

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF CLUSTERS IN HIERARCHICAL SPECIES SAMPLING MODELS

STEFANO FAVARO, SHUI FENG, AND J. E. PAGUYO

ABSTRACT. Consider a sample of size N from a population governed by a hierarchical species sampling model. We study the large N asymptotic behavior of the number \mathbf{K}_N of clusters and the number $\mathbf{M}_{r,N}$ of clusters with frequency r in the sample. In particular, we show almost sure and L^p convergence for $\mathbf{M}_{r,N}$, obtain Gaussian fluctuation theorems for \mathbf{K}_N , and establish large deviation principles for both \mathbf{K}_N and $\mathbf{M}_{r,N}$. Our approach relies on a random sample size representation of the number of clusters through the corresponding non-hierarchical species sampling model.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a population of individuals with type (probability) distribution given by $\mathbf{p} \in \Delta$, where

$$\Delta := \left\{ \mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots) : 0 \leq p_i \leq 1 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i = 1 \right\}$$

is the infinite dimensional simplex. For $n \geq 1$, let $(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)$ be a random sample of size n from a population with type distribution $\mathbf{P} = (P_1, P_2, \dots)$ which is a Δ -valued random variable. The sample induces a random partition, Π_n , of $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, where i and j are in the same block if and only if $\eta_i = \eta_j$ almost surely. Two important statistics in this framework are K_n , the number of distinct types in the sample, and $M_{r,n}$, the number of distinct types with frequency r in the sample, for $1 \leq r \leq n$.

A *partition* $\pi_n = (\pi_{1,n}, \dots, \pi_{k,n})$ of $[n]$ is defined as the collection of disjoint nonempty subsets $\{\pi_{i,n}\}_{i=1}^k$ of $[n]$ called *blocks* or *clusters*, such that $\cup_{i=1}^k \pi_{i,n} = [n]$. Let $|\pi_n| = k$ be the number of clusters of π_n , and let $|\pi_{i,n}|$ be the number of elements in cluster i , for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let \mathcal{P}_n denote the collection of all partitions of $[n]$ and let $\Pi_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$ be a random partition of $[n]$. In particular, a sequence of random partitions $\{\Pi_n\}$ is a *random partition of \mathbb{N}* if $\Pi_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$ for all n , and if the restriction of Π_n to \mathcal{P}_m is Π_m for all $m < n$. An *exchangeable random partition of \mathbb{N}* is a random partition Π_n that is invariant under permutations of \mathbb{N} , namely the probability of a partition depends only on the relative sizes of its clusters. Accordingly, the distribution of an exchangeable random partition is characterized by its *exchangeable partition probability function* (EPPF), which is a unique symmetric function, denoted by q , such that

$$P(\Pi_n = \pi_n) = q(|\pi_{1,n}|, \dots, |\pi_{k,n}|)$$

where $(|\pi_{1,n}|, \dots, |\pi_{k,n}|)$ is identified as $(|\pi_{1,n}|, \dots, |\pi_{k,n}|, 0, 0, \dots)$. By *Kingman's correspondence theorem* [Kingman, 1978], there is a one-to-one map between the distribution of the descending ordered \mathbf{P}^\downarrow of \mathbf{P} and an exchangeable random partition, Π_n , of \mathbb{N} . See Pitman [2006, Chapter 2] for a detailed account on exchangeable random partitions.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60F05, 60F10.

Key words and phrases. Bayesian nonparametrics, random partitions, hierarchical processes, Dirichlet process, species sampling models, Ewens-Pitman sampling model, central limit theorem, large deviations.

1.1. Species Sampling Models. Given a Polish space S , let $M_1(S)$ denote the space of probabilities on S equipped with the weak topology. For any fixed ν in $M_1(S)$, let $\{Z_k\}$ be a sequence of iid random variables in S with common distribution ν independently of the Δ -valued random variable \mathbf{P} , and consider the discrete random measure

$$\Xi = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_k \delta_{Z_k}.$$

Since the order of \mathbf{P} is not relevant in Ξ , we may assume that \mathbf{P} is in descending order. Following Pitman [1996], we call Ξ a *species sampling random measure* (SSRM). The distribution of Ξ , denoted by $\text{SSRM}(q, \nu)$, is uniquely determined by the EPPF, q , and the base measure ν .

In this paper, we assume that ν is diffuse, i.e., $\nu(\{s\}) = 0$ for all s in S . Let (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) be a random sample of size n , sampled from a population with random distribution Ξ . Due to the discreteness of Ξ , there will be ties among the samples, and random partitions based on the sample values are induced. Since ν is diffuse, it follows that all Z'_k 's are distinct with probability one. Thus the random partitions generated by (X_1, \dots, X_n) will have the same distribution as that generated by (η_1, \dots, η_n) . The same notation K_n and $M_{r,n}$ will be used to denote the total number of clusters and the number of clusters with frequency r induced by (X_1, \dots, X_n) . The distribution of the random partition, Π_n , induced by this sample is called a *species sampling model*, denoted by $\text{SSM}(q)$. As a shorthand of this sampling procedure, we say that (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) is a random sample of size n from a population governed by $\text{SSM}(q)$.

The *Pitman-Yor process* [Perman et al., 1992; Pitman and Yor, 1997] is arguably the most popular example of SSRMs. In particular, for a pair of parameters $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $\theta > -\alpha$, and base measure ν , the Pitman-Yor process is the discrete random measure

$$\Xi_{\alpha, \theta, \nu} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k \delta_{Z_k} \sim \text{PYP}(\alpha, \theta, \nu),$$

where $\mathbf{V} = (V_1, V_2, \dots)$, rearranged in weakly decreasing order, is distributed according to the *two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution*, $\text{PD}(\alpha, \theta)$. When $\alpha = 0$ we recover the *Dirichlet process*, $\text{DP}(\theta, \nu)$, first investigated in Bayesian nonparametrics by Ferguson [1973]. See Feng [2010] for a treatise on the Poisson-Dirichlet distribution.

The distribution of the random partition induced by the Pitman-Yor process is called the *Ewens-Pitman model* with parameters $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $\theta > -\alpha$, denoted by $\text{EPM}(\alpha, \theta)$. When $\alpha = 0$, we recover the *Ewens model* in population genetics. The Ewens-Pitman model plays a critical role in a variety of research areas, including population genetics, the neutral theory of biodiversity, Bayesian nonparametric statistics, combinatorics, machine learning, and statistical physics. See Pitman [2006, Chapter 3] and Crane [2016] for an overview of the Ewens-Pitman model and generalizations thereof.

For $\text{EPM}(\alpha, \theta)$, there is an extensive literature on the study of the asymptotic behavior of K_n and $M_{r,n}$. To indicate the dependence on the parameters explicitly, we will write

i)

$$K_n(\alpha, \theta) := K_n \text{ and } M_{r,n}(\alpha, \theta) := M_{r,n};$$

ii)

$$K_n(\theta) := K_n(0, \theta) \text{ and } M_{r,n}(\theta) := M_{r,n}(0, \theta).$$

In the case where $\alpha = 0$ and $\theta > 0$, Korwar and Hollander [1973, Theorem 2.3] showed that

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_n(\theta)}{\log n} = \theta$$

almost surely. Moreover, since $K_n(\theta)$ can be represented as the sum of dependent Bernoulli random variables [Pitman, 2006, Chapter 4], by means of the Lindeberg-Feller central limit theorem

$$(2) \quad \frac{K_n(\theta) - \theta \log n}{\sqrt{\theta \log n}} \rightarrow N(0, 1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where the convergence is in distribution. Arratia et al. [1992, Theorem 1] showed

$$(3) \quad (M_{1,n}(\theta), M_{2,n}(\theta), \dots) \rightarrow (Z_1, Z_2, \dots),$$

where $Z_r \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta/r)$ and the convergence is in distribution. See also Arratia et al. [2003].

As a generalization of (1), for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\theta > -\alpha$, Pitman [2006, Theorem 3.8] showed that

$$(4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_n(\alpha, \theta)}{n^\alpha} = S_{\alpha, \theta},$$

where the limit holds almost surely and in L^p with $S_{\alpha, \theta}$ being a scaled Mittag-Leffler random variable commonly referred to as *Pitman's α -diversity*. From Pitman [2006, Lemma 3.11]

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_{r,n}(\alpha, \theta)}{n^\alpha} \rightarrow p_\alpha(r) S_{\alpha, \theta}$$

holds almost surely and in L^p , where $p_\alpha(r) := \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)^{(r-1)}}{r!}$ and $(n)^{(k)} := n(n+1) \cdots (n+k-1)$ is the rising factorial. See also Bercu and Favaro [2024] for details on (4) and (5). Large deviation principles were established for $\{K_n(\alpha, \theta)\}_{n \geq 1}$ by Feng and Hoppe [1998] and for $\{M_{r,n}(\alpha, \theta)\}_{n \geq 1}$ by Favaro and Feng [2015]. Moderate deviation principles for both $\{K_n(\alpha, \theta)\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\{M_{r,n}(\alpha, \theta)\}_{n \geq 1}$ were obtained by Favaro et al. [2018].

Dolera and Favaro [2020] proved a Berry-Essen theorem for K_n , providing a convergence rate for (4). Maller and Shemehsavar [2023] studied the joint distribution of the frequencies and total number of clusters and proved limit theorems for various models. Gaussian fluctuations and laws of iterated logarithms for K_n and $M_{r,n}$, in the case $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\theta > -\alpha$, are proved by Bercu and Favaro [2024] via a martingale approach.

1.2. Hierarchical Species Sampling Models. A hierarchical species sampling random measure (HSSRM) is defined as a vector of d random measures (Ξ_1, \dots, Ξ_d) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_i \mid \Xi_0 &\stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{SSRM}(q, \Xi_0), & i \in [d] \\ \Xi_0 &\sim \text{SSRM}(q_0, \nu_0), \end{aligned}$$

where ν_0 is the base measure and q_0, q are two EPPFs. Then, we denote this by $\text{HSSRM}(q_0, q, \nu_0, d)$.

Consider a sample of size $N = \sum_{i=1}^d N_i$ generated by a HSSRM, consisting of d partially exchangeable sequences, say $\{(X_{i,1}, \dots, X_{i,N_i})\}_{i \in [d]}$, such that each sequence $(X_{i,1}, \dots, X_{i,N_i})$ is a random sample of size N_i from a population governed by $\text{SSRM}(q, \Xi_0)$, with $\Xi_0 \sim \text{SSRM}(q_0, \nu_0)$. Since the random measures Ξ_i 's and Ξ_0 are discrete, we have that $P(X_{\ell,i} = X_{k,j}) > 0$ for any ℓ and k , so that there is a positive probability of equality, both within each sample and across the different samples. Accordingly, the sample $\{(X_{i,1}, \dots, X_{i,N_i})\}_{i \in [d]}$ induces a random partition, which is a composition of random partitions at each level of the hierarchy, where $X_{\ell,i}$ and $X_{k,j}$ are in the same cluster if and only if $X_{\ell,i} = X_{k,j}$ almost surely. In particular, the distribution of the random partition induced by a HSSRM is called a *hierarchical species sampling model*, denoted by $\text{HSSM}(q_0, q)$. As a shorthand, we say that $\{(X_{i,1}, \dots, X_{i,N_i})\}_{i \in [d]}$ is a random sample of size N from a population governed by $\text{HSSM}(q_0, q, d)$.

The main focus of this paper is on the hierarchical Pitman-Yor process, namely the HSSRM

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Xi_i \mid \Xi_0 &\stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{PYP}(\beta, \theta, \Xi_0), & i \in [d] \\ \Xi_0 &\sim \text{PYP}(\alpha, \theta_0, \nu), \end{aligned}$$

with $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1)$, $\theta + \beta > 0$, and $\theta_0 + \alpha > 0$. The case $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ is the *hierarchical Pitman-Yor process* [Teh and Jordan, 2010], denoted by $\text{HPYP}(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta, \nu, d)$. Further, by setting $\alpha = \beta = 0$ yields the *hierarchical Dirichlet process*, $\text{HDP}(\theta_0, \theta, \nu, d) := \text{HPYP}(0, \theta_0, 0, \theta, \nu, d)$, introduced by Teh et al. [2006]. We can also get mixed cases by letting one level of the hierarchy be a Dirichlet process and the other a Pitman-Yor process. Here, we are interested in the hierarchical Pitman-Yor process, namely $\text{HPYP}(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta, \nu, d)$, with $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$, $\theta_0 > -\alpha$, and $\theta > -\beta$, as well as in the special cases:

- *hierarchical Pitman-Yor-Dirichlet process*, i.e.

$$\text{HPYDP}(\alpha, \theta_0, \theta, \nu, d) := \text{HPYP}(\alpha, \theta_0, 0, \theta, \nu, d);$$

- *hierarchical Dirichlet-Pitman-Yor process*, i.e.

$$\text{HDPYP}(\theta_0, \beta, \theta, \nu, d) := \text{HPYP}(0, \theta_0, \beta, \theta, \nu, d);$$

- *hierarchical Dirichlet process*, i.e.

$$\text{HDP}(\theta_0, \theta, \nu, d) := \text{HPYP}(0, \theta_0, 0, \theta, \nu, d).$$

1.3. Main Results. For any integer $N \geq 1$, let $\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)$ and $\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)$ denote the *number of clusters* and the *number of clusters with frequency r* , respectively, in a sample of size N from a population governed by the HSSRM defined in 6.

This paper deals with the large N asymptotic behavior of $\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)$ and $\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)$ including almost sure and L^p convergence, Gaussian fluctuations, and large deviation principles. There has been some recent work on the asymptotic behavior of clusters in hierarchical species sampling models. Camerlenghi et al. [2019] developed a detailed distribution theory for hierarchical random measures generated via normalization, which encompass both the hierarchical Pitman-Yor and Dirichlet processes, providing the distribution of the number of clusters. Subsequently, Bassetti et al. [2020] obtained finite sample and asymptotic distributions of the number of clusters, whereas Bassetti and Ladelli [2020, 2021] investigated clusters in species sampling models with non-diffuse base measure and mixtures of species sampling models. Our work contributes to this recent literature, providing the following main results:

- almost sure and L^p convergence for $\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)$ (Proposition 3.2);
- Poisson limit theorem for $\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(0, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)$ with $\beta \in [0, 1)$ (Theorem 3.5);
- a Gaussian fluctuation theorem for the number of clusters in a random sample of random size $\xi(N)$ from a population governed by $\text{SSM}(q_0)$ (Theorem 4.1);
- as an application of Theorem 4.1: Gaussian fluctuation theorems for $\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta)$ in all four cases (Theorem 4.2);
- a large deviation principle for the number of clusters in a random sample of random size $\xi(N)$ from a population governed by $\text{SSM}(q_0)$ (Theorem 5.1);
- as an application of Theorem 5.1: large deviation principles for $\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)$ and $\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)$ (Theorems 5.3).

1.4. Outline. In Section 2, we introduce the necessary notation and existing results that we use throughout the paper. The almost sure and L^p convergence for $\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)$ is obtained in Section 3. In Section 4, we start with the formulation and establishment of a Gaussian fluctuation theorem for the number of clusters in a random sample of the $\text{SSM}(q_0)$ with random sample size. This is then used to obtain the Gaussian fluctuations for $\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta)$. We conclude the section with an alternative proof of the single level Gaussian fluctuation theorem from Bercu and Favaro [2024]. Large deviation principles are obtained in Section 5 for the number of clusters in a random sample of the $\text{SSM}(q_0)$ with random sample size, and for both $\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)$ and $\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)$. We conclude the paper by discussing some directions for future work in Section 6.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notation. Let a_n, b_n be two sequences: i) if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n}$ is a nonzero constant, then we write $a_n \asymp b_n$ and we say that a_n is of the same *order* as b_n ; ii) if the limit is 1, we write $a_n \sim b_n$ and we say that a_n is *asymptotic* to b_n ; iii) if there exists positive constants c and n_0 such that $a_n \leq cb_n$ for all $n \geq n_0$, then we write $a_n = O(b_n)$ and say that a_n is *big-O* of b_n . We let *iid* abbreviate independent and identically distributed. Convergence in distribution, convergence in probability, and convergence almost surely are denoted by \xrightarrow{D} , \xrightarrow{P} , and $\xrightarrow{a.s.}$, respectively. Similarly equality in distribution, in probability, and almost surely are denoted by $\stackrel{D}{=}$, $\stackrel{P}{=}$, and $\stackrel{a.s.}{=}$, respectively. If X is

a random variable distributed as ν , then we write $X \sim \nu$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The *rising factorial* of x of order n is denoted by $(x)^{(n)} := x(x+1) \cdots (x+n-1)$; similarly the *falling factorial* of order n is denoted by $(x)_{(n)} := x(x-1) \cdots (x-n+1)$. Further terminology and notation will be introduced when necessary.

For a sample of size $N \geq 1$ from a population governed by the HSSRM defined in 6, set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i)} \quad & \mathbf{K}_N(\theta_0; \beta, \theta) := \mathbf{K}_N(0, \theta_0; \beta, \theta) \\ \text{and} \quad & \mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\theta_0; \beta, \theta) := \mathbf{M}_{r,N}(0, \theta_0; \beta, \theta); \\ \text{ii)} \quad & \mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0; \theta) := \mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0; 0, \theta) \\ \text{and} \quad & \mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_0; \theta) := \mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_0; 0, \theta); \\ \text{iii)} \quad & \mathbf{K}_N(\theta_0; \theta) := \mathbf{K}_N(0, \theta_0; 0, \theta) \\ \text{and} \quad & \mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\theta_0; \theta) := \mathbf{M}_{r,N}(0, \theta_0; 0, \theta). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for each i in $[d]$, let N_i denote the sample size from the group i , and let $K_{i,N_i}(\beta, \theta)$ denote the total number of clusters in the random partition induced by this sample when viewed as samples from $\text{EPM}(\beta, \theta)$. According to these definitions, we write

$$N = \sum_{i=1}^d N_i$$

and

$$\xi(N) := \sum_{i=1}^d K_{i,N_i}(\beta, \theta) \geq \mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta).$$

2.2. Random Index Representation. Recall that $K_n(\alpha, \theta_0)$ and $M_{r,n}(\alpha, \theta)$ denote, respectively, the total number of clusters and the number of clusters with frequency r in the sample of size n from $\text{EPM}(\alpha, \theta_0)$. From [Camerlenghi et al., 2019, Theorem 6 and Remark 1]

$$(7) \quad \mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} K_{\xi(N)}(\alpha, \theta_0).$$

In addition, $\{K_{i,N_i}(\beta, \theta)\}_{i \in [d]}$ are shown to be independent. Similarly, there holds that

$$(8) \quad \mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} M_{r,\xi(N)}(\alpha, \theta_0).$$

2.3. Asymptotic Diversity. Let Π_n denote an exchangeable random partition of $[n]$ and let $K_n = |\Pi_n|$ be the number of clusters. Then Π_n has *asymptotic diversity* S if

$$\frac{K_n}{c_n} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} S,$$

for a finite positive random variable S and $\{c_n\}$ a deterministic normalizing sequence of positive real numbers. In particular, according to Gnedin and Pitman [2006, Theorem 12]

- if $\alpha < 0$, then $c_n = 1$ and $K_n = m$ a.s. for n sufficiently large, where $\theta = -m\alpha$ for some positive integer m ;
- if $\alpha = 0$, then $c_n = \log n$ and $S = \theta$;
- if $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, then $c_n = n^\alpha$ and $S = S_{\alpha, \theta}$.

A function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is *regularly varying* if it is of the form $f(x) = x^\sigma L(x)$, where $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ is the *index of regular variation* and L is a *slowly varying function*, which is a function $L : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such for all $a > 0$, $\frac{L(ax)}{L(x)} \rightarrow 1$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ [Bingham et al., 1989]. For example, $\log n$ is slowly varying and $n^\sigma \log n$ is regularly varying.

2.4. Almost Sure and L^p Convergence for $\mathbf{K}_n(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)$. The following result follows from the almost sure and L^p convergence for the total number of clusters in a sample from the hierarchical species sampling models; see Bassetti et al. [2020] and references therein.

Proposition 2.1 (Proposition 9, Bassetti et al. [2020]). *Suppose $\frac{N_i}{N} = \frac{N_i(N)}{N} \rightarrow w_i > 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for all $i \in [d]$. Then as $N \rightarrow \infty$, the following almost sure convergences hold:*

(1) (HDP). For $\text{HEPM}(\theta_0, \theta, d)$,

$$\frac{\mathbf{K}_N(\theta_0; \beta, \theta)}{\beta \log N} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \theta_0;$$

(2) (HDPYP). For $\text{HEPM}(\theta_0, \beta, \theta, d)$,

$$\frac{\mathbf{K}_N(\theta_0; \beta, \theta)}{\beta \log N} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \theta_0;$$

(3) (HPYDP). For $\text{HEPM}(\alpha, \theta_0, \theta, d)$,

$$\frac{\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0; \theta)}{(\log N)^\alpha} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} S_{\alpha, \theta_0}(\theta d)^\alpha;$$

(4) (HPYP). For $\text{HEPM}(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta, d)$,

$$\frac{\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)}{N^{\alpha\beta}} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} S_{\alpha, \theta_0} \eta^\alpha,$$

where $\eta = \sum_{i=1}^d w_i^\beta S_{\beta, \theta, i}$ with $\{S_{\beta, \theta, i}\} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} S_{\beta, \theta}$ independently of S_{α, θ_0} . Moreover the above convergences also hold in L^p for all integers $p \geq 1$.

2.5. Gaussian Fluctuations and Large Deviation Principles for $K_n(\alpha, \theta)$. Gaussian fluctuations and large deviation principles for the number of clusters in the Ewens-Pitman model are well-known in the literature. For $\alpha = 0$, the Gaussian fluctuation for $K_n(\alpha, \theta)$ is given by (2). For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ the corresponding Gaussian fluctuation was established by Bercu and Favaro [2024] using some martingale techniques.

Proposition 2.2 (Theorem 2.3, Bercu and Favaro [2024]). *Let $K_n(\alpha, \theta)$ be the number of clusters in a sample of size n from a population governed by $\text{EPM}(\alpha, \theta)$, with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\theta > -\alpha$. Then*

$$\sqrt{n}^\alpha \left(\frac{K_n(\alpha, \theta)}{n^\alpha} - S_{\alpha, \theta} \right) \xrightarrow{D} \sqrt{S'_{\alpha, \theta}} N(0, 1),$$

where $S'_{\alpha, \theta}$ is a random variable independent of $N(0, 1)$ and equals in distribution to $S_{\alpha, \theta}$.

The large deviation principle for $K_n(\alpha, \theta)$ in the Ewens-Pitman model was established by Feng and Hoppe [1998]; see Favaro et al. [2018] for moderated deviation principles.

Proposition 2.3 (Theorem 1.2, Feng and Hoppe [1998]). *For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\alpha > -\theta$, the sequence $\{\frac{K_n(\alpha, \theta)}{n}\}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with speed n and rate function*

$$I^\alpha(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \{\lambda x - \Lambda_\alpha(\lambda)\},$$

where

$$\Lambda_\alpha(\lambda) = \begin{cases} -\log[1 - (1 - e^{-\lambda})^{1/\alpha}] & \text{if } \lambda > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $\alpha = 0$ and $\theta > 0$, $\{\frac{K_n(\theta)}{\log n}\}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with speed $\log n$ and rate function

$$I_\theta(x) = \begin{cases} x \log \frac{x}{\theta} - x + \theta & \text{for } x \geq 0 \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The large deviation principle for $M_{r,n}(\alpha, \theta)$ in the Ewens-Pitman model was established Favaro and Feng [2015]; see Favaro et al. [2018] for moderate deviation principles.

Proposition 2.4 (Theorem 1.1, Favaro and Feng [2015]). *For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\alpha > -\theta$, the sequence $\{\frac{M_{r,n}(\alpha, \theta)}{n}\}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with speed n and rate function $I_r^\alpha(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \{\lambda x - \Lambda_{\alpha,r}(\lambda)\}$, where*

$$\Lambda_{\alpha,r}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \log \left(1 + \frac{\alpha \epsilon_0(\lambda)}{1 - r \epsilon_0(\lambda)} \right) & \text{if } \lambda > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

with $\epsilon_0(\lambda)$ the unique solution to the equation

$$(r - \alpha) \log(1 - (r - \alpha)\epsilon) - r \log(1 - r\epsilon) - \alpha \log(\alpha\epsilon) - \log \left(p_\alpha(r) \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda}}{e^{-\lambda}} \right) = 0,$$

for $\lambda > 0$ and $r \geq 1$.

3. ALMOST SURE AND L^p CONVERGENCE FOR $\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_1; \beta, \theta)$

In this section, we prove the almost sure and L^p convergence for $\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)$, from a population governed by a hierarchical Ewens-Pitman model. Such a result generalizes Proposition 2.1 to $\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_1; \beta, \theta)$. We start with the following lemma which shows that $\xi(N)$, properly scaled, converges in p th moment for all integers $p \geq 1$.

Lemma 3.1. (1) For $\beta = 0$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\xi(N)}{\log N} \right)^p \right] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[(\theta d)^p]$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for all integers $p \geq 1$.

(2) For positive β ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \right)^p \right] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\eta^p]$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for all integers $p \geq 1$, where $\eta = \sum_{i=1}^d w_i^\beta S_{\beta, \theta, i}$ with $\{S_{\beta, \theta, i}\} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} S_{\beta, \theta}$.

Proof. We only prove Case (2), since Case (1) follows by a similar argument. Fix $p \geq 1$ and note that $\left\{ \left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \right)^p \right\} \in L^p$ and $\eta^p \in L^p$, with $\left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \right)^p \xrightarrow{a.s.} \eta^p$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \right)^p \right) &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{K_{i, N_i}(\beta, \theta)}{N_i^\beta} \left(\frac{N_i}{N} \right)^\beta \right)^p \right] \\ &= \sum_{\substack{r_1 + \dots + r_d = p \\ r_1, \dots, r_d \geq 0}} \binom{p}{r_1, \dots, r_d} \prod_{i=1}^d \left(\frac{N_i}{N} \right)^{\alpha r_i} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{K_{i, N_i}(\beta, \theta)}{N_i^\beta} \right)^{r_i} \right] \\ &\rightarrow \sum_{\substack{r_1 + \dots + r_d = p \\ r_1, \dots, r_d \geq 0}} \binom{p}{r_1, \dots, r_d} \prod_{i=1}^d w_i^{\alpha r_i} \mathbb{E}[(S_{\beta, \theta, i})^{r_i}] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^d w_i^\beta S_{\beta, \theta, i} \right)^p \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\eta^p) \end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, where we used the independence of $\{K_{i, N_i}(\beta, \theta)\}_{i \in [d]}$ and the fact that $\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{K_{i, N_i}(\beta, \theta)}{N_i^\beta} \right)^r \right] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[(S_{\beta, \theta, i})^r]$ for all integers $r \geq 1$. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose $\frac{N_i}{N} = \frac{N_i(N)}{N} \rightarrow w_i > 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for all $i \in [d]$. Let $p_\alpha(r) := \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)^{(r-1)}}{r!}$. Then as $N \rightarrow \infty$,*

(1) (HDP). For $\text{HEPM}(\theta_0, \theta, d)$,

$$\frac{\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\theta_0; \theta)}{\log \log N} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \theta_0.$$

(2) (HDPYP). For $\text{HEPM}(\theta_0, \beta, \theta, d)$,

$$\frac{\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\theta_0; \beta, \theta)}{\beta \log N} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \theta_0.$$

(3) (HPYDP). For $\text{HEPM}(\alpha, \theta_0, \theta, d)$,

$$\frac{\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_0; \theta)}{(\log N)^\alpha} \xrightarrow{a.s.} p_\alpha(r) S_{\alpha, \theta_0}(\theta d)^\alpha.$$

(4) (HPYP). For $\text{HEPM}(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta, d)$,

$$\frac{\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)}{N^{\alpha\beta}} \xrightarrow{a.s.} p_\alpha(r) S_{\alpha, \theta_0} \eta^\alpha$$

where $\eta = \sum_{i=1}^d w_i^\beta S_{\beta, \theta, i}$ with $\{S_{\beta, \theta, i}\} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} S_{\beta, \theta}$ independently of S_{β, θ_0} .

Moreover the above convergences hold in L^p for all integers $p \geq 1$.

Proof. The almost sure convergences in all four cases follows from an immediate application of Proposition 2.1. For example consider Case (4). Recall the random index representation

$$\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} M_{r, \xi(N)}(\alpha, \theta_0).$$

Moreover recall that $\frac{M_{r,n}(\alpha, \theta_0)}{n^\alpha} \xrightarrow{a.s.} p_\alpha(r) S_{\alpha, \theta_0}$ and observe that $\frac{\xi(n)}{n^\beta} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \eta$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus by Proposition 2.1,

$$\frac{\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta)}{N^{\alpha\beta}} \xrightarrow{a.s.} p_\alpha(r) S_{\alpha, \theta_0} \eta^\alpha$$

It remains to prove convergence in L^p . We only prove Case (4), as the proof of the other cases are similar. Again consider the almost sure representation

$$\frac{\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta)}{N^{\alpha\beta}} \stackrel{a.s.}{=} \frac{M_{r, \xi(N)}(\alpha, \theta_0)}{\xi(N)^\alpha} \left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \right)^\alpha.$$

Since $\xi(N) \xrightarrow{a.s.} \infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, it follows from the single level L^p convergence for $M_{r,n}(\alpha, \theta_0)$ that $\frac{M_{r, \xi(N)}(\alpha, \theta_0)}{\xi(N)^\alpha} \xrightarrow{L^p} p_\alpha(r) S_{\alpha, \theta_0}$ for all integers $p \geq 1$.

Next we show that $\left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \right)^\alpha \xrightarrow{L^p} \eta^\alpha$. For all $p \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we have that

$$\left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \right)^{\alpha p} \leq \left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \right)^p.$$

By Lemma 3.1, $\mathbb{E} \left(\left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \right)^p \right) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(\eta^p)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Now by the continuous mapping theorem, $\left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \right)^{\alpha p} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \eta^{\alpha p}$. Therefore applying the generalized dominated convergence theorem yields

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \right)^{\alpha p} \right) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(\eta^{\alpha p})$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \right)^\alpha \xrightarrow{a.s.} \eta^\alpha$, it follows from the Riesz-Scheffe theorem that $\left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \right)^\alpha \xrightarrow{L^p} \eta^\alpha$ for all $p \geq 1$.

Finally by the Minkowski and Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \frac{M_{r,\xi(N)}(\alpha, \theta_0)}{\xi(N)^\alpha} \left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \right)^\alpha - p_\alpha(r) S_{\alpha, \theta_0} \eta^\alpha \right|^p \right) \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \left(\frac{M_{r,\xi(N)}(\alpha, \theta_0)}{\xi(N)^\alpha} \left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \right)^\alpha - \frac{M_{r,\xi(N)}(\alpha, \theta_0)}{\xi(N)^\alpha} \eta^\alpha \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \left(\frac{M_{r,\xi(N)}(\alpha, \theta_0)}{\xi(N)^\alpha} \eta^\alpha - p_\alpha(r) S_{\alpha, \theta_0} \eta^\alpha \right) \right|^p \right] \\
&\leq 2^{p-1} \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\left| \frac{M_{r,\xi(N)}(\alpha, \theta_0)}{\xi(N)^\alpha} \left(\left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \right)^\alpha - \eta^\alpha \right) \right|^p \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \eta^\alpha \left(\frac{M_{r,\xi(N)}(\alpha, \theta_0)}{\xi(N)^\alpha} - p_\alpha(r) S_{\alpha, \theta_0} \right) \right|^p \right) \right] \\
&\leq 2^{p-1} \left[\sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{M_{r,\xi(N)}(\alpha, \theta_0)}{\xi(N)^\alpha} \right)^{2p} \right]} \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \right)^\alpha - \eta^\alpha \right)^{2p} \right]} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sqrt{\mathbb{E} [\eta^{2\alpha p}] \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{M_{r,\xi(N)}(\alpha, \theta_0)}{\xi(N)^\alpha} - p_\alpha(r) S_{\alpha, \theta_0} \right)^{2p} \right]} \right] \\
&\rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, since $\frac{M_{r,\xi(N)}(\alpha, \theta_0)}{\xi(N)^\alpha} \xrightarrow{L^{2p}} p_\alpha(r) S_{\alpha, \theta_0}$ and $\left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \right)^\alpha \xrightarrow{L^{2p}} \eta^\alpha$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore we conclude that $\frac{\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta)}{N^{\alpha\beta}} \xrightarrow{L^p} p_\alpha(r) S_{\alpha, \theta_0} \eta^\alpha$ for all integers $p \geq 1$. \square

Remark 3.3. A first remark follows as a corollary to Proposition 3.2. Observe that

$$\frac{\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta)}{N^{\alpha\beta}} = \sum_{r=1}^{\xi(N)} \frac{M_{r,\xi(N)}(\alpha, \theta_0)}{N^{\alpha\beta}} \xrightarrow{a.s.} S_{\alpha, \theta_0} \eta^\alpha$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, using the fact that $\sum_{r=1}^n p_\alpha(r) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. One can also show that this convergence holds in L^p . Therefore, Proposition 3.2 allows us to recover Proposition 2.1.

Remark 3.4. A second remark follows as a corollary to Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 3.2, namely

$$\frac{\mathbf{M}_{1,N}(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta)}{\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta)} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \alpha$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that $\frac{\mathbf{M}_{1,N}(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta)}{\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta)}$ is a (strongly) consistent estimator of $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

We conclude this section by establishing the corresponding Poisson limit theorems for $\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta)$ in the case where the population is governed by $\text{HEPM}(\theta_0, \theta, d)$ or $\text{HEPM}(\theta_0, \beta, \theta, d)$.

Proposition 3.5. For the model $\text{HEPM}(\theta_0, \theta, d)$,

$$\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\theta_0; \theta) \xrightarrow{D} Z_r$$

and

$$(\mathbf{M}_{1,N}(\theta_0; \theta), \mathbf{M}_{2,N}(\theta_0; \theta), \dots) \xrightarrow{D} (Z_1, Z_2, \dots)$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, where $\{Z_r\}$ are independent Poisson random variables such that $Z_r \sim \text{Poisson}\left(\frac{\theta_0}{r}\right)$. Furthermore, these results also hold for $\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\theta_0; \beta, \theta)$ in the model $\text{HEPM}(\theta_0, \beta, \theta, d)$.

Proof. We only prove the $\text{HEPM}(\theta_0, \theta, d)$ case, as the $\text{HEPM}(\theta_0, \beta, \theta, d)$ follows similarly. First note that $\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\theta_0; \theta) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} M_{r,\xi(N)}(\theta_0)$. Conditioning on $\xi(N)$ and applying the single level Poisson limit theorem for $M_{r,n}(\theta_0)$, we get that

$$(M_{r,\xi(N)}(\theta_0)|\xi(N)) \xrightarrow{D} Z_r \sim \text{Poisson}\left(\frac{\theta_0}{r}\right)$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, the conditional characteristic function satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(itM_{r,\xi(N)}(\theta_0))|\xi(N)] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(\exp(itZ_r)) = \exp\left(\frac{\theta_0}{r}(e^{it} - 1)\right)$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. By the dominated convergence theorem, the unconditional characteristic function of $M_{r,\xi(N)}(\theta_0)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbb{E}(\exp(itM_{r,\xi(N)}(\theta_0))) - \exp\left(\frac{\theta_0}{r}(e^{it} - 1)\right) \right| \\ &= \left| \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E}(\exp(itM_{r,\xi(N)}(\theta_0))|\xi(N)) - \exp\left(\frac{\theta_0}{r}(e^{it} - 1)\right) \right] \right| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left| \mathbb{E}(\exp(itM_{r,\xi(N)}(\theta_0))|\xi(N)) - \exp\left(\frac{\theta_0}{r}(e^{it} - 1)\right) \right| \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, from which it follows that

$$\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\theta_0; \theta) \xrightarrow{D} Z_r.$$

The joint convergence result follows by a similar argument of conditioning on $\xi(N)$, then applying the corresponding single level joint convergence result. \square

4. GAUSSIAN FLUCTUATIONS

Motivated by the random index representation in Section 2.2, we establish a Gaussian fluctuation for the number of clusters in a sample of random size from $\text{SSM}(q_0)$. As an application of this result, we obtain the Gaussian fluctuations for $\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)$. By carrying out similar calculations, we provide an alternative proof of Proposition 2.2.

4.1. Gaussian Fluctuation Theorem. Let K_n be the number of clusters in a sample of size n from a population governed by $\text{SSM}(q_0)$. Furthermore, let $\{\zeta(n)\}$ be a sequence of finite, positive random variables. We establish a Gaussian fluctuation for $K_{\zeta(n)}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

- (1) *(Asymptotic diversity of K_n and $\zeta(n)$)* K_n has asymptotic diversity S with a normalizing sequence $\{\gamma_0(n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ which is regularly varying with index of regular variation $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $\gamma_0(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $\zeta(n)$ has asymptotic diversity η with normalizing sequence $\{\gamma(n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ such that $\gamma(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (2) *(Distributional convergence of K_n and $\zeta(n)$).* The following distributional convergences hold as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\gamma_0(n)} \left(\frac{K_n}{\gamma_0(n)} - S \right) &\xrightarrow{D} Y, \\ \sqrt{\gamma(n)} \left(\frac{\zeta(n)}{\gamma(n)} - \eta \right) &\xrightarrow{D} \sqrt{T}N(0, 1), \end{aligned}$$

where T is a finite positive random variable and Y is a random variable.

- (3) *(Convergence rate assumption).* $\sqrt{\gamma(n)} \left(\frac{L(\zeta(n))}{L(\gamma(n))} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Then

$$\sqrt{\gamma_0(\gamma(n))} \left(\frac{K_{\zeta(n)}}{\gamma_0(\gamma(n))} - S\eta^\alpha \right) \xrightarrow{D} Y\sqrt{\eta^\alpha}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. We start with the following decomposition,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{\gamma_0(\gamma(n))} \left(\frac{K_{\zeta(n)}}{\gamma_0(\gamma(n))} - S\eta^\alpha \right) \\ &= \eta^\alpha \sqrt{\gamma_0(\gamma(n))} \left(\frac{K_{\zeta(n)}}{\gamma_0(\zeta(n))} \left[1 + \frac{\gamma_0(\zeta(n))}{\gamma_0(\gamma(n))\eta^\alpha} - 1 \right] - S \right) \\ &= \eta^\alpha \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_0(\gamma(n))}{\gamma_0(\zeta(n))}} \left[\sqrt{\gamma_0(\zeta(n))} \left(\frac{K_{\zeta(n)}}{\gamma_0(\zeta(n))} - S \right) \right] \\ & \quad + \frac{K_{\zeta(n)}}{\gamma_0(\zeta(n))} \eta^\alpha \sqrt{\gamma_0(\gamma(n))} \left(\frac{\gamma_0(\zeta(n))}{\gamma_0(\gamma(n))\eta^\alpha} - 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Consider the first summand. Since $\zeta(n) \xrightarrow{a.s.} \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by condition (1), conditioning on $\zeta(n)$ and invoking condition (2) gives

$$\left[\sqrt{\gamma_0(\zeta(n))} \left(\frac{K_{\zeta(n)}}{\gamma_0(\zeta(n))} - S \right) \middle| \zeta(n) \right] \xrightarrow{D} Y.$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently by the dominated convergence theorem, the unconditional convergence in distribution also holds,

$$\sqrt{\gamma_0(\zeta(n))} \left(\frac{K_{\zeta(n)}}{\gamma_0(\zeta(n))} - S \right) \xrightarrow{D} Y.$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now by condition (1),

$$\eta^\alpha \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_0(\gamma(n))}{\gamma_0(\zeta(n))}} = \eta^\alpha \sqrt{\frac{\gamma(n)^\alpha L(\gamma(n))}{\zeta(n)^\alpha L(\zeta(n))}} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \eta^\alpha \sqrt{\frac{1}{\eta^\alpha}} = \sqrt{\eta^\alpha}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the first summand converges in distribution as

$$\eta^\alpha \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_0(\gamma(n))}{\gamma_0(\zeta(n))}} \left[\sqrt{\gamma_0(\zeta(n))} \left(\frac{K_{\zeta(n)}}{\gamma_0(\zeta(n))} - S \right) \right] \xrightarrow{D} Y\sqrt{\eta^\alpha}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Next consider the second summand, which we can further decompose as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{K_{\zeta(n)}}{\gamma_0(\zeta(n))} \eta^\alpha \sqrt{\gamma_0(\gamma(n))} \left(\frac{\gamma_0(\zeta(n))}{\gamma_0(\gamma(n))\eta^\alpha} - 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{K_{\zeta(n)}}{\gamma_0(\zeta(n))} \sqrt{\gamma_0(\gamma(n))} \left(\frac{\gamma_0(\zeta(n))}{\gamma_0(\gamma(n))} - \eta^\alpha \right) \\ &= \frac{K_{\zeta(n)}}{\gamma_0(\zeta(n))} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma(n)^\alpha L(\gamma(n))}{\gamma(n)}} \sqrt{\gamma(n)} \left(\left(\frac{\zeta(n)}{\gamma(n)} \right)^\alpha \frac{L(\zeta(n))}{L(\gamma(n))} - \eta^\alpha \right) \\ &= \frac{K_{\zeta(n)}}{\gamma_0(\zeta(n))} \sqrt{\gamma(n)^{\alpha-1} L(\gamma(n))} \sqrt{\gamma(n)} \left(\left(\frac{\zeta(n)}{\gamma(n)} \right)^\alpha \frac{L(\zeta(n))}{L(\gamma(n))} - \eta^\alpha \right) \end{aligned}$$

By condition (1), $\frac{K_{\zeta(n)}}{\gamma_0(\zeta(n))} \xrightarrow{a.s.} S$ and $\sqrt{\gamma(n)^{\alpha-1}L(\gamma(n))} \rightarrow 0$ since $\alpha - 1 < 0$. On the other hand, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{\gamma(n)} \left(\left(\frac{\zeta(n)}{\gamma(n)} \right)^\alpha \frac{L(\zeta(n))}{L(\gamma(n))} - \eta^\alpha \right) \\ &= \sqrt{\gamma(n)} \left(\left(\frac{\zeta(n)}{\gamma(n)} \right)^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{L(\zeta(n))}{L(\gamma(n))} - 1 \right) - \eta^\alpha \right) \\ &= \sqrt{\gamma(n)} \left(\left(\frac{\zeta(n)}{\gamma(n)} \right)^\alpha - \eta^\alpha \right) + \left(\frac{\zeta(n)}{\gamma(n)} \right)^\alpha \sqrt{\gamma(n)} \left(\frac{L(\zeta(n))}{L(\gamma(n))} - 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

By condition (2) and the delta method,

$$\sqrt{\gamma(n)} \left(\left(\frac{\zeta(n)}{\gamma(n)} \right)^\alpha - \eta^\alpha \right) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \tau^2)$$

for some random variable τ . By condition (3),

$$\left(\frac{\zeta(n)}{\gamma(n)} \right)^\alpha \sqrt{\gamma(n)} \left(\frac{L(\zeta(n))}{L(\gamma(n))} - 1 \right) \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0.$$

Thus by Slutsky's theorem,

$$\sqrt{\gamma(n)} \left(\left(\frac{\zeta(n)}{\gamma(n)} \right)^\alpha \frac{L(\zeta(n))}{L(\gamma(n))} - \eta^\alpha \right) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \tau^2).$$

Combining the above and applying Slutsky's theorem again implies that

$$\frac{K_{\zeta(n)}}{\gamma_0(\zeta(n))} \eta^\alpha \sqrt{\gamma_0(\gamma(n))} \left(\frac{\gamma_0(\zeta(n))}{\gamma_0(\gamma(n))\eta^\alpha} - 1 \right) \xrightarrow{D} S \cdot 0 \cdot N(0, \tau^2) = 0.$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Finally since convergence in distribution to a constant is equivalent to convergence in probability to that same constant, a final application of Slutsky's theorem yields

$$\sqrt{\gamma_0(\gamma(n))} \left(\frac{K_{\zeta(n)}}{\gamma_0(\gamma(n))} - S\eta^\alpha \right) \xrightarrow{D} Y\sqrt{\eta^\alpha}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. □

4.2. Gaussian Fluctuations for $\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta)$. Now, we apply Theorem 4.1 in order to establish Gaussian a fluctuation for $K_N(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta)$. In particular, by relying on the random index representation, our strategy consists in applying Theorem 4.1 for $\zeta(n) = \xi(n)$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta)$ be the number of clusters in a sample of size $N = \sum_{i=1}^d N_i$ from a population governed by $\text{HEPM}(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta, d)$. Suppose $\frac{N_i}{N} \rightarrow w_i > 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for all $i \in [d]$. The following Gaussian fluctuation hold as $N \rightarrow \infty$:*

- (1) (HDP: $\text{HEPM}(\theta_0, \theta, d)$). *Suppose that $\sqrt{\log N} \left(\frac{\log N_i}{\log N} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, for all $i \in [d]$, and $\sqrt{\log N} \left(\frac{\log \xi(N)}{\log \log N} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Then*

$$\sqrt{\log \log N} \left(\frac{\mathbf{K}_N(\theta_0; \theta)}{\log \log N} - \theta_0 \right) \xrightarrow{D} \sqrt{\theta_0} N(0, 1).$$

- (2) (HDPYP: $\text{HEPM}(\theta_0, \beta, \theta, d)$). *Suppose that $\sqrt{N}^\beta \left(\left(\frac{N_i}{N w_i} \right)^\beta - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, for all $i \in [d]$, and $\sqrt{N}^\beta \left(\frac{\log \xi(N)}{\beta \log N} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Then*

$$\sqrt{\beta \log N} \left(\frac{\mathbf{K}_N(\theta_0; \beta, \theta)}{\beta \log N} - \theta_0 \right) \xrightarrow{D} \sqrt{\theta_0} N(0, 1).$$

(3) (HPYDP: $\text{HEPM}(\alpha, \theta_0, \theta, d)$). Suppose that $\sqrt{\log N} \left(\frac{\log N_i}{\log N} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, for all $i \in [d]$. Then

$$\sqrt{(\log N)^\alpha} \left(\frac{\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0; \theta)}{(\log N)^\alpha} - S_{\alpha, \theta_0}(\theta d)^\alpha \right) \xrightarrow{D} \sqrt{S_{\alpha, \theta_0}(\theta d)^\alpha} N(0, 1).$$

(4) (HPYP: $\text{HEPM}(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta, d)$). Suppose that $\sqrt{N^\beta} \left(\left(\frac{N_i}{N w_i} \right)^\beta - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, for all $i \in [d]$. Then

$$\sqrt{N^{\alpha\beta}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta)}{N^{\alpha\beta}} - S_{\alpha, \theta_0} \eta^\alpha \right) \xrightarrow{D} \sqrt{S_{\alpha, \theta_0} \eta^\alpha} N(0, 1),$$

where $\eta := \sum_{i=1}^d w_i^\beta S_{\beta, \theta, i}$, with $\{S_{\beta, \theta, i}\} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} S_{\beta, \theta}$.

Proof. Case 1. First recall the almost sure representation

$$\mathbf{K}_N(\theta_0; \theta) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} K_{\xi(N)}(\theta_0), \quad \xi(N) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} \sum_{i=1}^d K_{i, N_i}(\theta),$$

where $\{K_{i, N_i}(\theta)\}$ are independent. Thus it suffices to study $K_{\xi(N)}(\theta_0)$, and to this end, we check the three conditions of Theorem 4.1.

Observe that $K_n(\theta_0)$ has asymptotic diversity θ_0 with normalizing sequence $\{\log n\}$, which is a regularly varying function of the form $n^0 \log n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover,

$$\frac{\xi(N)}{\log N} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^d K_{i, N_i}(\theta)}{\log N} = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{K_{i, N_i}(\theta)}{\log N_i} \left(\frac{\log N_i}{\log N} \right) \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^d \theta = \theta d$$

so that $\xi(N)$ has asymptotic diversity θd with normalizing sequence $\{\log N\}$.

By the CLT for $K_n(\theta_0)$,

$$\sqrt{\log n} \left(\frac{K_n(\theta_0)}{\log n} - \theta_0 \right) \xrightarrow{D} \sqrt{\theta_0} N(0, 1).$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Next we show that $\xi(N)$ also satisfies a Gaussian fluctuation.

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\log N} \left(\frac{\xi(N)}{\log N} - \theta d \right) &= \sqrt{\log N} \left(\frac{\xi(N)}{\log N} - \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{K_{i, N_i}(\theta)}{\log N_i} + \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{K_{i, N_i}(\theta)}{\log N_i} - \theta d \right) \\ &= \sqrt{\log N} \left(\frac{\xi(N)}{\log N} - \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{K_{i, N_i}(\theta)}{\log N_i} \right) + \sqrt{\log N} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{K_{i, N_i}(\theta)}{\log N_i} - \theta d \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{K_{i, N_i}(\theta)}{\log N_i} \sqrt{\log N} \left(\frac{\log N_i}{\log N} - 1 \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^d \sqrt{\frac{\log N}{\log N_i}} \sqrt{\log N_i} \left(\frac{K_{i, N_i}(\theta)}{\log N_i} - \theta \right). \end{aligned}$$

The first term converges to 0 since $\frac{K_{i, N_i}(\theta)}{\log N_i} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \theta$, and by hypothesis $\sqrt{\log N} \left(\frac{\log N_i}{\log N} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0$. Since

$$\sqrt{\log N_i} \left(\frac{K_{i, N_i}(\theta)}{\log N_i} - \theta \right) \xrightarrow{D} \sqrt{\theta} N(0, 1)$$

for all $i \in [d]$ and $\{K_{i,N_i}(\theta)\}$ are independent, the following multivariate Gaussian fluctuations hold

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\log N_1} \left(\frac{K_{1,N_1}(\theta)}{\log N_1} - \theta \right) \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\log N_d} \left(\frac{K_{d,N_d}(\theta)}{\log N_d} - \theta \right) \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{D} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\theta} N(0, 1) \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\theta} N(0, 1) \end{pmatrix} \sim \sqrt{\theta} N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, where $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero vector and \mathbf{I} is the $d \times d$ identity matrix. By Slutsky's theorem

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \sqrt{\frac{\log N}{\log N_i}} \sqrt{\log N_i} \left(\frac{K_{i,N_i}(\theta)}{\log N_i} - \theta \right) \xrightarrow{D} \sqrt{\theta} d N(0, 1).$$

Combining the above and applying Slutsky's theorem,

$$\sqrt{\log N} \left(\frac{\xi(N)}{\log N} - \theta d \right) \xrightarrow{D} \sqrt{\theta} d N(0, 1).$$

Finally by hypothesis $\sqrt{\log N} \left(\frac{\log \xi(N)}{\log \log N} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore all three conditions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied, and it follows that

$$\sqrt{\log \log N} \left(\frac{\mathbf{K}_N(\theta_0; \theta)}{\log \log N} - \theta_0 \right) \xrightarrow{D} \sqrt{\theta_0} N(0, 1)$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Case 2. We have the almost sure representation

$$\mathbf{K}_N(\theta_0; \beta, \theta) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} K_{\xi(N)}(\theta_0), \quad \xi(N) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} \sum_{i=1}^d K_{i,N_i}(\beta, \theta),$$

where $\{K_{i,N_i}(\beta, \theta)\}$ are independent.

Again $K_n(\theta_0)$ has asymptotic diversity θ_0 with normalizing sequence $\{\log n\}$ and by the CLT for K_n ,

$$\sqrt{\log n} \left(\frac{K_n(\theta_0)}{\log n} - \theta_0 \right) \xrightarrow{D} \sqrt{\theta_0} N(0, 1).$$

Next, observe that

$$\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^d K_{i,N_i}(\beta, \theta)}{N_i^\beta} \left(\frac{N_i}{N} \right)^\beta \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^d w_i^\beta S_{\beta, \theta, i} =: \eta,$$

so that $\xi(N)$ has asymptotic diversity η with normalizing sequence $\{N^\beta\}$.

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{N^\beta} \left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} - \eta \right) &= \sqrt{N^\beta} \left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} - \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{K_{i,N_i}(\beta, \theta) w_i^\beta}{N_i^\beta} + \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{K_{i,N_i}(\beta, \theta) w_i^\beta}{N_i^\beta} - \eta \right) \\ &= \sqrt{N^\beta} \left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} - \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{K_{i,N_i}(\beta, \theta) w_i^\beta}{N_i^\beta} \right) + \sqrt{N^\beta} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{K_{i,N_i}(\beta, \theta) w_i^\beta}{N_i^\beta} - \eta \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{K_{i,N_i}(\beta, \theta)}{N_i^\beta} \sqrt{N^\beta} \left(\left(\frac{N_i}{N w_i} \right)^\beta - 1 \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^d \sqrt{\left(\frac{N}{N_i} \right)^\beta} w_i^\beta \sqrt{N_i^\beta} \left(\frac{K_{i,N_i}(\beta, \theta)}{N_i^\beta} - S_{\beta, \theta, i} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The first term converges to 0 as $N \rightarrow \infty$ by hypothesis. For the second term, we apply Theorem 2.2 and Slutsky's theorem to get

$$\sqrt{\left(\frac{N}{N_i}\right)^\beta} w_i^\beta \sqrt{N_i^\beta} \left(\frac{K_{i,N_i}(\beta, \theta)}{N_i^\beta} - S_{\beta, \theta, i} \right) \xrightarrow{D} \sqrt{w_i^\beta S'_{\beta, \theta, i}} N(0, 1)$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for all $i \in [d]$, where $S'_{\beta, \theta, i} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} S_{\beta, \theta}$ independently of $N(0, 1)$. Moreover since $\{K_{i,N_i}(\beta, \theta)\}_{i=1}^d$ are independent, the following joint convergence holds

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\left(\frac{N}{N_1}\right)^\beta} w_1^\beta \sqrt{N_1^\beta} \left(\frac{K_{1,N_1}(\beta, \theta)}{N_1^\beta} - S_{\beta, \theta, 1} \right) \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\left(\frac{N}{N_d}\right)^\beta} w_d^\beta \sqrt{N_d^\beta} \left(\frac{K_{d,N_d}(\beta, \theta)}{N_d^\beta} - S_{\beta, \theta, d} \right) \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{D} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{w_1^\beta S_{\beta, \theta, 1}} N(0, 1) \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{w_d^\beta S_{\beta, \theta, d}} N(0, 1) \end{pmatrix} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, where the $d \times d$ covariance matrix $\mathbf{\Sigma} = (\Sigma_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$ is given by

$$\Sigma_{ij} = \begin{cases} w_i^\beta S_{\beta, \theta, i} & \text{for } i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Applying Slutsky's theorem again, it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \sqrt{\left(\frac{N}{N_i}\right)^\beta} w_i^\beta \sqrt{N_i^\beta} \left(\frac{K_{i,N_i}(\beta, \theta)}{N_i^\beta} - S_{\beta, \theta, i} \right) \xrightarrow{D} \sum_{i=1}^d \sqrt{w_i^\beta S_{\beta, \theta, i}} N(0, 1)$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Combining the above and applying by Slutsky's theorem once more yields

$$\sqrt{N^\beta} \left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} - \eta \right) \xrightarrow{D} \sum_{i=1}^d \sqrt{w_i^\beta S_{\beta, \theta, i}} N(0, 1).$$

Finally $\sqrt{N^\beta} \left(\frac{\log \xi(N)}{\beta \log N} - 1 \right)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ by hypothesis.

Therefore all three conditions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied and it follows that

$$\sqrt{\beta \log N} \left(\frac{\mathbf{K}_N(\theta_0; \beta, \theta)}{\beta \log N} - \theta_0 \right) \xrightarrow{D} \sqrt{\theta_0} N(0, 1).$$

Case (3). We have the almost sure representation

$$\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0; \theta) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} K_{\xi(N)}(\alpha, \theta_0), \quad \xi(N) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} \sum_{i=1}^d K_{i,N_i}(\theta),$$

where $\{K_{i,N_i}(\theta)\}$ are independent.

Recall that $K_n(\alpha, \theta_0)$ has asymptotic diversity S_{α, θ_0} with normalizing sequence $\{n^\alpha\}$, and by Proposition 2.2,

$$\sqrt{n^\alpha} \left(\frac{K_n(\alpha, \theta_0)}{n^\alpha} - S_{\alpha, \theta_0} \right) \xrightarrow{D} \sqrt{S_{\alpha, \theta_0}} N(0, 1).$$

Moreover recall that $\xi(N)$ has asymptotic diversity θd with normalizing sequence $\{\log N\}$, and in the proof of Case 1 we showed that

$$\sqrt{\log N} \left(\frac{\xi(N)}{\log N} - \theta d \right) \xrightarrow{D} \sqrt{\theta d} N(0, 1).$$

Finally since our regularly varying sequence is n^α , its slowly varying component is $L(n) = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. In particular, condition (4) is trivially satisfied for all $n \geq 1$.

Therefore all three conditions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied and it follows that

$$\sqrt{(\log N)^\alpha} \left(\frac{\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0; \theta)}{(\log N)^\alpha} - S_{\alpha, \theta_0}(\theta d)^\alpha \right) \xrightarrow{D} \sqrt{S_{\alpha, \theta_0}(\theta d)^\alpha} N(0, 1).$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Case (4). We have the almost sure representation

$$\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta, \beta, \theta_0) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} K_{\xi(N)}(\alpha, \theta_0), \quad \xi(N) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} \sum_{i=1}^d K_{i, N_i}(\beta, \theta),$$

where $\{K_{i, N_i}(\beta, \theta)\}$ are independent.

Again $K_n(\alpha, \theta_0)$ has asymptotic diversity S_{α, θ_0} with normalizing sequence $\{n^\alpha\}$, and by Proposition 2.2,

$$\sqrt{n^\alpha} \left(\frac{K_n(\alpha, \theta_0)}{n^\alpha} - S_{\alpha, \theta_0} \right) \xrightarrow{D} \sqrt{S_{\alpha, \theta_0}} N(0, 1).$$

Moreover $\xi(N)$ has asymptotic diversity η with normalizing sequence $\{N^\beta\}$, and in the proof of Case 2 we showed

$$\sqrt{N^\beta} \left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} - \eta \right) \xrightarrow{D} \sum_{i=1}^d \sqrt{w_i^\beta S_{\beta, \theta, i}} N(0, 1).$$

Finally as in Case 3, condition (4) is trivially satisfied.

Therefore all three conditions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied and it follows that

$$\sqrt{N^{\alpha\beta}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta)}{N^{\alpha\beta}} - S_{\alpha, \theta_0} \eta^\alpha \right) \xrightarrow{D} \sqrt{S_{\alpha, \theta_0} \eta^\alpha} N(0, 1)$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. □

4.3. Alternative Proof of Proposition 2.2. We present an alternative proof of Proposition 2.2, the Gaussian fluctuation for $K_n(\alpha, \theta)$ for the EPM(α, θ) model, without martingales.

Proof of Proposition 2.2. We use the method of moments to show that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[n^{\frac{r\alpha}{2}} \left(\frac{K_n(\alpha, \theta)}{n^\alpha} - S_{\alpha, \theta} \right)^r \right] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(Z^r) \mathbb{E}(S_{\alpha, \theta}^{r/2}),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $r \geq 1$, where $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ and is independent of $S_{\alpha, \theta}' \stackrel{D}{=} S_{\alpha, \theta}$.

We begin by recalling from [Favaro et al., 2009, Proposition 2] that the conditional distribution of $S_{\alpha, \theta}$ given $K_n(\alpha, \theta)$ is a *beta-tilted α -diversity*,

$$(S_{\alpha, \theta} | K_n(\alpha, \theta) = k) \stackrel{D}{=} B_{k + \frac{\theta}{\alpha}, \frac{n}{\alpha} - k} S_{\alpha, \theta + n},$$

where $B_{k + \frac{\theta}{\alpha}, \frac{n}{\alpha} - k} \sim \text{Beta} \left(k + \frac{\theta}{\alpha}, \frac{n}{\alpha} - k \right)$ and is independent of $S_{\alpha, \theta + n}$.

Conditioning on $K_n(\alpha, \theta)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[n^{\frac{r\alpha}{2}} \left(\frac{K_n(\alpha, \theta)}{n^\alpha} - S_{\alpha, \theta} \right)^r \right] = \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[n^{\frac{r\alpha}{2}} \left(\frac{K_n(\alpha, \theta)}{n^\alpha} - S_{\alpha, \theta} \right)^r \middle| K_n(\alpha, \theta) \right] \right) \\ & = \mathbb{E} \left(n^{\frac{r\alpha}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j \left(\frac{K_n(\alpha, \theta)}{n^\alpha} \right)^{r-j} \left(K_n(\alpha, \theta) + \frac{\theta}{\alpha} \right)^{(j)} \frac{\Gamma(\theta + n)}{\Gamma(\theta + n + j\alpha)} \right) \\ & \sim \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} \frac{K_n(\alpha, \theta)^{r-j}}{n^{\alpha r/2}} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^j \binom{j}{\ell} K_n(\alpha, \theta)^\ell \right) \right) \\ & = \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \frac{K_n(\alpha, \theta)^{r-j}}{n^{\alpha r/2}} \sum_{\ell=j}^r (-1)^\ell \binom{r}{\ell} \binom{\ell}{\ell-j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

First suppose r is even, so that $r = 2m$ for some integer $m \geq 1$. There are three subcases:

- If $j \geq m + 1$, then $2m - j \leq m - 1$, so that $\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{K_n(\alpha, \theta)^{2m-j}}{n^{\alpha m}} \right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- If $j \leq m - 1$, then $2m - j \geq m + 1$. Then by applying [Gould et al., 2015, Theorem 4] (making the change of variables $r = 2n + m, j = n, \ell = k$ to go from our notation to theirs), we have that the following identity holds: $\sum_{\ell=j}^{2m} (-1)^\ell \binom{2m}{\ell} \binom{\ell}{\ell-j} = 0$.
- If $j = m$, then $2m - j = m$. Then $\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{K_n(\alpha, \theta)^m}{n^{\alpha m}} \right) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(S_{\alpha, \theta}^m)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and again by [Gould et al., 2015, Theorem 4] the following identity holds: $\sum_{\ell=m}^{2m} (-1)^\ell \binom{2m}{\ell} \binom{\ell}{\ell-m} = (2m - 1)!!$

It follows that for even r ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[n^{\frac{r\alpha}{2}} \left(\frac{K_n(\alpha, \theta)}{n^\alpha} - S_{\alpha, \theta} \right)^r \right] \rightarrow (r - 1)!! \mathbb{E} \left(S_{\alpha, \theta}^{r/2} \right)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Otherwise suppose r is odd, so that $r = 2m + 1$ for $m \geq 0$. There are two subcases:

- If $j \geq m + 1$, then $2m + 1 - j < m$, so that $\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{K_n(\alpha, \theta)^{r-j}}{n^{\alpha r/2}} \right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- If $j \leq m$, then $2m + 1 - j > m$. Then by [Gould et al., 2015, Theorem 4], $\sum_{\ell=j}^{2m+1} (-1)^\ell \binom{2m+1}{\ell} \binom{\ell}{\ell-j} = 0$.

It follows that for r odd,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[n^{\frac{r\alpha}{2}} \left(\frac{K_n(\alpha, \theta)}{n^\alpha} - S_{\alpha, \theta} \right)^r \right] \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Combining the above, we have that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[n^{\frac{r\alpha}{2}} \left(\frac{K_n(\alpha, \theta)}{n^\alpha} - S_{\alpha, \theta} \right)^r \right] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(Z^r) \mathbb{E}(S_{\alpha, \theta}^{r/2})$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $r \geq 1$, where $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ and is independent of $S'_{\alpha, \theta} \stackrel{D}{=} S_{\alpha, \theta}$. Since Z and $S_{\alpha, \theta}$ are independent, and each distribution is determined by its moments, we conclude that

$$\sqrt{n^\alpha} \left(\frac{K_n(\alpha, \theta)}{n^\alpha} - S_{\alpha, \theta} \right) \xrightarrow{D} \sqrt{S'_{\alpha, \theta}} N(0, 1),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. □

5. LARGE DEVIATION PRINCIPLES

Motivated by the random index representation in Section 2.2, we establish a large deviation principle for the number of clusters in a sample from $\text{SSM}(q_0)$ with random sample size. As an application of this result, we obtain the large deviation principle for $\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)$.

5.1. Large Deviation Principle. In this section we establish a general large deviation principle for the number of clusters in a random sample of random size from a population governed by a species sampling model.

Theorem 5.1. *Let K_n be the number of clusters in a sample of size n from a population governed by $\text{SSM}(q_0)$. Let $\{\zeta(n)\}$ be a sequence of finite, positive random variables. Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

- (1) *Let $a_0(n)$ be a sequence of positive numbers that converges to infinity as n tends to infinity. Suppose that*

$$\Lambda_0(\lambda) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a_0(n)} \log \mathbb{E} [\exp(\lambda K_n)]$$

exists for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (2) *Suppose there exists a sequence $\{a(n)\}$ such that the limit*

$$\Lambda_1(\lambda) = \frac{1}{a(n)} \log \mathbb{E} [\exp(\lambda a_0(\zeta(n)))]$$

exists for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (3) *The function $\Lambda_1(\Lambda_0(\lambda))$ is essentially smooth.*

Then the family $\left\{ \frac{K_{\zeta(n)}}{a(n)} \right\}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with speed $a(n)$ and rate function $I(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \{\lambda x - \Lambda(\lambda)\}$, where $\Lambda(\lambda) := \Lambda_1(\Lambda_0(\lambda))$.

Proof. Fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Since the limit

$$\Lambda_0(\lambda) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a_0(n)} \log \mathbb{E} [\exp(\lambda K_n)]$$

exists for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ by Condition 1, then for $\epsilon > 0$ there exists M sufficiently large such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{a_0(n)} \log \mathbb{E} [\exp(\lambda K_n)] - \Lambda_0(\lambda) \right| < \epsilon$$

for all $n \geq M$.

Now consider the cumulant generating function of $K_{\xi(n)}$. Conditioning on $\zeta(n)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mathbb{E} [\exp(\lambda K_{\zeta(n)})] &= \log \mathbb{E} (\mathbb{E} [\exp(\lambda K_{\zeta(n)}) \mid \zeta(n)]) \\ &= \log (\mathbb{E} (\mathbb{E} [\exp(\lambda K_{\zeta(n)}) \mid \xi(n)] \mathbb{1}_{\{\zeta(n) \leq M\}}) + \mathbb{E} (\mathbb{E} [\exp(\lambda K_{\xi(n)}) \mid \zeta(n)] \mathbb{1}_{\{\zeta(n) > M\}})). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the first expectation term inside the logarithm satisfies

$$e^{-\lambda M} P(\zeta(n) \leq M) \leq \mathbb{E} (\mathbb{E} [\exp(\lambda K_{\zeta(n)}) \mid \zeta(n)] \mathbb{1}_{\{\zeta(n) \leq M\}}) \leq e^{|\lambda M|} P(\zeta(n) \leq M).$$

Since $\zeta(n) \xrightarrow{a.s.} \infty$, it follows that $P(\zeta(n) \leq M) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently,

$$\mathbb{E} (\mathbb{E} [\exp(\lambda K_{\zeta(n)}) \mid \zeta(n)] \mathbb{1}_{\{\zeta(n) \leq M\}}) \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, the second expectation term can be bounded as

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} (\exp [(\Lambda_0(\lambda) - \epsilon) a_0(\zeta(n))] \mathbb{1}_{\{\zeta(n) > M\}}) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} (\mathbb{E} [\exp(\lambda K_{\zeta(n)}) \mid \zeta(n)] \mathbb{1}_{\{\zeta(n) > M\}}) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left(a_0(\zeta(n)) \frac{1}{a_0(\zeta(n))} \log \mathbb{E} [\exp(\lambda K_{\xi(n)}) \mid \zeta(n)] \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\zeta(n) > M\}} \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} (\exp [(\Lambda_0(\lambda) + \epsilon) a_0(\zeta(n))] \mathbb{1}_{\{\zeta(n) > M\}}). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that we can rewrite the upper bound as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(\exp [(\Lambda_0(\lambda) + \epsilon)a_0(\zeta(n))] \mathbb{1}_{\{\zeta(n) > M\}} \right) &= \mathbb{E} \left(\exp [(\Lambda_0(\lambda) + \epsilon)a_0(\zeta(n))] \right) \\ &\quad - \mathbb{E} \left(\exp [(\Lambda_0(\lambda) + \epsilon)a_0(\zeta(n))] \mathbb{1}_{\{\zeta(n) \leq M\}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ of both sides gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\exp [(\Lambda_0(\lambda) + \epsilon)a_0(\zeta(n))] \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi(n) > M\}} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\exp [(\Lambda_0(\lambda) + \epsilon)a_0(\zeta(n))] \right),$$

again since $\zeta(n) \xrightarrow{a.s.} \infty$. By a similar argument we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\exp [(\Lambda_0(\lambda) - \epsilon)a_0(\zeta(n))] \mathbb{1}_{\{\zeta(n) > M\}} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\exp [(\Lambda_0(\lambda) - \epsilon)a_0(\zeta(n))] \right).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\exp [(\Lambda_0(\lambda) - \epsilon)a_0(\zeta(n))] \right) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\exp(\lambda K_{\zeta(n)}) \mid \zeta(n) \right] \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi(n) > M\}} \right) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\exp [(\Lambda_0(\lambda) + \epsilon)a_0(\zeta(n))] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above and sending $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log \mathbb{E} \left[\exp(\lambda K_{\zeta(n)}) \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log \mathbb{E} \left[\exp(\Lambda_0(\lambda) a_0(\zeta(n))) \right].$$

Finally by Condition 2, the limit

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\lambda) &:= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a(n)} \log \mathbb{E} \left[\exp(\lambda K_{\zeta(n)}) \right] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a(n)} \log \mathbb{E} \left[\exp(\Lambda_0(\lambda) a_0(\zeta(n))) \right] \\ &= \Lambda_1(\Lambda_0(\lambda)) \end{aligned}$$

exists for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\Lambda(\lambda)$ is essentially smooth, it follows from the Gärtner-Ellis theorem that $\left\{ \frac{K_{\zeta(n)}}{a(n)} \right\}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with speed $a(n)$ and rate function $I(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \{ \lambda x - \Lambda(\lambda) \}$ with $\Lambda(\lambda)$ defined as above. \square

5.2. Large Deviation Principle for the Hierarchical Ewens-Pitman Model. Now, we apply Theorem 5.1 in order to establish the large deviation principles for $\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)$ and $\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)$. In particular, by relying on the random index representation, our strategy consists in applying Theorem 5.1 for $\zeta(n) = \xi(n)$. We start with the following lemma, which gives the large deviation principle for $\xi(N)$. We omit the proof, which follows directly from Proposition 2.3 and the contraction principle.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\xi(N) = \sum_{i=1}^d K_{i,N_i}(\beta, \theta)$.*

- (1) *For $\beta = 0$, $\{K_{i,N_i}(\theta)\}$ are independent, with $K_{i,N_i}(\theta)$ distributed as the number of clusters in a sample of size N_i from a population governed by EPM(θ) for all $i \in [d]$. Thus $\left\{ \frac{\xi(N)}{\log N} \right\}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with speed $\log N$ and rate function*

$$I(x) = \begin{cases} x \log \left(\frac{x}{\theta d} \right) - x + \theta d, & x \geq 0, \\ \infty, & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

- (2) *For positive β , we have that $\left\{ \frac{\xi(N)}{N} \right\}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with speed N and rate function*

$$I(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \lambda x - \Lambda_\beta(\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^d w_i \right\}.$$

We are now in the position to establish the following large deviation principle for $\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)$.

Theorem 5.3. *Suppose $\frac{N_i}{N} = \frac{N_i(N)}{N} \rightarrow w_i > 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for all $i \in [d]$. The followings hold:*

- (1) for $\text{HEPM}(\theta_0, \theta, d)$, the family $\left\{ \frac{\mathbf{K}_N(\theta_0; \theta)}{\log \log N} \right\}_{N \geq 1}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with speed $\log \log N$ and rate function

$$I_1(x) = \begin{cases} x \log \left(\frac{x}{\theta_0} \right) - x + \theta_0, & x \geq 0, \\ \infty, & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

- (2) for $\text{HEPM}(\theta_0, \beta, \theta, d)$, the family $\left\{ \frac{\mathbf{K}_N(\theta_0; \beta, \theta)}{\log N} \right\}_{N \geq 1}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with speed $\log N$ and rate function

$$I_2(x) = \beta I_1 \left(\frac{x}{\beta} \right).$$

- (3) for $\text{HEPM}(\alpha, \theta_0, \theta, d)$, the family $\left\{ \frac{\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0; \theta)}{\log N} \right\}_{N \geq 1}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with speed $\log N$ and rate function

$$I_3(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \lambda x - \theta d \left(e^{\Lambda_\alpha(\lambda)} - 1 \right) \right\},$$

where $\Lambda_\alpha(\lambda)$ is given in Proposition 2.3.

- (4) for $\text{HEPM}(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta, d)$, the family $\left\{ \frac{\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta)}{N} \right\}_{N \geq 1}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with speed N and rate function

$$I_4(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \lambda x - \Lambda_\beta(\Lambda_\alpha(\lambda)) \sum_{i=1}^d w_i \right\}.$$

Proof. Recall the random index representation representation

$$\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} K_{\xi(N)}(\alpha, \theta_0),$$

where $\xi(N) = \sum_{i=1}^d K_{i, N_i}(\beta, \theta)$. Thus to establish a large deviation principle for $\left\{ \frac{\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)}{a(n)} \right\}$, it suffices to check the conditions of Theorem 5.1 and identify the speed $a(n)$ for the four different cases.

Case 1. By Proposition 2.3, $\left\{ \frac{K_{0,n}(\theta_0)}{\log n} \right\}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with speed $\log n$ and rate function $I_\theta(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \{ \lambda x - \Lambda_0(\lambda) \}$, where $\Lambda_0(\lambda) = \theta_0(e^\lambda - 1)$.

Next for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, consider

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\log \log N} \log \mathbb{E} [\exp(\lambda \log \xi(N))] &= \frac{1}{\log \log N} \log \mathbb{E} [\xi(N)^\lambda] \\ &= \frac{1}{\log \log N} \log \mathbb{E} \left[(\log N)^\lambda \left(\frac{\xi(N)}{\log N} \right)^\lambda \right] \\ &= \frac{\lambda \log \log N + \log \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\xi(N)}{\log N} \right)^\lambda \right]}{\log \log N} \\ &= \lambda + \frac{\log \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\xi(N)}{\log N} \right)^\lambda \right]}{\log \log N}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda \leq 0$, then by the dominated convergence theorem and the fact that $\frac{\xi(N)}{\log N} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \theta d$, we get

$$\frac{\log \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\xi(N)}{\log N} \right)^\lambda \right]}{\log \log N} \rightarrow 0$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Otherwise suppose $\lambda > 0$. Then

$$\frac{\log \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\xi(N)}{\log N} \right)^\lambda \right]}{\log \log N} \leq \frac{\log \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\xi(N)}{\log N} \right)^{\lceil \lambda \rceil} \right]}{\log \log N} \sim \frac{\log \mathbb{E} \left[(\theta d)^{\lceil \lambda \rceil} \right]}{\log \log N} \rightarrow 0$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ by Lemma 3.1. In any case, the limit

$$\Lambda_1(\lambda) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log \log N} \log \mathbb{E} [\exp(\lambda \log \xi(N))] = \lambda$$

exists for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ which implies that $\Lambda_1(\Lambda_0(\lambda)) = \Lambda_0(\lambda) = \theta_0(e^\lambda - 1)$. Therefore all conditions of Theorem 5.1 are satisfied. Thus $\left\{ \frac{\mathbf{K}_N(\theta_0; \theta)}{\log \log N} \right\}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with speed $\log \log N$ and rate function

$$I_1(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \{\lambda x - \Lambda_0(\lambda)\} = \begin{cases} x \log \left(\frac{x}{\theta_0} \right) - x + \theta_0, & x \geq 0, \\ \infty, & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Case 2. This is similar to Case 1, except in this case we have that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\log N} \log \mathbb{E} [\exp(\lambda \log \xi(N))] &= \frac{1}{\log N} \log \mathbb{E} [\xi(N)^\lambda] = \frac{1}{\log N} \log \mathbb{E} \left[N^{\beta \lambda} \left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \right)^\lambda \right] \\ &= \frac{\beta \lambda \log N + \log \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \right)^\lambda \right]}{\log N} = \beta \lambda + \frac{\log \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \right)^\lambda \right]}{\log N}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda \leq 0$, then by the dominated convergence theorem and the fact that $\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \sum_{i=1}^d w_i^\beta S_{\beta, \theta, i}$, we have that

$$\frac{\log \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \right)^\lambda \right]}{\log N} \rightarrow 0$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Otherwise suppose $\lambda > 0$. Then

$$\frac{\log \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \right)^\lambda \right]}{\log N} \leq \frac{\log \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\xi(N)}{N^\beta} \right)^{\lceil \lambda \rceil} \right]}{\log N} \sim \frac{\log \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^d w_i^\beta S_{\beta, \theta, i} \right)^{\lceil \lambda \rceil} \right]}{\log N} \rightarrow 0$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ by Lemma 3.1. In any case, the limit

$$\Lambda_1(\lambda) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log N} \log \mathbb{E} [\exp(\lambda \log \xi(N))] = \beta \lambda$$

exists for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Therefore all conditions of Theorem 5.1 are satisfied, and $\left\{ \frac{\mathbf{K}_N(\theta_0; \beta, \theta)}{\log N} \right\}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with speed $\log N$ and rate function

$$I_2(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \{\lambda x - \beta \Lambda_0(\lambda)\} = \beta I_1 \left(\frac{x}{\beta} \right).$$

Case 3. By Proposition 2.3, $\left\{\frac{K_n(\alpha, \theta_0)}{n}\right\}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with speed n and rate function $I_\alpha(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \{\lambda x - \Lambda_\alpha(\lambda)\}$. On the other hand by Lemma 5.2, the limit

$$\Lambda_1(\lambda) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log N} \log \mathbb{E} [\exp(\lambda \xi(N))] = \theta d(e^\lambda - 1)$$

exists for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\Lambda_1(\Lambda_\alpha(\lambda)) = \theta d(e^{\Lambda_\alpha(\lambda)} - 1)$ is essentially smooth, all conditions of Theorem 5.1 are satisfied. Thus $\left\{\frac{\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0; \theta)}{\log N}\right\}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with speed $\log N$ and rate function

$$I_3(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \lambda x - \theta d \left(e^{\Lambda_\alpha(\lambda)} - 1 \right) \right\}.$$

Case 4. This is the same as Case 3, except that the limit is

$$\Lambda_1(\lambda) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log \mathbb{E} [\exp(\lambda \xi(N))] = \Lambda_\beta(\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^d w_i,$$

which exists for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ by Lemma 5.2.

As before all conditions of Theorem 5.1 are satisfied with

$$\Lambda_1(\Lambda_\alpha(\lambda)) = \Lambda_\beta(\Lambda_\alpha(\lambda)) \sum_{i=1}^d w_i.$$

Thus $\left\{\frac{\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)}{N}\right\}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with speed N and rate function

$$I_4(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \lambda x - \Lambda_\beta(\Lambda_\alpha(\lambda)) \sum_{i=1}^d w_i \right\}. \quad \square$$

We conclude by providing the large deviation principle for $\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)$, for $1 \leq r \leq N$. In particular, the proof uses Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 2.4, and is similar to Cases 3 and 4 in the proof of Theorem 5.3. For this reason we omit the proof.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)$, for $1 \leq r \leq N$, be the number of clusters with frequency r in a sample of size $N = \sum_{i=1}^d N_i$ from a population governed by $\text{HEPM}(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta, d)$. Suppose $\frac{N_i}{N} = \frac{N_i(N)}{N} \rightarrow w_i > 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for all $i \in [d]$. We have the following large deviation principles:*

- (1) *for $\text{HEPM}(\alpha, \theta_0, \theta, d)$, the family $\left\{\frac{\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_0; \theta)}{\log N}\right\}_{N \geq 1}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with speed $\log N$ and rate function*

$$I(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \lambda x - \theta d \left(e^{\Lambda_{\alpha,r}(\lambda)} - 1 \right) \right\}.$$

- (2) *for $\text{HEPM}(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta, d)$, the family $\left\{\frac{\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_0; \beta, \theta)}{N}\right\}_{N \geq 1}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with speed N and rate function*

$$I(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \lambda x - \Lambda_\beta(\Lambda_{\alpha,r}(\lambda)) \sum_{i=1}^d w_i \right\}.$$

6. DISCUSSION AND FINAL REMARKS

Given a sample of size N from a population governed by a hierarchical species sampling model, we investigated the large N asymptotic behavior of the number \mathbf{K}_N of clusters and the number $\mathbf{M}_{r,N}$ of clusters with frequency r in the sample, providing the classical trio of almost sure convergence, Gaussian fluctuation, and large deviation principle. Our results show the impact of different levels in the hierarchy through the scalings of Gaussian fluctuations and the rate functions in the large

deviation principles. While we focussed on hierarchical models involving two levels, by the random index representation we expect similar results to hold for more than two levels.

6.1. Further Asymptotic and Non-asymptotic Analysis of \mathbf{K}_N and $\mathbf{M}_{r,N}$. This work opens several opportunities for future research on of \mathbf{K}_N and $\mathbf{M}_{r,N}$. First, our study leaves open the problem of establishing a Gaussian fluctuation for $\mathbf{M}_{r,N}$. In the setting of Theorem 4.2, under the hierarchical Pitman-Yor process, we conjecture that as $N \rightarrow +\infty$

$$(9) \quad \sqrt{N^{\alpha\beta}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{M}_{r,N}(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta)}{N^{\alpha\beta}} - p_\alpha(r) S_{\alpha, \theta_0} \eta^\alpha \right) \xrightarrow{D} \sqrt{p_\alpha(r) S_{\alpha, \theta_0} \eta^\alpha} N(0, 1),$$

where $p_\alpha(r) = \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)^{(r-1)}}{r!}$. This is also an open problem in the context of non-hierarchical species sampling model, for which a preliminary result is given in Bercu and Favaro [2024, Theorem 3.3] under the Ewens-Pitman model. Establishing quantitative versions of the Gaussian fluctuations of Theorem 4.2, as well as of (9) is also an interesting direction for future work. More generally, proving non-asymptotic results for the number of clusters and the number of clusters with frequency r , such as Berry-Esseen type inequalities and concentration inequalities, remains an open problem for both hierarchical and non-hierarchical species sampling models. We refer to Dolera and Favaro [2020, 2021] and Pereira et al. [2022] for some results under the Ewens-Pitman model with $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $\theta > -\alpha$.

Another research direction is related to the problem of estimating the parameters of hierarchical Pitman-Yor process, namely $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$, $\theta_0 > -\alpha$, and $\theta > -\beta$. In particular, the estimation of α and θ_0 is known to be a challenging task, with respect to both empirical Bayes and fully Bayes procedures [Bacallado et al., 2022]. From Remark 3.4,

$$(10) \quad \frac{\mathbf{M}_{1,N}(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta)}{\mathbf{K}_N(\alpha, \theta_0, \beta, \theta)}$$

is a (strongly) consistent estimator of $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. An analogous result holds for the Ewens-Pitman model [Pitman, 2006, Lemma 3.11]. One may further investigate the estimator (10), as well as its counterpart in the non-hierarchical setting, providing a central limit theorem for it, and in turn, a corresponding Gaussian confidence interval. To date, it is unknown how to obtain a consistent estimator of θ_0 [Balocchi et al., 2024].

6.2. Bayesian Nonparametric Inference for Species Sampling Problems. Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 3.2 pave the way for future research in Bayesian nonparametric statistics for *species sampling problems* [Balocchi et al., 2024]. In this context, one of the major problems is the estimation of the number of unseen species in a sample. Specifically, given $N \geq 1$ observed samples $\{(X_{i,1}, \dots, X_{i,N_i})\}_{i \in [d]}$ governed by a hierarchical Ewens-Pitman model, the unseen-species problem calls for estimating

$$\mathbf{K}_M^{(N)} = |\{(X_{i,N_i+1}, \dots, X_{i,N_i+M_i})\}_{i \in [d]} \setminus \{(X_{i,1}, \dots, X_{i,N_i})\}_{i \in [d]}|,$$

namely the number of hitherto unseen species (symbols) that would be observed in $M = \sum_{i=1}^d M_i$ additional samples $\{(X_{i,N_i+1}, \dots, X_{i,N_i+M_i})\}_{i \in [d]}$ governed by the same model. Then, the interest is in the conditional distribution of $\mathbf{K}_M^{(N)}$ given $\{(X_{i,1}, \dots, X_{i,N_i})\}_{i \in [d]}$, i.e. the posterior distribution, whose expectation provides a Bayesian estimator of $\mathbf{K}_M^{(N)}$. Differently from the unseen-species problem in the non-hierarchical setting [Lijoi et al., 2007; Favaro et al., 2009], obtaining closed-form expressions for the estimator of $\mathbf{K}_M^{(N)}$ is not possible, due to the analytical complexity inherent to the hierarchical construction [Camerlenghi et al., 2019, Section 6]. Instead, one may consider obtaining a large M approximate estimator by characterizing the almost sure or L^p large M asymptotic behavior of $\mathbf{K}_M^{(N)}$, in analogy to Proposition 2.1. A similar question holds with respect to the estimation of the number of hitherto unseen species with frequency r that would be observed in $M = \sum_{i=1}^d M_i$ additional samples.

REFERENCES

- ARRATIA, R., BARBOUR, A. AND TAVARÉ, S. (1992). Poisson process approximations for the Ewens sampling formula. *Annals of Applied Probability* **2**, 519–535.
- ARRATIA, R., BARBOUR, A.D. AND TAVARÉ, S. (2003). *Logarithmic combinatorial structures: a probabilistic approach*. EMS Monographs in Mathematics.
- BACALLADO, S., FAVARO, S., POWER, S. AND TRIPPA, L. (2022). Perfect sampling of the posterior in the hierarchical Pitman–Yor process. *Bayesian Analysis* **17**, 685–709.
- BALOCCHI, C., FAVARO, S. AND NAULET, Z. (2024). Bayesian nonparametric inference for “species-sampling” problems. *Statistical Science*, to appear.
- BASSETTI, F., CASARIN, R. AND ROSSINI, L. (2020). Hierarchical species sampling models. *Bayesian Analysis* **15**, 809–838.
- BASSETTI, F. AND LADELLI, L. (2020). Asymptotic number of clusters for species-sampling sequences with non-diffuse base measure. *Statistics and Probability Letters* **162**, 108749.
- BASSETTI, F. AND LADELLI, L. (2021). Mixture of species sampling models. *Mathematics* **9**, 3127.
- BERCU, B. AND FAVARO, S. (2024). A martingale approach to Gaussian fluctuations and laws of iterated logarithm for Ewens-Pitman model. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **178**, 104493.
- BINGHAM, N.H., GOLDIE, C.M. AND TEUGELS, J.L. (1989). *Regular variation*. Cambridge University Press
- CAMERLENGHI, F., LIJOI, A., ORBANZ, P. AND PRÜNSTER, I. (2019). Distribution theory for hierarchical processes. *Annals of Statistics* **47**, 67–92.
- CRANE, H. (2016). The ubiquitous Ewens sampling formula. *Statistical Science* **31**, 1–19.
- DOLERA, E. AND FAVARO, S. (2020). A Berry-Esseen theorem for Pitman’s α -diversity. *Annals of Applied Probability* **30**, 847–869.
- DOLERA, E. AND FAVARO, S. (2021). A compound Poisson perspective of Ewens-Pitman sampling model. *Mathematics* **9**, 2820.
- FAVARO, S. AND FENG, S. (2015). Large deviation principles for the Ewens-Pitman sampling model. *Electronic Journal of Probability* **20**, 1–26.
- FAVARO, S., FENG, S. AND GAO, F. (2018). Moderate deviations for Ewen-Pitman sampling models. *Sankhya: The Indian Journal of Statistics* **80**, 330–341.
- FAVARO, S., LIJOI, A., MENA, R.H. AND PRÜNSTER, I. (2009). Bayesian non-parametric inference for species variety with a two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet process prior. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B* **71**, 993–1008.
- FENG, S. (2010). *The Poisson-Dirichlet Distribution and Related Topics: Models and Asymptotic Behaviors*, Springer.
- FENG, S. (2023). Hierarchical Dirichlet process and relative entropy. *Electronic Communications in Probability* **28**, 1–12.
- FENG, S. AND HOPPE, F.M. (1998). Large deviation principles for some random combinatorial structures in population genetics and Brownian motion. *Annals of Applied Probability* **8**, 975–994.
- FERGUSON, T.S. (1973). A Bayesian analysis of some nonparametric problems. *Annals of Statistics* **1**, 209–230.
- GNEDIN, A. AND PITMAN, J. (2005). Exchangeable Gibbs partitions and Stirling triangles. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences* **138**, 5674–5685.
- GNEDIN, A., HANSEN, B. AND PITMAN, J. (2007). Notes on the occupancy problem with infinitely many boxes: general asymptotics and power laws. *Probability Surveys* **4**, 146–171.
- GOULD, H.W., KWONG, H. AND QUAINANCE, J. (2015). On certain sums of Stirling numbers with binomial coefficients. *Journal of Integer Sequences* **18**, 15.9.6.
- KINGMAN, J.F.C. (1978). The representation of partition structures. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* **2**, 374–380.

- KORWAR, R.M. AND HOLLANDER, M. (1973). Contributions to the theory of Dirichlet processes. *Annals of Probability* **1**, 705-711.
- LIJOI, A., MENA, R.H. AND PRÜNSTER, I. (2007). Bayesian nonparametric estimation of the probability of discovering new species. *Biometrika* **94**, 769–786.
- MALLER, R. AND SHEMEHSAVAR, S. (2023). Limiting behavior of Poisson-Dirichlet and Generalised Poisson-Dirichlet distributions. *Preprint arXiv:2309.00815*.
- PEREIRA, A., OLIVEIRA, R.I. AND RIBEIRO, R. (2022). Concentration in the generalized Chinese restaurant process. *Sankhya A* **80**, 628-670.
- PERMAN, M., PITMAN, J. AND YOR, M. (1992). Size-biased sampling of Poisson point processes and excursions. *Probability Theory and Related Fields* **92**, 21–39.
- PITMAN, J. (1996). Some developments of the Blackwell-MacQueen urn scheme. In *Statistics, Probability and Game Theory*, Ferguson, T.S., Shapley, L.S. and MacQueen, J.B. Eds., Institute of Mathematical Statistics.
- PITMAN, J. (2006). *Combinatorial stochastic processes*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer Verlag.
- PITMAN, J. AND YOR, M. (1997). The two parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution derived from a stable subordinator. *The Annals of Probability* **25**, 855–900.
- TEH, Y.W. (2016). Bayesian nonparametric modeling and the ubiquitous Ewens sampling formula. *Statistical Science* **31**, 34–36.
- TEH, Y.W. AND JORDAN, M.I. (2010). Hierarchical Bayesian nonparametric models with applications. In *Bayesian Nonparametrics*. Cambridge University Press.
- TEH, Y.W., JORDAN, M.I., BEAL, M.J. AND BLEI, D.M. (2006). Hierarchical Dirichlet processes. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* **101**, 1566–1581

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORINO AND COLLEGIO CARLO ALBERTO, TORINO, 10134, ITALY

E-mail address: {stefano.favaro}@unito.it

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, MCMASTER UNIVERSITY, HAMILTON, ON, L8S 4K1, CANADA

E-mail address: {shuifeng, paguyoj}@mcmaster.ca