

Relating the modular Hamiltonian to two-point functions

Markus B. Fröb

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Leipzig, Brüderstraße 16, 04103 Leipzig, Germany

E-mail: mfroeb@itp.uni-leipzig.de

ABSTRACT: We consider the modular Hamiltonian associated to standard subspaces for a free scalar field in a globally hyperbolic spacetime in an arbitrary Gaussian state. We show how the modular Hamiltonian is related to the two-point function of the theory. For the restriction of the modular Hamiltonian to the subspace, we recover formulas that were obtained previously by Casini and Huerta. Lastly, we show how the same results can be obtained more directly from the KMS condition.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Free scalar fields	4
2.1	Modular data	5
2.2	An alternative formula for the modular Hamiltonian	7
2.3	Relation to the two-point function	8
2.4	KMS condition	10
	References	16

1 Introduction

The Tomita–Takesaki theory of modular flows of von Neumann algebras [1, 2] has found a multitude of applications in quantum field theory. In particular, it allows to generalize certain concepts and quantities that are defined in terms of density matrices and traces for finite-dimensional quantum systems to field theory, where they would be otherwise ill-defined without imposing an ultraviolet cutoff. A prominent example is relative entropy, which given a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and two density matrices ρ and σ is defined as

$$S_{\text{rel}}(\rho||\sigma) = \text{tr}_{\mathcal{H}}(\rho \ln \rho - \rho \ln \sigma), \quad (1.1)$$

and which is a measure for the amount of information needed to distinguish two states. More precisely, given a system in a state described by ρ , the probability of mistakenly ascribing to it the state described by σ after a large number N of measurements has been performed is proportional to $\exp[-N S_{\text{rel}}(\rho||\sigma)]$.

While each of the ingredients in Eq. (1.1) is on its own ill-defined in a quantum field theory (or more specifically for a theory whose local von Neumann algebras are of type III), it is possible to rewrite the equation in such a way that it remains well-defined also in the latter case [3–5]. Namely, one considers instead of \mathcal{H} the bipartite Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$, and derives the density matrices ρ and σ as partial traces of two cyclic and separating states $|\Phi\rangle, |\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ (such states can be constructed by purification). The relative entropy (1.1) can then be written as

$$S_{\text{rel}}(\rho||\sigma) = -\text{tr}\left(\rho \ln \Delta_{\rho|\sigma}\right) = -\omega_{\rho}\left(\ln \Delta_{\rho|\sigma}\right), \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$\ln \Delta_{\rho|\sigma} = \ln \sigma \otimes \mathbb{1} - \mathbb{1} \otimes \ln \rho \quad (1.3)$$

is the so-called relative modular Hamiltonian. Tomita–Takesaki theory shows that this operator is well-defined also in quantum field theory, and thus the definition

$$S_{\text{rel}}(\Phi||\Psi) = -\omega_{\Phi}(\ln \Delta_{\Phi|\Psi}) = -\langle \Phi | \ln \Delta_{\Phi|\Psi} | \Phi \rangle \quad (1.4)$$

makes sense for two arbitrary cyclic and separating states $|\Phi\rangle$ and $|\Psi\rangle$ in field theory.

It is not our task nor our goal to give a lengthy introduction to Tomita–Takesaki modular theory and the many beautiful identities and properties that the modular operators have. Instead, we focus on the part that seems most important for practical applications, namely the actual computation of $\ln \Delta_{\Phi|\Psi}$. An important case for applications is if the states $|\Phi\rangle$ and $|\Psi\rangle$ are obtained as unitary excitations of a common state $|\Omega\rangle$, in which case the relative modular operator $\ln \Delta_{\Phi|\Psi}$ can be obtained by a unitary conjugation of the modular operator $\ln \Delta_{\Omega}$ of the common state. This in turn is known in a variety of cases, with the most famous one probably being for the algebra of fields restricted to a wedge in Minkowski spacetime and the Minkowski vacuum state (the Bisognano–Wichmann theorem [6, 7]). While this result holds for arbitrary Wightman theories, other examples only apply to free theories or in lower dimensions. In even dimensions, we mention massless free scalar fields in the Minkowski vacuum state and the algebra of fields inside the future light cone [8] or a double cone [9, 10], and in general dimensions scalar fields in the de Sitter Euclidean (or Bunch–Davies) vacuum state and the algebra of fields inside the static patch of de Sitter spacetime (the de Sitter wedge) [11–18], as well as the analogous result for anti-de Sitter spacetime [19] or FLRW spacetimes [20, 21]. For the Schwarzschild black hole, the modular Hamiltonian is known for free scalar fields in the Hartle–Hawking state of the Kruskal extension of the Schwarzschild metric, with the algebra of fields localized in either the right or left Kruskal wedge [22–25]. The results for massless free fields restricted to either the future light cone or a double cone in Minkowski spacetime can also be generalized to conformal fields in conformally flat spacetimes [26], and many more examples are known in one or two dimensions, both for free fields (scalars and fermions) and conformal field theories in various quantum states and for the algebras of fields restricted to complicated subregions (also including defects and boundaries), see for example Refs. [27–59] and references therein.

Let us also mention a technical point: while (smeared) fermion fields are bounded operators, bosonic fields are unbounded even after smearing. To obtain a von Neumann algebra of bounded operators and apply the tools of Tomita–Takesaki theory, one thus has to consider exponentiated fields, the Weyl operators $W(f)$ which satisfy the product rule

$$W(f)W(g) = e^{-i\sigma(f,g)}W(f+g) \quad (1.5)$$

for a symplectic form σ . In a Fock representation, these are obtained as $e^{i\phi(f)}$ where ϕ is the representation of the usual scalar field, and the product rule substitutes for the canonical commutation relations. If f is real (and ϕ a Hermitean field), they are unitary operators and thus bounded, but if f is permitted to be complex even the Weyl operators are unbounded. We thus have to restrict to a real Hilbert space, but since for a complex bosonic field we can take the real (Hermitean) and imaginary (anti-Hermitean) parts to be independent real fields, this is fortunately no real restriction.

In this work, we consider a free scalar field theory in a general curved spacetime. We work with the formulation in terms of initial-value data on a Cauchy surface Σ , and the von Neumann algebra that we consider is generated by Weyl operators $W(f)$ with f restricted to some region $R \subset \Sigma$. For free theories, everything descends to the one-particle Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and the subspace \mathcal{L} corresponding to initial-value data supported in R . We show how one can relate the abstract expression for the modular Hamiltonian $\ln \Delta$ in terms of the projector P on the standard subspace \mathcal{L} elaborated in detail in Refs. [60–64], namely (2.20)

$$\ln \Delta = 2 \operatorname{arcoth}(\mathbb{1} - P + IPI), \quad (1.6)$$

to a concrete formula for its integral kernel in terms of the two-point function G derived in Ref. [31], namely (2.39)

$$I \ln \Delta|_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2M \\ -2N & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.7)$$

with (2.40)

$$M = P \frac{1}{2C} \ln \left(\frac{2C + \mathbb{1}}{2C - \mathbb{1}} \right), \quad (1.8a)$$

$$N = \frac{1}{2C} \ln \left(\frac{2C + \mathbb{1}}{2C - \mathbb{1}} \right) X, \quad (1.8b)$$

where $C = \sqrt{XP}$ and X and P are the correlation functions of the field and its conjugate momentum in the region R , seen as convolution operators. For fermions, this connection was shown very recently [65], but for bosons such a proof is still missing. Finally, we show that the main relations can alternatively be obtained from the KMS condition that the state ω satisfies with respect to the modular flow.

While the formula (1.6) can be used for numerical computations [64], to determine the modular Hamiltonian analytically it seems much more expedient to use the relation (1.7). Indeed, using the spectral decomposition of the two-point function (seen as a convolution operator) and functional calculus, Casini and Huerta [30] computed the explicit form of the modular Hamiltonian for massless fermions in 1+1 dimensions and the Minkowski vacuum state, in the case where the subspace \mathcal{L} corresponds to initial data with support in a collection of intervals. Later on, the same method was used to compute corrections for small mass [36, 65], and similarly the analogue of Eq. (1.7) for the $U(1)$ current on the line was used to compute the modular Hamiltonian for various intervals [39]. Instead of performing the spectral decomposition explicitly, it is also possible to define the logarithm as an integral over the resolvent (our result (2.28)), which seems to be a very efficient method of obtaining the modular Hamiltonian in a variety of settings, see for example the recent works [48, 66, 67] and references therein that treat fermions. Moreover, it is possible to consider a finite-dimensional approximation of the right-hand side (e.g., by applying a lattice discretization), determining the corresponding modular Hamiltonian and finally taking the limit where the approximation becomes exact. Results using this approach can be found in Refs. [52, 53, 56, 68] and references therein.

2 Free scalar fields

We consider a globally hyperbolic spacetime with Cauchy surface Σ . The quantization of a free scalar field on such a spacetime is well-known (see for example Ref. [25] and references therein), and we only recapitulate the main features. Initial data for the Klein–Gordon equation is given by a pair $f = (f_1, f_2) \in S = L_{\mathbb{R}}^2(\Sigma) \oplus L_{\mathbb{R}}^2(\Sigma)$, and the classical time evolution preserves the (non-degenerate) symplectic form

$$\sigma(f, g) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} (f_1 g_2 - g_1 f_2) dx. \quad (2.1)$$

The quantization of this system proceeds as follows [25, Prop. 3.1]: consider a bilinear positive symmetric form μ on $S \times S$ satisfying the inequality

$$\sigma^2(f, g) \leq \mu(f, f)\mu(g, g) \quad (2.2)$$

for all $f, g \in S$. Then there exists a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with complex structure I ($I^2 = -\mathbb{1}$) and a real-linear map $K: S \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $KS + IK S$ is dense in \mathcal{H} and that

$$\langle Kf, Kg \rangle = \mu(f, g) + i\sigma(f, g) \quad (2.3)$$

for all $f, g \in S$. The Hilbert space of the theory is then constructed as the usual symmetric Fock space over this one-particle Hilbert space, but we only need the one-particle Hilbert space \mathcal{H} in the following. In particular, for a pure state we may choose $Kf = f$ and have

$$\sigma(f, Ig) = \mu(f, g), \quad (2.4)$$

which we will employ in the following. The symmetry of μ and the antisymmetry of σ also entail that

$$\sigma(f, g) = -\mu(f, Ig) = -\mu(Ig, f) = -\sigma(Ig, If) = \sigma(If, Ig), \quad \mu(If, Ig) = \mu(f, g). \quad (2.5)$$

Note that a mixed state can always be represented as a pure state on a larger Hilbert space (so-called purification) [69, Ch. 4]. The relations (2.5) easily yield that $I^\dagger = -I$, where the adjoint is computed either with respect to the full complex scalar product or its real part μ . We note that the construction of a state (and thus a complex structure) in a general spacetime is a non-trivial task, see for example the recent Refs. [70–74] and the many references therein.

Consider now a closed real-linear subspace $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{H}$. We assume that \mathcal{L} is standard and factorial, which means that $\mathcal{L} \cap I\mathcal{L} = \{0\}$ (separating), that $\mathcal{L} + I\mathcal{L}$ is dense in \mathcal{H} (cyclic), and that $\mathcal{L} \cap (I\mathcal{L})^\perp = \{0\}$, where the orthogonal complement is taken with respect to the real part μ of the scalar product. Any vector $h \in \mathcal{H}$ can thus be uniquely written either as $h = f + Ig$ with $f, g \in \mathcal{L}$ or $h = f + g$ with $f \in \mathcal{L}$ and $g \in (I\mathcal{L})^\perp = I\mathcal{L}^{\perp 1}$, and by taking orthogonal complements we also have the unique decomposition $h = f + g$ with $f \in (I\mathcal{L})^\perp$

¹For the last equality, consider $g \in (I\mathcal{L})^\perp \Leftrightarrow \mu(g, If) = 0 \forall f \in \mathcal{L} \Leftrightarrow \mu(Ig, f) = 0 \forall f \in \mathcal{L} \Leftrightarrow Ig \in \mathcal{L}^\perp \Leftrightarrow g \in I\mathcal{L}^\perp$, using that $I^2 = -\mathbb{1}$ and $\mu(If, Ig) = \mu(f, g)$.

and $g \in \mathcal{L}^\perp$. In applications, such a subspace corresponds to functions with support inside a region $R \subset \Sigma$, for example a half-space (the initial data for a wedge) or a sphere (the initial data for a double cone). In order for \mathcal{L} to be cyclic, I must then be an anti-local operator [75–77], which in particular entails that If has support in all of Σ even if f is supported only in R . Let P be the operator that multiplies $h \in \mathcal{H}$ with the characteristic function of R such that $\text{im } P = \mathcal{L}$. We compute

$$\mu((1-P)f, IPg) = -\sigma((1-P)f, Pg) = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

where the last equality holds because of the explicit expression (2.1) of the symplectic form. It follows that $\text{im}(1-P) = \ker P = (I\mathcal{L})^\perp$, and thus P is not an orthogonal but a symplectic projection (called ‘‘cutting projection’’ in [63]). To determine its adjoint P^\dagger with respect to μ , we take $f + g \in \mathcal{L} \oplus (I\mathcal{L})^\perp$ and $h + k \in \mathcal{L}^\perp \oplus I\mathcal{L}$, and compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(P^\dagger(h+k), f+g) + \mu(IPI(h+k), f+g) \\ &= \mu(h+k, P(f+g)) - \mu(PI(h+k), I(f+g)) \\ &= \mu(h+k, f) - \mu(Ik, I(f+g)) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where we used that $\mu(If, Ig) = \mu(f, g)$ and that $Ih \in (I\mathcal{L})^\perp$ such that $PIh = 0$. It follows that $P^\dagger = -IPI$, and clearly P is not an orthogonal projection. Moreover, with the above results we have $\text{im } P^\dagger = I\mathcal{L}$ and $\ker P^\dagger = \mathcal{L}^\perp$.

2.1 Modular data

For a free scalar field in the Fock representation, the modular data is obtained as the second quantization of the one-particle modular data [78, 79], such that we can restrict to the one-particle level. In the above standard subspace setting, all the modular objects have been determined and their properties elaborated [60–64], and we will give just a summary of the main formulas and derivations.

Using that any vector $h \in \mathcal{H}$ can be uniquely written as $h = f + Ig$ with $f, g \in \mathcal{L}$, the Tomita operator S is well-defined as the closure of the densely defined anti-linear involution

$$S: f + Ig \mapsto f - Ig. \quad (2.8)$$

Its polar decomposition results in the modular conjugation J and the modular operator Δ , and we would like to obtain a formula for Δ in terms of the projector P on the standard subspace \mathcal{L} . For this, we write $\Delta = S^\dagger S$ and consider

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S(f + Ig), h + Ik \rangle &= \langle f - Ig, h + Ik \rangle = \mu(f - Ig, h + Ik) + i\sigma(f - Ig, h + Ik) \\ &= \mu(h + Ik, f - Ig) + i\mu(h + Ik, If + g) \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

for $h + Ik \in (I\mathcal{L})^\perp \oplus \mathcal{L}^\perp$ and $f + Ig \in \mathcal{L} \oplus I\mathcal{L}$, where we used the symmetry of μ and that $\sigma(f, g) = \mu(f, -Ig)$. Using that $h, k \in (I\mathcal{L})^\perp$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{L}$ as well as $\mu(f, g) = \mu(If, Ig)$, this reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S(f + Ig), h + Ik \rangle &= \mu(h, f) - \mu(k, g) + i\mu(h, g) + i\mu(k, f) \\ &= \mu(h - Ik, f + Ig) + i\mu(h - Ik, g - If) \\ &= \mu(h - Ik, f + Ig) + i\sigma(h - Ik, Ig + f) = \langle h - Ik, f + Ig \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

On the other hand, for an antilinear operator we have

$$\langle Sf, g \rangle = \langle f, S^\dagger g \rangle^* = \langle S^\dagger g, f \rangle \quad (2.11)$$

for all $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$, and thus can identify the action

$$S^\dagger(h + Ik) = h - Ik, \quad (2.12)$$

recalling that $h + Ik \in (I\mathcal{L})^\perp \oplus \mathcal{L}^\perp$.

To derive the relation between Δ and P , we first would like to show that [61]

$$P(\mathbb{1} - \Delta) = \mathbb{1} + S. \quad (2.13)$$

Using that for $f + Ig \in \mathcal{L} \oplus I\mathcal{L}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} [P(\mathbb{1} - \Delta) - \mathbb{1} - S](f + Ig) &= P(f + Ig) - PS^\dagger(f - Ig) - 2f \\ &= -P(\mathbb{1} + S^\dagger)(f - Ig), \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

we compute for $h \in \mathcal{H}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle h, [P(\mathbb{1} - \Delta) - \mathbb{1} - S](f + Ig) \rangle \\ &= -\langle h, P(\mathbb{1} + S^\dagger)(f - Ig) \rangle \\ &= -\mu(h, P(\mathbb{1} + S^\dagger)(f - Ig)) - i\mu(Ih, P(\mathbb{1} + S^\dagger)(f - Ig)) \\ &= \mu(IPIh, (\mathbb{1} + S^\dagger)(f - Ig)) - i\mu(IPh, (\mathbb{1} + S^\dagger)(f - Ig)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

where we used that $\mu(f, Pg) = \mu(PIf, Ig)$ and that $\mu(Ih, Ig) = \mu(f, g)$. Using furthermore that for the real part of the scalar product we have

$$\mu(f, S^\dagger g) = \mu(S^\dagger g, f) = \mu(Sf, g) = \mu(g, Sf) \quad (2.16)$$

and that $SIh = -Ish$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle h, [P(\mathbb{1} - \Delta) - \mathbb{1} - S](f + Ig) \rangle \\ &= \mu((\mathbb{1} + S)IPIh, f - Ig) - i\mu((\mathbb{1} + S)IPh, f - Ig) \\ &= \mu(I(\mathbb{1} - S)PIh, f - Ig) - i\mu(I(\mathbb{1} - S)Ph, f - Ig) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

where the last equality holds because $Pf \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $SPf = Pf$.

The analogous computation shows that

$$IPI(\mathbb{1} - \Delta) = -\mathbb{1} + S, \quad (2.18)$$

and combining both we obtain

$$\mathbb{1} - P + IPI = -(\mathbb{1} + \Delta)(\mathbb{1} - \Delta)^{-1}, \quad (2.19)$$

from which it follows that [64]

$$\ln \Delta = 2 \operatorname{arcoth}(\mathbb{1} - P + IPI). \quad (2.20)$$

This equation needs to be understood from spectral calculus. In particular, since Δ is a positive self-adjoint operator the relation (2.19) shows that the spectrum of $\mathbb{1} - P + IPI$ is contained in $(-\infty, -1] \cup [1, \infty)$, such that the arcoth is well-defined.

2.2 An alternative formula for the modular Hamiltonian

While the formula (2.20) is short, it is difficult to use in practice, and we would like to derive a different one. For complex numbers, we have the integral representation

$$\operatorname{arcoth} z = \int_1^\infty \frac{z}{t^2 z^2 - 1} dt, \quad (2.21)$$

which we would like to extend to self-adjoint operators, following [80]. Let thus A be a self-adjoint operator on \mathcal{H} , whence by the spectral theorem there exists a unique spectral measure E_A on the Borel sigma-algebra of \mathbb{R} , supported on the spectrum $\sigma(A)$, such that

$$A = \int_{\sigma(A)} \lambda dE_A(\lambda). \quad (2.22)$$

If $\sigma(A) \subseteq (-\infty, -1] \cup [1, \infty)$, spectral calculus defines

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{arcoth}(A) &= \int_{\sigma(A)} \operatorname{arcoth}(\lambda) dE_A(\lambda) \\ &= \int_{\sigma(A)} \int_1^\infty \frac{\lambda}{t^2 \lambda^2 - 1} dt dE_A(\lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

For $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, consider the vector

$$y = P_\epsilon x, \quad P_\epsilon = E_A\left(\left[-\frac{2}{\epsilon}, -1 - \epsilon\right]\right) + E_A\left(\left[1 + \epsilon, \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right]\right). \quad (2.24)$$

For all such ϵ , y lies in the domain of A , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{arcoth}(A)y &= \int_{\sigma(A)} \int_1^\infty \frac{\lambda}{t^2 \lambda^2 - 1} dt dE_A(\lambda)y \\ &= \int_1^\infty \int_{\sigma(A)} \frac{\lambda}{t^2 \lambda^2 - 1} dE_A(\lambda)y dt \\ &= \int_1^\infty A(t^2 A^2 - \mathbb{1})^{-1} y dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

where we could interchange the integrals for $\epsilon > 0$ by Fubini's theorem. Since the set of all such y (for all $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$) is a core for A , the conclusion follows.

Applied to our result (2.20), we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \Delta &= 2 \int_1^\infty (\mathbb{1} - P + IPI) \left[t^2 (\mathbb{1} - P + IPI)^2 - \mathbb{1} \right]^{-1} dt \\ &= 2I \int_1^\infty (I - IP - PI) \left[\mathbb{1} + t^2 PIPIP + t^2 (\mathbb{1} - P)I(\mathbb{1} - P)I(\mathbb{1} - P) \right]^{-1} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

We see that $A^2 = PIPIP + (\mathbb{1} - P)I(\mathbb{1} - P)I(\mathbb{1} - P)$ is block diagonal, i.e., that it leaves invariant the closed subspaces $\operatorname{im} P = \mathcal{L}$ and $\operatorname{im}(1 - P) = (I\mathcal{L})^\perp$ which together sum to the full Hilbert space. It follows that also the resolvent is block diagonal, such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\mathbb{1} + t^2 PIPIP + t^2 (\mathbb{1} - P)I(\mathbb{1} - P)I(\mathbb{1} - P) \right]^{-1} \\ &= P \left[\left(\mathbb{1} + t^2 IPI \right) \Big|_{\mathcal{L}} \right]^{-1} P \\ &\quad + (\mathbb{1} - P) \left[\left(\mathbb{1} + t^2 I(\mathbb{1} - P)I \right) \Big|_{(I\mathcal{L})^\perp} \right]^{-1} (\mathbb{1} - P), \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

where the inverse is computed on each subspace. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I \ln \Delta &= 2 \int_1^\infty PIP \left[\left(\mathbb{1} + t^2 IPI \right) \Big|_{\mathcal{L}} \right]^{-1} P dt \\ &\quad - 2 \int_1^\infty (\mathbb{1} - P)I(\mathbb{1} - P) \left[\left(\mathbb{1} + t^2 I(\mathbb{1} - P)I \right) \Big|_{(I\mathcal{L})^\perp} \right]^{-1} (\mathbb{1} - P) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

We see that $I \ln \Delta$ leaves not only the subspace \mathcal{L} invariant (which is known), but also the real orthogonal complement $(I\mathcal{L})^\perp$. Moreover, using the integral representation

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{z}{1+t^2 z^2} dt = \operatorname{arccot} z, \quad (2.29)$$

which is extended to self-adjoint operators analogously to the one (2.21) for the arccoth, we obtain our final expression

$$I \ln \Delta = 2P \operatorname{arccot}(PIP)P - 2(\mathbb{1} - P) \operatorname{arccot}[(\mathbb{1} - P)I(\mathbb{1} - P)](\mathbb{1} - P). \quad (2.30)$$

2.3 Relation to the two-point function

To connect with other formulas in the literature, we need to express the complex structure using the two-point function. For this, we consider $\mathcal{H} = L_{\mathbb{R}}^2(\Sigma) \oplus L_{\mathbb{R}}^2(\Sigma)$ together with the L^2 scalar product

$$(f, g) = \int_{\Sigma} (f_1 g_1 + f_2 g_2) dx. \quad (2.31)$$

The symplectic form (2.1) can then be written as

$$\sigma(f, g) = \frac{1}{2}(f, \epsilon g) \quad (2.32)$$

with the constant matrix $\epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\epsilon^2 = -\mathbb{1}$, and the real part μ of the original scalar product on \mathcal{H} reads

$$\mu(f, g) = \frac{1}{2}(f, \epsilon I g). \quad (2.33)$$

We thus have the relation

$$G(f, g) = \langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(f, \epsilon I g) + \frac{i}{2}(f, \epsilon g), \quad (2.34)$$

or

$$2G = \epsilon I + i\epsilon \quad (2.35)$$

as relation between integral kernels. Otherwise said, we may replace the complex structure I by $-2\epsilon G - i\mathbb{1}$ and obtain

$$I \ln \Delta|_R = -2 \operatorname{arccot}(2\epsilon G + i\mathbb{1}|_R) \quad (2.36)$$

from (2.30). This is now a relation between integral kernels, restricted to the region $R \subset \Sigma$. Namely, the operator $I \ln \Delta$ restricted to the subspace \mathcal{L} of elements with support in R can be understood as convolution operator acting on functions in R . Its integral kernel

is related to the one of the two-point function, seen also as convolution operator on such functions, by the formula (2.36). To make this more concrete, we note that of the initial data $f = (f_1, f_2)$ the first component f_1 is the initial data for the field ϕ itself, while the second component f_2 is the initial data for its normal derivative, the conjugate momentum π . Therefore, the integral kernel of the two-point function decomposes as

$$G|_R = \begin{pmatrix} X & \frac{i}{2}\mathbb{1} \\ -\frac{i}{2}\mathbb{1} & P \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.37)$$

where the off-diagonal entries are one-half of the equal-time commutator between ϕ and π , while the diagonal entries are the equal-time correlation functions of ϕ with itself (X) or π with itself (P), restricted to R , which are both symmetric. Since G is the integral kernel of the scalar product (2.34) and thus positive definite, it follows that also X and P are positive definite operators, and in particular invertible. We further obtain

$$2\epsilon G + i\mathbb{1}|_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2P \\ -2X & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.38)$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} I \ln \Delta|_R &= -2 \operatorname{arccoth} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2P \\ -2X & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -2 \int_1^\infty \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2P \\ -2X & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left[\mathbb{1} - 4t^2 \begin{pmatrix} PX & 0 \\ 0 & XP \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} dt \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -4 \int_1^\infty P (\mathbb{1} - 4t^2 XP)^{-1} dt \\ 4 \int_1^\infty X (\mathbb{1} - 4t^2 PX)^{-1} dt & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -4P \int_1^\infty (\mathbb{1} - 4t^2 XP)^{-1} dt \\ 4 \int_1^\infty (\mathbb{1} - 4t^2 XP)^{-1} dt X & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2M \\ -2N & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

with

$$M = P \frac{1}{2C} \ln \left(\frac{2C + \mathbb{1}}{2C - \mathbb{1}} \right), \quad (2.40a)$$

$$N = \frac{1}{2C} \ln \left(\frac{2C + \mathbb{1}}{2C - \mathbb{1}} \right) X. \quad (2.40b)$$

Here we defined $C = \sqrt{XP}$, and extended the integral relation (valid for real z with $z^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}$)

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{1 - 4t^2 z^2} dt = \frac{1}{4z} \ln \left(\frac{2z + 1}{2z - 1} \right) \quad (2.41)$$

to self-adjoint operators with spectrum in $[\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$ as before. Note that $C \geq \frac{1}{2}$ follows from positivity of the state [31]. To show this, we write the decomposition (2.37) as

$$G|_R = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & \frac{i}{2}P^{-1} \\ 0 & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (XP - \frac{1}{4})P^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & P \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ -\frac{i}{2}P^{-1} & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.42)$$

where the inverse P^{-1} is well-defined on the space of functions with support inside R , such that for $f = \left(f_1, \frac{1}{2}P^{-1}f_1\right)$ we obtain

$$(f, Gf) = \left(f_1, \left(XP - \frac{1}{4}\right)P^{-1}f_1\right) \geq 0. \quad (2.43)$$

Therefore, $\left(XP - \frac{1}{4}\right)P^{-1}$ is a positive operator and since $P \geq 0$ we conclude that $XP \geq \frac{1}{4}$, hence the square root is well-defined and $C \geq \frac{1}{2}$. The result (2.39) together with the explicit expressions (2.40) for M and N then coincides exactly with the result of Casini and Huerta [31], who give the modular Hamiltonian as a second-quantized operator on Fock space.

The analogous computation establishes a similar formula for the restriction of the modular Hamiltonian to the complement region $\Sigma \setminus R$, which only depends on the two-point function G restricted to the complement. We omit the straightforward details, which essentially only differ in an overall minus sign coming from the result (2.30).

2.4 KMS condition

Lastly, we would like to show that the relation (2.36) also follows from the KMS condition. This condition reads as follows: Given two elements a, b in the von Neumann algebra, the function $F(t) = \omega(\sigma_t(a)b)$ admits an analytic continuation to the strip $-1 \leq \Im t \leq 0$, and its boundary value at $\Im t = -1$ is given by $F(t - i) = \omega(b\sigma_t(a))$. Here, $\sigma_t(a) = \Delta^{it}a\Delta^{-it}$ is the modular flow generated by the modular Hamiltonian $\ln \Delta$, and ω is the state on the algebra that enters the construction. In our case, the von Neumann algebra is the algebra of Weyl operators with support inside the region $R \subset \Sigma$, and the state is the quasifree state on Fock space whose restriction to the one-particle level has the two-point function G .

Since the modular Hamiltonian on Fock space is the second quantization of the one-particle modular Hamiltonian [78, 79], for a Weyl operator $W(f)$ in the Fock representation we have the modular flow $\Delta^{it}W(f)\Delta^{-it} = W(f^t)$, where f^t describes the flow at the one-particle level. Concretely, for a vector $f \in \mathcal{H}$ with support inside the region $R \subset \Sigma$, we have

$$f_a^t(x) = \sum_{b=1}^2 \int_R K_{ab}(t, x, y) f_b(y) dy, \quad (2.44)$$

where K is an integral kernel that is related to the one of $I \ln \Delta|_R$ (2.36) according to

$$\left(I \ln \Delta|_R\right)_{ab}(x, y) = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} K_{ab}(t, x, y)\right]_{t=0}. \quad (2.45)$$

From the group property $\sigma_t(\sigma_s(a)) = \sigma_{s+t}(a)$ of the modular flow, we also obtain the condition

$$K_{ab}(t+s, x, y) = \sum_{c=1}^2 \int_R K_{ac}(t, x, z) K_{cb}(s, z, y) dz, \quad (2.46)$$

which together with the relation (2.45) uniquely determines K . If we take $a = W(f)$ and $b = W(g)$ and use that

$$\omega(W(f)W(g)) = e^{-i\sigma(f,g)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mu(f+g, f+g)} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}G(f,f) - \frac{1}{2}G(g,g) - G(f,g)}, \quad (2.47)$$

the KMS condition results in

$$G(f^{t-i}, f^{t-i}) + 2G(f^{t-i}, g) = G(f^t, f^t) + 2G(g, f^t). \quad (2.48)$$

Consider the terms linear in g , for which this reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a,b,c=1}^2 \iiint_R G_{ab}(x, y) K_{ac}(t - i, x, z) f_c(z) g_b(y) \, dx \, dy \, dz \\ &= \sum_{a,b,c=1}^2 \iiint_R G_{ab}(x, y) g_a(x) K_{bc}(t, y, z) f_c(z) \, dx \, dy \, dz, \end{aligned} \quad (2.49)$$

and since f and g are arbitrary we obtain the relation

$$\sum_{b=1}^2 \int_R G_{ba}(y, x) K_{bc}(t - i, y, z) \, dy = \sum_{b=1}^2 \int_R G_{ab}(x, y) K_{bc}(t, y, z) \, dy \quad (2.50)$$

that connects the integral kernel $G|_R$ of the two-point function restricted to R with K .

In condensed notation, the relation (2.50) reads $G^T|_R K(t - i) = G|_R K(t)$, and the condition (2.46) translates into $K(s + t) = K(t)K(s)$. It is easy to see that the unique solution of this system reads

$$K(t) = e^{tL}, \quad L = -i \ln \left[\left(G|_R \right)^{-1} G^T|_R \right]. \quad (2.51)$$

From the decomposition (2.37), we also obtain

$$G_{ba}(y, x) = G_{ab}(x, y) - i\epsilon_{ab}\delta(x, y) \quad (2.52)$$

where we used that X and P are symmetric operators, or in condensed notation $G^T|_R = G|_R - i\epsilon$, and thus (with $\epsilon^{-1} = -\epsilon$)

$$L = -i \ln \left[\mathbb{1}|_R - i \left(G|_R \right)^{-1} \epsilon \right] = -i \ln \left[\mathbb{1}|_R + i \left(\epsilon G|_R \right)^{-1} \right] = -2 \operatorname{arccot} \left(2\epsilon G + i\mathbb{1}|_R \right). \quad (2.53)$$

Taking into account the relation (2.45) between K and the modular Hamiltonian, we have recovered exactly the formula (2.36).

Acknowledgments

This work has been funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) — project no. 396692871 within the Emmy Noether grant CA1850/1-1. It is a pleasure to thank Horacio Casini, Igor Khavkine, Christoph Minz and Leonardo Sangaletti for discussions.

References

- [1] M. Tomita, *On canonical forms of von Neumann algebras (in Japanese)*, in *Fifth Functional Analysis Symposium, Tôhoku Univ., Sendai*, (Sendai, Japan), pp. 101–102, Tôhoku Univ., Math. Inst., 1967.
- [2] M. Takesaki, *Tomita's Theory of Modular Hilbert Algebras and its Applications*, vol. 128 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, Germany (1970), [10.1007/BFb0065832](https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0065832).
- [3] H. Araki, *Inequalities in von Neumann algebras*, *Rencontr. phys.-math. de Strasbourg – RCP25* **22** (1975) 1.
- [4] H. Araki, *Relative Entropy of States of von Neumann Algebras*, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* **11** (1976) 809.
- [5] A. Uhlmann, *Relative Entropy and the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson-Lieb Concavity in an Interpolation Theory*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **54** (1977) 21.
- [6] J.J. Bisognano and E.H. Wichmann, *On the duality condition for a Hermitian scalar field*, *J. Math. Phys.* **16** (1975) 985.
- [7] J.J. Bisognano and E.H. Wichmann, *On the duality condition for quantum fields*, *J. Math. Phys.* **17** (1976) 303.
- [8] D. Buchholz, *On the structure of local quantum fields with non-trivial interaction*, in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Operator Algebras, Ideals, and Their Applications in Theoretical Physics: Leipzig, September 12.-20., 1977*, H. Baumgärtel, G. Laßner, A. Pietsch and A. Uhlmann, eds., vol. 67 of *Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik*, (Leipzig, GDR), pp. 146–153, BSB B. G. Teubner-Verlag, 1978.
- [9] P.D. Hislop and R. Longo, *Modular structure of the local algebras associated with the free massless scalar field theory*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **84** (1982) 71.
- [10] P.D. Hislop, *Conformal Covariance, Modular Structure, and Duality for Local Algebras in Free Massless Quantum Field Theories*, *Annals Phys.* **185** (1988) 193.
- [11] J. Bros, H. Epstein and U. Moschella, *Analyticity Properties and Thermal Effects for General Quantum Field Theory on de Sitter Space-Time*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **196** (1998) 535 [[gr-qc/9801099](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9801099)].
- [12] O. Dreyer, *Das Prinzip der geometrischen modularen Wirkung im de Sitter-Raum*, Master's thesis, Universität Hamburg, 1996.
- [13] M. Florig, *Geometric modular action*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Florida, 1999.
- [14] H.J. Borchers and D. Buchholz, *Global properties of vacuum states in de Sitter space*, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. théor.* **70** (1999) 23 [[gr-qc/9803036](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9803036)].
- [15] D. Buchholz, O. Dreyer, M. Florig and S.J. Summers, *Geometric modular action and space-time symmetry groups*, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **12** (2000) 475 [[math-ph/9805026](https://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/9805026)].
- [16] R. Figari, R. Höegh-Krohn and C.R. Nappi, *Interacting Relativistic Boson Fields in the De Sitter Universe with Two Space-Time Dimensions*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **44** (1975) 265.
- [17] G.W. Gibbons and S.W. Hawking, *Cosmological event horizons, thermodynamics, and particle creation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **15** (1977) 2738.

- [18] V. Chandrasekaran, R. Longo, G. Penington and E. Witten, *An algebra of observables for de Sitter space*, *JHEP* **02** (2023) 082 [[2206.10780](#)].
- [19] D. Buchholz, M. Florig and S.J. Summers, *Hawking-Unruh temperature and Einstein causality in anti-de Sitter space-time*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **17** (2000) L31 [[hep-th/9905178](#)].
- [20] D. Buchholz, J. Mund and S.J. Summers, *Transplantation of local nets and geometric modular action on Robertson-Walker space-times*, *Fields Inst. Commun.* **30** (2001) 65 [[hep-th/0011237](#)].
- [21] D. Buchholz, J. Mund and S.J. Summers, *Covariant and quasi-covariant quantum dynamics in Robertson-Walker space-times*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19** (2002) 6417 [[hep-th/0207057](#)].
- [22] G.L. Sewell, *Quantum fields on manifolds: PCT and gravitationally induced thermal states*, *Annals Phys.* **141** (1982) 201.
- [23] B.S. Kay, *Purification of KMS States*, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **58** (1985) 1030.
- [24] B.S. Kay, *The Double Wedge Algebra for Quantum Fields on Schwarzschild and Minkowski Spacetimes*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **100** (1985) 57.
- [25] B.S. Kay and R.M. Wald, *Theorems on the uniqueness and thermal properties of stationary, nonsingular, quasifree states on spacetimes with a bifurcate killing horizon*, *Phys. Rept.* **207** (1991) 49.
- [26] M.B. Fröb, *Modular Hamiltonian for de Sitter diamonds*, *JHEP* **12** (2023) 074 [[2308.14797](#)].
- [27] H.J. Borchers and J. Yngvason, *Modular groups of quantum fields in thermal states*, *J. Math. Phys.* **40** (1999) 601 [[math-ph/9805013](#)].
- [28] I. Peschel, *Calculation of reduced density matrices from correlation functions*, *J. Phys. A* **36** (2003) L205 [[cond-mat/0212631](#)].
- [29] H. Casini and M. Huerta, *Analytic results on the geometric entropy for free fields*, *J. Stat. Mech.* **0801** (2008) P01012 [[0707.1300](#)].
- [30] H. Casini and M. Huerta, *Reduced density matrix and internal dynamics for multicomponent regions*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26** (2009) 185005 [[0903.5284](#)].
- [31] H. Casini and M. Huerta, *Entanglement entropy in free quantum field theory*, *J. Phys. A* **42** (2009) 504007 [[0905.2562](#)].
- [32] R. Longo, P. Martinetti and K.-H. Rehren, *Geometric modular action for disjoint intervals and boundary conformal field theory*, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **22** (2010) 331 [[0912.1106](#)].
- [33] H. Casini and M. Huerta, *Entanglement entropy for the n -sphere*, *Phys. Lett. B* **694** (2011) 167 [[1007.1813](#)].
- [34] K.-H. Rehren and G. Tedesco, *Multilocal fermionization*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **103** (2013) 19 [[1205.0324](#)].
- [35] J. Cardy and E. Tonni, *Entanglement Hamiltonians in two-dimensional conformal field theory*, *J. Stat. Mech.* **1612** (2016) 123103 [[1608.01283](#)].
- [36] R. Arias, D. Blanco, H. Casini and M. Huerta, *Local temperatures and local terms in modular Hamiltonians*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) 065005 [[1611.08517](#)].
- [37] H. Casini, E. Teste and G. Torroba, *Modular Hamiltonians on the null plane and the Markov property of the vacuum state*, *J. Phys. A* **50** (2017) 364001 [[1703.10656](#)].

- [38] E. Tonni, J. Rodríguez-Laguna and G. Sierra, *Entanglement hamiltonian and entanglement contour in inhomogeneous 1D critical systems*, *J. Stat. Mech.* **1804** (2018) 043105 [[1712.03557](#)].
- [39] R.E. Arias, H. Casini, M. Huerta and D. Pontello, *Entropy and modular Hamiltonian for a free chiral scalar in two intervals*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 125008 [[1809.00026](#)].
- [40] R. Abt and J. Erdmenger, *Properties of Modular Hamiltonians on Entanglement Plateaux*, *JHEP* **11** (2018) 002 [[1809.03516](#)].
- [41] V. Eisler, E. Tonni and I. Peschel, *On the continuum limit of the entanglement Hamiltonian*, *J. Stat. Mech.* **1907** (2019) 073101 [[1902.04474](#)].
- [42] S. Hollands, *On the Modular Operator of Multi-component Regions in Chiral CFT*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **384** (2021) 785 [[1904.08201](#)].
- [43] D. Blanco and G. Pérez-Nadal, *Modular Hamiltonian of a chiral fermion on the torus*, *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) 025003 [[1905.05210](#)].
- [44] P. Fries and I.A. Reyes, *Entanglement Spectrum of Chiral Fermions on the Torus*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123** (2019) 211603 [[1905.05768](#)].
- [45] P. Fries and I.A. Reyes, *Entanglement and relative entropy of a chiral fermion on the torus*, *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) 105015 [[1906.02207](#)].
- [46] G. Di Giulio and E. Tonni, *On entanglement Hamiltonians of an interval in massless harmonic chains*, *J. Stat. Mech.* **2003** (2020) 033102 [[1911.07188](#)].
- [47] V. Eisler, G. Di Giulio, E. Tonni and I. Peschel, *Entanglement Hamiltonians for non-critical quantum chains*, *J. Stat. Mech.* **2010** (2020) 103102 [[2007.01804](#)].
- [48] J. Erdmenger, P. Fries, I.A. Reyes and C.P. Simon, *Resolving modular flow: a toolkit for free fermions*, *JHEP* **12** (2020) 126 [[2008.07532](#)].
- [49] M. Mintchev and E. Tonni, *Modular Hamiltonians for the massless Dirac field in the presence of a boundary*, *JHEP* **03** (2021) 204 [[2012.00703](#)].
- [50] M. Mintchev and E. Tonni, *Modular Hamiltonians for the massless Dirac field in the presence of a defect*, *JHEP* **03** (2021) 205 [[2012.01366](#)].
- [51] T. Grava, A.P. Kels and E. Tonni, *Entanglement of Two Disjoint Intervals in Conformal Field Theory and the 2D Coulomb Gas on a Lattice*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127** (2021) 141605 [[2104.06994](#)].
- [52] N. Javerzat and E. Tonni, *On the continuum limit of the entanglement Hamiltonian of a sphere for the free massless scalar field*, *JHEP* **02** (2022) 086 [[2111.05154](#)].
- [53] V. Eisler, E. Tonni and I. Peschel, *Local and non-local properties of the entanglement Hamiltonian for two disjoint intervals*, *J. Stat. Mech.* **2208** (2022) 083101 [[2204.03966](#)].
- [54] M. Mintchev and E. Tonni, *Modular conjugations in 2D conformal field theory and holographic bit threads*, *JHEP* **12** (2022) 149 [[2209.03242](#)].
- [55] N. Abate, D. Blanco, M. Koifman and G. Pérez-Nadal, *Modular conjugation for multicomponent regions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **107** (2023) 045015 [[2209.10711](#)].
- [56] F. Rottoli, S. Murciano, E. Tonni and P. Calabrese, *Entanglement and negativity Hamiltonians for the massless Dirac field on the half line*, *J. Stat. Mech.* **2301** (2023) 013103 [[2210.12109](#)].

- [57] G. Di Giulio and J. Erdmenger, *Symmetry-resolved modular correlation functions in free fermionic theories*, *JHEP* **07** (2023) 058 [[2305.02343](#)].
- [58] M. Huerta and G. van der Velde, *Modular Hamiltonian of the scalar in the semi infinite line: dimensional reduction for spherically symmetric regions*, *JHEP* **06** (2023) 097 [[2301.00294](#)].
- [59] M. Huerta and G. van der Velde, *Modular Hamiltonian in the semi infinite line, Part II: dimensional reduction of Dirac fermions in spherically symmetric regions*, [2307.08755](#).
- [60] M. Rieffel and A. Van Daele, *A bounded operator approach to Tomita-Takesaki theory*, *Pac. J. Math.* **69** (1977) 187.
- [61] F. Ciolli, R. Longo and G. Ruzzi, *The Information in a Wave*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **379** (2019) 979 [[1906.01707](#)].
- [62] H. Bostelmann, D. Cadamuro and S. Del Vecchio, *Relative Entropy of Coherent States on General CCR Algebras*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **389** (2022) 661.
- [63] R. Longo, *Modular Structure of the Weyl Algebra*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **392** (2022) 145 [[2111.11266](#)]. Correction: *Commun. Math. Phys.* **401** (2023) 1061.
- [64] H. Bostelmann, D. Cadamuro and C. Minz, *On the Mass Dependence of the Modular Operator for a Double Cone*, *Ann. H. Poincaré* **24** (2023) 3031 [[2209.04681](#)].
- [65] D. Cadamuro, M.B. Fröb and C. Minz, *Modular Hamiltonian for fermions of small mass*, *Ann. H. Poincaré* (2024) [[2312.04629](#)].
- [66] D. Blanco, A. Garbarz and G. Pérez-Nadal, *Entanglement of a chiral fermion on the torus*, *JHEP* **09** (2019) 076 [[1906.07057](#)].
- [67] D. Cadamuro, M.B. Fröb and G. Pérez-Nadal, *Modular Hamiltonian and modular flow of massless fermions on a cylinder*, [2406.19360](#).
- [68] D. Katsinis and G. Pastras, *Entanglement in $(1+1)$ -dimensional Free Scalar Field Theory: Tiptoeing between Continuum and Discrete*, [2406.11031](#).
- [69] D. Petz, *An Invitation to the Algebra of Canonical Commutation Relations*, vol. A2 of *Leuven Notes in Mathematical and Theoretical Physics*, Leuven University Press, Leuven, Belgium (1990).
- [70] C. Gérard and M. Wrochna, *The massive Feynman propagator on asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes II*, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2020** (2020) 6856 [[1806.05076](#)].
- [71] A. Much and R. Oeckl, *Complex structures for Klein–Gordon theory on globally hyperbolic spacetimes*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **39** (2022) 025015 [[1812.00926](#)].
- [72] Y. Sanchez Sanchez and E. Schrohe, *Adiabatic Ground States in Non-smooth Spacetimes*, *Ann. H. Poincaré* **24** (2023) 2929 [[2207.01429](#)].
- [73] O. Islam and A. Strohmaier, *On microlocalisation and the construction of Feynman Propagators for normally hyperbolic operators*, *Commun. Anal. Geom.* **32** (2024) 1811 [[2012.09767](#)].
- [74] J. Dereziński and C. Gaß, *Propagators in curved spacetimes from operator theory*, [2409.03279](#).
- [75] I.E. Segal and R.W. Goodman, *Anti-Locality of Certain Lorentz-Invariant Operators*, *J. Math. Mech.* **14** (1965) 629.

- [76] K. Masuda, *Anti-Locality of the One-half Power of Elliptic Differential Operators*, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto* **8** (1972) 207.
- [77] M. Murata, *Anti-locality of certain functions of the Laplace operator*, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **25** (1973) 556.
- [78] F. Figliolini and D. Guido, *The Tomita operator for the free scalar field*, *Ann. I.H.P. Phys. théor.* **51** (1989) 419.
- [79] F. Figliolini and D. Guido, *On the type of second quantization factors*, *J. Operat. Theor.* **31** (1994) 229.
- [80] K. Schmüdgen, *Unbounded Self-adjoint Operators on Hilbert Space*, vol. 265 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, Dordrecht, Netherlands (2012), [10.1007/978-94-007-4753-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-4753-1).