

# Fluctuating Ensemble Averages and the BTZ Threshold

---

R. Sammani,<sup>1,2</sup> E.H Saidi,<sup>1,2,3</sup> R. Ahl Laamara,<sup>1,2</sup> L.B Drissi<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*LPHE-MS, Science Faculty, Mohammed V University in Rabat, Morocco*

<sup>2</sup>*Centre of Physics and Mathematics, CPM- Morocco*

<sup>3</sup>*Hassan II Academy of Science and Technology, Kingdom of Morocco.*

*E-mail:* [rajae.sammani@um5.ac.ma](mailto:rajae.sammani@um5.ac.ma)

**ABSTRACT:** Recent work shows fascinating links between ensemble averaging and the Swampland program. In order to break the emerging global symmetries of the ensemble averaging as dictated by the no global symmetries conjecture, one may consider fluctuations away from the average given by deviations in the Siegel-Weil formula. In this work, we investigate the physical interpretation of these fluctuations in the bulk physics and pinpoint the states giving rise to them. For this purpose, we explore an ensemble of generalised Narain CFTs and build the AdS<sub>3</sub> gravitational dual in the Chern-Simons (CS) framework. We study the associated charged BTZ black hole solution and assess its stability. Using the Swampland weak gravity conjecture, we show that the fluctuations of the ensemble average are below the BTZ threshold and correspond to a sublattice of superextremal states emitted by the black hole. We exploit the logarithmic density of states to derive bounds on the charged vectors of the abelian CS symmetry and introduce a novel formulation of the density function to ensure consistency with the sublattice WGC. We establish bounds that allows to distinguish heavy states contributing to the average from light states generating fluctuations around it.

**KEYWORDS:** Ensemble average, Generalised Narain CFTs, Fluctuations, no global symmetry conjecture, Holography, AdS<sub>3</sub>/CFT<sub>2</sub>, BTZ black hole, Weak gravity conjecture.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Swampland program of averaged ensembles</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Averaged CFTs and the bulk dual	4
2.2	Swampland constraints on ensemble averaging	9
<b>3</b>	<b>Gravitational dual of averaged <math>\mathcal{GNCCFT}</math>'s</b>	<b>12</b>
3.1	Realising the asymptotic limit (3.1)	13
3.2	3D gravity coupled to $p + q - 2$ abelian potentials	15
3.3	Partition function and cosmological solution	19
<b>4</b>	<b>BTZ threshold and WGC on fluctuating ensemble average</b>	<b>20</b>
4.1	Constraints from modular invariance	20
4.2	Torus density of states	24
4.2.1	Characteristic properties of $\rho(\Delta, \tilde{\Delta}, \nu, \tilde{\nu})$	25
4.2.2	Beyond Cardy formula (4.22)	27
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusion and comments</b>	<b>29</b>

## 1 Introduction

The Swampland program [1]-[4] defines constraints on various aspects of the effective field theories that can be completed into UV quantum gravitational theories (Landscape models) such as the bound on the rank of possible gauge groups [5]-[9], the condition on the mass of charged states [10, 11] and the structure of the moduli space [12]. While the Swampland constraints are often backtested in string theory which helps in motivating and formulating them, the Swampland program is independent of a particular UV completion including string theory.

Recent studies [13]-[18] have identified an intriguing region within the Landscape, populated by 3D topological gravitational theories, which has provided new insights into the Swampland program that had not been apparent previously. This lead to establishing new connections between the Swampland program and holography in quantum gravity research based on quantum gravitational theories with exceptional boundaries given by averaged ensembles [19, 20].

The usual  $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$  correspondence associates a quantum gravity theory in  $\text{AdS}_3$  with a 2D CFT on its asymptote. However, recent gravitational theories are shown to be dual not to a single boundary theory but rather to an average over an ensemble of theories. This multi-theory boundary was first observed and studied in JT gravity for  $\text{AdS}_2/\text{CFT}_1$  [21], where the ensemble average is computed over a random matrix theory [22]. In 3D, the average is performed over an ensemble of Narain CFTs; these models are well-known from toroidal compactifications, there are theories of  $c$  free bosons with  $U(1)_L^c \times U(1)_R^c$  current algebra where the positive integer  $c$  defines the central charge ( $c_L = c_R$ ). The average is generated by a bulk described by an exotic theory of quantum gravity, where the sum over possible geometries is captured by the abelian Chern-Simons (CS) theory  $U(1)_L^c \times U(1)_R^c$  [19, 20]. A generalization of Narain’s CFTs can be envisioned along the lines of [23], where one can consider instead of the even self dual lattices, general lattices with indefinite quadratic forms. This defines an abelian CS theory with symmetry  $U(1)_L^p \times U(1)_R^q$  and  $\Delta c = p - q$  central charge.

Recently, it has been demonstrated that these generalised Narain theories exhibit global symmetries which is strictly prohibited by the Swampland program. Specifically, the no global symmetries conjecture postulates that all global symmetries of quantum gravitational theories must be either broken or gauged [24]. Accordingly, to circumvent them, one must consider fluctuations around the ensemble average, which correspond mathematically to deviations in the Siegel-Weil formula [25]-[27] or equivalently to the Roedke-Selberg spectral decomposition [28, 29]. However, it remains necessary to address how these fluctuations might be interpreted in the bulk and how they arise from the bulk to the boundary.

In this paper, we investigate the bulk equivalent of these fluctuations and explore how additional Swampland criteria may impose constraints on the averaged theory to ensure the consistency of the gravitational bulk. We focus on the Weak Gravity Conjecture (WGC) [30–32] and non-supersymmetric AdS conjecture [33] to further probe the relationship between ensemble average and the Swampland program. We consider an ensemble of generalised Narain CFTs and build the corresponding  $\text{AdS}_3$  gravitational dual in the Chern-Simons formulation by coupling the basic  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_L \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})_R$  to abelian  $U(1)^{p+q-2}$  gauge fields. We study the charged BTZ black hole and examine its stability. To derive a constraint relation that regulates the discharge of the black hole, we exploit the modular invariance to compute the sublattice WGC. We show that light superextremal states below the black hole threshold lead to fluctuations around the en-

semble averaging. As a consistency check, we employ the logarithmic density of states to derive constraints on the charge vectors of the abelian CS symmetry. To ensure physical consistency with the sublattice WGC, we propose an alternative formulation of the density function by treating the bounds on the charged states as permeable barriers. This provided a novel approach to derive the WGC condition and allowed to categorize states into two phases: heavy (averaged states) and light (fluctuation states).

The organisation of this paper is as follows: In [section 2](#), we begin by describing the differences and similarities between the standard and generalised Narain CFTs and establish the mathematical framework for ensemble averaging. Then, we study the emergence of global symmetries and address their removal by considering fluctuations around the ensemble average. In [section 3](#), we construct the AdS<sub>3</sub> gravitational bulk of the ensemble average of generalised Narain CFTs. We consider Einstein AdS<sub>3</sub> gravity in the Chern-Simons formulation coupled to  $U(1)^{p+q-2}$  gauge fields. We show that under diagonal boundary conditions, the asymptotic symmetries yield two copies of the asymptotic  $U(1)_L^p \times U(1)_R^q$  affine algebra and the inaugural Brown-Henneaux boundary conformal symmetry emerges as a composite invariance through a twisted Sugawara construction. In [section 4](#), we investigate the physical interpretation of these fluctuations from the lens of the bulk physics and identify the states giving rise to them. We start by imposing the modular invariance of the partition function to determine the states populating the sublattice verifying WGC. Then, we demonstrate that light superextremal states generate the fluctuations using the torus density of states. [section 5](#) is reserved for final comments and conclusions.

## 2 Swampland program of averaged ensembles

In this section, we revisit the 3D Landscape of consistent quantum gravitational theories given by holographic models with averaged ensembles as boundary dual. We study generalised Narain CFTs to formulate their average over the generalised Narain moduli space. We examine the emerging global symmetries  $G_{global}$  and investigate the restoration of the consistency of the gravitational theories in accordance with the Swampland program forbidding  $G_{global}$  by considering fluctuations away from the average of the partition function of the boundary defined by the generalised CFTs.

### 2.1 Averaged CFTs and the bulk dual

With the aim of formulating the average of an ensemble of generalised Narain's two dimensional conformal field theories ( $\mathcal{GNCF}$ ), we begin by considering a set  $\mathcal{CFT}_{\Pi,p}$

of Narain CFTs with moduli space given by [19, 20]:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Pi_{p,p}} = O(p, p, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash O(p, p, \mathbb{R}) / [O(p, \mathbb{R}) \times O(p, \mathbb{R})] \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\Pi_{p,p}$  is an even self-dual lattice. Often, Narain lattice CFTs are treated with regard to toroidal compactifications in string theory where  $\Pi_{p,p}$  is given by the lattice  $\Gamma_{16+p,p}$  describing the compactification of 10D heterotic string on the  $p$ -dimensional torus  $\mathbb{T}^p$ . The moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma_{16+p,p}}$  is well-defined and parameterised by the background metric  $G$  and the two-form field  $B$ . The Hilbert-Fock space  $\mathbf{H}$  of the compactified (10- $p$ ) supersymmetric heterotic string theory factorises in the light-cone formalism as follows [34]:

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_B^{8-p,8-p} \otimes \mathbf{H}_F^{0,8-p} \otimes \mathbf{H}_{\Pi_{p,p}} \quad (2.2)$$

The bosonic  $\mathbf{H}_B^{8-p,8-p}$  and the fermionic subspaces built out of the string oscillator modes  $\alpha_n^\mu$ ,  $\tilde{\alpha}_n^\mu$  and  $\tilde{\psi}_n^\mu$  are restricted to the transverse directions

string modes	$\mathbf{H}_B^{8-p,8-p}$	$\mathbf{H}_F^{0,8-p}$
left	$\tilde{\alpha}_n^i$ , $2 \leq i \leq 9-p$	$\tilde{\psi}_r^i$ $2 \leq i \leq 9$
right	$\alpha_n^i$ , $2 \leq i \leq 9-p$	

(2.3)

The vector space  $\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_{16+p,p}}$  labeled by even self-dual lattice  $\Gamma_{16+p,p}$  has the structure [35]

$$\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_{16+p,p}} = \mathbf{H}_B^{16+p,0} \otimes \left( \bigoplus_{\tilde{\mathbf{p}} \in \Gamma_{16+p,p}} \mathbb{C} |\tilde{\mathbf{p}}\rangle \right) \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\mathbf{H}_B^{16+p,0}$  is constructed by the oscillators  $\alpha_n^i$  with  $10-p \leq i \leq 26-p$ ; sometimes we refer to them like  $\beta_n^A$  with  $1 \leq A \leq 16+p$ .

However, because we target a generalisation of these CFTs to study the ensemble averages, we focus on a broader class of these theories without being tied to specific lattice configurations and treat Narain models independently of any string embedding for a simplified framework. As a contribution, we will ensure to highlight the parallels, whenever feasible, between the stringy Narain CFTs and the generalised CFTs described below.

A generalisation of the standard Narain CFTs can be envisioned in the lines of [23]:

$$\mathcal{M}_{Q^{(p,q)}} = \mathcal{O}_{\Omega^{(p,q)}}(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathcal{O}(p, q, \mathbb{R}) / [\mathcal{O}(p, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{O}(q, \mathbb{R})] \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\Omega^{(p,q)}$  is an indefinite quadratic form of rank  $p+q$  and signature  $(p,q)$ ; it is characterised by a  $(p+q) \times (p+q)$  integer matrix  $Q_{AB}^{(p,q)}$  as given by the formal expansion

$$\Omega_{l,l}^{(p,q)} = \sum_{A,B=1}^{p+q} l^A Q_{AB}^{(p,q)} l^B \quad , \quad \mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_p; l_{p+1}, \dots, l_{p+q}) \quad (2.6)$$

with integer vector  $\mathbf{l}$  belonging to the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^{p+q}$ . The set  $\mathbf{O}_{\Omega^{(p,q)}}(\mathbb{Z})$  is a subgroup of the orthogonal transformations  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\mathbf{O}(p, q, \mathbb{Z})$  that preserves the quadratic form  $\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{l}}^{(p,q)}$ .

**Bulk dual:** The bulk dual of the above generalised CFTs is given by the three dimensional abelian  $U(1)^{p+q}$  Chern-Simons theory. Its field action is parameterised by the integral quadratic form  $Q^{(p,q)}$  as follows:

$$S_{\text{CS}}[A; Q^{(p,q)}] = \frac{i}{8\pi} \int_{M_3} d^3x \sum_{\text{AB}=1}^{p+q} A^A Q_{\text{AB}}^{(p,q)} dA^B \quad (2.7)$$

Because of the coupling matrix  $Q_{\text{AB}}^{(p,q)}$ , the generalised Narain's moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{Q^{(p,q)}}$  includes two sectors given by *even* and *odd* integral quadratic  $\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{l}}^{(p,q)}$  forms [23]. In this regard, recall that  $\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{l}}^{(p,q)}$  is said to be *even* (resp. *odd*) if it is *even* (resp. *odd*) for any integer vector  $\mathbf{l}$ . In the interesting case of even  $\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{l}}^{(p,q)}$ , the associated generalised partition function  $Z_{\Omega^{(p,q)}}(\mathbf{m}, \tau, \tilde{\tau})$  for a point  $\mathbf{m}$  of the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega^{(p,q)}}$  is given by [36]:

$$Z_Q(\mathbf{m}, \tau, \tilde{\tau}) = \frac{\vartheta_Q(\mathbf{m}, \tau, \tilde{\tau})}{\eta(\tau)^p \tilde{\eta}(\tilde{\tau})^q} \quad (2.8)$$

where  $\tau = \tau_1 + i\tau_2$  is the modulus of the world sheet torus  $\mathbb{T}^2$ ,  $\eta(\tau)$  is the Dedekind eta function and  $\vartheta_Q(\mathbf{m}, \tau, \tilde{\tau})$  is the Siegel-Narain theta function.

The moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_\Omega$  is locally homogeneous and carries a Zamolodchikov metric [37]. For standard Narain CFTs with stringy background, it can be formulated in terms of the metric  $\mathbf{G}$  and two-form field  $\mathbf{B}$  as:

$$ds^2 = \mathbf{G}^{mk} \mathbf{G}^{nl} (d\mathbf{G}_{mn} d\mathbf{G}_{kl} + d\mathbf{B}_{mn} d\mathbf{B}_{kl}) \quad (2.9)$$

It provides a natural way to measure distances  $D(\mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{m}_2)$  between different points  $\mathbf{m}_1$  and  $\mathbf{m}_2$  in the moduli space; it has a finite measure for any  $p, q > 1$  [20]. This allows for the possibility to integrate over the Narain moduli and define an averaged ensemble of CFTs as follows:

$$\langle Z_Q(\mathbf{m}, \tau, \tilde{\tau}) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_Q} := \frac{1}{\text{vol}(\mathcal{M}_Q)} \int_{\mathcal{M}_Q} [d\mathbf{m}] Z_Q(\mathbf{m}, \tau, \tilde{\tau}) \quad (2.10)$$

where  $\langle \dots \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_Q}$  refers to averaging over generalised Narain's moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_Q$ . And the normalisation factor

$$\text{vol}(\mathcal{M}_Q) := \int_{\mathcal{M}_Q} [d\mathbf{m}] \quad (2.11)$$

defines the volume of the moduli space. The  $(\tau, \tilde{\tau})$  denote the modular parameters of the genus-one Riemann surface  $\Sigma \simeq \mathbb{T}^2$ . Furthermore, the averaged Siegel-Narain theta function  $\Theta(\tau, \tilde{\tau})_{\mathcal{M}_Q}$  is given by

$$\Theta(\tau, \tilde{\tau})_{\mathcal{M}_Q} := \frac{1}{\text{vol}(\mathcal{M}_Q)} \int_{\mathcal{M}_Q} [d\mathbf{m}] \vartheta_Q(\mathbf{m}, \tau, \tilde{\tau}) \quad (2.12)$$

Thus, the averaged ensemble's partition function is:

$$\langle Z_Q(\mathbf{m}, \tau, \tilde{\tau}) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_Q} = \frac{\langle \vartheta_Q(\mathbf{m}, \tau, \tilde{\tau}) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_Q}}{\eta(\tau)^p \tilde{\eta}(\tilde{\tau})^q} \quad (2.13)$$

And following the Siegel-Weil theorem [25–27]

$$\langle \vartheta_Q(\mathbf{m}, \tau, \tilde{\tau}) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_Q} = E_Q(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \quad (2.14)$$

the partition function (2.13) can be put in the form

$$\langle Z_Q(\mathbf{m}, \tau, \tilde{\tau}) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_Q} = \frac{E_Q(\tau, \tilde{\tau})}{\eta(\tau)^p \tilde{\eta}(\tilde{\tau})^q} \quad (2.15)$$

where  $E_Q(\tau, \tilde{\tau})$  is the Siegel-Eisenstein series that can be interpreted as a sum over geometries in the gravitational dual [16, 38]. This  $E_Q(\tau, \tilde{\tau})$  is modular invariant and can be presented like

$$E_Q(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) = \sum_{\gamma \in P \backslash SL(2, \mathbb{Z})} \text{Im}(\gamma\tau) \quad (2.16)$$

where  $\gamma$  belongs to  $P \backslash SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  and where

$$P = \left\{ M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \quad ; \quad \tau' = \tau + n \quad (2.17)$$

It is a subgroup of  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  that leaves  $\text{Im}(\tau)$  invariant.

Correspondingly, we associate the partition function of the averaged CFTs ensemble  $\langle Z_Q(\mathbf{m}, \tau, \tilde{\tau}) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_Q}$  to a sum over 3D geometries  $Y$  in the bulk Chern-Simons theories  $\sum_Y Z_Y^{U(1)^{p+q}}(\tau, \tilde{\tau})$  such that [38]:

$$\sum_{\text{handlebodies } Y} Z_Y^{U(1)^{p+q}}(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) = \langle Z_Q(\mathbf{m}, \tau, \tilde{\tau}) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_Q} \quad (2.18)$$

with  $\tau$  denoting the modular parameters of the boundary surface  $\Sigma = \partial Y$ . Topologically, the 3D geometries  $Y$  are solid torii, with 2-torus boundaries, known as genus- one handlebodies. As detailed in [20], the bulk CS theory (2.7) does not give any insights on how to choose  $Y$  with boundary  $\partial Y$  and the CS path integral should a priori hold for any geometry  $Y$ . Nevertheless, in order to sum over  $Y$ , the Siegel-Weil formula requires the boundary  $\partial Y$  to be *connected*; and in this case, the simplest class of three dimensional topologies is given by handlebodies.

Before concluding, let us discuss the *odd* integral case of  $Q^{(p,q)}$ . For this choice of quadratic forms, one can uncover a relevant affiliation to the spin structure. The averaged partition function is computed over fermionic CFTs where the boundary torus possesses

four spin structures stemming from the first Homology group as follows:  $H^1(\mathbb{T}^2; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ . We label the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  signs by  $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ . The Siegel-Weil formula is given by the Eisenstein series with spin structure  $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$  like [23]:

$$\langle \vartheta_Q^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_Q} = E_Q^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \quad (2.19)$$

The bulk dual in this instance is given by the spin Chern-Simons theory also known as half integer level CS theories [39]. The CS spin action is usually defined by the extension on a bounding 4-manifold  $M_4$  with boundary  $M_3 = \partial M_4$  as follows:

$$S_{CS} = \frac{i}{8\pi} \int_{M_3} A^A Q_{AB}^{(p,q)} dA^B = 2\pi i \int_{M_4} \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \frac{dA^A Q_{AB}^{(p,q)} dA^B}{2} \quad (2.20)$$

To exhibit the dependence on the spin structure, let us consider the CS functional  $e^{S_{CS}}$ . It shows up in the path integral and must be single-valued [40], meaning that  $\int_{M_4} \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \frac{dA^A Q_{AB}^{(p,q)} dA^B}{2}$  should be integral. For the case of even  $\mathfrak{Q}^{(p,q)}$ , this is guaranteed due to  $\frac{1}{2} dA^A Q_{AB}^{(p,q)} dA^B$  being *integral* and to  $\int_{M_4} \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \frac{dA^A Q_{AB}^{(p,q)} dA^B}{2}$  being the first Pontryagin class which is an integer element of the cohomology class. As for the case of odd  $\mathfrak{Q}^{(p,q)}$ , the term  $\frac{1}{2} dA^A Q_{AB}^{(p,q)} dA^B$  is no longer integer. However, if we endow the manifold  $M_3$  with a spin structure, and by extension  $M_4$  as well, the new functional is then given by  $e^{\frac{1}{2} S_{CS}}$ . Therefore, the term  $\int_{M_4} \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \frac{dA^A Q_{AB}^{(p,q)} dA^B}{2}$  becomes even integer since for spin structured manifolds the first Pontryagin class is even and so the  $e^{\frac{1}{2} S_{CS}}$  is single valued.

In the subsequent sections, we disregard odd quadratic forms and focus the analysis on the former even case.

In sum, we have established the difference between the standard Narain CFTs and the generalised ones which can be recapitulated in the following table:

Aspect	Standard Narain CFTs	Generalized Narain CFTs
Lattice	Unimodular even self-dual lattice	Indefinite non-unimodular lattices
Moduli space	Well-defined by the background metric G and two-form B.	Parameterised by $\mathfrak{Q}^{(p,q)}$
Partition function	Modular invariant	Generally non modular invariant
String theory	Heterotic string compactifications	Not necessarily tied to strings
Intended applications	Target spaces $\mathbb{T}^p$ with world sheet $\mathbb{T}^2$	Ensemble of solid tori geometries Y with $\mathbb{T}^2$ boundaries

(2.21)

In the upcoming subsection, we study the consistency of bulk gravitational theories with regard to the no-global symmetries of the Swampland program

## 2.2 Swampland constraints on ensemble averaging

From the previous subsection, one might ponder on the implications of averaging over the generalised Narain moduli space on the bulk quantum gravitational theories (2.18). A major prospect was mentioned in [23], where averaging the ensemble of dual CFTs can serve as a path integral formulation of the Euclidian bulk quantum gravity theory,

$$Z_Y[\beta, J_E] = \int_Y [Dg_{\mu\nu}] e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} \mathcal{S}_E[g_{\mu\nu}, \beta, J_E]} \quad (2.22)$$

where  $\beta$  is the inverse of temperature ( $\beta = 1/T$ ) and  $J_E$  the angular momentum. Averaging over the CFT moduli space  $\langle Z_Q(\mathbf{m}, \tau, \tilde{\tau}) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_Q}$  can be considered as an alternative to the summing  $\sum_Y Z_Y^{U(1)^{p+q}}$  over all possible geometries to account for all possible configurations  $Y$  of spacetime that could contribute to the gravitational dynamics.

This is a reflection of the duality associating the CFT moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_\Omega$  with that of Riemann surfaces  $\Sigma_Y = \partial Y$ . It can be exhibited through the *Howe duality* of the *reductive dual pairs* [41]-[43]. This later states that a pair of groups  $(G, H)$  is a dual pair if they are both embedded in a larger symplectic group  $G^\sharp$  such that  $G$  is the centralizer of  $H$  and vice versa. On the other hand, the Howe duality relates irreducible representations of the two groups of the dual pair. Particularly, the set of irreducible representation of the group  $G$  given by  $R(G)$  are in a 1:1 correspondence with the set of irreducible representation of the group  $H$  given by  $R(H)$ . For our setting, the dual pair is given by the CFT moduli space symmetry  $G = O(p, q, \mathbb{R})$  and the mapping class group  $H = Sp(2g, \mathbb{R})$  of the 2D manifolds of genus  $g$  at the spacetime's surface; they are both embedded inside the larger symplectic group  $G^\sharp = Sp(2g(p+q), \mathbb{R})$  [23].

In this subsection we will study other aspects of the relationship between holography and quantum gravity with regard to the Swampland program [1, 2], to shed more light on how can one contribute to the understanding of the other. We will go over the analysis of [16], studying the emergence of global symmetries  $G_{global}$  and the intertwinement with the Swampland criteria, particularly the no global symmetry [24] and the distance conjectures [12]. This study can be viewed as a preparatory step for our investigation that will cover additional conjectures, particularly the weak gravity [10] and the instability of non-supersymmetric AdS [33].

The Swampland program provides a set of consistency conditions that must be verified in order for effective field theories coupled to gravity to be UV completed. One of

the key swampland criteria is the no- global symmetries conjecture. It postulates that all global symmetries of quantum gravitational theories must be either broken or gauged [24]. Most of the arguments forbidding global symmetries stem from black hole physics. For instance the No-hair theorem that states that a black hole (BH) can be characterised by its mass  $M_{BH}$ , gauged charge  $Q_{BH}$  and angular momentum  $J_{BH}$ . Therefore, an additional global charge  $Q_{global}$  would not figure in the black hole properties. And since the horizon is blind to this global charge, (i) the black hole evaporation will not effect it and will end up as a remnant [44]. Moreover, (ii) we will not be able to determine this global charge which gives in consequence an infinite uncertainty to an observer outside the black hole corresponding to an infinite amount of entropy which is of course a violation of the holographic principle expecting it to be finite [24].

Now, are global symmetries present in the averaged theories?

In [16], it has been demonstrated that an ensemble symmetry  $G$  connecting different theories —say two theories  $\mathcal{T}h$  and  $\mathcal{T}h'$  within a set of theories  $\{\mathcal{T}h_1, \mathcal{T}h_2, \dots\}$  related as  $\mathcal{T}h' = g\mathcal{T}hg^{-1}$ — can be turned into an emergent *global* symmetry  $G_{global}$  of the averaged theory  $\langle \mathcal{T}h \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}$ . To see this, let us consider the ensemble average of an observable  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{m}, x)$  over a moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_Q$  as follows:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(x) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_Q} := \frac{1}{vol(\mathcal{M}_Q)} \int_{\mathcal{M}_Q} [d\mathbf{m}] \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{m}, x) \quad (2.23)$$

Assuming there exists a moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_Q$  symmetry  $G$  such that we have (i) the invariance of the measure under  $G$  namely  $[d(\mathbf{m}^{(g)})] = [d\mathbf{m}]$  with  $\mathbf{m}^{(g)} = g.\mathbf{m}$ , and (ii) the symmetry  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{m}^{(g)}, x^{(g)}) = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{m}, x)$ , then  $G$  is an ensemble symmetry that maps the theory ( $\mathcal{T}h$ ) at the point  $\mathbf{m}$  to another theory ( $\mathcal{T}h'$ ) at the transformed point  $\mathbf{m}^{(g)}$ ; i.e:

$$\mathcal{T}h[\mathbf{m}^{(g)}] = g\mathcal{T}h[\mathbf{m}]g^{-1} \quad , \quad g \in G \quad (2.24)$$

This ensemble symmetry implies the equality of the averaging of observables  $\mathcal{O}(x)$  in  $\mathcal{T}h[\mathbf{m}]$  and their homologue  $\mathcal{O}(g.x)$  in the theory  $\mathcal{T}h[\mathbf{m}^{(g)}]$ ,

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(g.x) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_Q} = \langle \mathcal{O}(x) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_Q} \quad (2.25)$$

therefore making  $G$  a global symmetry of a single theory  $\mathcal{T}h_0$  since the dependence on the moduli space variables  $\mathbf{m}$  is removed. By using variation language, this global feature can be formally stated as follows

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \mathcal{T}h_0 \sim 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad G = G_{global} \quad (2.26)$$

This is not the only way the global symmetries can emerge in effective field theories; they can also follow from taking the infinite distance limit in the moduli space [ $\lim_{D_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{m}_2) \rightarrow \infty}$ ]. In fact the Swampland distance conjecture stipulates that at the asymptote of the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{asymptote}$ , where we often expect gauge couplings going to zero [ $g_{YM}^{asymptote} \rightarrow 0$ ], an infinite tower of states becomes exponentially light [12]:

$$M(\mathbf{p}) \sim M_0 e^{-\alpha D_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{p})} \quad , \quad \mathbf{m} := \mathbf{p} \quad (2.27)$$

with  $\alpha$  some positive constant. In this relation, the  $M(\mathbf{p})$  is the mass at a point  $\mathbf{p}$  in the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_Q$  and  $M_0$  is a reference mass scale. The  $D_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{p})$  is the geodesic distance between the reference point  $\mathbf{p}_0$  and a generic point  $\mathbf{p}$ . For  $D_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{p}) \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $M(\mathbf{p}) \rightarrow 0$ . In this asymptotic limit, the gauge symmetry  $G_{gauge}$  gets replaced by a global symmetry  $G_{global}$  for which  $g_{YM}^{asymptote} \rightarrow 0$ .

Therefore, global symmetries  $G_{global}$  can emerge (i) either by considering the average of the CFTs ensemble; or (ii) by taking the infinite distance limit of the generalised Narain's moduli space. Accordingly, in order for the gravitational bulk theories dual to the ensemble averaging to be consistent, the boundary global symmetries  $G_{global}$  must be broken down:

$$G_{global} \quad \rightarrow \quad \{I_{id}\} \quad (2.28)$$

This can be done through two equivalent ways as described in [16]. Either one must settle the effective theory at *finite* distances in the moduli space [ $D(\mathbf{p}) < \infty$ ] for which  $g_{YM} \neq (g_{YM}^{asymptote} \rightarrow 0)$ . Or consider fluctuations away from the average (2.14) through deviations  $f(\tau, \tilde{\tau})$  measured via the Roekle-Selberg spectral decomposition [28, 29] as follows:

$$f(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) = \tau_2^{(p+q)/4} \delta\vartheta_Q \quad (2.29)$$

where:

$$\delta\vartheta_Q = \langle \vartheta_Q(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_Q} - E_Q(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \quad (2.30)$$

If we take into consideration the relation linking the imaginary component of the torus  $\tau_2$  with the inverse of temperature  $\tau_2 = 1/T$ , the fluctuations become temperature dependent. In fact large fluctuations  $f(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \gg 0$  correspond to  $\tau_2 \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e low temperatures  $T \rightarrow 0$ . Inversely, at high temperatures  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , we get soft fluctuations  $f(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore, the fluctuations average out at high temperatures where  $\Theta(\tau, \tilde{\tau})_{\mathcal{M}_Q}$  is superimposed with the quantity  $E_Q(\tau, \tilde{\tau})$ . We will discuss this in more details in terms of the density of states in **subsection 4.2**.

This intrinsic tie linking ensemble averages with the Swampland program might be the key to enhance our holographic understanding of the Swampland conjectures. The criteria on the other hand may help us to put constraints on the averaged ensemble and its gravitational dual. Yet, it also raises doubts on whether this link is confined to specific Swampland constraints like the no global symmetries conjecture and should not be generalised for all the Swampland program criteria. Before delving into this point, we shall first build a gravitational dual given by Einstein gravity coupled to Abelian CS gauge fields in this next section to introduce cosmological solutions such as BTZ black holes.

### 3 Gravitational dual of averaged $\mathcal{GNCF}$ 's

The 3D bulk abelian  $U(1)^{p+q}$  Chern-simons theory of the averaged CFTs is only an approximation that had been successful in reproducing many results of the yet to be established 3D gravitational theory [20], [45]-[49]. An in-depth description of the nature of the bulk falls outside the scope of this paper; instead we will :

- (i) think about the values of this 3D abelian  $U(1)^{p+q}$  gauge CS theory in terms of an *asymptotic* effective diagonal description of a larger non abelian CS theory with potential  $A_\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_B A_\mu^B(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{t}_B$  and bulk gauge symmetry  $G_{gauge} = G_L \times G_R$  containing  $U(1)^{p+q}$  as a subsymmetry; and
- (ii) look for diagonal boundary solutions  $A_\mu^{(bnd)}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \sum_B \delta_\mu^\alpha \mathbf{a}_\alpha^B(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_B$  solving AdS/CFT conditions that can be roughly imagined in terms of the asymptotic limit of the bulk potential as follows

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} A_\mu(\mathbf{x}) = A_\mu^{(bnd)}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \quad , \quad \mathbf{x} = (t; \rho, \varphi) \quad , \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} = (t; \varphi) \quad (3.1)$$

with  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  parameterising the boundary surface (here a cylinder) and  $A_\mu^{(bnd)} = \delta_\mu^\alpha \mathbf{a}_\alpha(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) + b^{-1} \partial_\mu b$  as well as  $A_\rho^{(bnd)} = b^{-1} \partial b / \partial \rho$  with gauge transformation  $b$ . Schematically, we have

fields \ spacetime	bulk $(t; \rho, \varphi)$	boundary $(t; \varphi)$	
gauge symmetry	$G_L \times G_R$	$U(1)_L^p \times U(1)_R^q$	(3.2)
potentials	$A_{\mu L} \oplus A_{\mu R}$	$\mathbf{a}_{\alpha L} \oplus \mathbf{a}_{\alpha R}$	

In this regard, we notice that it has been argued in [38] that an  $\text{AdS}_3$  gravitational dual of the averaged CFT can be found provided that the boundary potential living on

$\partial\text{AdS}_3$  sits in the diagonal subgroup  $U(1)^{p+q}$  of the asymptotic gauge symmetry for the case where  $p = q$ . Our proposal goes in this direction; and consequently it constitutes for us a basic algorithm in the construction of the gravitational dual of averaged  $\mathcal{GNCF}$ Ts with moduli space as in eq(2.5) with  $p \neq q$ .

### 3.1 Realising the asymptotic limit (3.1)

To deal with the asymptotic limit (3.1), we think about it in terms of two boundary conditions **(BC1)** and **(BC2)**.

First, the boundary potential  $A_\mu^{(bnd)}$  is related to bulk field  $A_\mu = \sum_{\text{B}} A_\mu^{\text{B}} \mathbf{t}_{\text{B}}$  by a gauge transformation with group elements  $b = b(\mathbf{x})$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(BC1)} : \quad A_\mu^{(bnd)} &= b^{-1} A_\mu b + b^{-1} \partial_\mu b \\ A_\rho^{(bnd)} &= b^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} b \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where the radial component  $A_\rho^{(bnd)}$  is a pure gauge transformation; this implies that the 3 components of  $A_\mu^{(bnd)}$  can be split as  $(A_\rho^{(bnd)}, \mathbf{a}_\alpha)$  with  $\mathbf{a}_\alpha = (\mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{a}_\varphi)$  and radial component  $\mathbf{a}_\rho = 0$ .

Second, the boundary field  $A_\mu^{(bnd)}$  is required to be a diagonal potential; that is commuting gauge fields  $[A_\mu^{(bnd)}, A_\nu^{(bnd)}] = 0$ . As such it must be valued in the Cartan subalgebra of the gauge symmetry group  $G_{gauge}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(BC2)} : \quad A_\mu^{(bnd)} &= \sum_{\text{B}} \mathbf{a}_\mu^{\text{B}}(\sigma) \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\text{B}} \\ A_\rho^{(bnd)} &= b^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} b \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

with  $\mathbf{Q}_{\text{B}}$ 's obeying

$$[\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\text{B}}, \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\text{C}}] = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

they generate the asymptotic boundary gauge symmetry  $U(1)^{p+q}$ . Notice also the following features:

**A)** the boundary conditions **(BC1)** and **(BC2)** put a strong constraint on the allowed values of the group elements  $b(\mathbf{x})$ . As example, we give this transformation for the bulk gauge sub-symmetry  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_L \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})_R$  contained in  $G_{gauge}$ ; it has the form [18]

$$b(\mathbf{x}) = e^{-\frac{1}{\zeta} L_+} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \rho L_-} \quad , \quad \tilde{b}(\mathbf{x}) = e^{+\frac{1}{\zeta} L_+} e^{+\frac{1}{2} \rho L_-} \quad (3.6)$$

with  $L_{0,\pm}$  being the generators of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  satisfying  $[L_n, L_m] = (n - m) L_{n+m}$ .

B) Because of boundary conformal invariance, the two components of boundary potentials  $\mathbf{a}_\alpha = \sum \mathbf{a}_\alpha^B \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_B$  with label  $\alpha = t, \sigma$  must be formulated in terms of conserved affine chiral and anti-chiral abelian currents  $\mathbf{j}_z$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{j}}_{\bar{z}}$ . This means that the natural frame to deal with the boundary potential is given by  $\sigma^\pm = \varphi \pm t$ . As such, the local dependence of  $\mathbf{a}_\alpha$  decomposes like

$$\mathbf{a}_\alpha = \mathbf{a}_+ \oplus \mathbf{a}_- \quad (3.7)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^-} \mathbf{a}_+ &= 0 & \Rightarrow & \mathbf{a}_+ = \mathbf{a}_+(\sigma^+) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^+} \mathbf{a}_- &= 0 & & \mathbf{a}_- = \mathbf{a}_-(\sigma^-) \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

These features imply that  $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}$  decomposes in turn into left and right components like  $(\mathbf{Q}_L, \mathbf{Q}_R)$  with

$$\mathbf{Q}_L = (\mathbf{Q}_i)_{1 \leq i \leq p}, \quad \mathbf{Q}_R = (\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{\bar{i}})_{1 \leq \bar{i} \leq q} \quad (3.9)$$

where the  $\mathbf{Q}_L$  generates the asymptotic  $U(1)_L^p$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_R$  concerns the boundary  $U(1)_R^q$ .

In this regard, it is important to note that when considering this diagonal abelian boundary symmetry as the product of two distinct left and right group sectors, we must distinguish between two arising situations:

(i) theories with  $p = q = D$  having an exact left/right symmetry  $\mathbf{Q}_L \leftrightarrow \mathbf{Q}_R$ . This case can be associated with Narain CFTs whose moduli space is given by (2.1). It permits to split (3.4) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_+ &= \sum_{i=1}^D \mathbf{a}_+^i \mathbf{Q}_{L_i} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^D \mathbf{a}_+^i \mathbf{Q}_i \\ \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_- &= \sum_{i=1}^D \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_-^i \mathbf{Q}_{R_{\bar{i}}} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^D \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_-^i \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{\bar{i}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

By performing the Wick rotation  $t = it_E$ , the  $\sigma^+$  is mapped to the complex  $z = \varphi + it_E$  and  $\mathbf{a}_+$  gets replaced by  $\mathbf{a}_z$ . Similarly, the  $\sigma^-$  gets mapped into its complex conjugate  $\bar{z}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_-$  will be replaced by  $\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{\bar{z}}$ . Moreover, denoting by  $c_L$  and  $c_R$  the conformal anomalies in the left and right sectors, the difference

$$\Delta c = |c_L - c_R|, \quad \Delta c = 0 \quad (3.11)$$

vanishes as the theories possess equal right and left degrees of freedom. Below, we think about this vanishing value as a consistency condition.

(ii) Conformal models with  $p \neq q$  and  $D = (p + q)/2$  where left and right sectors are dissymmetric having non vanishing  $\Delta c = |c_L - c_R|$ ; thus violating (3.11). This is an anomalous defect which has to be addressed. Notice that in this situation, we either have  $p < q$  or  $p > q$  for which  $\Delta = (p - q)/2$  is non vanishing. To overcome this difficulty, one may either cancel the anomalies using the inflow mechanism [6, 9] or consider adding fermions to reinstate  $\Delta c_{tot} = 0$ . Following the later, we ensure the validity of (3.11) by adding (left or right) fermions to restore balance between  $c_L$  and  $c_R$ . For  $D = (p + q)/2$  to be an integer rank,  $p$  and  $q$  must have *the same parity*; that is both even or both odd. These are the theories associated with the generalised Narain CFTs with generalised moduli space as in (2.5). Here, the diagonal constraint (BC2) allows the boundary potential (3.4) to expand as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_z &= \sum_{i=1}^p \mathbf{Q}_i a_z^i(z) \\ \mathbf{a}_{\bar{z}} &= \sum_{\bar{i}=1}^q \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_{\bar{i}} \bar{a}_{\bar{z}}^{\bar{i}}(\bar{z}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

with

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} a_z^i = 0 \quad , \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \bar{a}_{\bar{z}}^{\bar{i}} = 0 \quad (3.13)$$

We solve these boundary conditions in terms of compactified 2D conformal with scalar and chiral fermi fields meaning that  $a_z^i$  and  $\bar{a}_{\bar{z}}^{\bar{i}}$  have realisations in terms of scalars  $(X^i, \bar{Y}^{\bar{i}})$  and fermions  $(\psi_L, \bar{\chi}_R)$ . As illustration, we consider the case  $p < q$  with  $D = (p + q)/2$  integer and set  $q = p + 2n$ ; we have the splitting

$$a_z = (a_z)_{scalar} + (a_z)_{fermi} \quad , \quad \bar{a}_{\bar{z}} = (\bar{a}_{\bar{z}})_{scalar} \quad (3.14)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} (a_z^i)_{scalar} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z} X^i \quad , \quad 1 \leq i \leq p \\ (a_z^\theta)_{fermi} &= \bar{\psi}_{z/2}^\theta \psi_{z/2}^\theta \quad , \quad 1 \leq \theta \leq 2n \\ (\bar{a}_{\bar{z}}^{\bar{i}})_{scalar} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \bar{Y}^{\bar{i}} \quad , \quad 1 \leq \bar{i} \leq q + 2n \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} X^i = 0 \quad , \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_{z/2}^\theta = 0 \quad , \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \bar{Y}^{\bar{i}} = 0 \quad (3.16)$$

giving the free field equations of  $X^i$ ,  $\psi_{z/2}^\theta$ ,  $\bar{\psi}_{z/2}^\theta$  and  $\bar{Y}^{\bar{i}}$ . Subsequently, we conceal the fermionic contribution and focus only on the scalar field contributions.

### 3.2 3D gravity coupled to $p + q - 2$ abelian potentials

An interesting field representation of the boundary constraint (3.3-3.4) is given by the spin s=2 Einstein gravity on AdS<sub>3</sub> coupled to the 3d gauge fields  $A_L(\mathbf{x}) \oplus A_R(\mathbf{x})$  valued

in the Lie algebra of the abelian gauge symmetry

$$G_{abelian} = U(1)_L^{p-1} \times U(1)_R^{q-1} \quad (3.17)$$

In this subsection, we will go over this new set up, derive the resulting asymptotic algebra  $\mathcal{G}_{asympt}$  and study the solutions to the equations of motion of AdS<sub>3</sub> gravity coupled to the  $G_{abelian}$  CS gauge radiations.

We begin by considering the ordinary spin  $s = 2$  Einstein gravity on  $Y = \text{AdS}_3$  coupled to  $U(1)^{p-1} \times U(1)^{q-1}$  gauge fields with 3D field action given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}[g_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu, \tilde{A}_\mu] &= \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \int_Y \sqrt{-g} (R - 2\Lambda) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_Y A^i Q_{ij} dA^j \\ &- \sum_{a=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_Y \tilde{A}^a \tilde{Q}_{ab} d\tilde{A}^b \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

where

$$Q_{ij} = \kappa_i \delta_{ij} \quad , \quad \tilde{Q}_{ab} = \tilde{\kappa}_a \delta_{ab} \quad (3.19)$$

with  $\kappa_i$  and  $\tilde{\kappa}_a$  CS levels. We intend to develop the investigation of (3.18) in the Chern-Simons framework [50, 51] where spin  $s=2$  gravity is described by two  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  gauge fields denoted by the 1-form potentials  $A_{sl_2}$  and  $\tilde{A}_{sl_2}$ . In this description, the two  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  potentials are related to the  $\mathfrak{so}(1,2)$  spin connection form  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$  and the Dreibein  $\mathbf{e}$  as follows:

$$A_{sl_2} = \boldsymbol{\omega} + \frac{1}{l_{AdS}} \mathbf{e} \quad , \quad \tilde{A}_{sl_2} = \boldsymbol{\omega} - \frac{1}{l_{AdS}} \mathbf{e} \quad (3.20)$$

In terms of these 1-form left and right Chern-Simons potentials  $A_{sl_2}$  and  $\tilde{A}_{sl_2}$ , the pure gravity field action  $\mathcal{S}_{grav}$  takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \int_Y \sqrt{-g} (R - 2\Lambda) &= + \frac{\kappa_0}{4\pi} \int_Y \text{tr} \left( A_{sl_2} dA_{sl_2} + \frac{2}{3} A_{sl_2}^3 \right) \\ &- \frac{\tilde{\kappa}_0}{4\pi} \int_Y \text{tr} \left( \tilde{A}_{sl_2} d\tilde{A} + \frac{2}{3} \tilde{A}_{sl_2}^3 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

with 3D Newton constant  $G_N$  and Chern Simons level  $\kappa_0$  linked to one another and to  $l_{AdS}$  as follows

$$\kappa_0 = \frac{l_{AdS_3}}{4G_N} \quad , \quad \Lambda = -\frac{1}{l_{AdS_3}^2} \quad (3.22)$$

The resulting gauge theory with total action  $CS$  given by eqs(3.18,3.21) can be therefore expressed as the difference of two CS theories  $CS_L - CS_R$  described by distinct left and right potentials  $\mathbf{A}_L, \mathbf{A}_R$  splitting as

$$\mathbf{A}_L = A_{sl_2} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} A^i \mathbf{Q}_i \quad , \quad \mathbf{A}_R = \tilde{A}_{sl_2} \oplus \sum_{a=1}^{q-1} \tilde{A}^a \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_a \quad (3.23)$$

The left-handed  $CS_L$  has a non abelian gauge symmetry  $G_L = SL(2, \mathbb{R})_L \times U(1)^{p-1}$  while the right-handed  $CS_R$  has a different non abelian gauge symmetry  $G_R = SL(2, \mathbb{R})_R \times U(1)^{q-1}$ . To deal with the boundary constraint relations (3.3-3.4), we use as bulk coordinates the spherical  $x^\mu = (t, \rho, \varphi)$  and as boundary surface ones, corresponding to the asymptotic limit  $\rho \rightarrow \rho_\infty$ , the variables  $\sigma^\alpha = (t_E, \varphi)$ . Here  $t_E$  is the euclidian time component and  $\varphi$  an angular variable taking values in  $[0, 2\pi[$ .

Asymptotically ( $\rho \rightarrow \rho_\infty$ ), the bulk CS gauge field  $A_\mu = (A_\rho, A_\alpha)$  with  $A_\alpha = (A_t; A_\varphi)$  and its fellow  $\tilde{A}_\mu = (\tilde{A}_\rho, \tilde{A}_\alpha)$  with  $\tilde{A}_\alpha = (\tilde{A}_t; \tilde{A}_\varphi)$  take the boundary values

$$\begin{aligned} A_\alpha(t, \rho, \varphi) &\rightarrow A_\alpha^{(bnd)} = a_\alpha(\sigma^+, \sigma^-) \\ A_\rho(t, \rho, \varphi) &\rightarrow A_\rho^{(bnd)} = a_\rho = cte \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_\alpha(t, \rho, \varphi) &\rightarrow \tilde{A}_\alpha^{(bnd)} = \tilde{a}_\alpha(\sigma^+, \sigma^-) \\ \tilde{A}_\rho(t, \rho, \varphi) &\rightarrow \tilde{A}_\rho^{(bnd)} = \tilde{a}_\rho = cte \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

with boundary potentials  $a_\alpha$  and  $\tilde{a}_\alpha$  function only of the chiral and antichiral variables  $\sigma^\pm = \varphi \pm t/l_{AdS}$  taken as in (3.12). By using the Wick rotation  $t = it_E$ , the variable  $\sigma^+$  gets mapped to the holomorphic  $z = \varphi + it_E/l_{AdS}$  and the  $\sigma^-$  to its complex conjugate  $\bar{z} = \varphi - it_E/l_{AdS}$ .

Applying the gauge transformation (3.3-3.4) to the  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})^2 \times U(1)^{p+q}$  Chern-Simons gauge theory  $CS_L - CS_R$ , we have the asymptotic left and right boundary potentials

$$A^{(bnd)} = b^{-1} (d + a) b \quad , \quad \tilde{A}^{(bnd)} = \tilde{b}^{-1} (d + \tilde{a}) \tilde{b} \quad (3.26)$$

with  $b(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\tilde{b}(\mathbf{x})$  some gauge transformations of  $sl(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \tilde{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ ; given by eq(3.6). Notice that as far as the boundary conditions of the CS potentials are concerned, one has several possible choices; **(i)** the Grumiller-Riegler gauge where  $b = e^{L-} e^{\rho L_0}$  with  $L_{0,\pm}$  generating  $sl(2, \mathbb{R})$  satisfying the commutation relation  $[L_n, L_m] = (n - m) L_{n+m}$ . **(ii)** the Bañados choice  $b = e^{\rho L_0}$  or **(iii)** the non spherical gauge  $b = e^{-L_+/\zeta_-} e^{-\rho L_-/2}$  with constant  $\zeta_-$ ; for more details see [18, 52]. The choices **(i)** and **(ii)** lead to non-diagonal boundary gauge potentials; they are not considered here.

For the bulk gauge fields (3.23), the boundary potentials  $A^{(bnd)}$  and  $\tilde{A}^{(bnd)}$  are chosen to obey the diagonal boundary conditions with 1-form potentials  $a = a_z dz$  and  $\tilde{a} = \tilde{a}_{\bar{z}} d\bar{z}$  valued in the Cartan subalgebra of the gauge symmetry:

$$a(z) \in u(1)_L \oplus u(1)_L^{\oplus(p-1)} \quad , \quad \tilde{a}(\bar{z}) \in u(1)_R \oplus u(1)_R^{\oplus(q-1)} \quad (3.27)$$

Explicitly, they are expressed as [53–55]:

$$a_z = \mathcal{J}_z^0 L_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \mathcal{J}_z^i \mathbf{Q}_i \quad , \quad \tilde{a}_{\bar{z}} = \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\bar{z}}^0 L_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\bar{z}}^i \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_i \quad (3.28)$$

where  $\{\mathbf{Q}_i\}$  and  $\{\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_i\}$  generate  $u(1)^{\oplus(q-1)}$  and  $u(1)^{\oplus(p-1)}$  respectively. In these relations, the functions  $(\mathcal{J}_z^0, \mathcal{J}_z^i)$  and  $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\bar{z}}^0, \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\bar{z}}^i)$  are conserved (anti) chiral currents expanding in Laurent modes like

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_z^0 &= \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} \\ m+1}} z^{-m-1} J_m & \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\bar{z}}^0 &= \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} \\ m+1}} \bar{z}^{-m-1} \bar{J}_m \\ \mathcal{J}_z^i &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-m-1} J_m^i & \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\bar{z}}^i &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{z}^{-m-1} \bar{J}_m^i \end{aligned} \quad , \quad (3.29)$$

Following the derivation of the asymptotic algebra algorithm, this yields two copies of the asymptotic  $U(1)_L^p \times U(1)_R^q$  affine algebra extending the  $U(1)^{2D}$  of [38]. It is generated by the conserved charges  $(J_m, \bar{J}_m)$  and given as follows:

$$i \{J_n^i, J_m^j\} = \frac{\kappa_i}{2} n \delta^{ij} \delta_{m+n,0} \quad , \quad i \{\bar{J}_n^a, \bar{J}_m^b\} = \frac{\tilde{\kappa}_a}{2} n \delta^{ab} \delta_{m+n,0} \quad (3.30)$$

where  $i, j = 0, 1 \dots p-1$  and  $a, b = 0, 1 \dots q-1$ . And similarly to standard Narain CFTs, the currents are formed from compact bosons as  $J^i(z) := \partial X^i$  and  $\tilde{J}^i(\bar{z}) := \bar{\partial} \bar{Y}^i$  as well as  $J^a(z) = \bar{\psi}_{z/2}^\theta \psi_{z/2}^\theta$  for  $p < q$ ; see also eq(3.15). Also, it must be noted that the inaugural Brown-Henneaux boundary conformal symmetry emerges as a composite invariance through a twisted Sugawara construction [53]-[56].

Therefore, the boundary conditions (3.28) with symmetries  $\mathbf{A}'_{bnd} = h_{bnd}^{-1} (d + \mathbf{A}_{bnd}) h_{bnd}$  are implied by the gravitational bulk after performing gauge transformations valued in  $G_L \times G_R$  as shown below,

bulk		boundary
$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})$	$\xrightarrow{b(\mathbf{x})}$	$\mathbf{A}_{bnd}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = b^{-1} (d + \mathbf{a}) b$
$\downarrow h(\mathbf{x})$		$\downarrow h_{bnd}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$
$\mathbf{A}' = h^{-1} (d + \mathbf{A}) h$	$\xrightarrow{b'(\mathbf{x})}$	$\mathbf{A}'_{bnd} = h_{bnd}^{-1} (d + \mathbf{A}_{bnd}) h_{bnd}$

(3.31)

Using the boundary condition  $\mathbf{A}_{bnd} = b^{-1} (d + \mathbf{a}) b$  and its image  $\mathbf{A}'_{bnd} = b'^{-1} (d + \mathbf{a}') b'$  under the bulk group element  $h(\mathbf{x})$ , we learn from this above diagram the relationship  $h_{bnd} = b^{-1} h b'$ .

Moreover using (3.18,3.21), the bulk action reads as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}[g_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu, \tilde{A}_\mu] &= \frac{\kappa}{4\pi} \int_Y tr \left( A_{sl_2} dA_{sl_2} + \frac{2}{3} A_{sl_2}^3 \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_Y A^i Q_{ij} dA^j \\
&\quad + \frac{\kappa}{4\pi} \int_Y tr \left( -\tilde{A}_{sl_2} d\tilde{A} - \frac{2}{3} \tilde{A}_{sl_2}^3 \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{a=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_Y \tilde{A}^a \tilde{Q}_{ab} d\tilde{A}^b
\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

This 3D field action can be presented in a condensed form by exploiting the properties of the gauge symmetry

$$[sl(2, \mathbb{R})_L \times U(1)_L^{p-1}] \times [sl(2, \mathbb{R})_R \times U(1)_R^{q-1}]$$

In fact, using the 1-form potentials  $\mathbf{A} = A_{sl_2} \oplus A_{u(1)^{p-1}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \tilde{A}_{sl_2} \oplus \tilde{A}_{u(1)^{q-1}}$ , the field action becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}[g_{\mu\nu}, \mathbf{A}_\mu, \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_\mu] &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_Y \sum_{i,j=0}^{p-1} Q_{ij} tr \left( \mathbf{A}^i d\mathbf{A}^j + \frac{2}{3} \mathbf{A}^i \wedge \mathbf{A}^j \wedge \mathbf{A} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_Y \sum_{a,b=0}^{q-1} \tilde{Q}_{ab} tr \left( \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^a d\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^b + \frac{2}{3} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^a \wedge \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^b \wedge \mathbf{A} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

where

$$Q_{ij} = \kappa_i \delta_{ij} \quad , \quad \tilde{Q}_{ab} = -\tilde{\kappa}_a \delta_{ab} \tag{3.34}$$

with  $\tilde{\kappa}_0 = \kappa_0$ .

### 3.3 Partition function and cosmological solution

Based on the previous analysis we draw a significant conclusion, the asymptotic limit of the Einstein-Chern-Simons theory in the Chern-Simons formulation (3.33) with diagonal boundary conditions is given by an averaged free 2D CFT over generalised Narain lattice. This can be exhibited through an AdS/CFT correspondence-like relation where  $A_{sl_2}^{bnd}$  is expressed in the diagonal basis as follows [38]:

$$\sum_{Y \in \mathbb{J}} Z_Y^{SL(2, \mathbb{R})^2 \times U(1)^{p+q-2}}(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) = \sum_{Y \in \mathbb{J}} \int_Y [Dg_{\mu\nu}] \prod_{L=1}^{p+q} [DA_{\mu L}] e^{-\mathcal{S}_E[g_{\mu\nu}, A_{\mu L}]} \tag{3.35}$$

$$= \sum_{Y \in \mathbb{J}} Z_Y^{U(1)^{p+q}}(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \tag{3.36}$$

$$= \langle Z_{\Sigma=\partial Y}(m, \tau, \tilde{\tau}) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_Q} \tag{3.37}$$

with  $\mathbb{J}$  defining the set of different topologies of thermal  $Y$  invariant under  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})/P$  such that  $P$  is the subgroup generated by the translation  $\tau \rightarrow \tau + 1$ .

Furthermore, regarding the solutions to the equations of motion, the CS terms in the Einstein-Chern-Simons gravity theory do not couple to the metric and hence the Einstein equations with a negative cosmological constant remain as in the pure  $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  gravity case. The most general solution is given by [38]:

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + \frac{l^2}{4} \left( \mathcal{J}^2 dx^2 + \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^2 d\tilde{x}^2 - 2 \cosh\left(\frac{2r}{l}\right) \mathcal{J} \tilde{\mathcal{J}} dx d\tilde{x} \right) \quad (3.38)$$

and therefore still contains the generic BTZ black hole metric [57] as a part of the spectrum. However, for our setting, the black hole is now charged under  $U(1)^{p-1} \times U(1)^{q-1}$ .

In the upcoming section, we will discuss the thermodynamical peculiarities of  $\text{AdS}_D$  black holes with a focus on the BTZ black holes of  $\text{AdS}_3$  using the dual ensemble averaging and Swampland principles.

## 4 BTZ threshold and WGC on fluctuating ensemble average

So far, we have established two main results: (i) in order to break the emerging global symmetries  $G_{global}$  of the averaged ensemble, one must consider fluctuations  $f$  away from the ensemble average with deviations given by (2.29). And that (ii) there exists a gravitational bulk dual provided by Einstein-Chern-Simons theory (3.18) with charged BTZ black hole solution under the  $U(1)^{p-1} \times U(1)^{q-1}$  symmetry.

Our aim for this section is two folded: First, we study the decay of the BTZ black hole and its discharge in accordance with the instability of non supersymmetric AdS and the weak gravity conjectures using modular invariance considered in [32]. Then, in light of the decaying black hole solution, we investigate the bulk physics giving rise to the fluctuations around the ensemble average and the significance of the deviations (2.29). It turns out that they are induced by the coupling of topological gravity to abelian Chern-Simons potentials with boundary conditions sourced by chemical potentials. As a consistency check; we end the section by analysing the torus density of states with regard to the averaged ensemble to identify the fluctuations states labeled by the charges of  $U(1)^{p+q-2}$ .

### 4.1 Constraints from modular invariance

According to the non supersymmetric AdS conjecture, any non supersymmetric AdS space—as well as locally lookalike AdS geometries—must exhibit some sort of instability.

Given the isometry linking the BTZ black hole to the AdS<sub>3</sub> geometry, it is intriguing to see if the BTZ black hole is truly unstable. In [30], one distinguishes two types of black holes in AdS spaces; large AdS black holes that are stable as they are in equilibrium with their thermal bath, and unstable small black holes with mass  $M_{BH}$  in need of radiating some of their charge  $\mathbf{Q}_{BH} \in U(1)^{p-1} \times U(1)^{q-1}$ . The Swampland weak gravity conjecture regulate their discharge by requiring the emission of super-extremal particles state  $|M, \mathbf{q}\rangle$  with a mass  $M$  to charge  $\mathbf{q}$  ratio as:

$$\|\mathbf{q}\| \geq \frac{M}{M_{BH}} \|\mathbf{Q}_{BH}\| \quad (4.1)$$

Assuming that our BTZ black hole carrying a  $U(1)^{p-1} \times U(1)^{q-1}$  charge has a small horizon in comparison with the AdS<sub>3</sub> radius ( $r_{BH} \ll l_{AdS_3}$ ), it is therefore unstable and in need of discharging. The weak gravity constraint governing its decay was computed in [30, 32] and we will briefly review its computation subsequently.

The derivation is based on requiring the dual CFT to be modular invariant which is usual for CFTs dual to gravitational theories on threefolds  $Y$ . Actually, the AdS/CFT prescription instructs us to sum over every 3D geometry  $Y$  with the same asymptotic behavior which correspond to considering a boundary with a torus topology. Generally, in AdS/CFT, the partition function  $Z_{CFT}(\tau, \tilde{\tau}; \boldsymbol{\mu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$  includes black hole states in a  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  multiplet and it is modular invariant; here  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{p-1})$  and  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = (\tilde{\mu}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mu}_{q-1})$  are fugacities associated with extra  $U(1)_L^{p-1} \times U(1)_R^{q-1}$  charges. The additional  $U(1)^{p+q-2}$  sector is also modular invariant which makes the charge lattice spanned by the charge vectors  $\mathbf{q}$  even and *self-dual* [58, 59].

For our setting, as we have already established, see table (2.21), the genus-one partition function associated with generalised Narain CFTs are generally non-modular invariant. This is due to the random choice of the quadratic form  $\mathfrak{Q}^{p,q}$  corresponding to non-self dual lattices. To restore the modular invariance, we can either (i) impose that the even integer lattices have to be self-dual like Niemeier lattices for instance [23]. Or (ii) think about the generalised CFT as a building block of a more encompassing CFT with a modular invariant partition function. For instance, one can combine a generalised Narain CFT with quadratic form  $\mathfrak{Q}_{l,l}^{(p,q)} = \sum_{A,B=1}^{p+q} l^A Q_{AB}^{(p,q)} l^B$  with a "conjugate" one having  $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{l,l}^{(p,q)} = -\mathfrak{Q}_{l,l}^{(p,q)}$  form [36]. In fact, this last proposal is more natural to us as it is automatically fulfilled by virtue of building the gravitational AdS<sub>3</sub> dual with the two left and right sectors based respectively on  $Q$  and  $\tilde{Q}$ , see (3.33) with  $Q_{AB}^{(p,q)}$  and  $\tilde{Q}_{AB}^{(p,q)}$ , up to  $O(p, q)$  transformations. It can be thought of as

$$Q_{AB}^{(p,q)} \sim \kappa_A \eta_{AB} \quad , \quad \tilde{Q}_{AB}^{(p,q)} \sim -\tilde{\kappa}_A \eta_{AB} \quad (4.2)$$

where here  $\eta_{\text{AB}} = \text{diag}(+p, -q)$  obeys  $O^T \eta O = \eta$  with  $O$  being an orthogonal transformation; see [60] for equivalent realisations.

The associated genus-one partition function  $Z_Y^{SL(2, \mathbb{R})^2 \times U(1)^{p+q-2}}$  of the generalised CFT (3.36) with symmetry  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})^2 \times U(1)^{p+q-2}$ , at the tree level is given by:

$$Z_Y(\tau, \tilde{\tau}; \boldsymbol{\mu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) = \text{Tr} \left[ q^{h-\frac{c}{24}} y^{\mathbf{Q}} q^{-\tilde{h}-\frac{c}{24}} \tilde{y}^{\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}} \right] \quad (4.3)$$

where the  $\mathbf{Q} \in U(1)^{p-1}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}} \in U(1)^{q-1}$  are the charges and  $y^{\mathbf{Q}} = \exp(2\pi i \boldsymbol{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{Q})$  and  $\tilde{y}^{\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}} = \exp(-2\pi i \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{Q}})$  are the associated fugacities with chemical potential vectors  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ ,  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ . A priori, as discussed in length in [32], it is not obvious how this flavored partition function transforms under modular transformations. However, it has been proved that it obeys the following universal rules:

$$Z_Y(\tau, \tilde{\tau}; \boldsymbol{\mu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) = Z_Y(\tau + 1, \tilde{\tau} + 1; \boldsymbol{\mu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) \quad (4.4)$$

$$Z_Y(\tau, \tilde{\tau}; \boldsymbol{\mu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) = e^{-\frac{i\pi}{\tau} \boldsymbol{\mu}^2 + \frac{i\pi}{\tilde{\tau}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^2} Z_Y\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}}; \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}}{\tau}, \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}}{\tilde{\tau}}\right) \quad (4.5)$$

$$Z_Y(\tau, \tilde{\tau}; \boldsymbol{\mu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) = Z_Y(\tau, \tilde{\tau}; \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\rho}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \quad \forall (\boldsymbol{\rho}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \in \Gamma \quad (4.6)$$

where  $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}^{p+q-2}$  is the period lattice. Combining the above formulas, we get:

$$Z_Y(\tau, \tilde{\tau}; \boldsymbol{\mu} + \tau \boldsymbol{\rho}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} + \tilde{\tau} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) = e^{-2i\pi \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\rho} - i\pi \rho^2 \tau + 2i\pi \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - i\pi \tilde{\rho}^2 \tilde{\tau}} Z_Y(\tau, \tilde{\tau}; \boldsymbol{\mu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) \quad (4.7)$$

To see this, we start from (4.3), and compute  $Z_Y(\tau, \tilde{\tau}; \boldsymbol{\mu} + \tau \boldsymbol{\rho}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} + \tilde{\tau} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}})$  while using (4.4-4.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-\left(\frac{\pi i}{\tau} \boldsymbol{\mu}^2 + i\pi \tau \rho^2 + 2i\pi \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\rho}\right) + \left(\frac{\pi i}{\tilde{\tau}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^2 + i\pi \tilde{\tau} \tilde{\rho}^2 + 2i\pi \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}\right)} \times Z_Y\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}}; \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu} + \tau \boldsymbol{\rho}}{\tau}, \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} + \tilde{\tau} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}}{\tilde{\tau}}\right) \\ &= e^{-2i\pi i \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\rho} - \pi i \rho^2 \tau + 2i\pi i \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}} + \pi i \tilde{\rho}^2 \tilde{\tau}} \times Z_Y(\tau, \tilde{\tau}; \boldsymbol{\mu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

By putting  $\hat{h} \equiv h - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Q}^2$  and  $\hat{\tilde{h}} \equiv \tilde{h} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}^2$ , we express (4.3) like

$$Z_Y(\tau, \tilde{\tau}; \boldsymbol{\mu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) = \text{Tr} \left[ \left( q^{\hat{h}-\frac{c}{24}} q^{\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Q}^2} y^{\mathbf{Q}} \right) \left( \tilde{q}^{-\hat{\tilde{h}}-\frac{c}{24}} \tilde{q}^{\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}^2} \tilde{y}^{\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}} \right) \right] \quad (4.9)$$

then using (4.4-4.6), we end up with

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{+2i\pi i \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\rho} + \pi i \rho^2 \tau - 2i\pi i \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \pi i \tilde{\rho}^2 \tilde{\tau}} \times Z_Y(\tau, \tilde{\tau}; \boldsymbol{\mu} + \tau \boldsymbol{\rho}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} + \tilde{\tau} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \\ &= e^{+2i\pi i \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\rho} + \pi i \rho^2 \tau - 2i\pi i \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \pi i \tilde{\rho}^2 \tilde{\tau}} \text{Tr} \left[ \left( q^{\hat{h}-\frac{c}{24}} q^{\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Q}^2} q^{\mathbf{Q} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}} y^{\mathbf{Q}} \right) \left( \tilde{q}^{-\hat{\tilde{h}}-\frac{c}{24}} \tilde{q}^{\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}^2} \tilde{y}^{\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}} \tilde{q}^{\tilde{\mathbf{Q}} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}} \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

and then

$$Z_Y(\tau, \tilde{\tau}; \boldsymbol{\mu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) = \text{Tr} \left[ \left( q^{\hat{h}-\frac{c}{24}} q^{\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Q}^2} y^{\mathbf{Q}} \right) \left( \tilde{q}^{-\hat{\tilde{h}}-\frac{c}{24}} \tilde{q}^{\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}^2} \tilde{y}^{\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}} \right) \right] \quad (4.11)$$

$$= \text{Tr} \left[ \left( q^{\hat{h}-\frac{c}{24}} q^{\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{Q} + \boldsymbol{\rho})^2} y^{\mathbf{Q} + \boldsymbol{\rho}} \right) \left( \tilde{q}^{-\hat{\tilde{h}}-\frac{c}{24}} \tilde{q}^{\frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\mathbf{Q}} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}})^2} \tilde{y}^{\tilde{\mathbf{Q}} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}} \right) \right] \quad (4.12)$$

showing that, for fixed  $\hat{h}$ ,  $\widehat{h}$ , the spectrum is invariant under translations by charge vectors in the lattice  $\Gamma$  namely

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q} &\rightarrow \mathbf{Q}' = \mathbf{Q} + \boldsymbol{\rho} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{Q}} &\rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}' = \tilde{\mathbf{Q}} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \end{aligned} \quad \text{for } (\boldsymbol{\rho}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \in \Gamma \quad (4.13)$$

One can therefore encode the whole spectrum of the theory via the spectral flow [59]. The integer lattice  $\Gamma^*$ , which is dual to the even integer charge lattice  $\Gamma$ , is given by:

$$\Gamma^* = \{(\mathbf{Q}, \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}) \mid \forall (\boldsymbol{\rho}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \in \Gamma \quad \boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \mathbf{Q} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{Q}} \in \mathbb{Z}\} \quad (4.14)$$

It is generally different from  $\Gamma$  in the sense that it contains it as a sublattice ( $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma^*$ ); the charge vectors  $(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}})$  obey the usual even integer constraint relation  $\boldsymbol{\rho}^2 - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}^2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ . To build the spectrum, we start with the (massless and chargeless) graviton state  $|0, \mathbf{0}\rangle$  with vanishing  $\mathbf{Q} = \tilde{\mathbf{Q}} = 0$ , the above map (4.13) generates a tower of quantum states with *weight* and *charge* related as follows [32, 61]:

$$\frac{\alpha'}{4}M^2 = \frac{1}{2} \max(\mathbf{Q}^2, \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}^2) - 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\alpha'}{4}M^2 < \frac{1}{2} \max(\mathbf{Q}^2, \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}^2) \quad (4.15)$$


reminiscent of the mass formula of a toroidal Narain compactification of the bosonic string. In [30, 32, 62], it was proved that these states are super-extremal and do exist below the black hole threshold as they verify:

$$\frac{\alpha'}{4}M_{BH}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \max(\mathbf{Q}^2, \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}^2) > \frac{\alpha'}{4}M^2 \quad (4.16)$$

This constraint represents the sublattice weak gravity conjecture in  $\text{AdS}_3$  with superextremal particles populating the sublattice  $\Gamma^*$ . It must be emphasised that the superextremal states below the black hole threshold stem from the gauged symmetry  $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times U(1)^{p+q-2}$ . At finite distances of the moduli space, this symmetry is gauged and the associated states will not be included in the ensemble averaging governed by global symmetries. And the superextremal states  $\mathbf{Q} \neq \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}} \neq \mathbf{0}$  of the sublattice  $\Gamma^*$  can be identified with the fluctuations away from the average given by the deviations:

$$f(\tau, \tilde{\tau}; \boldsymbol{\mu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) = \tau_2^{(p+q)/4} \left[ \langle \vartheta(m, \tau, \tilde{\tau}; \boldsymbol{\mu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_\Omega} - E_s(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \right] \neq 0 \quad (4.17)$$

If we disregard the fugacities ( $\boldsymbol{\mu} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ ;  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ ), the fluctuations diminish. The states contributing to the averaging are above the black hole threshold as they are subextremal states that violate the WGC. There is in consequence no ensemble averaging below the



**Figure 1:** Charged states  $|M, \mathbf{q}, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}\rangle$  below the black hole threshold  $M_{BH}$  populates a sublattice in the dual charge lattice  $\Gamma^*$  verifying the WGC. These states amplify the fluctuations away from the average.

black hole threshold and only heavy states ( $M \geq M_{BH}$ ) are averaged. Therefore, the fluctuations corresponding to light states ( $M \leq M_{BH}$ ) below the black hole threshold allow small black holes in  $\text{AdS}_3$  to evaporate; see Figure 1:

In a consistent theory of 3D quantum gravity, one must therefore consider fluctuations besides the ensemble of averaged CFTs not only to break the emerging global symmetries as we have seen previously, but to also generate super-extremal states so that we can insure the evaporation of black holes.

To provide further arguments on the identification (4.16)=(4.17), we study the torus density of states  $\rho(\Delta, \tilde{\Delta}, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}})$  to show that light states below the black hole threshold do in fact dominate the fluctuations away from the averaging of the ensemble.

## 4.2 Torus density of states

In this part, we aim to study the spectrum of states at the asymptotic limit of the 3D manifold having a torus boundary. We first consider the partition function (4.3) in terms of the density of states as follows

$$Z_Y = \text{Tr} \left( q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}} y^{\hat{Q}_L} q^{-\bar{L}_0 - \frac{c}{24}} \tilde{y}^{\hat{Q}_R} \right) \quad (4.18)$$

$$= \sum_{\Delta, \tilde{\Delta}, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} \rho(\Delta, \tilde{\Delta}, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}) e^{2\pi i \Delta \tau} e^{-2\pi i \tilde{\Delta} \bar{\tau}} e^{2\pi i \boldsymbol{\mu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}} e^{-2\pi i \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} \quad (4.19)$$

Here,  $\rho(\Delta, \tilde{\Delta}, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}})$  denotes the number of states characterised by conformal weights  $\Delta$ ,  $\tilde{\Delta}$  and charge vectors  $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ ,  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ ; they correspond to the eigenvalues of the conformal  $(L_0, \bar{L}_0)$

and the charge  $(\hat{Q}_L, \hat{Q}_R)$  operators on the eigenstates  $|\Delta, \boldsymbol{\nu}\rangle \otimes |\tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\rangle$ :

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 |\Delta, \tilde{\Delta}, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\rangle &= \Delta |\Delta, \tilde{\Delta}, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\rangle \\ \hat{Q}_L |\Delta, \tilde{\Delta}, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\rangle &= \boldsymbol{\nu} |\Delta, \tilde{\Delta}, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

and similarly for the right homologue. The density of states is approximated given by the extended Cardy's formula reading as follows [63]:

$$\log \left[ \rho(\Delta, \tilde{\Delta}, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}) \right] \approx 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{c_L}{6} \left( \Delta - \frac{c_L}{24} - \frac{\boldsymbol{\nu}^2}{6k} \right)} + 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{c_R}{6} \left( \tilde{\Delta} - \frac{c_R}{24} - \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^2}{6\tilde{k}} \right)} \quad (4.21)$$

where  $c_L = p$ ,  $c_R = q$  and charged vectors  $(\boldsymbol{\nu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}})$  of the symmetry group  $U(1)^{p-1} \times U(1)^{q-1}$ .

#### 4.2.1 Characteristic properties of $\rho(\Delta, \tilde{\Delta}, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}})$


To declutter the above Cardy formula, let us set  $\Delta' = \Delta - \frac{c_L}{24}$  and  $\tilde{\Delta}' = \tilde{\Delta} - \frac{c_R}{24}$  to remove the shifts by  $c/24$  for a more streamlined discussion. Substituting into (4.21), the logarithm of the density becomes

$$\log \left[ \rho(\Delta', \tilde{\Delta}', \boldsymbol{\nu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}) \right] \approx 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{p}{6} \Delta' \left( 1 - \frac{\boldsymbol{\nu}^2}{6k\Delta'} \right)} + 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{q}{6} \tilde{\Delta}' \left( 1 - \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^2}{6\tilde{k}\tilde{\Delta}'} \right)} \quad (4.22)$$

indicating that  $\rho$  is a function of  $(\Delta', \tilde{\Delta}')$  and the charge vectors  $(\boldsymbol{\nu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}})$ . This expression exhibits a remarkable constraint on the allowed values of the density in terms of  $(\Delta', \tilde{\Delta}', \boldsymbol{\nu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}})$ . Using the positivity of  $(\Delta', \tilde{\Delta}')$  for unitary representations, and the fact that  $U(1)$  is a compact symmetry, we conclude that  $k, \tilde{k} > 0$ . Therefore, not all charge vectors  $(\boldsymbol{\nu}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}})$  are permitted. This restriction arises from the minus signs in the square roots of the above equation (4.22). For fixed weights  $(\Delta', \tilde{\Delta}')$ , the allowed charges must satisfy the conditions:

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{\nu}^2}{\boldsymbol{\nu}_0^2} \leq 1 \quad , \quad \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^2}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}_0^2} \leq 1 \quad (4.23)$$

where we set  $\boldsymbol{\nu}_0^2 := 6k\Delta'$  and  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}_0^2 := 6\tilde{k}\tilde{\Delta}'$ . The permitted values form a compact set given by the cross product of two half-balls  $\mathbb{B}_L^p \times \mathbb{B}_R^q$  with radii  $\|\boldsymbol{\nu}_0\|$  and  $\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}_0\|$  respectively [recall that  $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_p)$  and  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = (\tilde{\nu}_1, \dots, \tilde{\nu}_q)$ ]. A schematic representation of the half  $\mathbb{B}_L^p$  is depicted in Figure 2. For the special case  $\Delta' = \tilde{\Delta}' = 0$ , corresponding to massless states, the charge vectors  $\boldsymbol{\nu}$  and  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$  must also vanish. In this instance, the corresponding quantum state is given by the ground state  $|0, \mathbf{0}\rangle$  describing the graviton with a density equal to one ( $\rho = 1$ ).



**Figure 2:** A schematic representation of the allowed U(1) charges for quantum eigenstates  $|\Delta, \nu\rangle$  for a given weight  $\Delta'$  and varying charge  $\nu$  (pink area). The boundary charge values are given by  $\pm\nu_0 = \pm\sqrt{6k\Delta'}$ .

Furthermore, by setting  $k = \tilde{k} = 1$ , one can rewrite the constraint relations (4.23) like

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^2 + \tilde{\nu}^2 &\leq \nu_0^2 + \tilde{\nu}_0^2 &\Rightarrow \quad \nu^2 + \tilde{\nu}^2 &\leq 6(\Delta' + \tilde{\Delta}') \\ \nu^2 - \tilde{\nu}^2 &\leq \nu_0^2 - \tilde{\nu}_0^2 &&\quad \nu^2 - \tilde{\nu}^2 &\leq 6(\Delta' - \tilde{\Delta}') \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Putting  $\nu^2 = 12Q^2$  and  $\tilde{\nu}^2 = 12\tilde{Q}^2$  as well as  $\nu^2/\nu_0^2 = 2Q^2/\Delta'$  and  $\tilde{\nu}^2/\tilde{\nu}_0^2 = 2\tilde{Q}^2/\tilde{\Delta}'$  in the above relationships, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} Q^2 + \tilde{Q}^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2}(\Delta' + \tilde{\Delta}') \\ Q^2 - \tilde{Q}^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2}(\Delta' - \tilde{\Delta}') \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

which, after substituting  $\frac{\alpha'}{4}M = (\Delta' + \tilde{\Delta}')/2$  and  $J = (\Delta' - \tilde{\Delta}')/2$ , lead to:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha'}{2}M^2 &\geq Q^2 + \tilde{Q}^2 \\ J &\geq Q^2 - \tilde{Q}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

implying in turns that

$$\frac{\alpha'}{2}M^2 \geq \max(Q^2, \tilde{Q}^2) \quad (4.27)$$

Comparing this result with the inequalities (4.15-4.16), in particular  $\frac{\alpha'}{2}M^2 < \max(Q^2, \tilde{Q}^2)$ , we conclude that the states  $|\Delta', \nu\rangle$  within the pink phase of the Figure 2 are ruled out by the WGC. This result holds as well for generic values of  $k$  and  $\tilde{k}$  because the analysis for  $k = \tilde{k} = 1$  extends straightforwardly to higher values by substituting  $\nu^2 = 12kQ^2$  and  $\tilde{\nu}^2 = 12\tilde{k}\tilde{Q}^2$ ; thus leading to the relations  $\nu^2/\nu_0^2 = 2Q^2/\Delta'$  and  $\tilde{\nu}^2/\tilde{\nu}_0^2 = 2\tilde{Q}^2/\tilde{\Delta}'$  which are identical to those descending from the case  $k = \tilde{k} = 1$ .

In summary, the results obtained for the density  $\rho$  have been based on the constraints (4.23) and the derived states are found to be excluded by the WGC. This raises an important question regarding the charged states allowed by the WGC and their derivation from the density. This issue will be addressed in the following discussion.

### 4.2.2 Beyond Cardy formula (4.22)

During the evaporation of an unstable BTZ, charged states are emitted. This naturally raises the question: Where do these evaporated states go? This inquiry becomes particularly relevant given that the states identified earlier, represented by the pink region of the Figure 2, are forbidden by the WGC.

To address this, we start by recalling that for unitary representations characterised by positive weights  $(\Delta', \tilde{\Delta}')$  and positive CS integers  $k, \tilde{k}$ , the U(1) charges of the additional Chern-Simons potentials are constrained as shown in (4.26-4.27). Accordingly, the evaporated charged states must extend beyond these conditions. Although not explicitly derived from Cardy's formula, we demonstrate below that the evaporated states allowed by the WGC are indeed encapsulated within the formula (4.22).

To derive these states, we draw inspiration from the study of phase transitions in topological matter [64]-[68]. Adapting this idea to topological gravity coupled to abelian CS potentials, we reinterpret the upper bounds on the charges in (4.23),

$$\frac{\nu^2}{\nu_0^2} = 1 \quad , \quad \frac{\tilde{\nu}^2}{\tilde{\nu}_0^2} = 1, \quad (4.28)$$

as permeable barriers that can be crossed by topological states. This novel interpretation can be implemented by replacing the standard relationship (4.22) by the following relaxed one


$$\log \left[ \rho(\Delta, \tilde{\Delta}, \nu, \tilde{\nu}) \right] \approx 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{p}{6} \Delta' \left| 1 - \frac{\nu^2}{\nu_0^2} \right|} + 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{q}{6} \tilde{\Delta}' \left| 1 - \frac{\tilde{\nu}^2}{\tilde{\nu}_0^2} \right|} \quad (4.29)$$

where the argument  $1 - \nu^2/\nu_0^2$  is substituted by its absolute value  $|1 - \nu^2/\nu_0^2|$ , and similarly for the right homologue. As a result, the quantity  $1 - \nu^2/\nu_0^2$  gets rotated by an angle  $\varphi = \pi \bmod 2\pi$  when crossing the barriers (4.28), causing the density  $\log \rho$  to rotate in turn by an angle  $\varphi/2 = \pi/2 \bmod \pi$ .

This new relaxed expression allows the charge vectors  $(\nu, \tilde{\nu})$  to take values beyond the bounds (4.23). In addition to  $\nu^2 < \nu_0^2$  and  $\tilde{\nu}^2 < \tilde{\nu}_0^2$ , we now also accommodate  $\nu_0^2 < \nu^2$  and  $\tilde{\nu}_0^2 < \tilde{\nu}^2$  corresponding to  $\frac{\alpha'}{2} M^2 \leq \nu^2 + \tilde{\nu}^2$  and further to the condition  $\frac{\alpha'}{2} M^2 \leq \max(\nu^2, \tilde{\nu}^2)$ , which can be expressed as follows

$$\frac{\alpha'}{4} M^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \max(Q^2, \tilde{Q}^2) \quad (4.30)$$

in agreement with the WGC. The set of charged states allowed by the WGC is illustrated in Figure 3, it is represented by the green shaded area.



**Figure 3:** A schematic representation of the allowed U(1) charges for the quantum eigenstates  $|\Delta, \nu\rangle$  for permeable barrier given by eq(4.28). The green area gives the charged states allowed by the WGC.

### Heavy and light charged states

From the above results, we identify two distinct phases according to the value of the weight in terms of the charges:


#### A) Phase with heavy states

These states satisfy the constraints  $\nu^2 \leq \nu_0^2$  and  $\tilde{\nu}^2 \leq \tilde{\nu}_0^2$ , implying that they have large weights seeing that  $\nu^2 \leq 6k\Delta'$  and  $\tilde{\nu}^2 \leq 6\tilde{k}\tilde{\Delta}'$ . As their mass exceeds their charge, they violate the weak gravity condition (4.16). Accordingly, they do not belong to the charge sublattice consistent with the WGC.

#### B) Phase with light states

Here, the states have smaller weights sitting in the domain  $\nu^2 \gtrsim 6k\Delta'$  and  $\tilde{\nu}^2 \gtrsim 6\tilde{k}\tilde{\Delta}'$ . They are super-extremal states that do belong to the charge sublattice verifying the WGC condition. They describe the emitted particles during the discharge of the charged BTZ black hole.

To display these phases, we plot the logarithm of density of states as a function of the weights for fixed values of the U(1) charges, focusing on the left sector with  $p = 6$ ,  $k = 1$ ; see Figure 4



**Figure 4:** Graphic representations of the logarithmic density of states in terms of the weight  $\Delta$  for different values of the charges  $\nu$ . The connected lines represent the function  $\log \rho = \sqrt{\Delta - \frac{\nu^2}{6}}$  (heavy states) and the dotted curves correspond to  $\log \rho = \sqrt{\frac{\nu^2}{6} - \Delta}$  (light states).

For smaller weights  $\Delta$ , the growth rate of the logarithmic density  $\log(\rho)$  decreases as the charges  $\nu$  increase. The density is therefore highly sensitive to the charges in the light regime leading to noticeable fluctuations. Considering an ensemble of  $\mathcal{GNCF}$ T's, where each theory is associated with a partition function expressed in terms of the density of states, we conclude the following:

- (i) The average is dominated by heavy states.
- (ii) Light states, being fewer in number, contribute less significantly in magnitude to the ensemble. However, their contributions are more variable, leading to deviations from the average.

## 5 Conclusion and comments

In conclusion, this paper builds on the results of [16] to further explore the connection between ensemble averaging of generalised Narain CFTs and the Swampland program. We began by performing a comparative study between standard and generalised Narain

CFTs where we highlighted the main differences in table (2.21) based on many aspects including type of lattices and modularity of the partition function.

Next, we studied the emergence of global symmetries from ensemble averaging with regard to the Swampland program. As this kind of symmetries are prohibited by the no global symmetry Swampland conjecture, one had to consider fluctuations away from the average to circumvent them. These fluctuations were expressed via deviations of the Siegel-Weil formula as showed in (2.29). However, one must also determine the bulk origin of such fluctuations and how they may arise from the bulk to the boundary.

In order to investigate the physical significance of the fluctuations introduced in [16] from the perspective of the bulk physics and identify the states giving rise to them, we first constructed the  $\text{AdS}_3$  gravitational dual. We considered Einstein  $\text{AdS}_3$  gravity coupled to a set of  $U(1)^{p+q-2}$  gauge fields in the Chern-Simons formulation and showed that upon imposing diagonal boundary conditions, the asymptotic symmetries are given by  $U(1)^{p+q}$  affine algebra (3.30) conforming to the bulk of the averaged ensemble of generalised Narain CFTs (2.7).

Moreover, it turned out that the new bulk theory bears charged BTZ black hole solutions (3.38) and that an evaporating BTZ black hole emits super-extremal states below the black hole threshold. In fact, using modular invariance, one can prove that the super-extremal states populate a sublattice as predicted by the Swampland sublattice weak gravity conjecture. Furthermore, the super-extremal particles emitted from the evaporating charged BTZ black hole generate the fluctuations around the average as ensemble averaging only concerns heavy states that exceed the black hole threshold. Fluctuations around this average can be therefore identified in the bulk with the emitted light states below the black hole threshold populating the sublattice (4.15).

As a consistency check, we studied the density of states to derive constraints on the charged vectors. To ensure alignment with the sublattice WGC, we proposed a revised logarithmic density based on treating upper bounds as permeable barriers. This allowed the computation of the WGC conditions from the density function and categorized states into two regimes. We distinguished between heavy states, which contribute to the average due to their large concentration, and light states that generate fluctuations around the average.

In the end, it would be interesting to examine the theory before and after the averaging. Studying the Swampland constraints on the gravitational dual prior to averaging, in the framework of the Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory, and then re-deriving them for the

averaged theory could offer further insights into how averaging influences the Swampland criteria.

## References

- [1] van Beest, M., Calderón-Infante, J., Mirfendereski, D., & Valenzuela, I. (2022). Lectures on the swampland program in string compactifications. *Physics Reports*, 989, 1-50.
- [2] Palti, E. (2019). The swampland: introduction and review. *Fortschritte der Physik*, 67(6), 1900037.
- [3] Conlon, J. P., & Quevedo, F. (2019). Putting the Boot into the Swampland. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2019(3), 1-31.
- [4] Conlon, J. P., Ning, S., & Revello, F. (2022). Exploring the holographic Swampland. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2022(4), 1-37.
- [5] Vafa, C. (2005). The String landscape and the swampland. arXiv preprint hep-th/0509212.
- [6] Kim, H. C., Tarazi, H. C., & Vafa, C. (2020). Four-dimensional  $N=4$  SYM theory and the swampland. *Physical Review D*, 102(2), 026003.
- [7] Hamada, Y., Montero, M., Vafa, C., & Valenzuela, I. (2022). Finiteness and the swampland. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 55(22), 224005.
- [8] Long, C., Montero, M., Vafa, C., & Valenzuela, I. (2023). The desert and the swampland. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2023(3), 1-26.
- [9] Sammani, R., Boujakhrou, Y., Laamara, R. A., & Drissi, L. B. (2024). Finiteness of 3D higher spin gravity Landscape. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 41(21), 215012.
- [10] Arkani-Hamed, N., Motl, L., Nicolis, A., & Vafa, C. (2007). The String landscape, black holes and gravity as the weakest force. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2007(06), 060.
- [11] Sammani, R., & Saidi, E. H. (2024). Higher spin swampland conjecture for massive AdS  $S_{-3}$  gravity. arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.09151. Under consideration in *Scipost physics*.
- [12] Ooguri, H., & Vafa, C. (2007). On the Geometry of the String Landscape and the Swampland. *Nuclear physics B*, 766(1-3), 21-33.
- [13] Álvarez-García, R., Blumenhagen, R., Kneissl, C., Makridou, A., & Schlechter, L. (2022). Swampland conjectures for an almost topological gravity theory. *Physics Letters B*, 825, 136861.

- [14] Ibáñez, L. E., Martín-Lozano, V., & Valenzuela, I. (2017). Constraining neutrino masses, the cosmological constant and BSM physics from the weak gravity conjecture. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2017(11), 1-40.
- [15] Gonzalo, E., Herráez, A., & Ibáñez, L. E. (2018). AdS-phobia, the WGC, the Standard Model and Supersymmetry. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2018(6), 1-49.
- [16] Ashwinkumar, M., Leedom, J. M., & Yamazaki, M. (2024). Duality origami: emergent ensemble symmetries in holography and swampland. *Physics Letters B*, 856, 138935.
- [17] Sammani, R., Boujakhrou, Y., Saidi, E. H., Laamara, R. A., & Drissi, L. B. (2023). Higher spin AdS 3 gravity and Tits-Satake diagrams. *Physical Review D*, 108(10), 106019.
- [18] Sammani, R., & Saidi, E.H. (2024). Black flowers and real forms of higher spin symmetries. *J. High Energ. Phys.* 2024, 44
- [19] Afkhami-Jeddi, N., Cohn, H., Hartman, T., & Tajdini, A. (2021). Free partition functions and an averaged holographic duality. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2021(1), 1-43.
- [20] Maloney, A., & Witten, E. (2020). Averaging over Narain moduli space. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2020(10), 1-47.
- [21] Teitelboim, C. (1983). Gravitation and hamiltonian structure in two spacetime dimensions. *Physics Letters B*, 126(1-2), 41-45.  
Jackiw, R. (1984). Liouville field theory: A two-dimensional model for gravity?, in *Quantum Theory Of Gravity*, S. Christensen, ed., (Bristol), pp. 403–420, Adam Hilger.
- [22] Saad, P., Shenker, S. H., & Stanford, D. (2019). JT gravity as a matrix integral. arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.11115.
- [23] Ashwinkumar, M., Dodelson, M., Kidambi, A., Leedom, J. M., & Yamazaki, M. (2021). Chern-Simons invariants from ensemble averages. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2021(8), 1-34.
- [24] Banks, T., & Seiberg, N. (2011). Symmetries and strings in field theory and gravity. *Physical Review D—Particles, Fields, Gravitation, and Cosmology*, 83(8), 084019.
- [25] Siegel, C. L. (1951). Indefinite quadratische formen und funktionentheorie I. *Mathematische Annalen*, 124(1), 17-54.
- [26] Weil, A. (1964). Sur certains groupes d'opérateurs unitaires. *Acta math*, 111(143-211), 14.

- [27] Weil, A. (1965). Sur la formule de Siegel dans la théorie des groupes classiques. *Acta math*, 113(1-87), 2.
- [28] Roelcke, W. (1966). Das Eigenwertproblem der automorphen Formen in der hyperbolischen Ebene, I. *Mathematische Annalen*, 167(4), 292-337.
- [29] Roelcke, W. (1967). Das Eigenwertproblem der automorphen Formen in der hyperbolischen Ebene. II. *Mathematische Annalen*, 168(1), 261-324.
- [30] Montero, M., Shiu, G., & Soler, P. (2016). The weak gravity conjecture in three dimensions. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2016(10), 1-36.
- [31] Charkaoui, M., Sammani, R., Saidi, E. H., and Laamara, R. A. (2024). Asymptotic Weak Gravity Conjecture in M-theory on  $K 3 \times K 3$ . *Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics*, 2024(7), 073B08.
- [32] Heidenreich, B., & Lotito, M. (2024). Proving the Weak Gravity Conjecture in Perturbative String Theory, Part I: The Bosonic String. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.14449*.
- [33] Ooguri, H., & Vafa, C. (2016). Non-supersymmetric AdS and the Swampland. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.01533*.  
Freivogel, B., & Kleban, M. (2016). *Vacua morghulis*. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.04564*.
- [34] Gava, E., Narain, K. S., & Sarmadi, M. H. (2002). Little string theories in heterotic backgrounds. *Nuclear Physics B*, 626(1-2), 3-25.
- [35] Hamada, Y., & Ishige, A. (2024). Investigating 9d/8d non-supersymmetric branes and theories from supersymmetric heterotic strings. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.04770*.
- [36] Ashwinkumar, M., Kidambi, A., Leedom, J. M., & Yamazaki, M. (2023). Generalized Narain Theories Decoded: Discussions on Eisenstein series, Characteristics, Orbifolds, Discriminants and Ensembles in any Dimension. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.00699*.
- [37] Zamolodchikov, A. (1986). Irreversibility of the Flux of the Renormalization Group in a 2D Field Theory. *JETP Lett.* 43 730–732.
- [38] Pérez, A., & Troncoso, R. (2020). Gravitational dual of averaged free CFT's over the Narain lattice. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2020(11), 1-12.
- [39] Dijkgraaf, R., & Witten, E. (1990). Topological gauge theories and group cohomology. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 129, 393-429.
- [40] Belov, D., & Moore, G. W. (2005). Classification of abelian spin Chern-Simons theories. *arXiv preprint hep-th/0505235*.
- [41] Kashiwara, M., & Vergne, M. (1978). On the Segal-Shale-Weil representations and harmonic polynomials. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 44(1), 1-47.

- [42] Howe, R. (1989). Remarks on classical invariant theory. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 313(2), 539-570.
- [43] Adams, J. D. (1983). Discrete spectrum of the reductive dual pair  $(O(p, q), Sp(2m))$ . *Inventiones mathematicae*, 74, 449-475.
- [44] Israel, W. (1967). Event horizons in static vacuum space-times. *Physical review*, 164(5), 1776.
- [45] Aharony, O., Dymarsky, A., & Shapere, A. D. (2024). Holographic description of Narain CFTs and their code-based ensembles. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2024(5), 1-46.
- [46] Angelinos, N., Chakraborty, D., & Dymarsky, A. (2022). Optimal Narain CFTs from codes. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2022(11), 1-22.
- [47] Dymarsky, A., & Shapere, A. (2021). Comments on the holographic description of Narain theories. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2021(10), 1-26.
- [48] El Hassan Saidi, Complex  $D(2,1;\zeta)$  and spin chain solutions from Chern-Simons theory, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 2025, arXiv:2409.14862v1 [hep-th]
- [49] Hartman, T., Keller, C. A., & Stoica, B. (2014). Universal spectrum of 2d conformal field theory in the large  $c$  limit. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2014(9), 1-29.
- [50] Achucarro, A., and Townsend, P. K. Extended supergravities in  $d=2+1$  as Chern-Simons theories. *Physics Letters B*, 229(4), 383-387. (1989).
- [51] Witten, E.  $2+1$  dimensional gravity as an exactly soluble system. *Nuclear Physics B*, 311(1), 46-78. (1988).
- [52] Y. Boujakhrou, R. Sammani, E. H Saidi, *Physica Scripta* (2024), Volume 99, Issue 11, id.115256, 14 pp, arXiv:2410.23604v1 [hep-th].
- [53] Afshar, H., Detournay, S., Grumiller, D., Merbis, W., Perez, A., Tempo, D., & Troncoso, R. (2016). Soft Heisenberg hair on black holes in three dimensions. *Physical Review D*, 93(10), 101503.
- [54] E.H. Saidi, M. Zakkari, The Virasoro algebra from harmonic superspace, *Phys.Rev.D* 46 (1992) 777-785, doi = {10.1103/PhysRevD.46.777}; *Int.J.Mod.Phys.A* 6 (1991) 3175-3200, doi = "10.1142/S0217751X91001544"  
El Hassan Saidi, *J. Math. Phys.* 55, 012301 (2014), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4862743>.
- [55] Grumiller, D., Pérez, A., Prohazka, S., Tempo, D., & Troncoso, R. (2016). Higher spin black holes with soft hair. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2016(10), 1-25.
- [56] Brown, J. D., & Henneaux, M. (1986). Central charges in the canonical realization of

- asymptotic symmetries: An example from three dimensional gravity. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 104, 207-226.
- [57] Banados, M., Teitelboim, C., and Zanelli, J. Black hole in three-dimensional spacetime. *Physical Review Letters*, 69(13), 1849, (1992)  
Banados, M. (1999, July). Three-dimensional quantum geometry and black holes. In *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 484, No. 1, pp. 147-169). American Institute of Physics.
- [58] Ibanez, L. E., & Uranga, A. M. (2012). *String theory and particle physics: An introduction to string phenomenology*. Cambridge University Press.
- [59] Polchinski, J. (1998). *String theory, vol 2. Superstring theory and beyond* Cambridge. *Monographs on Mathematical Physics* (Cambridge University Press, 2007).
- [60] Saidi, E. H., & Sammani, R. (2024). Classification of Narain CFTs and Ensemble Average. arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.13932.
- [61] Harlow, D., Heidenreich, B., Reece, M., & Rudelius, T. (2022). The weak gravity conjecture: a review. arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.08380.
- [62] Heidenreich, B., Reece, M., & Rudelius, T. (2016). Evidence for a lattice weak gravity conjecture. arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.08437.
- [63] Hristov, K., & Pozzi, R. G. (2024). Warped AdS<sub>3</sub> black hole thermodynamics and the charged Cardy formula. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.07150.
- [64] E. Witten, Three Lectures On Topological Phases Of Matter, *La Rivista del Nuovo Cimento*, 39 (2016) 313-370, arXiv:1510.07698
- [65] A. P. Schnyder, S. Ryu, A. Furusaki, and A. W. W. Ludwig, *Physical Review B* 78, 195125 (2008)  
Kane, C. L.; Mele, E. J. (2005). "Z<sub>2</sub> Topological Order and the Quantum Spin Hall Effect". *Physical Review Letters*. 95 (14): 146802,
- [66] L B Drissi and E H Saidi, A signature index for third order topological insulators, 2020 *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 32 365704 DOI 10.1088/1361-648X/ab9057.  
Lalla Btissam Drissi, El Hassan Saidi, Domain Walls in Topological Tri-hinge Matter, *European Physical Journal Plus* 136, (68) (2021), arXiv:2206.11984v1 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci]
- [67] F. von Oppen, Y. Peng, F. Pientka, Topological superconducting phases in one dimension, *Topological Aspects of Condensed Matter Physics: Lecture Notes of the Les Houches Summer School* (2017)
- [68] J. Langbehn, Y. Peng, L. Trifunovic, F. von Oppen, and P. W. Brouwer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 119, 246401 (2017).