### Low Mach number limit for the compressible Navier-Stokes equation with a stationary force

#### Naoto Deguchi

#### Abstract

In this paper, we are concerned with the low Mach number limit for the compressible Navier-Stokes equation with a stationary force and ill-prepared initial data in the three-dimensional whole space. The convergence result of the stationary solutions toward corresponding incompressible flow is obtained when a stationary force is small enough. Under the assumption that the initial perturbation around the stationary solution is small enough, the convergence result of the perturbation toward the corresponding perturbation around the stationary incompressible flow is obtained globally in time. The Strichartz type estimate for the linearized semigroup around the motionless state plays a crucial role in the proofs.

#### 1 Introduction

We consider the Cauchy problem for the isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes equation with a starionaty force:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho_{\epsilon} + \operatorname{div}(\rho_{\epsilon} v_{\epsilon}) = 0, \\ \partial_t (\rho_{\epsilon} v_{\epsilon}) + \operatorname{div}(\rho_{\epsilon} v_{\epsilon} \otimes v_{\epsilon}) = \mu \Delta v_{\epsilon} + (\mu + \mu') \nabla \operatorname{div} v_{\epsilon} - \frac{\nabla P(\rho_{\epsilon})}{\epsilon^2} + \rho_{\epsilon} F(x) \\ (\rho_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon})|_{t=0} = (\rho_{\epsilon,0}, v_{\epsilon,0}), & \lim_{|x| \to \infty} (\rho_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon})(t, x) = (\rho_{\infty}, 0). \end{cases}$$

(1

Here  $t \geq 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\rho_{\epsilon}(t,x)$  is the fluid density,  $v_{\epsilon}(t,x) = (v_{\epsilon,1}, v_{\epsilon,2}, v_{\epsilon,3})(t,x)$  is the fluid velocity and  $\rho_{\infty} > 0$  is a given constant. The positive number  $\epsilon$  is called Mach number. We assume that viscous coefficients  $\mu$ ,  $\mu'$  are constant

and satisfying  $\mu > 0$ ,  $\frac{2}{3}\mu + \mu' \ge 0$  and the barotropic pressure  $P(\cdot)$  satisfies  $P \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $P'(\rho_{\infty}) > 0$ .

The stationary problem corresponding to the Cauchy problem (1) is

$$\begin{cases}
\operatorname{div}(\rho_{\epsilon}^* v_{\epsilon}^*) = 0, \\
\operatorname{div}(\rho_{\epsilon}^* v_{\epsilon}^* \otimes v_{\epsilon}^*) = \mu \Delta v_{\epsilon}^* + (\mu + \mu') \nabla \operatorname{div} v_{\epsilon}^* - \frac{\nabla P(\rho_{\epsilon}^*)}{\epsilon^2} + \rho_{\epsilon}^* F(x), \\
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} (\rho_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon}^*)(x) = (\rho_{\infty}, 0),
\end{cases}$$
(2)

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\rho_{\epsilon}^*(x)$  is the fluid density and  $v_{\epsilon}^*(x) = (v_{\epsilon,1}^*, v_{\epsilon,2}^*, v_{\epsilon,3}^*)(x)$  is the fluid velocity.

The aim of this paper is to study the low Mach number limit of the solution of the Cauchy problem (1) around the stationary solution of (2). We show that if a stationary force F(x) is small in the function space  $\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2} \cap \dot{H}^4$ , then there exists a unique stationary solution  $(\rho_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon}^*)$  such that  $\rho_{\epsilon}^*$  converges to  $\rho_{\infty}$  and  $v_{\epsilon}^*$  converges to the solution  $u^*$  of the stationary problem of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_{\infty} \operatorname{div}(u^* \otimes u^*) = \mu \Delta u^* - \nabla \Pi^* + \rho_{\infty} F(x), \\ \operatorname{div} u^* = 0, \end{cases}$$
 (3)

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $u^*(x) = (u_1^*, u_2^*, u_3^*)(x)$  is the velocity of the incompressible fluid and  $\Pi^*(x)$  is the pressure. Under the assumption of the initial density  $\rho_{\epsilon,0} - \rho_{\infty} = O(\epsilon)$  as  $\epsilon \to \infty$ , that is  $\epsilon^{-1}(\rho_{\epsilon,0} - \rho_{\infty})$  is bounded in the function space  $\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{1/2} \cap \dot{H}^3$  with respect to  $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ , we show that if the initial perturbation  $(\rho_{\epsilon,0} - \rho_{\epsilon}^*, v_{0,\epsilon} - v_{\epsilon}^*)$  is small in the function space  $\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{1/2} \cap \dot{H}^3$ , then the perturbation  $(\rho_{\epsilon} - \rho_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon} - v_{\epsilon}^*)$  exists globally in time such that the perturbation of the density  $\rho_{\epsilon} - \rho_{\epsilon}^*$  converges to 0 as  $\epsilon \to 0$  and the perturbation of the velocity  $v_{\epsilon} - v_{\epsilon}^*$  converges to  $u_{\epsilon} - u^*$  as  $\epsilon \to 0$ , globally in time, where  $u_{\epsilon}$  is the global solution of the Cauchy problem of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation:

$$\begin{cases}
\rho_{\infty}(\partial_t u_{\epsilon} + \operatorname{div}(u_{\epsilon} \otimes u_{\epsilon})) = \mu \Delta u_{\epsilon} - \nabla \Pi + \rho_{\infty} F(x), \\
\operatorname{div} u_{\epsilon} = 0, \\
u_{\epsilon}|_{t=0} = \mathbb{P} v_{0,\epsilon},
\end{cases} \tag{4}$$

where  $t \geq 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $u_{\epsilon}(t,x) = (u_{\epsilon,1}, u_{\epsilon,2}, u_{\epsilon,3})(t,x)$  is the velocity,  $\Pi(t,x)$  is the pressure and  $\mathbb{P}$  is the Helmholtz projection. Here  $\dot{B}^s_{p,r}$  denote the

homogeneous Besov space and  $\dot{H}^s$  denotes the homogeneous Sobolev space whose definitions are given in Section 2 below.

The low Mach number limit of the compressible viscous flow with illprepared initial data was studied by Lions-Masmoudi [13] in the framework of weak solutions with large initial data and the pressure  $P(\rho_{\epsilon}) = a\rho_{\epsilon}^{\gamma}$  where  $a, \gamma$  are positive constants. It was shown that any weakly convergent subsequence of a velocity field  $v_{\epsilon}$  of the compressible flow converges to the velocity field u of the corresponding incompressible flow in [13]. We mention that the low Mach number limit of the stationary problem of the compressible Navier-Stokes equation was studied in [13] for bounded domain case. In Danchin [5], it was proved that the global existence of the strong solution of the compressible Navier-Stokes equation (1) with a time-dependent force  $F(t,x) \in L^1_t(0,\infty;\dot{B}^{1/2}_{2,1})$  and the solution  $(\rho_{\epsilon},v_{\epsilon})$  strongly converges to  $(\rho_{\infty}, u_{\epsilon})$  as  $\epsilon \to 0$  globally in time where  $u_{\epsilon}$  is the velocity of the corresponding incompressible flow. The strong convergence results in the scaling critical spaces were studied in [2], [6] and [8]. For the non-isentropic case, the low Mach number limit was investigated by [1] and the case of magnetohydrodynamic in [9]. So far the low Mach number limit of time global strong solutions has been established around motionless states. In this paper, we shall investigate the low Mach number limit of strong solutions globally in time around a stationary solution with nontrivial velocity field.

We first present our result for the stationary problem (2). The following theorem shows the existence and the low Mach number limit of the stationary solution of (2).

**Theorem 1.1.** There exists a constant  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that if  $||F||_{\dot{B}^{-3/2}_{2,\infty} \cap \dot{H}^3} \leq \delta_0$ , then the stationary problems (3) and (2) with Mach number  $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$  have unique solutions  $u^*$  and  $(\rho^*_{\epsilon}, v^*_{\epsilon})$  satisfying

$$||u^*||_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty}} + \epsilon^{-1}||\rho_{\epsilon}^* - \rho_{\infty}||_{\dot{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty} \cap \dot{H}^4} + ||v_{\epsilon}^*||_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty} \cap \dot{H}^5} \lesssim ||F||_{\dot{B}^{-\frac{2}{3}}_{2,\infty} \cap \dot{H}^3}.$$
 (5)

$$\epsilon^{-1} \| \rho_{\epsilon}^* - \rho_{\infty} \|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + \| (\mathbb{Q}v_{\epsilon}^*, \mathbb{P}v_{\epsilon}^* - u^*) \|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \epsilon \quad \text{for} \quad \epsilon \ll 1, \tag{6}$$

where  $\mathbb{P}$  is the Helmholtz projection and  $\mathbb{Q} = I - \mathbb{P}$ .

Now, we state our main theorem, which derives the low Mach number limit for the perturbation of the stationary solution obtained in Theorem 1.1. **Theorem 1.2.** Let  $u^*$ ,  $(\rho_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon}^*)$  be the stationary solutions satisfying (5) with  $||F||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}\cap \dot{H}^3}$  sufficiently small. Then, there exist constants  $\delta_1, \epsilon_1 > 0$  such that if  $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_1$ ,  $||F||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}\cap \dot{H}^3} \leq \delta_1$  and the initial perturbations

$$\mathbb{P}v_{\epsilon,0} - u^* \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (\rho_{\epsilon,0} - \rho_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon,0} - v_{\epsilon}^*) \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^3$$

and

$$\|\mathbb{P}v_{\epsilon,0} - u^*\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty}} + \epsilon^{-1} \|\rho_{\epsilon,0} - \rho^*_{\epsilon}\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty} \cap \dot{H}^3} + \|v_{\epsilon,0} - v^*_{\epsilon}\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty} \cap \dot{H}^3} \le \delta_1, \quad (7)$$

then the equations (1) and (4) have unique global solutions  $(\rho_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon})$  with  $(\rho_{\epsilon} - \rho_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon} - v_{\epsilon}^*) \in C^0([0, \infty); \dot{B}_{2, \infty}^{1/2} \cap \dot{H}^3)$  and  $u_{\epsilon} \in C^0([0, \infty); \dot{B}_{2, \infty}^{1/2})$ , respectively, satisfying  $(\rho_{\epsilon} - \rho_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon} - v_{\epsilon}^*) \in C^0([0, \infty); \dot{B}_{2, \infty}^{1/2} \cap \dot{H}^3)$  and

$$\sup_{0 \le t < \infty} \|u_{\epsilon}(t) - u^*\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty}} + \epsilon^{-1} \sup_{0 \le t < \infty} \|\rho_{\epsilon}(t) - \rho^*_{\epsilon}\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty} \cap \dot{H}^{3}} + \sup_{0 \le t < \infty} \|v_{\epsilon}(t) - v^*_{\epsilon}\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty} \cap \dot{H}^{3}} \lesssim \delta_{1}.$$
 (8)

Furthermore, the following low Mach number limit result holds. Let  $2 , <math>2 < r \le \infty$  and 1/2 + 2/r < s < 3/p. Then, we have

$$\epsilon^{-1} \| \rho_{\epsilon} - \rho_{\epsilon}^{*} \|_{L_{t}^{r}(0,\infty;\dot{B}_{p,1}^{s})} + \| \mathbb{Q}(v_{\epsilon} - v_{\epsilon}^{*}) \|_{L_{t}^{r}(0,\infty;\dot{B}_{p,1}^{s})} 
+ \| \mathbb{P}w_{\epsilon} - \tilde{u}_{\epsilon} \|_{L_{t}^{r}(0,\infty,\dot{B}_{p,1}^{s})} \lesssim \max\left(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{r}}, \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}\right) \delta_{1}, \quad (9)$$

where  $\mathbb{Q} = I - \mathbb{P}$ ,  $w_{\epsilon} = v_{\epsilon} - v_{\epsilon}^*$  and  $\tilde{u}_{\epsilon} = u_{\epsilon} - u^*$ .

By the continuous inclusion  $\dot{B}_{q,1}^{3(1/q-1/p)} \hookrightarrow L^p$ ,  $1 \le q \le p \le \infty$  (see [2, Proposition 2.39] for example ), we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.3.** Under the same assumption as in Theorem 1.2, if  $3 , <math>2 < r \le \infty$  with 2/r < 1 - 3/p, then we have

$$\epsilon^{-1} \| \rho_{\epsilon} - \rho_{\epsilon}^{*} \|_{L_{t}^{r}(0,\infty;L^{p})} + \| \mathbb{Q}(v_{\epsilon} - v_{\epsilon}^{*}) \|_{L_{t}^{r}(0,\infty;L^{p})} 
+ \| \mathbb{P}w_{\epsilon} - \tilde{u}_{\epsilon} \|_{L_{t}^{r}(0,\infty;L^{p})} \lesssim \max\left(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{r}}, \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}\right).$$

To prove the main result, a detailed analysis of the linearized semigroup is needed since the stationary solution has a nontrivial velocity field which slowly decays at spatial infinity. The proof of the decay estimate (9) is based on the analysis of the semigroup  $e^{tA_{\epsilon}}$  generated by

$$A_{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\epsilon^{-1} \operatorname{div} \\ -\epsilon^{-1} \nabla & (2\mu + \mu') \nabla \operatorname{div} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We will show the following Strichartz type estimates:

$$\|e^{tA_{\epsilon}}\psi\|_{L_{t}^{r}(0,\infty;\dot{B}_{p,1}^{s})} \lesssim_{s,s_{1},s_{2},p,r} \max\left(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{r}},\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}\right) \|\psi\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s_{1}}\cap\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s_{2}+3}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)}$$
(10)

where  $2 \le p < \infty$ ,  $2 < r \le \infty$  and  $s_1 + 2/r < s < s_2$ , and

$$\left\| \int_{0}^{t} e^{\tau A_{\epsilon}} \Psi(t-\tau) d\tau \right\|_{L_{t}^{r}(0,\infty;\dot{B}_{p,1}^{s})} \lesssim_{p,r} \epsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|\Psi\|_{L_{t}^{r}(0,\infty;\dot{B}_{p',1}^{s+2-\frac{8}{p}} \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{s+\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3}{p}})}. \tag{11}$$

Here  $2 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $2 < r \leq \infty$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . The idea of the proofs of the estimates (10) and (11) are to approximate the semigroup  $e^{tA_{\epsilon}}$  by  $e^{tA_{\epsilon,0}}$  where

$$e^{t\hat{A}_{\epsilon,0}(\xi)} = e^{\lambda_{+,0}t}P_{+}(\xi) + e^{\lambda_{-,0}t}P_{-}(\xi), \quad \lambda_{\pm,0} = -\left(\mu + \frac{\mu'}{2}\right)|\xi|^2 \pm i\frac{|\xi|}{\epsilon},$$

where the notation  $\hat{\cdot}$  denotes the Fourier transform and  $P_{\pm}$  are the projection matrices defined in (29). The estimate of the error term between  $e^{tA_{\epsilon}}$  and  $e^{tA_{\epsilon,0}}$  is carried out by the Taylor expansion in the Fourier space at the origin. The proof of the estimate (10) is obtained by interpolating between the estimate of the heat semigroup  $e^{(\mu+\mu'/2)\Delta t}$  and the dispersive estimate of the semigroup  $e^{i(|\nabla|/\epsilon)t}$ . The estimate (11) is proved by using the spectrally localized estimate which is obtained in Lemma 4.5 below.

**Notation.** The notation  $A \lesssim_{\alpha} B$  means that there exists a constant C depending on  $\alpha$  such that  $A \leq CB$ . The notation  $A \sim_{\alpha} B$  means that  $A \lesssim_{\alpha} B$  and  $B \lesssim_{\alpha} A$ . We denote a commutator by [X,Y] = XY - YX. We write S for the set of all Schwartz functions on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and we write S' for the set of all tempered distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . The notations  $\hat{\cdot}$ , F stand for the Fourier transform

$$\hat{u}(\xi) = \mathcal{F}(u)(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-ix\cdot\xi} u(x) dx,$$

and the notation  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$  denotes the inverse Fourier transform. The symbol  $\mathbb{P}$  denotes the Helmholtz projection:  $\mathbb{P}u = u - \Delta^{-1}\nabla \operatorname{div} u, \ u \in \mathcal{S}', \text{ and the symbol } \mathbb{Q}$  denotes  $\mathbb{Q} = I - \mathbb{P}$ . We denote the  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  inner product by  $\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} uv dx$ . For any Banach space Z and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , we define the function space  $L^p_t(0, \infty; Z) = L^p_t(Z)$  by the set of strongly measurable functions  $f: (0, \infty) \to Z$  such that

$$||f||_{L_t^p(0,\infty;Z)} = ||f||_{L_t^p(Z)} = |||f(t)||_Z||_{L_t^p((0,\infty))} < \infty.$$

Organization of the paper. In Section 2, we introduce the homogeneous Sobolev and Besov spaces and present some lemmas. In Section 3, we prove the existence and the low Mach number limit result of the stationary problem (2). Section 4 is devoted to the proof of the low Mach number limit of the non-stationary problem (1).

#### 2 Preliminary

This section is devoted to introducing the homogeneous Sobolev and Besov spaces and presenting some lemmas. For any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , the definition of the homogeneous Sobolev space is given by

$$\dot{H}^s = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{S}' \mid \hat{u} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3), \|u\|_{\dot{H}^s} = \||\cdot|^s \hat{u}\|_{L^2} < \infty \right\}.$$

Next, we define the homogeneous Besov space. We employ the following squared dyadic partition of unity, which we use the proof of Thorem 3.1. We fix  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  supported in the annulus  $\mathcal{C} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid 3/4 \leq |\xi| \leq 8/3\}$  such that

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\phi^2(2^{-j}\xi)=1\quad\text{for}\quad \xi\neq 0.$$

Define the dyadic blocks  $(\dot{\Delta}_j)_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$  by the Fourier multiplier

$$\dot{\Delta}_i u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\phi^2(2^{-j}\cdot)\hat{u}].$$

The homogeneous low-frequency cutoff operator is denoted by

$$\dot{S}_{j}u = \sum_{j' < j} \dot{\Delta}_{j'}u, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{12}$$

At least formally, we can consider the decomposition

$$u = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \dot{\Delta}_j u.$$

The homogeneous Besov space is defined as follows. Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$ . Then, the homogeneous Besov space  $\dot{B}^s_{p,r} = \dot{B}^s_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is given by

$$\dot{B}_{p,r}^{s} = \left\{ [u] \in \mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{P} \mid ||u||_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^{s}} = \left| |(2^{js} ||\dot{\Delta}_{j} u||_{L^{p}})_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right||_{\ell^{r}} < \infty \right\},\,$$

where  $\mathcal{P}$  denotes the set of polynomials and [u] denotes the equivalent class of an element  $u \in \mathcal{S}'$ .

The following lemmas are frequently used in this paper.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy  $s_1, s_2 < 3/2$  and  $s_1 + s_2 > 0$ . Let  $1 \le r, r_1, r_2 \le \infty$  satisfy  $1/r_1 + 1/r_2 = 1/r$ . Then, we have

$$\|uv\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1+s_2-\frac{3}{2}}_{2,r}} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1}_{2,r_1}} \|v\|_{\dot{B}^{s_2}_{2,r_2}}$$

for any  $u \in \dot{B}^{s_1}_{2,r_1}, v \in \dot{B}^{s_2}_{2,r_2}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $2 \le p \le \infty$ ,  $1 \le r \le \infty$  and  $s_1 < 3/p$ ,  $s_2 < 3/p$  with  $s_1 + s_2 > 0$ . Then, for any  $u \in \dot{B}^{s_1}_{2,r}$ ,  $v \in \dot{B}^{s_2}_{2,\infty}$ , we have  $uv \in \dot{B}^{s_1+s_2-\frac{3}{p}}_{p',r}$  and

$$||uv||_{\dot{B}_{n',r}^{s_1+s_2-\frac{3}{p}}} \lesssim ||u||_{\dot{B}_{2,r}^{s_1}} ||v||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s_2}}.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $2 \le p \le \infty$ ,  $1 \le r \le \infty$  and  $s_1 < 3/p$ ,  $s_2 < 3/2$  with  $s_1 + s_2 > 0$ . Then, for any  $u \in \dot{B}^{s_1}_{p,r}$ ,  $v \in \dot{B}^{s_2}_{2,\infty}$ , we have  $uv \in \dot{B}^{s_1+s_2-\frac{3}{2}}_{p,r}$  and

$$||uv||_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^{s_1+s_2-\frac{3}{2}}} \lesssim ||u||_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^{s_1}} ||v||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s_2}}.$$

The proofs of Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 are obtained by combining the theorems in [2, Theorem 2.47, Theorem 2.52]

We use the following lemma regarding composite function.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\Phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $u, v \in \dot{B}_{2,r}^s \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}$  with  $-3/2 \le s < 3/2$  or s = 3/2, r = 1. Then, we have

$$\|\Phi(u) - \Phi(v)\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,r}} \lesssim_{\Phi} (1 + \|(u,v)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{2}}_{2,1}}) \|u - v\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,r}}.$$

The proof of Lemma 2.4 is same as in the proof of [4, Lemma 1.6 ii)]. We use the following commutator estimates. The proof is the same as in [2, Lemma 2.100].

**Lemma 2.5.** Let -3/2 < s < 5/2,  $1 \le r \le \infty$  and  $\phi_0 \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  with supp  $\phi_0 \subset \mathcal{C}'$  for some annulus  $\mathcal{C}'$  centered at the origin. Let us denote  $\chi_j v = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[ \phi_0(2^{-j} \cdot) \hat{v} \right]$  for any  $v \in \mathcal{S}'$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then, we have

$$\left\| \left( 2^{js} \| [\chi_j, h \partial_k] u \|_{L^2} \right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{\ell^r(\mathbb{Z})} \lesssim_{s, \chi} \| \nabla h \|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{2}}_{2,1}} \| u \|_{\dot{B}^s_{2,r}},$$

where  $1 \le k \le 3$  and u, h are scalar functions.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}'$ . Then, for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_j e^{t\Delta}\psi\|_{L^p} \lesssim e^{-c2^{2j}t} \|\dot{\Delta}_j\psi\|_{L^p}$$
 for any  $t \ge 0$ ,

where c > 0 is a constant.

As for the proof of Lemma 2.7, see [2, Lemma 2.4] for example.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 \le p, r \le \infty$  and  $h \in \mathcal{S}'$ . Then, we have

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{\tau \Delta} h(t-\tau) d\tau \right\|_{L^r_t(\dot{B}^s_{s,r})} \lesssim \|h\|_{L^r_t(\dot{B}^{s-2}_{p,r})}.$$

As for the proof of Lemma 2.7, see [2, Corollary 2.5] for example.

**Lemma 2.8.** Let  $2 \le p \le \infty$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}'$ . Then, we have

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}e^{\pm i\frac{|\nabla|}{\epsilon}t}\psi\|_{L^{p}} \lesssim 2^{2j\left(1-\frac{2}{p}\right)}\epsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}}|t|^{-\left(1-\frac{2}{p}\right)}\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\psi\|_{L^{p'}}$$
(13)

where  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{\phi} \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  be satisfying  $\tilde{\phi} = 1$  on  $\mathcal{C} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid 3/4 \leq |\xi| \leq 8/3\}$ . Then, for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\dot{\Delta}_j e^{\pm i \frac{|\nabla|}{\epsilon} t} \psi = K_j^{\pm}(t, \cdot) \star \dot{\Delta}_j \psi,$$

where

$$K_j^{\pm}(t,x) = 2^{3j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i2^j x \cdot \xi} e^{\pm i \frac{|\xi|}{\epsilon} 2^j t} \tilde{\phi}(\xi) d\xi.$$

We use the following dispersive estimate

$$||K_j^{\pm}(t,\cdot)||_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim 2^{2j} \epsilon t^{-1}. \tag{14}$$

As for the proof of (14), see [2, Proposition 8.14] for example. By using Young's inequality, we have

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}e^{\pm i\frac{|\nabla|}{\epsilon}t}\psi\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim 2^{2j}\epsilon t^{-1}\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\psi\|_{L^{1}}.$$
 (15)

Parseval's identity implies

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_j e^{\pm i\frac{|\nabla|}{\epsilon}t} \psi\|_{L^2} = \|\dot{\Delta}_j \psi\|_{L^2}. \tag{16}$$

By interpolating the inequalities (15) and (16), we obtain the estimate (13).

# 3 Low mach number limit of stationary solutions

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. We first show the following existence theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** There exists a constant  $c_0 > 0$  such that if  $||F||_{\dot{B}^{-3/2}_{2,\infty} \cap \dot{H}^4} \leq c_0$ , then there exists a unique stationary solution  $(\rho, v) = (\rho_{\infty} + \epsilon b_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon}^*)$  of (2) such that  $b_{\epsilon}^* \in \dot{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty} \cap \dot{H}^5$ ,  $v_{\epsilon}^* \in \dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty} \cap \dot{H}^6$  and

$$||b_{\epsilon}^{*}||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^{5}} + ||v_{\epsilon}^{*}||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^{6}} \lesssim ||F||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{2}{3}} \cap \dot{H}^{4}}.$$

To prove Theorem 3.1, we use following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $(\rho_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon}^*) = (\rho_{\infty} + \epsilon b_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon}^*)$ ,  $b_{\epsilon}^* \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^5$ ,  $v_{\epsilon}^* \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^6$ . Then,  $(\rho_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon}^*) = (\rho_{\infty} + \epsilon b_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon}^*)$  is the solution to the problem (2) if and only if  $(\rho_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon}^*) = (\rho_{\infty} + \epsilon b_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon}^*)$  satisfies the following equations:

$$\begin{cases}
b_{\epsilon}^* + \epsilon^2 \alpha \operatorname{div} \left( b_{\epsilon}^* v_{\epsilon}^* \right) = \epsilon \gamma^{-2} \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div} g_{\epsilon}, \\
v_{\epsilon}^* - \epsilon^{-1} \beta \Delta^{-1} \nabla b_{\epsilon}^* = -\mu_0^{-1} \Delta^{-2} \nabla \operatorname{div} g_{\epsilon} - \mu^{-1} \Delta^{-1} \mathbb{P} g_{\epsilon},
\end{cases}$$
(17)

where  $\mu_0 = 2\mu + \mu'$ ,  $\alpha = \mu_0/(P'(\rho_\infty)\rho_\infty)$ ,  $\beta = P'(\rho_\infty)/\mu_0$ ,  $\gamma = P'(\rho_\infty)^{1/2}$  and

$$g_{\epsilon}(b_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon}^*) = -\operatorname{div}((\rho_{\infty} + \epsilon b_{\epsilon}^*)v \otimes v) - \epsilon^{-1}(P'(\rho_{\infty} + \epsilon b_{\epsilon}^*) - P'(\rho_{\infty}))\nabla b_{\epsilon}^* + (\rho_{\infty} + \epsilon b_{\epsilon}^*)F.$$

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $\tilde{v}^* \in L^{\infty} \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{5/2}$ . If  $\|\tilde{v}^*\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{5/2}}$  is small, then for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , the operator  $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{v},j,\epsilon} : X \to X$  is bijective, where

$$\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{v},j,\epsilon}(b^*, v^*) = \begin{bmatrix} b^* + \epsilon^2 \alpha \dot{S}_j \operatorname{div}(b^* \tilde{v}^*) \\ v^* - \epsilon^{-1} \beta \Delta^{-1} \nabla b^* \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X = \{ (b^*, v^*) \mid b^* \in L^2, v^* \in \dot{H}^1 \}.$$

Set the function space  $Y = Y_0 \cap Y_1$  as

$$Y_0 = \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \times \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad Y_1 = \dot{H}^5 \times \dot{H}^6. \tag{18}$$

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $(b^*, v^*)$ ,  $(b_1^*, v_1^*)$ ,  $(b_2^*, v_2^*) \in Y$ . There exists a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that if  $F \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2} \cap \dot{H}^3$  and  $\|(b^*, v^*)\|_Y$ ,  $\|(b_1^*, v_1^*)\|_Y$ ,  $\|(b_2^*, v_2^*)\|_Y \leq c_1$ , then we have

$$||g_{\epsilon}(b^*, v^*)||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^4} \lesssim c_1^2 + ||F||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^4},$$

$$||g_{\epsilon}(b_1^*, v_1^*) - g_{\epsilon}(b_2^*, v_2^*)||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}}} \lesssim (c_1 + ||F||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^4}) ||(b_1^* - b_2^*, v_1^* - v_2^*)||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \times \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

The proofs of Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 are same as in the proofs of [7, Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4].

We show the estimates of the operator  $\Phi_{j,\epsilon} = \mathcal{L}_{\cdot,j,\epsilon}^{-1} N$  defined on the space  $Y = Y_0 \cap Y_1$ , where  $Y_0$  and  $Y_1$  are defined in (18).

**Lemma 3.5.** There exists a constant  $c_2 > 0$  such that if  $F \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2} \cap \dot{H}^4$  and  $(b^*, v^*), (b_1^*, v_1^*), (b_2^*, v_2^*) \in Y$  satisfy

$$||F||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}}\cap \dot{H}^4}, ||(b^*, v^*)||_Y, ||(b_1^*, v_1^*)||_Y, ||(b_2^*, v_2^*)||_Y \le c_2,$$

then the operator  $\Phi_{j,\epsilon}(b^*, v^*) = \mathcal{L}_{v^*,j,\epsilon}^{-1} N(b^*, v^*)$  with  $j \geq 0$ ,  $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$  satisfies

$$\|\Phi_{j,\epsilon}(b^*,v^*)\|_Y \lesssim c_2^2 + \|F\|_{\dot{B}_2^{-\frac{3}{2}}\cap \dot{H}^4}$$

and

$$\|\Phi_{j,\epsilon}(b_1^*, v_1^*) - \Phi_{j,\epsilon}(b_2^*, v_2^*)\|_{Y_0} \le c\|(b_1^* - b_2^*, v_1^* - v_2^*)\|_{Y_0},$$

where 0 < c < 1 is a constant independent of  $j \ge 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Proof. Let

$$(b, v)^{\mathsf{T}} = \Phi_{j,\epsilon}(b^*, v^*), \ (b_1, v_1)^{\mathsf{T}} = \Phi_{j,\epsilon}(b_1^*, v_1^*) \text{ and } (b_2, v_2)^{\mathsf{T}} = \Phi_{j,\epsilon}(b_2^*, v_2^*).$$

Then,

$$\mathcal{L}_{v^*,j,\epsilon}(b,v) = N(b^*,v^*), \ \mathcal{L}_{v_1^*,j,\epsilon}(b_1,v_1) = N(b_1^*,v_1^*)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{v_2^*,j,\epsilon}(b_2,v_2) = N(b_2^*,v_2^*).$$

We take  $c_2 > 0$  as  $c_2 \le c_1$ , where  $c_1$  is a constant appearing in Lemma 3.4. Then, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 3.4 show that

$$||b||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \epsilon^{2} ||bv^{*}||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \epsilon ||g_{\epsilon}(b^{*}, v^{*})||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}}} \lesssim \epsilon c_{2}^{2} + \epsilon ||F||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^{3}},$$

$$||v||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^{6}} \lesssim \epsilon^{-1} ||b||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^{4}} + ||g_{\epsilon}(b^{*}, v^{*})||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^{4}}$$

$$\lesssim \epsilon^{-1} ||b||_{\dot{H}^{4}} + c_{2}^{2} + ||F||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^{4}}.$$

For any multiindex  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^3$  with  $|\alpha| = 5$ , we have the estimate

$$\begin{split} \|\partial_x^{\alpha} b\|_{L^2}^2 &= -\epsilon^2 \alpha \langle \partial_x^{\alpha} \dot{S}_j \mathrm{div}(b \, v^*), \partial_x^{\alpha} b \rangle + \epsilon \gamma^2 \langle \partial_x^{\alpha} \Delta^{-1} \mathrm{div} g_{\epsilon}, \partial_x^{\alpha} b \rangle \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} |\langle \dot{S}_j \mathrm{div}(\partial_x^{\beta} b \, \partial_x^{\alpha - \beta} v^*), \partial_x^{\alpha} b \rangle| + \|g_{\epsilon}\|_{\dot{H}^3} \|b\|_{\dot{H}^5}. \end{split}$$

If  $\beta < \alpha$ , then we have

$$|\langle \dot{S}_{j} \mathrm{div}(\partial_{x}^{\beta} b \, \partial_{x}^{\alpha - \beta} v^{*}), \partial_{x}^{\alpha} b \rangle| \lesssim \|b\|_{H^{5}} \|v\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty} \cap H^{6}} \|b\|_{\dot{H}^{5}} \lesssim c_{2}^{2} \|b\|_{\dot{H}^{5}}.$$

By using Lemma 2.5 and the identity

$$\langle v^*\cdot\nabla\dot{\Delta}_k^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_x^\alpha b,\dot{\Delta}_k^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_x^\alpha b\rangle=-\frac{1}{2}\langle\mathrm{div}v^*\dot{\Delta}_k^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_x^\alpha b,\dot{\Delta}_k^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_x^\alpha b\rangle,$$

we have

$$\begin{split} &|\langle \dot{S}_{j}(v^{*}\cdot\nabla\partial_{x}^{\alpha}b),\partial_{x}^{\alpha}b\rangle| \lesssim \sum_{k< j}|\langle \dot{\Delta}_{k}(v^{*}\cdot\nabla\partial_{x}^{\alpha}b),\partial_{x}^{\alpha}b\rangle| \\ &\leq \sum_{k< j}|\langle v^{*}\cdot\nabla\dot{\Delta}_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}b,\dot{\Delta}_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}b\rangle| + \sum_{k< j}|\langle [\dot{\Delta}_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}},v^{*}\cdot\nabla\partial_{x}^{\alpha}b],\dot{\Delta}_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}b\rangle| \\ &\lesssim \|\mathrm{div}v^{*}\|_{L^{\infty}}\sum_{k< j}\|\dot{\Delta}_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}b\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\nabla v^{*}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}}\|\partial_{x}^{\alpha}b\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\ &\lesssim \|v^{*}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}\cap\dot{H}^{6}}\|b\|_{\dot{H}^{5}}^{2} \leq c_{2}\|b\|_{\dot{H}^{5}}^{2}. \end{split}$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$|\langle \dot{S}_j(\operatorname{div} v^* \partial_x^{\alpha} b), \partial_x^{\alpha} b \rangle| \lesssim ||v||_{\dot{B}_{2\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap H^6} ||b||_{\dot{H}^5}^2 \lesssim c_2 ||b||_{\dot{H}^5}^2.$$

Thus, if  $c_2 > 0$  is small enough, then we obtain

$$||b||_{\dot{B}_{2}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\cap \dot{H}^{5}} \lesssim c_{2}^{2} + ||F||_{\dot{B}_{2}^{-\frac{3}{2}}\cap \dot{H}^{4}}.$$

Applying Lemma 3.4 for estimating

$$v_1 - v_2 = \epsilon^{-1} \beta \Delta^{-1} \nabla (b_1 - b_2) + N_2(b_1^*, v_1^*) - N_2(b_2^*, v_2^*),$$

we obtain

$$||v_1 - v_2||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \epsilon^{-1} ||b_1 - b_2||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + ||g_{\epsilon}(b_1^*, v_1^*) - g_{\epsilon}(b_2^*, v_2^*)||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}}}$$
$$\lesssim \epsilon^{-1} ||b_1 - b_2||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + c_2 ||(b_1^* - b_2^*, v_1^* - v_2^*)||_{Y_0}.$$

Let  $\Gamma_{l,k} = \dot{\Delta}_l \dot{\Delta}_k^{1/2}$ ,  $l, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\omega = b_1 - b_2$ . By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{split} |\langle \dot{\Delta}_{l} \dot{S}_{j} \mathrm{div}(b_{1} v_{1}^{*} - b_{2} v_{2}^{*}), \dot{\Delta}_{n} \omega \rangle| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k < j, \ |l-k| \le 1} (\| [\Gamma_{l,k}, v_{1}^{*} \cdot \nabla] \omega \|_{L^{2}} \| \Gamma_{l,k} \omega \|_{L^{2}} + |\langle v_{1}^{*} \cdot \nabla \Gamma_{k,l} \omega, \Gamma_{k,l} \omega \rangle|) \\ &\quad + \left( \| \dot{\Delta}_{l} (\mathrm{div} v_{1}^{*} \omega) \|_{L^{2}} + \| \dot{\Delta}_{l} \mathrm{div} ((v_{1}^{*} - v_{2}^{*}) b_{2}) \|_{L^{2}} \right) \| \dot{\Delta}_{l} \omega \|_{L^{2}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\frac{1}{2}l} \left( \| v_{1}^{*} \|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{5}{2}}_{2,1}} \| \omega \|_{\dot{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty}} + \| b_{2} \|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{2}}_{2,1}} \| v_{1}^{*} - v_{2}^{*} \|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty}} \right) \| \dot{\Delta}_{l} \omega \|_{L^{2}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\frac{1}{2}l} c_{2} \| (b_{1}^{*} - b_{2}^{*}, v_{1}^{*} - v_{2}^{*}) \|_{Y_{0}} \| \dot{\Delta}_{l} \omega \|_{L^{2}}. \end{split}$$

For any  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \|\dot{\Delta}_{l}(b_{1}-b_{2})\|_{L^{2}}^{2} &= -\epsilon^{2} \langle \dot{\Delta}_{l} \alpha \dot{S}_{j} \operatorname{div}(b_{1} v_{1}^{*} - b_{2} v_{2}^{*}), \dot{\Delta}_{l}(b_{1} - b_{2}) \rangle \\ &+ \epsilon \langle \dot{\Delta}_{l} \gamma^{-2} \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}(g_{\epsilon}(b_{1}^{*}, v_{1}^{*}) - g_{\epsilon}(b_{2}^{*}, v_{2}^{*})), \dot{\Delta}_{l}(b_{1} - b_{2}) \rangle \\ &\lesssim \epsilon 2^{\frac{1}{2}l} c_{2} \|(b_{1}^{*} - b_{2}^{*}, v_{1}^{*} - v_{2}^{*})\|_{Y_{0}} \|\dot{\Delta}_{l}(b_{1} - b_{2})\|_{L^{2}}. \end{split}$$

If  $c_2 > 0$  is sufficiently small, then we have

$$||b_1 - b_2||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \epsilon c_2 ||(b_1^* - b_2^*, v_1^* - v_2^*)||_{Y_0}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$||(b_1 - b_2, v_1 - v_2)||_{Y_0} \lesssim c_2 ||(b_1^* - b_2^*, v_1^* - v_2^*)||_{Y_0}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let  $||F||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}\cap\dot{H}^3} \leq c_2$ , where  $c_2$  is a constant appearing in Lemma 3.5. By Lemma 3.5, for any  $j \geq 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a unique  $(b_{\epsilon,j}^*, v_{\epsilon,j}^*) \in Y$  with  $||(b_{\epsilon,j}^*, v_{\epsilon,j}^*)||_Y \lesssim c_2$  such that  $(b_{\epsilon,j}^*, v_{\epsilon,j}^*)^{\mathsf{T}} = \Phi_{\epsilon,j}(b_{\epsilon,j}^*, v_{\epsilon,j}^*)$ . Since the sequence  $\{(b_{\epsilon,j}^*, v_{\epsilon,j}^*)\}_{j\geq 0}$  is bounded in Y, there exists  $(b_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon}^*) \in Y$  with  $||(b_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon}^*)||_Y \lesssim c_2$  such that there exists some subsequence of  $\{(b_{\epsilon,j}^*, v_{\epsilon,j}^*)\}_{j\geq 0}$  converge to  $(b_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon}^*)$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$ . Then, the limit  $(b_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon}^*)$  is uniquely determined by F since  $(b_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon}^*)$  satisfy the equation (17). Lemma 3.2 implies that  $(\rho_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon}^*) = (\rho_{\infty} + \epsilon b_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon}^*)$  is a solution of (2).

The following existence result is the special case of the theorem in [10, Theorem 1.1].

**Theorem 3.6** ([10]). There exists a constant  $c_3 > 0$  such that if  $F \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}$  satisfies

$$||F||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}}} \le c_3,$$

then there exists a unique solution  $u^* \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{1/2}$  of (3) such that

$$||u^*||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim ||F||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

The rest of this section is devoted to proving Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let  $u^*$  be a solution of (3) obtained in Theorem 3.6 and let  $(\rho_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon}^*) = (\rho_{\infty} + \epsilon b_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon}^*)$  be a solutions of (2) obtained in Theorem 3.1. Then,  $u^*$  and  $(b_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon}^*)$  satisfy

$$||u^*||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + ||b_{\epsilon}^*||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^4} + ||v_{\epsilon}^*||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^5} \lesssim ||F||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^3}.$$

Since  $(\rho_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon}^*)$  satisfies the equation (3), we have

$$\begin{cases}
\operatorname{div}v_{\epsilon}^* = -\frac{\epsilon}{\rho_{\infty}}\operatorname{div}(b_{\epsilon}^*v_{\epsilon}^*), \\
\mu\Delta v_{\epsilon}^* + (\mu + \mu')\nabla\operatorname{div}v_{\epsilon}^* - P'(\rho_{\infty})\frac{\nabla b^*}{\epsilon} = -g_{\epsilon}(b^*, v^*),
\end{cases} (19)$$

where  $g_{\epsilon}(b^*, v^*)$  is defined in Lemma 3.2. Let  $v_{\epsilon}^1 = \mathbb{P}v_{\epsilon}^* - u^*$ ,  $v_2 = \mathbb{Q}v_{\epsilon}^*$ . Then, we have

$$v_{\epsilon}^{1} = \mu^{-1} \mathbb{P} \Delta^{-1} (\epsilon \operatorname{div}(b_{\epsilon}^{*} v_{\epsilon}^{*} \otimes v_{\epsilon}^{*}) + \operatorname{div}(v_{\epsilon}^{1} \otimes v_{\epsilon}^{*}) + \operatorname{div}(u^{*} \otimes v_{\epsilon}^{1}) + \epsilon b_{\epsilon}^{*} F),$$

$$v_{\epsilon}^{2} = -\epsilon \Delta^{-1} \nabla \operatorname{div}(b_{\epsilon}^{*} v_{\epsilon}^{*}),$$

$$\nabla b_{\epsilon}^{*} = -(P'(1 + \epsilon b_{\epsilon}^{*}) - P'(1)) \nabla b_{\epsilon} + \epsilon (\mu \Delta v_{\epsilon}^{*} + (\mu + \mu') \nabla \operatorname{div} v_{\epsilon}^{*}) - \epsilon \operatorname{div}((1 + \epsilon b_{\epsilon}^{*}) v_{\epsilon}^{*} \otimes v_{\epsilon}^{*}) + \epsilon (1 + \epsilon b_{\epsilon}^{*}) F,$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{split} \|v_{\epsilon}^{1}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}} &\lesssim \epsilon \|b_{\epsilon}^{*}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \|v_{\epsilon}^{*}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{2} + (\|v_{\epsilon}^{*}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|u^{*}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}}) \|v_{\epsilon}^{1}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\qquad \qquad + \epsilon \|b_{\epsilon}^{*}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \|F\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}}}, \\ \|v_{\epsilon}^{2}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}} &\lesssim \epsilon \|b_{\epsilon}^{*}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \|v_{\epsilon}^{*}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \\ \|b_{\epsilon}^{*}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} &\lesssim \epsilon \|b_{\epsilon}^{*}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \|b_{\epsilon}^{*}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + \epsilon (1 + \epsilon \|b_{\epsilon}^{*}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{-\frac{3}{2}}}) \|v_{\epsilon}^{*}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \end{split}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\|b_{\epsilon}^*\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + \|(v_{\epsilon}^1, v_{\epsilon}^2)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \epsilon \quad \text{for} \quad \epsilon \ll 1.$$

## 4 Low mach number limit of non-stationary solutions

This section is devoted to proving Theorem 1.2. Let  $(\rho_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon}^*) = (\rho_{\infty} + \epsilon b_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon}^*)$  be a solution of (2) and let  $u^*$  be a solution of (3), both of which satisfy the estimate

$$||b_{\epsilon}^{*}||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^{4}} + ||v_{\epsilon}^{*}||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^{5}} + ||u^{*}||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim ||F||_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{2}{3}} \cap \dot{H}^{3}}.$$

Let  $\rho_{\epsilon} = \rho_{\infty} + \epsilon b_{\epsilon}$ . The perturbation  $(\sigma_{\epsilon}, w_{\epsilon}) = (b_{\epsilon} - b_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon} - v_{\epsilon}^*)$  satisfies the following system of equations:

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_t \sigma_{\epsilon} + \rho_{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{div} w_{\epsilon}}{\epsilon} = f_{\epsilon}(\sigma_{\epsilon}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^*, w_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon}^*), \\
\partial_t w_{\epsilon} - \mathcal{A}w_{\epsilon} + \gamma_0 \frac{\nabla \sigma_{\epsilon}}{\epsilon} = g_{\epsilon}(\sigma_{\epsilon}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^*, w_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon}^*), \\
(\sigma_{\epsilon}, w_{\epsilon})|_{t=0} = (\sigma_{\epsilon,0}, w_{\epsilon,0}),
\end{cases} (20)$$

where  $\gamma_0 = P'(\rho_\infty)/\rho_\infty$ ,  $\mathcal{A} = \mu\Delta + (\mu + \mu')\nabla \text{div}$ ,  $(\sigma_{\epsilon,0}, w_{\epsilon,0}) = (b_{\epsilon,0} - b_{\epsilon}^*, v_{\epsilon,0} - v_{\epsilon}^*)$ ; f and g are defined by the following:

$$f_{\epsilon}(\sigma_{\epsilon}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{*}, w_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon}^{*}) = -\operatorname{div}\left\{(v_{\epsilon}^{*} + w_{\epsilon})\sigma_{\epsilon} + \sigma_{\epsilon}^{*}w_{\epsilon}\right\}, \ g_{\epsilon}(\sigma_{\epsilon}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{*}, w_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon}^{*}) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} g^{i}$$

with

$$g_{\epsilon}^{1} = -v_{\epsilon}^{*} \cdot \nabla w_{\epsilon} - w_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla v_{\epsilon}^{*} - w_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla w_{\epsilon},$$

$$g_{\epsilon}^{2} = -\epsilon^{-1} (\Phi(\epsilon \sigma_{\epsilon}^{*} + \epsilon \sigma_{\epsilon}) - \Phi(\epsilon \sigma_{\epsilon}^{*})) \nabla \sigma_{\epsilon}^{*} - \epsilon^{-1} (\Phi(\epsilon \sigma_{\epsilon}^{*} + \epsilon \sigma_{\epsilon}) - \Phi(0)) \nabla \sigma_{\epsilon},$$

$$g_{\epsilon}^{3} = (\Psi(\epsilon \sigma^{*} + \epsilon \sigma) - \Psi(\epsilon \sigma^{*})) \mathcal{A}(v^{*} + w), \quad g_{\epsilon}^{4} = (\Psi(\epsilon \sigma^{*}) - \Psi(0)) \mathcal{A}w,$$

$$\Phi(\zeta) = \frac{P'(\rho_{\infty} + \zeta)}{\rho_{\infty} + \zeta}, \quad \Psi(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\rho_{\infty} + \zeta}.$$

To prove Theorem 1.2, we use the following existence result.

**Theorem 4.1.** There exists a constant  $c_4 > 0$  such that if  $F \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2} \cap \dot{H}^4$  and  $(\sigma_{\epsilon,0}, w_{\epsilon,0}) \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{1/2} \cap \dot{H}^4$  satisfy

$$\|(\sigma_{\epsilon,0}, w_{\epsilon,0})\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty} \cap \dot{H}^4} + \|F\|_{\dot{B}^{-\frac{3}{2}}_{2,\infty} \cap \dot{H}^4} \le c_4, \tag{21}$$

then there exists a unique solution  $(\sigma_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon})$  of (20) satisfying

$$(\sigma_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon}) \in C^0([0, \infty); \dot{B}_{2\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^4),$$

$$\sup_{0 \le t < \infty} \|(\sigma_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon})(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty} \cap \dot{H}^{4}} \lesssim c_{1}$$
(22)

and

$$\|(\sigma_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon})(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,\infty} \cap \dot{H}^{4}} \lesssim_{s} (1+t)^{-\frac{s}{2}+\frac{1}{4}} \|(\sigma_{\epsilon,0}, v_{\epsilon,0})\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty} \cap \dot{H}^{4}}$$
(23)

holds for -3/2 < s < 3/2 with  $s_0 \le s$  and  $t \ge 0$ .

*Proof.* Since the existence in  $\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{1/2} \cap \dot{H}^3$  framework and time-decay estimate

$$\|(\sigma_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon})(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,\infty}} \lesssim_{s} (1+t)^{-\frac{s}{2}+\frac{1}{4}} \|(\sigma_{\epsilon,0}, v_{\epsilon,0})\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty}\cap \dot{H}^{3}}$$

for -3/2 < s < 3/2 with  $s \le s_0$  are proved in [7, Theorem 1.2], we only show the estimate

$$\|(\sigma_{\epsilon}, w_{\epsilon})(t)\|_{\dot{H}^4} \lesssim_s (1+t)^{-\frac{s}{2}+\frac{1}{4}} \|(\sigma_{\epsilon,0}, v_{\epsilon,0})\|_{\dot{B}_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^4},$$

where -3/2 < s < 3/2 with  $s_0 \le s$  and  $t \ge 0$ . Fix  $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $(\sigma_{\epsilon,H}, w_{\epsilon,H}) = (1 - \dot{S}_{j_0})(\sigma_{\epsilon}, w_{\epsilon})$  and  $(f_{\epsilon,H}, g_{\epsilon,H}) = (1 - \dot{S}_{j_0})(f_{\epsilon}, g_{\epsilon})$ . Since  $(\sigma_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon})$  is a solution of (20), for any multi-index  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^3$  with  $|\alpha_1| = 4$ ,  $|\alpha_2| = 3$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x^{\alpha_1} (\gamma_1 \sigma_{\epsilon,H}, w_{\epsilon,H})\|_{L^2}^2 + \mu \|\partial_x^{\alpha_1} \nabla w_{\epsilon,H}\|_{L^2}^2 + (\mu + \mu') \|\partial_x^{\alpha_1} \operatorname{div} w_{\epsilon,H}\|_{L^2}^2 
= \gamma_1 \langle \partial_x^{\alpha_1} f_{\epsilon,H}, \partial_x^{\alpha_1} \sigma_{\epsilon,H} \rangle + \langle \partial_x^{\alpha_1} g_{\epsilon,H}, \partial_x^{\alpha_1} w_{\epsilon,H} \rangle, 
\epsilon \frac{d}{dt} \langle \partial_x^{\alpha_2} \nabla \sigma_{\epsilon,H}, \partial_x^{\alpha_2} w_{\epsilon,H} \rangle + \gamma_0 \|\partial_x^{\alpha_2} \nabla \sigma_{\epsilon,H}\|_{L^2}^2 
= \rho_\infty \|\partial_x^{\alpha_2} \operatorname{div} w_{\epsilon,H}\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon \langle \partial_x^{\alpha_2} \mathcal{A} w_{\epsilon,H}, \partial_x^{\alpha_2} \nabla \sigma_{\epsilon,H} \rangle 
+ \epsilon \langle \partial_x^{\alpha_2} \nabla f_{\epsilon,H}, \partial_x^{\alpha_2} w_{\epsilon,H} \rangle + \epsilon \langle \partial_x^{\alpha_2} g_{\epsilon,H}, \partial_x^{\alpha_2} \nabla \sigma_{\epsilon,H} \rangle,$$

where  $\gamma_1 = P'(\rho_{\infty})/\rho_{\infty}^2$ . By using Lemma 2.1 and the identity

$$\langle v_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla \partial_x^{\alpha_1} \sigma_{\epsilon,H}, \partial_x^{\alpha_1} \sigma_{\epsilon,H} \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \langle \operatorname{div} v_{\epsilon} \partial_x^{\alpha_1} \sigma_{\epsilon,H}, \partial_x^{\alpha_1} \sigma_{\epsilon,H} \rangle,$$

we have

$$\langle \partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} f_{\epsilon,H}, \partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} \sigma_{\epsilon,H} \rangle = \langle (v_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla \partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} \sigma_{\epsilon})_{H}, \partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} \sigma_{\epsilon,H} \rangle + \langle ((\operatorname{div} v_{\epsilon}) \partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} \sigma_{\epsilon})_{H}, \partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} \sigma_{\epsilon,H} \rangle$$

$$+ \sum_{0 < \beta \leq \alpha_{1}} \langle \operatorname{div}(\partial_{x}^{\beta} v_{\epsilon} \partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1} - \beta} \sigma_{\epsilon})_{H}, \partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} \sigma_{\epsilon,H} \rangle + \langle \partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} \operatorname{div}(\sigma_{\epsilon}^{*} w_{\epsilon})_{H}, \partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} \sigma_{\epsilon,H} \rangle$$

$$\lesssim \|\operatorname{div} v_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} \sigma_{\epsilon,H}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|v_{\epsilon}\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{2}}_{2,1} \cap \dot{B}^{\frac{5}{2}}_{2,1}} \|\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} \sigma_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}} \|\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} \sigma_{\epsilon,H}\|_{L^{2}}$$

$$+ \|\nabla v_{\epsilon}\|_{H^{4}} \|\nabla \sigma_{\epsilon}\|_{H^{3}} \|\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} \sigma_{\epsilon,H}\|_{L^{2}} + \|\nabla \sigma_{\epsilon}^{*}\|_{H^{4}} \|\nabla w_{\epsilon}\|_{H^{4}} \|\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} \sigma_{\epsilon,H}\|_{L^{2}}$$

$$\lesssim c_{4} (\|(\sigma_{\epsilon}, w_{\epsilon})\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{2,\infty} \cap \dot{H}^{4}} + \|w_{\epsilon,H}\|_{\dot{H}^{5}}) \|\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} \sigma_{H}\|_{L^{2}}.$$

By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\langle \partial_x^{\alpha_1} g_{\epsilon,H}, \partial_x^{\alpha_1} w_{\epsilon,H} \rangle \lesssim c_4 \| (\sigma_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon}) \|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^s \cap \dot{H}^4}^2 + c_4 (\| \partial_x^{\alpha_1} w_{\epsilon,H} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \partial_x^{\alpha_2} \nabla \sigma_{\epsilon,H} \|_{L^2}^2)$$

and

$$\sum_{|\alpha_2|=2} \langle \partial_x^{\alpha_2} g_{\epsilon,H}, \partial_x^{\alpha_2} \nabla \sigma_{\epsilon,H} \rangle$$

$$\lesssim c_4 \| (\sigma_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon}) \|_{\dot{B}_{2\infty}^s \cap \dot{H}^4}^2 + c_4 (\| \partial_x^{\alpha_1} w_{\epsilon,H} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \partial_x^{\alpha_2} \nabla \sigma_{\epsilon,H} \|_{L^2}^2).$$

Since  $\|\partial_x^{\alpha_1} w_{\epsilon,H}\|_{L^2} \lesssim_{j_0} \|\nabla \partial_x^{\alpha_1} w_{\epsilon,H}\|_{L^2}$ , if  $\eta > 0$  is small enough, then we have the estimate

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_{\eta}(t) + c\mathcal{E}_{\eta}(t) \lesssim_{j_0} c_4 \|(\sigma_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^s \cap \dot{H}^4}^2 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < t < T,$$

where c > 0 is a constant and

$$\mathcal{E}_{\eta}(t) = \sum_{|\alpha_1|=4} \|\partial_x^{\alpha_1}(\gamma_1 \sigma_{\epsilon,H}, w_{\epsilon,H})(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon \sum_{|\alpha_2|=3} \eta \langle \partial_x^{\alpha_2} \nabla \sigma_{\epsilon,H}(t), \partial_x^{\alpha_2} w_{\epsilon,H}(t) \rangle.$$

Since  $\mathcal{E}_{\eta} \sim \|(\sigma_{\epsilon,H}, w_{\epsilon,H})\|_{\dot{H}^4}$  if  $\eta > 0$  is small, by Grönwall's inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} \|(\sigma_{\epsilon,H}, w_{\epsilon,H})(t)\|_{\dot{H}^4}^2 &\lesssim e^{-ct} \|(\sigma_{\epsilon,H}, w_{\epsilon,H})(0)\|_{\dot{H}^4}^2 \\ &+ c_4 \int_0^t e^{-c_0(t-\tau)} \|(\sigma_{\epsilon}, w_{\epsilon})(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}^s_{2,\infty}\cap \dot{H}^4}^2 d\tau \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{-s+\frac{1}{2}} (\|(\sigma_{\epsilon,0}, w_{\epsilon,0})\|_{\dot{H}^4}^2 + c_4 \mathcal{D}_s^2), \end{split}$$

where  $t \geq 0$  and

$$\mathcal{D}_{s} = \sup_{t \geq 0} (1+t)^{\frac{s}{2} - \frac{1}{4}} \| (\sigma_{\epsilon}, w_{\epsilon})(t) \|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s} \cap \dot{H}^{4}}.$$

Thus, we obtain 
$$\mathcal{D}_s \lesssim \|(\sigma_{\epsilon,0}, w_{\epsilon,0})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^4}$$
.

The following existence result of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation (4) is the special case of the theorem in [3, Theorem 1.1]. (Cf. [11], [12] and [15])

**Theorem 4.2** ([3]). There exists a constant  $c_5 > 0$  such that if

$$\|\mathbb{P}v_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|F\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}}} \le c_5,$$

then there exists a unique global solution  $u \in C^0([0,\infty); \dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty})$  of (4) satisfies

$$\sup_{t>0} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty}} \lesssim c_5.$$

Let u be a solution of (4), which constructed in Theorem 4.2. The perturbation of incompressible flow  $\tilde{u} = u - u^*$  satisfies the following equation:

$$\begin{cases}
\rho_{\infty}(\partial_t \tilde{u} + \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}(\tilde{u} \otimes u) + \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}(u^* \otimes \tilde{u})) = \mu \Delta \tilde{u}, \\
\operatorname{div} \tilde{u} = 0, \\
\tilde{u}|_{t=0} = \mathbb{P} v_{0,\epsilon} - u^*.
\end{cases} (24)$$

We present some estimates for the equation (25) below.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t b + \frac{\operatorname{div} \mathbb{Q} u}{\epsilon} = 0, \\ \partial_t u - \mathcal{A} \mathbb{Q} u + \frac{\nabla b}{\epsilon} = 0. \end{cases}$$
 (25)

Let  $e^{tA_{\epsilon}}$  be the semigroup associated with the linear equation (25):

$$e^{tA_{\epsilon}}U_0 = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[e^{t\hat{A}_{\epsilon}(\xi)}\widehat{U}_0\right], \quad U_0 = (U_{0,1}, \dots, U_{0,4})^{\mathsf{T}} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)^4,$$
 (26)

where  $\hat{A}_{\epsilon}(\xi)$  is the matrix of the form:

$$\hat{A}_{\epsilon}(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i\epsilon^{-1}\xi^{\mathsf{T}} \\ -i\epsilon^{-1}\xi & -2\mu_0\xi \otimes \xi \end{bmatrix}. \tag{27}$$

The eigenvalues of  $\hat{A}_{\epsilon}(\xi)$  are given by

$$\lambda_{\pm}(\xi) = -\mu_0 |\xi|^2 \pm \sqrt{\mu_0^2 |\xi|^4 - \epsilon^{-2} |\xi|^2}, \quad \lambda_0(\xi) = 0,$$
 (28)

where  $\mu_0 = \mu + \mu'/2$ . We set  $P_{\pm,\epsilon}(\xi)$ :

$$P_{\pm}(\xi) = \frac{E_{\pm} \otimes E_{\pm}}{E_{+} \cdot E_{+}} \quad \text{with} \quad E_{\pm} = \begin{bmatrix} -i\lambda_{\pm}^{-1} \epsilon^{-1} |\xi|^{2} \\ \xi \end{bmatrix}. \tag{29}$$

We have the spectral resolution

$$e^{t\hat{A}_{\epsilon}(\xi)} = e^{\lambda_{+}t}P_{+}(\xi) + e^{\lambda_{-}t}P_{-}(\xi) \quad \text{for} \quad |\xi| \neq 0, \epsilon^{-1}\mu_{0}^{-1},$$
 (30)

and if  $|\xi| = e^{-1}\mu_0^{-1}$ , then

$$e^{t\hat{A}_{\epsilon}(\xi)} = e^{-\mu_0|\xi|^2 t} \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \mu_0|\xi|^2 t & -i\epsilon^{-1}\xi^{\mathsf{T}}t \\ -i\epsilon^{-1}\xi t & (1 - \mu_0|\xi|^2 t)\frac{\xi \otimes \xi}{|\xi|^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(31)

For any  $V \in \mathbb{C}^4$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$  with  $|\xi| \neq 0, \epsilon^{-1}\mu_0^{-1}$ , the following property holds: There exists a constant  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that if  $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ , then

$$|P_{+}(\xi)V|^{2} + |P_{-}(\xi)V|^{2} \lesssim_{\epsilon_{0}} |V|^{2}.$$
 (32)

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $1 . There exists a constant <math>d_0 > 0$  such that, for any  $\psi \in L^p$ ,  $0 < \epsilon \le 1$  and  $\epsilon 2^j \le d_0$  with  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_j \mathcal{F}^{-1}[P_{\pm}\hat{\psi}]\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|\dot{\Delta}_j \psi\|_{L^p}.$$

*Proof.* We rewrite  $P_{\pm}$  as

$$P_{\pm}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(k_{\pm}(\epsilon|\xi|))^2 + \epsilon^2} \begin{bmatrix} (k_{\pm}(\epsilon|\xi|))^2 & -i\epsilon k_{\pm}(\epsilon|\xi|) \frac{\xi^{\mathsf{T}}}{|\xi|} \\ -i\epsilon k_{\pm}(\epsilon|\xi|) \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} & \epsilon^2 \frac{\xi \otimes \xi}{|\xi|^2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (33)$$

where

$$k_{\pm}(y) := \mu_0 y \pm i \sqrt{1 - \mu_0 y^2}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

There exists a constant  $\tilde{d}_0 > 0$  such that

$$|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} k_{\pm}(\epsilon|\xi|)| \lesssim_{\alpha} \sum_{|\beta| \leq |\alpha|} \sum_{l=0}^{|\alpha|} |\partial_{\xi}^{\beta}(\epsilon|\xi|)| |k_{\pm}^{(l)}(\epsilon|\xi|)| \lesssim_{\tilde{d_0}} |\xi|^{-|\alpha|}$$

for any multi-index  $\alpha$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$  with  $\epsilon |\xi| \leq \tilde{d_0}$ . If  $\tilde{d_0} > 0$  is small enough, then for any multi-index  $\alpha$ , we have the estimate

$$|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} P_{\pm}(\xi)| \lesssim_{\alpha, \tilde{d}_0} |\xi|^{-|\alpha|}, \quad \epsilon |\xi| \leq \tilde{d}_0.$$

Thus, by Mikhlin's multiplier theorem (see [14, 3.2 Theorem 3] for example), there exists  $d_0 > 0$  such that

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_j \mathcal{F}^{-1}[P_{\pm}\hat{\psi}]\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|\dot{\Delta}_j \psi\|_{L^p}$$

where  $\psi \in L^p$ ,  $\epsilon 2^j \leq d_0$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $1 \le p \le \infty$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then, there exists a constant  $d_0 > 0$  such that if  $\epsilon 2^j \le d_1$ , then

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_j e^{tA_{\epsilon}}\psi\|_{L^p} \lesssim e^{-c2^{2j}t} \|\dot{\Delta}_j e^{\pm\frac{|\nabla|}{\epsilon}}\psi\|_{L^p},$$

where c > 0 is a constant.

*Proof.* By the spectral resolution (30), for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_j e^{tA_{\epsilon}}\psi\|_{L^p} \leq \sum_{\pm} \|\dot{\Delta}_j \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\eta_{\pm,\epsilon} e^{\lambda_{\pm,0} t} P_{\pm} \hat{\psi}]\|_{L^p},$$

where  $\eta_{\pm} = e^{(\lambda_{\pm} - \lambda_{\pm,0})t}$ . By using Young's inequality and the fact that  $\dot{\Delta}_j = \sum_{l=-1}^{1} \dot{\Delta}_j \dot{\Delta}_{j+l}$ , we have

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\eta_{\pm}e^{\lambda_{\pm,0}t}P_{\pm}\hat{\psi}]\|_{L^{p}} \lesssim \sum_{l=-1}^{1} \|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\dot{\Delta}_{j+l}\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\eta_{\pm,\epsilon}e^{\lambda_{\pm,0}t}P_{\pm}\hat{\psi}]\|_{L^{p}}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{l=-1}^{1} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\eta_{\pm}\phi^{2}(2^{-(j+l)}\cdot)\|_{L^{1}}\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\mathcal{F}^{-1}[e^{\lambda_{\pm,0}t}P_{\pm}\hat{\psi}]\|_{L^{p}}, \qquad (34)$$

where  $\phi^2$  is the symbol of the Fourier multiplier  $\dot{\Delta}_0$ . Define the function  $\tilde{k}$  by

$$\tilde{k}(y) := \frac{\mu_0^2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \mu_0^2 y^2}}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By estimating the power series

$$\eta_{\pm}(\xi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mp i\epsilon t |\xi|^3 \tilde{k}(\epsilon|\xi|))^n}{n!},$$

we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{l=-1}^{1} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\eta_{\pm}\phi^{2}(2^{-(j+l)}\cdot)]\|_{L^{1}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\epsilon t)^{n}}{n!} \sum_{l=-1}^{1} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[(|\cdot|^{3}\tilde{k}(\epsilon|\cdot|))^{n}\phi^{2}(2^{-(j+l)}\cdot)]\|_{L^{1}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\epsilon 2^{3j}t)^{n}}{n!} \sum_{l=-1}^{1} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[(|\cdot|^{3}\tilde{k}(\epsilon 2^{j+l}|\cdot|))^{n}\phi^{2}(\cdot)]\|_{L^{1}}. \end{split}$$

Let  $\tilde{\phi}(\xi) := \sum_{l=-2}^2 \phi^2(2^{-l}\xi), \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . Then  $\phi^2 = \tilde{\phi}^m \phi^2$  for any  $m \ge 1$ . By

using Young's inequality, for any  $n \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{l=-1}^{1} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[(|\cdot|^{3}\tilde{k}(\epsilon 2^{j+l}|\cdot|))^{n}\phi^{2}(\cdot)]\|_{L^{1}} \\ &= \sum_{l=-1}^{1} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[(|\cdot|^{3}\tilde{k}(\epsilon 2^{j+l}|\cdot|))^{n}\tilde{\phi}^{2n}(\cdot)\phi^{2}(\cdot)]\|_{L^{1}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{l=-1}^{1} (\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi^{2}\|_{L^{1}}\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[|\cdot|^{3}\tilde{\phi}(\cdot)\tilde{k}(\epsilon 2^{j+l}|\cdot|)\tilde{\phi}(\cdot)]\|_{L^{1}})^{n} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{l=-1}^{1} (C\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[|\cdot|^{3}\tilde{\phi}(\cdot)]\|_{L^{1}}\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\tilde{k}(\epsilon 2^{j+l}|\cdot|)\tilde{\phi}(\cdot)]\|_{L^{1}})^{n}, \end{split}$$

where C > 0 is a constant. There exists  $d_2 > 0$  such that if  $\epsilon 2^j \leq d_2$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\tilde{k}(\epsilon 2^{j+l}|\cdot|)\tilde{\phi}(\cdot)]\|_{L^{1}} &\lesssim \|(1+|x|^{2})^{-2}\mathcal{F}^{-1}[(I-\Delta)^{2}(\tilde{k}(\epsilon 2^{j+l}|\cdot|)\tilde{\phi}(\cdot))]\|_{L^{1}} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[(I-\Delta)^{2}(\tilde{k}(\epsilon 2^{j+l}|\cdot|)\tilde{\phi}(\cdot))]\|_{L^{\infty}} \\ &\lesssim \|(I-\Delta)^{2}(\tilde{k}(\epsilon 2^{j+l}|\cdot|)\tilde{\phi})\|_{L^{1}} \lesssim 1, \end{split}$$

where  $|l| \leq 1$ . We also have

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[|\cdot|^3\tilde{\phi}(\cdot)]\|_{L^1}\lesssim 1.$$

Therefore, there exists a constant  $\kappa_1 > 0$  such that if  $\epsilon 2^j \leq d_2$  then

$$\sum_{l=-1}^{1} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\eta_{\pm}\phi^{2}(2^{-(j+l)}\cdot)]\|_{L^{1}} \lesssim e^{\kappa_{1}\epsilon 2^{3j}t}.$$
 (35)

By Lemma 4.3 and the estimates (34) and (35), there exist a constants  $d_2 > 0$  and  $\kappa_2 > 0$  such that if  $\epsilon 2^j \leq d_2$ , then

$$\begin{split} \|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\eta_{\pm}e^{\lambda_{\pm,0}t}P_{\pm}\hat{\psi}]\|_{L^{p}} &\lesssim e^{\kappa_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{3j}t}\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\mathcal{F}^{-1}[e^{\lambda_{\pm,0}t}P_{\pm}\hat{\psi}]\|_{L^{p}} \\ &\lesssim e^{\kappa_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{3j}t}e^{-\kappa_{0}^{2j}t}\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}e^{\pm i\frac{|\nabla|}{\epsilon}t}\psi\|_{L^{p}} \\ &\lesssim e^{-c2^{2j}t}\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}e^{\pm i\frac{|\nabla|}{\epsilon}t}\psi\|_{L^{p}}, \end{split}$$

where c > 0 is a constant. Thus, we have

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}e^{tA_{\epsilon}}\psi\|_{L^{p}} \lesssim e^{-c2^{2j}t}\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}e^{\pm i\frac{|\nabla|}{\epsilon}t}\psi\|_{L^{p}}.$$
(36)

The following lemma derives the spectrally localized estimate for the semi-group  $e^{tA_{\epsilon}}$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** Let  $2 \le p < \infty$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . There exist small constants  $d_2 > 0$  and  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that

(i) If  $\epsilon 2^j \leq d_2$  and  $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ , then

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}e^{tA_{\epsilon}}\psi\|_{L^{p}} \lesssim \epsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \frac{(2^{2j}t)^{\frac{2}{p}}e^{-c2^{2j}t}}{t} 2^{j(2-\frac{8}{p})} \|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\psi\|_{L^{p'}},\tag{37}$$

where c > 0 is a constant.

(ii) If  $\epsilon 2^j > d_2$  and  $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ , then

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_j e^{tA_{\epsilon}} \psi\|_{L^p} \lesssim e^{-c\epsilon^{-2}t} 2^{j3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)} \|\dot{\Delta}_j \psi\|_{L^2},\tag{38}$$

where c > 0 is a constant.

*Proof.* Let  $2 \le p < \infty$  and  $\epsilon \le \epsilon_0$ , where  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  is a constant appearing in (32). We define the semigroup  $e^{tA_{\epsilon,0}}$  by

$$e^{tA_{\epsilon,0}}\psi = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[e^{t\hat{A}_{\epsilon,0}(\xi)}\hat{\psi}\right], \quad \psi = (\psi_1,\dots,\psi_4)^\mathsf{T} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)^4,$$

where

$$e^{t\hat{A}_{\epsilon,0}(\xi)} = e^{\lambda_{+,0}t}P_{+}(\xi) + e^{\lambda_{-,0}t}P_{-}(\xi), \quad \lambda_{\pm,0} = -\mu_{0}|\xi|^{2} \pm i\frac{|\xi|}{\epsilon}.$$

By Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 4.4, there exists a constant  $\kappa_2 > 0$  such that for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\epsilon 2^j \leq d_2$ , we have

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}e^{tA_{\epsilon}}\psi\|_{L^{p}} \lesssim \epsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \frac{(2^{2j}t)^{\frac{2}{p}}e^{-\kappa_{2}2^{2j}t}}{t} 2^{2j\left(1-\frac{4}{p}\right)} \|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\psi\|_{L^{p'}}.$$

Next, we estimate the high frequency part. By using the resolutions (30), (31), for any small  $\tilde{d}_2 > 0$ , there exists a constant  $\kappa_3 = \kappa_3(\tilde{d}_2) > 0$  such that

$$|e^{t\hat{A}_{\epsilon}(\xi)}| \lesssim_{\delta_0} e^{-\kappa_3 \epsilon^{-2} t} \tag{39}$$

where  $\tilde{d}_2 \leq \epsilon |\xi| \leq \mu_0^{-1} + 1$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . By using the identity

$$-\mu_0|\xi|^2 \pm \sqrt{\mu_0^2|\xi|^4 - \epsilon^{-2}|\xi|^2} = -\frac{\epsilon^{-2}}{\mu_0 \pm \sqrt{\mu_0^2 - \epsilon^{-2}|\xi|^{-2}}}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

we have, for any  $\epsilon |\xi| \ge \mu_0^{-1} + 1$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,

$$e^{\lambda_{\pm}(\xi)t} = e^{-\frac{\epsilon^{-2}}{\mu_0 \pm \sqrt{\mu_0^2 - \epsilon^{-2}|\xi|^{-2}}}t$$

$$\lesssim e^{-\kappa_4 \epsilon^{-2}t}, \tag{40}$$

where  $\kappa_4 > 0$  is a constant. We take  $\tilde{d}_2 > 0$  which satisfies supp  $\phi(2^{-j} \cdot) \cap B_{\tilde{d}_2}(0) = \emptyset$  for any  $\epsilon 2^j > d_2$ , where  $\phi(2^{-j} \cdot)$  is the Fourier multiplier of  $\dot{\Delta}_j$  and  $B_{\tilde{d}_2}(0)$  is a ball centered at the origin with radius  $\tilde{d}_2$ . Then, by (32) and the estimates (39), (40) we have that if  $\epsilon 2^j > d_2$ , then

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}e^{tA_{\epsilon}}\psi\|_{L^{p}} \lesssim 2^{j3\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)}\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}e^{tA_{\epsilon}}\psi\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim e^{-c\epsilon^{-2}t}2^{j3\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)}\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\psi\|_{L^{2}},\tag{41}$$

where c > 0 is a constant.

Lemma 4.5 then derives the following Proposition.

**Proposition 4.6.** Let  $2 \le p < \infty$ ,  $2 < r \le \infty$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, for any  $\Psi \in L_t^r(\dot{B}_{p',1}^{s+2-8/p} \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{s+3/2-3/p})$ , we have

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{\tau A_\epsilon} \Psi(t-\tau) d\tau \right\|_{L^r_t(\dot{B}^s_{p,1})} \lesssim_{p,r} \epsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|\Psi\|_{L^r_t(\dot{B}^{s+2-\frac{8}{p}}_{p',1} \cap \dot{B}^{s+\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3}{p}}_{2,1})}.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.5, we have

$$\begin{split} \left\| \int_{0}^{t} e^{\tau A_{\epsilon}} \Psi(t-\tau) d\tau \right\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{p,1}} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \sum_{j} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(2^{2j}\tau)^{\frac{2}{p}} e^{-c2^{2j}\tau}}{\tau} 2^{j\left(s+2-\frac{8}{p}\right)} \|\dot{\Delta}_{j} \Psi(t-\tau)\|_{L^{p'}} d\tau \\ &+ \sum_{j} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-c\frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{2}}} 2^{j\left(s+\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3}{p}\right)} \|\dot{\Delta}_{j} \Psi(t-\tau)\|_{L^{2}} d\tau, \end{split}$$

where c > 0 is a constant. Thus, we have

$$\begin{split} \left\| \int_{0}^{t} e^{\tau A_{\epsilon}} \Psi(t-\tau) d\tau \right\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{s})} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{j} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(2^{2j}\tau)^{\frac{2}{p}} e^{-c2^{2j}\tau}}{\tau} d\tau \epsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|\Psi\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{p',1}^{s+2-\frac{8}{p}})} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-c\frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{2}}} d\tau \|\Psi\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{s+\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3}{p}})} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|\Psi\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{p',1}^{s+2-\frac{8}{p}} \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{s+\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3}{p}})}. \end{split}$$

We show the following Strichartz type estimate for the semigroup  $e^{tA_{\epsilon}}$ .

**Proposition 4.7.** Let  $2 \le p < \infty$ ,  $2 < r \le \infty$  and  $s, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $s_1 + 2/r < s < s_2$ . Then, for any  $\psi \in \dot{B}^{s_1}_{2,\infty} \cap \dot{B}^{s_2+3/4}_{2,\infty}$ , we have

$$\|e^{tA_{\epsilon}}\psi\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{s})} \lesssim_{s,s_{1},s_{2},p,r} \max\left(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{r}},\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}\right) \|\psi\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s_{1}}\cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s_{2}+3}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)}.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.8, for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the operator  $\{\dot{\Delta}_0 e^{\pm i|\nabla|t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$  is the bounded family of continuous operators on  $L^2$  such that

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_0 e^{\pm i|\nabla|t}\dot{\Delta}_0 e^{\mp i|\nabla|t'}\tilde{\psi}\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim \frac{1}{|t-t'|} \|\tilde{\psi}\|_{L^1}$$

for any  $t, t' \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t \neq t'$  and  $\tilde{\psi} \in L^1$ . By applying  $TT^*$  argument (see [2, Theorem 8.18]), we have the estimate

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_0 e^{\pm i|\nabla|t} \tilde{\psi}\|_{L^r_t(L^{p_r})} \lesssim \|\tilde{\psi}\|_{L^2} \quad \text{for} \quad \tilde{\psi} \in L^2,$$

where  $1/p_r + 1/r = 1/2$ . Thus, we have the estimate

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}e^{\pm i\frac{|\nabla|}{\epsilon}t}\psi\|_{L_{t}^{r}(L^{p_{r}})} \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{1}{r}}2^{j\frac{2}{r}}\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\psi\|_{L^{2}}.$$
(42)

By Lemma 4.4, there exists  $d_3 > 0$  such that if  $\epsilon 2^j \leq d_3$ , then

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}e^{tA_{\epsilon}}\psi\|_{L^{q}} \lesssim e^{-\kappa_{5}2^{2j}t} \|\dot{\Delta}_{j}e^{\pm i\frac{|\nabla|}{\epsilon}t}\psi\|_{L^{q}} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \le q \le \infty, \tag{43}$$

where  $\kappa_5 > 0$  is a constant. By (41), if  $\epsilon 2^j > d_3$ , then we have

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}e^{tA_{\epsilon}}\psi\|_{L^{q}} \lesssim 2^{j3\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}\right)}\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}e^{tA_{\epsilon}}\psi\|_{L^{2}} \tag{44}$$

$$\lesssim e^{-c\epsilon^{-2}t} 2^{j3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right)} \|\dot{\Delta}_i \psi\|_{L^2} \quad \text{for} \quad 2 \le q < \infty, \tag{45}$$

where c > 0 is a constant. By (42), (43) and (44), if  $p_r \leq p$ , then we have

$$\|e^{tA_{\epsilon}}\psi\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{p,r}^{s})} \lesssim \|e^{tA_{\epsilon}}\psi\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{p_{r},r}^{s+3}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{p}))}$$

$$\lesssim \|e^{\pm i\frac{|\nabla|}{\epsilon}t}\psi\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{p_{r},r}^{s+3}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{p}))} + \|e^{-c\epsilon^{-2}t}\psi\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{2,r}^{s+3}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}))}$$

$$\lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{1}{r}}\|\psi\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s_{1}}\cap\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s_{2}+3}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})} .$$

$$(46)$$

By (43) and (44), if p = 2, then we have

$$\|e^{tA_{\epsilon}}\psi\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{2,r}^{s})} \lesssim \|e^{\mu_{0}t\Delta}\psi\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{2,r}^{s})} + \|e^{-c\epsilon^{-2}t}\psi\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{2,r}^{s})}$$
(47)

$$\lesssim \|\psi\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1}_{2,\infty}\cap \dot{B}^s_{2,r}} \tag{48}$$

since  $s_1 + r/2 < s$ . By (46) and (47), Hölder's inequality implies that

$$\|e^{tA_{\epsilon}}\psi\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{p,r}^{s})} \lesssim \max\left(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{r}}, \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}\right) \|\psi\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s_{1}} \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s_{2}+3}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)}.$$
 (49)

By interpolating the estimate (49) between  $s = \tilde{s_1}$  and  $\tilde{s_2}$ , where  $s_1 + 2/r < \tilde{s_1} < s < \tilde{s_2} < s_2 + 3/4$ , we obtain the estimate

$$\|e^{tA_{\epsilon}}\psi\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{s})} \lesssim \max\left(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{r}},\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}\right) \|\psi\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s_{1}}\cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s_{2}+3}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let  $c_6 = \min(c_4, c_5)$  and let

$$\|(\sigma_{\epsilon,0}, w_{\epsilon,0})\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty}\cap \dot{H}^4} + \|F\|_{\dot{B}^{-\frac{3}{2}}_{2,\infty}\cap \dot{H}^4} \le c_6,$$

where  $c_4 > 0$  is a constant appearing in Theorem 4.1 and  $c_5 > 0$  is a constant appearing in Theorem 4.2. Let  $(\sigma_{\epsilon}, w_{\epsilon})$  be a perturbation obtained in Theorem 4.1 and let u be a global solution obtained in Theorem 4.2. Let

 $V_{\epsilon} = (\gamma_2 \sigma_{\epsilon}, \mathbb{Q} w_{\epsilon})^{\mathsf{T}}, N = (\gamma_2 f_{\epsilon}, \mathbb{Q} g_{\epsilon})^{\mathsf{T}}, \text{ where } \gamma_2 = P'(\rho_{\infty})^{1/2}/\rho_{\infty}.$  Then, the Duhamel principle gives

$$V_{\epsilon}(t) = e^{tA_{\gamma\epsilon}} V_{\epsilon,0} + \int_0^t e^{\tau A_{\gamma\epsilon}} N(t - \tau) d\tau, \tag{50}$$

where  $V_{\epsilon,0} = V_{\epsilon}(0)$  and  $\gamma = P'(\rho_{\infty})^{1/2}$ . Let  $2 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $2 < r \leq \infty$  and 1/2 + 2/r < s < 3/p. By Proposition 4.7, we have

$$\|e^{tA_{\gamma\epsilon}}V_{\epsilon,0}\|_{L^r_t(\dot{B}^s_{p,1})}\lesssim \max\left(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{r}},\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}\right)\|V_{\epsilon,0}\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty}\cap \dot{H}^4}.$$

By Proposision 4.6, we have

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{\tau A_{\gamma\epsilon}} N(t-\tau) d\tau \right\|_{L^r_t(\dot{B}^s_{p,1})} \lesssim \epsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|N\|_{L^r_t(\dot{B}^{s+2-\frac{8}{p}}_{p',1} \cap \dot{B}^{s+\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3}{p}}_{2,1})}.$$

We next estimate  $N = (\gamma_2 f_{\epsilon}, \mathbb{Q} g_{\epsilon})^{\mathsf{T}}$ . Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and the decay estimate (23) in Theorem 4.1 imply that if  $2 \leq p < 4$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \|f_{\epsilon}\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{p',1}^{s+2-\frac{8}{p}})} &\lesssim \|\operatorname{div}(v_{\epsilon}^{*} + w_{\epsilon})\sigma_{\epsilon} + \nabla\sigma_{\epsilon}^{*} \cdot w_{\epsilon}\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{p',1}^{s+\left(2-\frac{5}{p}\right)-\frac{3}{p}})} \\ &+ \|(v_{\epsilon}^{*} + w_{\epsilon}) \cdot \nabla\sigma_{\epsilon} + \sigma_{\epsilon}^{*} \operatorname{div}w_{\epsilon}\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{p',1}^{s+\left(2-\frac{5}{p}\right)-\frac{3}{p}})} \\ &\lesssim_{p} \|(\sigma_{\epsilon}^{*}, v_{\epsilon}^{*}, w_{\epsilon})\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^{3})} \|(\sigma_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon})\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s} \cap \dot{H}^{3})} \lesssim c_{6}^{2}, \end{split}$$

since 1/2 + 2/r < s < 3/p. If 10 , we have

$$\begin{split} \|f_{\epsilon}\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{p',1}^{s+2-\frac{8}{p}})} &\lesssim \sum_{l=0}^{2} \|\nabla^{l} \operatorname{div}(v_{\epsilon}^{*} + w_{\epsilon}) \nabla^{2-l} \sigma_{\epsilon}\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{p',1}^{s-\frac{5}{p}-\frac{3}{p}})} \\ &+ \sum_{l=0}^{2} \|\nabla^{l} \nabla \sigma_{\epsilon}^{*} \cdot \nabla^{2-l} w_{\epsilon}\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{p',1}^{s-\frac{5}{p}-\frac{3}{p}})} \\ &+ \sum_{l=0}^{2} \|\nabla^{l} (v_{\epsilon}^{*} + w_{\epsilon}) \cdot \nabla^{2-l} \nabla \sigma_{\epsilon}\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{p',1}^{s-\frac{5}{p}-\frac{3}{p}})} \\ &+ \sum_{l=0}^{2} \|\nabla^{l} \sigma_{\epsilon}^{*} \nabla^{2-l} \operatorname{div} w_{\epsilon}\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{p',1}^{s-\frac{5}{p}-\frac{3}{p}})} \\ &\lesssim_{p} \|(\sigma_{\epsilon}^{*}, v_{\epsilon}^{*}, w_{\epsilon})\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}(\dot{B}_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \cap \dot{H}^{3})} \|(\sigma_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon})\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s} \cap \dot{H}^{3})} \lesssim c_{6}^{2}. \end{split}$$

By Hölder's inequality, for any  $2 \le p < \infty$ , we have

$$||f_{\epsilon}||_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{p',1}^{s+2-\frac{8}{p}})} \lesssim_{p} c_{6}^{2}.$$

By the bilinear estimates in Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{split} \|f_{\epsilon}\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{s+\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3}{p}})} &\lesssim \|\operatorname{div}(v_{\epsilon}^{*}+w_{\epsilon})\sigma_{\epsilon}+\nabla\sigma_{\epsilon}^{*}\cdot w_{\epsilon}\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{s+\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3}{p}})} \\ &+\|(v_{\epsilon}^{*}+w_{\epsilon})\cdot\nabla\sigma_{\epsilon}+\sigma_{\epsilon}^{*}\operatorname{div}w_{\epsilon}\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{s+\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3}{p}})} \\ &\lesssim \|(\sigma_{\epsilon}^{*},v_{\epsilon}^{*},w_{\epsilon})\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}\cap \dot{H}^{3})} \|(\sigma_{\epsilon},v_{\epsilon})\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s}\cap \dot{H}^{3})} \lesssim c_{6}^{2} \end{split}$$

By Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.4 and the decay estimate (23), we also have

$$\|g_{\epsilon}\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{p',1}^{s+2-\frac{8}{p}} \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{s+\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3}{p}})} \lesssim \|(\sigma_{\epsilon}^{*}, v_{\epsilon}^{*}, \sigma_{\epsilon}, w_{\epsilon})\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^{4})} \|(\sigma_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon})\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s} \cap \dot{H}^{4})}$$

$$\lesssim c_{6}^{2}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$||V_{\epsilon}||_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{s})} \lesssim \max\left(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{r}}, \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}\right) ||V_{\epsilon,0}||_{\dot{B}_{2\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^{4}} + \epsilon^{1 - \frac{2}{p}} c_{6}^{2}. \tag{51}$$

The incompressible part  $w_{\epsilon}^1 = \mathbb{P}w_{\epsilon} - \tilde{u}$  satisfies

$$\partial_t w_{\epsilon}^1 - \mu \Delta w_{\epsilon}^1 = \mathbb{P}h,$$

where  $h(\sigma_{\epsilon}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^*, w_{\epsilon}^1, \mathbb{Q}w_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon}^*, \tilde{u}_{\epsilon}, u_{\epsilon}^*) = h_1 + h_2 + h_3$ ,

$$\begin{split} h_1 &= -w_{\epsilon}^1 \cdot \nabla v_{\epsilon} - \tilde{u}_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla w_{\epsilon}^1 - u_{\epsilon}^* \cdot \nabla w_{\epsilon}^1 \\ h_2 &= -\mathbb{Q}w_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla v_{\epsilon} - \tilde{u}_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla \mathbb{Q}w_{\epsilon} - u_{\epsilon}^* \cdot \nabla \mathbb{Q}w_{\epsilon} - \tilde{u}_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla (v_{\epsilon}^* - u_{\epsilon}^*) - (v_{\epsilon}^* - u_{\epsilon}^*) \cdot \nabla w_{\epsilon}, \\ h_3 &= (\Psi(\epsilon \sigma_{\epsilon}^* + \epsilon \sigma_{\epsilon}) - \Psi(\epsilon \sigma_{\epsilon}^*)) \mathcal{A}_0(v_{\epsilon}^* + w_{\epsilon}), \quad h_4 = (\Psi(\epsilon \sigma_{\epsilon}^*) - \Psi(0)) \mathcal{A}_0 w_{\epsilon}, \\ \Psi(\zeta) &= \frac{1}{\zeta + \rho_{\infty}}. \end{split}$$

Since  $w_{\epsilon}^{1}|_{t=0} = 0$ , the Duhamel principle gives

$$w_{\epsilon}^{1}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} e^{\mu \tau \Delta} \mathbb{P}h(t-\tau) d\tau.$$

By Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\|w_{\epsilon}^{1}\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{p,r}^{s})} = \left\| \int_{0}^{t} e^{\mu\tau\Delta} \mathbb{P}h(t-\tau) d\tau \right\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{p,r}^{s})} \lesssim \|h\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{p,r}^{s-2})}.$$

By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$||h_1||_{L^r_t(\dot{B}^{s-2}_{p,r})} \lesssim ||(v_{\epsilon}, \tilde{u}_{\epsilon}, u_{\epsilon}^*)||_{L^{\infty}_t(\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty})} ||w_{\epsilon}^1||_{L^r_t(\dot{B}^{s}_{p,r})},$$

$$\begin{split} \|h_2\|_{L^r_t(\dot{B}^{s-2}_{p,r})} \lesssim \|(v_\epsilon, \tilde{u}_\epsilon, u_\epsilon^*)\|_{L^\infty_t(\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty})} \|\mathbb{Q}w_\epsilon\|_{L^r_t(\dot{B}^s_{p,r})} \\ &+ \|(\mathbb{Q}v_\epsilon^*, \mathbb{P}v_\epsilon^* - u_\epsilon^*)\|_{L^\infty_t(\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{2,\infty})} \|(\tilde{u}, w_\epsilon)\|_{L^r_t(\dot{B}^s_{p,r})}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \|h_3\|_{L^r_t(\dot{B}^{s-2}_{p,r})} &\lesssim \|\Psi(\epsilon\sigma^*_{\epsilon} + \epsilon\sigma_{\epsilon}) - \Psi(\epsilon\sigma^*_{\epsilon})\|_{L^r_t(\dot{B}^s_{p,r})} \|(v^*_{\epsilon}, w_{\epsilon})\|_{L^{\infty}_t(\dot{B}^{\frac{5}{2}}_{2,\infty})} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon \|\sigma_{\epsilon}\|_{L^r_t(\dot{B}^s_{p,r})} \|(v^*_{\epsilon}, w_{\epsilon})\|_{L^{\infty}_t(\dot{B}^{\frac{5}{2}}_{2,\infty})}, \end{split}$$

$$||h_4||_{L_t^r(\dot{B}_{p,r}^{s-2})} \lesssim ||\Psi(\epsilon\sigma_{\epsilon}^*) - \Psi(0)||_{L_t^{\infty}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}})} ||w_{\epsilon}||_{L_t^r(\dot{B}_{p,r}^{s+2})} \lesssim \epsilon ||\sigma_{\epsilon}^*||_{L_t^{\infty}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}})} ||w_{\epsilon}||_{L_t^r(\dot{B}_{p,r}^{s+2})}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\|w_{\epsilon}^{1}\|_{L_{t}^{r}(\dot{B}_{p,r}^{s})} \lesssim \max\left(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{r}}, \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}\right). \tag{52}$$

By interpolating the estimate (52) between  $s = s_1$  and  $s_2$ , where  $1/2 + 2/r < s_1 < s < s_2 < 3/p$ , we obtain the estimate

$$\|w_{\epsilon}^1\|_{L_t^r(\dot{B}_{p,1}^s)} \lesssim \max\left(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{r}}, \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}\right).$$

### Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Professor Yoshiyuki Kagei for his helpful discussions and valuable comments. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP23KJ0942.

#### References

- [1] Thomas Alazard. Low Mach number limit of the full Navier-Stokes equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 180(1):1–73, 2006.
- [2] Hajer Bahouri, Jean-Yves Chemin, and Raphaël Danchin. Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations, volume 343 of Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [3] Jayson Cunanan, Takahiro Okabe, and Yohei Tsutsui. Asymptotic stability of stationary Navier-Stokes flow in Besov spaces. *Asymptot. Anal.*, 129(1):29–50, 2022.
- [4] Raphaël Danchin. Global existence in critical spaces for compressible Navier-Stokes equations. *Invent. Math.*, 141(3):579–614, 2000.
- [5] Raphaël Danchin. Zero Mach number limit in critical spaces for compressible Navier-Stokes equations. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), 35(1):27–75, 2002.
- [6] Raphaël Danchin and Lingbing He. The incompressible limit in  $L^p$  type critical spaces. *Math. Ann.*, 366(3-4):1365–1402, 2016.
- [7] Naoto Deguchi. On the stability of stationary compressible Navier–Stokes flows in 3D. *Math. Ann.*, 390(3):4361–4404, 2024.
- [8] Mikihiro Fujii. Low Mach number limit of the global solution to the compressible Navier-Stokes system for large data in the critical Besov space. *Math. Ann.*, 388(4):4083–4134, 2024.
- [9] Song Jiang, Qiangchang Ju, Fucai Li, and Zhouping Xin. Low Mach number limit for the full compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with general initial data. *Adv. Math.*, 259:384–420, 2014.
- [10] Kenta Kaneko, Hideo Kozono, and Senjo Shimizu. Stationary solution to the Navier-Stokes equations in the scaling invariant Besov space and its regularity. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 68(3):857–880, 2019.
- [11] Hideo Kozono and Senjo Shimizu. Stability of stationary solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in the Besov space. *Math. Nachr.*, 296(5):1964–1982, 2023.

- [12] Hideo Kozono and Masao Yamazaki. Semilinear heat equations and the Navier-Stokes equation with distributions in new function spaces as initial data. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 19(5-6):959–1014, 1994.
- [13] P.-L. Lions and N. Masmoudi. Incompressible limit for a viscous compressible fluid. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 77(6):585–627, 1998.
- [14] Elias M. Stein. Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, volume No. 30 of Princeton Mathematical Series. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.
- [15] Masao Yamazaki. The Navier-Stokes equations in the weak- $L^n$  space with time-dependent external force. *Math. Ann.*, 317(4):635–675, 2000.