14 GeV, and then a very small peak at a cutoff of 1.04 GeV. This structure originates from the pole below the $\Xi_c' \bar{D}$ threshold, which also appears as a small peak in the $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s^*$ channel at cutoffs of 1.24 and 1.14 GeV.

C. Cross section for $J^P = 3/2^-$ in energy region [4350 – 4550] MeV

Now, we consider another partial wave with spin parity $J^P = 3/2^-$ in the same energy region. Without the couplings, two states with $J^P = 3/2^-$ are produced near the $\Xi_c \bar{D}^*$ and $\Xi_c' \bar{D}$ thresholds. After including the coupled-channel effects, the two states move off the real axis and acquire a width, as shown in Fig. 3. Similar to the $1/2^-$ case, the cross sections for the channels $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s$ and $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s^*$ are on the order of tens to hundreds of nb, which is much larger than the cross sections for the $\Xi_c \bar{D}$ and $\Xi_c' \bar{D}$ channels, which are on the order of a few nb. The cross section for the $\Lambda J/\psi$ channel is much smaller than that for the other channels.




FIG. 3: Similar to Fig. 2, but for the spin-parity $J^P = 3/2^-$.

The higher state near the $\Xi_c' \bar{D}$ threshold has a large width. With the increase of the cutoff, the width becomes larger, and the pole in the complex energy plane moves farther from the threshold. A peak corresponding to the pole can be observed in the cross section of the $\Xi_c' \bar{D}$ channel, and with the increase of the cutoff from 1.04 to 1.24 GeV, the peak becomes higher and more pronounced. However, this pole does not appear in other channels.

The lower state near the $\Xi_c \bar{D}^*$ threshold, which can be related to the $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4459)$ observed at LHCb, has a small width. At a cutoff of 1.04 GeV, the pole is close to the real axis and exhibits as a very narrow peak in the cross section of the $\Xi_c' \bar{D}$ channel. However, with the increase of the cutoff to 1.14 GeV, the pole moves to the $\Xi_c' \bar{D}$ threshold and naturally can no longer be observed in the $\Xi_c' \bar{D}$ channel. When the cutoff increases further to 1.24 GeV, the pole will even cross the $\Xi_c' \bar{D}$ threshold, and the corresponding peak in the $\Xi_c \bar{D}$ channel becomes more obvious. This state also exhibits as a small dip in the $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s$ channel. Additionally, a dip is also found in the $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s$ channel near the $\Xi_c' \bar{D}$ threshold, as in the case with $1/2^-$.

D. Cross section for $J^P = 1/2^-$ in energy region [4250 – 4380] MeV

The calculation without coupling effects suggests the presence of a bound state originating from the $\Xi_c \bar{D}$ interaction, corresponding to $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4338)$. As shown in Fig. 4, after accounting for coupled-channel effects, the state acquires a small width. When the cutoff parameter Λ increases, the pole shifts leftward along the real axis, and the pole near the $\Xi_c \bar{D}$ threshold becomes more prominent.




FIG. 4: Similar to Fig. 2, but in a lower energy region.

Below 4338 MeV, there are only two channels, the $\Lambda J/\psi$ and the $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s$, that we need to consider. From the calculation results of the scattering cross section, regardless of the value of the parameter, the scattering cross section in the $\Lambda J/\psi$ channel is very small and almost undetectable. The scattering cross section results in the $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s$ channel show a swing structure occurring around 4340 MeV at all cutoffs of 1.04, 1.14 and 1.24 GeV. This is due to the pole near the $\Xi_c \bar{D}$ threshold, which corresponds to $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4338)$, with interference from the background of other interactions.

IV. SUMMARY

In this study, we investigated the kaon-induced production of strange hidden-charm molecular pentaquark states, including $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4338)$ and $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4459)$, using a coupled-channel calculation. The interactions considered involved the $\bar{K}N$, $\Xi_c^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$, $\Xi_c'\bar{D}^{(*)}$, $\Lambda_c\bar{D}_s^{(*)}$, and $\Lambda J/\psi$ channels. Effective Lagrangians were employed to construct the interaction potentials, which were incorporated into the quasipotential Bethe-Salpeter equation to compute scattering amplitudes. Partial wave cross sections for $J^P = 1/2^-$ and $3/2^-$ are analyzed, revealing complex structures and significant energy-dependent behavior.

For partial wave $J^P = 1/2^-$, in the lower energy region, the pole near the $\Xi_c\bar{D}$ threshold, associated with $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4338)$, generates a noticeable swing structure in the cross section for the $\Lambda_c\bar{D}_s$ and $\Lambda_c\bar{D}_s^*$ channels. This structure remains stable across variations in the cutoff and exhibits a magnitude of several nb. In the higher energy region, the $\Lambda_c\bar{D}_s$ and $\Lambda_c\bar{D}_s^*$ channels exhibit large cross sections, reaching up to several hundreds of nb. However, no distinct peaks corresponding to molecular states were observed, apart from threshold-induced cusps, suggesting that these contributions arise primarily from direct scattering processes rather than resonance effects.

In the $\Xi_c'\bar{D}$ channel, a prominent peak, associated with a pole below the $\Xi_c\bar{D}^*$ threshold, is observed with a cross section of approximately 15 nb. This peak is linked to the $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4459)$ state observed by LHCb. As the cutoff decreases, the peak diminishes significantly, becoming barely visible. In the $\Xi_c\bar{D}$ channel, a smaller peak is evident at a cutoff of 1.24 GeV, transitions into a dip at 1.14 GeV, and reappears as a very weak peak at 1.04 GeV. These structures originate from the pole below the $\Xi_c'\bar{D}$ threshold, which also gives rise to small peaks in the $\Lambda_c\bar{D}_s^*$ channel at higher cutoff values.

For the partial wave $J^P = 3/2^-$, the pole near the $\Xi_c\bar{D}^*$ threshold, associated with the $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4459)$, manifests as a peak in the $\Xi_c'\bar{D}$ and $\Xi_c\bar{D}$ channels. At a cutoff of 1.04 GeV, this pole exhibits a narrow width, remaining close to the real axis, and produces a sharp peak in the $\Xi_c'\bar{D}$ channel. As the cutoff increases, the pole shifts to the $\Xi_c'\bar{D}$ threshold and disappears from the $\Xi_c\bar{D}$ channel. At even higher cutoffs, the pole crosses the $\Xi_c'\bar{D}$ threshold, resulting in a narrow peak in the $\Xi_c\bar{D}$ channel. This state also generates a small dip in the $\Lambda_c\bar{D}_s$ channel. Additionally, states near the $\Xi_c^*\bar{D}$ threshold produce a broad peak in the $\Xi_c'\bar{D}$ channel, characterized by a large width and relatively weak sensitivity to the cutoff. Furthermore, a dip near the $\Xi_c'\bar{D}$ threshold is observed in the $\Lambda_c\bar{D}_s$ channel, similar to the behavior seen in the $J^P = 1/2^-$ case. These observations highlight the intricate interplay between thresholds and poles, driven by coupled-channel dynamics.

These findings suggest that the strange hidden-charmed pentaquarks, such as $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4338)$ and $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4459)$, may potentially be observed in kaon-induced production processes. High-precision experiments utilizing kaon beams would be instrumental in confirming the existence of these states and exploring their properties in detail. Such studies could provide valuable insights into the formation mechanisms of strange hidden-charm pentaquark.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

J. He is supported by the National Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12475080), and J. T. Zhu is supported by the Start-up Funds of Changzhou University (Grant No. ZMF24020043) and the National Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12405090).

-
- [1] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], “Observation of $J/\psi p$ Resonances Consistent with Pentaquark States in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^- p$ Decays,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** 072001 (2015)
- [2] J. J. Wu, R. Molina, E. Oset and B. S. Zou, “Prediction of narrow N^* and Λ^* resonances with hidden charm above 4 GeV,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 232001 (2010)
- [3] Z. C. Yang, Z. F. Sun, J. He, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, “The possible hidden-charm molecular baryons composed of anti-charmed meson and charmed baryon,” *Chin. Phys. C* **36**, 6-13 (2012)
- [4] W. L. Wang, F. Huang, Z. Y. Zhang and B. S. Zou, “ $\Sigma_c\bar{D}$ and $\Lambda_c\bar{D}$ states in a chiral quark model,” *Phys. Rev. C* **84**, 015203 (2011)
- [5] C. W. Xiao, J. Nieves and E. Oset, “Combining heavy quark spin and local hidden gauge symmetries in the dynamical generation of hidden charm baryons,” *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 056012 (2013)
- [6] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, “The hidden-charm pentaquark and tetraquark states,” *Phys. Rept.* **639**, 1-121 (2016)
- [7] F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart, U. G. Meißner, Q. Wang, Q. Zhao and B. S. Zou, “Hadronic molecules,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **90**, no.1, 015004 (2018)
- [8] R. Chen, X. Liu, X. Q. Li and S. L. Zhu, “Identifying exotic hidden-charm pentaquarks,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, no. 13, 132002 (2015)
- [9] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, T. G. Steele and S. L. Zhu, “Towards exotic hidden-charm pentaquarks in QCD,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, no. 17, 172001 (2015)
- [10] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, “New Exotic Meson and Baryon Resonances from Doubly-Heavy Hadronic Molecules,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** no.12, 122001 (2015)
- [11] L. Roca, J. Nieves and E. Oset, “LHCb pentaquark as a $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c - \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*$ molecular state,” *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, no. 9, 094003 (2015)
- [12] J. He, “ $\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$ and $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$ interactions and the LHCb hidden-charmed pentaquarks,” *Phys. Lett. B* **753**, 547 (2016)
- [13] T. J. Burns, “Phenomenology of $P_c(4380)^+$, $P_c(4450)^+$ and related states,” *Eur. Phys. J. A* **51** no.11, 152(2015)
- [14] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], “Observation of a narrow pentaquark state, $P_c(4312)^+$, and of two-peak structure of the $P_c(4450)^+$,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** no.22, 222001 (2019)
- [15] M. Z. Liu, Y. W. Pan, F. Z. Peng, M. Sánchez Sánchez, L. S. Geng, A. Hosaka and M. Pavaon Valderrama, “Emergence of a complete heavy-quark spin symmetry multiplet: seven

- molecular pentaquarks in light of the latest LHCb analysis,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, no.24, 242001 (2019)
- [16] C. W. Xiao, J. Nieves and E. Oset, “Heavy quark spin symmetric molecular states from $\bar{D}^{(*)}\Sigma_c^{(*)}$ and other coupled channels in the light of the recent LHCb pentaquarks,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, no.1, 014021 (2019)
- [17] J. He, “Study of $P_c(4457)$, $P_c(4440)$, and $P_c(4312)$ in a quasipotential Bethe-Salpeter equation approach,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, no.5, 393 (2019)
- [18] H. X. Chen, W. Chen and S. L. Zhu, “Possible interpretations of the $P_c(4312)$, $P_c(4440)$, and $P_c(4457)$,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, no.5, 051501 (2019)
- [19] M. L. Du, V. Baru, F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart, U. G. Meißner, J. A. Oller and Q. Wang, “Interpretation of the LHCb P_c States as Hadronic Molecules and Hints of a Narrow $P_c(4380)$,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, no.7, 072001 (2020)
- [20] R. Chen, Z. F. Sun, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, “Strong LHCb evidence supporting the existence of the hidden-charm molecular pentaquarks,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, no.1, 011502 (2019)
- [21] V. V. Anisovich, M. A. Matveev, J. Nyiri, A. V. Sarantsev and A. N. Semenova, Nonstrange and strange pentaquarks with hidden charm, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **30**, no.32, 1550190 (2015)
- [22] Z. G. Wang, Analysis of the $\frac{1}{2}^{\pm}$ pentaquark states in the diquark–diquark–antiquark model with QCD sum rules, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, no.3, 142 (2016)
- [23] A. Feijoo, V. K. Magas, A. Ramos and E. Oset, A hidden-charm $S = -1$ pentaquark from the decay of Λ_b into J/ψ , $\eta\Lambda$ states, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, no.8, 446 (2016)
- [24] J. X. Lu, E. Wang, J. J. Xie, L. S. Geng and E. Oset, The $\Lambda_b \rightarrow J/\psi K^0\Lambda$ reaction and a hidden-charm pentaquark state with strangeness, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 094009 (2016)
- [25] H. X. Chen, L. S. Geng, W. H. Liang, E. Oset, E. Wang and J. J. Xie, “Looking for a hidden-charm pentaquark state with strangeness $S=-1$ from Ξ_b^- decay into $J/\psi K^-\Lambda$,” *Phys. Rev. C* **93**, no.6, 065203 (2016)
- [26] R. Chen, J. He and X. Liu, Possible strange hidden-charm pentaquarks from $\Sigma_c^{(*)}\bar{D}_s^*$ and $\Xi_c^{(*)}\bar{D}^*$ interactions, *Chin. Phys. C* **41**, no.10, 103105 (2017)
- [27] C. W. Xiao, J. Nieves and E. Oset, Prediction of hidden charm strange molecular baryon states with heavy quark spin symmetry, *Phys. Lett. B* **799**, 135051 (2019)
- [28] Q. Zhang, B. R. He and J. L. Ping, Pentaquarks with the $qq_s\bar{Q}Q$ configuration in the Chiral Quark Model, [arXiv:2006.01042 [hep-ph]].
- [29] B. Wang, L. Meng and S. L. Zhu, “Spectrum of the strange hidden charm molecular pentaquarks in chiral effective field theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, no.3, 034018 (2020)
- [30] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], “Evidence of a $J/\psi\Lambda$ structure and observation of excited Ξ^- states in the $\Xi_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda K^-$ decay,” *Sci. Bull.* **66**, 1278-1287 (2021)
- [31] J. T. Zhu, S. Y. Kong and J. He, “ $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4459)$ and $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4338)$ as molecular states in $J/\psi\Lambda$ invariant mass spectra,” *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, no.3, 034029 (2023)
- [32] J. T. Zhu, L. Q. Song and J. He, “ $P_{cs}(4459)$ and other possible molecular states from $\Xi_c^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ and $\Xi_c^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ interactions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, no.7, 074007 (2021)
- [33] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu and X. H. Liu, “Establishing the first hidden-charm pentaquark with strangeness,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, no.5, 409 (2021)
- [34] Z. G. Wang and Q. Xin, “Analysis of hidden-charm pentaquark molecular states with and without strangeness via the QCD sum rules*,” *Chin. Phys. C* **45**, no.12, 123105 (2021)
- [35] F. Z. Peng, M. J. Yan, M. Sánchez Sánchez and M. P. Valderama, “The $P_{cs}(4459)$ pentaquark from a combined effective field theory and phenomenological perspective,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, no.7, 666 (2021)
- [36] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], “Observation of a $J/\psi\Lambda$ Resonance Consistent with a Strange Pentaquark Candidate in $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda p$ Decays,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, no.3, 031901 (2023)
- [37] X. W. Wang and Z. G. Wang, “Analysis of $P_{cs}(4338)$ and related pentaquark molecular states via QCD sum rules*,” *Chin. Phys. C* **47**, no.1, 013109 (2023)
- [38] F. L. Wang and X. Liu, “Emergence of molecular-type characteristic spectrum of hidden-charm pentaquark with strangeness embodied in the $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4338)$ and $P_{cs}(4459)$,” *Phys. Lett. B* **835**, 137583 (2022)
- [39] M. J. Yan, F. Z. Peng, M. Sánchez Sánchez and M. Pavon Valderrama, “ $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4338)$ pentaquark and its partners in the molecular picture,” *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, no.7, 7 (2023)
- [40] U. Özdem, “Investigation of magnetic moment of $P_{cs}(4338)$ and $P_{cs}(4459)$ pentaquark states,” *Phys. Lett. B* **836**, 137635 (2023)
- [41] F. L. Wang, H. Y. Zhou, Z. W. Liu and X. Liu, “What can we learn from the electromagnetic properties of hidden-charm molecular pentaquarks with single strangeness?,” *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, no.5, 054020 (2022)
- [42] S. X. Nakamura and J. J. Wu, “Pole determination of $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4338)$ and possible $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4255)$ in $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda p$,” *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, no.1, L011501 (2023)
- [43] A. Giachino, A. Hosaka, E. Santopinto, S. Takeuchi, M. Takizawa and Y. Yamaguchi, “Rich structure of the hidden-charm pentaquarks near threshold regions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, no.7, 074012 (2023)
- [44] P. G. Ortega, D. R. Entem and F. Fernandez, “Strange hidden-charm $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4459)$ and $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda(4338)$ pentaquarks and additional $P_{\psi_s}^\Lambda$, $P_{\psi_s}^\Sigma$ and $P_{\psi_s}^N$ candidates in a quark model approach,” *Phys. Lett. B* **838**, 137747 (2023)
- [45] Y. Huang, J. He, H. F. Zhang and X. R. Chen, “Discovery potential of hidden charm baryon resonances via photoproduction,” *J. Phys. G* **41**, no.11, 115004 (2014)
- [46] Q. F. Lü, X. Y. Wang, J. J. Xie, X. R. Chen and Y. B. Dong, “Neutral hidden charm pentaquark states $P_c^0(4380)$ and $P_c^0(4450)$ in $\pi^- p \rightarrow J/\psi n$ reaction,” *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, no.3, 034009 (2016)
- [47] Y. Huang, J. J. Xie, J. He, X. Chen and H. F. Zhang, “Photoproduction of hidden-charm states in the $\gamma p \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0}\Lambda_c^+$ reaction near threshold,” *Chin. Phys. C* **40**, no.12, 124104 (2016)
- [48] S. H. Kim, H. C. Kim and A. Hosaka, “Heavy pentaquark states $P_c(4380)$ and $P_c(4450)$ in the J/ψ production induced by pion beams off the nucleon,” *Phys. Lett. B* **763**, 358-364 (2016)
- [49] X. Cao, F. K. Guo, Y. T. Liang, J. J. Wu, J. J. Xie, Y. P. Xie, Z. Yang and B. S. Zou, “Photoproduction of hidden-bottom pentaquark and related topics,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, no.7, 074010 (2020)
- [50] X. Y. Wang, X. R. Chen and J. He, “Possibility to study pentaquark states $P_c(4312)$, $P_c(4440)$, and $P_c(4457)$ in $\gamma p \rightarrow J/\psi p$ reaction,” *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, no.11, 114007 (2019)
- [51] Y. P. Xie, X. Cao, Y. T. Liang and X. Chen, “Production of hidden-charm and hidden-bottom pentaquark states in electron-proton collisions,” *Chin. Phys. C* **45**, no.4, 043105 (2021)
- [52] P. P. Shi, F. K. Guo and Z. Yang, “Semi-inclusive electroproduction of hidden-charm and double-charm hadronic molecules,” *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, no.11, 114026 (2022)
- [53] X. Y. Wang, J. He, X. R. Chen, Q. Wang and X. Zhu, “Pion-induced production of hidden-charm pentaquarks $P_c(4312)$, $P_c(4440)$, and $P_c(4457)$,” *Phys. Lett. B* **797**, 134862

- (2019)
- [54] X. Y. Wang and X. R. Chen, “Production of the superheavy baryon $\Lambda_{c\bar{c}}^*$ (4209) in kaon-induced reaction,” *Eur. Phys. J. A* **51**, no.7, 85 (2015)
- [55] S. Clymton, H. J. Kim and H. C. Kim, “Production of hidden-charm strange pentaquarks Pcs from the $K^- p \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda$ reaction,” *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, no.1, 014023 (2021)
- [56] E. Y. Paryev, “On the possibility of testing the two-peak structure of the LHCb hidden-charm strange pentaquark Pcs(4459)0 in near-threshold antikaon-induced charmonium production on protons and nuclei,” *Nucl. Phys. A* **1037**, 122687 (2023)
- [57] E. Y. Paryev, “Regarding the possibility to observe the LHCb hidden-charm strange pentaquark Pcs(4459)0 in antikaon-induced J/ψ meson production on protons and nuclei near the $J/\psi\Lambda$ production threshold,” *Nucl. Phys. A* **1023**, 122452 (2022)
- [58] S. Clymton, H. J. Kim and H. C. Kim, “The effect of hidden-charm strange pentaquarks Pcs on the $K^- p \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda$ reaction,” *Rev. Mex. Fis. Suppl.* **3**, no.3, 0308040 (2022)
- [59] C. Cheng, F. Yang and Y. Huang, “Searching for strange hidden-charm pentaquark state Pcs(4459) in $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ Pcs(4459)$ reaction,” *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, no.11, 116007 (2021)
- [60] H. Y. Cheng, C. Y. Cheung, G. L. Lin, Y. C. Lin, T. M. Yan and H. L. Yu, “Chiral Lagrangians for radiative decays of heavy hadrons,” *Phys. Rev. D* **47**, 1030-1042 (1993)
- [61] T. M. Yan, H. Y. Cheng, C. Y. Cheung, G. L. Lin, Y. C. Lin and H. L. Yu, “Heavy quark symmetry and chiral dynamics,” *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 1148 (1992) Erratum: [*Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 5851 (1997)].
- [62] M. B. Wise, “Chiral perturbation theory for hadrons containing a heavy quark,” *Phys. Rev. D* **45**, 2188 (1992).
- [63] R. Casalbuoni, A. Deandrea, N. Di Bartolomeo, R. Gatto, F. Feruglio and G. Nardulli, “Phenomenology of heavy meson chiral Lagrangians,” *Phys. Rept.* **281**, 145 (1997)
- [64] M. Tanabashi *et al.* [Particle Data Group], “Review of Particle Physics,” *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, no. 3, 030001 (2018).
- [65] Y. R. Liu and M. Oka, “ $\Lambda_c N$ bound states revisited,” *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 014015 (2012)
- [66] C. Isola, M. Ladisa, G. Nardulli and P. Santorelli, “Charming penguins in $B \rightarrow K^* \pi, K(\rho, \omega, \phi)$ decays,” *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 114001 (2003)
- [67] A. F. Falk and M. E. Luke, “Strong decays of excited heavy mesons in chiral perturbation theory,” *Phys. Lett. B* **292**, 119 (1992)
- [68] J. T. Zhu, S. Y. Kong, L. Q. Song and J. He, “Systematical study of Ω_c like molecular states from interactions $\Xi_c^{(*)} K$ and $\Xi^{(*)} D^{(*)}$,” *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, no.9, 094036 (2022)
- [69] D. Ronchen, M. Doring, F. Huang, H. Haberzettl, J. Haidenbauer, C. Hanhart, S. Krewald, U. G. Meissner and K. Nakayama, “Coupled-channel dynamics in the reactions $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N, K\Lambda, K\Sigma$,” *Eur. Phys. J. A* **49**, 44 (2013)
- [70] A. Matsuyama, T. Sato and T. S. H. Lee, “Dynamical coupled-channel model of meson production reactions in the nucleon resonance region,” *Phys. Rept.* **439**, 193-253 (2007)
- [71] Z. T. Lu, H. Y. Jiang and J. He, “Possible molecular states from the $N\Delta$ interaction,” *Phys. Rev. C* **102**, no.4, 045202 (2020)
- [72] Y. Y. Wang, Q. F. Lü, E. Wang and D. M. li, “Role of $Y(4630)$ in the $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \Lambda_c \bar{\Lambda}_c$ reaction near threshold,” *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 014025 (2016)
- [73] S. Okubo, “SU(4), SU(8) Mass Formulas and Weak Interactions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **11**, 3261-3269 (1975)
- [74] A. Sibirtsev, K. Tsushima and A. W. Thomas, “Charmonium absorption by nucleons,” *Phys. Rev. C* **63**, 044906 (2001)
- [75] W. Liu, C. M. Ko and Z. W. Lin, “Cross-section for charmonium absorption by nucleons,” *Phys. Rev. C* **65**, 015203 (2002)
- [76] Y. Dong, A. Faessler, T. Gutsche and V. E. Lyubovitskij, “Strong two-body decays of the Lambda(c)(2940)+ in a hadronic molecule picture,” *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 014006 (2010)
- [77] Q. F. Lü and Y. B. Dong, “Strong decay mode $J/\psi p$ of hidden charm pentaquark states $P_c^*(4380)$ and $P_c^*(4450)$ in $\Sigma_c \bar{D}^*$ molecular scenario,” *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, no.7, 074020 (2016)
- [78] A. Khodjamirian, C. Klein, T. Mannel and Y. M. Wang, “How much charm can PANDA produce?,” *Eur. Phys. J. A* **48**, 31 (2012)
- [79] E. J. Garzon and J. J. Xie, “Effects of a $N_{c\bar{c}}^*$ resonance with hidden charm in the $\pi^- p \rightarrow D^- \Sigma_c^+$ reaction near threshold,” *Phys. Rev. C* **92**, no.3, 035201 (2015)
- [80] J. He and D. Y. Chen, “Molecular states from $\Sigma_c^{(*)} \bar{D}^{(*)} - \Lambda_c \bar{D}^{(*)}$ interaction,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** no.11, 887 (2019)
- [81] F. Gross and A. Stadler, “Covariant spectator theory of np scattering: Phase shifts obtained from precision fits to data below 350-MeV,” *Phys. Rev. C* **78**, 014005 (2008)
- [82] J. He, “The $Z_c(3900)$ as a resonance from the $D\bar{D}^*$ interaction,” *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, no.3, 034004 (2015)
- [83] J. He, “Study of the $B\bar{B}^*/D\bar{D}^*$ bound states in a Bethe-Salpeter approach,” *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, no. 7, 076008 (2014)
- [84] J. He, D. Y. Chen and X. Liu, “New Structure Around 3250 MeV in the Baryonic B Decay and the $D_0^*(2400)N$ Molecular Hadron,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72**, 2121 (2012)
- [85] L. Roca, E. Oset and J. Singh, “Low lying axial-vector mesons as dynamically generated resonances,” *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 014002 (2005)
- [86] J. He and P. L. Lu, “The octet meson and octet baryon interaction with strangeness and the $\Lambda(1405)$,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* **24**, no.11, 1550088 (2015)