

Revisiting the fermionic quasi-bound states around Schwarzschild black holes with improved analytic spectrum

Guang-Shang Chen^{1,2}, Cheng-Bo Yang^{1,2}, Shou-Shan Bao^{3,*}, Yong Tang^{4,6,7,†} and Yue-Liang Wu^{1,4,5,6,‡}

¹ CAS Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

² School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, No. 19A Yuquan Road, Beijing 100049, China

³ Institute of Frontier and Interdisciplinary Science,

Key Laboratory of Particle Physics and Particle Irradiation (MOE), Shandong University, Qingdao 266237, China

⁴ International Centre for Theoretical Physics Asia-Pacific (ICTP-AP), UCAS, Beijing 100190, China

⁵ Taiji Laboratory for Gravitational Wave Universe (Beijing/Hangzhou),
University of Chinese Academy of Sciences (UCAS), Beijing 100049, China

⁶ School of Fundamental Physics and Mathematical Sciences,

Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, UCAS, Hangzhou 310024, China

⁷ School of Astronomy and Space Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences (UCAS), Beijing 100049, China

(Dated: January 16, 2025)

Black holes have long served as a testing ground for probing theories of gravity and quantum mechanics. Notably, fundamental fields in the neighborhood of black holes exhibit rich phenomena that could yield astrophysical observable signatures. However, exploring these structures typically requires computationally intensive numerical calculations. In this work, the dynamics of a massive Dirac field outside a Schwarzschild black hole is revisited. We propose a novel matching scheme that enables the analytical solution of the coupled first-order Dirac equation, as opposed to the conventional second-order approach. This method yields a compact and unified analytical expression for the energy spectrum, which shows improved agreement with numerical results. The improvement is due to high-order correction of angular parameter that has been ignored previously.

I. INTRODUCTION

The *no-hair* conjecture [1, 2] states that classical black holes in static equilibrium are characterized by only few parameters like mass M , angular momentum J and charge Q . However in reality, they are perturbed. Even isolated from macroscopic objects, distortion from quantum fields exists [3]. Bosonic fields may undergo quasi-resonance or quasi-bound states [4–7], exhibit wigs [8] and even form meta-stability clouds [9, 10]. Recently, an improvement of the matching technique [11, 12] to analyze the Klein-Gordon equation for such light bosons was analyzed thoroughly in [13], which addressed the long-standing discrepancy of a factor of two with numeric results.

In contrast to the extensive works on bosons, there are only a few about fermions in curved spacetime. A key distinguishing feature for fermions is the absence of superradiance due to Pauli exclusion principle. Unruh firstly shows this feature for the massless fermion by employing a representation in which the Dirac equation is separable in Kerr background [14]. The separability has soon been generalized to the massive field [15, 16] and reformulated in help of the notion of generalized total angular momentum operator [17]. Based on the separated form of Dirac equation in Kerr spacetime, the absence of superradiance for massive fermion has been proven [18–21]. More de-

tails could be found in Chandrasekhar’s book [22] and references therein. We are intrigued by the possibility of neutrinos orbiting around a primordial black hole [23]. Besides the scattering of the massless neutrino [24, 25] and the Dirac quasi-normal modes [26], neutrinos with tiny mass can form quasi-bound system with black hole. Fermions, captured or emitted during evaporation, take chance to fill up all the quasi-levels and create a dense Fermi sea [27, 28]. The condensed system, or named dark atom, may be a possible candidate of dark matter [29].

For details of such structures, it is essential to delve into spectrum. The spectrum of the Dirac field was firstly calculated using both matching technique [30] and standard perturbation method [31]. These works focus on one component governed by a Klein-Gordon-like second-order equation, obtained from eliminating the other one. Toward a more comprehensive understanding of fermion incorporating with gravity, a detailed analysis of full Dirac equations is necessary. Many efforts thus far have been devoted to numerical investigation [32–36]. However, simulations require high precision, as quantum mechanics in curved spacetime combines simultaneously quantities in atomic scale and in galactic scale. Whereas any progress in analytic solution is still welcome and valuable.

In this work we propose a simplified matching scheme for coupled first-order radial equation, derived for the Dirac field around spherically symmetric black holes. Compared to the subsequent matching across three blocks [30], our asymptotic solutions are clearly addressed in only two blocks with physical intuition. An improved result in a compact and unified form is ob-

* ssbao@sdu.edu.cn

† tangy@ucas.ac.cn

‡ ylwu@itp.ac.cn

tained with a more straightforward manipulation. Our asymptotic analysis differs from Refs. [37, 38], which facilitate the determination of both the real and imaginary parts of the frequency.

The paper is organized as follows. We shall begin with an introduction of master equation of fermions in Sec. II. Our formalism based on gravitational quantum field theory (GQFT), and the separation of angular and radial equation has been reconsidered within the new framework. For comparison, a brief review of the initial work [30] is presented in Sec. III. In Sec. IV, we adapt the matching technique to coupled first-order equation, which results in an *improved* analytic formula for the energy spectrum. A discussion of our result and the comparison with existed results is shown in Sec. V. Finally, a concluding remark is drawn in Sec. VI.

II. MASTER EQUATIONS FOR THE MOTION OF FERMION AROUND SPHERICALLY SYMMETRIC BACKGROUND BASED ON GQFT

While the vierbein or tetrad formalism is usually adopted for treating fermions in General Relativity (GR) [8, 26, 32, 35, 39, 40]. Our conventions utilize gravigauge formalism within the framework of GQFT [41–45] based on the internal spin gauge symmetry of spinor field. One reason for adapting such conventions is that discussions in this paper may be regarded as a first step to solve the generalized Dirac equation there.

In GQFT, the fundamental gravitational field emerges as a spin-related gauge-type bi-covariant vector field χ_μ^a , referred to gravigauge field, rather than metric field $\chi_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab}\chi_\mu^a\chi_\nu^b$ in GR. The gravigauge field χ_μ^a is regarded as a gauge-type field defined in Minkowski spacetime and valued in spin-related gravigauge spacetime, which behaves as a Goldstone-type boson, identified as massless graviton. This conceptualizes a biframe spacetime with a fiber bundle structure, where the flat Minkowski spacetime serves as a base spacetime and the spin-related intrinsic gravigauge spacetime acts as a fiber, which is spanned by the gravigauge bases $\{\bar{\partial}_a\}$ and $\{\bar{d}\zeta^a\}$ with $\bar{\partial}_a \equiv \hat{\chi}_a^\mu \partial_\mu$ and $\bar{d}\zeta^a \equiv \chi_\mu^a dx^\mu$. Especially, the equivalence principle in GR is no longer valid in GQFT [46]. The general linear group symmetry $GL(4, \mathbb{R})$ that lays the foundation of GR appears as a hidden symmetry in GQFT. Unlike the GR, it always allows to choose a flat Minkowski spacetime as base spacetime. Classically, GQFT recovers GR when turning off the internal gauge part and neglecting the new effects due to the violation of equivalence principle.

Fermions are treated as the most fundamental building block in GQFT. They are governed by the generalized Dirac equation [43],

$$\gamma^a \hat{\chi}_a^\mu (i\partial_\mu - iV_\mu + \mathcal{A}_\mu) \Psi = m\Psi \quad (1)$$

with γ^a being the γ -matrices and m the mass of fermion. Gravity are encoded in gravigauge field χ_μ^a and its inverse

dual field $\hat{\chi}_a^\mu$ endowed simultaneously with coordinate index (in *greek*) and spin index (in *latin*). The latter one is assigned to a spin-related local flat gravigauge spacetime. Hence, they are raised(lowered) by the metric $\eta^{\mu\nu}(\eta_{\mu\nu})$ and $\eta^{ab}(\eta_{ab})$, respectively. The spin gauge field \mathcal{A}_μ governed by the spin gauge symmetry $SP(1,3)$ is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu = \mathcal{A}_\mu^{ab} \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{ab}, \quad \Sigma_{ab} = \frac{i}{4} [\gamma_a, \gamma_b]. \quad (2)$$

And V_μ is an induced vector field with the following form:

$$V_\mu \equiv \frac{1}{2} \chi \hat{\chi}_b^\nu \mathcal{D}_\nu (\hat{\chi} \chi_\mu^b), \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\nu (\hat{\chi} \chi_\mu^b) = \partial_\nu (\hat{\chi} \chi_\mu^b) + \hat{\chi} \mathcal{A}_{\nu c}^b \chi_\mu^c. \quad (4)$$

Here $\chi \equiv \det \chi_\mu^a$ and $\hat{\chi} = 1/\chi$. For convenience in separation of variables, the generalized Dirac equation can be recast into the following equivalent form:

$$\gamma^a i \hat{\chi}_a^\mu (\partial_\mu + \hat{V}_\mu - i \hat{A}_\mu \gamma^5) \Psi = m\Psi, \quad (5)$$

where the vector field \hat{V}_μ and axial vector field \hat{A}_μ are defined as follows:

$$\hat{V}_\mu \equiv \frac{1}{2} \hat{\chi}_b^\nu \mathcal{A}_{\nu c}^b \chi_\mu^c - V_\mu, \quad (6)$$

$$\hat{A}_\mu \equiv \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{cdc'd'} \chi_\mu^c \hat{\chi}^{\nu d} \mathcal{A}_\nu^{c'd'}. \quad (7)$$

Detailed derivations can be found in Ref. [41–43].

As a first step, we neglect any back-reaction and quantum effects caused from spin gauge interactions and also the equivalence principle breaking effects in GQFT [46]. In such an approximation, the theory recovers the GR from a fundamentally different perspective. As a consequence, the background metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ of curved spacetime is determined by the background gravigauge field $\bar{\chi}_\mu^a$ with the line element:

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu, \quad g_{\mu\nu} \equiv \bar{\chi}_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab} \bar{\chi}_\mu^a \bar{\chi}_\nu^b. \quad (8)$$

In such a background, the spin gauge field is given by the spin connection,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{A}_\mu^{ab}(x) \rangle &\equiv \bar{\Omega}_\mu^{ab}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\chi}^{\nu a} \bar{F}_{\mu\nu}^b - \bar{\chi}^{\nu b} \bar{F}_{\mu\nu}^a - \bar{\chi}^{\rho a} \bar{\chi}^{\sigma b} \bar{F}_{\rho\sigma}^c \bar{\chi}_{\mu c}), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

which is solely determined by the background gravigauge field with $\bar{F}_{\mu\nu}$ regarded as a field strength,

$$\bar{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \bar{\chi}_\nu^a - \partial_\nu \bar{\chi}_\mu^a. \quad (10)$$

In this case, the corresponding vector field \hat{V}_μ and axial vector field \hat{A}_μ are calculated from the background gravigauge field as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{V}_\mu \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \bar{\chi}_b^\nu \bar{\Omega}_{\nu c}^b \bar{\chi}_\mu^c, \\ \langle \hat{A}_\mu \rangle &= \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{cdc'd'} \bar{\chi}_\mu^c \bar{\chi}^{\nu d} \bar{\Omega}_\nu^{c'd'}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We focus on the case in which Dirac fields live outside a spherically symmetric background of black hole. Such a background is known to be the Schwarzschild black hole within the context of GR, which has an explicit background metric,

$$ds^2 = \frac{r-r_s}{r} dt^2 - \frac{r}{r-r_s} dr^2 - r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi). \quad (12)$$

Here $r_s = 2M$ denotes the Schwarzschild radius. We adapt the Planck units $G = \hbar = c = 1$ throughout this article. According to Eq. (8), the above metric gives following background gravigauge field:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\chi}_t^0 &= \sqrt{\frac{r-r_s}{r}}, & \bar{\chi}_r^1 &= \sqrt{\frac{r}{r-r_s}}, \\ \bar{\chi}_\theta^2 &= r, & \bar{\chi}_\varphi^3 &= r \sin \theta, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

with all other twelve components vanish identically. The choice of gravigauge field is not unique but equivalent up to spin gauge transformation, which originates from intrinsic spin gauge symmetry $SP(1,3)$ of Dirac spinor.

In this setup, the generalized Dirac equation has the following explicit form:

$$\left[\gamma^0 \sqrt{\frac{r}{r-r_s}} i \partial_t + \gamma^1 \sqrt{\frac{r-r_s}{r}} i D_r + \frac{i \gamma^1 \gamma^0 \hat{K}}{r} - m \right] \Psi = 0, \quad (14)$$

where we have defined, inspired from the trick work in Minkowskian QFT, the so-called K -operator as follows:

$$\hat{K} = i \gamma^0 \left(\gamma^1 \gamma^2 i D_\theta + \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \gamma^1 \gamma^3 i \partial_\varphi \right). \quad (15)$$

We have introduced the following definitions:

$$D_r \equiv \partial_r + \frac{3r_s - 4r}{4r(r-r_s)}, \quad D_\theta = \partial_\theta + \frac{\cot \theta}{2}. \quad (16)$$

It is easy to check that \hat{K} commutes with the Dirac operator on left-hand-side of Eq. (14). Furthermore, note that $[\hat{K}, \gamma^0] = 0$, $[\hat{K}, \gamma^1] = 0$, and also $[\hat{K}, \gamma^0 \gamma^1] = 0$, the common eigenfunctions of \hat{K} as well as $\gamma^0 \gamma^1$ form a complete basis for wave functions.

In Dirac representation, the K -operator can be written as follows:

$$\hat{K} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{K}_+ & \\ & \hat{K}_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (17)$$

which is block-diagonal with \hat{K}_\pm given by

$$\hat{K}_\pm = \pm \left(\sigma_3 i D_\theta - \sigma_2 \frac{1}{\sin \theta} i \partial_\varphi \right). \quad (18)$$

The two sub-operators are algebraically related $\hat{K}_+ = \sigma_1 \hat{K}_- \sigma_1$. Thus, the eigen solution of \hat{K} is also divided as assemblies of a 2-spinor with the explicit form

$$\Phi_{m_\ell, m_j}^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{m_\ell, m_j} \\ \sigma_1 \xi_{m_\ell, m_j} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi_{m_\ell, m_j}^- = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{m_\ell, m_j} \\ -\sigma_1 \xi_{m_\ell, m_j} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

They are also eigenstates of $\gamma^0 \gamma^1$ and are intertwined as shown by the following algebraic properties

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^0 \Phi_{m_\ell, m_j}^\pm &= \Phi_{m_\ell, m_j}^\mp, \\ \gamma^1 \Phi_{m_\ell, m_j}^\pm &= \pm \Phi_{m_\ell, m_j}^\mp, \\ \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \Phi_{m_\ell, m_j}^\pm &= \pm \Phi_{m_\ell, m_j}^\pm. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The 2-spinor ξ_{m_ℓ, m_j} is the eigenstate of the operator \hat{K}_+ ,

$$\hat{K}_+ \xi_{m_\ell, m_j}(\theta, \varphi) = m_\ell \xi_{m_\ell, m_j}(\theta, \varphi). \quad (21)$$

Here m_ℓ are eigenvalues of the operator \hat{K}_+ and m_j the azimuthal quanta.

Spinor wave functions have 4π -periodicity of φ and satisfy the following boundary conditions:

$$\xi_{m_\ell, m_j}(\theta, \varphi + 4\pi) = \xi_{m_\ell, m_j}(\theta, \varphi), \quad (22)$$

$$\partial_\varphi \xi_{m_\ell, m_j} |_{\theta=0} = \partial_\varphi \xi_{m_\ell, m_j} |_{\theta=\pi} = 0. \quad (23)$$

Therefore solution of Eq. (21) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{m_\ell, m_j}(\theta, \varphi) \propto e^{im_j \varphi} & \left[\frac{F_{\frac{1}{2}-m_j}^{m_\ell, -m_\ell}(\sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2})}{m_\ell \tan^{m_j}(\frac{\theta}{2}) \sqrt{\sin \theta}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{\sin \theta F_{\frac{3}{2}-m_j}^{1+m_\ell, 1-m_\ell}(\sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2})}{1-2m_j} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Here $F_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(z)$ denotes the hypergeometric equation of variable z . The eigenvalues m_ℓ and m_j can be determined with the boundary conditions:

$$m_\ell = \pm \ell, \quad m_j = \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}, \dots, \pm \ell - \frac{1}{2}, \quad (25)$$

with ℓ an arbitrary positive integer.

With the angular wave functions Φ_{m_ℓ, m_j}^\pm , Dirac field in Eq. (14) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(t, r, \theta, \varphi) = \frac{e^{-i\omega t}}{r^{\frac{3}{4}}(r-r_s)^{\frac{1}{4}}} & \left(\psi_{\omega, m_\ell}^+(r) \Phi_{m_\ell, m_j}^+(\theta, \varphi) \right. \\ & \left. + \psi_{\omega, m_\ell}^-(r) \Phi_{m_\ell, m_j}^-(\theta, \varphi) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where $\psi_{\omega, m_\ell}^\pm$ are radial wave functions and ω denotes the eigenfrequency in relevant to the energy of field. For a compact expression, we also adapt the notation

$$\psi_{\omega, m_\ell}(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{\omega, m_\ell}^+(r) \\ \psi_{\omega, m_\ell}^-(r) \end{pmatrix} \quad (27)$$

in the following discussion. Substituting into Eq. (14) and using the properties of Φ_{m_ℓ, m_j}^\pm in Eq. (20), we arrive at the following coupled radial equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\partial_r - \frac{i\omega r}{r-1} \right) \psi_{\omega, m_\ell}^+(r) &= -\frac{m_\ell + imr}{\sqrt{r(r-1)}} \psi_{\omega, m_\ell}^-(r), \\ \left(\partial_r + \frac{i\omega r}{r-1} \right) \psi_{\omega, m_\ell}^-(r) &= -\frac{m_\ell - imr}{\sqrt{r(r-1)}} \psi_{\omega, m_\ell}^+(r), \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where the eigenfrequency ω can be determined by solving these equations with quasi-bound state boundary condition.

Radial equations (28) are consistent with equations presented in [16], derived from vierbein formalism. From now on, we should focus on such a radial equation for given ω , m_j , m_ℓ . Without any confusion, subscripts are ignored in the following discussion for clarity. Moreover, we take $r_s = 1$ without any loss of generality.

III. MATCHING TECHNIQUE IN THE SECOND-ORDER EQUATION OF MOTION

As Eq. (28) is mutually coupled, a straightforward approach is to solve the second-order equation for one of the components. Let us temporarily focus on the case of $m_\ell = \ell$, we arrive at the following equations:

$$\left(\partial_r^2 + P_\pm(r) \partial_r + Q_\pm(r) \right) \psi^\pm = 0, \quad (29)$$

where the coefficients are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P_+(r) &= \frac{1}{2r} + \frac{1}{2(r-1)} - \frac{1}{r - i\ell/m}, \\ Q_+(r) &= -p^2 + \frac{\ell^2}{r} - \frac{\ell^2 + m^2 - 2\omega^2 + \frac{\ell\omega/m}{1 - i\ell/m}}{r-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{\omega^2 + i\omega/2}{(r-1)^2} + \frac{\ell\omega/m}{1 - i\ell/m} \frac{1}{r - i\ell/m}, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

with $p = \sqrt{m^2 - \omega^2}$. Since $P_- = P_+^*$, $Q_- = Q_+^*$, the two components are related $\psi^- \sim \psi^{+*}$. Therefore they yield the same spectrum. In the following parts, we will focus on the component ψ^+ .

In the scenario with $m \ll 1$, approximate solutions in neighborhoods of $r = 1$, $r = \ell/m$ and $r \gg \ell/m$ can be derived, which are denoted as ψ_h , ψ_i and ψ_f , respectively. These solutions are subsequently matched in the overlapping regions to determine the eigenfrequency ω , as an expansion in a series of m . Such a technique is referred to as block-matching or matched asymptotic expansion. It was firstly applied in [30] and the result is consistent with the numerical calculation [34].

In the *far* region $r \gg \ell/m^{3/2}$, Eq. (29) is approximately

$$\left(\partial_r^2 - p^2 + \frac{2\omega^2 - m^2}{r} - \frac{\tilde{\ell}(\tilde{\ell} + 1)}{r^2} \right) \psi_f^+ = 0, \quad (31)$$

with $\tilde{\ell} = \ell + \epsilon_\ell$. Here ϵ_ℓ is small but cannot be dropped directly, which serves as a regulator in calculation [13, 47]. Such an equation with a vanishing boundary condition at infinity can be solved by Whittaker function,

$$\psi_f^+ \propto W_{\kappa, \tilde{\ell} + \frac{1}{2}}(2pr), \quad \kappa \equiv \frac{2\omega^2 - m^2}{2p}. \quad (32)$$

In the region near horizon, we decompose $\psi_h^+(r)$ as

$$\psi_h^+(r) = r^{i\omega+1/2} (r-1)^{-i\omega+1/2} \hat{\psi}_h^+(r). \quad (33)$$

Then the equation for $\hat{\psi}_h^+(r)$ is given by,

$$\left[r(r-1) \partial_r^2 + \left(3r - \frac{3}{2} - 2i\omega \right) \partial_r - \tilde{\ell}^2 + 1 \right] \hat{\psi}_h^+ = 0. \quad (34)$$

This approximation keeps valid for any $r \ll \ell/m$. While accounting the pure in-going wave at horizon as boundary condition, the solution is given in terms of hypergeometric equation

$$\psi_h^+(r) \propto \left(\frac{r}{r-1} \right)^{i\omega} \sqrt{r(r-1)} F_{\frac{3}{2}-2i\omega}^{1-\tilde{\ell}, 1+\tilde{\ell}}(1-r). \quad (35)$$

Solutions ψ_h^+ and ψ_f^+ cannot simultaneously satisfy the boundary conditions at horizon ($r = 1$) and spatial infinity ($r = \infty$). To determine the eigenfrequency, we need to match them. However, the two solutions are only valid in the non-overlapping region $1 < r \ll \ell/m$ and $r \gg \ell/m$, respectively. To accommodate this requirement, it is useful to introduce an intermediate region around $r = \ell/m$ as a bridge. Within this region, the wave function ψ_i^+ is determined via the following equation:

$$\left[r \left(r - \frac{i\tilde{\ell}}{m} \right) \partial_r^2 - \frac{i\tilde{\ell}}{m} \partial_r - \tilde{\ell}(\tilde{\ell} + 1) + \frac{i\tilde{\ell}^3}{mr} \right] \psi_i^+(r) = 0. \quad (36)$$

Its solution is given by

$$\psi_i^+(r) = c_1 \left(\frac{mr}{i\tilde{\ell}} \right)^{\tilde{\ell}} \left(1 + 2\tilde{\ell} + 2imr \right) + c_2 \left(\frac{mr}{i\tilde{\ell}} \right)^{-\tilde{\ell}}, \quad (37)$$

where the coefficients c_1 and c_2 are two constants of integration. The first term associated with c_1 exhibits distinct dependence on r as approaching to the horizon and to spatial infinity, which is crucial for the matching with the solutions in the near and far regions.

Although the near and far regions do not overlap with each other, they each overlap with the intermediate region separately. Within the overlap of far and intermediate region, the local solutions ψ_h and ψ_i get the following asymptotic forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_h(r) &\rightarrow r^{\tilde{\ell}} \frac{\Gamma(2\tilde{\ell})\Gamma(\frac{3}{2} - 2i\omega)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \tilde{\ell} - 2i\omega)\Gamma(1 + \tilde{\ell})} \\ &\quad + r^{-\tilde{\ell}} \frac{\Gamma(-2\tilde{\ell})\Gamma(\frac{3}{2} - 2i\omega)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \tilde{\ell} - 2i\omega)\Gamma(1 - \tilde{\ell})}, \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

in the large r limit, and

$$\psi_i(r) \rightarrow c_1 \left(\frac{mr}{i\tilde{\ell}} \right)^{\tilde{\ell}} \left(1 + 2\tilde{\ell} \right) + c_2 \left(\frac{mr}{i\tilde{\ell}} \right)^{-\tilde{\ell}}, \quad (39)$$

for a relative small r . These two local solutions should exhibit the same behavior and result in the following matching condition:

$$\frac{(m/i\tilde{\ell})^{-2\tilde{\ell}} c_2}{2\tilde{\ell} + 1 c_1} = -\frac{\Gamma(-2\tilde{\ell}) \Gamma(\tilde{\ell}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \tilde{\ell} - 2i\omega)}{\Gamma(-\tilde{\ell}) \Gamma(2\tilde{\ell}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \tilde{\ell} - 2i\omega)}. \quad (40)$$

On the other hand, within the interval $\ell/m \ll r \ll \ell/p$, the local solutions ψ_f and ψ_i are asymptotically given by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_f(r) \rightarrow (2pr)^{\tilde{\ell}+1} \frac{\Gamma(-1-2\tilde{\ell})}{\Gamma(-\tilde{\ell}-\kappa)} \\ + (2pr)^{-\tilde{\ell}} \frac{\Gamma(1+2\tilde{\ell})}{\Gamma(1+\tilde{\ell}-\kappa)}, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

in the relatively small r limit, and

$$\psi_i(r) \rightarrow c_1 \left(\frac{mr}{i\tilde{\ell}}\right)^{\tilde{\ell}+1} 2\tilde{\ell} + c_2 \left(\frac{mr}{i\tilde{\ell}}\right)^{-\tilde{\ell}} \quad (42)$$

for large r . The matching of two functions results in the following condition:

$$-\frac{2im}{(2p)^{2\tilde{\ell}+1}} \frac{\Gamma(1+2\tilde{\ell})\Gamma(-\tilde{\ell}-\kappa)}{\Gamma(-2\tilde{\ell})\Gamma(1+\tilde{\ell}-\kappa)} = \frac{(m/i\tilde{\ell})^{-2\tilde{\ell}} c_2}{2\tilde{\ell} + 1 c_1}, \quad (43)$$

Together with Eq. (40), we arrive at the following equation for ω ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2im}{(2p)^{2\tilde{\ell}+1}} \frac{\Gamma(2\tilde{\ell}+1)\Gamma(-\tilde{\ell}-\kappa)}{\Gamma(-2\tilde{\ell})\Gamma(\tilde{\ell}-\kappa+1)} \\ = \frac{\Gamma(-2\tilde{\ell}) \Gamma(\tilde{\ell}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \tilde{\ell} - 2i\omega)}{\Gamma(-\tilde{\ell}) \Gamma(2\tilde{\ell}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \tilde{\ell} - 2i\omega)}, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

which enables to determine the spectrum of fermion in a spherically symmetric background of black hole.

The above equation can be solved perturbatively. As Eq. (41) must remain regular once it approaches to the horizon, the coefficient in proportional to $r^{-\tilde{\ell}}$ must be suppressed, which requires the following condition:

$$\tilde{\ell} - \kappa + 1 = -n + \delta, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (45)$$

where δ should be sufficiently small. The eigenfrequency admits an expansion $\omega \approx \omega_0 + \omega_1\delta$. Given that κ is a function of ω , both ω_0 and ω_1 can be solved from Eq. (45) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_0 &\approx m \left[1 - \frac{m^2}{8\bar{n}^2} + \mathcal{O}(m^4) \right], \\ \omega_1 &= -\frac{1}{\omega_0} \left(\frac{3m^2 - 2\omega_0^2}{2p_0^3} - \frac{\ell}{m\omega_0\tilde{\ell}} \right)^{-1} \\ &\approx -\frac{m^3}{4\bar{n}^3} + \mathcal{O}(m^4), \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where $\bar{n} = n + \ell + 1$ and $p_0 = \sqrt{m^2 - \omega_0^2}$. Substituting Eq. (45) into Eq. (44), we arrive at a concrete expression for the small quantity δ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &\approx \frac{(2p_0)^{2\tilde{\ell}+1}}{2im} \frac{\Gamma(\tilde{\ell})}{n!\Gamma^2(2\tilde{\ell}+1)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \tilde{\ell} - 2i\omega_0)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \tilde{\ell} - 2i\omega_0)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{(-)^n \Gamma(1-2\tilde{\ell}) \Gamma(-2\tilde{\ell})}{\Gamma(-n-2\tilde{\ell}-1) \Gamma(-\tilde{\ell})}, \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

which brings on an extremely small value for δ , i.e., $\delta = \mathcal{O}(m^{4\tilde{\ell}+1})$.

In the limit $\tilde{\ell} \rightarrow \ell$, some gamma functions in Eq. (47) are ill-defined, while the whole expression remains meaningful with the following observation:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Gamma(-2\tilde{\ell})}{\Gamma(-\tilde{\ell})} &= \frac{(-)^\ell \ell!}{2 (2\ell)!}, \\ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Gamma(1-2\tilde{\ell})}{\Gamma(-n-2\tilde{\ell}-1)} &= (-)^n \frac{(n+2\ell+1)!}{(2\ell-1)!}. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Combining the above ingredients, we arrive at the following relation for the imaginary part of spectrum:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{Im } \omega_{m_\ell > 0}}{m} &\approx -\frac{(mM)^{4\ell+3}}{(n+\ell+1)^{2\ell+4}} \frac{(n+2\ell+1)!}{n![(2\ell)!]^2} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \left[1 + \left(\frac{4\omega_0 M}{j+1/2} \right)^2 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

where the unit $r_s = 2M$ has been recovered.

For the case $m_\ell = -\ell$, the spectrum can be obtained with the same procedure as the case $m_\ell = \ell$. The result is slightly different:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{Im } \omega_{m_\ell < 0}}{m} &\approx -\frac{(mM)^{4\ell+1}}{(n+\ell)^{2\ell+2}} \frac{(n+2\ell-1)!}{n![(2\ell-1)!]^2} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \left[1 + \left(\frac{4\omega_0 M}{j+1/2} \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

The results Eq. (49) and (50) coincide with those obtained in Ref. [34]. It is noteworthy that a common misconception regarding the ill-defined Gamma functions, as identified in [13], was present in the early work [30]. The missing factor of 1/2 has been rectified in Ref. [34].

IV. SIMPLIFIED MATCHING AND IMPROVED ANALYTIC SPECTRUM

In the previous section, we outlined the matching technique applied to the second-order equation of motion derived from the Dirac equation in the background of a Schwarzschild black hole. It was found that solutions near horizon and in far region cannot be matched directly. To surmount this impediment, we now pay our

attention to the coupled radial equation (28) to which the block-matching technique can be applied directly.

Unlike the second-order equation (29), Eq. (28) admits only three singularities $r = 0$, $r = 1$ (horizon) and $r = +\infty$. Far away from the horizon, the equation has the following asymptotic form:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\partial_r - i\omega - \frac{i\omega}{r}\right) \psi_f^+ + \left(im + \frac{m_\ell + im/2}{r}\right) \psi_f^- &= 0, \\ \left(\partial_r + i\omega + \frac{i\omega}{r}\right) \psi_f^- - \left(im - \frac{m_\ell - im/2}{r}\right) \psi_f^+ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

This approximation is valid as long as $r \gg 1$. Our primary objective is to match the solution with the other one in the neighborhood of the horizon. To archive our goal, it is crucial to maintain clarity on the behavior of the function in the small r limit.

In order to diagonalize coefficient matrix associated with $1/r$, it is useful to introduce the following transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}^+ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i\omega}{2\tilde{\ell}}\right) \psi^+ + \frac{m_\ell - im/2}{2\tilde{\ell}} \psi^-, \\ \tilde{\psi}^- &= \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i\omega}{2\tilde{\ell}}\right) \psi^+ - \frac{m_\ell - im/2}{2\tilde{\ell}} \psi^-, \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

which allows us to obtain the following simplified equations,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\partial_r + \frac{\tilde{\ell}}{r} - \frac{p\kappa}{\tilde{\ell}}\right) \tilde{\psi}_f^+(r) &= -i\beta_+ \tilde{\psi}_f^-(r), \\ \left(\partial_r - \frac{\tilde{\ell}}{r} + \frac{p\kappa}{\tilde{\ell}}\right) \tilde{\psi}_f^-(r) &= -i\beta_- \tilde{\psi}_f^+(r), \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

with the notations:

$$\tilde{\ell} = \sqrt{m_\ell^2 + \frac{m^2}{4} - \omega^2} \equiv \ell + \epsilon_\ell, \quad (54)$$

$$\beta_\pm = \omega \frac{2m_\ell + im}{2m_\ell - im} \left(1 \pm \frac{i\omega}{\tilde{\ell}}\right) \pm m \frac{m_\ell}{\tilde{\ell}}. \quad (55)$$

The approximate solution in far region is presented in term of Whittaker function as follows:

$$\tilde{\psi}_f(r) \propto \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p(\tilde{\ell}-\kappa)}{i\tilde{\ell}\beta_-} W_{\kappa, \tilde{\ell}+\frac{1}{2}}(2pr) \\ W_{\kappa, \tilde{\ell}-\frac{1}{2}}(2pr) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (56)$$

where we have imposed the boundary condition, $\tilde{\psi}_f \rightarrow 0$ while $r \rightarrow \infty$.

The solution ψ_f (or equivalent $\tilde{\psi}_f$) cannot capture the boundary condition at $r = 1$. Whereas we need one more solution near the horizon to complete the matching. With the approximation $\tilde{\ell} \approx \ell$, the coupled equations (28) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sqrt{r(r-1)}\partial_r - \frac{i\omega}{\sqrt{r(r-1)}}\right) \psi_h^+ + s\tilde{\ell}\psi_h^- &= 0, \\ \left(\sqrt{r(r-1)}\partial_r + \frac{i\omega}{\sqrt{r(r-1)}}\right) \psi_h^- + s\tilde{\ell}\psi_h^+ &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

for $1 < r \ll \ell/m$ with $s = \text{sgn } m_\ell$. In the allowed range, it is the energy rather than the mass that is crucial for dynamics. The approximate solutions are presented, in term of hypergeometric function, as follows:

$$\psi_h(r) = \left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^{i\omega} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{r(r-1)} F_{\frac{3}{2}-2i\omega}^{1+\tilde{\ell}, 1-\tilde{\ell}}(1-r) \\ \frac{s}{\tilde{\ell}} (2i\omega - \frac{1}{2}) F_{\frac{1}{2}-2i\omega}^{\tilde{\ell}, -\tilde{\ell}}(1-r) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (58)$$

which accounts for the boundary condition that solution is purely ingoing boundary condition at horizon $r = 1$.

Within the overlapped region, the local solution near horizon takes the following asymptotic form:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_h(r) &\approx r^{-\tilde{\ell}} \frac{\Gamma(-2\tilde{\ell})\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}-2i\omega)}{\Gamma(1-\tilde{\ell})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\tilde{\ell}-2i\omega)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ s \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ r^{\tilde{\ell}} \frac{\Gamma(2\tilde{\ell})\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}-2i\omega)}{\Gamma(1+\tilde{\ell})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\tilde{\ell}-2i\omega)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -s \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

And the solution $\tilde{\psi}_f$ in far region behaves as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}_f(r) &\approx (2pr)^{-\tilde{\ell}} \frac{2p}{i\beta_-} \frac{\Gamma(2\tilde{\ell})}{\Gamma(\tilde{\ell}-\kappa)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ (2pr)^{\tilde{\ell}} \frac{\Gamma(1-2\tilde{\ell})}{\Gamma(1-\tilde{\ell}-\kappa)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

Next we consider the case with $m_\ell = -\ell$. The coefficient of $r^{-\tilde{\ell}}$ should be highly suppressed, which requires the following condition:

$$\tilde{\ell} - \kappa = -n + \delta, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (61)$$

Here $\delta < 1$ is small quantity and the eigenfrequency can be expanded with it $\omega \approx \omega_0 + \omega_1\delta$. The above equation leads to the following solutions:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_0 &\approx m \left[1 - \frac{m^2}{8\bar{n}^2} + \left[\frac{15}{8} - \frac{3\bar{n}}{2\ell} \right] \frac{m^4}{16\bar{n}^4} \right], \\ \omega_1 &= -\frac{1}{\omega_0} \left(\frac{3m^2 - 2\omega_0^2}{2p_0^3} + \frac{1}{\tilde{\ell}} \right)^{-1} \approx -\frac{m^3}{4\bar{n}^3}, \\ p_0 &= \sqrt{m^2 - \omega_0^2} \approx \frac{m^2}{2\bar{n}}, \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

with $\bar{n} = n + \ell$. To proceed, it necessitates switching from $\tilde{\psi}$ to ψ . At the leading-order of m , it brings on the following solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_f(r) &\approx (2pr)^{-\tilde{\ell}} \frac{2p}{i\beta_-} (-)^n n! \Gamma(2\tilde{\ell}) \delta \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ s \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ (2pr)^{\tilde{\ell}} \frac{\Gamma(1-2\tilde{\ell})}{\Gamma(-n-2\tilde{\ell}+1)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -s \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

By matching the two local solutions, we obtain the result for δ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &\approx i\beta_- (2p_0)^{2\tilde{\ell}-1} \frac{\Gamma(\tilde{\ell})}{n! \Gamma^2(2\tilde{\ell})} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \tilde{\ell} - 2i\omega_0)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \tilde{\ell} - 2i\omega_0)} \\ &\times \frac{\Gamma(-2\tilde{\ell})}{\Gamma(-\tilde{\ell})} \frac{(-)^{n+1} \Gamma(1-2\tilde{\ell})}{\Gamma(-n-2\tilde{\ell}+1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

which recovers Eq. (50) in the limit $\tilde{\ell} \rightarrow \ell$.

The previous derivation does not discriminate the sign of constant m_ℓ . However, for $m_\ell = \ell$ and $n = 0$, we have

$$\frac{2p\Gamma(2\tilde{\ell})}{\beta_-\Gamma(\tilde{\ell}-\kappa)} \approx \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{m}\right), \quad (65)$$

which violates the postulation on the coefficient of $r^{-\tilde{\ell}}$ in Eq. (60). Whereas, for positive constant $m_\ell = \ell$, the quantum number n should start from 1 rather than 0. To incorporate the two different cases, it is preferable to adopt the following replacement:

$$n \rightarrow n + \frac{1+s}{2}, \quad (66)$$

which accounts for the sign of ℓ in all the results obtained

$$\Gamma_{n\tilde{\ell}s} = \frac{(-)^{n+\frac{1-s}{2}}}{(n+\frac{1+s}{2})!} \frac{\Gamma(\tilde{\ell})}{\Gamma^2(2\tilde{\ell})} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\tilde{\ell}-4i\omega_0 M)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\tilde{\ell}-4i\omega_0 M)} \frac{\Gamma(1-2\tilde{\ell})}{\Gamma(-n-2\tilde{\ell}+\frac{1-s}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(-2\tilde{\ell})}{\Gamma(-\tilde{\ell})}. \quad (69)$$

Here we have recovered the unit $r_s = 2M$. Since $\tilde{\ell}$ deviates from an integer, all the Gamma functions here are well defined.

The above result provides a well-defined and improved analytic formulation for the spectrum of a spinor fermion moving around the Schwarzschild black hole. The comparisons of analytic results, both outlined in the previous section and presented in this section via the improved formula, with the numerical results are displayed in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. The gray curves are the numerical results collected from Ref. [34]. While the red curves are the improved results in Eq. (67) and the blue ones from Eq. (49) and Eq. (50). The difference between the red curves and the blue ones are due to the non-zero ϵ_ℓ in Eq. (54), which is

$$|\epsilon_\ell| \simeq \frac{3m^2}{8\ell} + \left(\frac{9}{64\ell^2} - \frac{1}{\bar{n}^2}\right) \frac{m^4}{2\ell} + \dots \quad (70)$$

It is seen that \bar{n} in case of $m_\ell > 0$ is larger than that in case of $m_\ell < 0$, with same n and ℓ . This explains why the difference between the red curves and the blue ones for the case $m_\ell < 0$ are smaller.

V. UNDERSTANDING ON THE WELL-DEFINED AND IMPROVED ANALYTIC SPECTRUM

To justify the well-defined and improved formula in our present considerations, it is crucial to conduct a detailed analysis on the local solutions in regions far from the horizon. It is shown that for large r , components in

from the above equations (62) and (64). Thereby, we can successfully retrieve the result in Eq. (49) in the limit $\tilde{\ell} \rightarrow \ell$.

Therefore, it enables us to obtain the final result in a unified form as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\omega}{m} \approx & 1 - \frac{(mM)^2}{2\bar{n}^2} + \left(\frac{15}{8} - \frac{3\bar{n}}{2\ell}\right) \frac{(mM)^4}{\bar{n}^4} \\ & + i\beta_- \left(\frac{3m^2 - 2\omega_0^2}{2p_0M} + \frac{2p_0^2}{\tilde{\ell}}\right)^{-1} \frac{(4p_0M)^{2\tilde{\ell}+1}}{16M^3m\omega_0} \Gamma_{n\tilde{\ell}s}, \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

in terms of the quantum number

$$\bar{n} = n + \ell + \frac{s+1}{2}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (68)$$

and the $\Gamma_{n\tilde{\ell}s}$ is explicitly given by,

Eq. (56) behaves as follows:

$$\frac{\tilde{\psi}_f^-(r)}{\tilde{\psi}_f^+(r)} \approx \mathcal{O}(m^s). \quad (71)$$

For a light particle, there is only one component becoming dominant, which is known to be the *large* component. For the case $m_\ell = \ell$ ($s > 0$), the large component represented by $\tilde{\psi}_f^+$ is in proportional to $W_{\kappa, \tilde{\ell}+\frac{1}{2}}$. And for the other case ($s < 0$), the roles of $\tilde{\psi}_f^-$ and $\tilde{\psi}_f^+$ are interchanged. The large component is now given by $W_{\kappa, \tilde{\ell}-\frac{1}{2}}$. In the non-relativistic limit, the large component is sufficient to sketch out the structure of atom. This is precisely what we have outlined in Sec. III.

Near horizon, the relativistic effect becomes significant. In this case, both components assume equal significance. For clarity, let us focus on the case $m_\ell = \ell$. Within the overlap region, the wave function has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}_f(r) \approx & (2pr)^{-\tilde{\ell}} \frac{2p}{i\beta_-} \frac{\Gamma(2\tilde{\ell})}{\Gamma(\tilde{\ell}-\kappa)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ & + (2pr)^{\tilde{\ell}} \frac{\Gamma(1-2\tilde{\ell})}{\Gamma(1-\tilde{\ell}-\kappa)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ & + (2pr)^{1+\tilde{\ell}} \frac{\tilde{\ell}\beta_+}{ip} \frac{\Gamma(-1-2\tilde{\ell})}{\Gamma(1-\tilde{\ell}-\kappa)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ & + (2pr)^{1-\tilde{\ell}} \frac{\Gamma(-1+2\tilde{\ell})}{\Gamma(\tilde{\ell}-\kappa)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

where we have used the following identity:

$$\frac{i\tilde{\ell}\beta_+}{p(\tilde{\ell}+\kappa)} \cdot \frac{i\tilde{\ell}\beta_-}{p(\tilde{\ell}-\kappa)} = 1, \quad (73)$$




FIG. 1. Comparison of improved result Eq. (67), previous result Eq. (50) and numerical calculations collected from Ref. [34] for $n = 0$. Left panel for $m_\ell = +1$, middle panel for $m_\ell = -1$ and right panel for $m_\ell = -2$.




FIG. 2. Comparison of improved result Eq. (67), previous result Eq. (50) and numerical calculations collected from Ref. [34] for $n = 1$. Left panel for $m_\ell = +1$, middle panel for $m_\ell = -1$ and right panel for $m_\ell = -2$.

It indicates that the last two terms in Eq. (72) become sub-dominant when approaching to the horizon. The positive-power terms of the large component is overwhelmed by that of the small component in overlapped region. That is why the solution of the second-order equation (32) cannot be directly matched with the solution in Eq. (35). In essence, $\tilde{\psi}^+$ and $\tilde{\psi}^-$ are of equal importance, which poses challenges for the asymptotic analysis of the second-order equation of motion pertaining to a specific component.

Analysis of coupled first-order equation shows that it is the function in proportional to

$$W_{\tilde{\ell}+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{p(\tilde{\ell} + \kappa)}{i\tilde{\ell}\beta_+} W_{\tilde{\ell}-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (74)$$

which matches with the local solution near the horizon ψ_h^+ . Nevertheless, the second term $W_{\tilde{\ell}-\frac{1}{2}}$ is absent in the

analysis of second-order equation of motion in the far region. The local solution in the intermediate region indeed serves to compensate for the ignorance. Eq. (37) modifies the branches with positive exponent while keeping the another one. The enhancement factor

$$\frac{2im}{2\ell + 1} \approx \frac{i\ell\beta_+}{p(\tilde{\ell} + \kappa)} \quad (75)$$

can be interpreted as an implicit jump from the dominant *large* component to the *small* component.

We also noticed that our formalism in Eq. (15) coincides with definition of K -operator in flat spacetime as well as its straightforward generalization to the curved spacetime in various literature [32, 40]

$$\hat{K} = \frac{\gamma_0}{2} \left(\gamma_r \gamma_\varphi i \partial_\theta + \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \gamma_r \gamma_\theta i \partial_\varphi \right). \quad (76)$$

A linear combination of gamma matrices is introduced as

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_r &= \sin\theta \cos\varphi\gamma_1 + \sin\varphi\gamma_2 + \cos\theta\gamma_3, \\ \gamma_\theta &= \cos\theta \cos\varphi\gamma_1 + \cos\varphi\gamma_2 - \sin\theta\gamma_3, \\ \gamma_\varphi &= -\sin\varphi\gamma_1 + \cos\varphi\gamma_2,\end{aligned}\quad (77)$$

which correlates to the definitions given in Eq. (15) through a spin gauge transformation.

Intuitively, such a gauge transformation corresponds to the local rotation of three constant gamma matrices $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$, which is directly arisen from local frames compatible with spherical coordinates, to $(\gamma_r, \gamma_\theta, \gamma_\varphi)$ that is globally aligned across the entire spacetime. In flat spacetime, such an alignment is meaningful since a globally defined inertia reference is anticipated. This alignment also provides a more intuitive definition of orbital angular momentum. In contrast, a practical perspective reference frame for an observer in curved spacetime is, at best, locally defined and directly referenced to the central object. The formalism in our present considerations indeed provides a more straightforward depiction of the wave function.

VI. SUMMARY

We have revisited the fermionic quasi-bound state around a Schwarzschild black hole and obtained an *improved* analytic formula by matching local solutions within two overlapped regions. A careful analysis of the coupled first-order radial equations of motion reveals that the dominant large component in far region fails to accu-

rately represent the wave function near the horizon, even when maintaining a significant distance from it. Consequently, one cannot directly match the solution with another approximated solution near the horizon. It is necessary to introduce one more solution in an additional intermediate region serving as a bridge [30]. On the other hand, while addressing the two components in coupled equations in the region of large r , their behavior are automatically adjusted to approach to the horizon and can be matched with the solution near horizon directly.

To improve the analytical result, the correction to the quantum number $\tilde{\ell} = \ell + \epsilon_\ell$ has been taken into consideration. The imaginary part of the result agrees with the solutions (49) and (50) but is enhanced for large mM . A visible improvement can be seen for the case of $m_\ell = 1$. And the improvement is smaller when m_ℓ is negative or n and ℓ get larger. Furthermore, the improved formula provides a well-defined compact form, which also confirms the proposed regularization (48).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grants No. 12147103 (special fund to the center for quanta-to-cosmos theoretical physics), No. 11821505, No. 12447105, and by the National Key Research and Development Program of China under Grant No.2020YFC2201501, the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences under Grant No. XDB23030100.

-
- [1] W. Israel, Commun. Math. Phys. **8**, 245-260 (1968) doi:10.1007/BF01645859
 - [2] B. Carter, Phys. Rev. Lett. **26**, 331-333 (1971) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.26.331
 - [3] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 793-836 (2011) doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.83.793 [arXiv:1102.4014 [gr-qc]].
 - [4] I. M. Ternov, V. R. Khalilov, G. A. Chizhov and A. B. Gaina, Sov. Phys. J. **21**, 1200-1204 (1978) doi:10.1007/BF00894575
 - [5] J. Grain and A. Barrau, Eur. Phys. J. C **53**, 641-648 (2008) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-007-0494-1 [arXiv:hep-th/0701265 [hep-th]].
 - [6] S. Hod, Phys. Lett. B **749**, 167-171 (2015) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2015.07.071 [arXiv:1510.05649 [gr-qc]].
 - [7] R. A. Konoplya and A. V. Zhidenko, Phys. Lett. B **609**, 377-384 (2005) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2005.01.078 [arXiv:gr-qc/0411059 [gr-qc]].
 - [8] J. Barranco, A. Bernal, J. C. Degollado, A. Diez-Tejedor, M. Megevand, M. Alcubierre, D. Nunez and O. Sarbach, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 081102 (2012) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.081102 [arXiv:1207.2153 [gr-qc]].
 - [9] A. Arvanitaki, S. Dimopoulos, S. Dubovsky, N. Kaloper and J. March-Russell, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 123530 (2010) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.81.123530 [arXiv:0905.4720 [hep-th]].
 - [10] R. Brito, V. Cardoso and P. Pani, Physics, Lect. Notes Phys. **906**, pp.1-237 (2015) 2020, ISBN 978-3-319-18999-4, 978-3-319-19000-6, 978-3-030-46621-3, 978-3-030-46622-0 doi:10.1007/978-3-319-19000-6 [arXiv:1501.06570 [gr-qc]].
 - [11] A. A. Starobinsky, Sov. Phys. JETP **37**, no.1, 28-32 (1973)
 - [12] S. L. Detweiler, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 2323-2326 (1980) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.22.2323
 - [13] S. Bao, Q. Xu and H. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **106**, no.6, 064016 (2022) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.106.064016 [arXiv:2201.10941 [gr-qc]].
 - [14] W. Unruh, Phys. Rev. Lett. **31**, no.20, 1265-1267 (1973) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.31.1265
 - [15] S. Chandrasekhar, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **349**, 571-575 (1976) doi:10.1098/rspa.1976.0090
 - [16] W. G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 3251-3259 (1976) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.14.3251
 - [17] B. Carter and R. G. Mclenaghan, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 1093-1097 (1979) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.19.1093

- [18] R. Gueven, Phys. Rev. D **16**, 1706-1711 (1977) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.16.1706
- [19] M. Martellini and A. Treves, Phys. Rev. D **15**, 3060-3061 (1977) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.15.3060
- [20] C. H. Lee, Phys. Lett. B **68**, 152-156 (1977) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(77)90189-7
- [21] B. R. Iyer and A. Kumar, Phys. Rev. D **18**, 4799-4801 (1978) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.18.4799
- [22] S. Chandrasekhar,
- [23] P. Villanueva-Domingo, O. Mena and S. Palomares-Ruiz, Front. Astron. Space Sci. **8**, 87 (2021) doi:10.3389/fspas.2021.681084 [arXiv:2103.12087 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [24] D. R. Brill and J. A. Wheeler, Rev. Mod. Phys. **29**, 465-479 (1957) doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.29.465
- [25] S. Chandrasekhar and S. L. Detweiler, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **352**, 325-338 (1977) doi:10.1098/rspa.1977.0002
- [26] H. T. Cho, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 024003 (2003) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.68.024003 [arXiv:gr-qc/0303078 [gr-qc]].
- [27] T. Hartman, W. Song and A. Strominger, [arXiv:0912.4265 [hep-th]].
- [28] A. Coutant and P. Millington, Class. Quant. Grav. **36**, no.3, 035005 (2019) [erratum: Class. Quant. Grav. **36**, no.19, 199501 (2019)] doi:10.1088/1361-6382/aaf679 [arXiv:1809.03480 [gr-qc]].
- [29] V. I. Dokuchaev and Y. N. Eroshenko, Adv. High Energy Phys. **2014**, 434539 (2014) doi:10.1155/2014/434539 [arXiv:1403.1375 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [30] I. M. Ternov, A. B. Gaina and G. A. Chizhov, Sov. Phys. J. **23**, 695-700 (1980) doi:10.1007/BF00891357
- [31] A. B. Gaina and I. M. Ternov, Sov. Phys. J. **31**, 830-834 (1988) doi:10.1007/BF00920128
- [32] C. Doran, A. Lasenby, S. Dolan and I. Hinder, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 124020 (2005) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.71.124020 [arXiv:gr-qc/0503019 [gr-qc]].
- [33] S. Dolan and J. Gair, Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 175020 (2009) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/26/17/175020 [arXiv:0905.2974 [gr-qc]].
- [34] S. R. Dolan and D. Dempsey, Class. Quant. Grav. **32**, no.18, 184001 (2015) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/32/18/184001 [arXiv:1504.03190 [gr-qc]].
- [35] Y. Huang, D. J. Liu, X. h. Zhai and X. z. Li, Phys. Rev. D **96**, no.6, 065002 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.065002 [arXiv:1708.04761 [gr-qc]].
- [36] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, Phys. Rev. D **97**, no.8, 084034 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.97.084034 [arXiv:1712.06667 [gr-qc]].
- [37] I. I. Cotaescu, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **22**, 2493-2498 (2007) doi:10.1142/S0217732307024437 [arXiv:gr-qc/0701119 [gr-qc]].
- [38] C. A. Sporea, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **30**, no.28, 1550145 (2015) doi:10.1142/S021773231550145X [arXiv:1505.00470 [gr-qc]].
- [39] J. l. Jing, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 084009 (2004) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.69.084009 [arXiv:gr-qc/0312079 [gr-qc]].
- [40] X. N. Zhou, X. L. Du, K. Yang and Y. X. Liu, Phys. Rev. D **89**, no.4, 043006 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.043006 [arXiv:1308.2863 [gr-qc]].
- [41] Y. L. Wu, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no.2, 024012 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.024012 [arXiv:1506.01807 [hep-th]].
- [42] Y. L. Wu, Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron. **66**, no.6, 260411 (2023) doi:10.1007/s11433-022-2052-6 [arXiv:2208.03290 [hep-th]].
- [43] Y. L. Wu, World Scientific, 2022, ISBN 978-981-12-5708-7 doi:10.1142/12868
- [44] Y. L. Wu, Sci. Bull. **62**, no.16, 1109-1113 (2017) doi:10.1016/j.scib.2017.08.005 [arXiv:1705.06365 [hep-th]].
- [45] Y. L. Wu, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, no.1, 28 (2018) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5504-3 [arXiv:1712.04537 [hep-th]].
- [46] Y. K. Gao, D. Huang, Y. L. Ma, Y. Tang, Y. L. Wu and Y. F. Zhou, Phys. Rev. D **109**, no.6, 064072 (2024) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.109.064072 [arXiv:2403.17619 [gr-qc]].
- [47] S. S. Bao, Q. X. Xu and H. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **107**, no.6, 064037 (2023) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.107.064037 [arXiv:2301.05317 [gr-qc]].