

Large Language Models for Knowledge Graph Embedding Techniques, Methods, and Challenges: A Survey

*Note: Sub-titles are not captured in Xplore and should not be used

1st Bingchen Liu
School of Software
Shandong University
Jinan, China
lbcrf2018@126.com

2nd Xin Li
School of Software
Shandong University
Jinan, China
lx@sdu.edu.cn

Abstract—Large Language Models (LLMs) have attracted a lot of attention in various fields due to their superior performance, aiming to train hundreds of millions or more parameters on large amounts of text data to understand and generate natural language. As the superior performance of LLMs becomes apparent, they are increasingly being applied to knowledge graph embedding (KGE) related tasks to improve the processing results. As a deep learning model in the field of Natural Language Processing (NLP), it learns a large amount of textual data to predict the next word or generate content related to a given text. However, LLMs have recently been invoked to varying degrees in different types of KGE related scenarios such as multi-modal KGE and open KGE according to their task characteristics. In this paper, we investigate a wide range of approaches for performing LLMs-related tasks in different types of KGE scenarios. To better compare the various approaches, we summarize each KGE scenario in a classification. In addition to the categorization methods, we provide a tabular overview of the methods and their source code links for a more direct comparison. In the article we also discuss the applications in which the methods are mainly used and suggest several forward-looking directions for the development of this new research area.

Index Terms—component, formatting, style, styling, insert

I. INTRODUCTION

With the development of society and the advancement of science and technology, knowledge graphs (KGs) have been more and more widely used in applications containing rich semantic information in different fields. At present, KGs have been widely used in various fields such as social networks [1] [2], bioinformatics networks [3] [4], traffic flow prediction [5] [6] and have been proved to have superior performance. Traditional approaches to the above tasks often require learning based on knowledge graph embedding (KGE) representations first, and then performing related tasks based on the accurate KGE representations. However, deep learning models in the traditional approach often suffer from performance limitations due to their training scale.

Large Language Models (LLMs) are increasingly being applied to KGE related tasks to enhance their processing because of their superior performance. LLMs can be based on datasets several times larger than those of traditional models, and combined with the assistance of fine-tuning, calling cue words, etc., they can obtain even better performance compared to traditional predictive models for KGE tasks [7] [8] [10] [9] [11]. The aim of this paper is to provide a comprehensive overview and structural categorization of the various task approaches that have been applied to LLMs in different KGE scenarios.

This survey aims to provide a comprehensive overview of work on various types of KGE scenarios in the context of LLMs, which cover various aspects of KGE application scenarios. This survey provides an overview of the work of various types of KGE scenarios from the perspective of different ways of calling LLMs, covering all aspects of KGE use cases. The previous review [117] tried to classify LLMs and KGE from the perspective of enhancement methods, or some reviews [118] classified the different stages of KG enhancement and pre-trained language model enhancement based on LLMs. With the continuous progress of research, various emerging application scenarios of KGE are emerging. However, there is still a lack of LLMs classification overview of KGE representation learning in various application scenarios. There have also been a number of surveys about KGE or LLM before. Some articles have been investigated only for KGE aspects. For example, the review written by Liu et al. [12] discusses in detail the current application status, technical methods, practical applications, challenges, and future prospects of KGEs in industrial control system security situational awareness and decision-making. A review by Zhu et al. [13] summarized the KG entity alignment method based on representation learning, proposed a new framework, and compared the performance of different models on diverse datasets in detail, looking forward to future research paths. Some other articles have been investigated only for

some applications related to LLMs. For example, a review by Huang et al [14] explores the security and credibility of LLM in industrial applications, analyzed vulnerabilities and limitations, explored integrated and extended verification and validation techniques, and ensured the full lifecycle security of LLMs and its applications. This review by Kumar, P [15] comprehensively explores the applications of LLMs in language modeling, word embedding, and deep learning, analyzes their diverse applications in multiple fields and the limitations of existing methods, and proposes future research directions and potential progress. The above papers describe only one aspect of the investigation. However, our paper comprehensively investigates the novel approach of combining LLMs and KGE. In the meantime, we hope that readers can read the above paper if they are interested, as it will facilitate the reading and understanding of this thesis.

We introduce a more detailed literature survey based on the research foundation or survey provided by the aforementioned related papers, and we mainly focus on the current KGE application scenarios, and discuss different KGE application scenarios according to the extent of their invocations of LLMs, and realize a comprehensive investigation through these descriptions. This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we introduce some basic concepts related to this paper. In Section 3, we introduce KGE-related tasks; in Section 4, we discuss the application of LLMs in different KGE scenarios; in Section 5, we propose some possible future applications and research directions. Finally, we conclude the paper in Section 6.

II. PRELIMINARIES

To facilitate the reader’s understanding, we introduce relevant basic concepts in this chapter. We summarize all acronyms used throughout the manuscript in Table VII.

A. Large Language Models (LLMs)

LLMs can be defined as a type of artificial intelligence technology based on deep learning, trained on massive text corpora to generate and understand natural language. Its’ basic process involves extracting language patterns from large-scale data, modeling them with neural networks, and generating high-quality language outputs based on user inputs. Its’ applications span various fields, including text generation [16], machine translation [17], question answering [18], sentiment analysis [19], and content summarization [20]. Compared to traditional methods, LLMs offer superior contextual understanding, higher output quality, and enhanced transfer learning capabilities. LLMs can be categorized into the following main types:

1) *Text Generation Models* [21]: Such as the GPT series, these models specialize in generating coherent natural language text and are commonly used in chatbots, article continuation, and content creation.

2) *Pre-trained Language Models* [22]: Such as BERT, these models utilize bidirectional encoders to understand text context and are widely applied in sentiment analysis, question answering tasks, and text classification.

3) *Multi-modal Language Models* [23]: Such as CLIP and DALL-E, these combine image and text information to achieve cross-modal generation and understanding, including tasks like image-text pairing and image creation.

4) *Large-scale Retrieval-augmented Models* [24]: Such as RETRO, these incorporate external knowledge bases during text generation to enhance accuracy and reliability.

5) *Small Task-specific Models* [25]: For instance, T5, which is fine-tuned for specific tasks, providing efficient solutions for tasks like semantic parsing and machine translation.

Each category is optimized for specific needs, offering unique advantages and technical features.

B. Heterogeneous Information Network (HIN)

HIN can be defined as a network structure that contains multiple types of nodes and edges, which is capable of modeling rich data types and complex relations in the real world. Compared with traditional homogeneous networks, HINs are able to capture the semantic information and structural features of data in a more comprehensive way [26], which provides new perspectives and methods for data mining and knowledge discovery. The relations between these nodes and edges are not just simple connections, but contain rich semantics, such as purchase, collection, favorite, and so on. Semantic mining can be performed in networks based on different methods to discover deeper patterns and knowledge, which are mainly categorized into four types: edge sampling-based representation strategies [27], path-based representation strategies [28], subgraph-based representation strategies [29], and deep learning-based representation strategies [30].

Research on HINs still faces many challenges and opportunities. Future research directions include how to construct and analyze more complex HINs more effectively [31], how to better integrate HINs with multimodal data and KGs [32], as well as how to develop more powerful tools and algorithms for analyzing HINs. In addition, the practical applications of HINs in the fields of business [33], cybersecurity [34], and medicine [35] are also important directions for future research.

III. KGE-RELATED TASKS

With the development of society and the progress of science and technology, graph data has been more and more widely used in various fields, such as social networks [36] [37], academic networks [38], biological networks [39], etc. Graph data in various domains often consists of multiple types of edges and nodes, which contains rich semantic information, and puts high demands on accurate graph data information representation in various types of downstream applications in different domains. Knowledge Graph is a graph-based structured semantic knowledge base that stores graph data of various domains in the form of (entity, relation, entity) ternary structure. KG can be regarded as a special kind of HIN in which entities and relations have clear semantic definitions. Meanwhile, the research methods and techniques of HIN can also be applied in the construction and analysis of KG.

KGE transforms entities and relations in KGs into embedded representations mapped to a low-dimensional continuous vector space. KGE can adequately capture the complex graph data structure and rich semantic information of various domains, and facilitates the management of the huge amount of graph data in different domains, use and maintenance. At present, in various fields such as medicine [40] [41], news media [42] [43], etc. KGE has been widely used in related applications, and combined with the technology of artificial intelligence, has become one of the core technologies of today's scientific and technological development. At present, some common famous datasets [44] [45] [46] contain entities and relations of the order of magnitude of millions of, including information about the location, music, etc., which are widely used in assessment tasks in a number of fields. In some specific assessment tasks, specific datasets are also used, such as the COMBO dataset used in the assessment task of the open KG [47].

The application of KGE in various fields is becoming more and more extensive. The current application of the task in various scenarios have made significant progress. We discuss several types of classic quest scenarios here.

A. Link Prediction

Link prediction is a core task in KG completion, aimed at predicting missing entity relation pairs (i.e., missing edges) in a KG [48]. Specifically, given a KG $G=(V, E)$, where V is the set of entities and E is the set of relations. The goal is to predict potential new entity relation pairs based on existing entities and relations. In the link prediction task, the formulas involved can be divided into three categories: the scoring function, loss function, and evaluation metrics of the model.

1) *Scoring function*: The scoring function is used to measure the effectiveness of a triplet (head entity, relation, tail entity).

TransE [49]:

$$\text{score}(h,r,t) = \Pi h + r - t\Pi$$

Consider the relation r as an embedding representing the translation between the head entity h and the tail entity t . Function: If $h + r \approx t$, then the score of the triplet (h, r, t) is low, indicating that the triplet is likely correct. On the contrary, a higher score indicates that the triplet is unlikely to be correct.

RotatE [50]:

$$\text{score}(h,r,t) = \Pi h o r - t\Pi$$

Consider the relation r as a rotation operation in the complex plane, and the head entity h should approach the tail entity t after rotating through the relation r . It can handle many types of relations (e.g., symmetric, antisymmetric, inverse, and so on) and is suitable for KGs that handle complex relation patterns.

DistMult [116]:

$$\text{score}(h,r,t) = h^T R r t$$

Assuming that the relation matrix R is a diagonal matrix simplifies the calculation of the model. Capturing the interaction between entities and relations through matrix multiplication is suitable for handling symmetric relations (such as "friend" relations).

Consider the relation r as a rotation operation in the complex plane, and the head entity h should approach the tail entity t after rotating through the relation r . It is also suitable for handling complex relation patterns.

2) *loss function*: The loss function is used to train the model so that positive samples score higher than negative samples.

Contrastive Loss [51]:

$$L = \sum_{(h,r,t) \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{(h',r',t') \in \mathcal{G}^-} \max(0, \gamma + s(h,r,t) - s(h',r',t'))$$

The contrastive loss aims to learn the representation of samples, so that the representation of positive sample pairs is close and the representation of negative sample pairs is far away. Avoiding overfitting: by introducing a boundary value m , contrastive loss can help the model avoid overfitting and ensure a minimum distance between negative sample pairs. Widely used in metric learning for tasks such as image recognition and natural language processing.

Cross entropy loss [52]:

$$L = - \sum_{i=1}^N y_i \log(\hat{y}_i)$$

Cross entropy loss is widely used in classification tasks, especially when the labels are one hot encoding. By minimizing cross entropy loss, the model can learn better parameters to make the predicted probability as close as possible to the true label. Cross entropy loss penalizes the logarithm of probability, allowing the model to focus more on accurate classification with higher probabilities in prediction.

3) *Evaluation indicators*: Evaluation metrics are used to measure the performance of models in link prediction tasks.

Mean Rank (MR) [53]:

$$MR = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}|} \sum_{(h,r,t) \in \mathcal{T}} \text{rank}(h,r,t)$$

Mean Rank is the average ranking predicted correctly. The lower the ranking, the higher the accuracy of the model's predictions. Unlike MRR, MR does not consider the reciprocal of rankings, making it more sensitive to predictions with lower rankings.

Area Under the Curve (AUC):

$$AUC = \int_0^1 \text{TPR}(FPR) dFPR$$

AUC measures the model's ability to distinguish between positive and negative samples. AUC provides a measure of global performance by calculating the proportion of positive samples scoring higher than negative samples. The closer the AUC value is to 1, the better the model performance.

Mean Average Precision (MAP):

$$\text{MAP} = \frac{1}{|Q|} \sum_{q \in Q} \text{AP}(q)$$

MAP is the average precision (AP) of all queries. It measures the overall prediction accuracy of the model in different queries and is particularly suitable for multi label classification tasks.

B. Entity Alignment

Entity Alignment is a key task in KG fusion. Its' goal is to find nodes (entities) representing the same real-world entities in multiple KGs and align them. [54] For example, the "Barack Obama" entity in one KG should correspond to the "Barack Obama" entity in another KG. Entity alignment is crucial for the integration of KGs, data fusion, and cross graph inference.

1) *Formula for embedding knowledge graph model:* KGE models map entities and relations in KGs to a low dimensional vector space.

Translation-based Embedding(TransE):

$$\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{r} \approx \mathbf{t}$$

In the embedding space, the vector addition of the head entity \mathbf{h} and the relation \mathbf{r} should be close to the vector of the tail entity \mathbf{t} . Its function is to embed entities and relations into a low dimensional space, facilitating subsequent similarity calculations.

RotatE Rotation-based Embedding:

$$\mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{r} \approx \mathbf{t}$$

The relation \mathbf{r} is regarded as a rotation operation in the complex plane, and the head entity \mathbf{h} should approach the tail entity \mathbf{t} after rotating through the relation \mathbf{r} . The function is to handle various types of relations such as symmetric, antisymmetric, and inverse relations.

Complex Embedding(ComplEx):

$$\text{Re}(\mathbf{h}^T \bar{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{t})$$

Use complex embedding to calculate the matching score between entities and relations. The function is applicable for handling asymmetric relations. These embedded models map entities and relations in KGs to vector spaces, providing the foundation for entity alignment.

2) *Similarity based detection formula:* In entity alignment tasks, it is usually necessary to calculate the similarity of entity embeddings in different KGs to determine whether they represent the same entity.

Cosine Similarity [55]:

$$\text{cosine similarity} = \frac{\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{\|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{B}\|}$$

Calculate the cosine value of the angle between two vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} , the larger the value, the higher the similarity. Used to compare the similarity of embedding vectors between two entities.

Euclidean Distance [56] :

$$d(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (A_i - B_i)^2}$$

Calculate the distance between two vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} in space, with smaller values indicating higher similarity. Used to measure the distance between entity embeddings.

Manhattan Distance [57]:

$$d(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) = \sum_{i=1}^n |A_i - B_i|$$

Calculate the absolute distance between two vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} in space, with smaller values indicating higher similarity. Used to measure the distance between entity embeddings.

3) *Evaluation indicator formula:* In entity alignment tasks, the following evaluation metrics are commonly used to measure the performance of the model:

Accuracy:

$$\text{accuracy} = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$$

The proportion of correctly predicted entity alignment quantities to the total entity alignment quantity. Measure the overall performance of the model in entity alignment tasks.

Mean Reciprocal Rank(MRR):

$$\text{MRR} = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{i=1}^Q \frac{1}{\text{rank}_i}$$

For each query entity, calculate the reciprocal ranking of its true matching entities and take the average of all query entities. Measure the performance of the model in many to many entity alignment tasks.

Hits@k:

$$\text{Hits@k} = \frac{C}{Q}$$

Calculate the proportion of correctly aligned entities in the top k results recommended by the model. Measure the recall capability of the model in entity alignment tasks. The KGE model formula maps entities and relations in the KG to a low dimensional vector space, providing a foundation for entity alignment. The similarity based detection formula can calculate the similarity of entity embeddings in different KGs and determine whether they represent the same entity. The evaluation index formula is used to measure the performance of entity alignment models and help select the optimal model.

C. KG Canonicalization

KG canonicalization is an important task in KG cleaning and integration. Its goal is to map multiple entities in KGs to a standardized embedding representation, eliminating redundant and inconsistent entity representations, thereby improving the quality and consistency of KGs. [58] For example, map different emdedding representations such as "New York City", "NYC", and "Big Apple" to the same normative entity. KG

canonicalization typically involves two main steps. The first step is to learn accurate embedding representations; In the second step, cluster based on the similarity of the embedding representations learned in the first step, and assign a unified embedding representation to each cluster.

1) *Formula for KGE model*: The KGE model maps entities and relations to a low dimensional vector space, facilitating similarity calculation and clustering. Common embedding models include:

Simplified Embedding Model (Simple):

$$\text{score}(h,r,t) = \vec{e}_h^T \vec{r} \vec{e}_t + \vec{e}_t^T \vec{r}^{ev} \vec{e}_h$$

Simple is a model that combines bidirectional information of head and tail entities, capable of handling both symmetric and asymmetric relations. Capturing the interaction between entities and relations through bidirectional embedding is suitable for KGE and entity alignment tasks.

Convolutional Embedding Model(ConvE) [59]:

$$\text{score}(h,r,t) = \mathbf{e}_t^T \cdot \text{vec}(\text{ReLU}(\text{Conv2D}(\mathbf{E}_{hr})))$$

ConvE captures local features of entities and relations through convolutional neural networks, making it suitable for handling complex relation patterns. Utilizing convolution operations to enhance the expressive power of embeddings is suitable for embedding and entity alignment tasks in large-scale KGs.

Quaternion Embedding Model(QuatE) [60]:

$$\text{score}(h,r,t) = \|\mathbf{q}_h \otimes \mathbf{q}_r - \mathbf{q}_t\|_2$$

QuatE captures the rotation and scaling characteristics of entities and relations through quaternion multiplication, making it suitable for handling multimodal relations. Utilizing the high-dimensional representation ability of quaternions to enhance the expression ability of embeddings, it is suitable for KGE tasks with complex relation types.

2) *Clustering formula*: In the process of KG canonicalization, clustering algorithms are used to group aligned entities and select canonical representations. Common clustering methods include K-means, hierarchical clustering, etc.

K-means clustering [61]:

$$J = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K r_{ik} \cdot \|x_i - \mu_k\|^2$$

The purpose of K-means clustering is to minimize the objective function (J), which is defined as the sum of squared distances from all data points to the center of their respective clusters. Calculate the Euclidean distance from each data point (x_i) to its cluster center (μ_k) through double summation, and only consider the data points assigned to a certain cluster through the indicator variable (r_{ik}). This optimization process aims to make the data points within the cluster as close to their centroids as possible, while keeping the centroids of different clusters as far away as possible. By iteratively updating cluster centers and reallocating data points, K-means

ultimately divides the data into K clusters, achieving locally optimal clustering results.

Hierarchical clustering [62]:

* Single Linkage

$$D_{\text{single}}(C_i, C_j) = \min_{x \in C_i, y \in C_j} d(x, y)$$

Among them (d(x, y) is the distance between entities (x and y). * Complete Linkage

$$D_{\text{complete}}(C_i, C_j) = \max_{x \in C_i, y \in C_j} d(x, y)$$

* Average Linkage

$$D_{\text{average}}(C_i, C_j) = \frac{1}{|C_i| \cdot |C_j|} \sum_{x \in C_i} \sum_{y \in C_j} d(x, y)$$

3) *Evaluation indicator formula*: The evaluation indicators for knowledge graph regularization include accuracy, recall, F1 score, etc.

Accuracy (Precision):

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FP}}$$

Accuracy is used to evaluate the accuracy of a model in predicting positive classes. High accuracy indicates that in the samples predicted as positive, most of them are indeed positive. Accuracy is mainly used to focus on the performance of the model in positive class prediction, especially in cases where there are few positive class samples.

Recall rate [63]:

$$\text{Recall} = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FN}}$$

The recall rate is used to evaluate the integrity of the model in identifying positive samples. A high recall rate indicates that the model can capture actual positive class samples well, reducing the situation of missing positive class samples. The recall rate is mainly used to focus on the performance of the model in identifying positive samples, especially in situations where there are few positive samples or the model needs to identify as many positive samples as possible.

F1 score:

$$\text{F1-Score} = 2 \cdot \frac{\text{P} \cdot \text{R}}{\text{P} + \text{R}}$$

The F1 score is used to evaluate the overall performance of the model in positive class prediction. It considers both accuracy and recall, thus balancing the accuracy and completeness of the model in positive class prediction. The range of F1 scores is from 0 to 1, with higher values indicating better performance of the model. The F1 score is particularly suitable for situations where there is an imbalance between positive and negative samples, as it can avoid situations where the model performs too well on one metric while performing poorly on another.

IV. TECHNIQUES OF KGs WITH LLMs

With the emergence of real-world applications, there are many emerging application scenarios for the current KGE application tasks. We depict the differences between the above categories of KGs and traditional KGs in Figure 1. The specific characteristics of the KGE are different in different KG scenarios.

A. Classic Knowledge Graph (CKG)

Classic knowledge graph is a structured semantic knowledge base based on graphs, which stores graph data in the form of a triplet structure. At present, CKG has been widely used in various task categories in various fields. CKG can be formally defined as

$$G = (E, R, U)$$

, where E is the set of entities, R is the set of relations and $U \subset E \times R \times E$ represents the set of relation quaternions. With the deepening of research and the emergence of new requirements in the application, a number of KG scenarios that are different from CKG have emerged, which can be introduced one by one in the following sections. Here, in order to distinguish it from KGs in other scenarios, we refer to it as the classic knowledge graph (CKG) in the following text. Based on the different degrees of use of such methods for LLMs, we can roughly divide them into four categories: (1) methods that use LLMs' prompts; (2) methods for fine-tuning LLMs; (3) methods of pre-trained LLMs; (4) methods to use LLMs as agent.

1) *Methods that use LLMs' prompts*:: extract the structural information or other auxiliary information of the triplet, convert it into a text description in an appropriate format as a prompt input to LLMs, and use LLMs' text generation or knowledge reasoning ability to assist in completing the task. KICGPT [64] uses a contextual learning strategy called knowledge cues, which encodes structured knowledge into presentations to guide LLMs. FireRobBrain [65] includes a prompt module that helps the LLMs generate suggestions for the bot's responses. The combination of LLMs and CKGs, facilitated by a well-designed cue module, significantly improves the quality of answers. PEG [66] leverages ensembled knowledge through prompts, augments existing methods based on knowledge acquisition, aggregation, and injection, refines evidence through semantic filtering, and then aggregates it into global knowledge through implicit or explicit methods. KC-GenRe [67] performs knowledge-enhanced constrained inference in CKG completion tasks, and supports contextual prompts and controlled generation to obtain effective rankings. CP-KGC [4.1.15] [68] is designed to adapt prompts to different datasets to enhance semantic richness, and a contextual constraint strategy is adopted to effectively identify multi-aggregated entities in the dataset.

This type of method can effectively utilize the powerful text generation and inference capabilities of LLMs, integrating structured triplet knowledge from CKGs in a more natural way,

thereby providing assistance for complex tasks such as knowledge completion, and potentially uncovering hidden semantic relations between triplets. However, there may be information loss or semantic bias during the process of converting triplets into textual descriptions. Because the triplet structure of CKGs is precise, the accuracy of the original structural information may decrease after conversion to text due to factors such as linguistic ambiguity.

2) *Methods for fine-tuning LLMs*:: collect CKG triplet data, convert it into a format suitable for model training, input the prepared triplet text data into LLMs, and adjust the model parameters according to task objectives by defining appropriate loss functions. DIFT uses a lightweight model to obtain candidate entities and refines an LLM to select the correct entity from a given candidate entity. In order to improve performance while reducing instruction data, DIFT [69] uses a truncated sampling method to select useful facts for fine-tuning and injects KG embeddings into the LLM. RecIn-Dial fine-tunes [70] large-scale, pre-trained LLMs to generate fluent and varied responses, and introduces knowledge-aware biases learned from entity-oriented CKGs to improve recommendation performance. KG-LLM [71] converts structured CKG data into natural language, and then uses these natural language prompts to fine-tune the LLM to enhance multi-hop link prediction in KG. RPLLM [72] uses the node names of the CKG to fine-tune the relational prediction task of LLMs, enabling the model to operate adequately in a sensing setting by using only the node names. KG-Adapter [73] is a parameter-level CKG ensemble method based on parameter-effect fine-tuning, which introduces a new adapter structure specially designed for decoder LLMs, which can encode CKGs from the perspective of node center and relation center, and perform joint inference with LLMs. LPKG [74] augments LLMs with data obtained from CKG, which is fine-tuned to accommodate complex KG QA scenarios.

By targeted fine-tuning, the model can learn the semantic relations and knowledge contained in the triplet structure of CKG, thereby improving its performance in CKG tasks and effectively utilizing the data of the CKG to enhance the professionalism of the model. It should be noted that the fine-tuning process requires a large amount of high-quality annotation data. Collecting and organizing CKG triplet data and converting it into a suitable format is time-consuming and labor-intensive. If the data quality is not high or the quantity is insufficient, it may lead to overfitting of the model, resulting in poor generalization ability in unseen real-world data.

3) *Methods of pre-trained LLMs*: convert CKG triplets and other background information into a text format suitable, and use the converted text data for pre-training. According to the pre-training task designed, the parameters of the model are updated by calculating the loss between the predicted results and the true labels to learn language patterns and semantic relations in CKG. LiNgGuGKD [75] employs a label-oriented instruction tuning to train pre-trained LLMs teachers and introduces a hierarchical adaptive contrasting distillation strategy to adjust the node characteristics between the teacher




Fig. 1. KGs in different scenarios

and student networks in a potential space, effectively transferring the understanding of semantics and complex relations. GLAME [76] uses publicly available pre-trained LLMs to utilize a knowledge graph augmentation module to discover relevant knowledge that has changed due to editing and obtain its internal representation in the LLMs. Salnikov et al. [77] explored pre-trained text-to-text LLMs, enriching additional information from CKG to answer factual questions.

During the pre-training process, LLMs can delve into the semantic relations and language patterns in CKG, enhancing the language model’s understanding and representation ability of CKG, and enriching LLMs’ knowledge reserves. However, converting CKG to text format may introduce noise or information loss, which can affect the effectiveness of pre training and make the learned prior knowledge less accurate.

4) *Methods to use LLMs as agent*: using LLMs as an agent allows for interactive exploration of relevant entities and relations on CKGs, and inference based on retrieved knowledge. However, this type of method is currently not widely used and deserves further exploration in the future. ToG [78] iteratively performs a beam search on the KG via an LLM proxy, discovers the most promising inference path, and returns the most likely inference results.

When LLMs play the role of an agent, they can take advantage of the large amount of knowledge and reasoning patterns obtained in the pre-training process, and combine these with the structured information in CKG to perform more complex knowledge reasoning.

B. Temporal Knowledge Graph (TKG)

Temporal knowledge graph is a kind of dynamic KG that contains facts that change over time, and is usually represented by a quaternion of the form $\langle \text{head entity, relation, tail entity, timestamp} \rangle$; a TKG can be formally defined as

$$G = (E, R, T, U)$$

, where E is the set of entities, R is the set of relations, T is the set of timestamps, and $U \subset E \times R \times E$ represents the set of relation quaternions. At present, LLMs mainly use the method based on prompts in the field of TKG, accompanied by local use based on fine-tuning and other methods.

In the LLMs method based on prompts, the data in the TKG is generally processed first, so that it can be effectively understood and processed by the LLM, especially for the processing of time information. The prompts are usually constructed according to the specific time characteristics and other effective characteristics of the time series task. In TKG’s question-answering tasks, GenTKGQA [79] guides LLMs to answer the temporal question through two phases: subgraph retrieval and answer generation. The virtual knowledge index is designed, which is helpful for the open-source LLMs to deeply understand the temporal order and structural dependence between the retrieved facts through instruction adjustment. In the temporal relation prediction task, zrLLM [80] inputs textual descriptions of KG relations into LLMs to generate relation

representations, and then introduces them into an embedding-based approach. The representation of LLMs authorization can capture the semantic information in the description of the relation. This makes relations with similar semantics, close in the embedded space, enabling the model to recognize zero-shot relations even when no context observes. CoH [81] enhances the prediction ability of LLM by using higher-order history chains step by step, gradually provides high-order historical information, and fuses it with graph neural network (GNN) results to obtain more accurate prediction results. Different from the previous tasks, GenTKG [82] also uses fine-tuning, using an instruction fine-tuning strategy with few sample parameters to align the task of LLMs, and using a retrieval enhancement generation framework to solve the challenge through a two-stage approach.

The prompt-based method does not require a large amount of training data and complex fine-tuning process in the TKG task, and can directly use the ability of the pre-trained LLMs to guide the model to generate results that meet the requirements through well-designed prompts, which can effectively reduce the cost and time of the task. However, the design and selection of prompts are highly demanding, and if the prompts are not accurate, clear or comprehensive, the model may generate inaccurate or irrelevant results, and different prompts need to be designed for different tasks, and the universality is limited. In contrast, the fine-tuning-based method can optimize the pre-trained model according to specific tasks and datasets in TKG-related tasks, so that the model can better adapt to specific fields and tasks, and improve the performance and accuracy of the model on this task, which is worthy of further exploration in the future.

C. Multi-modal Knowledge Graph (MMKG)

Multi-modal knowledge graph is a kind of KG that combines multiple data modalities (e.g., text, image, video, etc.) to construct and represent facts. It is usually represented in the form of a ternary group, i.e., <head entity, relation, tail entity>, where the entity or relation information is not necessarily single-modal data, but can be multi-modal information describing the entity or relation. Formalized definitions are consistent with traditional KG. MMKG enhances the diversity and accuracy of knowledge representation by enriching modal data. At present, prompt-based LLMs methods are mainly used in the field of MMKG. The features of different modal information are extracted and encoded into the prompts, and then the prompts are input into the LLMs to fuse and reason the multi-modal information according to the prompts to complete the corresponding tasks. In the knowledge graph completion task, MPIKGC [83] prompts the LLM to generate auxiliary text to improve the performance of the model. ECPR-MML [84] firstly constructs a KG to extract regional representations containing spatial heterogeneity features, and then uses LLMs to generate multi-modal features of the ecological civilization model, and obtains semantically rich ecological civilization representations by extracting and integrating these multi-modal features. KPD [85] designs a prompt template with

three contextual examples to understand all aspects of a web page for phishing detection. In order to construct a unified KG, Docs2KG [86] extends these nodes with multi-hop queries to retrieve relevant information, thereby enhancing the response prompts to queries.

The prompt-based LLMs method can enhance the understanding and fusion ability of multi-modal information, better handle complex multi-modal tasks, and improve the application effect and value. However, the results may be inaccurate and unreliable due to the limitations of LLMs themselves, such as hallucinations and insufficient attention to modal information such as images. Suggested LLMs based on pre-training or agents can be explored in the next step.

D. Inductive Knowledge Graph (IKG)

Inductive Knowledge Graph includes a source KG and a target KG with specific conditions on relations and entities. The goal of representation learning for inductive KGs is to capture structural patterns from the source KG and transfer them to the target KG. The formal definition is the same as the CKG. At present, in the direction of IKG, LLMs are almost always applied to related tasks in the form of prompts. According to the structure and task design prompts of IKG, the relevant text and prompt words can be input into the LLMs. LLMs can infer and analyze KG according to the prompts and generate the results. Xing et al. [87] constructed a fusion inductive framework to effectively alleviate the illusory problem of LLMs, combined with predefined prompts, to provide powerful and intelligent decision support for practical applications. LLAMA3 [88] uses the current generation of LLMs for link prediction, and realizes the new entity link prediction in the IKG by generating prompts, answer processing, entity candidate search and final link prediction. Different from the previous two attempts in the inductive link prediction task, LLM-KERec [89] innovates in the IKG of the industrial recommender system and designs prompts covering multiple aspects. By introducing an entity extractor, it extracts unified conceptual terms from project and user information. To provide cost-effective and reliable prior knowledge, entity pairs are generated based on the popularity of the entity and specific strategies.

The prompt-based approach can enhance IKG's inductive ability to better handle complex textual information and reasoning tasks with the help of the language understanding and generation capabilities of LLMs. At the same time, hallucinations may occur, resulting in inaccurate or unreliable reasoning results, affecting the quality and application effect of IKGs. More attempts with fine-tuning-based or pre-training-based approaches are recommended in the future.

E. Open Knowledge Graph (OKG)

Open Knowledge Graph is a kind of KG derived from open datasets, user contributions or Internet information, emphasizing the openness and scalability of knowledge. The formalized definition is consistent with traditional KG. OKG lowers the threshold of knowledge access through openness and sharing,

while facing the problems of data quality and reliability. With the advent of the era of big data, massive data sources continue to be generated. OKGs have gained attention in more and more scenarios, such as responding to cyber threat attacks [90], mental health observation [91], and understanding geographic relations [92]. Based on the different degrees of use of such methods for LLMs, we can roughly divide them into two categories: (1) methods that use LLMs' prompts; (2) methods for fine-tuning LLMs.

1) *Methods that use LLMs' prompts:* LLMs based on prompts can focus on the corresponding time series information according to the rules of these times, mine relevant content from the TKG to answer, and design prompts containing time series patterns. Arsenyan et al. [93] provide guided prompt design to utilize LLMs, utilizing electronic medical record notes to construct OKGs. The entities used in the construction of KG are diseases, factors, treatments, and manifestations of coexistence with the patient while experiencing the disease. Xu et al. [94] used LLMs and refinement by medical experts to construct a heart failure KG. Apply prompt engineering to the three phases of pattern design: pattern design, information extraction, and knowledge completion. The best performance can be achieved by designing task-specific prompt templates and two-way chat methods. The method proposed by AlMahri et al. [95] automates the extraction of supply chain information from a variety of public sources and constructs KGs to capture complex interdependencies between supply chain entities. Zero-shot prompts are used for named entity recognition and relation extraction tasks, eliminating the need for extensive domain-specific training. Datta et al. [96] introduced a zero-shot prompt-based approach that uses OpenAI's GPT-3.5 model to extract hyperrelational knowledge from text. Each prompt contains a natural language query that is designed to elicit a specific response from a pre-trained language model.

Prompts can guide LLMs to focus on specific knowledge content and reduce redundant expressions. By accurately designing prompts, LLMs can directly cut into key information and avoid the output of irrelevant knowledge, so as to effectively filter out redundant information that may exist in OKG. However, due to the ambiguity of the expression of OKG itself, prompts may exacerbate the misunderstanding. If the prompts do not accurately fit the ambiguous knowledge statement, LLMs may generate results based on incorrect understanding, amplifying the adverse effects of the ambiguous parts of OKG.

2) *Methods for fine-tuning LLMs:* the knowledge in OKG is extracted, converted into a format suitable for LLMs input, such as text sequences, and then fine-tuned for training. Fine-tuning is used to make LLMs learn the representation of entities, relations and other knowledge in OKG, which is used for downstream tasks such as knowledge completion and question answering. UrbanKGent [97] first designs specific instructions to help LLMs understand the complex semantic relations in urban data, then calls geocomputing tools and iterative optimization algorithms to further improve the LLMs' understanding and reasoning ability of geospatial relations, and finally further trains LLMs based on fine-tuning to better

complete different types of OKG tasks. LLM-TIKG [98] uses chatGPT's few-shot learning capabilities to achieve data annotation and augmentation, creating a dataset for fine-tuning a smaller language model, using the fine-tuned model to classify the collected reports by subject and extract entities and relations.

Through fine-tuning, LLMs can learn the semantic and structural information in OKG, so as to express knowledge more accurately when generating text, reduce the expression redundancy caused by inaccurate understanding of knowledge. On the other hand, if there is bias or noise in the data used in the fine-tuning process, it may lead to the LLMs overfitting these inaccurate information, and then produce more ambiguity or misunderstanding when processing the OKG.

F. Domain Knowledge Graph (DKG)

Domain knowledge graph is a kind of KG for a specific domain, which can often record specific knowledge in a specific domain and solve problems in a specific domain in a more targeted manner. At present, DKG has been studied on how to be applied to various domains such as medicine [99] [100] [101] and electric power [102], and has a wide range of significance for the development of specific fields. Based on the different degrees of use of such methods for LLMs, we can roughly divide them into three categories: (1) methods that use LLMs' prompts; (2) methods for fine-tuning LLMs; (3) methods of pre-trained LLMs.

1) *Methods that use LLMs' prompts:* prompts can help guide LLMs to focus on domain-specific domain knowledge, and this type of approach often requires a specific prompt template to be designed according to the characteristics of a specific domain. This is the most commonly used LLMs methods in DKG research. In KG in the medical field, KG-Rank [103] is used to enhance medical QA. This is the first medical QA-enhanced LLMs framework that combines KG with ranking technology to generate and grow answers, applying a medical prompt to a given question to identify relevant medical entities. In the field of electric power, Wang et al. [104] use LLMs to generate a question-answer dataset specific to the electric power domain. Not only is the relation of the KG triplet incorporated into the question prompt to improve the quality of the model's answers, but the extended question set from the triplet is used as knowledge augmentation data to fine-tune the LLMs. However, these methods only take into account a single domain scenario and often have limitations. Compared with single-domain DKG applications, KGPA [105] takes into account cross-domain KG scenarios, uses KGs to generate original prompts and adversarial prompts, and evaluates the robustness of LLMs through these prompts.

Based on the prompt, it can focus on domain knowledge, and can guide LLMs to focus on specific fields, such as accurately obtaining medical-related content when building a medical knowledge graph. By designing different prompts, it can also adapt to a variety of knowledge graph tasks. However, the domain adaptability of such methods is often limited, and

for some specific and highly specialized fields, prompts may not be well understood and provided with valid answers due to insufficient LLMs training data.

2) *Methods for fine-tuning LLMs*: in the case of continuous generation of special domain data, the fine-tuned model can better adapt to the evolution of knowledge, help the dynamic update of DKGs, and maintain the integrity and timeliness of knowledge. In the KG alignment task, GLAM [106] converts the KG into an alternative text representation of tagged question and answer pairs based on the fine-tuning of LLMs. The generative ability of LLMs is used to create datasets, and an effective alternative retrieval-enhanced generation style method is proposed. Li et al. [107] proposed a fine-tuning model for fault diagnosis and system maintenance of KGs, and the main work includes the construction of KGs and fine-tuning datasets for fine-tuning and deployment of LLMs in the industrial field. In addition, in terms of auxiliary fault diagnosis, Liu et al. [108] embedded aviation assembly KG into LLMs. The model uses the graph structure big data in KG to prefix the LLMs. KG for prefix tuning enables LLMs to be reconfigured online, which avoids a significant amount of computational load.

Through fine-tuning, the large model can better adapt to the KG tasks in a specific domain, and use the annotated data in the domain to further learn and optimize, so as to improve the accuracy, recall and other performance indicators of the tasks in the field. However, there may be a risk of overfitting, if the amount of domain data used in fine-tuning is insufficient or over-trained, the large model may overfit this limited data, resulting in poor performance in unseen domain data or real-world application scenarios, reduced generalization ability, and inability to effectively process emerging situations or data.

3) *Methods for pre-training LLMs*: the integration of DKG's structured knowledge in the pre-training process can enable the LLMs to directly learn the knowledge contained in the KG, enhance the understanding and mastery of knowledge in specific domains, and provide a better knowledge base for DKG tasks. In the field of automotive electrical systems, in order to provide timely access to structured information, Wawrzik et al. [109] used an electronic dataset from a Wikipedia article for pre-training and optimized with several prompting methods and features. In the field of food testing, FoodGPT [110] introduces an incremental pre-training step that injects this knowledge into LLMs. A method for dealing with structured knowledge and scanned documents is proposed. In order to overcome the problem of machine hallucination, a KG is constructed as an external knowledge base to support retrieval in LLMs.

The pre-trained LLMs method can improve the efficiency and quality of knowledge extraction in DKG tasks, and it can directly extract knowledge such as entities and relations from specific domain texts with strong language understanding and text generation capabilities, and achieve specific specialized extraction in specific domains. However, there may be knowledge illusions and errors, which will generate knowledge that does not match the facts or does not exist, resulting in the

existence of false information in DKGs.

V. PROSPECTIVE DIRECTIONS

At present, in various KGE application scenarios, LLMs have already provided effective solutions to specific problems through different degrees of invocation. There are still many prospective research directions for the application of LLMs in various KGE scenarios in the future, which we will introduce in this chapter for researchers' reference. We also hope that with these proposed potential directions, we can cultivate research interests for beginners in this field. In the process of exploration, we have also found some examples in specific processes, which we will incorporate in the discussion of this chapter to facilitate the readers' understanding.

1) *Cross-domain CKG fusion*: after investigation, it is found that the current applications of LLMs in the CKG domain are mainly focused on single-domain domain KGs or generalized KGs, and seldom involve cross-domain KG content fusion. In future research, the comprehension and reasoning capabilities of LLMs can be utilized to align KG concepts of two domains based on context and background knowledge, e.g., to identify different nodes representing the same entity in two KGs.

2) *Less Sample Learning for TKG*: Currently, the application aspects of LLMs for TKG are mainly focused on link prediction tasks or knowledge quiz tasks with sufficient samples, and very few articles apply LLMs to less sample scenarios for TKG. The few existing methods attempt to augment the idea of generating rich relational descriptions by LLMs, but neglect the use of temporal attributes for TKG. We suggest that in the future, LLMs can be fine-tuned on a small amount of TKG data to allow the model to capture temporal relations more accurately by adding explicit representations of temporal data. With prompt learning, LLMs can quickly adapt to sample less scenarios and provide reasonable modeling of timing data.

3) *Application of MMKG tasks for more specific domains*: the research of LLMs in domain-specific MMKG is still seriously insufficient, and has only been attempted in a few specific domains, and lacks a targeted design for more domain-specific KGs involving multi-modal problems. LLMs can be useful for cross-modal information fusion to obtain information from multiple perspectives, providing richer and multidimensional semantic understanding. We propose that in future research, LLMs can be used to reason and fuse more domain-specific MMKG tasks based on the relations between text and other modalities (e.g., image, audio, etc.). For example, in the medical field, LLMs are able to combine the analysis results of CT scan images with the text of the patient's medical history to provide more accurate disease diagnosis and personalized treatment plans.

4) *Generic framework design for IKG*: Since IKG are relatively new concepts, the current applications of LLMs in IKG scenarios are still extremely underdeveloped, lacking a generic framework design for tasks such as link prediction in this direction. Both KG scenarios involve emergent new

knowledge, and LLMs can play an important role in the process of updating new knowledge through their powerful language comprehension and reasoning capabilities. We propose to use LLMs to integrate new knowledge from multiple sources, deal with redundant, conflicting, or missing information, and ensure automatic correction and generation of new knowledge. For example, when confronted with inconsistent descriptions in different texts, the model can determine the most accurate knowledge based on context, timestamp, and other information.

5) *OKG Canonicalization*: The redundancy and ambiguity characteristics of OKG can severely limit its related tasks, and the current LLMs are more often applied to the downstream tasks related to OKG, while the role of its expression canonicalization process is neglected. We propose to obtain richer knowledge background information based on LLMs, and enhance the soft clustering process for synonymous noun or verb phrase representations based on LLMs, which will help to fully utilize the sufficient pre-training advantages of LLMs.

6) *Lack of a unified representation learning framework for multiple types and domains*: After investigation, it is found that LLMs in different KG scenarios are often designed based on individual KG task scenarios or task scenarios in individual task domains, but there is a lack of a unified representation learning framework based on LLMs for multiple types and domains. It is recommended that the powerful language comprehension and highly scalable nature of LLMs be fully utilized in future research to establish a universal framework suitable for various task types, improve task generalization, and facilitate the development and integration of various types of KG downstream tasks.

VI. DATASETS AND CODE RESOURCES

In this section, we have compiled some classic datasets in different KGE scenarios for readers, and sorted out the code resources of some of the articles referenced in this article.

A. Dataset

1) *ICEWS05-15 (Integrated Conflict Early Warning System)*: ICEWS is an integrated conflict early warning system, with data sourced from global news media, government reports, and sociological research. Contains over 5 million social and political events from 2005 to 2015. About 50000 entities (such as individuals, organizations, countries, etc.). Approximately 4000000 event triplets (in the format< Subject, Event, Object >). It records political, social, and economic events on a global scale, suitable for time-series KGs and event prediction tasks.

2) *GDELT (Global Database of Events, Language, and Tone)*: GDELT is a global event database developed by Google, with data sourced from global news media reports. Contains over 3 billion event records. About 100000 entities (such as countries, cities, organizations, etc.). Approximately 3000000000 event triplets (in the format< Actor1, Event, Actor2 >). It records events, language, and emotional information on a global scale, suitable for social

science research such as conflict analysis and political stability research.

3) *YAGO (Yet Another Great Ontology)*: YAGO is a KG extracted from resources such as Wikipedia, WordNet, and GeoNames. Contains over 1 million entities and over 350000 facts. About 1200000 entities (such as names, locations, events, etc.). Approximately 350000 triplets (in the format< Subject, Relation, Object >). Provide rich semantic information, suitable for tasks such as entity linking, relation extraction, and KG construction.

4) *UMLS (Unified Medical Language System)*: UMLS is a comprehensive medical terminology system developed by the National Library of Medicine in the United States. Contains over 300000 medical terms and concepts. About 300000 medical terms (such as diseases, drugs, treatment methods, etc.). Approximately 1000000 triplets (in the format< Concept, Relation, Concept >). Used for biomedical text processing, information retrieval, and KG construction.

5) *MetaQA*: MetaQA is a multi hop question answering dataset for question answering systems, sourced from a movie database. Includes 1-hop, 2-hop, and 3-hop QA pairs. Approximately 43000 entities (such as movie characters, movie titles, etc.). About 300000 triplets (in the format< Subject, Relation, Object >). Suitable for machine reading comprehension, question answering system development, and multi hop reasoning tasks.

6) *ReVerb45K*: ReVerb is a set of relation facts automatically extracted from web page text. Contains 45000 relation instances. About 10000 entities. About 45000 triplets (in the format< Subject, Relation, Object >). Used for relation extraction and knowledge graph construction tasks.

7) *FB15k-237*: FB15k-237 is a subset of the Freebase KG that has been processed to reduce overlap between the training and testing sets. Contains 15028 entities and 237 relations. 15028 entities. Approximately 310000 triplets (in the format< Subject, Relation, Object >). Widely used for KG completion and link prediction tasks.

8) *WN18RR*: WN18RR is a subset of the WordNet KG that has been revised to increase task difficulty. Contains 40943 entities and 11 types of relations. 40943 entities Approximately 93000 triplets (in the format< Subject, Relation, Object >). Mainly used for research on KG completion and link prediction.

B. Code Resources

Table 1 lists the code resources and open source projects related to the research work discussed in this article. These resources cover multiple cutting-edge research directions combining large-scale pre trained language models with KG. Through these open-source codes, readers can gain a deeper understanding of the implementation details of related research, reproduce experimental results, or make further extensions and innovations.

VII. CONCLUSION

LLMs have been widely used in tasks in multiple scenarios of KGE [111] [112] [113] [114] [115] Currently this as

TABLE I
RESEARCH RESOURCES RELATED TO KNOWLEDGE GRAPH BASED ON BIG LANGUAGE MODEL

Reference	Code Resource
RecInDial: A Unified Framework for Conversational Recommendation with Pretrained Language Models [70]	https://github.com/Lingzhi-WANG/PLM-BasedCRS
Knowledge Graph Large Language Model (KG-LLM) for Link Prediction [71]	https://anonymous.4open.science/r/KG-LLM-FED0
THINK-ON-GRAPH: DEEP AND RESPONSIBLE REASONING OF LARGE LANGUAGE MODEL ON KNOWLEDGE GRAPH [78]	https://github.com/IDEA-FinAI/ToG
Unifying Local and Global Knowledge: Empowering Large Language Models as Political Experts with Knowledge Graphs [66]	https://github.com/xymou/PEG
KC-GenRe: A Knowledge-constrained Generative Re-ranking Method Based on Large Language Models for Knowledge Graph Completion [67]	https://github.com/wylResearch/KC-GenRe
KG-Rank: Enhancing Large Language Models for Medical QA with Knowledge Graphs and Ranking Techniques [99]	https://anonymous.4open.science/r/KGQA-270F
From Large Language Models to Knowledge Graphs for Biomarker Discovery in Cancer [100]	https://github.com/rezacsedu/llmstokgsforcancer
Multi-perspective Improvement of Knowledge Graph Completion with Large Language Models [83]	https://github.com/quqxui/MPIKGC
UrbanKGent: A Unified Large Language Model Agent Framework for Urban Knowledge Graph Construction [92]	https://github.com/usail-hkust/UrbanKGent
Docs2KG: Unified Knowledge Graph Construction from Heterogeneous Documents Assisted by Large Language Models [86]	https://docs2kg.ai4wa.com
zrLLM: Zero-Shot Relational Learning on Temporal Knowledge Graphs with Large Language Models [80]	https://github.com/ZifengDing/zrLLM
GenTKG: Generative Forecasting on Temporal Knowledge Graph with Large Language Models [82]	https://github.com/mayhugotong/GenTKG

a promising field has been explored by more and more researchers and a large number of advanced methods have been proposed. In this paper we propose a new taxonomy for the investigation of the current applications of LLMs in the field of KGE. Specifically, we categorize them according to the KG scenarios in which they are applied and innovate them according to the different degrees of use for LLMs in different scenarios. In this paper we not only discuss

the use of LLMs in various KGE tasks, but also innovate relevant applications and innovation directions that may be involved in the future. Through this survey, we have provided a comprehensive overview of the use of LLMs in the KGE domain, which we hope will guide future research.

Symbol	Specific Meaning
h	Head Entity
r	Relationship
t	Tail Entity
G	Positive sample set
G^-	Negative sample set
γ	Interval Parameters (margin)
$s(h,r,t)$	The score of triplet (h,r,t)
y_i	True Label
\hat{y}_i	Predicted Tags
T	Test Set
$\text{rank}(h,r,t)$	The ranking of triplet (h,r,t)
TPR	True Positive Rate
FPR	False Positive Rate
Q	Query Collection
$AP(q)$	Average Precision of Query q
$\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}$	Vector representations of head entities, relationships, and tail entities
\circ	Element-wise multiplication (Hadamard product)
$\text{Re}(\cdot)$	Take the real part of a complex number
cosine similarity	Cosine similarity
$d(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})$	The distance between vectors \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B}
accuracy	Accuracy
MRR	Mean Reciprocal Rank
Hits@k	Hit rate of the first k hits
\vec{e}_h, \vec{e}_t	Embedding vectors of head and tail entities
$\vec{r}, \vec{r}^{\text{rev}}$	Embedding vectors of relationship and inverse relationship
Conv2D	2D Convolution Operations
ReLU	Rectified Linear Unit Activation Function
$\mathbf{q}_h, \mathbf{q}_r, \mathbf{q}_t$	Quaternion representation of head entity, relationship, and tail entity
\otimes	Quaternion Multiplication
J	Objective Function (Loss Function)
C_i	The i th cluster
μ_i	The center of the i th cluster
D_{single}	Single Linkage Distance
D_{complete}	Complete Linkage Distance
D_{average}	Average Linkage Distance
J	Objective function, representing the sum of squared distances from all data points to their respective cluster centers.
n	Total number of data points in the dataset.
K	Number of clusters.
x_i	The i th data point ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$).
μ_k	The center of the k th cluster (centroid).
r_{ik}	Indicator variable indicating whether data point x_i belongs to cluster k . If x_i belongs to cluster k , $r_{ik} = 1$; otherwise, $r_{ik} = 0$.
P	The proportion of samples predicted as positive by the model that are actually positive.
R	The proportion of samples that are actually positive classes that are correctly predicted by the model as positive classes.

TABLE II
SYMBOLS AND THEIR SPECIFIC MEANINGS

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Gao, Q. He, X. Wang, L. Qiu and M. Huang, "An Efficient Rumor Suppression Approach With Knowledge Graph Convolutional Network in Social Network," in IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 6254-6267, Oct. 2024, doi: 10.1109/TCSS.2024.3383493.
- [2] Yinghan Shen, Xuhui Jiang, Zijian Li, Yuanzhuo Wang, Chengjin Xu, Huawei Shen, Xueqi Cheng, UniSKGRep: A unified representation learning framework of social network and knowledge graph, Neural Networks, Volume 158, 2023, Pages 142-153, ISSN 0893-6080, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2022.11.010>.
- [3] C. Jiang, M. Tang, S. Jin, W. Huang and X. Liu, "KGNMDA: A Knowledge Graph Neural Network Method for Predicting Microbe-Disease Associations," in IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 1147-1155, 1 March-April 2023, doi: 10.1109/TCBB.2022.3184362.
- [4] [4]Lianhe Zhao, Xiaoning Qi, Yang Chen, Yixuan Qiao, Dechao Bu, Yang Wu, Yufan Luo, Sheng Wang, Rui Zhang, Yi Zhao, Biological knowledge graph-guided investigation of immune therapy response in cancer with graph neural network, Briefings in Bioinformatics, Volume 24, Issue 2, March 2023, bbad023, <https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbad023>.
- [5] Chen Huang, Deshan Chen, Tengze Fan, Bing Wu, Xinping Yan, Incorporating environmental knowledge embedding and spatial-temporal graph attention networks for inland vessel traffic flow prediction, Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, Volume 133, Part D, 2024, 108301, ISSN 0952-1976, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2024.108301>.
- [6] Mlodzian et al., "nuScenes Knowledge Graph - A comprehensive semantic representation of traffic scenes for trajectory prediction," 2023 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision Workshops (ICCVW), Paris, France, 2023, pp. 42-52, doi: 10.1109/ICCVW60793.2023.00011.
- [7] Agrawal, G., Pal, K., Deng, Y., Liu, H., Chen, Y.-C. (2024). CyberQ: Generating Questions and Answers for Cybersecurity Education Using Knowledge Graph-Augmented LLMs. Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 38(21), 23164-23172. <https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v38i21.30362>
- [8] Pranoy Panda, Ankush Agarwal, Chaitanya Devaguptapu, Manohar Kaul, and Prathosh Ap. 2024. HOLMES: Hyper-Relational Knowledge Graphs for Multi-hop Question Answering using LLMs. In Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 13263-13282, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [9] Lei Sun, Zhengwei Tao, Youdi Li, and Hiroshi Arakawa. 2024. ODA:

- Observation-Driven Agent for integrating LLMs and Knowledge Graphs. In Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2024, pages 7417–7431, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [10] Radhakrishnan Venkatakrishnan, Emrah Tanyildizi, and M. Abdullah Canbaz. 2024. Semantic interlinking of Immigration Data using LLMs for Knowledge Graph Construction. In Companion Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2024 (WWW '24). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 605–608. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3589335.3651557>
- [11] Lizhuang Sun, Peng Zhang, Fang Gao, Yuan An, Zhixing Li, Yuanwei Zhao, SF-GPT: A training-free method to enhance capabilities for knowledge graph construction in LLMs, *Neurocomputing*, Volume 613, 2025, 128726, ISSN 0925-2312, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2024.128726>.
- [12] Lixin Liu, Peihang Xu, Kefeng Fan, Mingyan Wang, Research on application of knowledge graph in industrial control system security situation awareness and decision-making: A survey, *Neurocomputing*, Volume 613, 2025, 128721, ISSN 0925-2312, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2024.128721>.
- [13] Zhu, B., Wang, R., Wang, J. et al. A survey: knowledge graph entity alignment research based on graph embedding. *Artif Intell Rev* 57, 229 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-024-10866-4>.
- [14] Huang, X., Ruan, W., Huang, W. et al. A survey of safety and trustworthiness of large language models through the lens of verification and validation. *Artif Intell Rev* 57, 175 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-024-10824-0>.
- [15] Kumar, P. Large language models (LLMs): survey, technical frameworks, and future challenges. *Artif Intell Rev* 57, 260 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-024-10888-y>.
- [16] Shuo Zhao, Xin Sun, Enabling controllable table-to-text generation via prompting large language models with guided planning, *Knowledge-Based Systems*, Volume 304, 2024, 112571, ISSN 0950-7051, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knsys.2024.112571>.
- [17] Menglong Cui, Jiangcun Du, Shaolin Zhu, and Deyi Xiong. 2024. Efficiently Exploring Large Language Models for Document-Level Machine Translation with In-context Learning. In Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2024, pages 10885–10897, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [18] Cheng Liu, Chao Wang, Yan Peng, Zhixu Li, ZVQAF: Zero-shot visual question answering with feedback from large language models, *Neurocomputing*, Volume 580, 2024, 127505, ISSN 0925-2312, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2024.127505>.
- [19] Nils Constantin Hellwig, Jakob Fehle, Christian Wolff, Exploring large language models for the generation of synthetic training samples for aspect-based sentiment analysis in low resource settings, *Expert Systems with Applications*, Volume 261, 2025, 125514, ISSN 0957-4174, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2024.125514>.
- [20] Hwanjun Song, Hang Su, Igor Shalymov, Jason Cai, and Saab Mansour. 2024. FineSurE: Fine-grained Summarization Evaluation using LLMs. In Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 906–922, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [21] J. Xue, Y. Deng, Y. Gao and Y. Li, "Auffusion: Leveraging the Power of Diffusion and Large Language Models for Text-to-Audio Generation," in *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 32, pp. 4700-4712, 2024, doi: 10.1109/TASLP.2024.3485485.
- [22] Shuwen Zheng, Kai Pan, Jie Liu, Yunxia Chen, Empirical study on fine-tuning pre-trained large language models for fault diagnosis of complex systems, *Reliability Engineering System Safety*, Volume 252, 2024, 110382, ISSN 0951-8320, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.res.2024.110382>.
- [23] Chi Chen, Yiyang Du, Zheng Fang, Ziyue Wang, Fuwen Luo, Peng Li, Ming Yan, Ji Zhang, Fei Huang, Maosong Sun, and Yang Liu. 2024. Model Composition for Multimodal Large Language Models. In Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 11246–11262, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [24] Wayne Xin Zhao, Jing Liu, Ruiyang Ren, and Ji-Rong Wen. 2024. Dense Text Retrieval Based on Pretrained Language Models: A Survey. *ACM Trans. Inf. Syst.* 42, 4, Article 89 (July 2024), 60 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3637870>.
- [25] Raviteja Vemulapalli, Hadi Pouransari, Fartash Faghri, Sachin Mehta, Mehrdad Farajtabar, Mohammad Rastegari, and Oncel Tuzel. 2024. Knowledge Transfer from Vision Foundation Models for Efficient Training of Small Task-specific Models. In Proceedings of the Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML '24). <https://openreview.net/forum?id=OKYfaYQIML>.
- [26] S. Liu et al., "Inductive Meta-Path Learning for Schema-Complex Heterogeneous Information Networks," in *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 46, no. 12, pp. 10196-10209, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1109/TPAMI.2024.3435055.
- [27] C. Wu, J. Zheng, J. Pfrommer and J. Beyerer, "Attention-Based Point Cloud Edge Sampling," 2023 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), Vancouver, BC, Canada, 2023, pp. 5333-5343, doi: 10.1109/CVPR52729.2023.00516.
- [28] Ruiwen Yuan, Yajing Wu, Yongqiang Tang, Junping Wang, Wensheng Zhang, Meta-path infomax joint structure enhancement for multiplex network representation learning, *Knowledge-Based Systems*, Volume 275, 2023, 110701, ISSN 0950-7051, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knsys.2023.110701>.
- [29] Jinsheng Xu, Weicheng Sun, Kai Li, Wenxue Zhang, Weihai Zhang, Yongbin Zeng, Leon Wong, Ping Zhang, MNESEDA: A prior-guided subgraph representation learning framework for predicting disease-related enhancers, *Knowledge-Based Systems*, Volume 294, 2024, 111734, ISSN 0950-7051, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knsys.2024.111734>.
- [30] Zhao, Y., Qin, H., Xu, L. et al. A review of deep learning-based stereo vision techniques for phenotype feature and behavioral analysis of fish in aquaculture. *Artif Intell Rev* 58, 7 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-024-10960-7>
- [31] Jie Li, Xuan Guo, Pengfei Jiao, Wenjun Wang, Learning accurate neighborhood- and self-information for higher-order relation prediction in Heterogeneous Information Networks, *Neurocomputing*, Volume 613, 2025, 128739, ISSN 0925-2312, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2024.128739>.
- [32] Jieyi, Y., Feng, Z., Yihong, D. et al. Fusing heterogeneous information for multi-modal attributed network embedding. *Appl Intell* 53, 22328–22347 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10489-023-04675-5>.
- [33] Yaling Xun, Yujia Wang, Jifu Zhang, Haifeng Yang, Jianghui Cai, Higher-order embedded learning for heterogeneous information networks and adaptive POI recommendation, *Information Processing Management*, Volume 61, Issue 4, 2024, 103763, ISSN 0306-4573, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2024.103763>.
- [34] Y. Gao, X. Li, H. Peng, B. Fang and P. S. Yu, "HinCTI: A Cyber Threat Intelligence Modeling and Identification System Based on Heterogeneous Information Network," in *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 708-722, 1 Feb. 2022, doi: 10.1109/TKDE.2020.2987019.
- [35] Bingzhen Sun, Chenxi Liu, Yanlin Li, Qingchun Huang, Xiaoli Chu, An approach to co-medication mechanism mining of Chinese Materia Medica and western medicines based on complex networks with the multi-source heterogeneous information, *Information Fusion*, Volume 102, 2024, 102081, ISSN 1566-2535, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2023.102081>.
- [36] Zhou, Z., Elejalde, E. Unveiling the silent majority: stance detection and characterization of passive users on social media using collaborative filtering and graph convolutional networks. *EPJ Data Sci.* 13, 28 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjds/s13688-024-00469-y>.
- [37] Cui, W., Shang, M. KAGN: knowledge-powered attention and graph convolutional networks for social media rumor detection. *J Big Data* 10, 45 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-023-00725-4>.
- [38] Huang, Q., Chen, J. Enhancing academic performance prediction with temporal graph networks for massive open online courses. *J Big Data* 11, 52 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-024-00918-5>.
- [39] B. Teji, S. Roy, D. S. Dhami, D. Bhandari and P. H. Guzzi, "Graph Embedding Techniques for Predicting Missing Links in Biological Networks: An Empirical Evaluation," in *IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computing*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 190-201, Jan.-March 2024, doi: 10.1109/TETC.2023.3282539.
- [40] Huaiyuan Ying, Zhengyun Zhao, Yang Zhao, Sihang Zeng, Sheng Yu, CoRTEX: contrastive learning for representing terms via explanations with applications on constructing biomedical knowledge graphs, *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, Volume 31, Issue 9, September 2024, Pages 1912–1920, <https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocae115>.
- [41] Peiru Yang, Hongjun Wang, Yingzhuo Huang, Shuai Yang, Ya Zhang, Liang Huang, Yuesong Zhang, Guoxin Wang, Shizhong

- Yang, Liang He, Yongfeng Huang, LMKG: A large-scale and multi-source medical knowledge graph for intelligent medicine applications, *Knowledge-Based Systems*, Volume 284, 2024, 111323, ISSN 0950-7051, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knsys.2023.111323>.
- [42] B. Liu et al., "Enhancing Temporal Knowledge Graph Alignment in News Domain With Box Embedding," in *IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 4909-4919, Aug. 2024, doi: 10.1109/TCSS.2023.3243240.
- [43] B. Liu et al., "Enhancing Temporal Knowledge Graph Alignment in News Domain With Box Embedding," in *IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 4909-4919, Aug. 2024, doi: 10.1109/TCSS.2023.3243240.
- [44] Fabian M Suchanek, Gjergji Kasneci, and Gerhard Weikum. "Yago:a core of semantic knowledge". In: *Proceedings of the 16th international conference on World Wide Web*. 2007, pp. 697-706.
- [45] Amit Singhal. "Introducing the knowledge graph: things, not strings". In: *Official google blog* 5 (2012), p. 16.
- [46] M. Young, *The Technical Writer's Handbook*. Mill Valley, CA: University Science, 1989.
- [47] Chengyue Jiang, Yong Jiang, Weiqi Wu, Yuting Zheng, Pengjun Xie, and Kewei Tu. 2023. COMBO: A Complete Benchmark for Open KG Canonicalization. In *Proceedings of the 17th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 340-357, Dubrovnik, Croatia. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [48] Zhanyue Cao, Chao Luo, Link prediction for knowledge graphs based on extended relational graph attention networks, *Expert Systems with Applications*, Volume 259, 2025, 125260, ISSN 0957-4174, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2024.125260>.
- [49] Jiahang Cao, Jinyuan Fang, Zaiqiao Meng, and Shangsong Liang. 2024. Knowledge Graph Embedding: A Survey from the Perspective of Representation Spaces. *ACM Comput. Surv.* 56, 6, Article 159 (June 2024), 42 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3643806>
- [50] Miguel Bermudo, Daniel Ayala, Inma Hernández, David Ruiz, Miguel Toro, SpaceRL-KG: Searching paths automatically combining embedding-based rewards with Reinforcement Learning in Knowledge Graphs, *Expert Systems with Applications*, Volume 255, Part A, 2024, 124410, ISSN 0957-4174, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2024.124410>.
- [51] Hao Tang, Guoshuai Zhao, Yujiao He, Yuxia Wu, Xueming Qian, Ranking-based contrastive loss for recommendation systems, *Knowledge-Based Systems*, Volume 261, 2023, 110180, ISSN 0950-7051, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knsys.2022.110180>.
- [52] Jinjing Zhang, Lijun Zhao, Jianchao Zeng, Pinle Qin, Yanbo Wang, Xiaoqing Yu, Deep MRI glioma segmentation via multiple guidances and hybrid enhanced-gradient cross-entropy loss, *Expert Systems with Applications*, Volume 196, 2022, 116608, ISSN 0957-4174, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2022.116608>.
- [53] Y. Zhou, Z. Chen and J. Zhang, "Ranking Vectors by Means of the Dominance Degree Matrix," in *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 34-51, Feb. 2017, doi: 10.1109/TEVC.2016.2567648.
- [54] Zepeng Li, Nengneng Ding, Chenhui Liang, Shuo Cao, Minyu Zhai, Rikui Huang, Zhenwen Zhang, Bin Hu, A semi-supervised framework fusing multiple information for knowledge graph entity alignment, *Expert Systems with Applications*, Volume 259, 2025, 125282, ISSN 0957-4174, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2024.125282>.
- [55] Pan He, Jiarong Shi, Wenhua Ma, Xiuyun Zheng, Broad collaborative filtering with adjusted cosine similarity by fusing matrix completion, *Applied Soft Computing*, Volume 165, 2024, 112075, ISSN 1568-4946, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2024.112075>.
- [56] Guan'an Wang, Xiaowen Huang, Yang Yang, Prayag Tiwari, Jian Zhang, Euclidean-Distance-Preserved Feature Reduction for efficient person re-identification, *Neural Networks*, Volume 180, 2024, 106572, ISSN 0893-6080, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2024.106572>.
- [57] Yu Sun, Shiming Li, A knee-oriented many-objective differential evolution with bi-strategy and Manhattan distance-domination range, *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation*, Volume 89, 2024, 101637, ISSN 2210-6502, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.swevo.2024.101637>.
- [58] Xuhui Sui, Ying Zhang, Kehui Song, Baohang Zhou, Xiaojie Yuan, Multi-level feature interaction for open knowledge base canonicalization, *Knowledge-Based Systems*, Volume 303, 2024, 112386, ISSN 0950-7051, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knsys.2024.112386>.
- [59] JianQiang Yan, Lin Zhang, Yuan Gao, BoTing Qu, GECRAN: Graph embedding based convolutional recurrent attention network for traffic flow prediction, *Expert Systems with Applications*, Volume 256, 2024, 125001, ISSN 0957-4174, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2024.125001>.
- [60] H. Ji, L. Yan and Z. Ma, "Multihop Fuzzy Spatiotemporal RDF Knowledge Graph Query via Quaternion Embedding," in *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 3026-3036, May 2024, doi: 10.1109/TFUZZ.2024.3366529.
- [61] Mohamed Ghetas, Mohamed Issa, Extracting optimal fuel cell parameters using dynamic Fick's Law algorithm with cooperative learning strategy and k-means clustering, *Expert Systems with Applications*, Volume 262, 2025, 125601, ISSN 0957-4174, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2024.125601>.
- [62] Qinghua Gu, Yiwen Niu, Zegang Hui, Qian Wang, Naixue Xiong, A hierarchical clustering algorithm for addressing multi-modal multi-objective optimization problems, *Expert Systems with Applications*, Volume 264, 2025, 125710, ISSN 0957-4174, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2024.125710>.
- [63] Simon Razniewski, Hiba Arnaout, Shrestha Ghosh, and Fabian Suchanek. 2024. Completeness, Recall, and Negation in Open-world Knowledge Bases: A Survey. *ACM Comput. Surv.* 56, 6, Article 150 (June 2024), 42 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3639563>
- [64] Yanbin Wei, Qiushi Huang, Yu Zhang, and James Kwok. 2023. KICGPT: Large Language Model with Knowledge in Context for Knowledge Graph Completion. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023*, pages 8667-8683, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [65] J. Zhang, S. Cai, Z. Jiang, J. Xiao and Z. Ming, "FireRobBrain: Planning for a Firefighting Robot using Knowledge Graph and Large Language Model," 2024 10th IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Data and Security (IDS), NYC, NY, USA, 2024, pp. 37-41, doi: 10.1109/IDS62739.2024.00014.
- [66] Xinyi Mou, Zejun Li, Hanjia Lyu, Jiebo Luo, and Zhongyu Wei. 2024. Unifying Local and Global Knowledge: Empowering Large Language Models as Political Experts with Knowledge Graphs. In *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2024 (WWW '24)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2603-2614. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3589334.3645616>
- [67] Yilin Wang, Minghao Hu, Zhen Huang, Dongsheng Li, Dong Yang, and Xicheng Lu. 2024. KC-GenRe: A Knowledge-constrained Generative Re-ranking Method Based on Large Language Models for Knowledge Graph Completion. In *Proceedings of the 2024 Joint International Conference on Computational Linguistics, Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC-COLING 2024)*, pages 9668-9680, Torino, Italia. ELRA and ICCL.
- [68] Rui Yang, Jiahao Zhu, Jianping Man, Li Fang, Yi Zhou, Enhancing text-based knowledge graph completion with zero-shot large language models: A focus on semantic enhancement, *Knowledge-Based Systems*, Volume 300, 2024, 112155, ISSN 0950-7051, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knsys.2024.112155>.
- [69] Liu, Y., Tian, X., Sun, Z., Hu, W. (2025). Finetuning Generative Large Language Models with Discrimination Instructions for Knowledge Graph Completion. In: Demartini, G., et al. *The Semantic Web - ISWC 2024*. ISWC 2024. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 15231. Springer, Cham.
- [70] Lingzhi Wang, Huang Hu, Lei Sha, Can Xu, Daxin Jiang, and Kam-Fai Wong. 2022. ReInDial: A Unified Framework for Conversational Recommendation with Pretrained Language Models. In *Proceedings of the 2nd Conference of the Asia-Pacific Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 12th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 489-500, Online only. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [71] D. Shu, T. Chen, M. Jin, C. Zhang, M. Du, and Y. Zhang, "Knowledge Graph Large Language Model (KG-LLM) for Link Prediction," arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.07311, 2024.
- [72] S. K. Alqaaidi and K. Kochut, "Relations Prediction for Knowledge Graph Completion using Large Language Models," arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.02738, 2024.
- [73] Shiyu Tian, Yangyang Luo, Tianze Xu, Caixia Yuan, Huixing Jiang, Chen Wei, and Xiaojie Wang. 2024. KG-Adapter: Enabling Knowledge Graph Integration in Large Language Models through Parameter-Efficient Fine-Tuning. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2024*, pages 3813-3828, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [74] Zhiqiang Zhang, Jinjie Gu, Jun Zhou, Jeff Z. Pan, Wen Zhang, and Huajun Chen. 2024. Learning to Plan for Retrieval-Augmented Large

- Language Models from Knowledge Graphs. In Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2024, pages 7813–7835, Miami, Florida, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [75] S. Hu, G. Zou, S. Yang, Y. Gan, B. Zhang and Y. Chen, “Large Language Model Meets Graph Neural Network in Knowledge Distillation,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.05894, 2024.
- [76] Mengqi Zhang, Xiaotian Ye, Qiang Liu, Pengjie Ren, Shu Wu, and Zhumin Chen. 2024. Knowledge Graph Enhanced Large Language Model Editing. In Proceedings of the 2024 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 22647–22662, Miami, Florida, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [77] Mikhail Salnikov, Hai Le, Prateek Rajput, Irina Nikishina, Pavel Braslavski, Valentin Malykh, and Alexander Panchenko. 2023. Large Language Models Meet Knowledge Graphs to Answer Factoid Questions. In Proceedings of the 37th Pacific Asia Conference on Language, Information and Computation, pages 635–644, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [78] Sun, Jiashuo, Chengjin Xu, Luminyuan Tang, Saizhuo Wang, Chen Lin, Yeyun Gong, Lionel Ni, Heung-Yeung Shum, and Jian Guo. “Think-on-Graph: Deep and Responsible Reasoning of Large Language Model on Knowledge Graph.” In The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations, 2024.
- [79] Yifu Gao, Linbo Qiao, Zhigang Kan, Zhihua Wen, Yongquan He, and Dongsheng Li. 2024. Two-stage Generative Question Answering on Temporal Knowledge Graph Using Large Language Models. In Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2024, pages 6719–6734, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [80] Zifeng Ding, Heling Cai, Jingpei Wu, Yunpu Ma, Ruotong Liao, Bo Xiong, and Volker Tresp. 2024. zrLLM: Zero-Shot Relational Learning on Temporal Knowledge Graphs with Large Language Models. In Proceedings of the 2024 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 1877–1895, Mexico City, Mexico. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [81] Xia, Yuwei, Ding Wang, Qiang Liu, Liang Wang, Shu Wu, and Xiaoyu Zhang. “Chain-of-History Reasoning for Temporal Knowledge Graph Forecasting.” arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.14382 (2024).
- [82] Ruotong Liao, Xu Jia, Yangzhe Li, Yunpu Ma, and Volker Tresp. 2024. GenTKG: Generative Forecasting on Temporal Knowledge Graph with Large Language Models. In Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: NAACL 2024, pages 4303–4317, Mexico City, Mexico. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [83] Derong Xu, Ziheng Zhang, Zhenxi Lin, Xian Wu, Zhihong Zhu, Tong Xu, Xiangyu Zhao, Yefeng Zheng, and Enhong Chen. 2024. Multi-perspective Improvement of Knowledge Graph Completion with Large Language Models. In Proceedings of the 2024 Joint International Conference on Computational Linguistics, Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC-COLING 2024), pages 11956–11968, Torino, Italia. ELRA and ICCL.
- [84] Yu, Zhihang, Shu Wang, Yunqiang Zhu, and Zhiqiang Zou. “A Multi-modal Ecological Civilization Pattern Recommendation Method Based on Large Language Models and Knowledge Graph.” arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.18951 (2023).
- [85] Li, Yuexin, Chengyu Huang, Shumin Deng, Mei Lin Lock, Tri Cao, Nay Oo, Hoon Wei Lim, and Bryan Hooi. “KnowPhish: Large Language Models Meet Multimodal Knowledge Graphs for Enhancing Reference-Based Phishing Detection.” In 33rd USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 24), 793–810. Philadelphia, PA: USENIX Association, 2024.
- [86] Sun, Qiang, Yuanyi Luo, Wenxiao Zhang, Sirui Li, Jichunyang Li, Kai Niu, Xiangrui Kong, and Wei Liu. “Docs2KG: Unified Knowledge Graph Construction from Heterogeneous Documents Assisted by Large Language Models.” arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.02962 (2024).
- [87] Xueyang Xing, Bo Jia, Zhicheng Huang, Yongzhi Chen, Junjie Wang, Anfei Fan, Xin Chen, and Lei Cao. 2024. A fusion inference method for large language models and knowledge graphs based on structured injection and causal inference. In Proceedings of the 2023 5th International Conference on Internet of Things, Automation and Artificial Intelligence (IoTAAI ’23). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 208–213. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3653081.3653117>
- [88] Hamann, F., Falk, M., Walker, L. (2024). Expanding knowledge graphs through text: Leveraging large language models for inductive link prediction. In INFORMATIK 2024 (pp. 1407-1417). Bonn: Gesellschaft für Informatik e.V.
- [89] Qian Zhao, Hao Qian, Ziqi Liu, Gong-Duo Zhang, and Lihong Gu. 2024. Breaking the Barrier: Utilizing Large Language Models for Industrial Recommendation Systems through an Inferential Knowledge Graph. In Proceedings of the 33rd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management (CIKM ’24). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 5086–5093. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3627673.3680022>
- [90] J. Liu and J. Zhan, “Constructing Knowledge Graph from Cyber Threat Intelligence Using Large Language Model,” 2023 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (BigData), Sorrento, Italy, 2023, pp. 516-521, doi: 10.1109/BigData59044.2023.10386611.
- [91] Park C, Lee H, Jeong O-r. Leveraging Medical Knowledge Graphs and Large Language Models for Enhanced Mental Disorder Information Extraction. Future Internet. 2024; 16(8):260. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fi16080260>
- [92] Ning, Yansong, and Hao Liu. “UrbanKGent: A Unified Large Language Model Agent Framework for Urban Knowledge Graph Construction.” arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.06861 (2024).
- [93] Vahan Arsenyan, Spartak Bughdaryan, Fadi Shaya, Kent Wilson Small, and Davit Shahnazaryan. 2024. Large Language Models for Biomedical Knowledge Graph Construction: Information extraction from EMR notes. In Proceedings of the 23rd Workshop on Biomedical Natural Language Processing, pages 295–317, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [94] Xu, Tianhan, Yixun Gu, Mantian Xue, Renjie Gu, Bin Li, and Xiang Gu. “Knowledge Graph Construction for Heart Failure Using Large Language Models with Prompt Engineering.” Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience 18, no. 7 (2024): 1389475. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fncom.2024.1389475>.
- [95] AlMahri, Sara, Liming Xu, and Alexandra Brintrup. “Enhancing Supply Chain Visibility with Knowledge Graphs and Large Language Models.” arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.07705 (2024).
- [96] Datta, Preetha, Fedor Vitiugin, Anastasiia Chizhikova, and Nitin Sawhney. “Construction of Hyper-Relational Knowledge Graphs Using Pre-Trained Large Language Models.” arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.11786 (2024).
- [97] Ning, Yansong, and Hao Liu. “UrbanKGent: A Unified Large Language Model Agent Framework for Urban Knowledge Graph Construction.” arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.06861 (2024).
- [98] Yuelin Hu, Futai Zou, Jiajia Han, Xin Sun, Yilei Wang, LLM-TIKG: Threat intelligence knowledge graph construction utilizing large language model, Computers Security, Volume 145, 2024, 103999, ISSN 0167-4048, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2024.103999>.
- [99] Rui Yang, Haoran Liu, Edison Marrese-Taylor, Qingcheng Zeng, Yuhe Ke, Wanxin Li, Lechao Cheng, Qingyu Chen, James Caverlee, Yutaka Matsuo, and Irene Li. 2024. KG-Rank: Enhancing Large Language Models for Medical QA with Knowledge Graphs and Ranking Techniques. In Proceedings of the 23rd Workshop on Biomedical Natural Language Processing, pages 155–166, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [100] Karim, Rezaul, Lina Molinas Comet, Md Shajalal, Paola De Perthuis, Dietrich Rebolz-Schuhmann, and Stefan Decker. “From Large Language Models to Knowledge Graphs for Biomarker Discovery in Cancer.” In Proceedings of the 57th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, 5576-5586. Honolulu, HI: Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, 2024. <https://hdl.handle.net/10125/107055>.
- [101] D. Jinhua and Y. Hao, “KLDP: A Data Profiling Technique Based on Knowledge Graph and Large Language Modeling,” 2023 IEEE 22nd International Conference on Trust, Security and Privacy in Computing and Communications (TrustCom), Exeter, United Kingdom, 2023, pp. 2333-2340, doi: 10.1109/TrustCom60117.2023.00329.
- [102] Chen Wang, Min Hua, Jiale Song, and Xue-song Tang. 2024. Knowledge Graphs Enhanced Large Language Model Prompt for Electric Power Question Answering. In Proceedings of the 2023 7th International Conference on Electronic Information Technology and Computer Engineering (EITCE ’23). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 24–29. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3650400.3650405>
- [103] Rui Yang, Haoran Liu, Edison Marrese-Taylor, Qingcheng Zeng, Yuhe Ke, Wanxin Li, Lechao Cheng, Qingyu Chen, James Caverlee, Yutaka Matsuo, and Irene Li. 2024. KG-Rank: Enhancing Large Language Models for Medical QA with Knowledge Graphs and Ranking Techniques. In Proceedings of the 23rd Workshop on Biomedical Natural

Language Processing, pages 155–166, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- [104] Chen Wang, Min Hua, Jiale Song, and Xue-song Tang. 2024. Knowledge Graphs Enhanced Large Language Model Prompt for Electric Power Question Answering. In Proceedings of the 2023 7th International Conference on Electronic Information Technology and Computer Engineering (EITCE '23). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 24–29. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3650400.3650405>
- [105] Pei, Aihua, Zehua Yang, Shunan Zhu, Ruoxi Cheng, Ju Jia, and Lina Wang. “KGPA: Robustness Evaluation for Large Language Models via Cross-Domain Knowledge Graphs.” arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.10802 (2024).
- [106] Dernbach, S., Agarwal, K., Zuniga, A., Henry, M., Choudhury, S. (2024). GLaM: Fine-Tuning Large Language Models for Domain Knowledge Graph Alignment via Neighborhood Partitioning and Generative Subgraph Encoding. Proceedings of the AAAI Symposium Series, 3(1), 82-89. <https://doi.org/10.1609/aaais.v3i1.31186>
- [107] Y. Li, Y. He, R. Lian and Q. Guo, “Fault Diagnosis and System Maintenance Based on Large Language Models and Knowledge Graphs,” 2023 5th International Conference on Robotics, Intelligent Control and Artificial Intelligence (RICAI), Hangzhou, China, 2023, pp. 589-592, doi: 10.1109/RICAI60863.2023.10489566.
- [108] P. LIU, L. Qian, X. Zhao and B. Tao, “Joint Knowledge Graph and Large Language Model for Fault Diagnosis and Its Application in Aviation Assembly,” in IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 8160-8169, June 2024, doi: 10.1109/TII.2024.3366977.
- [109] Qi, Zhixiao, Yijiong Yu, Meiqi Tu, Junyi Tan, and Yongfeng Huang. “FoodGPT: A Large Language Model in the Food Testing Domain with Incremental Pre-training and Knowledge Graph Prompt.” arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.10173 (2023).
- [110] Wawrzik, Frank, Matthias Plaue, Savan Vekariya, and Christoph Grimm. “Customized Information and Domain-centric Knowledge Graph Construction with Large Language Models.” arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.20010 (2024).
- [111] M. Hua et al., “KG-IBL: Knowledge Graph Driven Incremental Broad Learning for Few-Shot Specific Emitter Identification,” in IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security, vol. 19, pp. 10016-10028, 2024, doi: 10.1109/TIFS.2024.3481902.
- [112] Liu, R., Wu, L.Zhang, P. (2024). KG-TREAT: Pre-training for Treatment Effect Estimation by Synergizing Patient Data with Knowledge Graphs. Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 38(8), 8805-8814. <https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v38i8.28727>
- [113] Zhouyu Jiang, Ling Zhong, Mengshu Sun, Jun Xu, Rui Sun, Hui Cai, Shuhan Luo, and Zhiqiang Zhang. 2024. Efficient Knowledge Infusion via KG-LLM Alignment. In Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2024, pages 2986–2999, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [114] Yuhan Wang, Qing Xie, Mengzi Tang, Lin Li, Jingling Yuan, and Yongjian Liu. 2024. Amazon-KG: A Knowledge Graph Enhanced Cross-Domain Recommendation Dataset. In Proceedings of the 47th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval (SIGIR '24). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 123–130. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3626772.3657880>
- [115] L. Gong and H. Zhang, “MR 2 2-KG: A Multi-Relation Multi-Rationale Knowledge Graph for Modeling Software Engineering Knowledge on Stack Overflow,” in IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, vol. 50, no. 7, pp. 1867-1887, July 2024, doi: 10.1109/TSE.2024.3403108.
- [116] B. Yang, W. Yih, X. He, J. Gao and L. Deng, “Embedding Entities and Relations for Learning and Inference in Knowledge Bases,” arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6575, 2015. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.6575>
- [117] S. Pan, L. Luo, Y. Wang, C. Chen, J. Wang and X. Wu, “Unifying Large Language Models and Knowledge Graphs: A Roadmap,” in IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, vol. 36, no. 7, pp. 3580-3599, July 2024, doi: 10.1109/TKDE.2024.3352100.
- [118] L. Yang, H. Chen, Z. Li, X. Ding and X. Wu, “Give us the Facts: Enhancing Large Language Models With Knowledge Graphs for Fact-Aware Language Modeling,” in IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, vol. 36, no. 7, pp. 3091-3110, July 2024, doi: 10.1109/TKDE.2024.3360454.