

Personality Modeling for Persuasion of Misinformation using AI Agent

Qianmin Lou¹, Wentao Xu² *

¹ School of Cybersecurity,

² Department of Science and Technology of Communication

^{1,2} University of Science and Technology of China

No.96, JinZhai Street, Hefei, Anhui, 230026, China

Abstract

The proliferation of misinformation on social media platforms has highlighted the need to understand how individual personality traits influence susceptibility to and propagation of misinformation. This study employs an innovative agent-based modeling approach to investigate the relationship between personality traits and misinformation dynamics. Using six AI agents embodying different dimensions of the Big Five personality traits (Extraversion, Agreeableness, and Neuroticism), we simulated interactions across six diverse misinformation topics. The experiment, implemented through the AgentScope framework using the GLM-4-Flash model, generated 90 unique interactions, revealing complex patterns in how personality combinations affect persuasion and resistance to misinformation. Our findings demonstrate that analytical and critical personality traits enhance effectiveness in evidence-based discussions, while non-aggressive persuasion strategies show unexpected success in misinformation correction. Notably, agents with critical traits achieved a 59.4% success rate in HIV-related misinformation discussions, while those employing non-aggressive approaches maintained consistent persuasion rates above 40% across different personality combinations. The study also revealed a non-transitive pattern in persuasion effectiveness, challenging conventional assumptions about personality-based influence. These results provide crucial insights for developing personality-aware interventions in digital environments and suggest that effective misinformation countermeasures should prioritize emotional connection and trust-building over confrontational approaches. The findings contribute to both theoretical understanding of personality-misinformation dynamics and practical strategies for combating misinformation in social media contexts.

Introduction

The rapid development of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has prompted the emergence of research known as machine behavior (Rahwan et al. 2019). Machines can shape human behavior. One of the emerging formality of machines is AI agents. AI agent is an embodied system that integrates large language models into agent actions in a virtual environment. AI agents have been designed to mimic human conversa-

tion, achieving high confusion rates in Turing Tests, particularly when engineered with agreeable personality traits. This highlights the potential for AI agents to be perceived as human-like, which is crucial for improving human-AI collaboration (Len-Domnguez et al. 2024). AI agents can simulate interactions that reflect different personality types, such as introversion and extraversion, facilitating research on team collaboration (Zhuang et al. 2024).

These research suggested the possibility of employing AI agents as a model to study psychology for a complex network such as social media. Misinformation and disinformation, along with fake news, proliferate across social media platforms, where individuals with varying personality traits respond to such content in distinctly different ways (Allcott, Gentzkow, and Yu 2018; Azzimonti and Fernandes 2018; Tandoc, Lim, and Ling 2020; Barman and Conlan 2021; Bastick 2021; Sampat and Raj 2022a; Piksa et al. 2022; Johnson and Kromka 2023; Adeeb and Mirhoseini 2023).

The Big Five personality traits, also known as the Five-Factor Model, is a widely recognized framework for understanding human personality. It consists of five broad dimensions: Extraversion, Agreeableness, Conscientiousness, Neuroticism, and Openness to Experience. Previous research demonstrated that low agreeableness and high openness to experience, have been suggested as predictors of conspiracy beliefs, although the association is not consistently significant across studies (Goreis and Voracek 2019). In addition, individuals high in agreeableness, conscientiousness, and openness are better at distinguishing true from misinformation, while those high in extraversion and neuroticism are more prone to spreading misinformation impulsively (Calvillo et al. 2021).

Despite these contributions, prior work has not systematically examined how specific personality traits interact to influence both resistance to and persuasion by misinformation. To address these gaps, this study employs an agent-based modeling approach, designing agents with distinct combinations of Extraversion, Agreeableness, and Neuroticism. These agents engage in structured dialogues across six misinformation topics, allowing us to analyze how personality traits shape persuasion and resistance. By bridging personality psychology with computational modeling, this research provides novel insights for designing personality-aware interventions and combating misinformation in digital envi-

*Corresponding author, myrainbowandsky@gmail.com

Copyright © 2025, Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence (www.aaai.org). All rights reserved.

ronments.

Related Work

The Role of Personality Traits in Belief in Fake News

Research on personality traits reveals their significant influence on susceptibility to misinformation and fake news. These traits not only shape how individuals process information but also affect their behavior in spreading or curbing misinformation.

For instance, individuals with higher levels of agreeableness, conscientiousness, and openness, coupled with lower levels of extraversion, are better at distinguishing true headlines from false ones (Calvillo et al. 2021). This may be attributed to their stronger critical thinking abilities and a greater tendency to verify the authenticity of information before sharing it online, thus reducing the risk of spreading falsehoods. This conclusion is further supported by the discovery that individuals high in agreeableness and conscientiousness are more likely to carefully examine and verify the source of information before forwarding news reports (Sampat and Raj 2022b). Such behavior highlights the role of these personality traits in fostering responsible information-sharing practices.

These findings collectively underscore the pivotal role of personality traits in shaping how individuals interact with information.

Research on Agent-Based Misinformation Propagation

Recent advancements in agent-based modeling have opened new avenues for understanding and mitigating the spread of misinformation. Researchers have explored how agent systems can simulate and analyze disinformation dynamics in controlled environments.

AI agent can play as fact-checking and fake news detection. “FactAgent” mimics human experts by employing a structured workflow that integrates internal knowledge and external tools to verify news through various sub-steps (Li, Zhang, and Malthouse 2024). This approach stands out for its efficiency compared to human experts and its ability to operate without annotated data, unlike traditional supervised models. In addition to a single AI agent, the multi-agent model is capable of simulating the dissemination of fake news, assigning each agent distinct characteristics such as knowledge level, engagement, and reputation. Their findings reveal that agents with higher knowledge levels play a crucial role in curbing the spread of misinformation (Małeck and Puścian 2022).

These studies underscore the transformative potential of agent-based approaches in exploring the mechanisms of misinformation propagation, offering valuable insights into developing targeted and adaptive solutions to combat disinformation.

Methodology

Experiment Settings

This study employed six distinct agents, each representing one of three key personality dimensions from the Big Five model: Extraversion, Agreeableness, and Neuroticism. The agents were assigned as follows:

Agent 1	extraversion (bold/energetic)
Agent 2	extraversion (shy/bashful)
Agent 3	agreeableness (sympathetic/cooperative)
Agent 4	agreeableness (cold/harsh)
Agent 5	neuroticism (moody/nervous)
Agent 6	neuroticism (relaxed/calm)

Six different misinformation topics were selected, representing different categories such as health myths, conspiracy theories, and technology misconceptions. These include:

- HIV is a biological weapon created by the United States (abbreviation: **HIV**)
- QAnon: Global Elites Form a Cabal that Controls World Affairs (abbreviation: **QAnon**)
- The spread of 5G networks is associated with the spread of the new coronavirus, and 5G can weaken the immune system. (abbreviation: **5G**)
- The MMR vaccine (measles, mumps and rubella vaccine) is associated with autism (abbreviation: **MMR**)
- Fluoride (used in water sources and toothpaste) can cause intellectual impairment or other health problems (abbreviation: **Chloride**)
- Superfoods (such as blueberries, chia seeds, etc.) can prevent or treat a variety of diseases (abbreviation: **Superfood**)

The experiment was implemented using the AgentScope framework, which facilitates multi-agent interactions with customizable personality and behavior settings.

The interactions between AI agents regarding misinformation topics yielded one of four distinct outcomes:

- Agent A convinces Agent B: Agent A successfully persuades Agent B to adopt its position.
- Agent B convinces Agent A: Agent B successfully persuades Agent A to adopt its position.
- Mutual Resistance: Both agents maintain their original beliefs.
- Bilateral Influence: Two agents influence each other, resulting in both Agent A persuading Agent B and Agent B persuading Agent A in the conversation.

The experiment was implemented using AgentScope ¹, a multi-agent interactions framework with customized personality and behavior settings.

¹<https://github.com/modelscope/agentscope>

To analyze the impact of personality traits on misinformation dynamics, all six agents engaged in pairwise discussions on each of the six misinformation topics. This resulted in a total of 15 unique agent pair combinations per topic and 90 interactions across all topics. For each interaction, the number of times the four scenarios occurred and their frequency were recorded.

This study implemented AI agents using the GLM-4-Flash model developed by ZhipuAI ². The large language model was fine-tuned to embody specific personality traits for each agent, facilitating consistent and naturalistic interactions. The agents’ decision-making processes were governed by their designated personality profiles, enabling investigation into how personality traits influence susceptibility or resistance to misinformation.

Results

Analysis revealed complex relationships between personality traits and misinformation susceptibility patterns. Systematic evaluation of agent interactions across six misinformation topics demonstrated significant correlations between personality profiles and both persuasion effectiveness and resistance capabilities.

Personality-Driven Dynamics in Misinformation Interactions

Interactions between Agent 4 (exhibiting critical and challenging traits) and Agent 5 (characterized by sensitive and nervous attributes) produced particularly significant results. Agent 4 exhibited superior persuasion capabilities across multiple topics, achieving a 59.4% mean success rate in HIV-related misinformation discussions. These results indicate that analytical and critical personality traits enhance effectiveness in evidence-based discussions. Individuals with critical personality traits typically demonstrate higher analytical thinking and skepticism, showing greater resistance to misinformation and tendency toward rational argumentation, whereas those with sensitive/nervous traits exhibit greater emotional susceptibility and cognitive vulnerability.

Table 1: The number and proportion of four different scenarios when Agent 4 and Agent 5 discussed different misinformation

	Agent 4 convinces Agent 5	Agent 5 convinces Agent 4	mutual resistance	bilateral influence
HIV	38(59.4%)	14(21.9%)	10(15.6%)	2(3.1%)
QAnon	32(56.1%)	9(15.8%)	13(22.8%)	3(5.3%)
5G	22(36.7%)	17(28.3%)	20(33.3%)	1(1.7%)
MMR	25(45.5%)	15(27.3%)	13(23.6%)	2(3.6%)
Chloride	25(55.6%)	16(35.6%)	3(6.7%)	1(2.2%)
Superfood	23(51.1%)	17(37.8%)	4(8.9%)	1(2.2%)

The interactions between Agent 4 and Agent 6 (characterized by resilient and confident traits) revealed a marked shift

in persuasion dynamics. Agent 6 demonstrated superior persuasive capabilities, particularly in scenarios requiring assertive communication. The assertiveness trait of Agent 6 correlates with high self-efficacy, indicating enhanced confidence in influencing others’ perspectives. This psychological characteristic enhances communicative effectiveness in interpersonal interactions. Conversely, the critical disposition of Agent 4 manifests in a pronounced tendency to scrutinize and evaluate incoming information. Although this characteristic enhances resistance to misinformation, it simultaneously diminishes proactive persuasion capabilities, reflecting a stronger analytical rather than disseminative orientation.

Table 2: The number and proportion of four different scenarios when Agent 4 and Agent 6 discussed different misinformation

	Agent 4 convinces Agent 6	Agent 6 convinces Agent 4	mutual resistance	bilateral influence
HIV	21(28.4%)	27(36.5%)	26(35.1%)	0(0%)
QAnon	11(18.6%)	20(33.9%)	28(47.5%)	0(0%)
5G	13(23.6%)	24(43.6%)	18(32.7%)	0(0%)
MMR	13(22.0%)	30(50.8%)	14(23.7%)	2(3.4%)
Chloride	17(31.5%)	28(51.9%)	8(14.8%)	1(1.9%)
Superfood	17(32.7%)	30(57.7%)	5(9.6%)	0(0%)

Our analysis revealed unexpected patterns in the interaction between Agent 5 and Agent 6. Despite Agent 5’s predisposition toward anxiety and sensitivity, it demonstrated remarkable effectiveness in certain persuasion scenarios. When discussing MMR-related misinformation, Agent 5 achieved a persuasion success rate of 55.2% against Agent 6, contradicting initial hypotheses about the relationship between emotional sensitivity and persuasive capability. This finding suggests that empathetic communication styles, often associated with higher neuroticism, may enhance persuasion effectiveness under specific conditions.

Based on the first two experiments, the persuasive ability : Agent 6 is greater than Agent 4, Agent 4 is greater than Agent 5. It stands to reason that Agent 6’s persuasive ability should be greater than Agent 5’s, but from the results of Table 3, it is the opposite. That is, transitivity is not satisfied.

Table 3: The number and proportion of four different scenarios when Agent 5 and Agent 6 tried to persuade each other regarding misinformation topics.

	Agent 5 vs. Agent 6	Agent 6 vs. Agent 5	mutual resistance	bilateral influence
HIV	36(41.4%)	9(10.3%)	42(48.3%)	0(0%)
QAnon	13(19.7%)	18(27.3%)	34(51.6%)	1(1.5%)
5G	27(30.7%)	20(22.7%)	31(35.2%)	0(0%)
MMR	37(55.2%)	10(14.9%)	20(29.9%)	0(0%)
Chloride	26(45.6%)	8(14.0%)	21(36.8%)	2(3.5%)
Superfood	32(42.1%)	25(35.5%)	14(18.4%)	3(3.9%)

²<https://bigmodel.cn/>

Effectiveness of Non-Aggressive Persuasion Strategies in Misinformation Discourse

Analysis demonstrated significant efficacy of non-aggressive persuasion strategies in misinformation discourse. Interactions between Agent 1 and Agents 2, 4, and 6 revealed that despite lacking assertive traits, Agent 1 consistently achieved higher success rates than failure rates across all interactions. Quantitative analysis demonstrated that in discussions of various misinformation topics, Agent 1 maintained success rates of 0.475, 0.422, and 0.424 when interacting with Agent 2, Agent 4, and Agent 6 respectively, consistently outperforming the corresponding failure rates of 0.301, 0.354, and 0.299.




Figure 1: Comparative Analysis of Agent 1's Interaction Outcomes with Agents 2, 4, and 6: Success Rates (47.5%, 33.2%, 40.4%), Failure Rates (22.3%, 24.4%, 23.9%), and Draw Rates (30.1%, 42.4%, 35.8%).

Similar patterns emerged in Agent 3's interactions, which exhibited comparable non-aggressive persuasion characteristics. In engagements with Agents 2, 4, and 6, Agent 3 achieved significant success rates of 0.456, 0.384, and 0.362 respectively, surpassing the failure rates of 0.321, 0.277, and 0.316. These results demonstrate that effective persuasion does not necessitate explicit intervention but can be achieved through trust establishment and emotional resonance.




Figure 2: Comparative Analysis of Agent 3's Interaction Outcomes with Agents 2, 4, and 6: Success Rates (49.5%, 38.6%, 36.3%), Failure Rates (21.0%, 23.7%, 24.5%), and Draw Rates (29.5%, 37.7%, 39.2%).

The effectiveness of non-aggressive persuasion strategies stems from multiple psychological mechanisms. Analysis of interaction patterns revealed that both Agent 1 and Agent 3's persuasion strategies succeeded through systematic rapport building, establishment of low-pressure environments, nuanced influence techniques, and emphasis on emotional connection. The absence of aggressive traits, potentially perceived as a limitation, enhanced their persuasive capabilities. This counterintuitive outcome indicates that effective persuasion in misinformation discourse benefits more from fostering mutual understanding and trust than from direct confrontation. These results indicate that misinformation intervention strategies demonstrate greater effectiveness when prioritizing emotional connection and trust development over purely factual counterarguments.

Discussion and Conclusion

Results demonstrate complex patterns in how different personality combinations influence the spread and resistance to misinformation, with several key implications for both theoretical understanding and practical interventions.

This research advances understanding of misinformation dynamics in several ways:

1. It provides empirical evidence for the role of personality traits in shaping both susceptibility to and effectiveness in spreading misinformation
2. It demonstrates the importance of considering personality combinations rather than isolated traits in studying misinformation propagation
3. It reveals the effectiveness of non-aggressive persuasion strategies in misinformation correction, suggesting novel approaches to intervention design

Limitations and Future Research

Several limitations warrant consideration. First, although the agent-based model incorporated three key personality dimensions (Extraversion, Agreeableness, and Neuroticism), it did not account for other potentially relevant traits such as Conscientiousness and Openness to Experience. Future research could expand the model to include these dimensions.

Second, the simulation framework, while sophisticated, necessarily simplified certain aspects of human interaction and decision-making. Real-world misinformation dynamics may involve additional factors not captured in the current model, such as social network effects, temporal dynamics, and external influences.

Third, while this investigation focused on six specific misinformation topics, the relationship between personality traits and misinformation susceptibility may vary across other topics or contexts not examined in this research. Future studies could explore a broader range of misinformation types and contexts.

Implications and Conclusions

In summary, this investigation provides valuable insights into the complex relationship between personality traits and

misinformation dissemination. The findings indicate that effective strategies for combating misinformation should consider both personality combinations and context-specific factors, while emphasizing the potential of non-aggressive persuasion strategies. These insights can inform the development of more effective, personality-aware approaches to misinformation intervention.

References

- Adeeb, R. A.; and Mirhoseini, M. 2023. The Impact of Affect on the Perception of Fake News on Social Media: A Systematic Review. *Social Sciences*.
- Allcott, H.; Gentzkow, M.; and Yu, C. 2018. Trends in the diffusion of misinformation on social media. *Research & Politics*, 6.
- Azzimonti, M.; and Fernandes, M. 2018. Social Media Networks, Fake News, and Polarization. *PsychRN: Psychological Applications of Technology & Media (Topic)*.
- Barman, D.; and Conlan, O. 2021. Exploring the Links between Personality Traits and Susceptibility to Disinformation. *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM Conference on Hypertext and Social Media*.
- Bastick, Z. 2021. Would you notice if fake news changed your behavior? An experiment on the unconscious effects of disinformation. *Comput. Hum. Behav.*, 116: 106633.
- Calvillo, D. P.; Garcia, R. J.; Bertrand, K.; and Mayers, T. A. 2021. Personality factors and self-reported political news consumption predict susceptibility to political fake news. *Personality and individual differences*, 174: 110666.
- FORCE11. 2020. The FAIR Data principles. <https://force11.org/info/the-fair-data-principles/>.
- Gebru, T.; Morgenstern, J.; Vecchione, B.; Vaughan, J. W.; Wallach, H.; Iii, H. D.; and Crawford, K. 2021. Datasheets for datasets. *Communications of the ACM*, 64(12): 86–92.
- Goreis, A.; and Voracek, M. 2019. A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Psychological Research on Conspiracy Beliefs: Field Characteristics, Measurement Instruments, and Associations With Personality Traits. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10.
- Johnson, T.; and Kromka, S. 2023. Psychological, Communicative, and Relationship Characteristics That Relate to Social Media Users' Willingness to Denounce Fake News. *Cyberpsychology, behavior and social networking*.
- Len-Domnguez, U.; Flores-Flores, E. D.; Garca-Jasso, A. J.; Gmez-Cuellar, M. K.; Torres-Snchez, D.; and Basora-Marimon, A. 2024. AI-Driven Agents with Prompts Designed for High Agreeableness Increase the Likelihood of Being Mistaken for a Human in the Turing Test. [arXiv:2411.13749](https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.13749).
- Li, X.; Zhang, Y.; and Malthouse, E. C. 2024. Large Language Model Agent for Fake News Detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.01593*.
- Małeck, K.; and Puścian, S. 2022. Multi-Agent Surveillance System Of Fake News Spreading In Scale-Free Networks. *Procedia Computer Science*, 207: 2232–2241.
- Piksa, M.; Noworyta, K.; Piasecki, J.; Gwiadziski, P.; Gundersen, A.; Kunst, J.; and Rygula, R. 2022. Cognitive Processes and Personality Traits Underlying Four Phenotypes of Susceptibility to (Mis)Information. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 13.
- Rahwan, I.; Cebrian, M.; Obradovich, N.; Bongard, J.; Bonnefon, J.-F.; Breazeal, C.; Crandall, J. W.; Christakis, N. A.; Couzin, I. D.; Jackson, M. O.; Jennings, N. R.; Kamar, E.; Kloumann, I. M.; Larochelle, H.; Lazer, D.; McElreath, R.; Mislove, A.; Parkes, D. C.; Pentland, A. .; Roberts, M. E.; Shariff, A.; Tenenbaum, J. B.; and Wellman, M. 2019. Machine behaviour. *Nature*, 568(7753): 477486.
- Sampat, B.; and Raj, S. 2022a. Fake or real news? Understanding the gratifications and personality traits of individuals sharing fake news on social media platforms. *Aslib J. Inf. Manag.*, 74: 840–876.
- Sampat, B.; and Raj, S. 2022b. Fake or real news? Understanding the gratifications and personality traits of individuals sharing fake news on social media platforms. *Aslib Journal of Information Management*, 74(5): 840–876.
- Tandoc, E.; Lim, D.; and Ling, R. 2020. Diffusion of disinformation: How social media users respond to fake news and why. *Journalism*, 21: 381–398.
- Zhuang, H.; et al. 2024. Applying AI Agents Platform to Study the Team Collaboration of Introvert and Extravert Personality. *The Frontiers of Society, Science and Technology*, 6(8).